Indoor Air Quality Management

Subjects: Meteorology & Atmospheric Sciences Contributor: HE ZHANG

The existence of indoor air pollutants—such as ozone, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, particulate matter, and total volatile organic compounds—is evidently a critical issue for human health. Over the past decade, various international agencies have continually refined and updated the quantitative air quality guidelines and standards in order to meet the requirements for indoor air quality management. This entry first provides a systematic review of the existing air quality guidelines and standards implemented by different agencies, which include the Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS); the World Health Organization (WHO); the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA); the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH); the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE); the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH); and the California ambient air quality standards (CAAQS). It then adds to this by providing a state-of-art review of the existing low-cost air quality sensor (LCAQS) technologies, and analyzes the corresponding specifications, such as the typical detection range, measurement tolerance or repeatability, data resolution, response time, supply current, and market price. Finally, it briefly reviews a sequence (array) of field measurement studies, which focuses on the technical measurement characteristics and their data analysis approaches.

Keywords: indoor air quality ; standards ; guidelines ; pollutants ; sick building syndrome ; low-cost sensor

1. Introduction

The WHO reported that poor air quality caused 4.2 million deaths in 2016, of which, primarily, 17% were due to strokes, 25% were due to COPD, and 26% were due to respiratory disease ^[1]. It is evident from many studies that the concentration levels of indoor air pollutants are two to four times higher than those of outdoor air pollutants $^{[2][3][4][5]}$. In the U.S., on average, people spend 22.25 h per day inside buildings, and 1.44 h in cars or other transportation modes $^{[6][7]}$. With higher concentrations of pollutants inside buildings, IAQ is one of the world's highest environmental health risks $^{[8][9]}$, which cannot be ignored.

The impact on human health owing to the indoor environment is, broadly speaking, either BRI or SBS. BRI relates to symptoms that are clinically defined, which are diagnosed with directly airborne building contaminants ^{[5][6][Z][8]}. On the other hand, SBS is a collection of symptoms for which the cause is unclear ^{[10][11][12]}. It is to be noted that SBS is a consequence of poor indoor air quality ^[13]. Besides this, the symptoms caused by psychological illnesses—such as headaches, fatigue, nausea, hyperventilation, and fainting—are referred to as Mass Psychogenic Illness (MPI) ^[14]. Building-associated illnesses not only cause symptoms, but can also cause an enormous economic loss. In the U.S., SBS affects 10 to 25 million people, and results in an estimated \$82 billion to \$104 billion loss every year, owing to productivity loss ^{[15][16][17][18][19]}. The US EPA estimated a \$140 billion annual direct medical expenditure related to IAQ problems ^[20]

SBS has become a widely-studied subject in recent years; the following health manifestations have been identified by medical studies: anxiety, depression, environmental discomfort and job strain (psychological symptoms); asthma, allergies, malaise, headache, throat dryness, coughs, sputum, ocular issues, rhinitis, wheezing, skin dryness, and eye pain (physical symptoms/psychosomatic symptoms) ^{[22][23][24]}. Klas et al. ^[25] found that SBS is related to temperature, air intake, building dampness, exposure to static electricity, indoor smoke, noise, and the building's age. In addition, the level of physical response is related to age, employment duration, asthma symptoms, and psychological states.

The contributors of SBS and BRI can be divided into four categories: (1) physical (e.g., temperature, humidity, ventilation, illuminance, noise, air quality, etc.); (2) biological; (3) chemical (e.g., radioactive substances, MVOCS, formaldehyde, plasticizer, fine dust, etc.) concentrations; (4) psychosocial and individual traits (e.g., gender, age, atopy, hereditary disease, smoking, psychological state, etc.) ^{[26][27][28]}. The indoor thermal comfort criteria were recommended by the

ASHRAE Standard 55-2017, which specifies an indoor operative temperature between $68.5^{\circ}F$ and $75^{\circ}F$ in the winter, and between $75^{\circ}F$ and $80.5^{\circ}F$ in the summer ^[29]. Similarly, the recommended indoor relative humidity given by the by US EPA is between 30% and 60%, in order to reduce mold growth ^[30].

The presence of indoor air pollutants is a major factor that directly affects human health $\frac{[31]}{}$. Indoor air pollutants may include O₃, CO, CO₂, SO₂, NO₂, particulate matter (PM), and TVOC, which can cause tiredness, Acute Respiratory Infections (ARI), COPD, and lung cancer $\frac{[28][32]}{}$.

2. Indoor Air Quality, the Vulnerable Population, and Asthma

A 2015 report showed that air pollution does not affect everyone in the same way; certain vulnerable populations (e.g., children, the elderly, and cardiopulmonary patients, etc.) are more susceptible than others ^[33]. The US EPA defined the 'risk population' as being those who possess a significantly higher probability of developing a condition, illness or other abnormal status, and divided them into five groups, namely: (1) children aged less than or equal to 13 years; (2) older people aged greater or equal to 65 years; (3) a young person with asthma, who is less or equal than the age of 18 years; (4) legal adults with asthma; (5) people with COPD ^[34]. Children and older people are more sensitive than others with regards to indoor air pollution ^{[35][36][37][38][39]}. While the immune and metabolic systems of children are still developing, and their organs are immature, they are exposed to air pollutants due to which they suffer from frequent respiratory infections ^{[40][41]}. Older people are affected by IAQ due to weaker immune systems, undiagnosed respiratory conditions, and cardiovascular health conditions. A hazardous substance can aggravate heart diseases, strokes, and lung diseases such as chronic bronchitis and asthma ^{[42][43]}.

Asthma is a chronic disease that often causes an exacerbation of disease activity, some of which result in hospitalizations. Air quality measures—such as $PM_{2.5}$, NO_2 , O_3 , and dampness-related contaminants—play a significant role in asthma exacerbation, as well as disease progression. Asthmatic children spend 60% of their waking hours in school. A recent large-scale study ^[44] showed that co-exposure to elevated endotoxin levels and $PM_{2.5}$ was synergistically correlated with increased emergency room visits, especially for asthma among children. Exposure to higher concentrations of endotoxin and NO_2 was also synergistically associated with increased asthma attacks, despite below-normal geometric mean concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, O_3 and NO_2 compared to EPA NAAQ standards ^{[44][45]}. A 2015 update to the 2000 review of the Institute of Medicine ^[46] suggested that—in addition to endotoxin levels—dampness, and dampness-related agents are also important environmental quality indicators for asthma.

According to the ALA 'State of the Air[®] 2020' report, 45.8% of people in the U.S. live in counties with unhealthy levels of air pollution; among these, 22 million people are elderly (equal or over age 65), and 34.2 million are children (less than age 18); 2.5 million of the children, and more than 10.6 million of the elderly people, have asthma; 7 million people have COPD; 77,000 people have lung cancer; 9.3 million have cardiovascular issues; and 18.7 million live in poverty ^[47].

3. Air Quality Sensors, Measurement Tolerances, and Ranges

In recent years, LCAQS technology has emerged from several laboratories for practical application, as they can be used to support real-time, spatial, and temporal data resolution for the monitoring of air concentration levels ^{[48][49][50]}. Additionally, more and more companies provide their own LCAQS products. The principles of operation for the low-cost gas-phase sensors are typically based on five major components, which are OPC, MOS, EC, NDIR, and PID ^{[51][52]}. Studies have shown that modern LCAQS provide useful qualitative information for scientific research, as well as for end-users ^{[50][53][54]}. However, due to the embedded technical uncertainties and lack of cross-validation and verification, there are certain limitations when comparing them to the expensive conventional equipment ^{[52][55][56][57]}. The US EPA has colloquially identified such devices to be low cost when their costs are less than US \$2500, because this is often the limit when they are considered for capital investment by scientists and end-users ^[48]. The price includes the sensor module, its networks, the interactive platform, and other supply services. Therefore, hereafter, we assert that LCAQs should be less than US \$500. Table 1 summarizes a series of commercially available LCAQs for primary air pollutants, such as O₃, CO, CO₂, SO₂, NO₂, PM, TVOCs. Furthermore, the specifications from the datasheet provided by the sensor companies— such as the repeatability, measuring range, circuit voltage, and response times—have been listed. The price of these LCAQS ranges between US \$1 and \$500, and they are capable of detecting an acceptable range of concentrations of each pollutant identified by the existing guidelines (See Table 2).

Table 1. Commercially available LCAQs for the primary air pollutants.

Measured Parameter	Example Product	Manufacturer	Measurement Tolerance/Repeatability	Measuring Range	Circuit Voltage	Response Time	Approx. Price (USD). 2019
	SR-G04 ^[58]	BW Technologies/ Honeywell	±5%	0~1 ppm	Not Provided	Not Provided	≈\$500
	uHoo-O ₃ ^[59]	uHoo	±10 ppb or 5% of reading	0~1000 ppb	5.0 V	Not Provided	\$300– 500
	ME3-O ₃ ^[60]	Winsen	<2% (/Month)	0~20 ppm	Not Provided	≤120 s	\$100– 300
O ₃	DGS-O ₃ 968- 042 ^[61]	SPEC	±15%	0~5 ppm	3.3 v	<30 s	\$50–100
	ULPSM-O3 968-005 ^[62]	SPEC	±2%	0~20 ppm	2.7 V~3.3 V	<30 s	\$1–50
	ZE25-O3 ^[63]	Winsen	Not Provided	0~10 ppm	3.7 V~5.5 V	≤90 s	\$1–50
	MQ131 ^[64]	Winsen	Not Provided	10~1000 ppm	≤24 V DC	Not Provided	\$1–50
	MiCS-2610 ^[65]	SGX SensorTech	Not Provided	10~1000 ppb	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$1–50

	uHoo-CO ^[66]	uHoo	±10 ppm	0~1000 ppm	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$300– 500
	CO-B4 ^{[67][68]}	Alphasense	±1 ppm	0~1000 ppm	Not Provided	1 s	\$100– 300
со	MNS-9-W2-GS- C1 ^[69]	Monnit	± 2% of reading or 1 ppm	0~1000 ppm	2.0~3.6 v	<40 s (at 20 °C)	\$100– 300
	DGS-CO 968- 034 ^[70]	SPEC	< ±3% of reading or 2 ppm	0 to 1000 ppm	3.3 v	<30 s	\$50–100
	MiCS-4514/ CJMCU4541 [71]	SGX SensorTech	Not Provided	1~1000 ppm	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$1–50
	TGS 5342 ^[72]	FIGARO	±10 ppm	0~10,000 ppm	5.0 v	60 s	\$1–50
	TGS 2442 ^[73]	FIGARO	Not SProvided	30~1000 ppm	5.0 v	1 s	\$1–50
	HS-134 ^[74]	Sencera	Not Provided	20~1000 ppm	5.0 v	<2 s	\$1–50
	MiCS-5524 ^[75]	SGX SensorTech	Not Provided	1~1000 ppm	5.0 v	<25 s	\$1–50
	TGS5042 ^[76]	FIGARO	< ± 10 ppm	0~10,000 ppm	5.0 v	5.0 v	\$1–50
	MQ-7 ^[77]	HANWEI	Not Provided	20~2000 ppm	5.0 v	≤150 s	\$1–50

CO ₂	uHoo-CO ₂ ^[66]	uHoo	±50 ppm or 3% of reading	400~10,000 ppm	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$300– 500
	GC0028/ CM-40301 ^[78]	The SprintIR [®] -6S	±70 ppm ± 5% of reading	0–5%	3.25~5.5 v	Flow Rate Dependent	\$100– 300
	AW6404 [79]	AWAIR	±75 ppm (400 to 6000 ppm)	0~4000 ppm	5.0 v	3 min	\$100– 300
	B-530 ^[80]	ELT SENSOR	±30 ppm ±3% reading	0~50,000 ppm	9~15 v	120 s	\$100– 300
	FBT0002100 [<u>81]</u>	Foobot (Airboxlab)	±1.0 ppm (400 to 6000 ppm)	400~6000 ppm	Not Provided	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	8096-AP ^[82]	Air Mentor Pro	± 5%	400~2000 ppm	3.7 v	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	Yocto-CO2 ^[83]	Yoctopuce	± 30 ppm ± 55%	0–10,000 ppm	4.75~5.25	2 s @ 0.5 I/min	\$100– 300
	NWS01-EU ^[84]	Netatmo	± 5% (1000 to 5000 ppm)	0~5000 ppm	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	CozIR [®] -LP2 ^[85]	GSS	± 30 ppm ± 3% reading	0–5000 ppm	3.25–5.5 v	30 s	\$100– 300
	K-30 ^[86]	CO2Meter	±30 ppm/ ±3% of reading	0~5000 ppm	4.5–14 v	2 s @ 0.5 I/min	\$50–100
	D-400 ^[87]	ELT SENSOR	±30 ppm ±3% of Reading	0~2000 ppm	4.75~12 v	30 s	\$100– 300
	GC-0015 ^[88]	MinIR™	±70 ppm ± 5% of reading	0–5%	3.3 ± 0.1 v	4~2 min	\$100– 300
	ELT T110 ^[89]	ELT SENSOR	± 50 ppm ±3% reading	400~2000 ppm	3.2 v~3.55 v	90 s	\$50–100
	MT-100 ^[90]	ELT SENSOR	±70 ppm ±3% of reading	0~10,000 ppm	3.5~5.2 V	120 s	\$50–100
	S-300 ^[91]	ELT SENSOR	±30 ppm, ±3% measure	0~2000 ppm	5.0 V ± 5%	60 s	\$50–100
	T6713 ^[92]	Telaire	±3%	0~5000 ppm	4.5–5.5 v	3 min	\$50–100
	T6615 ^[93]	Telaire	± 10% of reading	0~50,000 ppm	5 v	2 min	\$50–100

	MG811 ^[94]	Winsen	±75 ppm	350~10,000 ppm	7.5–12 v	Not Provided	\$1–50
	TGS4161	FIGARO	±20% at 1000 pm	350~10,000 ppm	5.0 ± 0.2 v	1.5 min	\$1–50
	MH-Z16 NDIR CO2 ^[96]	Winsen	±50 ppm ± 5% of reading	0~5000 ppm	3.3 v	30 s	\$1–50
	MH-Z19 ^[<u>97</u>]	Winsen	± 50 ppm ±5% reading	0~5000 ppm	3.3 v	60 s	\$1–50
	B4 SO ₂ ^[98]	Alphasense	±5 ppb	0~100 ppm	3 v	30 s	\$100– 300
	ME4-SO ₂ ^[99]	Winsen	±2%	200 ppm	Not Provided	30 s	\$100– 300
SO ₂	DGS-SO ₂ 968- 038 ^[100]	SPEC	±15%	0~20 ppm	3.0 v	30 s	\$50–100
002	EC-4SO2-2000 [<u>101</u>]	Qingdao Scienoc Chemical	±2%	0~2000 ppm	Not Provided	60 s	\$50–100
	MQ-136 ^[102]	HANWEI	±2%	1–100 ppm	5 v ± 0.1	60 s	\$1–50
	FECS43-20 [103]	FIGARO	±2%	0~20 ppm	Not Provided	25 s	Not Provided
	uHoo-NO ₂ ^[66]	uHoo	± 10 ppb ±5% of reading	0~1000 ppb	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$300– 500
	DGS-NO ₂ 968- 043 ^[104]	SPEC Sensors	±15%	0~10 ppm	3 v	30 s	\$50–100
NO ₂	Mics-6814 ^[105]	SGX SensorTech	±10 ppb	0.05–10 ppm	5.0 v	30 s	\$1–50
	MiCS-4514/ CJMCU4541 [7 <u>1</u>]	SGX SensorTech	Not Provided	1~1000 ppm	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$1–50
	MiCS-2714 [106]	SGX SensorTech	Not Provided	0.05~10 ppm	4.9~5.1 v	30 s	\$1–50
	B4 NO ₂ ^[107]	Alphasense	±12 ppb	0~50 ppm	3.5~6.4 v	25 s	\$1–50

	uHoo-PM _{2.5} ^[66]	uHoo	±20 μg/m ³	0~200 μg/m ³	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$300– 500
	DC1100 Pro [108]	Dylos	Not Provided	0~1000 μg/m ³	9 v	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	OPC-N2 [109]	Alphasense	Not Provided	0.38~17 μm	4.8~5.2 v	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	FBT0002100 [<u>110]</u>	Foobot (Airboxlab)	±20%	0~1300 μg/m³	Not Provided	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	AW6404 [<u>111]</u>	AWAIR	±15 μg/m3 15% of reading	0~1000 μg/m ³	5 V/2.0 A	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	8096-AP ^[112]	Air Mentor Pro	Not Provided	0~300 μg/m ³	3.7 v	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	SPS30 ^[113]	Sensirion	±10 μg/m ³	0~1000 μg/m ³	4.5~5.5 v	60 s	\$1–50
РМ	PMS7003 [<u>114]</u>	Plantower	±10 @ 100~500 μg/m ³	0~500 μg/m ³	5.0~5.5 v	10 s	\$1–50
	PMS5003 [<u>115]</u>	Plantower	±10 @ 100~500 μg/m ³	0~500 μg/m ³	5.0~5.5 v	10 s	\$1–50
	HPMA115S0- XXX ^[116]	Honeywell	±15 μg/m ³	0~1000 μg/m ³	5 ± 0.2 v	6 s	\$1–50
	DN7C3CA006 [117]	Sharp Microelectronics	±0.2	25~500 μg/m3	5 ± 0.1 v	Not Provided	\$1–50
	SDS011 ^[118]	Nova Fitness	15% ±10 μg/m ³	0.0–999.9 µg /m ³	5 V	Not Provided	\$1–50
	Shinyei PPD42NS [<u>119]</u>	Shinyei	Not Provided	0~28,000 pcs/liter	5.0~5.5 v	60 s	\$1–50
	TIDA-00378 [<u>120]</u>	TI Designs	75% Over Detection Range	12~35 pcs/cm ³	3.3 V	Not Provided	Not Provided

	uHoo-TVOC ^[66]	uHoo	10 ppb or 5%	0–1000 ppb	5.0 v	Not Provided	\$300– 500
	8096-AP ^[82]	Air Mentor Pro	Not Provided	0~300 μg/m ³	3.7 v	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	AW6404 [<u>111</u>]	AWAIR	±10%	0~60,000 ppb	5.0 v	60 s	\$100– 300
	FBT0002100 [<u>110</u>]	Foobot (Airboxlab)	±10%	0~1000 ppb	Not Provided	Not Provided	\$100– 300
	ZMOD4410 [<u>121]</u>	IDT	±10%	0~1000 ppm	1.7~3.6 v	5 s	\$50–100
t-VOCs	Yocto-VOC-V3 [122]	Yoctopuce	Not Provided	0~65,000 ppb	Not Provided	Not Provided	\$50–100
	uThing::VOC™- [<u>123]</u>	Ohmetech.io	±15%	0–500	5.0 v	3 s	\$50–100
	MiCS-5524 ^[124]	SGX SensorTech	Not Provided	10~100 ppm	Not Provided	Not Provided	\$1–50
	iAQ-100 C/ 110-802 ^[125]	SPEC	±2 ppm	0~100 ppm	12 ± 2 VDC	20 s	\$1–50
	SP3_AQ2 ^[126]	Nissha FIS	Not Provided	0~100 ppm	5 v ± 4%	Not Provided	\$1–50
	TGS2602 ^[127]	FIGARO	Not Provided	1~30 ppm	5 ± 0.2 v	30 s	\$1–50
	MICS-VZ-87 [<u>128]</u>	SGX SensorTech	Not Provided	400–2000 ppm equivalent CO2	5.0 v	30 s	\$1–50

 Table 2. Common air quality guidelines and standards.

			WHO/				
Measured Parameter	NAAQS/EPA (U.S. Enforceable) [129][130][131] [132][133]	OSHA (U.S. Enforceable) [134]	Europe (Christopher et al., 2017; WHO, 2016b, WHO, 2010) [135][136]	ACGIH [<u>137]</u>	ANSI/ ASHRAE 62.1 [<u>138]</u>	NIOSH [<u>138]</u>	CAAQS (SCAQMD) [139]

O ₃	0.07 ppm (8-h mean) 0.12 ppm (1 h mean) 0.08 ppm	0.1 ppm	120 µg/m3 (8-h mean)	0.3 ppm (15 min) 0.05 ppm (heavy work) 0.08 ppm (moderate work) 0.1 ppm (light work) 0.2 ppm (work ≤ 2 h)	100 µg/m ³ ; 50 ppb (8-h mean)	0.1 ppm (0.2 mg/m ³)	0.07 ppm (8-h) 0.09 ppm (1-h)
со	9 ppm (8-h mean) 35 ppm (1 h mean)	50 ppm	100 mg/m ³ (15-min mean) 35 mg/m ³ (1-h mean) 10 mg/m ³ (8-h mean) 7 mg/m ³ (24-h mean)	25 ppm (8-h)	9 ppm (8-h mean)	35 ppm 40 mg/m ³ (8-h mean) 200 ppm (229 mg/m ³) ceiling	20 ppm, (1-H mean) 9.0 ppm, (8-H mean)
CO ₂	N/A	5000 ppm	N/A	5000 ppm (8-h) 30,000 ppm (15 min mean)	5000 ppm 300~500 ppm (outdoor suggest) 1000 ppm (indoor suggest)	5000 ppm (9000 mg/m ³) 30,000 ppm (15 min) (54,000 mg/m3)	N/A
SO ₂	75 ppb (1-h mean)	5 ppm	20 μg/m ³ (24-h mean) 500 μg/m ³ (10-min mean)	0.25 ppm (15 min)	80 μg/m ³ (Annual mean)	2 ppm (5 mg/m ³) 5 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	0.25 ppm 1-H mean 0.04 ppm (24-h mean)
NO ₂	100 ppb (1-h) 53 ppb (Annual mean)	0.1 ppm	200 μg/m ³ (0.1 ppm) (1-h mean) 40 μg/m ³ (0.02 ppm) (1-yr average)	0.02 (15 min)	200 µg/m ³ (Annual mean) 470 µg/m ³ (24-hoursl mean)	1 ppm (1.8 mg/m ³)	0.18 ppm, (1-H mean) 0.030 ppm, (Annual mean)

PM _{2.5}	35 μg/m ³ (24-h mean) 12 μg/m ³ (Annual mean)	5 mg/m ³	25 μg/m ³ (24-h mean) 10 μg/m ³ (Annual mean)	3 mg/m ³ (8-h)	15 μg/m ³	N/A	12 μg/m ³ , Annual mean
PM ₁₀	155 μg/m ³ (24-h mean) (Not to be exceeded more than once per year on average over 3 years)	N/A	50 μg/m ³ (24-h mean) 20 μg/m ³ (Annual mean)	10 mg/m ³ (8-h)	50 μg/m ³	N/A	50 μg/m ³ (24-H mean) 20 μg/m ³ (Annual mean)
t-VOCs	$200 \mu g/m^3$ AQI INDEX: 0~50 GOOD 51~100 Moderate 101~150 Unhealthy for Sensitive Group 151~200 Unhealthy 201~300 Very Unhealthy 301~500 Hazardous	N/A	300 µg/m ³ (8-h mean.)	N/A	See full list on: ASHRAE Standard 62.1 TVOC guidance	N/A	N/A

References

- 1. WHO. Ambient Air Pollution: A Global Assessment of Exposure and Burden of Disease; World Health Organization: Ge neva, Switzerland, 2016.
- 2. Jafari, M.J.; Khajevandi, A.A.; Najarkola, S.A.M.; Yekaninejad, M.S.; Pourhoseingholi Amin, M.; Omidi, L.; Kalantary, S. Association of sick building syndrome with indoor air parameters. Tanaffos 2015, 14, 55.
- 3. Van Durme, J.; Dewulf, J.; Sysmans, W.; Leys, C.; Van Langenhove, H. Abatement and degradation pathways of toluen e in indoor air by positive corona discharge. Chemosphere 2007, 68, 1821–1829, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.03. 053.
- 4. He, C.; Morawska, L.; Hitchins, J.; Gilbert, D. Contribution from indoor sources to particle number and mass concentrati ons in residential houses. Environ. 2004, 38, 3405–3415, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.03.027.
- 5. Brown, N.J. Indoor Air Quality; Cornell Univ ILR Sch: Ithaca, NY, USA, 2019.
- Goodman, N.B.; Steinemann, A.; Wheeler, A.J.; Paevere, P.J.; Cheng, M.; Brown, S.K. Volatile organic compounds with in indoor environments in Australia. Environ. 2017, 122, 116–125, doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2017.05.033.
- 7. Meyer, B.; K. Hermanns.; and D. C. Smith. Formaldehyde release from urea-formaldehyde bonded wood products. J A dhes, 1985, 17(4), 297–308.
- Mujan, I.; Anđelković, A.S.; Munćan, V.; Kljajić, M.; Ružić, D. Influence of indoor environmental quality on human health and productivity—A review. Clean. Prod. 2019, 217, 646–657, doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.01.307.
- 9. World Health Organization. Public Health, Environmental and Social Determinants of Health (PHE); World Heal Organ: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.

- 10. Seltzer, J.M. Building-related illnesses. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 1994, 94, 351-361.
- 11. Menzies, D.; Bourbeau, J. Building-related illnesses. Engl. J. Med. 1997, 337, 1524–1531.
- Saeki, Y.; Kadonosono, K.; Uchio, E. Clinical and allergological analysis of ocular manifestations of sick building syndro me. Ophthalmol. 2017, 11, 517–522, doi:10.2147/OPTH.S124500.
- 13. Horvath, E.P. Building-related illness and sick building syndrome: From the specific to the vague. Clin. J. Med. 1997, 6 4, 303–309.
- SAIF. Indoor Air Quality Investigations. SS-436 2018. Available online: https://www.saif.com/Documents/SafetyandHealt h/IndoorAirQuality/SS436_indoor_air_quality_investigation.pdf (accessed on 8 November 2018).
- 15. Herr, C.; Otterbach, I.; Nowak, D.; Hornberg, C.; Eikmann, T.; Wiesmüller, G.A. Clinical environmental medicine. Dtsch Arztebl.Umweltmed. 2008, 105, 523–531.
- EPA. Report to Congress on Indoor Air Quality, Volume II: Assessment and Control of Indoor Air Pollution; EPA: Washin gton, DC, USA, 1989.
- 17. Nezis, I.; Biskos, G.; Eleftheriadis, K.; Kalantzi, O.I. Particulate matter and health effects in offices—A review. Environ. 2019, 156, 62–73, doi:10.1016/j.buildenv.2019.03.042.
- Fisk, W.J. Health and productivity gains from better indoor environments and their relationship with building energy effic iency. Rev. Energy Environ. 2000, 25, 537–566.
- Mendell, M.J.; Fisk, W.J.; Kreiss, K.; Levin, H.; Alexander, D.; Cain, W.S.; Rexroat, L.P. Improving the health of workers in indoor environments: Priority research needs for a National Occupational Research Agenda. J. Public Health 2002, 9 2, 1430–1440, doi:10.2105/AJPH.92.9.1430.
- 20. Kibert, C.J. Sustainable Construction: Green Building Design and Delivery; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 20 16.
- 21. De Robles, D.; Kramer, S.W. Improving Indoor Air Quality through the Use of Ultraviolet Technology in Commercial Buil dings. Procedia Eng. 2017, 196, 888–894, doi:10.1016/j.proeng.2017.08.021.
- Amin, N.D.M.; Akasah, Z.A.; Razzaly, W. Architectural evaluation of thermal comfort: Sick building syndrome symptoms in engineering education laboratories. Procedia-Soc. Behav. Sci. 2015, 204, 19–28.
- 23. Magnavita, N. Work-related symptoms in indoor environments: A puzzling problem for the occupational physician. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 2015, 88, 185–196.
- Norbäck, D.; Hashim, J.H.; Markowicz, P.; Cai, G.-H.; Hashim, Z.; Ali, F.; Larsson, L. Endotoxin, ergosterol, muramic aci d and fungal DNA in dust from schools in Johor Bahru, Malaysia—Associations with rhinitis and sick building syndrome (SBS) in junior high school students. Total Environ. 2016, 545, 95–103.
- Nordström, K.; Norbäck, D.; Akselsson, R. Influence of indoor air quality and personal factors on the sick building syndr ome (SBS) in Swedish geriatric hospitals. Environ. Med. 1995, 52, 170–176.
- 26. Lahtinen, M.; Huuhtanen, P.; Reijula, K. Sick Building Syndrome and Psychosocial Factors-a Literature Review. Indoor Air 1998, 8, 71–80.
- 27. Chirico, F.; Ferrari, G.; Taino, G.; Oddone, E.; Giorgi, I.; Imbriani, M. Prevalence and risk factors for sick building syndro me among Italian correctional officers: A pilot study. Health Soc. Sci. 2017, 2, 31–46.
- 28. Ghaffarianhoseini, A.; AlWaer, H.; Omrany, H.; Ghaffarianhoseini, A.; Alalouch, C.; Clements-Croome, D.; Tookey, J. Sic k building syndrome: Are we doing enough. Archit Sci. Rev. 2018, 61, 99–121.
- 29. ASHRAE.; ANSI. Standard 55-2017, Thermal Environmental Comfort Conditions for Human Occupancy; ASHRAE: Atla nta, GA, USA, 2013.
- Goss, K.U. Effects of temperature and relative humidity on the sorption of organic vapors on quartz sand. Sci. Technol. 1992, 26, 2287–2294.
- Pegas, P.N.; Alves, C.A.; Evtyugina, M.G.; Nunes, T.; Cerqueira, M.; Franchi, M.; Pio, C.A.; Almeida, S.M.; Freitas, M.
 C. Indoor air quality in elementary schools of Lisbon in spring. Geochem. Health 2011, 33, 455–468.
- 32. Smith, K.R. National burden of disease in India from indoor air pollution. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 13286–13293.
- Bael, D.; Sample, J. Life and Breath—How Air Pollution Affects Public Health in the Twin Cities"; Minnesota Pollut Contr ol Agency(MPCA)& Minnesota Department Health: St Paul, MN, USA, 2015; pp. 1–50.
- CDC. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Populations at Risk from Particulate Air Pollution—United States, M MWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. n.d.; CDC: Atlanta, GA, USA, 1992.

- Mendes, A.; Pereira, C.; Mendes, D.; Aguiar, L.; Neves, P.; Silva, S.; Batterman, S.; Teixeira, P.S. Indoor air quality and thermal comfort—Results of a pilot study in elderly care centers in Portugal. Toxicol. Environ. Health A 2013, 76, 333–3 44.
- Simoni, M.; Jaakkola, M.S.; Carrozzi, L.; Baldacci, S.; Di Pede, F.; Viegi, G. Indoor air pollution and respiratory health in the elderly. Respir. J. 2003, 21, 15–20.
- 37. Mishra, V. Effect of indoor air pollution from biomass combustion prevalence of asthma in the elderly. Health Perspect 2 003, 111, 71–78.
- 38. Franklin, P.J. Indoor air quality and respiratory health of children. Respir. Rev. 2007, 8, 281–286.
- 39. Smith, K.R.; Samet, J.M.; Romieu, I.; Bruce, N. Indoor air pollution in developing countries and acute lower respiratory i nfections in children. Thorax 2000, 55, 518–532.
- 40. World Health Organization. Effects of Air Pollution Children's Health and Development: A Review of the Evidence; WH O Regional Office for Europe: Copenhagen, Denmark, 2005.
- Flynn, E.; Matz, P.; Woolf, A.; Wright, R. Indoor air pollutants affecting child health. A project of the American College of Medical Toxicology. 2000, 1–201. Available online: http://www.sygdoms.com/pdf/23.pdf (accessed on 12 November 201 8).
- 42. Simoni, M.; Baldacci, S.; Maio, S.; Cerrai, S.; Sarno, G.; Viegi, G. Adverse effects of outdoor pollution in the elderly. Th orac. Dis. 2015, 7, 34.
- 43. NSW Who is Affected by Air Pollution? n.d. Available online: http://www.health.nsw.gov.au/environment/air/Pages/who-i s-affected.aspx (accessed on 12 November 2018).
- 44. Mendy, A.; Wilkerson, J.; Salo, P.M.; Weir, C.H.; Feinstein, L.; Zeldin, D.C.; Thorne, P.S. Synergistic association of hous e endotoxin exposure and ambient air pollution with asthma outcomes. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2019, 200, 712–720.
- 45. Abramson, M.J.; Guo, Y. Indoor Endotoxin Exposure and Ambient Air Pollutants Interact on Asthma Outcomes. J. Respi r. Crit. Care Med. Ja 2019, 200, 652–654.
- 46. Kanchongkittiphon, W.; Mendell, M.J.; Gaffin, J.M.; Wang, G.; Phipatanakul, W. Indoor environmental exposures and ex acerbation of asthma: An update to the 2000 review by the Institute of Medicine. Health Perspect. 2014, 123, 6–20.
- 47. State of the AIR. American Lung Association (ALA). National Headquarters, American Lung Association, State of the Air, and Fighting for Air are Registered Trademarks of the American Lung Association; State of the AIR: Chicago, IL, USA, 2020; p. 60601.
- 48. Morawska, L.; Thai, P.K.; Liu, X.; Asumadu-Sakyi, A.; Ayoko, G.; Bartonova, A.; Bedini, A.; Chai, F.; Christensen, B.; Du nbabin, N.; et al. Applications of low-cost sensing technologies for air quality monitoring and exposure assessment: Ho w far have they gone? Environ. Int. 2018, 116, 286–299.
- 49. Munir, S.; Mayfield, M.; Coca, D.; Jubb, S.A.; Osammor, O. Analysing the performance of low-cost air quality sensors, t heir drivers, relative benefits and calibration in cities—A case study in Sheffield. Environ. Monit. Assess 2019, 191, 94.
- 50. Ahangar, F.E.; Freedman, F.R.; Venkatram, A. Using low-cost air quality sensor networks to improve the spatial and te mporal resolution of concentration maps. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2019, 16, 1252.
- Yi, W.Y.; Lo, K.M.; Mak, T.; Leung, K.S.; Leung, Y.; Meng, M.L. A survey of wireless sensor network based air pollution monitoring systems. Sensors 2015, 15, 9859.
- 52. Thompson, J.E. Crowd-sourced air quality studies: A review of the literature & portable sensors. Trends. Environ. Anal. Chem. 2016, 11, 23–34.
- Schneider, P.; Castell, N.; Vogt, M.; Dauge, F.R.; Lahoz, W.A.; Bartonova, A. Mapping urban air quality in near real-time using observations from low-cost sensors and model information. Environ. Int. 2017, 106, 234–247.
- 54. Chatzidiakou, L.; Krause, A.; Popoola, O.; Di Antonio, A.; Kellaway, M.; Han, Y.; Squires, F.A.; Wang, T.; Zhang, H.; Wa ng, Q. Characterising low-cost sensors in highly portable platforms to quantify personal exposure in diverse environme nts. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 2019, 12, 4643–4657.
- Collier-Oxandale, A.; Feenstra, B.; Papapostolou, V.; Zhang, H.; Kuang, M.; Der Boghossian, B.; Polidori, A. Field and I aboratory performance evaluations of 28 gas-phase air quality sensors by the AQ-SPEC program. Atmos. Environ. 202 0, 220, 117092.
- 56. Mukherjee, A.; Stanton, L.G.; Graham, A.R.; Roberts, P.T. Assessing the utility of low-cost particulate matter sensors ov er a 12-week period in the Cuyama valley of California. Sensors (Switzerland) 2017, 17, 1805.
- 57. Piedrahita, R.; Xiang, Y.; Masson, N.; Ortega, J.; Collier, A.; Jiang, Y.; Li, K.; Dick, R.P.; Lv, Q.; Hannigan, M.; et al. The next generation of low-cost personal air quality sensors for quantitative exposure monitoring. Atmos. Meas. Tech. 2014, 7, 3325–3336.

- 58. SR-G04. BW Ozone Sensor 0-1ppm (SR-G04), Gas Sensor n.d. Available online: https://www.gas-sensing.com/bw-ozo ne-sensor-sr-g04.html (accessed on 25 July 2019).
- 59. UHoo-O3. uHoo Sensor Ozone specifications. UHoo Air n.d. Available online: https://uhooair.com/product-2/ (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- ME3-O3. Electrochemical Sensor, Zhengzhou Winsen Electronics Technology Co., Ltd., 2017. 2017. Available online: h ttps://www.winsen-sensor.com/d/files/PDF/Electrochemical Gas Sensor/4-series Electrochemical/ME3-O3 0-20ppm(Ver 1.2) Manual.pdf (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 61. DGS-O3. DGS-O3 986-042, Digital Gas Sensor- Ozone, SPEC Sensors n.d. Available online: https://www.spec-sensor s.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/DGS-O3-968-042_9-6-17.pdf (accessed on 25 July 2019).
- 62. ULPSMO3. Ultra-Low Power Analog Sensor Module for Ozone, ULPSM-O3968-005, Digital Gas Sensor- Ozone; SPE C Sensors n.d. SPRC-Sensor: Newark, CA, USA, 2017.
- 63. ZE25-O3. Electrochemical Ozone Detection Module ZE25-O3; Zhengzhou Winsen Electron Technol Co., Ltd.: Zhengzhou, China, 2018.
- MQ131. Semiconductor Sensor for Ozone. Zhengzhou Winsen Electron Technol Co, Ltd. 2017. Available online: http s://www.winsensensor.com/d/files/PDF/Semiconductor Gas Sensor/mq131(high-concentration)-ver1_4-manual.pdf (acc essed on 26 July 2019).
- 65. MiCS-2610. A1A-MiCS-2610 Version 2, e2v technologies (uk) limited July 2008, n.d. Available online: https://sgx.cdistor e.com/datasheets/sgx/mics-2610.pdf (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 66. UHoo. uHoo Air Quality Sensor Specifications:, n.d. Available online: https://reviewsofairpurifiers.com/uhoo-air-quality-s ensor-review/ (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 67. COB4. COB4, Carbon Mono CO-B4, Carbon Monoxide Sensor, Alphasense Ltd. n.d. Available online: http://www.alpha sense.com/WEB1213/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/CO-B4.pdf (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- COB4PRO. COB4, Smart Gases PRO Technical Guide, Libelium Comunicaciones Distribuidas, S.L. Document versio n: v7.6—02/2019 n.d. Available online: http://www.libelium.com/downloads/documentation/gases_sensor_board_pro.pd f (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 69. MNS9. Monnit Wireless Carbon Monoxide (CO) Gas Sensor—Commercial AA Battery. Powered n.d. Available online: h ttps://www.monnit.com/Product/MNS-9-W1-GS-C1 (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 968-034 D-C. DigitalGas Sensor–Carbon Monoxide n.d. Available online: https://www.digikey.com/en/products/detail/sp ec-sensors-llc/968-034/6676880 (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- MiCS-4514. The MiCS-4514, 0278 rev 15. A Compact MOS Sensor with Two Fully Independent Sensing Elements on e Package. n.d. Available online: https://www.sgxsensortech.com/content/uploads/2014/08/0278_Datasheet-MiCS-4514. pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 72. TGS5342. TGS 5342, Sensor for the Detection of Carbon Monoxide, Figaro, n.d. Available online: https://cdn.sparkfun. com/assets/8/4/3/e/f/52a8c005757b7f292e8b456c.pdf (accessed on 29 July 2019).
- 73. TGS2442. TGS 2442—For the detection of Carbon Monoxide, product information. FIGARO USA INC n.d. Available on line: https://cdn.sos.sk/productdata/af/2e/9901fb15/tgs-2442.pdf (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 74. HS-134. Carbon Monoxide sensor element, Sencera n.d. Available online: https://www.tme.eu/Document/b4e5250ef23 4a352fb2b89cc5de7675b/HS-134.pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 75. MiCS-5524. The MiCS-5524 is a Compact MOS Sensor, SGX Sensortech n.d. Available online: https://www.mouser.co m/datasheet/2/18/1084_Datasheet-MiCS-5524-rev-8-1144838.pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 76. TGS5042. Figaro, Product Datasheet rev04, n.d. Available online: https://www.figaro.co.jp/en/product/docs/tgs5042_pr oduct infomation%28en%29_rev04.pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 77. MQ –7. CO Sensor, HANWEI ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. n.d. Available online: https://www.sparkfun.com/da.asheets/S ensors/Biometric/MQ-7.pdf (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 78. GC0024. ExplorIR®-M 20% CO2 Sensor, Product Information, n.d. Available online: https://www.co2meter.com/product s/minir-co2-sensor (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- 79. CO2. AWAIR CO2, Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Sensor, Product Information n.d. Available online: https://images-na.ssl-imag es-amazon.com/images/I/B1-qbtPfPaS.pdf (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- B-530. ELT Sensor Data Sheet n.d. Available online: http://eltsensor.co.kr/2012/eng/product/carbon-dioxide-sensor-mo dule.html (accessed on 30 July 2019).

- 81. Moreno-Rangel, A.; Sharpe, T.; Musau, F.; McGill, G. Field evaluation of a low-cost indoor air quality monitor to quantify exposure to pollutants in residential environments. J. Sensors Sens. Syst. 2018, 7, 373–388.
- 8096-AP. 8096-AP, CO2, Specification, Air Mentor pro n.d. Available online: https://air-mentor.eu/product/air_mentor_80 96ap.html#specification (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- 83. Yocto-VOC-V3. USB Environmental Sensors. specifications n.d. Available online: http://www.yoctopuce.com/EN/produc ts/usb-environmental-sensors/yocto-voc-v3 (accessed on 31 July 2019).
- 84. NWS01-EU.; Netatmo, Netatmo Weather Station NWS01. Version 1, May 2012 n.d. Available online: https://images-eu. ssl-images-amazon.com/images/l/A1RvwZ80UpS.pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- CozIR®-LP2. CozIR®-LP2, Sensor Datasheet n.d. Available online: https://www.gassensing.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/ 2019/06/CozIR-LP2-Datasheet.pdf (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- K-30. K-30, CO2Meter n.d. Available online: https://img.ozdisan.com/ETicaret_Dosya/456729_1584920.PDF (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- D-400. D-400. ELT Corp. Sensor Data Sheet, n.d. Available online: http://eltsensor.co.kr/2016/file/oem_modules/DS_D-400_ver%201.0_eng_new.pdf (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- GC-0015. ExplorIR®-W 5% CO2. Sensor. Available online: https://gaslab.com/products/co2-sensor-gss-explorir-w-5-pe rcent (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- T-110 ELT SENSOR. Datasheet. Available online: https://gasdetect.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/DS_T-110_Rev 1.2.pdf (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- MT-100. MT-100. ELT Sensor Data Sheet, n.d. Available online: https://www.sensorinstock.it/en/8_elt-sensor?p=2 (acc essed on 30 July 2019).
- 91. S-300. S-300. ELT Sensor Data Sheet n.d. Available online: https://www.tme.eu/Document/f2b0a5bcaca9d92f234559e a927d4ca1/DS_S-300-3V_ver1.110.pdf (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- 92. T6713. T6713, Telaire, Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Sensor, Product Information, n.d. Available online: https://www.digikey.co m/product-detail/en/amphenol-advanced-sensors/T6713/235-1373-ND/5027891&?gclid=CjwKCAiAsoviBRAoEiwATm8 OYOt0ysnaMiBDpWveW3Lm_czWRws-aJiWMB8rIRyiE35h7Yw2SXU0kBoCS1AQAvD_BwE (accessed on 30 July 20 19).
- T6615. T6615, Sensor Product Datasheet n.d. Available online: https://www.amphenol-sensors.com/en/telaire/co2/525co2-sensor-modules/319-t6615 (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- MG-811. CO2 Sensor Module, Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Sensor, Product Information n.d. Available online: https://sandbo xelectronics.com/?cat=44 (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- 95. 4161, T. TGS 4161, Sensor for the Detection of Carbon Dioxide, Figaro, Product Information n.d. Available online: http s://cdn.sos.sk/productdata/62/d9/f2bb36a6/tgs-4161.pdf (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- 96. MH-Z16. Intelligent Infrared Gas Module, Winsen, Zhengzhou Winsen Electronic Technology CO., LTD. Product Inform ation, n.d. Available online: https://www.compel.ru/pdf-items/winsen/pn/mh-z16-ndir-co2-sensor/d12adaf2dfb2f751e339 28845c54ef43 (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- MH-Z19. MH-Z19, Intelligent Infrared Gas Module, Winsen, Zhengzhou Winsen Electronic Technology CO., LTD. Product ct Information n.d. Available online: https://www.circuits.dk/testing-mh-z19-ndir-co2-sensor-module/ (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- SO2-B4. Sulfur Dioxide Sensor, Alphasense, Technical Specification. 4-Electrode n.d. Available online: https://pdf.direct industry.com/pdf/alphasense/so2-b4/16860-592343.html (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- ME4-SO2. Sulfur Dioxide Gas Sensor, Winsen, Datasheet, n.d. Available online: https://www.winsen-sensor.com/d/file s/PDF/Electrochemical Gas Sensor/7-series Electrochemical/ME4-SO2 0-20ppm(Ver1.2)—Manual.pdf (accessed on 2 8 July 2019).
- 100. 986-038. DGS-SO2 986-042, Digital Gas Sensor- Ozone, SPEC Sensors, Aug 2017, n.d. Available online: https://www. spec-sensors.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/DGS-SO2-968-038.pdf (accessed on 25 July 2019).
- 101. EC-4SO2-2000. Sulfur Dioxide Electrochemical Sensor EC-4SO2-2000, Product Details n.d. Available online: https://w ww.scienoc-chem.com/gas-sensors-and-detectors/electrochemical-gas-sensor/sulfur-dioxide-electrochemical-sensor-e c-4so2.html (accessed on 25 July 2019).
- 102. MQ-136. GAS SENSOR, Technical Data, n.d. Available online: http://www.sensorica.ru/pdf/MQ-136.pdf (accessed on 2 5 July 2019).
- 103. FECS43-20. FECS43-20, FIGARO, FECS43-20—For the Detection of Sulfur Dioxide, Tentative Product Information n. d. Available online: https://www.figaro.co.jp/en/product/docs/fecs43-20_product%20infomation(en)_rev01.pdf (accesse

d on 25 July 2019).

- 104. 986-043. DGS-NO2 986-042, Digital Gas Sensor- Ozone, SPEC sensors, Aug 2017 n.d. Available online: https://media. digikey.com/pdf/Data Sheets/SPEC Sensors PDFs/968-043_9-6-17.pdf (accessed on 25 July 2019).
- 105. Mics6814. SGXtech, Datasheet n.d. Available online: https://sgx.cdistore.com/datasheets/sgx/1143_datasheet mics-68 14 rev 8.pdf (accessed on 31 July 2019).
- 106. MiCS-2714. The MiCS-2714, NO2, a Compact MOS Sensor with Two Fully Independent Sensing Elements on e Packa ge. Data Sheet, n.d. Available online: https://www.sgxsensortech.com/content/uploads/2014/08/1107_Datasheet-MiCS-2714.pdf (accessed on 29 July 2019).
- 107. NO2-B4. NO2-B4, Sulfur Dioxide Sensor, Alphasense, Technical Specification. 4-Electrode. n.d. Available online: http s://pdf.directindustry.com/pdf/alphasense/no2-b4/16860-592349.html (accessed on 29 July 2019).
- 108. DC1100Pro. Dylos, Technical Specification n.d. Available online: https://www.sylvane.com/laser-particle-counter-pro.ht ml (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- 109. OPC-N2. PM Sensor, Alphasense User Manual, OPC-N2 Optical Particle Counter, Alphasense, Technical Specification n.d. Available online: http://stg-uneplive.unep.org/media/aqm_document_v1/Blue Print/Components/Microcomputer and sensors/B. Dust Sensor Specifications/B.1 Alphasense OPC N1/072-0300 OPC-N2 manual issue 3.pdf (accessed on 3 0 July 2019).
- 110. FBT0002100. FBT0002100-PM2.5 SENSOR, Foobot (Airboxlab) Specifications, n.d. Available online: https://foobot.io/f oobotspecs.pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 111. AW6404. AW6404, Pm Sensor, Awair 2nd, Edition, Technical Specification n.d. Available online: https://www.sylvane.co m/media/documents/products/owner_manual_awair_2nd_Edition_.pdf (accessed on 29 July 2019).
- 112. 8096-AP. PM Sensor, Specification, Air Mentor pro. n.d. Available online: https://air-mentor.eu/media/catalog/product_d ownload/201706131332nie4aEwweGhu.pdf (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- 113. PPD42NS S, SPS30. Particulate Matter Sensor, Technical Specification. n.d. Available online: https://media.digikey.co m/pdf/DataSheets/SensirionPDFs/Sensirion_SPS30_Particulate_Matter_Sensor_v0.9_D1.pdf (accessed on 31 July 20 19).
- 114. PMS7003. PMS7003, Particulate Matter Sensor, Digital Universal Particle Concentration Sensor. Plantower, 2016 n.d. Available online: https://download.kamami.com/p564008-p564008-PMS7003 series data manua_English_V2.5.pdf (acc essed on 31 July 2019).
- 115. PMS5003. Particulate Matter Sensor, Digital Universal Particle Concentration Sensor. Plantower 2016. Available online: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/aq-spec/resources-page/plantower-pms5003-manual_v2-3.pdf (accessed on 31 July 2019).
- 116. HPMA115S0-XXX. HPMA115S0-XXX, HPM Series, Particulate Matter Sensor, Honeywell n.d. Available online: https:// sensing.honeywell.com/honeywell-sensing-particulate-hpm-series-datasheet-32322550 (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- DN7C3CA006. Datasheet. Digi-Key Part Number, 425-2896-ND.. Particulate Matter Sensor, Technical Specification. n. d. Available online: https://www.digchip.com/datasheets/parts/datasheet/424/DN7C3CA006-pdf.php (accessed on 31 J uly 2019).
- 118. PM2.5 L. Laser PM2.5 Sensor. Nova Fitness Co., Ltd. n.d. Available online: https://cdn-reichelt.de/documents/datenblat t/X200/SDS011-DATASHEET.pdf (accessed on 31 July 2019).
- 119. ppd42ns.shinyei ppd42ns datasheet. Available online: https://files.seeedstudio.com/wiki/Grove_Dust_Sensor/resource/ Grove_-_Dust_sensor.pdf. (accessed on 30 July 2019).
- 120. TIDA-00378. PM2.5/PM10 Particle Sensor Analog Front-End for Air Quality Monitoring Design. TI Designs, May 2016, n.d. Available online: http://www.ti.com/lit/ug/tidub65c/tidub65c.pdf (accessed on 31 July 2019).
- 121. ZMOD4410. ZMOD4410, Gas Sensor Module for TVOC and Indoor Air Quality. specifications, n.d. Available online: htt ps://www.idt.com/document/dst/zmod4410-datasheet (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 122. Yocto-VOC-V3. USB Environmental Sensors. User's guide.Datasheet n.d. Available online: http://www.yoctopuce.com/ EN/productcategories.php (accessed on 31 July 2019).
- 123. uThing::VOC[™]- Air-Quality USB sensor dongle. Available online: https://ohmtech.io/products/uthingvoc/. (accessed on 31 July 2019).
- 124. MiCS-5524. 1084 rev 8, The MiCS-5524 is a Compact MOS Sensor. SGXsensorTech. n.d. Available online: https://sgx. cdistore.com/datasheets/sgx/1084_datasheet mics-5524 rev 8.pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 125. IAQ-100C. iAQ-100C, ASPEC, IAQ_100CPackage110-802, Specifications, n.d. Available online: https://www.spec-sens ors.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/IAQ_100-C-Package-110-802.pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).

- 126. SP3s-AQ2-01. NISSAHA, FIS Gas Sensor, Specifications, n.d. Available online: http://www.fisinc.co.jp/en/common/pdf/ SP3SAQ201E P.pdf (accessed on 28 July 2019).
- 127. 2602, T. Tvocs, the detection of Air Contaminants, Figaro, Specifications n.d. Available online: http://www.figarosensor.c om/product/docs/TGS2602-B00 %280615%29.pdf (accessed on 31 July 2019).
- 128. MICS-VZ-87. The MiCS-VZ-87 is an integrated sensor board for Indoor Air Quality monitoring. MiCS-VZ-87 Preliminary rev 8, SGXsensorTech. n.d. Available online: https://sgx.cdistore.com/datasheets/sgx/mics-vz-87_rev 8.pdf (accessed o n 28 July 2019).
- 129. NAAQS. Primary National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for Sulfur Dioxide n.d. Available online: https://www.e pa.gov/so2-pollution/primary-national-ambient-air-quality-standard-naaqs-sulfur-dioxide (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 130. EPA. NAAQS Table. United States Environ Prot Agency 2016. Available online: https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutan ts/naaqs-table (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 131. Green, L.C.; Crouch, E.A.C.; Ames, M.R.; Lash, T.L. What's wrong with the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAA QS) for fine particulate matter (PM2.5)? Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 2002, 35, 327–337.
- 132. EPA. Air Quality—Cities and Counties. United States Environ Prot Agency 2019. Available online: https://www.epa.gov/ air-trends/air-quality-cities-and-counties (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 133. Fritz, P.M.; Horner, E. NAAQS PM2.5 or ISO 14644 As an IAQ Metric? ASHRAE J. N. Y. Occup. Saf. Health Adm. 2017, 59, 84–86.
- 134. OSHA. Indoor Air Quality in Commercial and Institutional Buildings. Maroon Ebooks; Occupational Safety and Health A dministration: Washington, DC, USA, 2015.
- 135. WHO. Ambient (outdoor) Air Pollution. World Heal Organ 2020. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sh eets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health (accessed on 26 July 2019).
- 136. WHO. WHO Air Quality Guidelines for Particulate Matter, Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide and Sulfur Dioxide: Global Update 2 005: Summary of Risk Assessment; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2006.
- 137. ACGIH. TLVs® and BEIs®: Threshold Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents and Biological Expos ure Indices. In American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists; ACGIH: Cincinnati, OH, USA, 2019.
- 138. ANSI Ashrae. Standard AS. 62.1. 2007, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality; ANSI Ashrae: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2 007.
- 139. AQMD. National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) Attain ment Status for South Coast Air Basin. Calif Air Resour Board 2016. Available online: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default -source/clean-air-plans/air-quality-management-plans/2016-air-quality-management-plan/final-2016-aqmp/chapter2.pdf (accessed on 28 November 2019).

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/15383