

Optical Sensor Networks

Subjects: Computer Science, Information Systems

Contributor: Jingjie Xin

Optical sensing that integrates communication and sensing functions is playing a more and more important role in both military and civil applications. Incorporating optical sensing and optical communication, optical sensor networks (OSNs) that undertake the task of high-speed and large-capacity applications and sensing data transmissions have become an important communication infrastructure. However, multiple failures and disasters in OSNs can cause a serious sensing provisioning problem. To ensure uninterrupted sensing data transmission, the survivability has always been an important research emphasis. This paper focuses on the survivable deployment of OSNs against multiple failures and disasters. It first reviews and evaluates the existing survivability technologies developed for or that can be applied in OSNs, such as fiber bus protection, self-healing architecture, 1 + 1 protection, etc. Then, the disaster-resilient survivability requirement of OSNs is elaborated. Moreover, a new concept of k -node (edge) sensing connectivity, which ensures the connectivity between sensing data and users is proposed. Based on k -node (edge) sensing connectivity, the disaster-resilient survivability technologies are developed. The key technologies of implementing k -node (edge) sensing connectivity are also elaborated. Recently, artificial intelligence (AI) has obtained rapid development. It can be used to improve the survivability of OSNs. This paper also elaborates the potential development direction of survivability technologies of optical sensing in OSNs employing AI.

network survivability

disaster-resilience

optical sensor networks (OSNs)

optical sensing

optical networks

k -node (edge) sensing connectivity

artificial intelligence (AI)

1. Introduction

In recent years, new industries such as cloud computing, big data, data center, virtual/augmented reality (VR/AR), 5G, artificial intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), and optical fiber sensing have emerged. These developments have changed our way of life and simplified the completion of tasks that were difficult in the past. For example, the AI group in Tencent Corporation uses deep learning to successfully locate abducted children simply using photos from their childhoods [1]. In the past it was difficult to realize cross-age face recognition. Deep learning has benefitted society and humanity. Well-known companies such as Google, YouTube, Facebook, Alibaba, and Tencent have built a notable number of large-scale data centers to support those emerging industries [2,3]. Data centers are designed to host massive storage and computing resources, and to support computing-intensive and storage-intensive applications. Requirements for data centers to deliver applications and services at high-speed and high-throughput have become greater. Therefore, it is necessary to achieve high-speed and large-capacity communication among data centers located in different geographical locations. Optical interconnection,

which provides flexible interconnection reconfigurations for various topologies and supports transparent, large-capacity, and high-speed data transmission, is widely used [4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. Data center networking has evolved from hybrid optoelectronic networking to flex-grid optical networking [9, 10, 11, 12]. In addition, optical fiber sensing that integrates communication and sensing functions plays a more and more important role [13, 14, 15, 16]. It provides sensing solutions with optical performance for almost all kinds of applications and environments, such as monitoring of oil fields and large civil engineering structures, as well as natural environments [17, 18, 19]. In [20], the authors gave an overview of optical sensing technology for electromagnetic field measurement. They analyzed the principles of several types of sensors, including the probe-based Faraday effect, magnetostrictive materials, and magnetic fluids, discussed each advantage and disadvantage, and reviewed future outlooks on the performance improvement of sensors. In [21], the authors gave an overview of recent advances in optical fiber acoustic sensing systems in the domains of military defense, structural health monitoring, and petroleum exploration and development. It can be seen that optical fiber sensing is widely used.

2. Influence and application

To improve quality of service (QoS) and guarantee uninterrupted traffic transmission, survivability remains an important part of network design. It represents the ability of a network to fulfill its mission of data transmission, in a timely manner, when threatened by attacks or large-scale natural disasters. In the case of an unavoidable link cut or a network node becoming ineffective due to misconfiguration or natural disaster, network survivability needs to quickly and effectively resume the interrupted traffic, thus keeping damage to a minimum. Due to wide distribution and high severity, natural disasters are big threats to the normal operation of optical sensor networks (OSNs). Therefore, the survivability of optical sensing and optical communication in OSNs in times of disaster is attracting wide-spread attention [22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. Moreover, optical sensing can help improve the survivability of optical networks [27, 28]. In [27], the authors proposed optical chaos and hybrid wavelength division multiplexing/time division multiplexing (WDM/TDM) based on large capacity quasi-distributed sensing networks. With WDM/TDM technology, hundreds of sensing units could be multiplexed in multiple sensing fiber lines. This sensing network could achieve real-time fiber fault monitoring. In [28], the authors used a distributed optical fiber sensor to improve the optical fiber cable condition monitoring system. A series of survivability technologies designed to ensure optical sensing and optical communication resist link/node failures already exist. For example, the classic p-cycle scheme ensures that there is at least one available light path between any node pair located in this cycle after a random single failure [29]. The traditional survivability techniques can be divided into two categories: protection schemes and restoration schemes. The protection scheme reserves backup resources for working flows and only needs to conduct protection switching at the source and destination nodes when failures occur [30]. The restoration schemes, such as link-based restoration and path-based restoration, attempt to establish recovery channels for interrupted working flows using the remaining available network resources after failures [31].

Both the protection and restoration scheme rely on network connectivity. In mathematics and computer science, network connectivity is one of the basic concepts of graph theory, which asks for the minimum number of nodes or edges that need to be removed to disconnect the remaining nodes from each other [32]. Since network

connectivity, indicated by vertex (edge) connectivity, has a fixed upper bound for a given topology, the connectivity between a node pair can be easily destroyed by disasters. Merely relying on network connectivity to realize uninterrupted traffic transmission will cause bottlenecks. Fortunately, for some emerging services, such as high-definition TV, web searching, scientific computing, and cloud service, the required data can be replicated and maintained in multiple data centers through synchronization technology [33] [34] [35]. Therefore, service providing is no longer confined to one particular data center and any data center that hosts the required service can be designated a service provider. Moreover, the service can dynamically migrate to multiple data centers according to users' demands. For optical data center networks, traditional end-to-end connections are gradually replaced by end-to-content connections. The end-to-content connection means that the destination node is not fixed and can be any reachable data center where the required service is hosted. The user can obtain the required service along any available end-to-content connection. Even if a natural disaster breaks the optical data center networks into several disconnected parts, the service will not be interrupted as long as one reachable data center in each part remains. This new kind of connectivity is called content connectivity, which is defined as the reachability of the content from any point of a data center network [36]. It no longer merely ensures connectivity between source–destination node pairs but guarantees connectivity between users and their required services. Moreover, the k-node (edge) content connectivity concept, which indicates the minimum number of elements (nodes or edges) that need to be removed to disconnect the remaining nodes from the required service, was proposed [37].

In contrast to content connectivity and k-node (edge) content connectivity, a new k-node (edge) sensing connectivity concept, which ensures the connectivity between sensing data and users, was proposed. Based on k-node (edge) sensing connectivity, disaster-resilient survivability technologies have been developed. Recently, AI has become a hot topic and research focus. It has been applied in many aspects of optical networks, including failure localization and anomaly detection, routing and resource allocation, modulation level recognition, optical interconnection, network control and management, and quality of transmission (QoT) estimation [38] [39]. This paper first reviews and evaluates the existing survivability technologies that have been developed for or can be applied to OSNs, such as fiber bus protection, self-healing architecture, 1 + 1 protection and extension, p-cycle, the photonic millimeter-wave bridge scheme, p-polyhedron, multi-path protection, and restoration. Then, the k-node (edge) sensing connectivity concept is elaborated. Based on k-node (edge) sensing connectivity, disaster-resilient survivability technologies were developed. Moreover, the key technologies for implementing k-node (edge) sensing connectivity in elastic optical networks are elaborated.

References

1. Cross-age Face Recognition. Available online: <https://news.qq.com/a/20190503/004410.htm> (accessed on 3 May 2019)
2. Minet, P.; Renault, É.; Khoufi, I.; Boumerdassi, S. Data Analysis of a Google Data Center. In Proceedings of the 2018 18th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing (CCGRID), Washington, DC, USA, 1–4 May 2018; pp. 342–343.

3. Taylor, J. Facebook's data center infrastructure: Open compute, disaggregated rack, and beyond. In Proceedings of the 2015 Optical Fiber Communications Conference and Exhibition (OFC), Los Angeles, CA, USA, 22–26 March 2015; pp. 1–3.
4. Qian Kong; Shanguo Huang; BingLi Guo; Xin Li; Min Zhang; Yongli Zhao; Jie Zhang; Wanyi Gu; Numerical and experimental study of a cascaded microelectromechanical system-based all-optical data center interconnect. *Optical Engineering* **2016**, *55*, 76111, 10.1117/1.oe.55.7.076111.
5. Qian Kong; Shanguo Huang; Yu Zhou; BingLi Guo; Yongli Zhao; Min Zhang; Jie Zhang; Wanyi Gu; On the performance of a scalable optical switching architecture for flat intercluster data center network with centralized control. *Optical Engineering* **2014**, *53*, 75101, 10.1117/1.oe.53.7.075101.
6. Hui Yang; Jie Zhang; Yongli Zhao; Hui Li; Shanguo Huang; Yuefeng Ji; Jianrui Han; Yi Lin; Young Lee; Cross stratum resilience for OpenFlow-enabled data center interconnection with Flexi-Grid optical networks. *Optical Switching and Networking* **2014**, *11*, 72-82, 10.1016/j.osn.2013.10.001.
7. Mingzheng Lei; Zhennan Zheng; Chunqi Song; Yunping Bai; Jinwang Qian; Shanguo Huang; Xinlu Gao; Equivalent photonic switch for microwave frequency shift keying signal generation.. *Optics Letters* **2019**, *44*, 3138-3141, 10.1364/OL.44.003138.
8. Shanguo Huang; Yu Zhou; Shan Yin; Qian Kong; Min Zhang; Yongli Zhao; Jie Zhang; Wanyi Gu; Fragmentation assessment based on-line routing and spectrum allocation for intra-data-center networks with centralized control. *Optical Switching and Networking* **2014**, *14*, 274-281, 10.1016/j.osn.2014.05.011.
9. Mihail Balanici; Stephan Pachnicke; Hybrid Electro-Optical Intra-Data Center Networks Tailored for Different Traffic Classes. *Journal of Optical Communications and Networking* **2018**, *10*, 889-901, 10.1364/jocn.10.000889.
10. Yu Shang; BingLi Guo; Xin Li; Xinzhu Sang; Shanguo Huang; Traffic Pattern Adaptive Hybrid Electrical and Optical Switching Network for HPC System. *IEEE Communications Letters* **2018**, *23*, 270-273, 10.1109/lcomm.2018.2886014.
11. Wenfeng Xia; Peng Zhao; Yonggang Wen; Haiyong Xie; A Survey on Data Center Networking (DCN): Infrastructure and Operations. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* **2017**, *19*, 640-656, 10.1109/comst.2016.2626784.
12. Shanguo Huang; BingLi Guo; Weiguo Ju; Xian Zhang; Juan Han; Chris Phillips; Jie Zhang; Wanyi Gu; A Novel Framework and the Application Mechanism with Cooperation of Control and Management in Multi-domain WSON. *Journal of Network and Systems Management* **2012**, *21*, 453-473, 10.1007/s10922-012-9242-4.
13. Stopiński, S.; Lelit, M.; Jusza, A.; Anders, K.; Osuch, T.; Szczepański, P.; Różanowski, K.; Lewandowski, J.; Piramidowicz, R. Photonic integrated interrogator for fiber-optic sensor

networks. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics 2015, Munich, Germany, 21–25 June 2015; p. 1.

14. Culshaw, B. Optical Fibre Sensors for Industrial Applications in Safety and Security. In Proceedings of the Applied Industrial Optics: Spectroscopy, Imaging and Metrology, Arlington, VN, USA, 23–27 June 2013; pp. 1–3.

15. Bohac, L.; Kozak, M. Optical fiber sensor networks floating in IT cloud. In Proceedings of the 2016 17th International Conference on Mechatronics-Mechatronika (ME), Prague, Czech Republic, 7–9 December 2016; pp. 1–3.

16. Hotate, K.; He, Z.; Synthesis of Optical-Choerence Function and Its Applications in Distributed and Multiplexed Optical Sensing. *J. Lightwave Technol.* **2016**, *24*, 2541–2557, <https://www.osapublishing.org/jlt/abstract.cfm?uri=JLT-24-7-2541>.

17. A. Grillet; D. Kinet; J. Witt; M. Schukar; K. Krebber; F. Pirotte; A. Depre; Optical Fiber Sensors Embedded Into Medical Textiles for Healthcare Monitoring. *IEEE Sensors Journal* **2008**, *8*, 1215–1222, 10.1109/JSEN.2008.926518.

18. Mingzheng Lei; Zhennan Zheng; Chunqi Song; Yunping Bai; Jinwang Qian; Xinlu Gao; Shanguo Huang; Photonic generation of background-free binary and quaternary phase-coded microwave pulses based on vector sum.. *Optics Express* **2019**, *27*, 20774–20784, 10.1364/OE.27.020774.

19. Camilo A. R. Diaz; Arnaldo Gomes Leal-Junior; Letícia M. Avellar; Paulo F. C. Antunes; Maria J. Pontes; Carlos A. Marques; Anselmo Frizera; Moisés R. N. Ribeiro; Camilo A. R. Diaz; Paulo F. C. Antunes; et al. Moisés R. N. Ribeiro; Camilo R. Diaz; Paulo C. Antunes; Moisés N. Ribeiro; Perrogator: A Portable Energy-Efficient Interrogator for Dynamic Monitoring of Wavelength-Based Sensors in Wearable Applications.. *Sensors* **2019**, *19*, 2962, 10.3390/s19132962.

20. Jun Peng; Shuhai Jia; Jiaming Bian; Shuo Zhang; Jianben Liu; Xing Zhou; Recent Progress on Electromagnetic Field Measurement Based on Optical Sensors.. *Sensors* **2019**, *19*, 2860, 10.3390/s19132860.

21. Yu Wang; Hongyu Yuan; Xin Liu; Qing Bai; Hongjuan Zhang; Yan Gao; Baoquan Jin; A Comprehensive Study of Optical Fiber Acoustic Sensing. *IEEE Access* **2019**, *7*, 85821–85837, 10.1109/access.2019.2924736.

22. Long, K.; Peng, Y.; Yang, X. Novel methods on survivability for next-generation optical networks. In Proceedings of the 2008 7th International Conference on Optical Internet, Tokyo, Japan, 14–16 October 2008; pp. 1–2.

23. Habib, M.F.; Tornatore, M.; Leenheer, M.D.; Dikbiyik, F.; Mukherjee, B.; Design of Disaster-Resilient Optical Data Center Networks. *J. Lightwave Technol.* **2012**, *30*, 2563–25730, <https://www.osapublishing.org/jlt/abstract.cfm?uri=jlt-30-16-2563>.

24. Chen, B.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, Y.; Luo, G. Spectrum-shared ability in survivable flexible bandwidth optical networks with distributed data centers interconnect. In Proceedings of the 2014 13th International Conference on Optical Communications and Networks (ICOCN), Suzhou, China, 9–10 November 2014; pp. 1–4.

25. Chang, C.H.; Hu, C.H.; Tsai, C.H.; Hsieh, C.Y. Three-layer ring optical fiber sensing network with self-healing functionality. In Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics Pacific Rim (CLEO-PR), Singapore, 31 July–4 August 2017; pp. 1–2.

26. Rosa Ana Perez-Herrera; Montserrat Fernandez-Vallejo; Manuel Lopez-Amo; Robust fiber-optic sensor networks. *Photonic Sensors* **2012**, *2*, 366-380, 10.1007/s13320-012-0083-2.

27. Yiyang Luo; Li Xia; Zhilin Xu; Can Yu; Qizhen Sun; Wei Li; Di Huang; Deming Liu; Optical chaos and hybrid WDM/TDM based large capacity quasi-distributed sensing network with real-time fiber fault monitoring.. *Optics Express* **2015**, *23*, 2416-2423, 10.1364/oe.23.002416.

28. Tang, B.; Zhou, Z. The design of communication network optical fiber cable condition monitoring system based on distributed optical fiber sensor. In Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Electronics Technology (ICET), Chengdu, China, 23–27 May 2018; pp. 97–101.

29. D. Stamatelakis; W.D. Grover; Theoretical underpinnings for the efficiency of restorable networks using preconfigured cycles ("p-cycles"). *IEEE Transactions on Communications* **2000**, *48*, 1262-1265, 10.1109/26.864163.

30. A.E. Kamal; \$1+\{\rm N\}\$ Network Protection for Mesh Networks: Network Coding-Based Protection Using p-Cycles. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking* **2009**, *18*, 67-80, 10.1109/TNET.2009.2020503.

31. M.Mostafa A. Azim; Xiaohong Jiang; Pin-Han Ho; Susumu Horiguchi; Minyi Guo; Restoration Probability Modelling for Active Restoration-Based Optical Networks with Correlation Among Backup Routes. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* **2007**, *18*, 1592-1606, 10.1109/TPDS.2007.1084.

32. Biswanath Mukherjee; M. Farhan Habib; Ferhat Dikbiyik; Network adaptability from disaster disruptions and cascading failures. *IEEE Communications Magazine* **2014**, *52*, 230-238, 10.1109/mcom.2014.6815917.

33. Lisheng Ma; Wei Su; Xiaozhou Li; Bin Wu; Xiaohong Jiang; Heterogeneous Data Backup Against Early Warning Disasters in Geo-Distributed Data Center Networks. *Journal of Optical Communications and Networking* **2018**, *10*, 376-385, 10.1364/jocn.10.000376.

34. Zhennan Zheng; Jinwang Qian; Mingzheng Lei; Chunqi Song; Mutong Xie; Xinlu Gao; Shanguo Huang; Optical network solution to the synchronization of distributed coherent aperture radar.. *Optics Letters* **2019**, *44*, 2121-2124, 10.1364/OL.44.002121.

35. Ping Lu; Liang Zhang; Xiahe Liu; Jingjing Yao; Zuqing Zhu; Highly efficient data migration and backup for big data applications in elastic optical inter-data-center networks. *IEEE Network* **2015**, 29, 36-42, 10.1109/mnet.2015.7293303.
36. Habib, M.F.; Tornatore, M.; Mukherjee, B. Fault-Tolerant Virtual Network Mapping to Provide Content Connectivity in Optical Networks. In Proceedings of the Optical Fiber Communication Conference and Exposition (OFC 2013), Anaheim, CA, USA, 17–21 March 2013; pp. 1–3
37. Xin Li; Shanguo Huang; Shan Yin; Yu Zhou; Min Zhang; Yongli Zhao; Jie Zhang; Wanyi Gu; Design of K-Node (Edge) Content Connected Optical Data Center Networks. *IEEE Communications Letters* **2016**, 20, 466-469, 10.1109/lcomm.2016.2517646.
38. Francesco Musumeci; Cristina Rottondi; Avishek Nag; Irene Macaluso; Darko Zibar; Marco Ruffini; Massimo Tornatore; An Overview on Application of Machine Learning Techniques in Optical Networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials* **2018**, 21, 1383-1408, 10.1109/comst.2018.2880039.
39. Yongli Zhao; Boyuan Yan; Dongmei Liu; Yongqi He; Dajiang Wang; Jie Zhang; SOON: self-optimizing optical networks with machine learning. *Optics Express* **2018**, 26, 28713-28726, 10.1364/oe.26.028713.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/8230>