## **Two Complementarity Immunotherapeutics in NSCLC**

Subjects: Oncology Contributor: Michał Gil

The idea of using two different immunotherapies in cancer patients is based on the attempt to stimulate or inhibit different immune cells at different levels of their activity (e.g., in the lymph node and in the tumour). The most commonly used combination immunotherapy involves antibodies that target molecules capable of stimulation of the activity of lymphocytes and other immune cells and molecules that are able to inhibit this activity. Another combination immunotherapy method is the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors in combination with agents that modify the tumour microenvironment in a non-specific manner (e.g., pro-inflammatory cytokines, immunosuppressive cytokine inhibitors, and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase and adenosine inhibitors).

Keywords: immunotherapy ; non-small-cell lung cancer ; immune checkpoints ; tumour microenvironment

#### 1. Introduction

and/or second-line treatment in patients with various types of cancer (melanoma, non-small-cell lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma, head and neck region cancer, urothelial carcinomas, colorectal cancer, esophageal cancer, and lymphoma) In patients with non-small-cell lung cancer, pembrolizumab (anti-PD-1 antibody) and atezolizumab (anti-PD-L1 antibody) used as first-line therapy may only be appropriate for patients with PD-L1 expression on  $\geq$ 50% of tumour cells (in the US, pembrolizumab can also be used in patients with a high tumour mutational burden and PD-L1 expression on  $\geq$ 1% of tumour cells) Indeed, the PD-L1 expression on cancer cells is the only biomarker validated in prospective immunotherapy-based clinical trials; however, it is not an ideal one  $\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ . The aim of combination therapy is to create a favourable environment within the cancerous tumour and maximize the potential of the immune system to eliminate cancer cells

The idea of using two different immunotherapies in cancer patients is based on the attempt to stimulate or inhibit different immune cells at different levels of their activity (e.g., in the lymph node and in the tumour) <sup>[4][5][6][7][8]</sup>. The most commonly used combination immunotherapy involves antibodies that target molecules capable of stimulation of the activity of lymphocytes and other immune cells and molecules that are able to inhibit this activity. Another combination immunotherapy method is the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors in combination with agents that modify the tumour microenvironment in a non-specific manner (e.g., pro-inflammatory cytokines, immunosuppressive cytokine inhibitors, and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase and adenosine inhibitors) <sup>[4][5][6][7][8]</sup>.

### 2. Possibilities of Combining Different Immune Checkpoint Molecules

The use of various ICIs has found the widest application in clinical practice in cancer patients without the presence of actionable mutations and based on tumour histology as well as specific clinical characteristic of patients. A summary of the most important clinical trial results from phase 2/3 using combination immunotherapies and their clinical efficacy is presented in **Table 1**<sup>[9][10][11]</sup>.

**Table 1.** The Summary of the most important clinical trial results using combination immunotherapies. Abbreviations: ORR —overall response rate, PFS—progression free survival, HR—hazard ratio, CI—confidential interval, OS- overall survival, PD-L1—programmed death ligand 1, TC—tumor cells, ND—no data. (\* PD-L1 expression examined by 22C3 monoclonal antibody; \*\* PD-L1 expression examined by SP263 monoclonal antibody) <sup>[9][10][11]</sup>.

#### References

<sup>1.</sup> Ancevski Hunter, K.; Socinski, M.A.; Villaruz, L.C. PD-L1 testing in guiding patient selection for PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor therapy in lung cancer. Mol. Diagn. Ther. 2018, 22, 1–10.

2. Spencer, K.R.; Wang, J.; Silk, A. Wag Ganesan, S.; Kaufmander, L.; Mehn Clinical Trial Predictive Identificant devel 8 and challefiges. APT 950c. Clin. Offcol. Educe, Bo NSCLC Patients	nerteian M. Biomarkers for immunotherapy: PFS (HR, 95% Median OS (HR, 95% ORF 2016, 35c) e493. (months) OS CI)
3. Weber, J.S. Biomarkers for checkpoint inhibition. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol.	. Educ. Book 2017, 37, 205–209.
Nivolumab 396 27.5 4. Zappasodi, R.; Merghozulty, of; Wolchok, J.D. Emerging concepts for imi	4.2 0.82, 0.69–0.97 15.7 0.79, 0.65–0.96 mune checkpoint Diockade-based combination
the apples. Cancer Cell $2018$ , 33, 581–598Nivolumab + 396 35.9	5 1 chemotherapy) 17 1 chemotherapy)
NCT02477826 5. Das, R.; Verma, R.; Sznq <sub>a</sub> ) <sup>M</sup> .; Boddupalli, C.S.; Gettinger, S.N.; Kluge	o.83, 0.71–0.97 0.90, 0.76–1.07 r, H.; CallahajmiyoMumkalooichok, J.D.; Handisabkaam,aR;+,
Dhodapkar, M.V.; et al. Combination ther apy invite apti-CTL 3974 and 30 nti vivo. J. Immunol. 2015, 194, 950–959.	i-PD5,1; leads to distinct immutaglogic changes in mvolumab)
	0.75, 0.59–0.96 0.62, 0.48–0.78
6. Gide, T.N.; Quek, C.; Menzies, A.M.; Taskar, Autria Shang, P.: Holst, J.; I Wongchenko, M.; et al. Distinct immune cerripopulations define respon	se to anti-PC-1 monotherapy and acti-PD-1/anti-
CTLA-4 combined therapy Grancer Cell 2019, 35, 238–255. CheckMate PD-L1-	0.98, 0.77-1.24 0.77, 0.60-0.98 (nivolumab + (nivolumab +
7. Web25.C.; Duffy3.C.R.; Alliagen, J.P. Fundamental mechanisms of immun N2018478826069–1086. TC (Part Nivolumab + 187 27.2 1b) ipilimumab	ne checkpoint in the checkpoint in the checkpoint in the checkpoint in the chemotherapy) chemotherapy) chemotherapy)
8. Wei, S.C.; Levine, J.H.; Cogdill, A.P.; Zhao, Y.; Anang, N.A.S.; Andrews	0.73, 0.56–0.95 0.78, 0.60–1.02 s, M.C.: Shanmaou المتعاط المناطقة ( J.: Wargoni JoAum) Beier
D.; et al. Distinct cellular mechanisms underlie anti-CTLA-4 and anti-Pl Chemotherapy 186 33.1	
1133.e17.	4.7 vs. <u>12.2</u> vs. chemotherapy) chemotherapy)
9. Paz-Ares, L.; Ciuleanu, T.E.; Cobo, M.; Solvenwerath, Zurawski, B.; Me initimumab Juazz Vidal, O.; et al. First ine nivolumab plus ipilimumab combined with NCT02477826 non-Small-cell lung cancer (CheckMate 9CHemAmerinernationsal, raraform)	th two cycles 7916 cleen otherapy in patients 644-ith 84
198_211	
D. Remetiving am, S.S.; Ciule anu, T.E.; Pluzanski ofe, J.S. 363 chen & 2 . Remetiving am, S.S.; Ciule anu, T.E.; Pluzanski ofe, J.S. 363 chen & 2 	M: Make DD: Loo KH: 7.1556 werki D: Audiaiar
NCHOSES 66; Provencio, Portiente al. Nivolumation of the provencio, Portiente al. Nivolumation of the provencio, Portiente al. Nivolumation of the provencio proving the provencio of the proving of th	um-doublet chemotherapy as first-line treatment
21%	1. 9788 n N.M. Joo I/ H. Maccuti P. Hirat C.
1. Rodriguez-Abreu, D.; Johnson, M.L.; Husseinofferagobo, Mei Patel, *A.J 23% Yang, J.C.; et al. Primary affalysis of a randomized, double-blind, phas CITYSCAPER PD-L1- IIIB or (Noral-selegistic affactor) versus placebo plus atezo as first-line ( 37% NSCLC (CITYSCAPE). J.Cclin. Oncol. 2020-282,05603.	e II study of the 生前指于中的IT antibody tiragolumab (1L) treatmenting atients with PD-L1-sel 他在 555 *
67 42% + tiragolumab 67 42% 2. Hellmann, M.D.; Paz-Ares, L.; Bernabe Caro, R.; Zurawski, B.; Kim <del>r,</del> S.	10.18 ** ND
Lupinacci, L.; de la Mora Jimenez, E.; et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumat	-
Engl. J. Med. 2019, 381, 2020–2031.	
3. Reck, M.; Schenker, M.; Lee, K.H.; Provencio, M.; Nishio, M.; Lesniews	ski-Kmak, K.; Sangha, R.; Ahmed, S.;
Raimbourg, J.; Feeney, K.; et al. Nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus che non-small-cell lung cancer with high tumour mutational burden: Patient	t-reported outcomes results from the
PDrandsmisser; open lawer, whese inverties with bigh TMB (more than 10 mutations)	tions per million base pairs) even with no PD-1
<sup>12][13]</sup> . It was found that, in patients with high TMB (more than 10 mutat 4. Hargadon, K.M.; Johnson, C.E.; Williams, C.J. Immune checkpoint blo expression on tumour cells, the use of the nivolumab and iplimumab c approved immune checkpoint inhibitors. Int. Immunopharmacol. 2018, compared to other treatments (12)[13]. During further follow-up, prolongation.	ckade therapy for cancer: An overview of FDA- combination prolonged progression-free survival 62, 29–39.
compared to other treatments used. During further follow-up, prolongations for the state of the	tion of patient survival was observed in patients
results, Clife) confliction on 21% contained regist using the complication of results, Clife) confliction of interview of the contained for first-line there is a contained of the contained for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
egistoned and; not average by the wag stration of the reading of the second the second by the second	
Insight 2016, 1, e89014.	is reveals distinct initiatiophenotypes. JCi
t should also be mentioned at this point that the PD-L1 expression on ca 7. Bremnes, RM: Busund, LT: Kilvær, TL: Andersen, S. Richardsen, E prospective clinical trains for immunotherapy in advanced in SCLC patie cooper, W.A.: Kao, S.C.: et al. The role of tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte umours have timee immunoprofiles based on the activation of the immu pon-small cell lung cancer. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2016, 11, 789–800. nfiltrated by T lymphocytes and with many inflammatory signals; (2) "co	E. Paulsen, E.E. Hald, S. Khanehkenari, M.R.
intiltrated by 1 lymphocytes and with many inflammatory signals; (2) "co &elsuffantaMoA involvitationAsignst (3) Ilisobults with immediated to the termination of the termination of the	
vitAndthedskreanktglstatervallandragehol, (57 terriours with inning excites	
$re^{4}e^{80}$ ting primed immune response, and are more likely to respond t	to the anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 blockade used a
91.0Detberapy Litelians, TA: reference, to: contennity erophyteretranyteren fiktration	
	inbérgen puntisallem immende gipadiras alveis, o
estorrætted etµtlæt. Frequet.expnession201261@jn19209.cer tissue could be cons	idered as a reliable biomarker in the prospective
es <b>torrætted etµtlæt. <del>G</del>eoret.expresssion20161@,in1988</b> .cer tissue could be cons gualification for immunotherapy in different strategies. O. Hayashi, H., Nakagawa, K. Combination therapy with PD-1 or PD-L1 ir 818–830.	idered as a reliable biomarker in the prospective

21. Pardoll, D.M. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2012, 12, 252–264.

identify, Resignificantal Genteination anti-defice of plus or the post of the place impartially classine of the provide the providence and the second s 29ff=Early, Kepe; : Childrandfestin; comparatelis; calification; Ratie Bisa case wing. in Bubuyation; aby, manisely e. S. praiones aide effects. related 1.0. howers with put the record of the solution of the soluti othesa)3-95518e patients receiving chemotherapy develop bone marrow suppression (anaemia, infections, thrombocytopenia, and febrile neutropenia) 24. Hui, E.: Cheung, J.; Zhu, J.; Su, X.; Taylor, M.J.; Wallweber, H.A.; Sasmal, D.K.; Huang, J.; Kim, J.M.; Mellman, I.; et al. T cell costimulatory receptor CD28 is a primary target for PD-1-mediated inhibition. Science 2017, 355, 1428–1433. 25ixKloossakadiy.CipilDevarkabitaan Avitihoseenokhenaipyl (27c6abiysh138taeunel.1Br1Arevis, 0.1 Racahellinost Edversios,theatmentrel&ecadekensB.eOentbintaRAEshipilienumala.ederlavekumathie cancere: Systemulinicalepracticettap.neceineedlinical.triats. Intus ipilim Mab Swe 20 Skin 2 lead to be still be attents) and endocrinopathies (23.8%). In patients with PD-L1 expression 201 BACK BUTHING W. CENTRATE REVEALED TO UNA WARD OF THE REVEALED TO UNA STRATE SUBJECT OF THE REVEALED TO UNA STRATEGY OF THE REVEALED TO UNA STRA TRAFA Poulted in change in the time of the the time of the the time of the transformation of PD-L1 treated

with nivolumab plus chemotherapy, serious TRAEs occurred with a frequency of 19.2%. 27. Carlino, M.S.; Long, G.V. Ipilimumab combined with nivolumab: A standard of care for the treatment of advanced

In melemerenation combination therapy and in 30% of patients receiving combination therapy and in 288 Yangin patieonia hoarshewith, comethezapy; Brothe. following, causes and death sware found: DSixD (2111) edge this name, to anatem Reuticolistic, neutroale companety toponian adultmonitation appaison aspiratory a failure unated texpessa a constant. En the J control gro2019, 378; 28:34 common grade 3-4 TRAEs were neutropenia (7% of patients treated with combined therapy vs. 9% of patients receiving chemotherapy), anaemia (6% vs. 14%), diarrhoea (4% vs. 1%), and febrile neutropenia (4% vs. 3%), 29. Gestermann, N., Saugy, D., Marigoner, C., Thie, L., Fuertes Marraco, S.A.; Zetti, M.; Tirapu, I., Speiser, D.E., Verdeli, G.

In the 3 and PD-1+LAG-3 inhibition promote anti-tumor immune responses in human autologous melanoma/T cell.co-cultures. Oncoimmunology 2020, 9, 1736792. and in 14.9% of patients receiving atezolizumab in combination with tiragolumab <sup>[11]</sup>. AEs leading to treatment withdrawal

30c Chandelh 103% colorations and the tolor to the source of the tolor of tol A.; et al. Phase Ia/Ib dose-escalation study of the anti-TIGIT antibody tiragolumab as a single agent and in combination

In wanchesconzenze and an antainceartilitation best and the earlier and a comparation the most in the most interview of the effective about the state of th and are not very severe, it is possible to protect the patient properly against their consequences. It can be speculated that 31. Johnston, R.J.; Comps-Agrar, L.; Hackney, J.; Yu, X.; Husenl, M.; Yang, Y.; Park, S.; Javinal, V.; Chiu, H.; Irving, B.; et this should bring clinicians closer to the use of combination therapy in the clinic and antiviral CD8+T cell effector function. Cancer Cell 2014, 26,

The effectiveness of combination therapy with nivolumab and ipilimumab is explained by the presence of interactions of 322eKeraultibo8ieSekuüstherent Ngrown&Gejcedleneskpoant, noolecues, 1911-912 SAVeth, This, iskuelated, to the Aactensanthe P.D.L.1 motecite pre-presidention conducts densiry in managemental readulaters as the second president of the sty: Most way open unitaly motion of the with the local of the second states of the controlled inflammatory in the second states of the controlled states of the controlled states of the controlled states of the controlled states of the control states of t and anemost narmal ralls (initation of a thin and a contract of the analysis of the area o effective to the providence of blocking one of the most potent signals inhibiting these cells (PD-1 and PD-L1 interaction) and restoring the most 34. Qin, S.; Xu, L.; Yi, M.; Yu, S.; Wu, K.; Luo, S. Novel immune checkpoint targets: Moving beyond PD-1 and CTLA-4. important, besides anticen presentation, costimulatory signal (CD28-CD80 and CD86 connections) <sup>[8][22][23][24]</sup>. Moreover, Mol. Cancer 2019, 18, 155.
the use of ipilimumab further reduces the immunosuppressive effect of other cells of the immune system <sup>[8][25][22]</sup>.
S. Chen, L.; Flies, D.B. Molecular mechanisms of T cell co-stimulation and co-inhibition. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2013, 13,

In 202-242 pheral blood of patients treated with the combination therapy, compared to nivolumab or ipilimumab monotherapy, the percentage of T cytotoxic lymphocytes is significantly increased [26][27][28] In addition, low expression of 36. Ko, K., Yamaguchi, T.; Shimizu, J.; Nomura, T.; Chiba, T.; other, negative simmune checkpoints, most notably, TIGIT and lymphocyte activation, gene 3 (LAG3), is observed on Sakaguchi, S. Treatment of advanced tumors with agonistic anti-GITR mAb and its effects on tumor-infilitrating Foxp3 + lymebeeytee by patients of providing to such the store of Antigen—DR isotype), which indicates cytolytic and proliferative activity of T cytotoxic lymphocytes and their ability to 37. Mitsui, J.; Nishikawa, H.; Muraoka, D.; Wang, L.; Noguchi, T.; Sato, E.; Kondo, S.; Allison, J.P.; Sakaguchi, S.; Old, L.J.; infiltrate tumour tissue. In turn, increased expression of genes related to the capability of T lymphocytes of proliferation et al. Two distinct mechanisms of augmented antitumor activity by modulation of immunostimulatory/inhibitory/infilteration. and production of specific cytokines (genes for Ki-67 and ICOS) is detected in patients receiving ipilimumab 1000 production. Cancer Res. 2010, 16, 2781–2791.

36. valeashan bolog tumour ofilidasingh, They is included in the provided in the provided in the provided to the provided the provided to the 4 gcoupec (02)57 tyrephonytes with inhibitotipaelly idepletede cells place of yore (BDO3/210) and AG3-thipEll/1831+), it (2); terminally diffBteod 200571050 200574285 with an activated phenotype (PD-1 However, the type of therapy has no effect on the

percentages of other T, cytotoxic lymphocyte subpopulations in the peripheral blood. +, CD44+, CXCR3-), and actively 39. Zappasodi, R.; Sirard, C.; Li, Y.; Budhu, S.; Abu-Akeel, M.; Liu, C.; Yang, X.; Zhong, H.; Newman, W.; Qi, J.; et al. migrating T lymphocytes that resist apoptosis (PD-1- CD62I + Bc/2++) Combination therapy, compared to nivolumab or Rational design of anti-GTR-based combination immunotherapy. Nat. Med. 2019, 25, 759-766. ipilimumab monotherapy, results in significantly increased infiltration of Th1 effector lymphocytes. 40. Sanmamed, M.F.; Pastor, F.; Rodriguez, A.; Perez-Gracia, J.L.; Rodriguez-Ruiz, M.E.; Jure-Kunkel, M.; Melero, I.

As Aggreiststofver-stime has invite a contract of the contract perseming or the second and the seco 42n that 22 is warding the second with the second of the second secon [31] 3200 These encouraging results contributed to the initiation of phase II trial-CITYSCAPE and phase III trial42KV20CHAVHER KO1, Bovistoli. Taggetingntbien ational transmippularithy at 222T/201720 pathovaiyag damate incomparted rapytherational at 201720 pathovaiyag damate incomparted rapytherational at 201720 pathovaiyag damate incomparted and a standard at 2018 pathovaiyag damate incomparted and and a standard at 2018 pathovaiyag damate incomparted at 2019 pathovaiyag damate incomparte

40n Ence bentien hand / the Yezare of piloting, each // sin // si

# 49. Golay, J.; Andrea, A.E. Combined anti-cancer strategies based on anti-checkpoint inhibitor antibodies. Antibodies 2020, 3, 17. Use of Non-Specific Immune System Stimulation and Tumour Microenvironment Modification in Immune Combination Therapies 50. Fujii, R.; Jochems, C.; Tritsch, S.R.; Wong, H.C.; Schlom, J.; Hodge, J.W. An IL-15 superagonist/IL-15Ralpha fusion

Non-spelan or Inflanting and raps was Nilsee be visited with framulie cheek bonn diatastions. Won-uppension with the cytologic constraints and raps with a spelan of the cytologic constraints and the constra

Clinical studies on the use of recombinant IL-15 have also been undertaken. However, this molecule was quickly replaced 59 WaldmassubActionshared autoscontasting relates of L2 and itlets in-the histocard of anth an introduced nervatastication, a molarmed receptor interior also anthe areas contasting relates of L2 and itlets in-the histocard anth an introduced nervatastication, a molarmed receptor interior also anthe areas contasting relation of the areas and itlets in-the histocard anthe an introduced nervatastication, a molarmed receptor also anthe areas anthe areas anthe areas anthe areas and itlets in-the histocard anthe antimoduced nervatastication, a molarmed receptor interior also anthe areas anthe areas anthe areas and an interior and anti-areas and an antipation of the areas and an antipation of the areas and an areas and an antipation of the areas and an areas and a second antipation of the areas and antipation of the areas and an areas and ar

Bempegaldesleukin and ALT-803 have been used in combination with nivolumab and atezolizumab in patients with 55 Armitage J.D.: Newnem H.U.Y. McDonnell A: Bosco A; Waithman GF-B function to classical infinutionerapy increased the risk of adverse effects in the form of autoimmune reactions 56 at the risk of adverse effects in the form of autoimmune reactions 56 at the diverse of the mechanisms of autoimmune reactions 56 at the diverse effects in the form of autoimmune reactions 56 at the diverse attacts in the form of autoimmune reactions 56 at the diverse effects in the second diverse effects in the second diverse effects in the second diverse effects at the diverse effects in the second diverse effects diterseffec

57.W, G.; Bragents [58] 57.W, G.; Bragents [58] 1, Yang, X.; Li, H.; Ma, B.; Walton, S.; Wu, X.; Yuan, J.; Wang, T.; Liu, X. Targeting interleukin-10

signalling for cancer immunotherapy, a promising and complicated task. Hum. Vaccines Immunother. 2020, 16, 2328– An unfavourable tumour microenvironment results in exclusion of immune response outside the tumour. Adenosine and 2332. ATP are present at exceptionally low concentrations in extracellular fluids. However, inflammation, ischemia, or the cancer 5% of the second of the tumour microenvironment results in exclusion of immune response outside the tumour. Adenosine and 2332. ATP are present at exceptionally low concentrations in extracellular fluids. However, inflammation, ischemia, or the cancer 5% of the second of the tumour microenvironment results in extracellular fluids. However, inflammation, ischemia, or the cancer 5% of the second of the tumour microenvironment results in extracellular fluids. However, inflammation, ischemia, or the cancer 5% of the terms of terms of the terms of t

immunotherapy. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 2020, 53, 77–83.

Another substance that causes elimination of tumour cells from the tumour area is indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) <sup>[62]</sup> Gentlingeno The Productor of IDO by tumour cells from the tumour area is indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) <sup>[62]</sup> Gentlingeno The Productor of IDO by tumour cells from the tumour area is indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) <sup>[62]</sup> cancer immunotherapy to enhance t-cell function. Front, Immunol 2019 10,925 combining IDO inhibitors (e.g., epacadostat) with classical ICIs in NSCLC and melanoma patients have been conducted for zieren were to the treatment of melanoma and NSCLC patients lacenterentiation and NSCLC patients

62. Cheong, J.E.; Sun, L. Targeting the IDO1/TDO2-KYN-AhR pathway for cancer immunotherapy—challenges and opportunities. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2018, 39, 307–325.

63. Labadie, B.W.; Bao, R.; Luke, J.J. Reimagining IDO pathway inhibition in cancer immunotherapy via downstream focus on the tryptophan-kynurenine-aryl hydrocarbon axis. Clin. Cancer Res. 2019, 25, 1462–1471.

64.4hCorrelusions hersky, E.; Lauing, K.L.; Bollu, L.; Sosman, J.A.; Zhang, B.; Wu, J.D.; Miller, S.D.; Meeks, J.J.; et al. Immunosuppressive IDO in cancer: Mechanisms of action, animal models, and targeting strategies. Front. Immunol. Standard 1anti-register therapies, such as radiotherapy or chemotherapy, destabilize tumour cell function, contribute to the release of tumour antigens and the formation of neoantigens, and affect the production of cytokines, chemokines, and 65. Zhai, L.; Ladomersky, E.; Lenzen, A.; Nguyen, B.; Patel, R.; Lauing, K.L.; Wu, M.; Wainwright, D.A. IDO1 in cancer: A other substances that stimulate immune cell activity. As a result tumours with low immunogenicity ("cold") could be greinin of into tumours with high immunogenicity ("hot," "inflammatory"), abundant with infiltrates of activated specific lymphocytes [16][17]. This breaks down the mechanism by which tumour cells escape from immune surveillance. The Retilieve of rommune therapy changetiaguib/enurg/histers/chints/2660/emotherapy or chemoradiotherapy further enhances the antitumor effects of cytotoxic T lymphocytes.

On the other hand, combining two different immunotherapy methods in cancer patients may be as effective as chemoimmunotherapy or chemoradiotherapy in cancer therapy. The combination of two immunotherapy methods is based on the idea of stimulating or inhibiting different immune cells at different levels of their activity with two different immune point activators or inhibitors, or using conventional ICIs in combination with non-specific immunostimulatory agents or agents that modify the tumour microenvironment. However, patients should be very well suited to this type of treatment. At present, there are no conclusively proven predictors for combination therapies, but the selection of patients should be based on clinical factors, such as the performance status of the patients, the presence of comorbidities, and the availability to a multidisciplinary cancer centre, which is extremely important for the proper management of patients.

Attempts are underway to combine classical immunotherapy targeting immune checkpoints with treatment using modified oncolytic viruses. Already, the median survival of patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer has increased significantly. The development of modern personalized treatments, including immunotherapies, enables many patients to act in good functional status for 3 years and beyond. In the near future, it is expected that many patients will live with cancer just as patients with cardiovascular or infectious diseases (e.g., AIDS and hepatitis C) are currently living in near-complete comfort.

In conclusion, combination immunotherapies will be used in cancer patients, not only those with lung cancer. Therefore, is seems extremely important to understand the mechanisms of action of combined immunotherapy, firstly to understand how these therapies work in the patient's body and, secondly, to be able to quickly recognize the side effects and properly secure the patients.