Wood Antimicrobial Behavior Test Method

Subjects: Microbiology | Others | Others Contributor: Muhammad Tanveer Munir

Some wood species have antimicrobial properties and a variety of methods are used to investigate them. For testing the effect of antimicrobial compounds, direct and indirect methods are used. Meanwhile, the survival of microbes is also tested on surfaces (or material in contact with microbes) to validate the safety of surfaces.

Keywords: Natural material; wood; antimicrobials; AMR; Extraction; Microbial survival; Natural compounds;

Diagnostics; Discovery

1. Introduction

Some wood materials show antimicrobial properties [1][2]. This potential can be employed for hygienic purposes [3][4][5]. Therefore, researchers and field experts study the wood material to investigate its hygienic safety and search for new natural antimicrobial compounds.

2. Pros and Cons of Methods Used to Test the Antimicrobial Behavior of Wood

Many factors influence the choice of method selection to study the antimicrobial properties of wood materials^[6]. These factors are related to the availability of experimental material, test samples, the purpose of study, and skills of handlers. The advantages and disadvantages of the methods are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Pros and cons of the methods used to study the antimicrobial behavior of wood material.

Method Name	Procedure	Advantage	Disadvantage

	Direct diffusion method (Well and disc)	The wood material is directly placed on microbe- inoculated agar or in a well and incubated for recommended time Presence of the zone of inhibition is considered a positive result	 Rapid and time saving Applicable for low amount of material Adapted for screening 	time 2. High variability for quantitative applications 3. Studies only the effect of agar-diffused chemicals 4. May require the sterilization of wood samples
Direct methods	Culture-based microbial survival test	Initial microbial quantity is inoculated on wood samples and after the incubation time, the microbes are recovered, cultured, and viable cells are counted	 Can study the structural and chemical role of wood components Qualitative and quantitative results Applicable for low amount of material 	1. Difficulty in recovering all microbes present in pores 2. Microbial quantification is an extra step needed 3. Only viable cells are identified, while there can be still nonviable infectious cells present
	Microscopy ATP luminescence	The behavior and distribution of inoculated microbes on wooden structures is observed via microscopy	 Rapid and time saving Applicable for low amount of material Adapted for screening 	May require the fixation of samples Difficult to differentiate microbial structures from wooden structures May require competencies of image analysis
		The ATP of microbes on wood is measured	 Rapid and easy Applicable for low amount of material Adapted for screening 	Difficult to differentiate the microbial ATP from other organic debris Adapted only for solid surfaces
	Molecular biology methods	The quantity and viability of microbes is tested via nucleic acid amplification	Accurately measures the microbial survival	 Expensive Require sophisticated handling

1. Disc preparation

	Extractive- based diffusion and dilution method	Extractives are placed on agar or in agar wells, or in broth, after loading on filter paper discs or directly	 Adapted for qualitative and quantitative antimicrobial studies Specific chemicals can be extracted depending upon the solvent used 	 Involves chemical handling Extra step of extraction One solvent cannot extract all active components Does not study the role of structure of wood
Extractive based methods	Bioautography	Extractives are loaded on a chromatographic layer, and then the diffusion of active chemicals is studied for their antimicrobial properties	 Adapted for qualitative antimicrobial studies Specific chemicals can be extracted depending upon the solvent used and identified on the basis of their diffusion on the chromatographic layer 	 Involves chemical handling and extraction One solvent cannot extract all active components Does not study the role of structure of wood Not a quantitative method
	Mass spectrometry	The total profile of microbes is measured	 Applicable for a low amount of material Accurately measure the content of the active ingredient 	For more specific results, the identified compounds are supposed to be tested by other culture-based methods

References

- 1. Hélène Pailhories; Muhammad Tanveer Munir; Florence Aviat; Michel Federighi; Christophe Belloncle; M. Eveillard; Oak in Hospitals, the Worst Enemy of Staphylococcus aureus?. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology* **2016**, *38*, 382-384, <u>10.1017/ice.2016.304</u>.
- 2. Muhammad Tanveer Munir; Florence Aviat; Hélène Pailhories; Matthieu Eveillard; Mark Irle; Michel Federighi; Christophe Belloncle; Direct screening method to assess antimicrobial behavior of untreated wood. *European Journal of Wood and Wood Products* **2019**, 77, 319-322, <u>10.1007/s00107-019-01390-2</u>.
- 3. Muhammad Tanveer Munir; Hélène Pailhories; Matthieu Eveillard; Florence Aviat; Didier Lepelletier; Christophe Belloncle; Michel Federighi; Antimicrobial Characteristics of Untreated Wood: Towards a Hygienic Environment. *Health* **2019**, *11*, 152-170, 10.4236/health.2019.112014.
- 4. Munir, M.T.; Irle, M.; Belloncle, C.; Federighi, M.; Wood Based Bedding Material in Animal Production: A Minireview. *Appro Poult Dairy & Vet Sci* **2019**, *6* (4), APDV.000644.2019, <u>DOI: 10.31031/APDV.2019.06.000644</u>.
- 5. Muhammad Tanveer Munir; C. Belloncle; M. Irle; M. Federighi; Wood-based litter in poultry production: a review. *World's Poultry Science Journal* **2019**, *75*, 5-16, <u>10.1017/s0043933918000909</u>.
- 6. Muhammad Tanveer Munir; Hélène Pailhories; M. Eveillard; Mark Irle; Florence Aviat; Laurence Dubreil; Michel Federighi; Christophe Belloncle; Testing the Antimicrobial Characteristics of Wood Materials: A Review of Methods. *Antibiotics* **2020**, *9*, 225, 10.3390/antibiotics9050225.