Korean Taekwondo Athletes

Subjects: Physics, Particles & Fields Contributor: Sang-Seok Nam

This study aimed to present a standard and normal distribution of Taekwondo athletes' physical characteristics and physical fitness profiles using a systematic review. A systematic search was conducted using four Korean databases (Research Information Sharing Service, National Digital Science Library, DBpia, and Korean Studies Information Service System). From 2010 to 2020, we reviewed 838 papers on Taekwondo athletes' physical characteristics and physical fitness factors (e.g., body composition, muscle strength, muscular endurance, flexibility, cardiorespiratory fitness, power, agility, balance, speed, and reaction time).

Keywords: taekwondo ; physical characteristics ; physical fitness ; systematic review ; normal distribution

1. Introduction

Taekwondo is an international martial arts sport conducted in 210 countries worldwide as an official Olympic sport. A Taekwondo competition occurs in three rounds, with a duration of 2 min per round and a rest duration of 1 min between rounds ^[1]. Athletes who score more points or knock out their opponent win. During a competition, athletes use powerful and fast kicks and punches on their opponent's trunk and sometimes kicks to the face ^[2]. These movements are high-intensity anaerobic or aerobic exercises that induce powerful lower-extremity movements ^[3]. In addition, agility, flexibility, and muscular endurance are required to maintain an excellent performance among Taekwondo athletes ^{[4][5][6]}. Therefore, it is necessary to manage physical fitness factors to improve their performance ^[Z]. This can be achieved by accurately evaluating the level of fitness of athletes and setting goals. Athletes need to know their physical characteristics and physical fitness profiles for effective training because high levels of physical fitness can affect their exercise performance ^[B]. Suppose there is a basis for the standard distribution of physical fitness profiles necessary for the characteristics of sports events. In this case, it can be used to evaluate athletes' fitness levels and set training goals. Although the physical fitness profiles of taekwondo athletes have been well described in the previous studies, no studies have examined the standard distribution of physical fitness functions for glace fitness levels in the previous studies, no studies have examined the standard distribution of physical fitness studies, no studies have examined the standard distribution of physical fitness levels and set training describes have examined the standard distribution of physical fitness levels and set training describes have examined the standard distribution of physical fitness levels and set training describes have examined the standard distribution of physical fitness have been well described in the previous

Heller et al. ^[9] compared the physical fitness factors of 23 national Taekwondo athletes from the Czech Republic to those of the general public. Meanwhile, Marković ^[10] divided 13 women from the Croatian national Taekwondo team into medalwinning and non-medal-winning athletes at World Championships or Olympic Games, comparing the physical fitness profiles between them. In addition, Mathunjwa et al. ^[11] studied the physical characteristics of 36 internationally ranked junior Taekwondo athletes; the physical fitness test results were standardized in z-scores, which were then compared among the athletes. Furthermore, Bridge et al. ^[12] and da Silva Santos et al. ^[13] reported the physical characteristics and physical fitness of Taekwondo athletes using a systematic review but did not present any quantitative results.

Previous studies have provided information on the physical characteristics and physical fitness of Taekwondo athletes ^[12] ^[13]. However, it is difficult to use them as a specific indicator because there is no standard distribution to evaluate the level of physical fitness of Taekwondo athletes. Standard distribution data are needed to determine the mean and percentile values of Taekwondo athletes' physical characteristics and physical fitness parameters. In general, a standard distribution is meaningful when the measurement results of a large sample form a normal distribution ^[14]. However, it can be analyzed via a systematic review using the measured variables in a previous study ^[15]. In other words, the results could be interpreted as a normal distribution when the sum of sample sizes is sufficiently large by integrating each previous study ^[15]. Nevertheless, the validity and reliability of the resulting values can be questioned if different prior studies have different measurement tools. However, the measurement of physical characteristics and physical fitness variables has become common worldwide. Furthermore, the systematic review method can resolve the concerns about reliability and validity by eliminating extreme values when integrating the results of variables ^[15]. Thus, the standard distribution of physical characteristics and physical fitness factors can be estimated using the pooled mean and pooled standard deviation from previous studies. The purpose of this study was to present a standard and normal distribution of Taekwondo athletes' physical characteristics and physical fitness profiles using a systematic review.

2. Analysis on Results

For the male Taekwondo athletes, the total sample size in relation to the physical characteristics was 224–430, and the estimated error was $\pm 0.28-2.79\%$. The estimated error was the smallest height and the largest percentage of body fat. In addition, the estimated error of body mass index (BMI) (n = 224, $\pm 0.89\%$) was smaller than that of the percentage of body fat (n = 236, $\pm 2.79\%$).

The estimated values of each grade were calculated by applying the pooled mean and pooled standard deviation for each physical characteristic to the normal distribution and setting the grade at 10% intervals of cumulative probability. Examples of the estimated values corresponding to the top 10% of each physical characteristic in the study results were as follows: (1) the top 10% for the BMI of the male Taekwondo athletes was 20.0–20.4 kg/m 2; and (2) the top 10% for the percentage of body fat of the female Taekwondo athletes was 18.3–19.8%. The estimated normal distribution and 95% confidence interval of each physical characteristic are listed in **Table 1**.

Sex	Variables	95% CI	1%	5%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	99%
	Height	95% LV	165.2	168.8	170.7	173.1	174.7	176.2	177.5	178.8	180.3	181.9	184.3	186.2	189.8
	(cm)	95% UV	166.2	169.8	171.7	174.1	175.7	177.2	178.5	179.8	181.3	182.9	185.3	187.2	190.8
	Weight	95% LV	43.1	51.2	55.5	60.7	64.4	67.6	70.6	73.6	76.9	80.6	85.8	90.1	98.2
Malo	(kg)	95% UV	45.3	53.4	57.7	62.9	66.7	69.9	72.9	75.9	79.1	82.8	88.1	92.4	100.4
	Percentage of body fat	95% LV	18.8	16.9	15.9	14.7	13.8	13.0	12.3	11.6	10.9	10.0	8.8	7.8	5.9
	(%)	95% UV	19.5	17.6	16.6	15.4	14.5	13.7	13.0	12.3	11.6	10.7	9.5	8.5	6.6
	BMI (kg/m²)	95% LV	25.5	24.5	23.9	23.2	22.8	22.3	22.0	21.6	21.2	20.7	20.0	19.5	18.5
		95% UV	25.9	24.8	24.3	23.6	23.2	22.7	22.4	22.0	21.6	21.1	20.4	19.9	18.8
	Height	95% LV	154.7	158.4	160.4	162.8	164.5	166.0	167.4	168.8	170.3	172.0	174.4	176.4	180.2
	(cm)	95% UV	156.8	160.6	162.5	165.0	166.7	168.2	169.6	171.0	172.4	174.2	176.6	178.6	182.3
Female	Weight	95% LV	45.5	49.5	51.6	54.2	56.1	57.7	59.1	60.6	62.2	64.1	66.7	68.8	72.8
reillaid	(kg)	95% UV	47.8	51.8	53.9	56.5	58.4	60.0	61.5	63.0	64.5	66.4	69.0	71.1	75.2
	Percentage	95% LV	30.2	27.9	26.7	25.3	24.3	23.4	22.5	21.7	20.8	19.8	18.3	17.1	14.9
	of body fat (%)	95% UV	31.6	29.4	28.2	26.7	25.7	24.8	24.0	23.1	22.2	21.2	19.8	18.6	16.3

Table 1. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for the Taekwondo athletes' physical characteristics.

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; LV, lower value; and UV, upper value.

The estimated values of each grade were calculated by applying the pooled mean and pooled standard deviation for each physical fitness variable to the normal distribution and setting the grade at 10% intervals of cumulative probability.

Examples of the estimated values corresponding to the top 10% of each physical fitness variable in the study results were as follows: (1) the top 10% for the hand-grip strength of the male Taekwondo athletes was 49.1–51.5 kg; and (2) the top 10% for the VO 2max of the female Taekwondo athletes was 61.2–63.8 mL/kg/min. The estimated normal distribution and

95% confidence interval of each physical fitness variable are listed in Table 2 .

 Table 2. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals for the Taekwondo athletes' physical fitness.

Sex	Variables	95% Cl	1%	5%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	99%
Male	Hand-grip strength	95% LV	33.3	36.3	37.9	39.8	41.2	42.4	43.5	44.6	45.8	47.2	49.1	50.7	53.7
	(kg)	95% UV	35.7	38.7	40.3	42.2	43.6	44.8	45.9	47.0	48.2	49.5	51.5	53.1	56.0
	Back strength	95% LV	70.2	83.5	90.7	99.3	105.5	110.8	115.8	120.7	126.1	132.3	140.9	148.0	161.4
	(kg)	95% UV	78.9	92.2	99.4	108.0	114.2	119.5	124.5	129.4	134.8	141.0	149.6	156.7	170.1
	Sit-up per	95% LV	18.9	22.0	23.6	25.6	27.0	28.3	29.4	30.5	31.8	33.2	35.2	36.8	39.9
	30 s (times)	95% UV	21.2	24.2	25.9	27.8	29.3	30.5	31.6	32.8	34.0	35.4	37.4	39.0	42.1
	Sit-up per	95% LV	42.2	46.4	48.6	51.3	53.2	54.9	56.4	57.9	59.6	61.5	64.2	66.4	70.6
	60 s (times)	95% UV	44.2	48.4	50.6	53.3	55.2	56.9	58.4	60.0	61.6	63.5	66.2	68.4	72.6
	Sit and	95% LV	-0.1	4.3	6.7	9.6	11.6	13.4	15.1	16.7	18.5	20.5	23.4	25.8	30.2
	reach (cm)	95% UV	1.7	6.1	8.5	11.4	13.4	15.2	16.8	18.5	20.3	22.3	25.2	27.6	32.0
	Backward	95% LV	40.6	45.2	47.7	50.8	52.9	54.8	56.5	58.3	60.1	62.3	65.3	67.8	72.5
	flexion (cm)	95% UV	44.7	49.4	51.9	54.9	57.1	59.0	60.7	62.4	64.3	66.5	69.5	72.0	76.7
	VO ₂ max	95% LV	33.7	38.9	41.6	45.0	47.4	49.5	51.4	53.3	55.4	57.8	61.2	63.9	69.1
Refere	e n@@@ \$g/min)	95%													

UV 36.3 41.5 44.3 47.6 50.0 52.1 54.0 56.0 58.0 60.4 63.8 66.6 71.8 1. Janiszewska, K.; Przybyłowicz, K. Pre-competition weight loss among Polish taekwondo competitors–occurrence,

methods and health ^{95%} sequence for the sequence of the sequ

2. Kazemi, MupMpalen, J.; Morgan, C.; White, A.R. A profile of olympic taekwondo competitors. J. Sports Sci. Med. 2006, 5, 114–121. UV 160.1 166.6 170.0 174.2 177.2 179.8 182.2 184.6 187.2 190.2 194.4 197.9 204.3

- 3. Kim, H.-B.; Jung, H. **1**5% Song, J.-K.: Chai, J.-H.; Lee, E.-J. A follow-up study on the physique, body composition, 80.5 85.0 87.4 90.4 92.5 94.3 95.9 97.6 99.4 101.5 104.4 106.8 111.4 physical **dimensional iso** kinetic strength of female collegiate Taekwondo athletes. J. Exerc. Rehabil. 2015, 11, 57–64.
- (times) 4. Campos, F.A.; Bertu^{25%} R.; 20 aura 199.7 A.CarSantosa V.G. 9 Franchini, E. Sonergy ademands in tacks von do. athletes duringo combat simulation. Graefe's Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol. 2011, 112, 1221–1228.
- 95% 5. Ball, N.; Scalanne E.; Wbeeler, 8. Anthropornet Car, 29 Hysionogical, 3 and Tracked 290 wer 296 hiles 50 Elites ack word of 273.2 Athletes 1979 August before the Olympic Competition Phase J. Strength Cond. Res. 2011, 25, 2752–2763
- Athletes long weeks before the Olympic Competition Phase. J. Strength Cond. Res. 2011, 25, 2752–2763. (cm) 95% 212.8 222.2 227.3 233.4 237.8 241.6 245.1 248.6 252.4 256.8 262.9 268.0 277.4 6. Zar, A.; Gilani, A.; Ebrahim, K.; Gorbani, M. A survey of the physical fitness of the male taekwondo athletes of the
- Iranian national teams facta Univ. Ser. Phys. Educ. Sport 2008, 6, 21–29. LV 41.4 45.0 46.9 49.2 50.8 52.3 53.6 54.9 56.3 58.0 60.3 62.2 65.8 7. Seo, M.-Wertical, H.-C.; Song, J.-K.; Kim, H.-B. Effect of 8 weeks of pre-season training on body composition, physical fitness, anaerobic capacity, a_{3} is obsigetic a_{3} is close to a_{3} in malc2 and the second sec
- Whole- 95% 8. Andreato, همان Lara, آلال.D.9: אולר אולד: אין אין אין גראל אין גראל אין גראל גראלין אין גראלין אין גראלין גראלין גראלין גראלין גראלין אין גראלין גראלי גראלין גראלי
- Athletes: Review. Sports Med. Open 2017, 3, 9.

 time (light, 95%
 0.355
 0.336
 0.326
 0.314
 0.306
 0.298
 0.292
 0.285
 0.277
 0.269
 0.257
 0.247
 0.229

 9. Heller, J.; Peric, T.; Diouha, R.; Kohlikova, E.; Melichna, J.; Nováková, H. Physiological profiles of male and female
 taekwon-don dir F)
 blagk/belts. J. Sports Sci. 1998, 16, 243–249.

 taekwon-don dir F)
 0.346
 0.324
 0.313
 0.299
 0.288
 0.272
 0.263
 0.255
 0.245
 0.219
 0.197
- body LV 0.346 0.324 0.313 0.299 0.288 0.280 0.272 0.263 0.255 0.245 0.230 0.219 0.197 10. Marković_r excitivisigoj-Duraković, M.; Trninić, S. Fitness profile of elite Croatian female taekwondo athletes. Coll.
- Antropol. 2005, 29, 95%99.0.363 0.341 0.330 0.315 0.305 0.297 0.288 0.280 0.272 0.261 0.247 0.236 0.214 (sound, ms) UV
- Mathunjwa, M.; Mugandani, S.; Djarova-Daniels, T.; Ngcobo, M.; Ivanov, S. Physical, anthropometric and physiological profiles of experienced junior male and female South African Taekwondo athletes. Afr. J. Phys. Health Educ. Recreat. Danc. 2015, 21, 1402–1416.
- 12. Bridge, C.A.; da Silva Santos, J.F.; Chaabene, H.; Pieter, W.; Franchini, E. Physical and Physiological Profiles of Taekwondo Athletes. Sports Med. 2014, 44, 713–733.

103–121.							-			0		hysiolog 95% J. 2020,	
Eye	s- 95%	-23.6 -7.8	0.5	10.7	18.0	24.2	30.1	35.9	42.2	49.5	59.6	68.0	83.
. Krithikada tte ş single	행! Normal di -leg	istribution. J.	Conserv.	Dent. 2	2014, 1	7, 96–9	97.						
. Impellizzetaņ	95% (14); Bizzijni,	M1253YSTEA	IATI U·R E\	/ #⊒% /AI	N 499-4 /1E	T 345-5 AN	A 4143 15	S: 47.₽ R	IN7∮≜AR.	In £0 37. S	Sp ØØt 9 P	h ÿ§.2 Th	el <mark>95.</mark>
2012, 7, 493–													
. Pieter, W. Per													694
. Bouhlel, E	er Aµini, A9,5%3m	nada N. 424	zi, <u>A</u> bo	dallah, k	ζ ₅ Β.sTa	abka ₉ Z	Heart	rate an	d_bloo	d lactate		nses.du	ring
Taekwondo tr	aining and c	ompetition. S	Sci. Sports	s 2006,	21, 28	5–290.							
. Cao, ZB. Manaero	k ¥atake, ∭.; I	Higuschi, Nd.7	Ishikeeawa-	Tanaksaata,	Ku;₂ Miy	∕aucohsi, N	1. 10.a ba	at a1.12 P	rendiostic	on 122f.0/C	D21121.33X \	wi tb.o lai	ly 1531
counts for RPay (relat	manese adult												-
. McArdle, WAD	050/	; Ksaztch, \all.	Exeonocise	p toys iol	0 100//6 Ni	utrition,	Ennesrgy	y, 1311.16 0 H	-lunnanan	Pezforr	na u300 e.	Lippopianco	ot t1.4
Williams & W	(g) UV İkins: Philad	lelphia, PA, L	JSA, 2010).	0,		0.						
Wier, L.T. Ja	k 95% kson, A.S.:	A474545, G5.914.2	Ar ēffa te.	699 %	exe icis	: @945 de	e 193 07 e	e 3fAn& ti	n 7₽₩ Đ:	21 7199x6 w	/it 844√a is	st 8917th . 1	0 86 0
fat, or BMP99									9.0				
(abso Chun, Syalyie	0.00/					717,3	746.5	775.7	806.9	843.4	894. <u>1</u>	93 <u>5</u> .9	101
										netic Si	trength	ot Ankie	e on
Athletic Perfo		29.2 34.5	37.3	40.8	43.2	45.3	47.3	49.3	51.4	53.9	57.3	60.1	65
. Choi, D. Psa,kp													
muscular fund	tion, bate inc	e and başica	phy <u>sica</u> l fi	tness o	f fen al	e₄kaigh s	school	velleyb	all play	er <u>s</u> a.∦Ko	rean_J.	Sports	Sçi ₈
12012 9, 28, 125	1–1263.												
				40.2	-1/1/0c−1\//	/di1+6-5 D	eli-eae ∎oti	012875C	o 17468 ri	s ð# 2t€l	B 1442-18 a	s ê61\4 /e	io ih7 f
	95% estimation a												. 9
	95% estimation a									-64.			. <u>9</u>
Status and H	95% estimation a xion W It Varies /	Across Socio	-Demogra	aphic Fa	actors.	J. Sch.	Health	n 2011,	81, 57-				-
Status and s (60/s) a Provencher, N	estimation a xion Nm) Varies / 95% 1.T.; Chellia	Across Socio , 77.0 93.2 , J.; Sanchez	Demogra 101.8 z, G.; Cinq	aphic Fa 112.2 Jue, M.E	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N	Health 1 32.1 I.I.; Wh	n 2011, 1 38.1 alen, J.	81, 57 1 44.5 ; Price	, 152.0	162.4 Moatsh	171.0 e, G.;	-
Status and H	esetimation a xion Nm)t Varies / 95% 1.T.; Chelvila, Bedy Mass	Across Socio , 77.0 93.2 , J.; Sanchez Index Versu	o-Demogra z, G.; Cinq is Body Fa	aphic Fa 112.2 Jue, M.E at Perce	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken entage	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros	Health 132.1 I.I.; Wh pective	n 2011, 1 38.1 Jalen, J. Nation	81, 57 ; 144.5 ; Price al Foo	, 152.0 , M.D.; tball Le;	162.4 Moatsh ague At	171.0 e, G.; hletes:	187
Status action for the second s	95% xion NM Varies 95% 1.T.; Chelvia, Bedy yass n of Obesity	Across Socio , J.; Sanchez Index Versu / Rate in Athi	D-Demogra 101.8 z, G.; Cinq is Body Fa Body Fa istes at the	aphic Fa 112.2 Jue, M.E at Perce 173.5 e Natior	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken entage 1841 nal Foc	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 1931 totall Le	Health 132.1 I.I.; Wh pective 201.5 eague s	1 2011, 138.1 alen, J. Nation 210.0 Scouting	81, 57 ; Price al Foo 219.0 g Com	152.0 , M.D.; tball Le 229.5 pine. J.	162.4 Moatsh ague At 244.2 Strengt	171.0 e, G.; hletes: hCond	187 . 278
Status aint field Status aint	95% sxion Nm) Varies / 95% 1.T.; Chalila Beody 95% n of Obesity sion Nm) 0195%	Across Socio 77.0 93.2 J.; Sanchez Index Versu Rate in Ath 137.6 160.3	D-Demogra 2, G.; Cinq 158.9 Betes at the 172.4	aphic Fa 112.2 ue, M.E at Perce 173.5 e Nation 187.0	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken entage 184.1 nal Foc 197.5	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 1931 Le	Health 132.1 J.I.; Wh pective 201.5 eague s	2011, 138.1 alen, J. Nation 210.0 Scouting	81, 57- 144.5 ; Price al Foo g Comi 232.4	152.0 , M.D.; tball Lea pine. J. 243.0	162.4 Moatsh ague At 244.2 Strengt 257.6	171.0 e, G.; hletes: hCond 269.7	187 . 278
Status and the second s	estimation a xion Nm) Varies 95% 1.T.; Chelvila. Bedy yass n of Obesity ion 0195% essitore, X.; 1	Across Socio 77.0 93.2 J.; Sanchez Index Versu 242 1146.8 144.2 Rate in Athi 137.6 160.3 Lupo, C.; Am	D-Demogra 2, G.; Cinq 101,8 3, G.; Cinq 158,9 158,9 158,9 158,9 158,9 158,9 158,9 158,9 158,9 158,9 164,5 172,4 10 172,4 10 172,4	aphic Fa 112.2 Jue, M.E at Perce 173.5 e Natior 187.0 , A.; Col	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken entage 1841 nal Foc 197.5 rtis, C.	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 1931 tball Le 206.6 ; Capra	Health 132.1 J.I.; Wh pective 2015 eague s 215.0 nica, L	2011, 138.1 alen, J. Nation 210.0 Scouting 223.4 . Effects	81, 57 ; Price al Foo g ^{219,0} g ^{232,4} s of offi	152.0 , M.D.; tball Lea bine. J. 243.0 cial you	162,4 Moatsh ague At 244,2 Strengt 257.6 uth taek	171.0 e, G.; hletes: h Cond 269.7 wondo	187 . 278 . Re
Status aint field Provencher, M LaPrade Bit Field Overestimation 2018, 32 ^{extent} (60 ^o)s, Chiodo, S.; Te competitions	estimation a xion Nm Varies / 95% 4.T.; Chelvia, Bedy Mass n of Obesity ion 0195% essitore, V.; I on jumpessod	Across Socio 77.0 93.2 J.; Sanchez Index Versu 1242 146.8 137.6 160.3 Lupo, C.; Am strength seq	b-Demogra 101.8 IS Body Fa 158.9 158.9 158.9 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4 172.4	aphic Fa 112.2 Jue, M.E at Perce 173.5 ior 187.0 , A.; Col 187.0 , A.; Col 187.1	actors. 119.7 E., Ken entage 1841 1841 1875 rdi Foc 197.5 rtis, C. Sport 113.4	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 193.1 Le 206.6 ; Capra Sci. 20 120.0	Health I.1.; Wh pective 2015 eague s 215.0 nica, L 12, 12,	2011, 138.1 138.1 210.0 210.0 223.4 . Effects 113.4	81, 57- ; Price al Foo g Com 232.4 s of offi 20 139.0	152.0 , M.D.; tball Le 229.5 oine. J. 243.0 cial you 146.7	162.4 Moatsh ague At 244.2 Strengt 257.6 uth taek 157.4	171.0 e, G.; hletes: 256.3 h Cond 269.7 wondo 166.3	187 . 278 . Re
Status and the second s	95% avion Nm) Varies 95% 1.T.; Chelvila. Bedy Mass n of Obesity ion 0195% essitore, X.; I on jum 95% avion LV con jum 200 avion LV con jum con	Across Socio 77.0 93.2 Index Versu 742 146.8 Rate in Athi 137.6 160.3 Lupo, C.; Am strength gen ; Hitchen, P.;	b-Demogra z, G.; Cinq Is Body Fa Is Body Fa Is 172.4 Inmendolia Informance Sanchez,	aphic Fa 112 : 2 ue, M.E at Perce 173 : 5 187.0 , A.; Col 187.0 , A.; Col 105.7 J. 105.7	actors. 119.7 ; Ken entage 184.1 nal Foc 197.5 rtis, C. . Sport 113.4 art rate	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 193.1 tball Le 206.6 ; Capra Sci. 20 respon	Health 132-1 1.1.; Wh pective 2015 215.0 nica, L 126.2 ses to	2011, 138.1 138.1 210.0 223.4 223.4 . Effect: 113.4 132.4 Taekwo	81, 57- 144.5 ; Price al Foo 219.0 232.4 s of offi 20 139.0 ondo tra	152.0 , M.D.; tball Leo pine. J. 243.0 cial you 146.7 aining ir	162.4 Moatsh ague At 244.2 Strengt 257.6 uth taek 157.4 n experi	171.0 e, G.; hletes: h ^{256.3} dh Cond 269.7 wondo 166.3 enced	187 . 278 292 182
Status and the second s	estimation a xion Nm) Varies 95% 1.T.; Chelvia. Bedy yass n of Obesity ion 0195% essitore, X.; I on jumpsand rice LV Xion LV Xion S, M.A.; J. Strength (Across Socio , 77.0, 93.2 Index Versu , 72.6 Index Versu , 72.6 124.2 , 72.6 137.6 100.3 Lupo, C.; Am strength, pei ; Hitchen, P.; Cond. Ress.0	b-Demogra 2, G.; Cinq Is Body Fa 158.9 letes at the a 172.4 mendolia rformance Sanchez, 2007.9428, 7	aphic Fa 112.2 ue, M.E at Perce 137.3 187.0 , A.; Col 187.0 , A.; Col 195.7 July , X. Hea 7 115.6	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken entage 184.1 nal Foc 197.5 rtis, C. Sport 113.4 art rate 123.3	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 1931 206.6 ; Capra Sci. 20 respon 129.9	Health 132:1 pective 2015 s 215.0 nica, L 12:12 126.2 ISES to 136.1	2011, 138.1 alen, J. Nation Scouting 223.4 Effects 113-1 Taekwo 142.2	81, 57- 144.5 ; Price al Foo 219.0 232.4 s of offi 20 139.0 ondo tra 148.8	152.0 , M.D.; tball Lea 229.5 243.0 icial you 146.7 aining ir 156.6	162.4 Moatsh ague At 244.2 Strengt 257.6 uth taek 157.4 n experi 167.3	e, G.; hletes: h Cond 269.7 wondo 166.3 enced 176.1	187 278 292 292 182 192
A Provencher, M LaPrade Left k Overestimation 2018, 32 extent 2018, 32 extent	95% avion Nm) Varies 95% 1.T.; Chelvila. Bedy 95% 1.T.; Chelvila. Bedy 95% 1.T.; Chelvila. 1.T.; Ch	Across Socio , 77.0, 93.2 Index Versu , 72.6 Index Versu , 72.6 124.2 , 72.6 137.6 100.3 Lupo, C.; Am strength, pei ; Hitchen, P.; Cond. Ress.0	b-Demogra 2, G.; Cinq Is Body Fa 158.9 letes at the amendolia rformance Sanchez, 200 7 9 4 8, 7	aphic Fa 112.2 ue, M.E at Perce e Nation 187.0 , A.; Cou , 105.7 J. 105.7 115.6 erforma	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken entage 184 Foc 197.5 rtis, C. Sport 113.4 art rate 123.3 nce an	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 1931 206.6 ; Capra Sci. 20 respon 129.9 id neuro	Health 132-1 pective eague s 215.0 nica, L 126.2 uses to 136.1 comuscu	2011, 138.1 alen, J. Nation Scouting 223.4 Effects 132.4 Taekwo 142.2	81, 57- ; Price al Foo g Coml 232.4 s of offi 20 139.0 ondo tra 148.8 trolling	152.0 , M.D.; tball Lea 229.5 cine. J. 243.0 cial you 146.7 aining ir 156.6 in taek	162.4 Moatsh ague At 244.2 Strengt 257.6 uth taek 157.4 n experi 167.3 woondo	e, G.; hletes: h Cond 269.7 wondo 166.3 enced 176.1	187 278 292 292 182 192
Status and	95% avion Nm) Varies 95% 1.T.; Chelvila. Bedy yass n of Obesity ion 0195% essitore, V.; I on jum person Nm) 95% essitore, V.; I on jum 95% J. Strengton 0, 850–857. ion	Across Socio 77.0 93.2 Index Versu 7242 146.8 Rate in Athi 137.6 160.3 Lupo, C.; Am strength gen ; Hitchen, P.; Cond. Ress.0 lization in low 132.6 154.6	-Demogra z, G.; Cinq Is Body Fa 158.9 letes at the a 172.4 imendolia rformance Sanchez, 20070428, 7 ver limb po 5 166.3	aphic Fa 112.2 Jue, M.E at Perce 1735 187.0 , A.; Con , A.; Con , <u>105.7</u> J. , X. Hea 7 113.6 erforma 180.5	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken entage 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 184.1 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.5 197.	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 1931 206.6 ; Capra Sci. 20 120.0 respon 129.9 id neuro 199.4	Health 132:1 1.1.; Wh pective 2015 0 112. 12 126.2 136.1 Dmuscu 207.6	2011, 138.1 Nation Scouting 223.4 Effects 132.4 Taekwo 142.2 ular con 215.8	81, 57- ; Price al Foo g Com 232.4 s of offi 20 139.0 ondo tra 148.8 trolling 224.5	152.0 , M.D.; tball Leo 229.5 243.0 cial you 146.7 aining ir 156.6 in taek 234.8	162.4 Moatsh ague At 244.2 Strengt 257.6 uth taek 157.4 n experi 167.3 wondo 248.9	171.0 e, G.; hletes: hCond 269.7 wondo 166.3 enced 176.1 athletes 260.6	187 . Re 292 182 193 . Lit 282
Status and the second s	95% xion Nm) Varies / 95% 1.T.; Chelvila. Bedy y5% 1.T.; Chelvila. Bedy y5% ssion 019 5% essione, VX.; I on jumpes, M.A.; Nm) 95% 1. Strengy y5% 3. Strengy 4. 850–857. sion Nm) 95% 3. 850–857. sion	Across Socio 77.0 93.2 J.; Sanchez Index Versu Rate in Athi 137.6 160.3 Lupo, C.; Am strength gen ; Hitchen, P.; Cond. Ress.0 lization in low 132.6 154.6	b-Demogra 101.8 z, G.; Cinq Is Body Fa 158.9 etes at the 3 172.4 mendolia rformance Sanchez, 20070428, 7 ver limb po 5 166.3 gysiologic;	aphic Fa 112.2 ule, M.E at Perce e Nation 187.0 , A.; Cou , Tos.7 185.6 erforma 180.5 algsesp	actors. 119.7 E.; Ken entage 1841 Foc 197.5 rtis, C. 197.5 rtis, C. 197.7 197.7 rtis, C. 197.7 rtis, C. 197.7	J. Sch. 126.1 nedy, N in Pros 1931 106.6 ; Capra 206.6 ; Capra 206.7 ; Capra 129.9 id neuro 199.4 and Se	Health 132:1 pective 2015:0 nica, L 12:12 126:2 ises to 136.1 pmuscu 207.6	2011, 138.1 Nation Scouting 223.4 Effects 132.4 Taekwo 142.2 ular con 215.8	81, 57- ; Price al Foo g Com 232.4 s of offi 20 139.0 ondo tra 148.8 trolling 224.5	152.0 , M.D.; tball Leo 229.5 243.0 cial you 146.7 aining ir 156.6 in taek 234.8	162.4 Moatsh ague At 244.2 Strengt 257.6 uth taek 157.4 n experi 167.3 wondo 248.9	171.0 e, G.; hletes: hCond 269.7 wondo 166.3 enced 176.1 athletes 260.6	187 . Re 292 182 193 . Lif

30. Kim, H.-B.; Stebbins, C.L.; Chai, J.-H.; Song, J.-K. Taekwondo training and fitness in female adolescents. J. Sports Sci. 2011, 29, 133–138.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/34642

Sex	Variables	95% Cl	1%	5%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	99%
	Sit-up per 60 s (times)	95% LV	36.6	41.2	43.7	46.7	48.9	50.7	52.5	54.2	56.1	58.2	61.2	63.7	68.4
		95% UV	40.0	44.7	47.2	50.2	52.3	54.2	55.9	57.7	59.5	61.7	64.7	67.2	71.8
	Sit and	95% LV	3.8	8.4	10.9	14.0	16.1	18.0	19.7	21.5	23.3	25.5	28.5	31.0	35.7
	reach (cm)	95% UV	6.9	11.6	14.1	17.1	19.3	21.2	22.9	24.7	26.5	28.7	31.7	34.2	38.9
	VO₂max	95% LV	33.1	37.0	39.1	41.6	43.4	44.9	46.3	47.8	49.3	51.1	53.6	55.7	59.5
	(mL/kg/min)	95% UV	37.0	40.9	42.9	45.4	47.2	48.8	50.2	51.7	53.2	55.0	57.5	59.6	63.4
Female	20-m MST	95% LV	49.2	57.6	62.0	67.4	71.4	74.7	77.8	80.9	84.3	88.2	93.6	98.1	106.5
	(times)	95% UV	55.6	64.0	68.5	73.9	77.8	81.1	84.3	87.4	90.7	94.6	100.1	104.5	112.9
	Standing long jump	95% LV	155.6	165.3	170.5	176.7	181.3	185.1	188.7	192.3	196.2	200.7	207.0	212.2	221.9
	(cm)	95% UV	163.1	172.8	177.9	184.2	188.7	192.6	196.2	199.8	203.7	208.2	214.5	219.6	229.4
	Peak anaerobic power	95% LV	6.4	7.1	7.5	8.0	8.3	8.6	8.9	9.2	9.5	9.8	10.3	10.7	11.4
	(relative value, watt/kg)	95% UV	7.0	7.8	8.2	8.6	9.0	9.3	9.5	9.8	10.1	10.4	10.9	11.3	12.1

Sex	Variables	95% Cl	1%	5%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	99%
	Peak drop	95% LV	35.9	40.1	42.3	45.0	47.0	48.7	50.2	51.8	53.4	55.4	58.1	60.3	64.5
	(%)	95% UV	39.8	44.0	46.2	48.9	50.9	52.6	54.1	55.7	57.3	59.3	62.0	64.2	68.4
	Left knee joint flexion	95% LV	59.8	69.9	75.3	81.8	86.5	90.5	94.3	98.1	102.1	106.8	113.3	118.7	128.8
	(60°/s, Nm) ª	95% UV	66.7	76.8	82.2	88.8	93.5	97.5	101.2	105.0	109.0	113.7	120.3	125.7	135.8
	Left knee joint extension	95% LV	109.3	126.2	135.2	146.1	154.0	160.7	167.0	173.3	180.0	187.9	198.8	207.8	224.7
	extension (60°/s, Nm) ª	95% UV	120.9	137.8	146.8	157.8	165.6	172.3	178.6	184.9	191.6	199.5	210.4	219.4	236.3
	Right knee joint flexion	95% LV	56.6	67.4	73.2	80.2	85.3	89.6	93.6	97.7	102.0	107.0	114.1	119.8	130.7
	(60°/s, Nm) ª	95% UV	64.1	74.9	80.7	87.7	92.8	97.1	101.1	105.1	109.5	114.5	121.5	127.3	138.2
	Right knee joint extension	95% LV	101.2	118.7	128.0	139.4	147.5	154.5	161.0	167.5	174.5	182.6	193.9	203.3	220.8
	(60°/s, Nm) a	95% UV	113.2	130.8	140.1	151.4	159.6	166.5	173.0	179.5	186.5	194.7	206.0	215.3	232.8
	Left knee joint flexion	95% LV	57.5	62.3	64.8	67.9	70.1	72.0	73.7	75.5	77.4	79.6	82.6	85.1	89.9
	(120°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	61.7	66.4	68.9	72.0	74.2	76.1	77.8	79.6	81.5	83.7	86.7	89.3	94.0
Female	Left knee joint extension	95% LV	84.3	95.1	100.8	107.8	112.8	117.1	121.1	125.1	129.4	134.5	141.4	147.2	158.0
	(120°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	93.6	104.4	110.2	117.2	122.2	126.5	130.5	134.5	138.8	143.8	150.8	156.5	167.3
	Right knee joint flexion	95% LV	50.6	56.6	59.8	63.6	66.4	68.8	71.0	73.3	75.6	78.4	82.3	85.5	91.5
	(120°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	55.8	61.8	65.0	68.8	71.6	74.0	76.2	78.4	80.8	83.6	87.5	90.7	96.7
	Right knee joint extension	95% LV	84.1	94.8	100.4	107.3	112.3	116.5	120.5	124.5	128.7	133.7	140.5	146.2	156.9
	(120°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	93.3	104.0	109.7	116.6	121.5	125.8	129.7	133.7	137.9	142.9	149.8	155.5	166.1
	Left knee joint flexion (240°/s,	95% LV	38.9	43.9	46.6	49.9	52.2	54.2	56.1	58.0	60.0	62.4	65.6	68.3	73.4
	(240 /s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	43.3	48.3	51.0	54.3	56.6	58.6	60.5	62.4	64.4	66.7	70.0	72.7	77.7
	Left knee joint extension	95% LV	59.7	67.8	72.1	77.4	81.1	84.4	87.4	90.4	93.6	97.4	102.6	107.0	115.1
	(240°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	66.7	74.8	79.2	84.4	88.2	91.4	94.4	97.4	100.7	104.4	109.7	114.0	122.1
	Right knee joint flexion	95% LV	39.8	44.1	46.4	49.2	51.2	52.9	54.5	56.1	57.8	59.8	62.6	64.9	69.2
	(240°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	43.5	47.8	50.1	52.9	54.9	56.6	58.2	59.8	61.5	63.5	66.3	68.6	72.9
	Right knee joint extension	95% LV	60.6	68.4	72.5	77.5	81.2	84.3	87.2	90.0	93.1	96.8	101.8	105.9	113.7
	(240°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	67.3	75.1	79.3	84.3	87.9	91.0	93.9	96.8	99.9	103.5	108.5	112.7	120.5

Sex	Variables	95% Cl	1%	5%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	99%
	Trunk joint flexion	95% LV	81.9	97.9	106.4	116.8	124.2	130.6	136.5	142.5	148.8	156.3	166.6	175.1	191.1
	(60°/s, Nm) a	95% UV	95.8	111.8	120.3	130.6	138.1	144.4	150.4	156.3	162.7	170.1	180.4	189.0	205.0
	Trunk joint extension	95% LV	74.9	95.6	106.7	120.0	129.7	137.9	145.6	153.3	161.6	171.2	184.6	195.7	216.4
Famala	(60°/s, Nm) a 95% UV	95% UV	92.8	113.6	124.6	138.0	147.7	155.9	163.6	171.3	179.6	189.2	202.6	213.6	234.4
Female	Trunk joint flexion	95% LV	65.7	85.8	96.5	109.5	118.9	126.9	134.4	141.8	149.8	159.2	172.2	182.9	203.0
	(120°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	83.2	103.3	114.0	127.0	136.3	144.3	151.8	159.3	167.3	176.6	189.6	200.3	220.4
	Trunk joint extension	95% LV	68.1	87.0	97.1	109.3	118.1	125.7	132.7	139.7	147.3	156.1	168.3	178.4	197.3
	(120°/s, Nm) ^a	95% UV	84.5	103.4	113.5	125.7	134.6	142.1	149.1	156.2	163.7	172.5	184.7	194.8	213.7

VO₂max, maximal oxygen consumption per minute; HRmax, maximal heart rate per minute; MST, multistage shuttle-run test; CI, confidence interval; LV, lower value; UV, upper value; ^a, isokinetic muscular strength.

3. Current Insights

For Taekwondo competitions, athletes must have excellent physical fitness, including aerobic capacity, anaerobic capacity, muscle strength, muscle endurance, flexibility, speed, and agility [9][10][16][17]]. In addition, data-based exercise science information is helpful in improving Taekwondo athletes' physical fitness and weakness [6]. Therefore, this study aimed to provide a profile of physical characteristics and physical fitness for Taekwondo competitors. To increase the value of this study's data-based exercise science information, we secured the validity of the estimation results. In a previous study that developed an estimation model of the physical fitness level, the validity of the estimation results was recognized when the estimated error was within 8–10% [18][19][20]. In this study, the estimation error of all variables, except for the eyes-closed single-leg stance (15.71%), was less than 8%. Therefore, it was confirmed that there was no problem with the validity of the estimated values.

The following can be interpreted as the causes of the higher estimation error in the eyes-closed single-leg stance than in the other variables. First, the sample size in relation to the variable was small. The estimation error was calculated by dividing the standard deviation by the square root of the sample size; therefore, the smaller the sample size, the larger the estimation error. However, the total sample size for the eyes-closed single-leg stance was 65, so the sample size was not small compared to that of the other variables. Therefore, this problem is hardly attributable to the increase in the estimation error. Second, there was a large deviation between individuals in the measurement of the variables. The eyes-closed single-leg stance is a variable that shows a large individual difference in measurement. Therefore, the estimation error was calculated based on the eyes-closed single-leg stance data presented in a previous study.

Based on the results of the previous study, the estimated error of the eyes-closed single-leg stance was calculated to be 40.7% for 16 college soccer players ($34.0 \pm 28.21 \text{ s}$) ^[21] and 54.6% for 10 high school female volleyball players ($59.5 \pm 52.4 \text{ s}$) ^[22]. Therefore, the estimation error increases proportionally because the individual difference between the measurements is large in the eyes-closed single-leg stance test. However, the results of our study have general validity because the estimation error of all variables, except for the eyes-closed single-leg stance, was less than 8%.

The utilization of different measurements that evaluate the same physical fitness factors favoring indicators with small estimation errors may be preferred. However, they should be carefully selected considering the inherent reliability of the measurement methods. For example, selecting BMI should be avoided because it has a smaller estimation error than the percentage of body fat when measuring obesity. The percentage of body fat directly tested using the bioelectrical impedance method was more accurate in obesity assessment than BMI calculated based on height and weight ^{[23][24]}. Nevertheless, BMI is being used to assess obesity in the public health and sports fields. The results of this study may be fully utilized for evaluation because the error in the estimated BMI distribution was not significant. For sit-up tests, it is recommended to conduct such for 60 s with a lower estimation error than that for 30 s. Measurements via the same test

method and reliability should utilize a distribution with a smaller estimation error. Nevertheless, sit-up tests for 30 s are also available in public health and sports because of the low estimation error.

Combat sports, such as Taekwondo, require high levels of physical fitness and physical characteristics ^[25]. Exercise program plans are important for improving and maintaining a high level of physical fitness suitable for the characteristics of Taekwondo events ^[26]. Taekwondo athletes should be conditioned to effectively manage and improve their physical fitness through systematic exercise programs ^[27]. Conditioning management requires detailed knowledge of the physiological and physical abilities required for competition ^{[28][29]}. Therefore, sports scientists and Taekwondo coaches should organize long-term and short-term training programs and provide objective feedback to motivate athletes. As in this study, objective collection and presentation of information on an athlete's physical ability are important for feedback to the athlete ^[30]. The results of this study can help identify the physical profiles favorable to Taekwondo competitions and provide indicators of physical fitness standards for Taekwondo athletes ^{[9][10]}. This study had limitations. In the study, Korean Taekwondo athletes were considered the study subjects for the systematic search because they have the best performance in the world. However, Taekwondo athlete's skills and performance are becoming similar around the world. Therefore, future studies need to analyze the physical characteristics and physical fitness factors of Taekwondo elite athletes worldwide.