

Enzymatic Activity

Subjects: Agriculture, Dairy & Animal Science

Contributor: Elżbieta Harasim

Enzymatic Activity is a sensitive indicator of changes in soil environment, and it changes depending on the farming system.

Keywords: crop rotation ; organic system ; conventional system ; soil chemical properties ; soil enzymatic activity

1. Introduction

Organic agriculture has been and is a response to the intensification of agricultural production—excessive use of mineral fertilizers and pesticides as well as related environmental pollution. Agriculture intensification is thought to be a cause of soil degradation [1]. This entry presents the effect of organic and conventional agriculture on the chemical properties and enzymatic activity of loess soil. Organic matter (which is the basis for fertilization under the organic system) has an important influence on soil quality, improvement of its structure, and increased water capacity. Many studies indicate that practices used in organic agriculture generally increase soil biological and enzymatic activity through greater accumulation of organic matter. This is achieved by using catch crops, farmyard manure, and reduced tillage practices [2,3].

Soil enzymes are natural mediators and catalysts of many important soil processes, such as: decomposition of organic matter released into soil during plant growth, processes of soil humus formation and decomposition, the release of mineral nutrients and their supply to plants, fixation of molecular nitrogen, and the flow of carbon, nitrogen and other major elements of the biochemical cycle [4,5]. It is necessary to determine enzymatic activity and to understand factors regulating it in order to characterize soil metabolic potential and fertility. This can be used to study biochemical processes occurring in soil and to evaluate soil quality [6,7,8,9]. Information regarding enzymatic activity, coupled with information on other soil properties, facilitate the selection of soil use [10,11].

Enzymatic activity is a sensitive indicator of changes in soil environment, and it changes depending on the farming system. Dehydrogenase and protease activity, as well as organic carbon and total nitrogen content, are higher in the soil in which crop rotation is used than in soil where crops are grown in monoculture [4]. Gianfreda et al. [12] have similar observations. Saviozzi et al. [13] recorded higher values for soil metabolic potential and the biological index of fertility (BIF) in a meadow than in a cereal field. According to Dahm [14] and Burns [15], the effects of higher plants on soil enzymes depend on the plant chemical composition, which, even in the case of root exudates alone, can exhibit significant differences between various genera, species, and also varieties. Krämer et al. [16] think that plants stimulate soil enzymatic activity due to increased biomass of enzyme-producing microbes. Woźniak [17] demonstrated that legumes (pea) had a more beneficial effect on soil enzymes than cereal crops.

Soil enzymatic activity is considered to be an essential parameter that reveals the status of the natural environment and shows the biochemical process that occurs in the environment. This parameter reflects the level and extent of pollution found in the environment [8]. Soil enzymatic activity can be influenced through agronomic practices (fertilization) [9]. Farming system (organic or conventional) and catch crops (in particular under the organic system) also have a significant impact on the activity of soil enzymes [18,19]. Catch crops are used to improve soil organic matter content, prevent the leaching of nutrients (predominantly nitrogen and phosphorus), and enhance the activity of soil microbes [20,21].

2. Selected soil chemical components (0–20 cm profile)

Table 1. Selected soil chemical components (0–20 cm profile)—mean for 2017–2019.

Crop plant	Farming system	pH 1M KCl	Organic C %	Total N %	C/N	P mg kg ⁻¹	K mg kg ⁻¹
------------	----------------	-----------	-------------	-----------	-----	-----------------------	-----------------------

Sugar beet	Organic	6.7 ± 0.1**	1.50 ± 0.11	0.16 ± 0.03	9.3 ± 0.1	159.8 ± 2.2	240.1 ± 2.5
	Conventional	6.4 ± 0.1	0.98 ± 0.08	0.09 ± 0.02	10.8 ± 0.2	192.5 ± 1.9	274.2 ± 3.1
Mean		6.5	1.24	0.12	10.0	176.1	257.15
Spring barley	Organic	6.5 ± 0.2	0.81 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.02	9.0 ± 0.2	139.6 ± 2.0	226.0 ± 1.7
	Conventional	6.1 ± 0.1	0.70 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.01	10.0 ± 0.2	180.7 ± 1.6	251.9 ± 1.9
Mean		6.3	0.75	0.07	9.5	160.1	238.9
Red clover	Organic	6.6 ± 0.2	1.19 ± 0.05	0.13 ± 0.04	9.1 ± 0.1	146.8 ± 2.0	219.8 ± 2.5
	Conventional	6.3 ± 0.1	0.93 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.03	10.3 ± 0.1	170.2 ± 1.8	243.3 ± 2.6
Mean		6.4	1.06	0.11	9.7	158.5	231.5
Winter wheat	Organic	6.4 ± 0.1	0.86 ± 0.04	0.09 ± 0.02	9.5 ± 0.3	141.0 ± 0.8	231.6 ± 1.8
	Conventional	6.0 ± 0.2	0.72 ± 0.01	0.07 ± 0.02	10.2 ± 0.2	186.7 ± 1.4	260.4 ± 2.6
Mean		6.2	0.79	0.08	9.8	163.8	246.0
Oats	Organic	6.4 ± 0.1	1.03 ± 0.05	0.10 ± 0.03	10.3 ± 0.2	150.2 ± 2.4	219.7 ± 2.2
	Conventional	6.0 ± 0.2	0.96 ± 0.04	0.09 ± 0.03	10.6 ± 0.2	178.8 ± 2.7	242.4 ± 2.4
Mean		6.2	0.99	0.09	10.4	164.5	231.0
HSD _(0.05) for farming system (A)		0.29	0.112	0.022	0.72	44.62	33.23
HSD _(0.05) for crop plant (B)		0.28	0.178	0.015	n.s.	19.4	24.92
HSD _(0.05) for interaction (A × B)		n.s.*	0.214	0.029	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

References

1. Diego Medan; Juan Pablo Torretta; Karina Hodara; Elba B. De La Fuente; Norberto H. Montaldo; Effects of agriculture expansion and intensification on the vertebrate and invertebrate diversity in the Pampas of Argentina. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **2011**, 20, 3077-3100, [10.1007/s10531-011-0118-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-011-0118-9).
2. D. Shannon; A.M. Sen; Johnson D.B.; A comparative study of the microbiology of soils managed under organic and conventional regimes. *Soil Use and Management* **2002**, 18, 274-283, [10.1079/sum2002130](https://doi.org/10.1079/sum2002130).
3. Yi Wang; C. Tu; Lei Cheng; Chunyue Li; Laura Gentry; Greg D. Hoyt; Xingchang Zhang; Shuijin Hu; Long-term impact of farming practices on soil organic carbon and nitrogen pools and microbial biomass and activity. *Soil and Tillage Research* **2011**, 117, 8-16, [10.1016/j.still.2011.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2011.08.002).
4. Elcio Libório Balota; Miriam Kanashiro; Arnaldo Colozzi Filho; Diva S. Andrade; Richard Peter Dick; Soil enzyme activities under long-term tillage and crop rotation systems in subtropical agro-ecosystems. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **2004**, 35, 300-306, [10.1590/s1517-83822004000300006](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1517-83822004000300006).
5. Matthew D. Wallenstein; Michelle Haddix; Daniel D. Lee; Richard T. Conant; Eldor A. Paul; A litter-slurry technique elucidates the key role of enzyme production and microbial dynamics in temperature sensitivity of organic matter decomposition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **2012**, 47, 18-26, [10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.12.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.12.009).
6. Itziar Alkorta; Ana Aizpurua; Patrick Riga; Isabel Albizu; Ibone Amézaga; Carlos Garbisu; Soil enzyme activities as biological indicators of soil health.. *Reviews on Environmental Health* **2003**, 18, 65-73, [10.1515/reveh.2003.18.1.65](https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh.2003.18.1.65).
7. Z. Reicher; M. Bischoff; Ronald F. Turco; The role of tree leaf mulch and nitrogen fertilizer on turfgrass soil quality. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **1999**, 29, 55-61, [10.1007/s003740050524](https://doi.org/10.1007/s003740050524).
8. Autumn S. Wang; J. Scott Angle; Rufus L. Chaney; Thierry A. Delorme; Marla McIntosh; Changes in soil biological activities under reduced soil pH during *Thlaspi caerulescens* phytoextraction. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **2006**, 38, 1451-1461, [10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.11.001).
9. Skowrońska, M.; Bielińska, E.J.; Szymański, K.; Futa, B.; Antonkiewicz, J.; Kołodziej, B. An integrated assessment of the long-term impact of municipal sewage sludge on the chemical and biological properties of soil. *Catena* **2020**, 189, 104484, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2020.104484.
10. Carmen Trasar-Cepeda; M.C. Leirós; Socorro Seoane; F. Gil-Sotres; Limitations of soil enzymes as indicators of soil pollution. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **2000**, 32, 1867-1875, [10.1016/s0038-0717\(00\)00160-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0038-0717(00)00160-7).
11. V.V. Kochetkov; Т.В. Сиунова; Т.О. Anokhina; О. I. Sizova; A.M. Boronin; Plasmid bearing rhizosphere *Pseudomonas* bacteria for biodegradation of organic pollutants in the plant rhizosphere. *New Biotechnology* **2012**, 29, S192, [10.1016/j.nbt.2012.08.538](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2012.08.538).
12. L. Gianfreda; Maria A Rao; Anna Piotrowska-Długosz; Giuseppe Palumbo; Claudio Colombo; Soil enzyme activities as affected by anthropogenic alterations: intensive agricultural practices and organic pollution. *Science of The Total Environment* **2005**, 341, 265-279, [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.10.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.10.005).
13. Alessandro Saviozzi; R. Levi-Minzi; Roberto Cardelli; R. Riffaldi; A comparison of soil quality in adjacent cultivated, forest and native grassland soils. *Plant and Soil* **2001**, 233, 251-259, [10.1023/a:1010526209076](https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1010526209076).
14. Dahm, H. Generic composition and physiological and cultural properties of heterotrophic bacteria isolated from soil, rhizosphere and mycorrhizosphere of pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.). *Acta Microbiol. Pol.* **1984**, 33, 147–156.
15. Burns, R.G. The rhizosphere: Microbial and enzymatic gradient and prospects for manipulation. *Pedologie* **1985**, 35, 283–295.
16. S Krämer; Acid and alkaline phosphatase dynamics and their relationship to soil microclimate in a semiarid woodland. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **2000**, 32, 179-188, [10.1016/s0038-0717\(99\)00140-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0038-0717(99)00140-6).
17. Andrzej Woźniak; Chemical Properties and Enzyme Activity of Soil as Affected by Tillage System and Previous Crop. *Agriculture* **2019**, 9, 262, [10.3390/agriculture9120262](https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9120262).
18. Yongqiang Tian; Xueyan Zhang; Jun Liu; Qing Chen; Lihong Gao; Microbial properties of rhizosphere soils as affected by rotation, grafting, and soil sterilization in intensive vegetable production systems. *Scientia Horticulturae* **2009**, 123, 139-147, [10.1016/j.scienta.2009.08.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2009.08.010).
19. Elżbieta Bielińska; Agnieszka Mocek-Plóćiniak; Impact of the Tillage System on the Soil Enzymatic Activity. *Archives of Environmental Protection* **2012**, 38, 75-82, [10.2478/v10265-012-0006-8](https://doi.org/10.2478/v10265-012-0006-8).

20. A. N'Dayegamiye; Thi Sen Tran; Effects of green manures on soil organic matter and wheat yields and N nutrition. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* **2001**, 81, 371-382, [10.4141/s00-034](#).
21. Jian Liu; Göran Bergkvist; Barbro Ulén; Biomass production and phosphorus retention by catch crops on clayey soils in southern and central Sweden. *Field Crops Research* **2015**, 171, 130-137, [10.1016/j.fcr.2014.11.013](#).
22. Institute of Plant Protection—State Research Institute in Poznan. Crop Protection Calendar. In Cereal, Root, Legume Crops; Institute of Plant Protection—State Research Institute in Poznan: Poznan, Poland, 2017.
23. Thalmann, A. Zur Methodik der Bestimmung der Dehydrogenase Aktivität in Boden mittels Triphenyltetrazoliumchlorid (TTC). *Landwirtsch. Forsch.* 1968, 21, 249–258.
24. M.A. Tabatabai; J.M. Bremner; Use of p-nitrophenyl phosphate for assay of soil phosphatase activity. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **1969**, 1, 301-307, [10.1016/0038-0717\(69\)90012-1](#).
25. M.I. Zantua; J.M. Bremner; Comparison of methods of assaying urease activity in soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **1975**, 7, 291-295, [10.1016/0038-0717\(75\)90069-3](#).
26. J.N. Ladd; J.H.A. Butler; Short-term assays of soil proteolytic enzyme activities using proteins and dipeptide derivatives as substrates. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **1972**, 4, 19-30, [10.1016/0038-0717\(72\)90038-7](#).
27. Zhanguo Bai; Thomas Caspari; Maria Ruiperez Gonzalez; Niels Batjes; Paul Mäder; Else K. Bünemann; Ron De Goede; Lijbert Brussaard; Minggang Xu; Carla S.S. Ferreira; et al. Effects of agricultural management practices on soil quality: A review of long-term experiments for Europe and China. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **2018**, 265, 1-7, [10.1016/j.agee.2018.05.028](#).
28. L. E. Drinkwater; D. K. Letourneau; F. Workneh; A. H. C. Van Bruggen; Carol Shennan; Fundamental Differences Between Conventional and Organic Tomato Agroecosystems in California. *Ecological Applications* **1995**, 5, 1098-1112, [10.2307/2269357](#).
29. Fließbach, A.; Oberholzer, H.R.; Gunst, L.; Mader, P. Soil organic matter and biological soil quality indicators after 21 years of organic and conventional farming, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2007, 118, 273–284, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2006.05.022.
30. Birkhofer, K.; Bezemer, T.M.; Bloem, J.; Bonkowski, M.; Christensen, S.; Dubois, D.; Ekelund, F.; Fliesbach, A.; Gunst, L.; Hedlund, K.; et al. Long-term organic farming fosters below and aboveground biota: Implications for soil quality, biological control and productivity, *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2008, 40, 2297–2308, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.05.007.
31. Rainer Georg Joergensen; Paul Mäder; Andreas Fließbach; Andreas Fliessbach; Long-term effects of organic farming on fungal and bacterial residues in relation to microbial energy metabolism. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **2010**, 46, 303-307, [10.1007/s00374-009-0433-4](#).
32. Anne-Kristin LØEs; Anne Falk Øgaard; Changes in the nutrient content of agricultural soil on conversion to organic farming in relation to farm-level nutrient balances and soil contents of clay and organic matter. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B - Soil & Plant Science* **1997**, 47, 201-214, [10.1080/09064719709362462](#).
33. M. Askegaard; Jørgen Eriksen; Jørgen Eivind Olesen; Exchangeable potassium and potassium balances in organic crop rotations on a coarse sand. *Soil Use and Management* **2003**, 19, 96-103, [10.1079/sum2002173](#).
34. Paul Gosling; M. Shepherd; Long-term changes in soil fertility in organic arable farming systems in England, with particular reference to phosphorus and potassium. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **2005**, 105, 425-432, [10.1016/j.agee.2004.03.007](#).
35. Elinor M. Lichtenberg; Christina M. Kennedy; Claire Kremen; Peter Batary; Frank Berendse; Riccardo Bommarco; Luísa G. Carvalheiro; William E. Snyder; Neal M. Williams; Rachael Winfree; et al. A global synthesis of the effects of diversified farming systems on arthropod diversity within fields and across agricultural landscapes. *Global Change Biology* **2017**, 23, 4946-4957, [10.1111/gcb.13714](#).
36. Sara Marinari; Roberto Mancinelli; Enio Campiglia; Stefano Grego; Chemical and biological indicators of soil quality in organic and conventional farming systems in Central Italy. *Ecological Indicators* **2006**, 6, 701-711, [10.1016/j.ecolind.2005.08.029](#).
37. Antonio Roldan; J.R. Salinas-García; Maria Del Mar Alguacil; G. Caravaca; Changes in soil enzyme activity, fertility, aggregation and C sequestration mediated by conservation tillage practices and water regime in a maize field. *Applied Soil Ecology* **2005**, 30, 11-20, [10.1016/j.apsoil.2005.01.004](#).
38. Janvier, C.; Villeneuve, F.; Alabouvette, C.; Edel-Hermann, V.; Maitelle, T.; Steinberg, C. Soil health through soil disease suppression: Which strategy from descriptors to indicators? *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2007, 39, 1–23, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.07.001.
39. Paolo Nannipieri; Judith Ascher-Jenull; Maria Teresa Ceccherini; L. Landi; Giacomo Pietramellara; G. Renella; Microbial diversity and soil functions. *European Journal of Soil Science* **2003**, 54, 655-670, [10.1046/j.1351-0754.2003](#).

40. Ranjan Bhattacharyya; Ved Prakash; S. Kundu; A. K. Srivastva; H. S. Gupta; S. Mitra; Long term effects of fertilization on carbon and nitrogen sequestration and aggregate associated carbon and nitrogen in the Indian sub-Himalayas. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* **2009**, 86, 1-16, [10.1007/s10705-009-9270-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-009-9270-y).
41. Joshua P. Schimel; Jennifer Bennett; NITROGEN MINERALIZATION: CHALLENGES OF A CHANGING PARADIGM. *Ecology* **2004**, 85, 591-602, [10.1890/03-8002](https://doi.org/10.1890/03-8002).
42. Lizeth Manuela Avellaneda-Torres; Luz Marina Melgarejo; Carlos-Eduardo Narváez-Cuenca; J Sanchez; Enzymatic activities of potato crop soils subjected to conventional management and grassland soils. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* **2013**, , , [10.4067/s0718-95162013005000025](https://doi.org/10.4067/s0718-95162013005000025).
43. Abdallahi, M.M.; N'Dayegamiye, A. Effets de deux incorporations d'engrais verts sur le rendement et la nutrition en azote du blé (*Triticum aestivum* L.), ainsi que sur les propriétés physiques et biologiques du sol. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 2000, 80, 81–89, doi:10.4141/598-094.
44. Elias T. Ayuk; Social, economic and policy dimensions of soil organic matter management in sub-Sahara Africa: challenges and opportunities. *Managing Organic Matter in Tropical Soils: Scope and Limitations* **2001**, , 183-195, [10.1007/978-94-017-2172-1_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2172-1_17).
45. M.M. Jurado; F. Suárez-Estrella; M.C. Vargas-García; María J. López; Juan Antonio López-González; J. Moreno; Evolution of enzymatic activities and carbon fractions throughout composting of plant waste. *Journal of Environmental Management* **2014**, 133, 355-364, [10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.12.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.12.020).
46. Brzezińska, M.; Stępniewska, Z.; Stępniewski, W.; Włodarczyk, T.; Przywara, G.; Bennicelli, R. Effect of oxygen deficiency on soil dehydrogenase activity (pot experiment with barley). *Int. Agrophys.* 2001, 15, 3–7.
47. Edward Brzostek; Adrien Finzi; Seasonal variation in the temperature sensitivity of proteolytic enzyme activity in temperate forest soils. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **2012**, 117, , [10.1029/2011jg001688](https://doi.org/10.1029/2011jg001688).
48. Błońska, E.; Lasota, J.; Gruba, P. Effect of temperate forest tree species on soil dehydrogenase and urease activities in relation to Rother properties of soil derived from less and glaciofluvial sand. *Ecol. Res.* 2016, 31, 655–664, doi:10.1007/s11284-016-1375-6.
49. Mariangela Diacono; Francesco Montemurro; Long-Term Effects of Organic Amendments on Soil Fertility. *Sustainable Agriculture Volume 2* **2011**, , 761-786, [10.1007/978-94-007-0394-0_34](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0394-0_34).
50. Liangliang Liu; Jijie Kong; Huiling Cui; Jinbo Zhang; Fenghe Wang; Zucong Cai; Xinqi Huang; Relationships of decomposability and C/N ratio in different types of organic matter with suppression of *Fusarium oxysporum* and microbial communities during reductive soil disinfestation. *Biological Control* **2016**, 101, 103-113, [10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.06.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2016.06.011).
51. Bielińska, E.J.; Pranagal, J. Enzymatic activity of soil contaminated with triazine herbicides. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.* 2007, 16, 295–300.
52. Bielińska, E.J.; Mocek, A.; Paul-Lis, M. Impact of the tillage system on the enzymatic activity of typologically diverse soils. *J. Res. Appl. Agric. Eng.* 2008, 53, 10–13.
53. Niewiadomska, A.; Sulewska, H.; Wolna-Maruwka, A.; Klama, J. Effect of organic fertilization on development of proteolytic bacteria and activity of proteases in the soil for cultivation of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Arch. Environ. Prot.* 2010, 36, 47–56.
54. Lalith M. Rankoth; Ranjith Udawatta; Kristen S. Veum; Shibu Jose; Salah M. Alagele; Cover Crop Influence on Soil Enzymes and Selected Chemical Parameters for a Claypan Corn–Soybean Rotation. *Agriculture* **2019**, 9, 125, [10.3390/agriculture9060125](https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9060125).