# **Sun Protection Intervention**

Subjects: Oncology Contributor: Nadja Seidel

Skin cancer is one of the most common types of cancer and the incidence of melanoma is continuously rising. One of the main risk factors for the development of skin cancer is ultraviolet (UV) radiation. Epidemiological data provide evidence of an increased risk of all types of skin cancer being associated with solar UV exposure. The contribution of UV exposure during childhood is critical. Due to the special structure of children's skin, in which skin stem cells are closer to the skin surface up to the age of 12, UV radiation can infiltrate and damage skin stem cells in children more quickly. Single events of intensive UV radiation, such as sunburn in childhood, can influence the risk of developing melanoma in adulthood. One focus of primary prevention of skin cancer development, therefore, lies in the careful management of UV exposure in children and adolescents.

Keywords: cancer prevention; skin cancer; UV-radiation; UV-protection; sun protection; preschools; children; outcome evaluation; dissemination; primary prevention

#### 1. Overview

Skin cancer is one of the most common types of cancer and UV radiation is one of the main risk factors. Therefore, sun protection, especially in childhood, is strongly recommended. We examined the effectiveness of the 'Clever in Sun and Shade for Preschools' program (CLEVER) in promoting sun protection behavior among preschool staff (trial registration: DRKS00023468) and describe its dissemination. Within a cluster randomized trial with 24 preschools (n = 273 staff members) stating a high need for sun protection measures, an educational workshop for preschool staff and a project kit with materials applicable in preschool groups was provided. Staff members of preschools taking part in CLEVER report significantly stronger sun protection behavior to avoid the sun (effect size [ES] 0.70, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.04 0.71, p < 0.05) and less perceived impediments to avoid the sun (ES -0.56, CI -0.82 -0.17, p < 0.01) after 12 months as well as higher self-efficacy to avoid the sun (ES 1.09, CI 0.39 1.07, p < 0.001) and to use sunscreen (ES 0.71, CI 0.03 0.88, p < 0.05) after 1 month. Compared to the control group, there was no significant effect on sunscreen use and further psychosocial outcomes. The effectiveness of CLEVER may be underrated due to a high drop-out rate. Within three years, an enhanced free-of-charge program kit, including a media-based workshop and materials, had reached over 4000 preschools, i.e., 7.1% of all daycare centers in Germany. The results show that CLEVER can strengthen sun protection, offer high-quality information at low cost, and is easily disseminable.

# 2. Skin Cancer

Skin cancer is one of the most common types of cancer and the incidence of melanoma is continuously rising  $^{[\underline{1}]}$ . One of the main risk factors for the development of skin cancer is ultraviolet (UV) radiation  $^{[\underline{2}][\underline{3}]}$ . Epidemiological data provide evidence of an increased risk of all types of skin cancer being associated with solar UV exposure  $^{[\underline{3}][\underline{4}]}$ . The contribution of UV exposure during childhood is critical  $^{[\underline{5}]}$ . Due to the special structure of children's skin, in which skin stem cells are closer to the skin surface up to the age of 12, UV radiation can infiltrate and damage skin stem cells in children more quickly  $^{[\underline{6}][\underline{7}]}$ . Single events of intensive UV radiation, such as sunburn in childhood, can influence the risk of developing melanoma in adulthood  $^{[\underline{8}][\underline{9}]}$ . One focus of primary prevention of skin cancer development, therefore, lies in the careful management of UV exposure in children and adolescents  $^{[\underline{7}][\underline{10}]}$ .

In accordance with recommendations of the World Health Organization [2][3], the German guideline on skin cancer prevention recommends a reduction of UV exposure by limiting time outdoors around midday, seeking shade, wearing protective clothes, using sunscreen, and avoiding sunburns as primary prevention measures for children [11]. It lists a number of knowledge-related, behavioral, and environmental measures that need to be considered when promoting sun protection (Table 1).

Several studies have shown that primary prevention significantly reduces the incidence of 'white skin cancer' as well as malignant melanoma [12]. However, only few interventions have been designed for the setting of preschools [13]. Most of

these studies had limited effects on sun protection behavior [13][14][15], or limitations of the study design [16][17][18]. Examples for successful programs are 'Sun protection is fun!' with multiple interventions for preschool staff and parents as well as 'SunSmart' with a broader population-based focus [19][20][21]. Overall, the use of age-appropriate interventions using songs and games, for example, improves the knowledge of preschoolers [16][22]. Without the help of adults, however, children of this age are not able to transfer this knowledge into behavior. Including parents and preschool teachers in interventions is especially important for children at an early age, since they control the children's environment to a large extent, act as behavioral models, and ultimately are supporters for behavior change processes [13][23][24][25]. Current studies show that less than half of the parents of preschool children use sun protection measures correctly and preschool teachers often seem to lack access to adequate information material on sun protection [26][27]. However, measures that are aimed solely at parents and teachers have only limited effects on actual sun protection practices for children [13][28]. Several studies in Germany indicate a reasonable level of knowledge regarding risk factors of skin cancer and sun protection [29][30][31]. Up to 90% of parents are already aware of the increased risk of skin cancer when exposed to the sun  $\frac{[24][30]}{}$ . This increased knowledge might be a positive effect of sun protection campaigns and awareness programs, but is not automatically transferred into sun protection behavior [32]. Although especially sun exposure avoidance and wearing textiles is recommended, surveys of parents and preschool staff show that primarily sunscreen and hats are used [33][34] [35][36], and even parents with a good knowledge of skin cancer risk factors do not adequately protect their children if they have an uncritical attitude towards tanning [37]. Next to focusing on the individuals, the importance of changing relevant settings such as preschools for children has been highlighted [11][38][39]. Sun protection policies often focus on behavioral and environmental measures. Environmental measures are for example technical and organizational interventions, such as the establishment of outdoor areas providing shade in preschools and schools and the adaptation of organizational processes that keep children protected from the midday sun [11][20][40][41]. The UV Index as a risk communication tool, available as digital displays on electronical billboards or accessed via apps and websites, can be useful for improving sunprotective behavior by advising appropriate measures [42][43]. However, interventions aiming solely on the adoption of sun protection policies have limited effects on actual sun protection practices for children [13][28][44], and there is still more research needed on UV Index-related interventions [45]. Overall, interventions designed to last several years and including a large number of settings as well as components such as age-specific curricula and information and training material, have proved to be effective  $\frac{[21][46][47]}{}$ .

When planning a prevention program aimed at children, using a setting-based approach is internationally recommended as well as anchored in German law [39][48][49]. The setting-based approach includes the individual structures of different settings and uses a research-based theoretical framework that involves families, peers, schools, and community partners. Regarding sun protection, a general theoretical foundation, which comprises all determinants for the implementation of appropriate child-centered measures, is not yet available [37][50]. Further recommendations for program-planning comprise long-term and age-specific measures as well as measures that establish policies, institutional, and structural support [39].

In Germany, a 'Periods-of-Life-Program' for primary prevention of skin cancer was initiated by the Association of Dermatological Prevention (ADP) e. V. in cooperation with the World Health Organization [10]. It focuses on accompanying young people aged between 0 and 18 years as well as the people responsible for their education. Within a cooperation of German Cancer Aid, ADP e. V., the National Center for Tumor Diseases Dresden and the University of Cologne, the campaign 'Clever in Sun and Shade' pursues these efforts and combines setting programs for medical practices, preschools, schools, and leisure facilities with media campaigns, involving social and cultural norms as well as legislative and environmental context.

To offer a comprehensive program for preschools that can reduce the risk for skin cancer, the authors developed the 'Clever in Sun and Shade for Preschools'-program (CLEVER). The program combines theory-based individual as well as environmental interventions and addresses staff members, children and parents. It has been developed to provide materials that effectively promote sun protection and may be easily implemented and disseminated to face the challenges of limited personal and financial resources within both healthcare and educational systems. According to Rabin et al., "dissemination is defined as an active approach of spreading evidence-based interventions to the target audience via determined channels using planned strategies. Implementation is the process of putting to use or integrating evidence-based interventions within a setting" [51] (p. 444). Only few of the numerous cancer prevention interventions that have proven to be effective have been used extensively in practice [51][52]. How to bridge the gap between research and practice and to effectively disseminate and implement prevention programs needs to be explored in more detail [51][53].

### 3. Conclusions

Only a limited number of sun protection programs are evidence-based and have been utilized in real-world preschool settings. Evaluation results of our CRT show that CLEVER is a very promising program to sustainably promote sun

protection in preschools. It is superior to the distribution of an information brochure concerning crucial outcomes, with medium to large effects on the actual behavior of staff members and important predeterminants of behavior change. The high drop-out rate limits the power of the study and may reduce generalizability. A further program development, the mail-only intervention with its media-based workshop, increases flexibility of the implementation and is already utilized. Over three years, the enhanced free-of-charge program kit has reached 7.1% of all daycare centers in Germany. The results show that CLEVER offers high-quality information at low cost and is easily disseminable. CLEVER engages in finding solutions for implementation barriers such as lack of time and change of staff. Additional low-threshold material to attract participants and to reinforce key behavioral messages is provided. The effectiveness of the current mail-only intervention, its implementation, adoption, and maintenance will be further evaluated.

#### References

- 1. Apalla, Z.; Nashan, D.; Weller, R.B.; Castellsagué, X. Skin Cancer: Epidemiology, Disease Burden, Pathophysiology, Diagnosis, and Therapeutic Approaches. Dermatol. Ther. 2017, 7, 5–19.
- 2. Greinert, R.; de Vries, E.; Erdmann, F.; Espina, C.; Auvinen, A.; Kesminiene, A.; Schüz, J. European Code against Cancer 4th Edition: Ultraviolet radiation and cancer. Cancer Epidemiol. 2015, 39 (Suppl. 1), S75–S83.
- 3. El Ghissassi, F.; Baan, R.; Straif, K.; Grosse, Y.; Secretan, B.; Bouvard, V.; Benbrahim-Tallaa, L.; Guha, N.; Freeman, C.; Galichet, L.; et al. A review of human carcinogens—part D: Radiation. Lancet Oncol. 2009, 10, 751–752.
- 4. Schmitt, J.; Haufe, E.; Trautmann, F.; Schulze, H.-J.; Elsner, P.; Drexler, H.; Bauer, A.; Letzel, S.; John, S.M.; Fartasch, M.; et al. Occupational UV-Exposure is a Major Risk Factor for Basal Cell Carcinoma: Results of the Population-Based Case-Control Study FB-181. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 2018, 60, 36–43.
- 5. Autier, P.; Doré, J.-F.; for Epimel and Eortc Melanoma Cooperative Group. Influence of sun exposures during childhood and during adulthood on melanoma risk. Int. J. Cancer 1998, 77, 533–537.
- 6. Volkmer, B.; Greinert, R. UV and children's skin. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 2011, 107, 386-388.
- 7. Green, A.C.; Wallingford, S.C.; McBride, P. Childhood exposure to ultraviolet radiation and harmful skin effects: Epidemiological evidence. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 2011, 107, 349–355.
- 8. Oliveria, S.A.; Saraiya, M.; Geller, A.C.; Heneghan, M.K.; Jorgensen, C. Sun exposure and risk of melanoma. Arch. Dis. Child. 2006, 91, 131–138.
- 9. Gandini, S.; Sera, F.; Cattaruzza, M.S.; Pasquini, P.; Picconi, O.; Boyle, P.; Melchi, C.F. Meta-analysis of risk factors for cutaneous melanoma: II. Sun exposure. Eur. J. Cancer 2005, 41, 45–60.
- 10. Greinert, R.; Volkmer, B.; Wende, A.; Voss, S.; Breitbart, E.W. Prävention von Hautkrebs: Notwendigkeit, Durchführung und Erfolg. Hautarzt 2003, 54, 1152–1163.
- 11. Leitlinienprogramm Onkologie (Deutsche Krebsgesellschaft, Deutsche Krebshilfe, AWMF). S3-Leitlinie Prävention von Hautkrebs AWMF Registernummer: 032/052OL. Available online: https://www.leitlinienprogramm-onkologie.de/leitlinien/hautkrebs-praevention/ (accessed on 11 June 2021).
- 12. Green, A.C.; Williams, G.M.; Logan, V.; Strutton, G.M. Reduced melanoma after regular sunscreen use: Randomized trial follow-up. J. Clin. Oncol. 2011, 29, 257–263.
- 13. Buller, D.B.; Borland, R. Skin cancer prevention for children: A critical review. Health Educ. Behav. 1999, 26, 317–343.
- 14. Crane, L.A.; Schneider, L.S.; Yohn, J.J.; Morelli, J.G.; Plomer, K.D. Block the Sun, Not the Fun: Evaluation of a Skin Cancer Prevention Program for Child Care Centers. Am. J. Prev. Med. 1999, 17, 31–37.
- 15. Buller, D.B.; Taylor, A.M.; Buller, M.K.; Powers, P.J.; Maloy, J.A.; Beach, B.H. Evaluation of the Sunny Days, Healthy Ways sun safety curriculum for children in kindergarten through fifth grade. Pediatr. Dermatol. 2006, 23, 321–329.
- 16. Loescher, L.J.; Buller, M.K.; Buller, D.B.; Emerson, J.; Taylor, A.M. Public education projects in skin cancer. The evolution of skin cancer prevention education for children at a comprehensive cancer center. Cancer 1995, 75, 651–656.
- 17. Baranowski, T.; Bar-Or, O.; Blair, S.; Corbin, C.; Dowda, M.; Freedson, P.; Pate, R.; Plowman, S.; Sallis, J.; Saunders, R.; et al. Guidelines for School and Community Programs to Promote Lifelong Physical Activity Among Young People. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 1997, 50, 1–36.
- 18. Stöver, L.A.; Hinrichs, B.; Petzold, U.; Kuhlmei, H.; Baumgart, J.; Parpart, C.; Rademacher, O.; Stockfleth, E. Getting in early: Primary skin cancer prevention at 55 German kindergartens. Br. J. Dermatol. 2012, 167 (Suppl. 2), 63–69.

- 19. Gritz, E.R.; Tripp, M.K.; James, A.S.; Carvajal, S.C.; Harrist, R.B.; Mueller, N.H.; Chamberlain, R.M.; Parcel, G.S. An intervention for parents to promote preschool children's sun protection: Effects of Sun Protection is Fun! Prev. Med. 2005, 41, 357–366.
- 20. Gritz, E.R.; Tripp, M.K.; James, A.S.; Harrist, R.B.; Mueller, N.H.; Chamberlain, R.M.; Parcel, G.S. Effects of a preschool staff intervention on children's sun protection: Outcomes of sun protection is fun! Health Educ. Behav. 2007, 34, 562–577.
- 21. Swetter, S.M.; Geller, A.C.; Leachman, S.A.; Kirkwood, J.M.; Katalinic, A.; Gershenwald, J.E. Melanoma Prevention and Screening. In Cutaneous Melanoma; Balch, C.M., Atkins, M.B., Garbe, C., Gershenwald, J.E., Halpern, A.C., Kirkwood, J.M., McArthur, G.A., Thompson, J.F., Sober, A.J., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Basel, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 525–570. ISBN 978-3-030-05068-9.
- 22. Seidel, N.; Stoelzel, F.; Garzarolli, M.; Herrmann, S.; Breitbart, E.W.; Berth, H.; Baumann, M.; Ehninger, G. Sun protection training based on a theater play for preschoolers: An effective method for imparting knowledge on sun protection? J. Cancer Educ. 2013, 28, 435–438.
- 23. Turrisi, R.; Hillhouse, J.; Heavin, S.; Robinson, J.; Adams, M.; Berry, J. Examination of the short-term efficacy of a parent-based intervention to prevent skin cancer. J. Behav. Med. 2004, 27, 393–412.
- 24. Abeck, D.; Feucht, J.; Schäfer, T.; Behrendt, H.; Krämer, U.; Ring, J. Parental sun protection management in preschool children. Photodermatol. Photoimmunol. Photomed. 2000, 16, 139–143.
- 25. Seiffge-Krenke, I. Gesundheit als aktiver Gestaltungsprozess im menschlichen Lebenslauf. Entwicklungspsychologie 2008, 6, 822–836.
- 26. Klostermann, S.; Bolte, G. Determinants of inadequate parental sun protection behaviour in their children--results of a cross-sectional study in Germany. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health 2014, 217, 363–369.
- 27. Duignan, M.; Signal, L.; Thomson, G. Good intentions, but inadequate practices-sun protection in early childhood centres, a qualitative study from New Zealand. N. Z. Med. J. 2014, 127, 40–50.
- 28. Giles-Corti, B.; English, D.R.; Costa, C.; Milne, E.; Cross, D.; Johnston, R. Creating SunSmart schools. Health Educ. Res. 2004, 19, 98–109.
- 29. Börner, F.; Greinert, R.; Schütz, H.; Wiedemann, P. UV-Risikowahrnehmung in der Bevölkerung: Ergebnisse einer repräsentativen Umfrage in Deutschland. Gesundheitswesen 2010, 72, e89–e97.
- 30. Eichhorn, C.; Seibold, C.; Loss, J.; Steinmann, A.; Nagel, E. Kenntnisstand zum Thema UV-Strahlung und Sonnenschutz: Befragung von bayerischen Jugendlichen und jungen Erwachsenen. Hautarzt 2008, 59, 821–827.
- 31. Gefeller, O.; Uter, W.; Pfahlberg, A.B. Good, but Not Perfect: Parental Knowledge about Risk Factors for Skin Cancer and the Necessity of Sun Protection in Southern Germany. Pediatr. Dermatol. 2015, 32, e159–e160.
- 32. Keeney, S.; McKenna, H.; Fleming, P.; McIlfatrick, S. Attitudes, knowledge and behaviours with regard to skin cancer: A literature review. Eur. J. Oncol. Nurs. 2009, 13, 29–35.
- 33. Herrmann, S. Bedarfsanalyse zur Hautkrebsprävention in sächsischen Kindertageseinrichtungen. Ph.D. Thesis, Technical University Dresden, Dresden, Germany, 2017.
- 34. Dobbinson, S.; Wakefield, M.; Hill, D.; Girgis, A.; Aitken, J.F.; Beckmann, K.; Reeder, A.I.; Herd, N.; Spittal, M.J.; Fairthorne, A.; et al. Children's sun exposure and sun protection: Prevalence in Australia and related parental factors. J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. 2012, 66, 938–947.
- 35. Meise, R.; Uter, W.; Gefeller, O.; Pfahlberg, A. Hautkrebsprävention an bayerischen Kindergärten-Ausgewählte Ergebnisse der ErlKing Sun-Studie zum Thema Sonnenschutz. Das Gesundh. 2015, 77, A1.
- 36. Vorbeck, L. Kindlicher Sonnenschutz in Oberfranken: Eine Empirische Erhebung in 79 Kindergärten. Ph.D. Thesis, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany, 2020.
- 37. Gefeller, O.; Li, J.; Uter, W.; Pfahlberg, A.B. The impact of parental knowledge and tanning attitudes on sun protection practice for young children in Germany. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2014, 11, 4768–4781.
- 38. Kok, G.; Gottlieb, N.H.; Peters, G.-J.Y.; Mullen, P.D.; Parcel, G.S.; Ruiter, R.A.C.; Fernández, M.E.; Markham, C.; Bartholomew, L.K. A taxonomy of behaviour change methods: An Intervention Mapping approach. Health Psychol. Rev. 2016, 10, 297–312.
- 39. Weissberg, R.P.; Kumpfer, K.L.; Seligman, M.E.P. Prevention that works for children and youth. An introduction. Am. Psychol. 2003, 58, 425–432.
- 40. Quéreux, G.; Nguyen, J.-M.; Volteau, C.; Dréno, B. Prospective trial on a school-based skin cancer prevention project. Eur. J. Cancer Prev. 2009, 18, 133–144.

- 41. Buller, D.B.; Borland, R.; Woodall, W.G.; Hall, J.R.; Hines, J.M.; Burris-Woodall, P.; Cutter, G.R.; Miller, C.; Balmford, J.; Starling, R.; et al. Randomized trials on consider this, a tailored, internet-delivered smoking prevention program for adolescents. Health Educ. Behav. 2008, 35, 260–281.
- 42. Gies, P.; van Deventer, E.; Green, A.C.; Sinclair, C.; Tinker, R. Review of the Global Solar UV Index 2015 Workshop Report. Health Phys. 2018, 114, 84–90.
- 43. Purim, K.S.M.; Titski, A.C.K.; Leite, N. Photoprotection and the Environment. In Dermatology in Public Health Environments; Bonamigo, R.R., Dornelles, S.I.T., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Basel, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 1077–1087. ISBN 978-3-319-33917-7.
- 44. Schofield, M.J.; Edwards, K.; Pearce, R. Effectiveness of two strategies for dissemination of sun-protection policy in New South Wales primary and secondary schools. Aust. N. Z. J. Public Health 1997, 21, 743–750.
- 45. Heckman, C.J.; Liang, K.; Riley, M. Awareness, understanding, use, and impact of the UV index: A systematic review of over two decades of international research. Prev. Med. 2019, 123, 71–83.
- 46. Dietrich, A.J.; Olson, A.L.; Sox, C.H.; Tosteson, T.D.; Grant-Petersson, J. Persistent increase in children's sun protection in a randomized controlled community trial. Prev. Med. 2000, 31, 569–574.
- 47. Hart, K.M.; Demarco, R.F. Primary prevention of skin cancer in children and adolescents: A review of the literature. J. Pediatr. Oncol. Nurs. 2008, 25, 67–78.
- 48. Catford, J. Ottawa 1986: The fulcrum of global health development. Promot. Educ. 2007, 14 (Suppl. 2), 6-7.
- 49. Geene, R.; Reese, M. Handbuch Präventionsgesetz: Neuregelungen der Gesundheitsförderung; Mabuse-Verlag: Frankfurt am Main, Germany, 2017.
- 50. Tripp, M.K.; Vernon, S.W.; Gritz, E.R.; Diamond, P.M.; Mullen, P.D. Children's skin cancer prevention: A systematic review of parents' psychosocial measures. Am. J. Prev. Med. 2013, 44, 265–273.
- 51. Rabin, B.A.; Glasgow, R.E.; Kerner, J.F.; Klump, M.P.; Brownson, R.C. Dissemination and implementation research on community-based cancer prevention: A systematic review. Am. J. Prev. Med. 2010, 38, 443–456.
- 52. Wandersman, A.; Duffy, J.; Flaspohler, P.; Noonan, R.; Lubell, K.; Stillman, L.; Blachman, M.; Dunville, R.; Saul, J. Bridging the gap between prevention research and practice: The interactive systems framework for dissemination and implementation. Am. J. Community Psychol. 2008, 41, 171–181.
- 53. Flaspohler, P.; Lesesne, C.A.; Puddy, R.W.; Smith, E.; Wandersman, A. Advances in bridging research and practice: Introduction to the second special issue on the interactive system framework for dissemination and implementation. Am. J. Community Psychol. 2012, 50, 271–281.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/29913