EPR and Membrane Proteins

Subjects: Biology Contributor: Indra Sahu

Membrane proteins possess a variety of functions essential to the survival of organisms. However, due to their inherent hydrophobic nature, it is extremely difficult to probe the structure and dynamic properties of membrane proteins using traditional biophysical techniques, particularly in their native environments. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy in combination with site-directed spin labeling (SDSL) is a very powerful and rapidly growing biophysical technique to study pertinent structural and dynamic properties of membrane proteins with no size restrictions.

Keywords: membrane protein ; electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) ; site-directed spin labeling ; structural and dynamics ; membrane mimetic ; double electron electron resonance (DEER)

1. Introduction

Membrane Protein

Understanding the basic characteristics of a membrane protein is very important to knowing its biological significance. Membrane proteins can be categorized into integral (intrinsic) and peripheral (extrinsic) membrane proteins based on the nature of their interactions with cellular membranes ^[1]. Integral membrane proteins have one or more segments that are embedded in the phospholipid bilayer via their hydrophobic sidechain interactions with the acyl chain of the membrane phospholipids. Integral membrane proteins spanning the width of the lipid bilayer are known as transmembrane proteins. Membrane-spanning domains of transmembrane proteins are mostly α -helices or multiple β strands. Peripheral membrane proteins do not interact with the hydrophobic core of the phospholipid bilayer. Instead, they are bound to the membrane indirectly by interactions with integral membrane proteins or directly by interactions with polar lipid head groups. Peripheral proteins are usually localized at or near the cytosolic face of the membrane ^[1].

Membrane proteins are involved in many important biological functions for the survival of living organism. In humans, 30% of the genome encodes membrane proteins ^{[2][3][4]}. Genetic mutation and misfolding of membrane proteins are linked to numerous human dysfunctions, disorders, and diseases ^{[5][6]}. Membrane proteins are targets of more than 50% of total modern food and drug administration (FDA) approved drugs ^{[2][8]}. They also play a very important role in the development of antiviral and antibacterial agents ^{[9][10]}. The knowledge of structural dynamics and functions of membrane proteins is of high biological importance ^{[11][12][13]}. Membrane proteins contribute less than 2% of the structure in the protein data bank (PDB) ^{[11][14][15]}. Despite their abundance and importance, very limited information about membrane proteins exists when compared to globular proteins due to challenges in applying biophysical techniques for studying these protein systems ^[16][^{12]}.

In recent years, several biophysical techniques have been utilized to investigate the structural and dynamic properties of membrane proteins. The most popular biophysical techniques are X-ray crystallography, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), electron microscopy (Cryo-EM), Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy $\frac{1331181139120122112211231}{12211231}$. X-ray crystallography is used to determine the highly resolved 3D structure of membrane proteins in a membrane. In addition, membrane proteins are difficult to crystallize as they are solubilized in detergent/lipids and have high hydrophobicity $\frac{11811201}{12812}$. This introduces challenges for X-ray crystallographic techniques for studying many membrane proteins $\frac{1251}{1281}$. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR) is used to obtain both structural and dynamics information of a variety of membrane proteins in a non-crystal environment. However, size restriction is a major drawback in solution NMR spectroscopy (restricted to <50 kDa) $\frac{1251126112211281}{128122012211281}$. Furthermore, NMR requires isotropic samples to avoid line broadening effects that introduce challenges in studying membrane proteins in proteoliposomes, which are the closest membrane mimetic of the cellular membrane environment. Cryo-EM is a very powerful and rapidly growing technique that has been used in recent years to investigate the structure of biological systems due to technical developments in instrumentation and sample preparation $\frac{129130311322}{129123132}$. However, analyzing small proteins is challenging due to low resolution $\frac{1331}{132}$. FRET is a good technique to monitor the conformational changes for individual membrane

protein systems. However, this technique may cause higher structural perturbation due to the presence of relatively larger probe sizes. Furthermore, the site-specific incorporation of the probe throughout the sequence is also very challenging ^[20]. EPR spectroscopy is a powerful biophysical technique that minimizes these limitations and provides pertinent structural and dynamic information about membrane proteins.

2. Biological EPR

In the past, the application of the biological EPR was restricted to metalloproteins possessing paramagnetic centers or enzymes with radical cofactors. The absence of unpaired electrons in most biological systems would appear to minimize the application of EPR methods. The development of molecular biology techniques incorporating stable radicals at specific locations on biological systems extended the application of EPR spectroscopy to nearly any biological system. The site-specific introduction of unpaired electrons into biomolecules in the form of spin labels is known as site-directed spin labeling (SDSL) [34][35].

Nitroxide Based Spin Labeling EPR

In nitroxide based site-directed spin-labeling experiments, all native non-disulfide-bonded cysteines are replaced by another amino acid such as an alanine or serine. Site-directed mutagenesis is used to introduce a unique cysteine residue into a recombinant protein. The protein containing a site-specific cysteine is further reacted with a sulfhydryl-specific nitroxide reagent to generate a stable EPR-active spin-label side-chain [35][36][37].

<u>Figure 1</u> shows the chemical structure of some nitroxide-based spin-label probes used for EPR spectroscopic studies of membrane proteins ^{[13][27][38][39][40][41][42][43][44][45][46]}. The spin-label probes in <u>Figure 1</u> are incorporated using site-directed mutagenesis. A resulting side-chain produced by reaction of the most commonly used spin label, methanethiosulfonate spin label (MTSL), with the cysteine residue (T58C) of the KCNE1 membrane protein is shown in <u>Figure 2</u> ^{[13][47]}.



Figure 1. Structure of examples of nitroxide spin labels used in the site-directed spin labeling (SDSL) EPR study of membrane proteins. (A) Methanethiosulfonate spin label (MTSL), (B) Bifunctional spin label (BSL).



Figure 2. A cartoon representation of the structure of MTSL (methanethiosulfonate spin label) and the resulting side-chain produced by reaction with a cysteine residue (T58C) on a KCNE1 membrane protein. The cartoon structure of the MTSL-labeled KCNE1 (PDB ID:2k21) was rendered using visual molecular dynamics (VMD) ^{[48][49]}.

3. Nitroxide Based Site-Directed Spin Labeling EPR for Studying Membrane Proteins

Nitroxide based site-directed spin labeling EPR spectroscopy has been widely used to study membrane proteins. This is a very broad topic. In the following sections, we will discuss it in an introductory fashion with recent examples. For more in-

depth information, we refer the following excellent reviews $\frac{[12][13][38][43][46][50][51][52][53][54]}{[12][13][38][43][46][50][51][52][53][54]}$.

3.1. SDSL CW-EPR for Studying Structural Topology and Dynamic Properties of Membrane Proteins

The dynamic information about the spin-labeled side-chain of a biological system can be obtained by lineshape analysis of the corresponding CW-EPR spectra [12]. The flexibility of the MTSL nitroxide spin label provides its motion, which is highly dependent on neighboring amino acid side chains and secondary structure components in its immediate environment. The CW-EPR spectra are highly sensitive to the spin-label motion. The EPR spectral lineshape reflects the mobility of the spin-label side-chain and its relation to the structure and environment within the protein. The EPR spectrum for the spin labels moving rapidly in solution reduces to three isotropic peaks (Figure 3A). The spectrum is in the rigid limit when the spin-label motion is very slow such that it is close to motionless ^[55]. In the rigid limit, the sample is frozen and the full orientation-dependent parameters are observed. When the spin-label motion falls between these two regions, the dynamic properties of the site-specific spin label can be obtained by determining the rotational correlation time (τ_c) [55]. The overall mobility of the spin label attached to the protein is the superposition of various kinds of motion including the motion of the label relative to the protein backbone, fluctuations of the α -carbon backbone, and the rotational motion of the entire protein. These motions can be separated from the EPR spectrum under different experimental conditions. A relative mobility of the spin label can be determined by calculating the inverse central linewidth of the EPR spectrum [12][47] [56][57]. The binding properties of the protein/peptide and membrane can be investigated by measuring the changes in spin-label mobility [51][58]. In the aqueous phase, a spin-labeled peptide or a rapidly tumbling small protein leads to an isotropic spectrum with a rotational correlation time of less than 1 ns. However, in a membrane environment, the mobility of the spin-labeled protein is reduced, leading to a broader EPR spectrum with two motional components resulted from the superposition of the signals arising from a free and bound peptide [47][51][59][60][61][62]. The more quantitative information about the spin-label side-chain dynamics can be obtained with EPR spectral simulation approaches using freely available simulation programs such as Easyspin and non-linear least squares (NLSL) [55][63][64]. Figure 3 shows an illustration of CW-EPR spectra for the MTSL nitroxide spin label attached to KCNE1 reconstituted in different dynamic environments [<u>65</u>]



Figure 4. An illustrative example of the EPR spectra for a spin-labeled membrane protein in different membrane environments. (**A**) A free MTSL spin label in solution, (**B**) MTSL spin label on a F56 C-KCNE1 membrane protein in a lipid bilayer, (**C**) MTSL spin label on a F56C-KCNE1 membrane protein in lipodisq nanoparticles. The CW-EPR spectrum for lipodisq nanoparticle samples also shows a minor peak due to free spin labels. (Adapted from ^[65] with permission).

Nitroxide-based SDSL EPR power saturation experiments can be used to study the topology of the protein with respect to the membrane [13][53][60][61][66]. There are several biologically important protein systems such as *Escherichia coli* ferric citrate transporter FecA, vimentin, GM2 activator protein, ABC cassette transporter MsbA, cytochrome C oxidase subunit IV (COX IV), the prokaryotic potassium channel KcsA, KCNQ1-VSD, Pinholin, KCNE1, lactose permease protein, integrin β_{1a} , functional amyloid Obr2A, C99 domain of the amyloid precursor protein, bacteriorhodopsin, KvAP voltage-sensing domain and phospholamban (PLB), and the GTPase domain of HydF that have been studied using nitroxide-based SDSL CW-EPR spectroscopy to probe the structural, topology, and dynamic properties [42][56][58][61][62][66][67][68][69][70][71][72][73][74] [75][76][77][78][79][80][81]

A recent example of using site-directed spin labeling CW-EPR spectroscopy is the study of human KCNQ1-VSD in proteoliposomes [80]. The human KCNQ1 (Q1) is a voltage-gated potassium channel expressed in several tissues of the body and is known to regulate various physiological functions. It is a six-pass transmembrane protein involved in the repolarization phase of cardiac action potentials and was identified as the gene causing chromosome 11-linked Long QT syndrome [3][4][5][6]. Dysfunction of the channel has also been linked to other disease conditions like Romano-Ward syndrome, sudden infant death syndrome, congenital deafness, and familial atrial fibrillation ^[2]. The isolated-VSD domains can fold even in the absence of the pore domain (PD), suggesting that VSDs can adopt native-like structure independently of the PD [16][17][18]. CW-EPR power saturation data obtained on 20 sites of spin-labeled KCNQ1-VSD were used to determine the topology of KCNQ1-VSD with respect to the 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (POPC)/1-palmitoyl-2oleoyl-phosphatidylglycerol (POPG) lipid bilayers. Also, the data showed that all four transmembrane domains (S1-S4) are buried into the lipid bilayer, while the helix S0 of KCNO1-VSD is solvent-exposed with some of the portions partially or weekly interacting with the membrane surface. Additionally, the CW-EPR lineshape analysis performed on 18 sites of spin-labeled KCNQ1-VSD suggested an overall restricted motion of spin-labeled Q1-VSD in lipid-bilayered vesicles when compared to that in the detergent micelles. This study further put together a structural topology model of KCNQ1-VSD in lipid bilayers. Figure 4 shows the proposed topology and the power saturation data on KCNQ1-VSD in lipid bilayers ^[80]. The CW-EPR power saturation data were analyzed to obtain peak-to-peak amplitude of the first derivative mI = 0 resonance line and to plot against the square root of the incident microwave power for three sample conditions: (1) equilibrated with nitrogen as a control; (2) equilibrated with lipid-soluble paramagnetic reagent 20% oxygen (air); and (3) equilibrated with nitrogen in the presence of a water-soluble paramagnetic reagent NiEDDA. Figure 4B,C show that residues Q147C and F222C of Q1-VSD have greater accessibility to NiEDDA, while F130C and F232C appear to interact more with O_2 in the nonpolar lipid environment. The membrane depth parameter (ϕ) obtained from CW-EPR power saturation data (using Equation (3)) were plotted as a function of amino acid residue position in <u>Figure 4</u>D. The ϕ values show an increasing trend as the amino acid sites move from the surface towards the interior of the membrane and then decrease on the other side of the helix. This suggested the transmembrane domains (TMDs) of Q1-VSD span the width of the membrane bilayers. The negative value of ϕ indicated that the residue under study was solvent-exposed and hence not interacting with the membrane.

$$\phi = \ln \left(\frac{\Delta P_{1/2}(O_2)}{\Delta P_{1/2}(NiEDDA)} \right)$$

where $\Delta P_{1/2}(O_2)$ is the difference in $P_{1/2}$ values of air and nitrogen exposed samples, and $\Delta P_{1/2}(NiEDDA)$ is the difference in the $P_{1/2}$ values for NiEDDA and nitrogen exposed samples. The $P_{1/2}$ is the power where the first derivative amplitude is reduced to half of its unsaturated value.





Figure 4. (A) The proposed topology of the Q1VSD sequence in lipid bilayers. The black arrows show the order of the amino acid residues in the protein sequence. The green and red circles represent spin-label sites buried inside and outside of the membrane bilayers respectively. (B) and (C) EPR power saturation curves from Q1VSD in 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (POPC)/1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylglycerol (POPG) lipid-bilayered vesicles at 295 K. Mutation F130C is on helix S1 and is a part of the transmembrane domain, while the Q147C site is at the linker between helix S1 and helix S2 at a site outside the lipid bilayer. Mutation F232C is on helix S4 and is a part of the transmembrane domain, while the F222C site is at the linker between helix S3 and helix S4 at a site outside the lipid bilayer. The inset spectra are the corresponding CW-EPR spectra for these sites. (D) Membrane depth parameter (ϕ) as a function of Q1VSD residue position in POPC/POPG lipid-bilayered vesicles at 295 K. (Adapted from ^[80] with permission).

Another recent example of using nitroxide spin labeling CW-EPR spectroscopy is the study of pinholin S²¹68 [62]. Pinholin S²¹68 is an essential part of the phage Φ 21 lytic protein system that releases the virus progeny at the end of the infection cycle. TMD1 of active pinholin S²¹68 externalizes very quickly to the periplasm resulting in the active dimer. Within seconds of pinholin triggering the system, it forms heptametric holes by rapid oligomerization and reorientation of TMD2. Ahammad et al. analyzed CW-EPR spectra collected for spin-labeled active pinholin S²¹68 to investigate the dynamic properties of the active form of pinholin S²¹68 in 1,2-dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DMPC) lipid bilayers [62]. The CW-EPR spectral line shape analysis of the R1 side chain for 39 residue positions of S²¹68 suggested that the transmembrane domains (TMDs) have more restricted mobility when compared to the N- and C-termini. CW-EPR power saturation data collected on 31 spin-labeled sites of active pinholin S²¹68 in DMPC lipid bilayers suggested that the N- terminal remains in the periplasm and the TMD1 lies on the surface of the lipid bilayer with some residues pointing out of the lipid bilayer and others residues buried in the lipid environment. TMD2 remains incorporated in the lipid bilayer with the C-terminal of the S²¹68 in the cytoplasm. This study further predicted a tentative structural topology model of S²¹68 residue position in DMPC lipid-bilayered vesicles at room temperature and the proposed topology model of the S²¹68 in DMPC lipid bilayers [62].



Figure 5. (**A**) Membrane depth parameter (ϕ) as a function of the S²¹68 residue positions in DMPC lipid-bilayered vesicles at room temperature. (**B**) The proposed topology model of the S²¹68 in DMPC lipid bilayers. (Adapted from ^[62] with permission).

SDSL CW-EPR spectroscopy at the X-band can also be used to study membrane topology of membrane proteins/peptides bound to aligned phospholipid bilayers [82][83][84][85][86].

3.2. Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Spectroscopy for Investigating the Local Secondary Structure of Protein/Peptides

ESEEM spectroscopy is a pulsed EPR technique that is sensitive to systems containing weak dipolar couplings between an electron spin and a NMR-active nuclear spin. It can provide great insight into the structure and function of many important biological systems [87][88][89][90][91][92][93][94][95][96][97][98][99][100][101][102]. This ESEEM technique can measure a distance between a spin label and a single ²H nucleus up to ~8 Å [103]. Nitroxide-based site-directed spin labeling ESEEM is very useful for probing the local secondary structure of membrane proteins/peptides in different environments including aqueous and lipid membranes [92][93][94][95][96][97][98][99][100][101]. The local secondary structure of membrane proteins has a great influence in the assembly, packing, and interaction of membrane proteins with their lipid membrane environment and hence is useful for understanding the function, dynamics, and interacting mode of membrane proteins [104][105].

In this ESEEM approach, a cysteine mutated nitroxide spin label is positioned 2 (*i*+/–2), 3 (*i*+/–3) or 4 (*i*+/–4) residues away from a fully deuterated valine or leucine side-chain (*i*). The characteristic periodicity of an α -helix (3.6 residue per turn with a pitch of 5.4 Å) structure gives rise to a unique pattern in the corresponding ESEEM spectra. At the X-band, a ²H ESEEM peak in the fourier transform (FT) frequency domain data is observed at ~2.2 MHz for the (*i*+/–3) or 4 (*i*+/–4) samples, whereas no ²H ESEEM peak is observed for the (*i*+/–2) sample or the control sample with no ²H ^[96]. For the (*i*+/–2) samples, spin labels are too far away from the ²H labeled valine/leucine to be detected. These unique patterns provide pertinent local secondary structural information on α -helical structural motifs for protein/peptides using this ESEEM spectroscopic approach with short data acquisition times (~30 min) and small sample concentrations (~100 µM). This ESSEM approach has been applied to several biologically important protein/peptide systems such as the acetylcholine receptor (AChR) M2δ peptide, ubiquitin peptide, amphipathic model peptide LRL₈, intermediate filament protein human vimentin, and KCNE1 to probe their local secondary structures ^{[92][93][94][95][96][97][98][99][100][101]}. <u>Figure</u> § shows a recent example of the three-pulse ESEEM frequency domain data of KCNE1 ²H labeled Val in DMPC/DHPC bicelles ^[96]. The *i*+3 and *i*+4 samples show a peak at the ²H Larmor frequency at ~2.2 MHz. No peaks are observed for the control sample without ²H Val or the *i*+2 sample.



Figure 6. (**A**) Cartoon representation of KCNE1 in DMPC/ dodecylphosphocholine (DPC) bicelles. The probed α -helical region is colored in green and located on the transmembrane domain of the full-length KCNE1. Residue 50 is side-chain ²H-labeled Val (denoted i), Residues 52, 53, and 54 are independent Cys mutations (denoted *i*+2, *i*+3, and *i*+4, respectively). (**B**) Frequency domain spectra of three-pulse ESEEM data of *i*+2, *i*+3, and *i*+4 samples shown in normalized FT intensity. (Adapted from ^[96] with permission).

3.3. SDSL EPR for Distance Measurement of Membrane Proteins

Double site-directed spin labeling of biological systems coupled with EPR spectroscopy is a very powerful and rapidly growing structural biology tool to measure distances between two spin labels for studying secondary, tertiary, and quaternary structures of macromolecules ^{[20][46][106]}. The magnetic dipolar interaction between two spin labels is inversely proportional to the cube of the distance (r³) and hence can be utilized for distance measurements ^[20]. This method can also be used to determine the relative orientations between interacting spin labels ^[107].

3.3.1. CW-Dipolar Line Broadening SDSL EPR for Distance Measurement

Electron–electron dipolar interactions significantly broaden the CW-EPR spectral lineshape if the distance between the two unpaired electron spins is less than 20 Å. The strength of the dipolar interaction is estimated qualitatively from the degree of line broadening using a variety of lineshape analysis techniques to obtain distance information $\frac{106|[107]|[108][109]}{110|[111]}$. An intermediate distance range of 8–20 Å can be measured from the CW dipolar broadening EPR spectra and reveal important structural and dynamic information about membrane proteins $\frac{109|}{}$. SDSL CW dipolar broadening EPR has been applied to several important biological systems such as bacteriorhodopsin, sensory rhodopsin II (NpSRII)/transducer NpHtrII from natronobacterium pharaonis, erythroid β spectrin, AchR M2 δ peptide, magainin 2 peptide, WALP peptide, bacterial K⁺-translocating protein KtrB, *E. coli* integral membrane sulfurtransferase (YgaP), proteorhodopsin oligomers, S-component ThiT from energy coupling factor (ECF) transporters, and KCNE1 [83][111][112][113] [114][115][116][117][118][119][120][121][122][123].

3.3.2. Double Electron Electron Resonance (DEER) Techniques for Distance Measurements

DEER is also known as pulsed electron double resonance (PELDOR). DEER has been a widely used biophysical technique for measuring distances between two spin labels on membrane proteins in the range of 18-60 Å ^{[124][125][126]}. In DEER spectroscopy, a dipolar coupling between two spins is measured by monitoring one set of spins while exciting another set of spins with a second microwave frequency, leading to the measurement of the distance between them ^[127] ^{[124][128]}. Nitroxide spin labeling based DEER spectroscopy is very popular for investigating the secondary, tertiary, and quaternary structures and conformational dynamics of a wide variety of macromolecules ^{[19][40][53][57][116][117][118][119][120]} ^{[121][122][122][123][124][125][126][129][130][131][132][133][134]}. In addition to nitroxide spin labels, other spin labels such as functionalized chelators of paramagnetic lanthanides (Gd^{III}), carbon-based radicals (trityl), and metals such as copper (Cu^{II}) have been recently utilized for DEER measurements on membrane proteins ^{[52][135][136][137][138]}. There is also a disadvantage to using non-nitroxide spin labels. The Gd-based and trityl labels are bulkier than nitrixide spin labels, which can cause perturbation in protein structure and function ^[52]. Hence, care must be taken while choosing spin-labeling sites to avoid these perturbations. <u>Figure 7</u> shows the DEER distance measurement method used for studying membrane proteins. The

dipolar coupling frequency (v_{12}) is inversely related to the third power of the distance between two spin labels ($v_{12} \propto 1/d^3$) ^{[1227][139]}. The most commonly used four pulse DEER sequence is shown in <u>Figure 7</u>B. In the four pulse DEER sequence, an echo is generated by applying three microwave pulses with specific positions to the one set of spins S₁ at the probe frequency v_1 . Another set of spins, S₂, is flipped by applying a 4th pump microwave pulse at varying positions between the last two probe pulses at the frequency v_2 . Consequently, the sign of the dipolar interaction and the amplitude of spin echo change result in the modulation of the echo amplitude as a function of the position of the pump pulse. The forward fivepulse and the reverse five-pulse DEER sequences are shown in <u>Figure 7</u>C,D, respectively. In the five-pulse DEER sequence (<u>Figure 7</u>C,D), similar lengths of the inter-pulse delays are applied. This minimizes the effect of the spin diffusion on relaxation, leading to the increase in the refocused echo intensity when compared to that of the four-pulse DEER sequence. The additional pump pulse also helps extend the dipolar evolution window (<u>140)[141][142]</u>. A seven-pulse Carr-Purcell PELDOR sequence with multiple pump pulses (see <u>Figure 7</u>E) also leads to improved sensitivity in the measurement of long-range distances. (<u>140)[141][143]</u>. These multipulse DEER experiments introduce echo crossing artifacts in DEER traces (<u>140)[141][143]</u>. These artifacts can be minimized by using eight to thirty two-step phase cycling schemes [<u>140]</u>.





Figure 7. Double electron electron resonance DEER spectroscopic method used to measure distances between nitroxide spin labels. (**A**) Distance vector (d) between spin S_1 and S_2 on KCNE1 membrane protein (PDB ID: 2k21) at an angle θ with the magnetic field B_0 . (**B**) Four-pulse DEER sequence. (**C**) Forward five-pulse DEER sequence. (**D**) Reverse five-pulse DEER sequence. (**E**) Seven-pulse CP-PELDOR sequence $\frac{[140][141]}{2}$.

Challenges and Methodological Development in DEER Measurements for Membrane Proteins

Despite the wide application of pulse DEER EPR techniques in structure biology, accurate and precise distance measurements are limited due to difficulties in integral membrane protein sample preparation in their functional environment. The heterogeneous distribution of spin-labeled proteins within the membrane creates local inhomogeneous pockets of high spin concentration leading to much shorter transverse relaxation/phase memory times and poor DEER modulation in more biologically relevant proteoliposomes when compared to water soluble proteins or membrane proteins in detergent micelles ^{[40][144]}. The proton spin diffusion further causes a decrease in the phase memory time. The proton spin diffusion arises due to the presence of hydrogens in the acyl chains of the lipid in addition to those in the solvent and in the protein ^[52]. The requirement of a high effective protein concentration in the liposome samples further introduces a strong background contribution that reduces sensitivity, distance range, and experimental throughput ^[145]. Additionally, the spin-labeled rotameric motions and protein backbone dynamics also contribute significantly to the width of the DEER distance distribution.

Excellent work has been done in recent years to minimize these limitations. Sample preparations for the reconstitution of membrane proteins have been optimized in the presence of unlabeled proteins, bicelles, nanodics, lipodisq nanoparticles, a low protein/lipid molar ratio, and restricted spin label probes ^{[40][133][144][146][147][148][149][150][151][152][153]}. Using deuterated protein and solvents can also enhance the phase memory times that contribute towards the improvement of data quality ^[52]. DEER measurements are also conducted at Q-band to increase sensitivity ^{[40][144][150]}. The introduction of an arbitrary waveform generator (AWG) to EPR has opened new possibilities to improve the pulse sequences in DEER experiments ^[140]. The increased excitation band width of the linear chirp pump pulses enhances the modulation depth. This increases the sensitivity of the DEER experiment ^{[52][140][143]}. The use of 5-pulse and 7-pulse sequences also help to increase the dipolar evolution window ^{[52][140]}. Computational approaches using molecular dynamics simulations using DEER distance restraints have also been widely used to refine the structural properties of membrane proteins ^{[56][133][154][155][156]}. These methodological developments have made nitroxide based DEER spectroscopy a rapidly expanding structural biology tool to study complicated integral membrane protein systems.

Nitroxide based SDSL DEER spectroscopy has been applied to investigate a variety of membrane protein systems such as *E. coli* integral membrane sulfurtransferase (YgaP), pentameric ligand-gated channel, homodimer protein, bacteriorhodopsin, KCNE1, KCNE3, C99 amyloid precursor protein, KvAP voltage-sensing domain, human dihydroorotate dehydrogenase enzyme (HsDHODH), influenza A M2 protein, outer membrane cobalamin transporter BtuB in intact *E.* A recent application of nitroxide-based spin labeling DEER spectroscopy is the study of a YetJ membrane protein [152]. YetJ is a member of the widely distributed transmembrane Bax inhibitor motive (TMBIM) family found to be important for the uptake of calcium into bacteria and in mediating a pH-dependent Ca²⁺ flux in proteoliposomes. YetJ has seven transmembrane helices with 214 amino acid residues. Li et al. carried out DEER distance measurements on the dual spinlabeled YetJ mutant 44R1/152R1 reconstituted into nanodiscs (NDs) [152]. This experiment revealed a bimodel-like distance distribution displaying two major peaks centered at 2.7 and 4.1 nm suggesting two conformations of YetJ in NDs [152]. This study further revealed that the use of the nanodiscs (NDs) provided improvement in the overall signal-to-noise ratio (S/R) of DEER signals and hence increased the resolution in the distance distribution [152].

DEER spectroscopy was recently applied to study conformational changes in the extracellular loops of the outer membrane cobalamin transporter BtuB in intact *E. coli* [<u>176</u>]. BtuB is a 22-stranded β -barrel protein consisting of a 130 residue N-terminal plug or hatch domain in the center. It is a member of the TonB-dependent transporter (TBDT) family. It requires a proton motive force (pmf) and the inner membrane ExbB-ExbD-TonB complex for cyanocobalamin (CN-Cbl) transport. Josesh et al. performed DEER distance measurements on the 188R1-399R1 mutant in *E. coli* [<u>176</u>]. DEER distance measurements on 188R1-399R1 cells showed a shorter distance in the apo-state (no Ca²⁺ or CN-Cbl) in *E. coli* when compared to that in the presence of Ca²⁺ or CN-Cbl, suggesting conformation changes induced by ligand binding [<u>176</u>].

Another recent example of using SDSL DEER spectroscopy is the study of multimeric membrane transport proteins such as sodium and aspartate symporter from *Pyrococcus horikoshii*, Glt_{ph} ^[127](178]</sup>. Glt_{ph} is a homologue of the mammalian glutamate transporters. It is a homo-trimeric integral membrane protein that controls the neurotransmitter levels in brain synapses ^[178]. Glt_{Ph} transports aspartate together with three Na⁺ ions into the cytoplasm accompanied by stoichiometrically uncoupled Cl⁻ conductance ^[172]. Each subunit of Glt_{ph} has a complex topology with eight transmembrane and two reentrant hairpin segments. Georgieva et al. carried out extensive DEER distance measurements on eight transport domain mutants in detergent and lipid membranes either in the apo state or bound to Na⁺ and asp or to DL-*threo*- β -bezyloxyaspartate (TBOA) ^[178]. These results suggested that the GltPh protomers are distributed between the outward and inward facing conformations in detergent solutions and in lipid bilayers both in the bound and unbound states ^[178]. Riederer et al. further utilized DEER distance measurements to evaluate the intra-subunit structural changes in Glt_{ph} ^[127]. The DEER measurements on the homomeric V216C/l294C mutants in the presence of Na⁺ ions and Asp revealed broad, weakly-structured distance distributions, spanning from 40 to 70 Å, whereas, the heteromeric protein exhibited a single narrow peak at 52 Å ^[177]. These distance in the outward facing state is 34 Å ^[177]. These results allowed for straightforward determination of the conformational state of the labeled promoter.

Another recent example of DEER spectroscopy in the literature is an investigation into the active oligomeric Bax proteins [174][179]. Bax is a Bcl-2 protein that plays a crucial role in apoptosis regulation and execution. Cytosolic Bax monomers oligomerize, when activated, on the surface of mitochondria and change their conformation to form holes into the outer membrane. These proteins are interesting targets for drug development for treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Bleicken et al. utilized SDSL DEER spectroscopic measurements to obtain 42 distances between 12 different spin-labeled positions of full-length Bax in lipid bilayers mimicking the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOM) [179]. These distance constraints were used to calculate a three-dimensional structural model of full length active Bax in the membrane. These results suggested that active Bax is organized as assemblies of dimers at the membrane. Additionally, each monomer contains a more flexible domain involved in interdimer interactions and pore formation. Teucher et al. further utilized a combination of spectroscopically distinguishable nitroxide and gadolinium spin labels for DEER measurements to investigate the quaternary structure of active and membrane-embedded Bax oligomers [174]. DEER distance measurements on the mixture of the Bax_{C87Gd} with Bax_{WTR1} forming a complex protein homo-oligomer detected NO-NO, NO-Gd, and Gd-Gd distances independently. When BaxWTR1 was mixed with a three-fold excess of BaxC87Gd, a Gd-Gd distance of 6 nm suggested interactions between the two Bax_{C87Gd} monomers within a dimer unit, and NO-Gd distances in the range of 2.5-5 nm confirmed that the Bax oligomers containing Bax_{C87Gd} and Bax_{WTR1} monomers are formed [174]. Figure 8 shows DEER distance measurements on the Bax oligomers [174]. The background was subtracted from the raw DEER time domain data as shown by the dotted lines in the left panel and the distance distribution (right panel) was

obtained using Tikhonov regularization with DeerAnalysis2018 ^[174]. This study also highlights the usefulness of the orthogonal spin-labeling-based DEER spectroscopy to monitor the membrane-embedded homo- and hetero-oligomers of Bcl-2 proteins.



Figure 8. DEER distance measurements on oligomeric Bax with orthogonal spin labels. (**A**) Schematic of the expected distances. (**B**,**C**) Primary data with background function (left) and time distribution (right). The shaded areas represent corresponding distance simulations based on the structures. (**B**) Active Bax_{WTR1} mixed with 3-fold excess unlabeled Bax_{WT} (black) compared to the undiluted Bax_{WTR1} (grey). (**C**) Upper panel, NO-NO DEER on active Bax_{WT} with 3-fold excess of Bax_{C87Gd} compared to the Bax_{WT} alone (grey). Central panel, NO-Gd DEER on the samples. The asterisk highlights a possible channel cross-talk signal. Bottom panel, Gd-Gd DEER on the sample (green) compared to Bax_{C87Gd} alone (Grey). (Adapted from ^[174] with permission).

References

- 1. Lodish, H.; Berk, A.S.; Zipursky, L.; Matsudaira, P.; Baltimore, D.; James, D. Molecular Cell Biology, 4th ed.; W. H. Free man and Company: New York, NY, USA, 2000.
- 2. Landreh, M.; Robinson, C.V. A new window into the molecular physiology of membrane proteins. J. Physiol. 2015, 593, 355–362.
- Moraes, I.; Evans, G.; Sanchez-Weatherby, J.; Newstead, S.; Stewart, P.D. Membrane protein structure determination —The next generation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2014, 1838 1 Pt A, 78–87.
- Wallin, E.; Von Heijne, G. Genome-wide analysis of integral membrane proteins from eubacterial, archaean, and eukar yotic organisms. Protein Sci. 1998, 7, 1029–1038.
- 5. Conn, P.M.; Ulloa-Aguirre, A.; Ito, J.; Janovick, J.A. G protein-coupled receptor trafficking in health and disease: Lesson s learned to prepare for therapeutic mutant rescue in vivo. Pharm. Rev. 2007, 59, 225–250.
- Cheung, J.C.; Deber, C.M. Misfolding of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator and disease. Bioche mistry 2008, 47, 1465–1473.
- 7. Von Heijne, G. The membrane protein universe: What's out there and why bother? J. Intern. Med. 2007, 261, 543–557.
- Overington, J.P.; Al-Lazikani, B.; Hopkins, A.L. How many drug targets are there? Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2006, 5, 993– 996.
- 9. Poetsch, A.; Wolters, D. Bacterial membrane proteomics. Proteomics 2008, 8, 4100-4122.
- Ramanan, P.; Shabman, R.S.; Brown, C.S.; Amarasinghe, G.K.; Basler, C.F.; Leung, D.W. Filoviral Immune Evasion Me chanisms. Viruses 2011, 3, 1634–1649.

- 11. Engel, A.; Gaub, H.E. Structure and mechanics of membrane proteins. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2008, 77, 127–148.
- 12. Klug, C.S.; Feix, J.B. Methods and Applications of Site-Directed Spin Labeling EPR Spectroscopy. Methods Cell Biol. 2 008, 84, 617–658.
- Sahu, I.D.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Use of Electron Paramagnetic Resonance to Solve Biochemical Problems. Biochemistry 2013, 52, 5967–5984.
- 14. Xue, M.M.; Cheng, L.S.; Faustino, I.; Guo, W.L.; Marrink, S.J. Molecular Mechanism of Lipid Nanodisk Formation by St yrene-Maleic Acid Copolymers. Biophys. J. 2018, 115, 494–502.
- 15. Berman, H.M.; Westbrook, J.; Feng, J.; Gilliland, Z.; GaryBhat, T.; Weissig, N.; Shindyalov, S.; Bourne, I.N.; Philip, E. T he Protein Data Bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000, 28, 235–242.
- Das, B.B.; Park, S.H.; Opella, S.J. Membrane protein structure from rotational diffusion. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2015, 1 848 1 Pt B, 229–245.
- 17. Kang, H.J.; Lee, C.; Drew, D. Breaking the barriers in membrane protein crystallography. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 201 3, 45, 636–644.
- Liang, B.; Tamm, L.K. NMR as a Tool to Investigate Membrane Protein Structure, Dynamics and Function. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2016, 23, 468–474.
- Sahu, I.D.; Lorigan, G.A. Site-Directed Spin Labeling EPR for Studying Membrane Proteins. Biomed. Res. Int. 2018, 20 18, 3248289.
- Claxton, D.P.; Kazmier, K.; Mishra, S.; McHaourab, H.S. Navigating Membrane Protein Structure, Dynamics, and Energ y Landscapes Using Spin Labeling and EPR Spectroscopy. Methods Enzymol. 2015, 564, 349–387.
- 21. Weyanda, S.; Tateb, C.G. Advances in membrane protein crystallography: In situ and in meso data collection. Acta Cry st. 2015, D71, 1226–1227.
- 22. Goldie, K.N.; Abeyrathne, P.; Kebbel, F.; Chami, M.; Ringler, P.; Stahlberg, H. Cryo-electron microscopy of membrane p roteins. Methods Mol. Biol. 2014, 1117, 325–341.
- 23. Loura, L.M.S.; Prieto, M. FRET in membrane biophysics: An overview. Front. Physiol. 2011, 2, 1–11.
- 24. Columbus, L.; Hubbell, W.L. A new spin on protein dynamics. Trends Biochem. Sci. 2002, 27, 288–295.
- Acharya, K.R.; Lloyd, M.D. The advantages and limitations of protein crystal structures. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2005, 2 6, 10–14.
- Wuthrich, K. NMR studies of structure and function of biological macromolecules (Nobel Lecture). J. Biomol. Nmr 2003, 27, 13–39.
- 27. Klare, J.P. Site-Directed Spin Labelingand Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Spectroscopy: A Versatile Tool to Study Protein-Protein Interaction; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2012.
- Schiemann, O.; Prisner, T.F. Long-range distance determinations in biomacromolecules by EPR spectroscopy. Q. Rev. Biophys. 2007, 40, 1–53.
- 29. Cheng, Y. Membrane protein structural biology in the era of single particle cryo-EM. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2018, 52, 5 8–63.
- Khoshouei, M.; Radjainia, M.; Baumeister, W.; Danev, R. Cryo-EM structure of haemoglobin at 3.2 Å determined with th e Volta phase plate. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 16099.
- Merk, A.; Bartesaghi, A.; Banerjee, S.; Falconieri, V.; Rao, P.; Davis, M.I.; Pragani, R.; Boxer, M.B.; Earl, L.A.; Milne, J. L.S.; et al. Breaking Cryo-EM Resolution Barriers to Facilitate Drug Discovery. Cell 2016, 165, 1698–1707.
- Sun, J.; MacKinnon, R. Cryo-EM Structure of a KCNQ1/CaM Complex Reveals Insights into Congenital Long QT Syndr ome. Cell 2017, 169, 1042–1050.
- Sgro, G.G.; Costa, T.R.D. Cryo-EM Grid Preparation of Membrane Protein Samples for Single Particle Analysis. Front. Mol. Biosci. 2018, 5, 74.
- Stone, T.J.; Buckman, T.; Nordio, P.L.; McConnel, H.M. SPIN-LABELED BIOMOLECULES. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1965, 54, 1010–1017.
- Cornish, V.W.; Benson, D.R.; Altenbach, C.A.; Hideg, K.; Hubbell, W.L.; Schultz, P.G. Site-specific incorporation of biop hysical probes into proteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1994, 91, 2910–2914.
- 36. Klare, J.P.; Steinhoff, H.-J. Spin labeling EPR. Photosynth. Res. 2009, 102, 377–390.
- 37. Steinhoff, H.J. Multi-frequency EPR spectroscopy studies of the structure and conformational changes of site-directed s pin labelled membrane proteins. In Supramolecular Structure and Function 8; Pifat-Mrzljak, G., Ed.; Springer: Berlin/He

idelberg, Germany, 2004; Volume 8, pp. 157–177.

- 38. Haugland, M.M.; Anderson, E.A.; Lovett, J.E. Tuning the properties of nitroxide spin labels for use in electron paramagn etic resonance spectroscopy through chemical modification of the nitroxide framework. In Electron Paramagnetic Reso nance; Chechik, V., Murphy, D.M., Eds.; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 2017; Volume 25, pp. 1–34.
- Balo, A.R.; Feyrer, H.; Ernst, O.P. Toward Precise Interpretation of DEER-Based Distance Distributions: Insights from S tructural Characterization of V1 Spin-Labeled Side Chains. Biochemistry 2016, 55, 5256–5263.
- Sahu, I.D.; MaCarrick, R.M.; Troxel, K.R.; Zhang, R.; Smith, J.H.; Dunagan, M.M.; Swartz, M.S.; Rajan, P.V.; Kroncke, B.M.; Sanders, C.R.; et al. DEER EPR measurement for Membrane Protein Structures via Bifunctional Spin Labels and Lipodisg Nanoparticles. Biochemistry 2013, 52, 6627–6632.
- Fleissner, M.R.; Bridges, M.D.; Brooks, E.K.; Cascio, D.; Kálai, T.; Hideg, K.; Hubbell, W.L. Structure and dynamics of a conformationally constrained nitroxide side chain and applications in EPR spectroscopy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 201 2, 108, 16241–16246.
- 42. Schreier, S.; Bozelli, J.C., Jr.; Marín, N.; Vieira, R.F.; Nakaie, C.R. The spin label amino acid TOAC and its uses in studi es of peptides: Chemical, physicochemical, spectroscopic, and conformational aspects. Biophys. Rev. 2012, 4, 45–66.
- 43. Hubbell, W.L.; Lopez, C.J.; Altenbach, C.; Yang, Z.Y. Technological advances in site-directed spin labeling of proteins. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2013, 23, 725–733.
- 44. Stoller, S.; Sicoli, G.; Baranova, T.Y.; Bennati, M.; Diederichsen, U. TOPP: A Novel Nitroxide-Labeled Amino Acid for EP R Distance Measurements. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9743–9746.
- 45. Fielding, A.J.; Concilio, M.G.; Heaven, G.; Hollas, M.A. New Developments in Spin Labels for Pulsed Dipolar EPR. Mol ecules 2014, 19, 16998–17025.
- 46. Roser, P.; Schmidt, M.J.; Drescher, M.; Summerer, D. Site-directed spin labeling of proteins for distance measurements in vitro and in cells. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 5468–5476.
- 47. Sahu, I.D.; Craig, A.F.; Dunagan, M.M.; Troxel, K.R.; Zhang, R.; Meiberg, A.G.; Harmon, C.N.; MaCarrick, R.M.; Kronck e, B.M.; Sanders, C.R.; et al. Probing Structural Dynamics and Topology of the KCNE1 Membrane Protein in Lipid Bilay ers via Site-Directed Spin Labeling and Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Biochemistry 2015, 54, 6402 –6412.
- Kang, C.; Tian, C.; Sonnichsen, F.D.; Smith, J.A.; Meiler, J.; George, A.L.J.; Vanoye, C.G.; Kim, H.J.; Sanders, C.R. Str ucture of KCNE1 and Implications for How It Modulates the KCNQ1Potassium Channel. Biochemistry 2008, 47, 7999– 8006.
- 49. Humphrey, W.; Dalke, A.; Schulten, K. VMD-Visual Molecular Dynamics. J. Molec. Graph. 1996, 14, 33–38.
- 50. Bordignon, E.; Steinhoff, H.J. Membrane protein structure and dynamics studied by site-directed spin-labeling ESR. ES R Spectrosc. Membr. Biophys. 2007, 27, 129–164.
- 51. Klug, C.S.; Feix, J.B. SDSL: A survey of biological applications. Biol. Magn. Reson. 2004, 24, 269–308.
- 52. Bordignon, E.; Bleicken, S. New limits of sensitivity of site-directed spin labeling electron paramagnetic resonance for membrane proteins. Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta-Biomembr. 2018, 1860, 841–853.
- 53. Sahu, I.D.; Lorigan, G.A. EPR Techniques, Spin Labeling and Spin Trapping. In Encyclopedia of Analytical Science, 3rd ed.; Elsevier Ltd.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 315–327.
- 54. Wunnicke, D.; Hanelt, I. The Synergetic Effects of Combining Structural Biology and EPR Spectroscopy on Membrane Proteins. Crystals 2017, 7, 117.
- 55. Stoll, S.; Schweiger, A. Easyspin: Simulating cw ESR spectra. Biol. Magn. Reson. 2007, 27, 299–321.
- Li, Q.; Wanderling, S.; Sompornpisut, P.; Perozo, E. Structural basis of lipid-driven conformational transitions in the KvA P voltage-sensing domain. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2014, 21, 160–166.
- 57. Sahu, I.D.; Lorigan, G.A. Biophysical EPR Studies Applied to Membrane Proteins. J. Phys. Chem. Biophys. 2015, 5, 18 8.
- Soria, M.A.; Cervantes, S.A.; Bajakian, T.H.; Siemer, A.B. The Functional Amyloid Orb2A Binds to Lipid Membranes. Bi ophys. J. 2017, 113, 37–47.
- 59. Victor, K.G.; Cafiso, D.S. Location and dynamics of basic peptides at the membrane interface: Electron paramagnetic r esonance spectroscopy of tetramethyl-piperidine-N-oxyl-4-amino-4-carboxylic acid-labeled peptides. Biophys. J. 2001, 81, 2241–2250.
- 60. Kim, S.S.; Upshur, M.A.; Saotome, K.; Sahu, I.D.; McCarrick, R.M.; Feix, J.B.; Lorigan, G.A.; Howard, K.P. Cholesterol-Dependent Conformational Exchange of the C-Terminal Domain of the Influenza A M2 Protein. Biochemistry 2015, 54,

7157-7167.

- 61. Yu, L.; Wang, W.; Ling, S.; Liu, S.; Xiao, L.; Xin, Y.; Lai, C.; Xiong, Y.; Zhang, L.; Tian, C. CW-EPR studies revealed diff erent motional properties and oligomeric states of the integrin beta(1a) transmembrane domain in detergent micelles or liposomes. Sci. Rep. 2015, 5, 7848.
- 62. Ahammad, T.; Drew, D.L., Jr.; Sahu, I.D.; Serafin, R.A.; Clowes, K.R.; Lorigan, G.A. Continuous Wave Electron Parama gnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Reveals the Structural Topology and Dynamic Properties of Active Pinholin S2168 in a Lipid Bilayer. J. Phys. Chem. B 2019, 123, 8048–8056.
- 63. Budil, D.E.; Lee, S.; Saxena, S.; Freed, J.H. Nonlinear-Least-Squares Analysis of Slow-Motion EPR Spectra in One an d Two Dimensions Using a Modified Levenberg–Marquardt Algorithm. J. Magn. Reson. Ser. A 1996, 120, 155–189.
- 64. Freed, J.H. Spin Labelling: Theory and Application; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1976; pp. 53–132.
- 65. Sahu, I.D.; Zhang, R.; Dunagan, M.M.; Craig, A.F.; Lorigan, G.A. Characterization of KCNE1 inside lipodisq nanoparticl es for EPR spectroscopic studies of membrane proteins. J. Phys. Chem. B 2017, 121, 5112–5321.
- 66. Altenbach, C.; Greenhalgh, D.A.; Khorana, H.G.; Hubbell, W.L. A Collision Gradient Method to Determine the Immersio n Depth of Nitroxides in Lipid Bilayers: Application to Spin-Labeled Mutants of Bacteriorhodopsin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1994, 91, 1667–1671.
- 67. Cortes, D.M.; Cuello, L.G.; Perozo, E. Molecular architecture of full-length KcsA—Role of cytoplasmic domains in ion p ermeation and activation gating. J. Gen. Physiol. 2001, 117, 165–180.
- 68. Voss, J.; He, M.M.; Hubbell, W.L.; Kaback, H.R. Site-directed spin labeling demonstrates that transmembrane domain XII in the lactose permease of Escherichia coli is an alpha-helix. Biochemistry 1996, 35, 12915–12918.
- 69. Song, Y.; Hustedt, E.J.; Brandon, S.; Sanders, C.R. Competition between Homodimerization and Cholesterol Binding to the C99 Domain of the Amyloid Precursor Protein. Biochemistry 2013, 52, 5051–5064.
- 70. Perozo, E.; Hubbell, W.L. Transmembrane voltage control in liposomes—The use of bacteriorhodopsin as a light-driven current source. Biophys. J. 1993, 64, A222.
- Hubbell, W.L.; Altenbach, C. Investigation of structure and dynamics in membrane-proteins using site-directed spin labe ling. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 1994, 4, 566–573.
- Mokdad, A.; Herrick, D.Z.; Kahn, A.K.; Andrews, E.; Kim, M.; Cafiso, D.S. Ligand-Induced Structural Changes in the Es cherichia coli Ferric Citrate Transporter Reveal Modes for Regulating Protein-Protein Interactions. J. Mol. Biol. 2012, 42 3, 818–830.
- 73. Hess, J.F.; Budamagunta, M.S.; Aziz, A.; FitzGerald, P.G.; Voss, J.C. Electron paramagnetic resonance analysis of the vimentin tail domain reveals points of order in a largely disordered region and conformational adaptation upon filament assembly. Protein Sci. 2013, 22, 47–55.
- 74. Aziz, A.; Hess, J.F.; Budamagunta, M.S.; Voss, J.C.; FitzGerald, P.G. Site-directed Spin Labeling and Electron Parama gnetic Resonance Determination of Vimentin Head Domain Structure. J. Biol. Chem. 2010, 285, 15278–15285.
- 75. Dong, J.H.; Yang, G.Y.; McHaourab, H.S. Structural basis of energy transduction in the transport cycle of MsbA. Scienc e 2005, 308, 1023–1028.
- 76. Malmberg, N.J.; Falke, J.J. Use of EPR power saturation toanalyze the membrane-docking geometries of peripheral pr oteins: A applications to C2 domains. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 2005, 34, 71–90.
- 77. Yu, Y.G.; Thorgeirsson, T.E.; Shin, Y.K. Topology of an amphiphilic mitochondrial signal sequence in the membrane-ins erted state: A spin-labeling study. Biochemistry 1994, 33, 14221–14226.
- 78. Klug, C.S.; Su, W.Y.; Feix, J.B. Mapping of the residues involved in a proposed beta-strand located in the ferric enterob actin receptor FepA using site-directed spin-labeling. Biochemistry 1997, 36, 13027–13033.
- 79. Carter, J.D.; Mathias, J.D.; Gomez, E.F.; Ran, Y.; Xu, F.; Galiano, L.; Tran, N.Q.; D'Amore, P.W.; Wright, C.S.; Chakravo rty, D.K.; et al. Characterizing Solution Surface Loop Conformational Flexibility of the GM2 Activator Protein. J. Phys. C hem. B 2014, 118, 10607–10617.
- Dixit, G.; Sahu, I.D.; Reynolds, W.D.; Wadsworth, T.M.; Harding, B.D.; Jaycox, C.K.; Dabney-Smith, C.; Sanders, C.R.; Lorigan, G.A. Probing the Dynamics and Structural Topology of the Reconstituted Human KCNQ1 Voltage Sensor Dom ain (Q1-VSD) in Lipid Bilayers Using Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Biochemistry 2019, 58, 965–97 3.
- Galazzo, L.; Maso, L.; De Rosa, E.; Bortolus, M.; Doni, D.; Acquasaliente, L.; De Filippis, V.; Costantini, P.; Carbonera, D. Identifying conformational changes with site-directed spin labeling reveals that the GTPase domain of HydF is a mol ecular switch. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 1714.

- 82. Inbaraj, J.J.; Cardon, T.B.; Laryukhin, M.; Grosser, S.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Determining the topology of integral membrane peptides using EPR spectroscopy. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9549–9554.
- Sahu, I.D.; Hustedt, E.J.; Ghimire, H.; Inbaraj, J.J.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G.A. CW dipolar broadening EPR spectro scopy and mechanically aligned bilayers used to measure distance and relative orientation between two TOAC spin lab els on an antimicrobial peptide. J. Magn. Reson. 2014, 249, 72–79.
- 84. Sahu, I.D.; Mayo, D.J.; Subbaraman, N.; Inbaraj, J.J.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Probing topology and dynamics o f the second transmembrane domain (M2δ) of the acetyl choline receptor using magnetically aligned lipid bilayers (bicel les) and EPR spectroscopy. Chem. Phys. Lipids 2017, 206, 9–15.
- 85. McCaffrey, J.E.; James, Z.M.; Svensson, B.; Binder, B.P.; Thomas, D.D. A bifunctional spin label reports the structural t opology of phospholamban in magnetically-aligned bicelles. J. Magn. Reson. 2016, 262, 50–56.
- Mayo, D.J.; Sahu, I.D.; Lorigan, G.A. Assessing topology and surface orientation of an antimicrobial peptide magainin 2 using mechanically aligned bilayers and electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy. Chem. Phys. Lipids 2018, 21 3, 124–130.
- 87. McCracken, J. Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Spectroscopy. In Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioi norganic Chemistry; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2011.
- 88. Cieslak, J.A.; Focia, P.J.; Gross, A. Electron Spin-Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Reveals Water and Phosphate I nteractions with the KcsA Potassium Channel. Biochemistry 2010, 49, 1486–1494.
- Volkov, A.; Dockter, C.; Polyhach, Y.; Paulsen, H.; Jeschke, G. Site-Specific Information on Membrane Protein Folding by Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2010, 1, 663–667.
- 90. Dzuba, S.A.; Raap, J. Spin-Echo Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Spectroscopy of a Pore-Forming (Lipo)Pep taibol in Model and Bacterial Membranes. Chem. Biodivers. 2013, 10, 864–875.
- Dzuba, S.A. STRuctural studies of biological membranes using ESEEM spectroscopy of spin labels and deuterium sub stitution. J. Struct. Chem. 2013, 54, S1–S15.
- 92. Zhou, A.; Abu-Baker, S.; Sahu, I.D.; Liu, L.; McCarrick, R.M.; Dabney-Smith, C.; Lorigan, G.A. Determining α-Helical an d β-Sheet Secondary Structures via Pulsed Electron Spin Resonance Spectroscopy. Biochemistry 2012, 51, 7417–741 9.
- 93. Liu, L.; Sahu, I.D.; Mayo, D.J.; McCarrick, R.M.; Troxel, K.; Zhou, A.; Shockley, E.; Lorigan, G.A. Enhancement of Electr on Spin Echo Envelope Modulation Spectroscopic Methods to Investigate the Secondary Structure of Membrane Protei ns. J. Phys. Chem. B 2012, 116, 11041–11045.
- Mayo, D.; Zhou, A.; Sahu, I.; McCarrick, R.; Walton, P.; Ring, A.; Troxel, K.; Coey, A.; Hawn, J.; Emwas, A.H.; et al. Pro bing the structure of membrane proteins with electron spin echo envelope modulation spectroscopy. Protein Sci. 2011, 20, 1100–1104.
- 95. Liu, L.; Mayo, D.J.; Sahu, I.D.; Zhou, A.; Zhang, R.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Determining the Secondary Structur e of Membrane Proteins and Peptides Via Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Spectroscopy. Methods Enzymol. 2015, 564, 289–313.
- 96. Zhang, R.; Sahu, I.D.; Gibson, K.R.; Muhammad, N.; Bali, A.P.; Comer, R.G.; Liu, L.; Craig, A.F.; McCarrick, R.M.; Dabn ey-Smith, C.; et al. Development of Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Spectroscopy to probe the Sec ondary Structure of Recomminant Membrane Proteins in Lipid bilayer. Protein Sci. 2015, 24, 1707–1713.
- 97. Liu, L.; Hess, J.; Sahu, I.D.; FitzGerald, P.G.; McCarrick, R.M. Probing the Local Secondary Structure of Human Viment in with Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. B 2016, 120, 12321–12328.
- Liu, L.; Sahu, I.D.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G. Probing the Secondary Structure of Membrane Peptides Using 2H-labe led d10 Leucine via Site-Directing Spin-Labeling (SDSL) and Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Spect roscopy. J. Phys. Chem. B 2016, 120, 633–640.
- 99. Bottorf, L.; Rafferty, S.; Sahu, I.D.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Utilizing Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation to Distinguish Between the Local Secondary Structures of an α-Helix and an Amphipathic 310-Helical Peptide. J. Phys. C hem. B 2017, 121, 2961–2967.
- 100. Liu, L.; Sahu, I.D.; Bottorf, L.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Investigating the Secondary Structure of Membrane Pepti des Utilizing Multiple 2 H-Labeled Hydrophobic Amino Acids via Electron Spin Echo Envelope Modulation (ESEEM) Sp ectroscopy. Phys. Chem. B 2018, 122, 4388–4396.
- 101. Bottorf, L.; Sahu, I.D.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Utilization of C-13-labeled amino acids to probe the alpha-helical local secondary structure of a membrane peptide using electron spin echo envelope modulation (ESEEM) spectroscop y. Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta Biomembr. 2018, 1860, 1447–1451.

- 102. Colaneri, M.J.; Peisach, J. Electron Spin-Echo Envelope Modulation Studies Of 14N In Biological Systems. In Biomedic al EPR, Part A: Free Radicals, Metals, Medicine, and Physiology; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 2005; Volume 23, pp. 45 5–491.
- 103. Lorigan, G.A.; Britt, R.D.; Kim, J.H.; Hille, R. Electron-spin echo envelope modulation spectroscopy of the molybdenum center of xanthine-oxidase. Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta-Bioenerg. 1994, 1185, 284–294.
- 104. Kubota, T.; Lacroix, J.J.; Bezanilla, F.; Correa, A.M. Probing α -3(10) transitions in a voltage-sensing S4 helix. Biophys. J. 2014, 107, 1117–1128.
- 105. Yu, X.; Lorigan, G.A. Secondary structure, backbone dynamics, and structural topology of phospholamban and its phosphorylated and Arg9Cys-mutated forms in phospholipid bilayers utilizing 13C and 15N solid-state NMR spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. B 2014, 118, 2124–2133.
- 106. Hustedt, E.J.; Beth, A.H. Nitroxide spin-spin interactions: Applications to protein structure and dynamics. Annu. Rev. Bio phys. Biomol. Struct. 1999, 28, 129–153.
- 107. Hustedt, E.J.; Smirnov, A.I.; Laub, C.F.; Cobb, C.E.; Beth, A.H. Molecular distances from dipolar coupled spin-labels: Th e global analysis of multifrequency continuous wave electron paramagnetic resonance data. Biophys. J. 1997, 72, 186 1–1877.
- 108. Hustedt, E.J.; Stein, R.A.; Sethaphong, L.; Brandon, S.; Zhou, Z.; DeSensi, S.C. Dipolar coupling between nitroxide spi n labels: The development and application of a tether-in-a-cone model. Biophys. J. 2006, 90, 340–356.
- 109. Banham, J.E.; Baker, C.M.; Ceola, S.; Day, I.J.; Grant, G.H.; Groenen, E.J.J.; Rodgers, C.T.; Jeschke, G.; Timmel, C.R. Distance measurements in the borderline region of applicability of CW EPR and DEER: A model study on a homologou s series of spin-labelled peptides. J. Magn. Reson. 2008, 191, 202–218.
- 110. Rabenstein, M.D.; Shin, Y.K. Determination of the distance between 2 spin labels attached to a macromolecule. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1995, 92, 8239–8243.
- 111. Czogalla, A.; Pieciul, A.; Jezierski, A.; Sikorski, A.F. Attaching a spin to a protein—Site-directed spin labeling in structura I biology. Acta Biochim. Pol. 2007, 54, 235–244.
- 112. Czogalla, A.; Jaszewski, A.R.; Diakowski, W.; Bok, E.; Jezierski, A.; Sikorski, A.F. Structural insight into an ankyrin-sens itive lipid-binding site of erythroid beta-spectrin. Mol. Membr. Biol. 2007, 24, 215–224.
- 113. Essen, L.O.; Siegert, R.; Lehmann, W.D.; Oesterhelt, D. Lipid patches in membrane protein oligomers: Crystal structure of the bacteriorhodopsin-lipid complex. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1998, 95, 11673–11678.
- 114. Ling, S.; Wang, W.; Yu, L.; Peng, J.; Cai, X.; Xiong, Y.; Hayati, Z.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Z.; Song, L.; et al. Structure of an E. coli integral membrane sulfurtransferase and its structural transition upon SCN- binding defined by EPR-based hybri d method. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 20025.
- 115. Ghimire, H.; Hustedt, E.J.; Sahu, I.D.; Inbaraj, J.J.; McCarrick, R.; Mayo, D.J.; Benedikt, M.R.; Lee, R.T.; Grosser, S.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Distance Measurements on a Dual-Labeled TOAC AChR M2δ Peptide in Mechanically Aligned DMPC Bil ayers via Dipolar Broadening CW-EPR Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. B 2012, 116, 3866–3873.
- 116. Hanelt, I.; Wunnicke, D.; Muller-Trimbusch, M.; Vor der Bruggen, M.; Kraus, I.; Bakker, E.P.; Steinhoff, H.J. Membrane Region M-2C2 in Subunit KtrB of the K+ Uptake System KtrAB from Vibrio alginolyticus Forms a Flexible Gate Controlli ng K+ Flux AN ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE STUDY. J. Biol. Chem. 2010, 285, 28210–28219.
- 117. Sahu, I.D.; Craig, A.F.; Dunagum, M.M.; McCarrick, R.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Characterization of bifunctional spin labels for i nvestigating the structural and dynamic properties of membrane proteins using EPR spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. B 2 017, 121, 9185–9195.
- 118. Steinhoff, H.J. Inter- and intra-molecular distances determined by EPR spectroscopy and site-directed spin labeling rev eal protein-protein and protein-oligonucleotide interaction. Biol. Chem. 2004, 385, 913–920.
- 119. Wegener, A.A.; Klare, J.P.; Engelhard, M.; Steinhoff, H.J. Structural insights into the early steps of receptor-transducer signal transfer in archaeal phototaxis. Embo. J. 2001, 20, 5312–5319.
- 120. Scarpelli, F.; Drescher, M.; Rutters-Meijneke, T.; Holt, A.; Rijkers, D.T.S.; Killian, J.A.; Huber, M. Aggregation of Transm embrane Peptides Studied by Spin-Label EPR. J. Phys. Chem. B 2009, 113, 12257–12264.
- 121. Yu, L.; Wang, W.; Ling, S.L.; He, Y.; Xiao, L.; Wu, K.Q.; Zhang, L.H.; Tian, C.L. Distance measurement between two fle xible sites in proteins in high viscosity medium at physiological temperature using continuous wave EPR. Protein Cell 2 014, 5, 334–337.
- 122. Stone, K.M.; Voska, J.; Kinnebrew, M.; Pavlova, A.; Junk, M.J.N.; Han, S.G. Structural Insight into Proteorhodopsin Olig omers. Biophys. J. 2013, 104, 472–481.

- 123. Majsnerowska, M.; Hanelt, I.; Wunnicke, D.; Schafer, L.V.; Steinhoff, H.J.; Slotboom, D.J. Substrate-Induced Conformat ional Changes in the S-Component ThiT from an Energy Coupling Factor Transporter. Structure 2013, 21, 861–867.
- 124. Jeschke, G.; Polyhach, Y. Distance measurements on spin-labelled biomacromolecules by pulsed electron paramagnet ic resonance. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2007, 9, 1895–1910.
- 125. Borbat, P.P.; McHaourab, H.S.; Freed, J.H. Protein structure determination using long-distance constraints from doublequantum coherence ESR: Study of T4 lysozyme. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5304–5314.
- 126. Jeschke, G. DEER Distance Measurements on Proteins. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 2012, 63, 419–446.
- 127. Schweiger, A.; Jeschke, G. Principles of Pulse Electron. Paramagnetic Resonance; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2001.
- 128. Pannier, M.; Veit, S.; Godt, A.; Jeschke, G.; Spiess, H.W. Dead-time free measurement of dipole-dipole interactions bet ween electron spins. J. Magn. Reson. 2000, 142, 331–340.
- 129. Schiemann, O.; Piton, N.; Mu, Y.G.; Stock, G.; Engels, J.W.; Prisner, T.F. A PELDOR-based nanometer distance ruler fo r oligonucleotides. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5722–5729.
- 130. Milov, A.D.; Tsvetkov, Y.D.; Formaggio, F.; Crisma, M.; Toniolo, C.; Raap, J. Self-assembling properties of membrane-m odifying peptides studied by PELDOR and CW-ESR spectroscopies. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3843–3848.
- 131. Hilger, D.; Jung, H.; Padan, E.; Wegener, C.; Vogel, K.P.; Steinhoff, H.J.; Jeschke, G. Assessing oligomerization of me mbrane proteins by four-pulse DEER: pH-dependent dimerization of NhaA Na+/H+ antiporter of E-coli. Biophys. J. 200 5, 89, 1328–1338.
- 132. Banham, J.E.; Timmel, C.R.; Abbott, R.J.M.; Lea, S.M.; Jeschke, G. The characterization of weak protein-protein intera ctions: Evidence from DEER for the trimerization of a von Willebrand Factor A domain in solution. Angew. Chem. 2006, 45, 1058–1061.
- 133. Sahu, I.D.; Kroncke, B.M.; Zhang, R.; Dunagan, M.M.; Smith, H.J.; Craig, A.; McCarrick, R.M.; Sanders, C.R.; Lorigan, G.A. Structural Investigation of the Transmembrane Domain of KCNE1 in Proteoliposomes. Biochemistry 2014, 53, 639 2–6401.
- 134. Meyer, V.; Swanson, M.A.; Clouston, L.J.; Boratynski, P.J.; Stein, R.A.; McHaourab, H.S.; Rajca, A.; Eaton, S.S.; Eaton, G.R. Room-Temperature Distance Measurements of Immobilized Spin-Labeled Protein by DEER/PELDOR. Biophys. J. 2015, 108, 1213–1219.
- 135. Feintuch, A.; Otting, G.; Goldfarb, D. Gd3+ Spin Labeling for Measuring Distances in Biomacromolecules: Why and Ho w? Methods Enzymol. 2015, 563, 415–457.
- 136. Jassoy, J.J.; Berndhauser, A.; Duthie, F.; Kuhn, S.P.; Hagelueken, G.; Schiemann, O. Versatile Trityl Spin Labels for Na nometer Distance Measurements on Biomolecules In Vitro and within Cells. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 177–181.
- 137. Yang, Z.Y.; Ji, M.; Cunningham, T.F.; Saxena, S. Cu2+ as an ESR Probe of Protein Structure and Function. Methods E nzymol. 2015, 563, 459–481.
- 138. Joseph, B.; Tormyshev, V.M.; Rogozhnikova, O.Y.; Akhmetzyanov, D.; Bagryanskaya, E.G.; Prisner, T.F. Selective High-Resolution Detection of Membrane Protein-Ligand Interaction in Native Membranes Using Trityl-Nitroxide PELDOR. An gew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 11538–11542.
- Jeschke, G.; Chechik, V.; Ionita, P.; Godt, A.; Zimmermann, H.; Banham, J.; Timmel, C.R.; Hilger, D.; Jung, H. DeerAnal ysis2006—A comprehensive software package for analyzing pulsed ELDOR data. Appl. Magn. Reson. 2006, 30, 473–4 98.
- Tait, C.E.; Stoll, S. Coherent pump pulses in Double Electron Electron Resonance spectroscopy. Phys. Chem. Chem. P hys. 2016, 18, 18470–18485.
- 141. Joseph, B.; Jaumann, E.A.; Sikora, A.; Barth, K.; Prisner, T.F.; Cafiso, D.S. In situ observation of conformational dynami cs and protein ligand-substrate interactions in outer-membrane proteins with DEER/PELDOR spectroscopy. Nat. Proto c. 2019, 14, 2344–2369.
- 142. Borbat, P.P.; Georgieva, E.R.; Freed, J.H. Improved Sensitivity for Long-Distance Measurements in Biomolecules: Five-Pulse Double Electron-Electron Resonance. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2013, 4, 170–175.
- 143. Spindler, P.E.; Waclawska, I.; Endeward, B.; Plackrneyer, J.; Ziegler, C.; Prisner, T.F. Carr-Purcell Pulsed Electron Doub le Resonance with Shaped Inversion Pulses. J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 4331–4335.
- 144. Zou, P.; McHaourab, H.S. Increased Sensitivity and Extended Range of Distance Measurements in Spin-Labeled Mem brane Proteins: Q-Band Double Electron-Electron Resonance and Nanoscale Bilayers. Biophys. J. 2010, 98, L18–L20.
- 145. McHaourab, H.S.; Steed, P.R.; Kazmier, K. Toward the fourth dimension of membrane protein structure: Insight into dyn amics from spin-labeling EPR spectroscopy. Structure 2011, 19, 1549–1561.

- 146. Zou, P.; Bortolus, M.; McHaourab, H.S. Conformational Cycle of the ABC Transporter MsbA in Liposomes: Detailed Ana lysis Using Double Electron-Electron Resonance Spectroscopy. J. Mol. Biol. 2009, 393, 586–597.
- 147. Endeward, B.; Butterwick, J.A.; MacKinnon, R.; Prisner, T.F. Pulsed Electron-Electron Double-Resonance Determinatio n of Spin-Label Distances and Orientations on the Tetrameric Potassium Ion Channel KcsA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 1 31, 15246–15250.
- 148. Georgieva, E.R.; Ramlall, T.F.; Borbat, P.P.; Freed, J.H.; Eliezer, D. Membrane-bound alpha-synuclein forms an extend ed helix: Long-distance pulsed ESR measurements using vesicles, bicelles, and rodlike micelles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 20 08, 130, 12856–12857.
- 149. Xu, Q.; Ellena, J.F.; Kim, M.; Cafiso, D.S. Substrate-dependent unfolding of the energy coupling motif of a membrane tr ansport protein determined by double electron-electron resonance. Biochemistry 2006, 45, 10847–10854.
- 150. Polyhach, Y.; Bordignon, E.; Tschaggelar, R.; Gandra, S.; Godt, A.; Jeschke, G. High sensitivity and versatility of the DE ER experiment on nitroxide radical pairs at Q-band frequencies. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2012, 14, 10762–10773.
- 151. Cunningham, T.F.; Putterman, M.R.; Desai, A.; Horne, W.S.; Saxena, S. The Double-Histidine Cu2+-Binding Motif: A Hi ghly Rigid, Site-Specific Spin Probe for Electron Spin Resonance Distance Measurements. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 201 5, 54, 6330–6334.
- 152. Li, C.C.; Hung, C.L.; Yeh, P.S.; Li, C.E.; Chiang, Y.W. Doubly spin-labeled nanodiscs to improve structural determinatio n of membrane proteins by ESR. Rsc. Adv. 2019, 9, 9014–9021.
- 153. Georgieva, E.R. Nanoscale lipid membrane mimetics in spin-labeling and electron paramagnetic resonance spectrosco py studies of protein structure and function. Nanotechnol. Rev. 2017, 6, 75–92.
- 154. Jao, C.C.; Hegde, B.G.; Chen, J.; Haworth, I.S.; Langen, R. Structure of membrane-bound alpha-synuclein from site-dir ected spin labeling and computational refinement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008, 105, 19666–19671.
- 155. Milikisiyants, S.; Wang, S.L.; Munro, R.A.; Donohue, M.; Ward, M.E.; Bolton, D.; Brown, L.S.; Smirnova, T.I.; Ladizhans ky, V.; Smirnov, A.I. Oligomeric Structure of Anabaena Sensory Rhodopsin in a Lipid Bilayer Environment by Combining Solid-State NMR and Long-range DEER Constraints. J. Mol. Biol. 2017, 429, 1903–1920.
- 156. Shen, R.; Han, W.; Fiorin, G.; Islam, S.M.; Schulten, K.; Roux, B. Structural Refinement of Proteins by Restrained Mole cular Dynamics Simulations with Non-interacting Molecular Fragments. Plos Comput. Biol. 2015, 11, e1004368.
- 157. Vicente, E.F.; Sahu, I.D.; Costa-Filho, A.J.; Cilli, E.M.; Lorigan, G.A. Conformational changes of the HsDHODH N-termi nal Microdomain via DEER Spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. B 2015, 119, 8693–8697.
- 158. Georgieva, E.R.; Borbat, P.P.; Norman, H.D.; Freed, J.H. Mechanism of influenza A M2 transmembrane domain assem bly in lipid membranes. Sci. Rep. 2015, 5, 1–12.
- 159. Dixit, M.; Kim, S.; Matthews, G.F.; Erreger, K.; Galli, A.; Cobb, C.E.; Hustedt, E.J.; Beth, A.H. Structural Arrangement of the Intracellular Ca2+ Binding Domains of the Cardiac Na+/Ca2+ Exchanger (NCX1.1) EFFECTS OF Ca2+ BINDING. J. Biol. Chem. 2013, 288, 4194–4207.
- Hilger, D.; Polyhach, Y.; Jung, H.; Jeschke, G. Backbone Structure of Transmembrane Domain IX of the Na+/Proline Tr ansporter PutP of Escherichia coli. Biophys. J. 2009, 96, 217–225.
- 161. Kroncke, B.M.; Van Horn, W.D.; Smith, J.; Kang, C.B.; Welch, R.C.; Song, Y.L.; Nannemann, D.P.; Taylor, K.C.; Sisco, N.J.; George, A.L.; et al. Structural basis for KCNE3 modulation of potassium recycling in epithelia. Sci. Adv. 2016, 2, e 1501228.
- Barrett, P.J.; Song, Y.; Van Horn, W.D.; Hustedt, E.J.; Schafer, J.M.; Hadziselimovic, A.; Beel, A.J.; Sanders, C.R. The A myloid Precursor Protein Has a Flexible Transmembrane Domain and Binds Cholesterol. Science 2012, 336, 1168–117
 1.
- 163. Mullen, A.; Hall, J.; Diegel, J.; Hassan, I.; Fey, A.; MacMillan, F. Membrane transporters studied by EPR spectroscopy: Structure determination and elucidation of functional dynamics. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2016, 44, 905–915.
- 164. Yang, Y.; Ramelot, T.A.; McCarrick, R.M.; Ni, S.; Feldmann, E.A.; Cort, J.R.; Wang, H.; Ciccosanti, C.; Jiang, M.; Janju a, H.; et al. Combining NMR and EPR Methods for Homodimer Protein Structure Determination. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 20 10, 132, 11910–11913.
- 165. Basak, S.; Schmandt, N.; Gicheru, Y.; Chakrapani, S. Crystal structure and dynamics of a lipid induced potential desen sitized-state of a pentameric ligand-gated channel. Elife 2017, 6, e23886.
- 166. Herneisen, A.L.; Sahu, I.D.; McCarrick, R.M.; Feix, J.B.; Lorigan, G.A.; Howard, K.P. A Budding-Defective M2 Mutant E xhibits Reduced Membrane Interaction, Insensitivity to Cholesterol, and Perturbed Interdomain Coupling. Biochemistry 2017, 56, 5955–5963.

- 167. Kumar, P.; Van Son, M.; Zheng, T.T.; Valdink, D.; Raap, J.; Kros, A.; Huber, M. Coiled-coil formation of the membrane-fu sion K/E peptides viewed by electron paramagnetic resonance. PLoS ONE 2018, 13, e0191197.
- 168. Louis, J.M.; Baber, J.L.; Ghirlando, R.; Aniana, A.; Bax, A.; Roche, J. Insights into the Conformation of the Membrane P roximal Regions Critical to the Trimerization of the HIV-1 gp41 Ectodomain Bound to Dodecyl Phosphocholine Micelles. PLoS ONE 2016, 11, e0160597.
- 169. Puljung, M.C.; DeBerg, H.A.; Zagotta, W.N.; Stoll, S. Double electron-electron resonance reveals cAMP-induced confor mational change in HCN channels. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2014, 111, 9816–9821.
- 170. Martens, C.; Stein, R.A.; Masureel, M.; Roth, A.; Mishra, S.; Dawaliby, R.; Konijnenberg, A.; Sobott, F.; Govaerts, C.; M cHaourab, H.S. Lipids modulate the conformational dynamics of a secondary multidrug transporter. Nat. Struct. Mol. Bi ol. 2016, 23, 744–745.
- 171. Dalmas, O.; Hyde, H.C.; Hulse, R.E.; Perozo, E. Symmetry-Constrained Analysis of Pulsed Double Electron-Electron R esonance (DEER) Spectroscopy Reveals the Dynamic Nature of the KcsA Activation Gate. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 13 4, 16360–16369.
- 172. Merten, J.A.; Schultz, K.M.; Klug, C.S. Concentration-dependent oligomerization and oligomeric arrangement of LptA. Protein Sci. 2012, 21, 211–218.
- 173. Bracher, S.; Hilger, D.; Guerin, K.; Polyhach, Y.; Jeschke, G.; Krafczyk, R.; Giacomelli, G.; Jung, H. Comparison of the f unctional properties of trimeric and monomeric CaiT of Escherichia coli. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 1–14.
- 174. Teucher, M.; Zhang, H.; Bader, V.; Winklhofer, K.F.; Garcia-Saez, A.J.; Rajca, A.; Bleicken, S.; Bordignon, E. A new pers pective on membrane-embedded Bax oligomers using DEER and bioresistant orthogonal spin labels. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 1–15.
- 175. Joseph, B.; Sikora, A.; Bordignon, E.; Jeschke, G.; Cafiso, D.S.; Prisner, T.F. Distance Measurement on an Endogenou s Membrane Transporter in E-coli Cells and Native Membranes Using EPR Spectroscopy. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6196–6199.
- 176. Joseph, B.; Sikora, A.; Cafiso, D.S. Ligand Induced Conformational Changes of a Membrane Transporter in E-coli Cells Observed with DEER/PELDOR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 1844–1847.
- 177. Riederer, E.A.; Focke, P.J.; Georgieva, E.R.; Akyuz, N.; Matulef, K.; Borbat, P.P.; Freed, J.H.; Blanchard, S.C.; Boudker, O.; Valiyaveetil, F.I. A Facile approach forte in vitro assembly of multimeric membrane transport proteins. Elife 2018, 7, e36478.
- 178. Georgieva, E.R.; Borbat, P.P.; Ginter, C.; Freed, J.H.; Boudker, O. Conformational ensemble of the sodium-coupled asp artate transporter. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2013, 20, 215–221.
- 179. Bleicken, S.; Jeschke, G.; Stegmueller, C.; Salvador-Gallego, R.; Garcia-Saez, A.J.; Bordignon, E. Structural Model of Active Bax at the Membrane. Mol. Cell 2014, 56, 496–505.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/27136