Nanostructured Materials for VOC Sensing

Subjects: Nanoscience & Nanotechnology | Chemistry, Analytical Contributor: Kien Wen Sun, Muthaiah Shellaiah

Environmental pollution related to volatile organic compounds (VOCs) has become a global issue which attracts intensive work towards their controlling and monitoring. To this direction various regulations and research towards VOCs detection have been laid down and conducted by many countries. Distinct devices are proposed to monitor the VOCs pollution. Among them, chemiresistor devices comprised of inorganic-semiconducting materials with diverse nanostructures are most attractive because they are cost-effective and eco-friendly. These diverse nanostructured materials-based devices are usually made up of nanoparticles, nanowires/rods, nanocrystals, nanotubes, nanocages, nanocubes, nanocomposites, etc. They can be employed in monitoring the VOCs present in the reliable sources.

Keywords: pollution ; reliable nanosystems ; VOC contamination ; chemiresistor devices ; device-based detection ; sustainable application ; nano-devices ; diverse nanostructures

1. Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are the well-known cause of indoor pollution, which can harm the human health and leads to various disorders ^{[1][2][3]}. Long-time exposure to VOCs, such as phosgene, can lead to death and severe chronic diseases [4,5]. Another example is Benzene (a well-known carcinogen), which have the great potential to damage human tissues such as spleen, stomach, liver, kidneys, etc., and also affect the nervous, circulatory, immune, cardiovascular, and reproductive and respiratory systems ^{[4][5]}. Thus, to overcome such potential hazards of VOCs, numerous environmental safety agencies like Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), and European Agency for Safety and Health at Work (EU-OSHA), have fixated the accepted limit of certain VOCs down to sub-ppb concentrations ^[4]. To quantify such harmful VOCs, researchers focused to develop various sensory materials and to achieve the signals by means of fluorescent, electrochemical, or current–voltage (I-V) fluctuations, etc ^{[4][5][6][7][8]}. Wherein, with the uniqueness and high sensitivity, chemiresistor device-based VOCs detection seems to be an interesting research topic ^{[9][10]}. In this track, nanomaterial-based semiconducting chemiresistor devices for VOCs monitoring are impressive in terms of sensitivity and selectivity ^{[11][12]}.

Materials with internal or external dimensions at nanoscale (<100 nm) are defined as nanomaterials [13][14]. They can be available in one to three dimensions (1D to 3D) with diverse structures like nanoparticles, nanocrystals, nanowires, nanocubes, nanosheets, nanotubes, nanosheets, nanocages, etc. [15]. Those nanostructured semiconducting materials play a vital role in sustainable applications such as conductivity studies, transistors, solar cells, healthcare diagnostics, sensors, and so forth [16][17][18][19][20]. Among them, utilization of semiconducting nanomaterials-based sensing of VOCs/gases seems to be more attractive [21][22]. Therefore, many reviews are available on 1D to 3D inorganicnanomaterials-based semiconducting gas/VOCs sensors [23][24][25][26][27]. Similarly, the utilization of semiconducting polymeric/hybrid/organic-based semiconducting nanomaterials were also proposed towards selective sensing of VOCs [28] [29][30][31][32][33][34][35]. However, contrast to those polymeric/hybrid/organic nanostructures, inorganic semiconducting nanomaterials are highly admirable due to the low cost processing, durability, environment affordability, and high reproducibility, etc. [36][37][38]. Therefore, the effects of diverse nanostructured properties of inorganic semiconducting materials towards the determination of VOCs require more discussions. For example, Tin dioxide (SnO₂) seems to exist in diverse nanostructured forms, like nanoparticles, nanowires, hollow micro/nano-spheres, nanofibers, and cubahedra, with specific selectivity to different VOCs, such as acetone, ethanol, butanol, and toluene [36][37][38][39][40][41]. Thus, it is essential to gather information on consumption of diverse nanostructured materials in the device based detection of VOCs.

To this path, reviews on analytes quantification by means of electrochemical devices have already been reported by many researchers ^{[42][43]}. However, the information regarding the utilization of diverse inorganic-nanostructures in chemiresistor device-based VOCs determination, which is necessary for upcoming researchers, is currently deficient. Therefore, we deliver a comprehensive review on diverse nanostructured semiconducting nanomaterials that has been employed in device-based VOCs quantifications based on recent reports (more than 340 references published since 2016).

In this review, valuable information on diverse nanostructures employed in chemiresistor device-based VOCs detection and quantification (Figure 1) is revealed and discussed. Moreover, the mechanisms and effects of semiconducting nanostructures are clarified with charge/electron transport properties of those sensory nanomaterials. Lastly, synthesis of diverse nanostructured materials, advantages and disadvantages of those nanostructures, and their future scope towards VOCs quantification are summarized with justification.



Figure 1. Schematic illustration of device based sensing of diverse nanostructures to volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

2. Materials and Diverse Nanostructure Selections

In general, design and development of semiconducting nanomaterial-based gas sensors need to follow some important rules as follows.

- 1. For device-based detection, the materials must have the unique p- or n- type semiconducting properties, which can be further boosted by combining with other materials to form a p-n or p-p or n-n heterojunction towards specific analyte quantification ^[44].
- 2. The selected nanomaterials must have large surface to enhance the adsorption of gaseous VOCs, which can induce signal responses, such as I-V fluctuations, electrochemical responses, fluorescent deviations, etc. However, for device-based sensing, signals are obtained as either I-V or electrochemical responses.
- 3. To attain the discrimination of diverse VOCs, different 1D to 3D nanostructures (nanoparticles/quantum dots, nanocrystals, nanorods/nanowires/nanoneedles, nanofibers/nanobelts, nanotubes, nanocubes, nanocages, nanowalls, nanosheets, nanoflakes/nanoplates, nanospheres, nanoflowers, porous-nanostructures, hierarchical nanostructures, and so on) can be adapted via optimizing their selectivity and sensitivity to specific analyte [45][46][47][48][49][50][51][52][53][54] [55][56].
- 4. Diverse nanostructures can be developed by chemical synthesis, hydrothermal, chemical vapor deposition (CVD), combustion synthesis, sputtering, electrospinning, impregnation, sol–gel, solid-state reaction, hybrid composite synthesis, etc., to achieve specific sensitivity to the target VOC [45][46][47][48][49][50][51][52][53][54][55][56]. However, this should be done without affecting semiconducting properties of the proposed nanostructure, otherwise the sensitivity and reproducibility may be affected significantly.
- 5. Fabricated nanostructures on device must withstand different VOC exposures and at diverse humid/temperature conditions. Many devices may be affected by humidity of the environment and lead to malfunction, thereby special attention should be paid to the effect of humidity on the sensory devices. Similarly, certain semiconducting/hybrid materials can function at either higher or lower temperatures. Therefore, justification of operating temperature is a must for the sensory devices.
- 6. Diverse Nanostructures in Acetone Detection

Detection of acetone vapor by diverse nanostructures and materials follows the general mechanism of adsorption of volatile vapor over the surface of the substrate, resulting to the release of electrons and induce resistance changes (R_a/R_g ; R_a and R_g are resistance without and with the target gas). During the injection of acetone vapor in the gaseous chamber consist of diverse nanostructured materials, the vapor reacts with the oxygen species that is already adsorbed, as descripted in the Equations (1) and (2), which releases electrons to be detected as sensor signal (R_a/R_g).

$$(CH_3COCH_3)_g \rightarrow (CH_3COCH_3)_{ads}$$

(1)

 $(CH_3COCH_3)_{ads} + 80^- \rightarrow 3CO_2 + 3H_2O + 8e^-$

The sensitivity can be varied depending on the adsorption surface area, which might be the concern with diverse nanostructures towards VOCs detection. Such quantifications can also be significantly affected by the semiconducting properties, such as -type, -type, p-n, p-p, and n-n heterojunctions. Thus, information on miscellaneous nanostructured materials that has been reported in acetone detection and sensitivities are outlined below.

Among various nanostructures, the semiconducting nanoparticles (NPs) are capable of providing uniform surface for acetone adsorption to deliver unique resistance changes at certain temperatures. To this path, TiO₂ NPs, α -Fe₂O₃ NPs, Mn@ZnO NPs (MZO NPs; p + n interlock, field effect transistor), Pt-decorated Al-doped ZnO (Pt-AZO NPs), AZO NPs, B-TiO₂@Ag NPs, La_{1-x}Y_xMnO₃₋₀ NPs, and Bi_{1-x}La_xFeO₃ NPs were consumed in selective device-based quantification of acetone with part per billion/parts per million (ppb/ppm) detection limits (LODs) ^{[57][58][59][60][61][62][63][64]}. These nanoparticles are generally synthesized via hydrothermal (TiO₂ NPs, MZO NPs, Pt-AZO NPs, AZO NPs, and B-TiO₂@Ag NPs), reverse micro-emulsion (α -Fe₂O₃ NPs), and sol–gel (La_{1-x}Y_xMnO₃₋₀ NPs and Bi_{1-x}La_xFeO₃ NPs) methods that operate between 250 and 500 °C (as summarized in). Among these nanoparticles, Pt-AZO NPs are quite unique with a R_a/R_g response of 421, due to the doping and decoration of Al and Pt, correspondingly. Wherein, decoration of Pt enhances the sensor responses via increased O₂ adsorption (which reacts with acetone to release more electrons) on the surface, as shown in Figure 2. However, the main drawback of this work is its operating temperature (450 °C).



Figure 2. Schematic illustrating the sensing reaction mechanism of (**a**) Al-doped ZnO (AZO) and (**b**) Pt-decorated AZO (Pt-AZO) sensors in air and acetone (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[60]).

Similar to NPs, nanocrystalline materials were also involved in volatile acetone discrimination. For example, Zhang et al. developed the Pd-doped SmFe_{0.9}Mg_{0.1}O₃ nanocrystals by a sol–gel tactic and utilized in acetone detection under light illumination at 220 °C ^[65]. Wherein, the Pd:SmFe_{0.9}Mg_{0.1}O₃ displayed an impressive response of 7.16 (for 0.5 ppm) with a LOD of 0.01 ppm. To this track, hydrothermally synthesized WO₃ nanocrystals were also displayed a good response (R_a/R_g = 3.8; 0.25 ppm) at 320 °C with a satisfactory LOD of 0.075 ppm ^[66]. Moreover, Rhodium (Rh) additive in the solvothermally synthesized TiO₂ nanocrystals (TiO₂-5Rh) slightly improved the sensor response (R_a/R_g = 9.6; 50 ppm) at 300 °C, as shown in Figure 3 ^[67]. However, this work still needs further optimization on the operating temperature and LOD. Considering the acetone quantitation, nanowires (NWs) were fabricated to afford device-based sensors. Functionalization or attachment of certain materials on the surface of NWs may enhance the sensitivity.



Figure 3. (a) HRTEM micrograph of the 400 °C TiO₂-5Rh sample, detail of the orange squared region and its corresponding power spectrum; (b) Comparison between the TiO₂-5Rh acetone responses at various operating temperatures. The typical volcano behavior can be observed (Reproduced with the permission from Ref $\frac{[67]}{2}$).

To this light, Kim et al. and Singh et al. demonstrated an exceptional acetone sensitivity (refer to Table 1) of Co_3O_4 NPs modified SnO₂ NWs and self-assembled monolayer (SAM) functionalized ZnO NWs ^{[68][69]}. These sensory materials can be synthesized via vapor–liquid–solid (VLS), sol–gel, and thermal annealing processes to detect acetone with an LOD of 0.5 ppm. Additionally, p-n heterojunction NWs were proposed with ZnO branched p-CuxO@n-ZnO NWs (fabricated by hydrothermal method and atomic layer deposition) for acetone sensing ^[70]. Wherein, the sensor operated at 250 °C and displayed low sensor responses of 3.39–6.38 (5–50 ppm), as depicted in Figure 4. Therefore, the device requires further optimization to attain a higher response to achieve excellent performance.



Figure 4. TEM image of ZnO branched p-CuxO @n-ZnO heterojunction nanowires and its sensor responses to acetone (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[70]).

Discrimination of acetone was also demonstrated by hydrothermally/chemically synthesized nanorods (NRds) and nanoneedles at certain operating temperatures with good response/recovery time, as seen in Table 1 $\frac{71}{72}$ $\frac{73}{74}$. Among these nanorods (Cr doped ZnO NRds, SnS₂ NRds, Au/Pd-doped ZnO NRds, and α -Fe₂O₃/NiO NRds), the α -

Fe₂O₃ NPs-doped NiO NRds ^[74] displayed a high response of 290 for 100 ppm acetone at 280 °C with a LOD of approximately 5 ppm. This might be due to its p-n heterojunction property, but the operation temperature of the sensor operation requires further reduction. Because the Ag-doped ZnO nanoneedles ^[75] did not display any exceptional response (R_a/R_g = 30.233; for 200 ppm at 370 °C); therefore, they did not play an important role in volatile acetone detection. Devices with hydrothermally synthesized nanoarrays, such as La-doped SnO₂, hybrid 1D/2D α -Fe₂O₃-SnO₂, and ZnTiO₃ were engaged in acetone gas estimation ^{[76][77][78]}. Wherein, in contrast to other nanoarrays, ZnTiO₃ nanoarrays ^[78] showed exceptional sensor responses of 78/94 for 12.5 ppm at 45 °C/350 °C with the LODs of 0.09 and 0.01 ppm, correspondingly. This work is impressive because its operation at dark and light conditions can be completed with less than 3 min response/recovery time.

Volatile acetone quantitation was also authenticated by many semiconducting nanofibers fabricated by hydrothermal, electrospinning, and calcination tactics. Nanofibers like Aq-decorated SnO₂, PrFeO₃, Pt-ZnO-In₂O₃, Au@WO₃-SnO₂, Indoped ZnSnO₃, ZnO, and Ru-doped SnO₂ showed selective sensitivity to acetone at various operating temperatures (150-300 °C) [79][80][81][82][83][84][85]. Among them, Au@WO3-SnO2 [84] developed by Shao et al. displayed a high response of 196.1 for 10 ppm at 150 °C, with an estimated LOD of <0.5 ppm and response/recovery time of <2 min. In this track, functionalized/sensitized/assembled/decorated nanotubes (NTs) and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) were reported by many research groups towards acetone sensing. Pd/Pt functionalized SnO₂ NTs, PdO@ZnO-SnO₂ NTs, α-Fe₂O₃ NRds-MWCNTs, Co₃O₄—MWCNTs, Pt-CuFe₂O₄ NTs, Pd@WO₃-SnO₂ NTs, and ZnO-Decorated In/Ga Oxide NTs (synthesized by hydrothermal, encapsulation, electrospinning, and soaking) were reported for exceptional acetone detection at various operating temperatures (225-400 °C) [86][87][88][89][90][91][92]. These decoration of certain catalytic substrates may enhance acetone selectivity by varying the operating temperature. For example, Pt or Pd loaded multidimensional SnO₂ NTs [88] displayed an exceptional sensitivity to acetone (R_a/R_g = 93.55 for 5 ppm at 350 °C) with a LOD of <1 ppm. Similarly, PdO-ZnO composite on hollow SnO2 NTs [89] showed a good sensor response to acetone even at low concentration (Rair/Rgas = 5.06 for 1 ppm at 400 °C) with a LOD of 0.01 ppm. This sensor operates under higher humidity (95% RH) than that of others, thereby is quite noticeable. The sensitivity can be enhanced by forming multiple heterojunctions and by chemical sensitization effect of nanocatalyst.

For instance, the sensitivity of Pd@WO₃–SnO₂ NTs ^[91] to acetone was enhanced by multiple heterojunctions formation $(W_{O3}$ –SnO₂ n–n junctions, PdO–SnO₂, and PdO–WO₃ p-n junctions) and by the sensitization effect of Pd nanocatalyst. Doped SnO₂ nanobelts were employed as sensor materials for the discrimination of acetone with LODs down to sub-ppm level [95,96]. Li et al., and Chen et al. reported the development of Y- or Eu-doped SnO₂ nanobelts by thermal evaporation tactic above 1350 °C and utilized them in acetone sensing with verified enhanced performance (refer to Table 1) than those of pure SnO₂ nanobelts. Volatile acetone gas detection has been well demonstrated by nanocubes derived from p-type Co₃O₄, hybrid In₂O₃@RGO, Ag functionalized ZnSnO₃, ZnO–CuO p-n heterojunction, p-type NiFe₂O₄, NiO/ZnO composite, and MOF derived-ZnO/ZnFe₂O₄ ^{[93][94][95][96][97][98][99]}. Among them, ZnO–CuO p-n heterojunction displayed a good sensor response of 11 to 1 ppm acetone at 200 °C with an impressive LOD of 0.009 ppm. Moreover, the material has a stable response up to 40 days, thereby becomes a notable candidate. Similarly, hybrid In₂O₃@RGO nanocubes ^[94] showed discriminative sensing to acetone and formaldehyde at 175 °C and 225 °C, respectively, but the interference studies were still lacking in this report.

The acetone sensing capability of nanocages has been established by PdO functionalized Co_3O_4 hollow nanocages, ZnO/ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanocages, PdO functionalized NiO/NiCo₂O₄ truncated nanocages, and Ag@CuO-TiO₂ hollow nanocages $\frac{1000[101][102][103]}{1000[101][102][103]}$. Wherein, PdO acted as a catalyst (in PdO functionalized Co_3O_4 hollow nanocages and PdO functionalized NiO/NiCo₂O₄ truncated nanocages) to enhance the sensitivity and PdO@Co₃O₄ hollow nanocages $\frac{1000}{1000}$ displayed a response of 2.51 to 5 ppm acetone at 350 °C with a LOD of 0.1 ppm. Moreover, PdO@Co₃O₄ hollow nanocages can be operable at 90% humid condition. Next, ZnO/ZnFe₂O₄ hollow nanocages $\frac{1001}{1000}$ also detect the acetone gas to certain extend (R_a/R_g = 25.8 for 100 ppm at 290 °C), but require optimization on operating temperature. On the contrary, multicomponent Ag@CuO-TiO₂ hollow nanocages $\frac{1003}{10000}$ enhance the acetone sensing at low operating temperature (R_a/R_g = 6.2 for 100 ppm at 200 °C) with a calculated LOD of ~1 ppm, as illustrated in Figure 5. However, more focus is required to improve the response.



Figure 5. (**a**,**b**) The TEM images of Ag@CuO-TiO₂ hollow nanocages; (**c**) Gas responses to 100 ppm various target gases at 200 °C (B, benzene; D, dimethylbenzene; A, acetone; M, methanol; F, formaldehyde; E, ethanol; T, toluene); (**d**) Gas responses of different compositions to 100 ppm acetone at 200 °C (A–D, A: Ag@CuO; B: TiO₂; C: CuO-TiO₂; D: Ag@CuO-TiO₂) and 375 °C (E: TiO₂) (**e**) Responses vs. acetone concentrations at 200 °C; (The inset figure is the linear relationship between response and concentration) (**f**) Response and recovery curves to 100 ppm acetone at 200 °C (Reproduced with the permission from Ref ^[103]).

Researchers synthesized various nanosheets (NShs) by means of post-thermal, hydrothermal, impregnation, liquid exfoliation, precipitation, and multistep approaches and applied them in gaseous acetone quantification. Co_3O_4 NShs, ZnO NShs, SnO₂/Fe₂O₃ multilayer NShs, NiO NShs, and F-doped TiO₂ NShs were engaged in acetone sensing at different operating temperatures as summarized in Table 1 ^{[104][105][106][107][108]}. In particular, F-doped TiO₂ NShs grown on Ti foam ^[108] function linearly in the detection range of 25–800 ppm at 25 °C and are stable at divers humid conditions (20–90% RH), thereby become exceptional material for acetone detection. Subsequently, materials with nanowalls were utilized in acetone sensory, which showed the unique advantages of wide surface area for volatile gas adsorption. Nb-doped ZnO nanowalls (synthesized by radio-frequency (RF) magnetron sputtering), CuO nanowalls (from Oxidation of Cu foil in aqueous NH₄OH), NiO nanowalls (from chemical bath deposition (CBD), and ZnO deposited carbon nanowalls (from microwave plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (MPECVD) were reported as acetone sensors with extensive selectivity ^{[109][110][111][112]}. Herein, Nb-doped ZnO nanowalls ^[109] operate at 200 °C and deliver a high response of 89.13 (for 100 ppm) with good linear response between 20 and 100 ppm. Moreover, NiO nanowalls ^[111] exhibit exceptional response to acetone (R_a/R_g 30; for 10 ppm at 250 °C) with a LOD of 0.2 ppm and exhibit the dynamic response as shown in Figure 6. Similarly, Choi et al. established wide surface area interaction of acetone to ZnO deposited carbon nanowalls ^[112]; therefore, development of such nanowalls-based VOCs sensors are highly anticipated.



Figure 6. (i) (a) Plan-view SEM image of the NiO nanowalls (the inset shows the NiO-based sensor), (b) grey value profile along the line perpendicular to the nanosheet marked with the red circle, and (c) cross-view SEM image of the NiO nanowalls; (ii) (a) Dynamic responses of the NiO-based sensor to acetone pulses in the concentration range 1–40 ppm at 250 °C and (b) calibration curve at 250 °C (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[111]).

Towards acetone selective sensing, nanoflakes were incorporated in devices, which showed good sensitivity as other nanostructures. To this approach, α -MoO₃ nanoflakes, SnS nanoflakes, and Au NPs incorporated MoS₂ nanoflakes were developed by researchers by means of RF sputtering, solid-state reaction, and chemical exfoliation, respectively [113][114] [115]. Wherein, SnS nanoflakes seems to be an impressive candidate with a sensor response of >1000 at low operating temperature (100 °C). Moreover, the sensor response is stable even after six weeks with a LOD of <5 ppm and response/recovery time of <15 s. Similar to the microspheres [116][117], materials with nanosphere (NSP) structures are also effectively applied in the acetone estimation as noted below. Liu et al. and Zhu et al. developed the NiO/ZnO and WO₃-SnO₂ hollow composite NSPs to engage in effective quantitation of acetone via solvothermal and hydrothermal methods, correspondingly [118][119]. As shown in Figure 7, solvothermally synthesized NiO/ZnO NSPs show great responses to acetone (R_a/R_a = 29.8 for 100 ppm at 275 °C) with an LOD down to sub-ppm level [118].



Figure 7. (**a**–**d**) Schematic representation of solvothermal synthesize, SEM images and sensory response of NiO/ZnO hollow spheres towards acetone (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[118]).

In fact, the greater sensor response of NiO/ZnO NSPs was attributed to the decoration of NiO nanoparticles over the ZnO NSPs, thus become a notable candidate in acetone detection. On the other hand, the WO₃-SnO₂ forms two kind of NSPs, namely, the WO₃-SnO₂ 160 NSPs and the WO₃-SnO₂ 190 NSPs (prepared by heating at 160 °C and 190 °C, respectively) which display good response to acetone ($R_a/R_g = -8 \& 16$ for 50 ppm at 275 °C). However, it still requirse further optimization to attain high linear responses and low LOD.

To this track, researchers described the acetone quantitation by flower-like structures of Na-doped p-type ZnO, cubicrhombohedral-In₂O₃, Au NPs functionalized ZnO, and RuO₂ modified ZnO ^{[120][121][122][123]}. In particular, the Na-doped ZnO nanoflowers revealed the acetone sensing under ultraviolet (UV) illumination with a LOD of 0.2 ppm ^[120]. Contrast to the cubic-rhombohedral-In₂O₃ microflowers ($R_a/R_g = 13.6$ for 50 ppm at 250 °C; response/recovery time = 2 s/46 s; LOD = 0.01 ppm) and RuO₂ modified ZnO nanoflowers ($R_a/R_g = 125.9$ for 100 ppm at 172 °C; response/recovery time = 1 s/52 s; LOD 25 ppm) ^{[121][123]}, Wang et al. described an exceptional sensor response of Au NPs functionalized ZnO nanoflowers ^[122] as shown in Table 1. Due to the loading of Au NPs over ZnO surface, the response is 2900 for 100 ppm acetone with a LOD of <20 ppm at higher operating temperature (~365 °C), thereby become a notable candidate in acetone quantification. However, the working temperature requires further optimization.

Majority of nanostructures showed the importance of the pore effect on acetone detection as described in the following. Porous nanoparticles (Au sensitized Fe₂O₃ NPs and Au/ZnO NPs), porous nanorods (ZnFe₂O₄ NRds and α -Fe₂O₃/SnO₂ NRds), porous nanospheres (Pt sensitized W₁₈O₄₉), nanoporous fibers (ZnO/C), porous hierarchical nanostructures (Pt doped 3D SnO₂ and Ni doped ZnO), and porous nanocomposites (CuFe₂O₄/ α -Fe₂O₃ and (WO₃/Au) were utilized by researchers towards acetone quantification ^{[123][124][125][126][127][128][129][130][131][132][133]}. These nanostructures were synthesized through various hydrothermal/atomic layer deposition/template mediated tactics. As shown in Table 1, many of these porous structures revealed exceptional acetone sensing due to the factor of pore effect in the gaseous species adsorption. In particular, Pt-sensitized W₁₈O₄₉ nanospheres and Pt-doped 3D porous SnO₂ hierarchical structures ^{[128][130]} reported exceptional sensing of acetone (R_a/R_g = 85 (for 20 ppm) and 505.7 (for 100 ppm) at 180 °C and 153 °C, respectively) with the LODs down to sub-ppm level (~0.05 ppm), thereby become the unique candidates. Owing to the porous effect on VOCs detection, ZnO/C nanoporous fibers ^[129] evidenced the sensitivity to both acetone and ethanol (R_a/R_g = 53.222 and 59.273 (for 100 ppm) at 370 °C) with LODs down to sub-ppm level. But further optimizations are required on the operating temperature and selectivity to particular VOC.

Other than porous nanostructures, hierarchical nanostructures synthesized by either hydrothermal or thermal oxidation tactics were effectively applied in acetone detection. Hierarchical nanostructures of ZnO NWs-loaded Sb-doped SnO₂-ZnO, 3D flower-like ZnO, and Au NPs-SnO₂ NTs seems to be impressive in the selective detection of acetone at operating temperatures \geq 200 °C as presented in Table 1 [134][135][136]. Wherein, Wang et al. described the direct transformation of SnS₂ NShs to hierarchical porous SnO₂ NTs via thermal oxidation, which evinced a better selectivity upon Au NPs decoration at 200 °C with a LOD of 0.445 ppm, thereby stated as an exceptional candidate in acetone sensing [136]. To this light, differently shaped nanostructures were synthesized by the tactics like hydrothermal, impregnation, templated synthesize, etc. 3D inverse opal (3DIO) In2O3-CuO nano-architecture, 3D grass-like carbon-doped ZrO2 nanoarchitecture, Sm₂O₃ loaded mulberry shaped SnO₂ hierarchical nanostructure, cactus-like WO₃-SnO₂ nanocomposite, walnut like architecture of Fe and C co-doped WO₃, Urchin like Cr-doped WO₃ hollow nanospheres, and core-shell heterostructure of ZnO/MoS_2 NShs were engaged in effective detection of acetone as shown in Table 1 [137][138][139][140] 141 142 142 143. Wherein, 3D grass-like carbon-doped ZrO₂ architecture film displays the selectivity to both alcohols and acetone [138], thereby cannot be stated as a better candidate for acetone detection. Similarly, as seen in Figure 8, walnut like architecture of Fe and C co-doped WO₃, lying underneath microstructure rather than nanostructure, shows high selectivity to acetone ($R_a/R_g = -18$ for 10 ppm) at 300 °C even after 12 weeks [141]. Moreover, it can detect the acetone even at sub-ppm concentration (~0.2 ppm) and the sensor can operates at 90% humid condition, thus become an exceptional candidate in acetone quantitation. Apart from the aforementioned diverse nanostructures, nanocomposites that are not described under any unique nano-architectures also show their high selective sensitivity to acetone [144][145] [146][147][148][149] as shown in Table 1. Wherein, a few of them operate at diverse humid conditions (10-90% RH) and some others require optimization of operating temperature to attain high sensitivity.



Figure 8. (**a**,**b**) Top-view and cross-sectional SEM image of Fe and C codoped WO₃ (red arrow signifying the walnut like architecture); (**c**) Dynamic response-recovery curves of the three sensors based on the prepared pure WO₃, C codoped WO₃ () and Fe and C codoped WO₃ (FW3) sensors to different concentrations of acetone at 300 °C (Reproduced with the permission from Ref $^{[141]}$).

Table 1. Detection concentration, response/recovery time, operating temperature (Temp.) and limits of dection (LODs) to acetone gas by diverse nanostructured material.

Materials/Nanostructure	Analyte/Concentration	Gas Response (S = R _{air} /R _{gas})	Response/Recovery	Temp.	LOD	Ref
TiO ₂ /nanoparticles	Acetone/500 ppm	9.19	10 s/9 s	270 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>57]</u>
α -Fe ₂ O ₃ /nanoparticles	Acetone/100 ppm	8.8	NA	340 °C	5 ppm	[<u>58]</u>
Mn doped ZnO/nanoparticles	Acetone/2 ppm	3.7	17 s/NA	340 °C	1.8 ppm	[<u>59</u>]
Pt-decorated Al-doped ZnO/nanoparticles	Acetone/10 ppm	421	2.9 s/440 s	450 °C	~0.1 ppm	[<u>60]</u>
Al doped ZnO/nanoparticles	Acetone/10 ppm	11.8	11 s/793 s	500 °C	0.01 ppm	[<u>61</u>]
B-TiO ₂ @Ag/nanoparticles	Acetone/50 ppm	68.19	12 s/41 s	250 °C	0.887 ppm	[<u>62]</u>
$La_{1-x}Y_{x}MnO_{3-\mathbb{I}}/nanoparticles$	Acetone/500 ppm	27.2	NA	300 °C	NA	[<u>63]</u>
Bi _{1-x} La _x FeO ₃ /nanoparticles	Acetone/0.05 ppm	8	15 s/13 s	260 °C	0.05 ppm	[<u>64]</u>
$SmFe_{1-x}Mg_xO_3$ /nanocrystals	Acetone/0.5 ppm	7.16	32 s/8 s	220 °C	0.01 ppm	[<u>65</u>]
WO _{3/} nanocrystals	Acetone/0.25 ppm	3.8	4 s/5 s	320 °C	0.0075 ppm	[<u>66]</u>
TiO ₂ -5Rh/nanocrystals	Acetone/50 ppm	9.6	NA	300 °C	10 ppm	[<u>67]</u>
Co_3O_4 NPs attached SnO ₂ /nanowires	Acetone/50 ppm	70	NA/122 s	300 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>68</u>]
self-assembled monolayer (SAM) functionalized ZnO/nanowires	Acetone/50 ppm	170 & 90	2 min/24 min & 3 min/29 min	300 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>69]</u>
Branched p-Cu _x O @ n- ZnO/nanowires	Acetone/5–50 ppm	3.39–6.38	62 s/90 s	250 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>70]</u>

Cr doped ZnO single- crystal/nanorods	Acetone/70 ppm	70	NA	300 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>71</u>]
SnS ₂ /nanorods	Acetone/ 10 ppm	25	NA	300 °C	Down to sub- ppm	[<u>72</u>]
Au@ZnO & Pd@ZnO/nanorods	Acetone/100 ppm	44.5 & 31.8	8 s/5 s & 17 s/11 s	150 °C	0.005 ppm	[<u>73</u>]
α -Fe ₂ O ₃ -NiO/nanorods	Acetone/100 ppm	290	28 s/40 s	280 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>74</u>]
Ag-doped ZnO/nanoneedles	Acetone/200 ppm	30.233	10 s/21 s	370 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>75</u>]
La-doped SnO ₂ /nanoarrays	Acetone/200 ppm	69	6–12 s/20 s	290 °C	5 ppm	[<u>76</u>]
α -Fe ₂ O ₃ -SnO ₂ /nanoarrays	Acetone/1 ppm	5.37	14 s/70 s	340 °C	1 ppm	[77]
ZnTiO ₃ /nanoarrays	Acetone/12.5 ppm	78 & 94	117 and 141 s/99 and 131 s & 75 and 81 s/50 and 69 s (dark & light)	45 °C & 350 °C	0.01 & 0.09 ppm	[<u>78</u>]
Ag-decorated SnO ₂ /nanofibers	Acetone/50 ppm	40	6 s/10 s	160 °C	5 ppm	[<u>79</u>]
PrFeO _{3/} nanofibers	Acetone/200 ppm	141.3	7 s/6 s	180 °C	10 ppm	[<u>80]</u>
Pt-ZnO-In ₂ O ₃ /nanofibers	Acetone/100 ppm	57.1	1 s/44 s	300 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>81]</u>
Au@WO3-SnO2/nanofibers	Acetone/10 ppm	196.1	~2 min (for both)	150 °C	<0.5 ppm	[<u>82]</u>
Au functionalized In-doped ZnSnO ₃ /nanofibers	Acetone/50 ppm	19.3	10 s/13 s	200 °C	~10 ppm	[83]
ZnO/nanofibers	Acetone/100 ppm	50–124	65–130 s/75–135 s	250 °C	NA	[<u>84]</u>
Ru-doped SnO _{2/} nanofibers	Acetone/100 ppm	118.8	1 s/86 s	200 °C	~0.5 ppm	[<u>85</u>]
Pd-SnO ₂ /nanotubes	Acetone/5 ppm	93.55	NA	350 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>86]</u>

PdO@ZnO-SnO ₂ /nanotubes	Acetone/1 ppm	5.06	20 s/64 s	400 °C	0.01 ppm	[<u>87</u>]
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ nanorods- MWCNTs/nanotubes	Acetone/100 ppm	38.7	2 s/45 s	225 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>88</u>]
Pt-decorated CuFe ₂ O ₄ /nanotubes	Acetone/100 ppm	16.5	16 s/299 s	300 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>90]</u>
WO3–SnO2/nanotubes	Acetone/50 ppm	63.8	NA	275 °C	0.05 ppm	[<u>91</u>]
ZnO-Decorated In/Ga Oxide/nanotubes	Acetone/100 ppm	12.7 & 27.1	6.8 s/6.1 s & 11.8 s/11.6 s	300 °C	0.2 ppm	[<u>92]</u>
Y doped SnO ₂ /nanobelts	Acetone/100 ppm	11.4	9–25 s/10–30 s	210 °C	0.9024 ppm	[<u>150]</u>
Eu doped SnO ₂ /nanobelts	Acetone/100 ppm	8.56	15 s/19 s	210 °C	0.131 ppm	[<u>151</u>]
Co ₃ O _{4/} nanocubes	Acetone/500 ppm	4.88	2 s/5 s	240 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>93]</u>
Ag-ZnSnO ₃ /nanocubes	Acetone/100 ppm	30	2 s/3 s	280 °C	1 ppm	[<u>95</u>]
ZnO-CuO/nanocubes	Acetone/1 ppm	11.14	NA	200 °C	0.009 ppm	[<u>96]</u>
NiFe ₂ O ₄ /nanocubes	Acetone/1 ppm	1.9	8 s/40 s	160 °C	0.52 ppm	[<u>97]</u>
NiO/ZnO/nanocubes	Acetone/200 ppm	58	24 s/133 s	340 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>98]</u>
MOF derived- ZnO/ZnFe ₂ O ₄ /nanocubes	Acetone/5 ppm	9.4	5.6 min/6 min	250 °C	<0.5 ppm	[<u>99</u>]
PdO@Co3O4/nanocages	Acetone/5 ppm	2.51	NA	350 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>100]</u>
ZnO/ZnFe ₂ O ₄ /nanocages	Acetone/100 ppm	25.8	8 s/32 s	290 °C	1 ppm	[<u>101</u>]
PdO- NiO/NiCo ₂ O _{4/} nanocages	Acetone/100 ppm	6.7	<20 s/<30 s	210 °C	NA	[<u>102]</u>
Ag@CuO- TiO2/nanocages	Acetone/100 ppm	6.2	56 s/9 s	200 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>103]</u>

Co_3O_4 / nanosheets	Acetone/100 ppm	16.5	NA	111 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>104]</u>
ZnO _l nanosheets	Acetone/5 ppm	6.7	<60 s (for both)	300 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>105</u>]
SnO ₂ /Fe ₂ O ₃ /nanosheets	Acetone/10 ppm	9.8	0.8 s/3.4 s	260 °C	NA	[<u>106</u>]
NiO/nanosheets	Acetone/150 ppm	>90	80 s/82 s	200 °C	0.83 ppm	[<u>107</u>]
Fluorine doped TiO ₂ /nanosheets	Acetone/800 ppm	17.42	162 s/220.5 s	25 °C	~25 ppm	[<u>108</u>]
Nb-doped ZnO & ZnO/nanowalls	Acetone/100 ppm	84.62 & 89.13	NA	200 °C & 200 °C	<20 ppm	[<u>109</u>]
CuO/nanowalls	Acetone/500 ppm	4	82 s (50 ppm)/NA	320 °C	2 ppm	[110]
NiO/nanowalls	Acetone/10 ppm	>30	NA	250 °C	0.2 ppm	[<u>111</u>]
α-MoO ₃ /nanoflakes	Acetone/10-100 ppm	NA	NA	150 °C	NA	[<u>113</u>]
SnS/nanoflakes	Acetone/100 ppm	>1000	3 s/14 s	100 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>114</u>]
NiO/ZnO/nanospheres	Acetone/100 ppm	29.8	1 s/20 s	275 °C	Down to sub- ppm	[<u>118]</u>
WO3-SnO2/nanospheres	Acetone/50 ppm	~8 & 16	16 s/12 s & 15 s/11 s	240 °C	~50 ppm	[<u>119</u>]
Na:ZnO/nanoflowers	Acetone/100 ppm	3.35	18.2 s/63 s	NA	0.2 ppm	[<u>120</u>]
ZnO _/ nanoflowers	Acetone/100 ppm	2900 & 300	5 s/NA	365 °C & 248 °C	<20 ppm	[<u>122]</u>
RuO ₂ -modified ZnO/nanoflowers	Acetone/100 ppm	125.9	1 s/52 s	172 °C	<25 ppm	[<u>123]</u>

Au NPs-Fe ₂ O ₃ /porous nanoparticles	Acetone/10 ppm	6.1	5 s/20 s	200 °C	0.132 ppm	[<u>124]</u>
Au/ZnO/porous nanoparticles	Acetone/1 ppm	17.1	231 s/215 s	275 °C	<0.1 ppm	[<u>125</u>]
ZnFe ₂ O ₄ /porous nanorods	Acetone/100 ppm	52.8	1 s/11 s	260 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>126</u>]
α -Fe ₂ O ₃ /SnO ₂ /porous nanorods	Acetone/100 ppm	53.1	9 s/2.5 s	260 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>127</u>]
W ₁₈ O ₄₉ /Pt/porous nanospheres	Acetone/20 ppm	85	13 s/11 s (50 ppm)	180 °C	0.052 ppm	[<u>128</u>]
Pt-doped-3D-SnO ₂ /porous hierarchical structure	Acetone/100 ppm	505.7	130 s/140 s	153 °C	<0.05 ppm	[130]
Ni doped ZnO/porous hierarchical structure	Acetone/100 ppm	68	6 s/2 s	340 °C	0.116 ppm	[<u>131]</u>
$CuFe_2O_4/ \alpha$ -Fe $_2O_3/porous$ composite shell	Acetone/100 ppm	14	NA	275 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>132</u>]
3D- WO ₃ /Au/porous nano- composite	Acetone/1.5 ppm	7.6	7 s/8 s	410 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>133</u>]
ZnO nanowires-loaded Sb- doped SnO ₂ - ZnO/hierarchical structure	Acetone/5 ppm	12.1 & 27.8	<16 s & 32 s (res)/NA (rec)	400 °C	4.3 & 8.1 ppm	[<u>134]</u>
ZnO/3D-flower-like hierarchical structure	Acetone/100 ppm	18.6	7 s/NA	300 °C	NA	[<u>135</u>]
Au-SnO ₂ / hierarchical structure	Acetone/100 ppm	40.42	22 s/90 s	200 °C	0.445 ppm	[<u>136</u>]
In ₂ O ₃ -CuO/3D-inverse opals structure	Acetone/0.5 ppm	4.8	13 s/20 s	370 °C	0.05 ppm	[<u>137</u>]
SnO ₂ /Sm ₂ O ₃ /mulberry- shaped structure	Acetone/100 ppm	41.14	NA	250 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>139</u>]
WO ₃ -SnO ₂ /cactus like nano- composite	Acetone/600 ppm	26	NA	360 °C	NA	[<u>140</u>]
Cr doped WO ₃ /urchin-like hollowspheres	Acetone/10 ppm	13.3	NA	250 °C	0.467 ppm	[<u>142]</u>

ZnO/MoS2 nanosheets/core-shell nanostructure	Acetone/5 ppm & 20 ppm (No UV and UV)	14.4 & 4.67	71 s/35 s & 56 s/69 s	300 °C & 100 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>143]</u>
RGO-h-WO₃/nano- composite	Acetone/ 200 ppm	1.5	14 s/NA	Room Temp.	<1 ppm	[<u>144]</u>
2D-C ₃ N ₄ -SnO ₂ /nano- composite	Acetone/100 ppm	29	10 s/11 s	380 °C	0.067 ppm	[<u>145</u>]
In loaded WO ₃ /SnO ₂ /nano- composite	Acetone/50 ppm	66.5	11 s/5.5 s	200 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>146</u>]
Co ₃ O ₄ nanowires–hollow carbon spheres/nano- composite	Acetone/200 ppm	23	NA	200 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>147]</u>
Fe ₂ O ₃ /In ₂ O ₃ /nano- composite	Acetone/100 ppm	>15	8 s/6 s	200 °C	NA	[<u>148]</u>
CuO-Ga ₂ O ₃ /nano-composite	Acetone/1.25 ppm	~1.3	NA	300 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>149</u>]

NA = Not available; Temp. = Temperature; s = seconds; in = minutes.

4. Alcoholic Vapor Detection by Miscellaneous Nanostructures

In regard to the development of alcoholic vapor detection, various nanostructured materials were placed in the gaseous chamber to interact with the adsorbed oxygen species follow the reactions described in Equations (3) and (4) to release electrons.

$(C_nH_{2n+1} OH)_{gas} \rightarrow (C_nH_{2n+1} OH)_{ads}$	(3)
$(C_nH_{2n+1} OH)_{ads} + 3nO^- \rightarrow nCO_2 + (n+1)H_2O + 3ne^-$	(4)

Similar to the above detection process, metal oxide can oxidize the alcoholic vapor to aldehyde and convert them to water and carbon-dioxide to produce electrons as described in Equations (5)–(7).

$(C_nH_{2n+1} OH)_{ads} \rightarrow (C_nH_{2n+1} O^-)_{ads} + H^+$	(5)
$(C_nH_{2n+1} O^{-})_{ads} + H^+ \rightarrow (C_nH_{2n+1} O)_{ads} + H_2$	(6)
$(C_nH_{2n+1}O)_{ads} + (3n-1)O_{ads}^- \rightarrow nCO_2 + (n+1)H_2O + 3(n-1)e^-$	(7)

In the above two sensory processes, the released electrons lead to changes in resistance, which is then used as a sensor signal (R_a/R_g). To this track, numerous reports with diverse nanostructures have been reported towards the sensing of alcoholic gases as detailed as following.

Through the synthetic tactics like soft-chemical approach, hydrothermal, calcination, solvothermal, and sol–gel methods, researchers proposed several nanoparticles syntheses and applications in the alcoholic vapors sensing $\frac{152}{153}\frac{153}{154}\frac{155}{155}$. Sn₃N₄ NPs, Ni-doped SnO₂ NPs, C-doped TiO₂ NPs, Pr-doped In₂O₃ NPs, and Au/Cl co-modified LaFeO₃ NPs were

engaged in the detection of alcoholic vapors. In particular, Sn_3N_4 NPs and Au and Cl co-modified LaFeO₃ NPs [154,158] displayed good sensor responses to ethanol vapor with decent response/recovery time at an optimum operating temperature of 120 °C as shown in . Whereas, C-doped TiO₂ NPs were demonstrated in the detection of n-pentanol at 170 °C with a LOD down to sub-ppm level ^[154]. In this light, Ni-doped SnO₂ NPs were noted as an exceptional candidate, which showed sensitivity to both n-butanol and formaldehyde via tuning the doping concentrations of Ni ions ^[153]. Two percent Ni-doped SnO₂ NPs displayed a remarkable sensor response (R_a/R_g = 1690.7) to n-butanol at 160 °C with a response/recovery time of 10 s/>10 min. Moreover, 4% Ni-doped SnO₂ NPs demonstrated a sensor response (R_a/R_g = 1298) at 100 °C with the response/recovery time of 6 s/>10 min. Their detection of n-butanol displayed a linear response from 1 to 100 ppm with a LOD of ~ 1 ppm, thereby can be considered for future development.

Similar to the NPs, nanocrystalline materials were also used in the sensing applications of alcohols. Cao and co-workers explored the ethanol sensing utilities of unmodified/Cl-modified LaFe_xO_{3- δ} nanocrystals ^{[157][158]}. Both LaFe_xO_{3- δ} and Cl-modified LaFe_xO_{3- δ} nanocrystals displayed sensor responses of 132 and 79.2 (for 1000 and 200 ppm, correspondingly) with the response/recovery time of <10 s at 140 °C and 136 °C, respectively. However, the proposed LODs of both nanocrystals require further optimization. Ethanol sensing was also delivered by α -MoO₃ and copper oxide (CuO/Cu₂O) nanocrystals ^{[159][160]}, but their operating temperatures seems to be >250 °C (see Table 2), thus requires more interrogations. Xiaofeng et al. described the methanol detection by Gd_{1-x}Ca_xFeO₃ (x = 0–0.4) nanocrystalline powder ^[161]. This material showed a sensor response of R_a/R_g = 117.7 (for 600 ppm) at 260 °C with a response/recovery time of <2 min and a LOD of <50 ppm. However, it still requires investigations on more interfering gaseous.

Towards the detection of ethanol, doped/functionalized nanowires, such as Au modified ZnO NWs, Fe₂O₃ NPs coated SnO₂ NWs, In₂O₃ NPs decorated ZnS NWs, and Sr-doped cubic In₂O₃/rhombohedral In₂O₃ homojunction, NWs displayed extensive sensitivity $\frac{[162][163][164][165]}{1164][165]}$. These NWs were synthesized by vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) method, hydrothermal or electrospun tactics. All NWs can operate at 300 °C except the Au modified ZnO NWs, which operate at 350 °C. In particular, Sr-doped cubic In₂O₃/rhombohedral In₂O₃ homojunction NWs $\frac{[165]}{162}$ are impressive in terms of the response/recovery time (<1 min) with sub-ppm LOD (0.025 ppm). Similar to the NWs, nanorods are also utilized in the quantitation of ethanol as follows. Cr₂O₃ NPs functionalized WO₃ NRds, ZnO NRds, Pd NPs decorated ZnO NRds, and SnO₂-ZnO heterostructure NRds were synthesized by thermal evaporation, hydrothermal, and chemical vapor deposition (CVD) methods and employed in ethanol sensing $\frac{[166][167][168][169][170]}{166][167][168][169][170]}$. Shankar et al. reported the fabrication of three kinds of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA)-ZnO NRds calcined composites (NR1, NR2, and NR3) and demonstrated their exceptional sensitivity to ethanol at room temperature as shown in Figure 9 and Table 2 $\frac{[167]}{167}$. In this work, NR3 displays a good sensing performance (R_a/R_g = 23; response/recovery time =26 s/43 s; LOD 5 ppm). In view of this, SnO₂-ZnO heterostructure NRds (operating temperature is 275 °C) seems to be another good candidate with the LOD of 1 ppm, but it still requires optimization to reduce the operation temperature.



Figure 9. (i) (a) FESEM images of PVA–ZnO nanocomposites calcined at 873 K for different time durations—1 h (NR1), 3 h (NR2), and 6 h (NR3) and (b) schematic of the ZnO nanorods (NR1, NR2, and NR3); (ii) Sensing responses of the calcined samples towards 100 ppm of acetone, acetaldehyde, methanol, and ethanol at room temperature (299 K) (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[167]).

On the other end of the spectrum, Perfecto et al. reported the discrimination of Iso-propyl alcohol (IPA) by rGO-WO₃.0.33H₂O nanoneedles (rGO: reduced graphene oxide) ^[171]. This material was synthesized by the combination of ultrasonic spray nozzle (USN) and microwave-assisted hydrothermal (MAH) methods and displayed a sensor response of 4.96 to 100 ppm IPA at room temperature and at 55% RH with a LOD of 1 ppm. Therefore, it becomes an impressive candidate in IPA sensing. However, more investigations are required to improve the sensitivity. Sm-doped SnO₂ nanoarrays were developed through a hydrothermal tactic and applied in IPA sensing by Zhao and co-workers ^[172]. In which, it showed a high sensing response to IPA ($R_a/R_g = 117.7$; response/recovery time = 12 s/20 s; at 252 °C) with a LOD of ~ 1 ppm, therby become a notable material. However, this work requires more efforts in the reduction of operating temperature.

With regard to different alcoholic vapors detections, materials with nanofibers structures were proposed by many research groups. For example, Han et al. developed the rough SmFeO₃ nanofibers via electrospinning and calcination processes and used in the determination of ethylene glycol 12731 . The sensor response reached 18.19 to 100 ppm of ethylene glycol at 240 °C with a LOD of ~5 ppm. Moreover, both response/recovery time were less than a min, thus become a prominent material in ethylene glycol sensor. Similar to the above report, Feng and co-workers synthesized the In-doped NiO nanofibers through electrospinning method and employed in the detection of methanol 12741 . At 300 °C, the sensor response of In-doped NiO nanofibers reached 10.9 for 200 ppm methanol (response/recovery time = 273 s/26 s), which was five times higher than that of pure NiO nanofibers. However, this work needs further optimization to minimize the working temperature and LOD (25 ppm). In view of this, nanofibrous materials (SiO₂@SnO₂ core-shell nanofibers and Yb doped In₂O₃ nanofibers) were also engaged in the sensing of ethanol 1275112761 . SiO₂@SnO₂ core-shell nanofibers (see Table 2) and need more interrogations. In contrast, Yb-doped In₂O₃ nanofibers (developed by electrospinning) is an impressive candidate with the capability of operation at room temperature and a sensor response of 40 for 10 ppm ethanol with a LOD of 1 ppm 12761 .

Next, nanotubes were employed in the alcoholic vapor detection by using changes in resistance as the sensor signal. For example, Alali and co-workers developed the p-p heterojunction CuO/CuCo₂O₄ NTs through electrospinning and applied in the sensing of n-propanol at room temperature as shown in Figure 10 ^[178]. The importance of this work lies on its sensor signal to 10 ppm of n-propanol ($R_a/R_g = 14$; response/recovery time = 6.3 s/4.1 s). Similar to the above work, p-p type CuO–NiO heterojunction NTs were synthesized via calcination treatment and utilized in the detection of glycol at 110 °C ^[179]. Herein, the sensor responses reached 10.35 for 100 ppm glycol with a response/recovery time of 15 s/45 s and a LOD down to sub-ppm level (0.078 ppm), thus become a remarkable material. Ethanol sensing was demonstrated by coated and doped materials with nanotubes structures (NiO decorated SnO₂ NTs, Ca-doped In₂O₃ NTs, Ni-doped In₂O₃ NTs, and W-doped NiO NTs) at diverse operating temperatures ^[180](181)(182)(183]</sup>. These nanotubes were synthesized by hydrothermal or electrospinning tactics and successfully used in the discrimination of ethanol. The NiO decorated SnO₂ NTs ^[180] showed ethanol sensing ability of vertical standing NTs at 250 °C, but was low in sensitivity and LOD ($R_a/R_g = 123.7$ for 1000 ppm; response/recovery time = 10 s/58 s). On the other hand, enhanced sensor responses were observed in the rest of the doped NTs at ≥160 °C with a LODs of-5 ppm. For example, Ca-doped In₂O₃ NTs ^[181] reached a highest sensor response of 183.3 for 100 ppm ethanol (response/recovery time = 2 s/56 s) with a LOD of <5 ppm at 240 °C, thus, is noted as a good material for ethanol sensors.

Discrimination of ethanol vapor was demonstrated by materials with nanobelt structures as discussed in the following. In_2O_3 NPs deposited TiO₂ nanobelts, α -MoO₃ nanobelts, and Zn-doped MoO₃ nanobelts were hydrothermally prepared and engaged in ethanol detection at 100 °C, 300 °C, and 240 °C, respectively ^{[184][185][186]}. Among them, In_2O_3 NPs deposited TiO₂ nanobelts ^[184] seems to be an impressive candidate with a sensor response of >9 for 100 ppm ethanol, working temperature at 100 °C, response/recovery time of 6 s/3 s), and a LOD of 1 ppm as noted in Table 2. To this track, Wang et al. described the n-butanol sensing utility of nanocube structured Fe₂O₃ nanocubes displayed a sensor response of ~6 (for 100 ppm) at 160–230 °C, response/recovery time is <2 min with a LOD of <1 ppm. Similarly, Nguyen et al. delivered the ethanol sensor property of hydrothermally synthesized In_2O_3 nanocubes ^[188]. In which, the sensor response reached 85 at 300 °C for 100 ppm ethanol with lower response/recovery time (15 s/60 s) and a LOD of <5 ppm, thus is noted as a noteworthy material in ethanol detection. However, further optimization is required in reducing the working temperature.



Figure 10. (a) A diagram of the electrospinning process with a photograph of as-spun fiber mat and SEM image of composite fibers before calcination treatment. (b,e) Photographs of the sensor without and with covering by sensing materials, respectively. (c) Schematic diagram of the sensor and its components. (d) A schematic of the sensor circuit and its elements (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[178]).

Other than the microcages ^[189], nanocages were also reported in ethanol quantitation by the researchers. ZIF-8 derived ZnO hollow nanocages, ZIF-8 derived-Ag-functionalized ZnO hollow nanocages, and Cu₂O hollow dodecahedral nanocages were employed in the exceptional detection of ethanol ^{[190][191][192]}. Among them, both ZIF-8 derived ZnO hollow nanocages and ZIF-8 derived-Ag-functionalized ZnO hollow nanocages were reported with high sensor responses to 100 ppm ethanol ($R_a/R_g = 139.41$; response/recovery time = 2.8 s/56.4 s at 325 °C and $R_a/R_g = 84.6$; response/recovery time = 5 s/10 s at 275 °C, correspondingly) with their LODs down to sub-ppm levels (0.025 and 0.0231 ppm, respectively). In the light of this, such materials developments are appreciated with further interrogations to reduce the working temperature. Nanosheet structured materials were also developed for the quantification of gaseous ethanol. Wherein, Al-doped ultrathin ZnO NShs, NiO NPs decorated SnO₂ NShs, and CuO NPs decorated ultrathin ZnO NShs displayed their sensor responses to ethanol vapor ^{[193][194][195]}. However, apart from sensor responses and LODs, these materials require optimization for working temperatures, which are >250 °C, as noted in Table 2.

In addition to diverse nanostructure-based alcoholic vapor detection, SnS_2 and CdS nanoflakes were proposed for the sensing of methanol and IPA ^{[196][197]}. Bharatula and co-workers identified the methanol sensing performance of SnS_2 nanoflakes ^[196]. As illustrated in Figure 11, the SnS_2 nanoflakes exhibit an exceptional sensor response of 1580 for 150 ppm gas exposure at room temperature with the response/recovery time of 67 s/5 s, thereby can be commercialized towards the detection of methanol. However, more investigations on interference studies are required. In view of this, Liu et al. demonstrated the IPA sensing properties of CdS nanoflakes ^[197] with a LOD down to sub-ppm level (0.05 ppm). However, the sensor studies of CdS nanoflakes require high temperature (275 °C) and also lack interference studies.



Figure 11. (i) TEM analysis of SnS_2 nanoflakes (**a** and **b**) low magnification TEM images, (**c**) high resolution TEM image and (**d**) selected area diffraction (SAED) pattern; (ii) Alcohol sensing performance of SnS_2 nanoflakes (all alcohols at 150 ppm) at 25 °C (**a**) response vs. alcohols and inset shows the response vs. concentration, (**b**) resistance vs. alcohols, (**c**) typical *I*–*t* plot and (**d**) bar diagram of response and recovery time of the test alcohols (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. (196)).

Materials such as Co-doped ZnO hexagonal nanoplates, ZIF-8 derived α -Fe₂O₃/ZnO/Au hexagonal nanoplates, and ZnO nanoplates were reported in the ethanol detection ^{[198][199][200]}. The sensor responses of those nanoplates were found as 570, 170, and 8.5 for 300, 100, and 1000 ppm of ethanol at 300 °C, 280 °C, and 164°C, correspondingly. Wherein, hydrothermally synthesized Co-doped ZnO hexagonal nanoplates displayed a high sensor response to both ethanol and acetone ^[198]. However, further works on the interference studies as well as optimization for working temperature are required. On the other hand, compared to ZnO nanoplates ^[200], samples of ZIF-8 derived α -Fe₂O₃/ZnO/Au hexagonal nanoplates (synthesized by multi-step reaction process) ^[199] seems to be impressive in terms of response/recovery time (5 s/4 s) and LOD (~10 ppm) as shown in Figure 12. Materials with NSPs structures towards alcoholic gas detection were proposed by the researchers.



Figure 12. (i) SEM images of Sample 1–4: (**a**–**d**) before calcination; (**e**–**h**) after calcination; TEM images of Sample 1–4 after calcination (**i**–**l**); (**ii**) (**a**) The response of samples to 100 ppm ethanol at different operating temperature; (**b**) the response and recovery curves of samples upon exposure to 10–1000 ppm ethanol; (**c**) the response curves of samples to ethanol concentrations; (**d**) the linear relationship of log(S-1)-log(C) plot to ethanol at the optimum operating temperatures (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[199]).

Nanosphere-shaped materials such as Zn_2SnO_4 , monodispersed indium tungsten oxide, Ag@In_2O_3, ZnSnO_3, ZnO, and α -Fe₂O₃ were effectively applied in the discrimination of alcoholic vapors as presented in Table 2 ^{[201][202][203][204][205][206]}. Wherein, Zn_2SnO_4 NSPs, and Ag@In_2O_3 core-shell NSPs ^{[201][203]} were found to be effective in detecting the ethanol with sensor response of 23.4 and 72.56 (for 50 ppm ethanol at 180 °C and 220 °C, individually) with response/recovery time of <1 min and LODs of ~ 5 ppm and ~ 2ppm, correspondingly. Similarly, monodispersed indium tungsten oxide ellipsoidal NSPs and α -Fe₂O₃ hollow NSPs ^{[202][206]} were engaged in the quantitation of methanol at higher working temperature (>250 °C). However, α -Fe₂O₃ hollow NSPs were found to be more impressive with a sensor response of 25 for 10 ppm methanol at 280 °C (response/recovery time = 8 s/9 s) and with a LOD of 1 ppm. Subsequently, perovskite type ZnSnO₃ NSPs and 2nO hollow NSPs ^{[204][205]} were applied in the detection of n-propanol and n-butanol (for 500 ppm at 200 °C and 385 °C, respectively). Between them, ZnSnO₃ NSPs seems to be a better candidate with respect to their working temperature and LOD (0.5 ppm).

In light of this, materials with modified nanoflower structures (PdO NPs modified ZnO, rGO nanosheets modified NiCo₂S₄ and Pd and rGO modified TiO₂) and grained nanoflowers (NiO) were utilized in alcoholic gases assays $^{[207][208][209][210]}$. PdO NPs modified ZnO nanoflowers displayed their enhanced sensing capability of methanol via decoration of PdO NPs over the surface of ZnO as shown in Figure 13. In a similar fashion, reduced graphene oxide (rGO) nanosheets modified NiCo₂S₄ nanoflowers and Pd and rGO modified TiO₂ nanoflowers were demonstrated in the detection of ethanol as noted in Table 2.



Figure 13. (a) X-ray diffraction patterns of the synthesized pristine ZnO NFs, NiO modified ZnO NFs, and PdO modified ZnO NFs. (b) FESEM image of pristine ZnO NF; inset shows magnified view of (i) pristine, (ii) NiO modified and (iii) PdO modified ZnO NF. (c) Cross-sectional view of grown ZnO NF on Si/SiO₂ substrate. (d) Transient response characteristics (response magnitude (%) as a function of time) of the PdO–ZnO NF hybrid structure towards methanol, ethanol, and 2-propanol in the concentration range of 0.5–700 ppm at 150 °C (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[207]).

In particular, rGO modified nanoflowers were highly impressive in terms of the operating temperature ($\leq 100 \,^{\circ}$ C) and can be commercialized in future. Nanomaterials with porosity plays a vital role in the sensing studies of volatile alcoholic compounds. Porous structures of Ag-functionalized ZnO, Al-doped ZnO, Au loaded WO₃, 3D-ordered In-doped ZnO, Si@ZnO NPs, Ag loaded graphitic C₃N₄, hierarchical mixed Pd/SnO₂, SnO₂ fibers, hierarchical branched TiO₂-SnO₂, and hierarchical Co-doped ZnO were reported for their alcohol sensing utilities [211][212][213][214][215][216][217][218][219][220]. These porous nanostructures were synthesized by combustion method, nanocasting method, template mediated synthesis, microemulsion method, microdispensing method, solvothermal method, and calcination tactics. As noted in Table 2, these meso-/macro-porous nanostructures displays their good responses to alcoholic vapors at different working temperatures (lie between 150 and 350 °C). For example, hierarchical Co-doped ZnO mesoporous structure ^[220] revealed its exceptional sensitivity to ethanol (R_a/R_g = 54 for 50 ppm at 180 °C; response/recovery time = 22 s/53 s) with a LOD of 0.0454 ppm, thereby can be attested as a good candidate for ethanol sensing studies.

Next, hierarchical nanostructures/nanocomposites were reported towards the detection of alcoholic gases. For instance, hierarchical Fe₂O₃ NRds on SnO₂ NSPs nanocomposites and MoO₃-mixed SnO₂ hierarchical aerogel nanostructures were employed in the quantification of ethanol at 320 °C and 260 °C, respectively ^{[221][222]}. The sensors responses of these materials are 23.512 and 714, correspondingly, with response/recovery time <1 min/7 min, as shown in Table 2. In a similar trend, hierarchical In₂O₃ NPs decorated ZnO nanostructure ^[223] displayed discrimination of n-butanol (R_a/R_g = 218.3 for 100 ppm at 260 °C; response/recovery time = 22 s/53 s) with a LOD down to sub-ppm level, thereby become a notable material. Apart from hierarchical nanostructures, diverse shaped nanostructures were also used in volatile alcohols identification. Honeycomb-like SnO₂-Si-NPA nanostructure, rambutan-like SnO₂ hierarchical anostructure, ZnO nano-tetrapods, raspberry-like SnO₂ hollow nanostructure SnO₂ architecture, and neck-connected nanostructure film of ZIF-8 derived ZnO were proposed in the detection of alcohols as noted in Table 2 ^{[224][225][226][227][228][229][230][231]}. These materials were synthesized by solvothermal, hydrothermal, thermal-annealing, calcination, or CVD tactics. However, ZnO nano-tetrapods ^[226] can be ruled out due to their combined sensing applicability in hydrocarbon detection.

As described in Table 2, nanocomposite architectures were also demonstrated to be effective towards the quantification of alcohols. Wherein, nanocomposites of flower like LaMnO₃@ZnO, SnO₂-Pd-Pt-In₂O₃, RGO-SnO₂ NPs, SnO₂-V₂O₅, ZnO:Fe, g-C₃N₄-SnO₂, and Co₃O₄ nanosheet array-3D carbon foam are more impressive towards alcohols sensing studies ^{[232][233][234][235][236][237][238]}. Among them, SnO₂-V₂O₅ nanocomposite ^[226] was demonstrated with its sensitivity to ethanol (~66% for 160 ppm) through local grain-to-grain conductivity, but details on other sensor properties, such as response/recovery time and LOD were not available. Moreover, RGO-SnO₂ NPs composite ^[234] seems to be significant in terms of its capable operation between 24 and 98% humid conditions. This may be due to the presence of reduced graphene oxide along with the SnO₂ NPs. ZnO:Fe nanostructured film ^[236] morphology was improved by UV treatment, which further enhanced its sensor response. Similarly, upon modification of SnO₂ by g-C₃N₄ NShs ^[237], the ethanol sensitivity was improved.

Table 2. Detection concentration, response/recovery time, operating temperature (Temp.) and LODs to volatile alcohols by diverse nanostructured materials.

Materials/Nanostructure	Analyte/Concentration	Gas Response (R _{air} /R _{gas})	Response/Recovery	Temp.	LOD	Ref
Sn ₃ N ₄ / nanoparticles	Ethanol /100 ppm	51.3	NA	120 °C	0.07 ppm	[<u>152</u>]
C doped TiO ₂ /nanoparticles	n-Pentanol/100 ppm	11.12	100 s/675 s	170 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>154</u>]
Pr doped In ₂ O ₃ /nanoparticles	Ethanol/50 ppm	106	16.2 s/10 s	240 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>155]</u>
Au and Cl Comodified LaFeO3/nanoparticles	Ethanol/100 ppm	102.1 & 220.7	<40 s/NA	120 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>156</u>]
$LaFe_{x}O_{3-1}/nanocrystals$	Ethanol/1000 ppm	132	1 s/1.5 s	140 °C	<50 ppm	[<u>157</u>]
CI doped LaFe _x O _{3 –1} /nanocrystals	Ethanol/200 ppm	79.2	9 s/5 s	136 °C	<100 ppm	[<u>158</u>]
α -MoO ₃ /nanocrystals	Ethanol/100 ppm	>55	34 s/70 s	350 °C	NA	[<u>159</u>]
CuO/Cu ₂ O/nanocrystals	Ethanol/100 ppm	10 & 9.5	5 s/10 s & 4.1 s/10.5 s	300 °C & 275 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>160]</u>
$Gd_{1-x}Ca_xFeO_3$ /nanocrystals	Methanol/600 ppm	117.7	1 min/1.1 min	260 °C	<50 ppm	[<u>161</u>]
Au modified ZnO _/ nanowires	Ethanol/500 ppm	12.35	215 s/180 s	350 °C	<10 ppm	[162]
Fe_2O_3 nanoparticles coated SnO_2 /nanowires	Ethanol/200 ppm	57.56	300 s/100 s	300 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>163]</u>
In ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles decorated ZnS/nanowires	Ethanol/500 ppm	>25	400 s/100 s	300 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>164]</u>
Sr-doped cubic In_2O_3 /rhombohedral In_2O_3 /nanowires	Ethanol/1 ppm	21	<1 m (both)	300 °C	0.025 ppm	[<u>165</u>]
Cr ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles functionalized WO ₃ /nanorods	Ethanol/200 ppm	5.58	51.35 s/48.65 s	300 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>166]</u>

ZnO/nanorods	Ethanol/100 ppm	23	26 s/43 s	Room Temp.	<5 ppm	[<u>167]</u>
1D-ZnO/nanorods	Ethanol/100 ppm	44.9	6 s/31 s	300 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>168</u>]
Pd nanoparticles decorated ZnO/nanorods	Ethanol/500 ppm	81	6 s/95 s	260 °C	<100 ppm	[<u>169]</u>
SnO ₂ /ZnO/nanorods	Ethanol/100 ppm	18.1	2 s/38 s	275 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>170]</u>
rGO-WO ₃ .0.33H ₂ O/nanoneedles	Isopropanol/100 ppm	4.96	<90 s/NA	Room Temp.	1 ppm	[<u>171]</u>
Sm-doped SnO ₂ /nanoarrays	Isopropanol/100 ppm	117.7	12 s/20 s	252 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>172]</u>
SmFeO3/nanofibers	Ethylene glycol/100 ppm	18.19	41 s/47 s	240 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>173]</u>
In doped NiO/nanofibers	methanol/200 ppm	10.9	273 s/26 s	300 °C	25 ppm	[<u>174]</u>
SiO ₂ @SnO _{2/} core-shell nanofibers	Ethanol/200 ppm	37	13 s/16 s	NA	NA	[<u>175</u>]
Yb doped In ₂ O ₃ /nanofibers	Ethanol/10 ppm	40 & 5	NA	Room Temp.	<1 & 5 ppm	[<u>176]</u>
CuO/CuCo ₂ O ₄ /nanotubes	n-Propanol/10 ppm	14	6.3 s/4.1 s	Room Temp.	<10 ppm	[<u>178]</u>
CuO-NiO/nanotubes	Glycol/100 ppm	10.35	15 s/45 s	110 °C	0.078 ppm	[<u>179]</u>
NiO decorated SnO ₂ /vertical standing nanotubes	Ethanol/1000 ppm	123.7	10 s/58 s	250 °C	NA	[<u>180]</u>
Ca doped In ₂ O ₃ /nanotubes	Ethanol/100 ppm	183.3	2 s/56 s	240 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>181]</u>
Au and Ni doped In ₂ O ₃ /nanotubes	Ethanol/100 ppm	16.16 & 49.74	5 s/64 s & 3 s/49 s	160 °C & 220 °C	<5 ppm (for both)	[<u>182</u>]
W doped NiO/nanotubes	Ethanol/100 ppm	40.56	54 s/22 s	200 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>183]</u>

In_2O_3 NPs deposited TiO ₂ /nanobelts	Ethanol/100 ppm	>9	6 s/3 s	100 °C	1 ppm	[<u>184</u>]
α -MoO ₃ /nanobelts	Ethanol/800 ppm	173	<65 s/>15 s	300 °C	<50 ppm	[<u>185]</u>
Zn doped MoO _{3/} nanobelts	Ethanol/1000 ppm	321	<121 s (for both)	240 °C	5 ppm	[<u>186]</u>
MOF derived Fe ₂ O ₃ /nanocubes	Ethanol/100 ppm	~6	<120 s/<60 s	160 - 230 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>187</u>]
In ₂ O ₃ /nanocubes	Ethanol/100 ppm	85	15 s/60 s	300 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>188]</u>
ZIF-8 derived ZnO/hollow nanocages	Ethanol/100 ppm	139.41	2.8 s/56.4 s	325 °C	0.025 ppm	[<u>190]</u>
ZIF-8 derived Ag functionalized ZnO/hollow nanocages	Ethanol/100 ppm	84.6	5 s/10 s	275 °C	0.0231 ppm	[<u>191]</u>
Cu ₂ O/hollow dodecahedral nanocages	Ethanol/100 ppm	4.6	112.4 s/157.5 s	250 °C	NA	[<u>192]</u>
Al-doped ZnO/nanosheets	Ethanol/100 ppm	90.2	1.6 s/1.8 s	370 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>193]</u>
NiO NPs decorated SnO ₂ /nanosheets	Ethanol/100 ppm	153	NA	260 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>194]</u>
CuO NPs decorated ZnO/nanosheets	Ethanol/200 ppm	97	<7 s/<40 s	320 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>195]</u>
SnS ₂ /nanoflakes	Methanol/150 ppm	1580	67 s/5 s	Room Temp.	NA	[<u>196]</u>
Co doped ZnO/hexagonal nanoplates	Ethanol/300 ppm	570	50 s/5 s	300 °C	~50 ppm	[<u>198]</u>
ZIF-8 derived α- Fe ₂ O _{3/} ZnO/Au/nanoplates	Ethanol/100 ppm	170	4 s/5 s	280 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>199]</u>
ZnO/nanoplates	Ethanol/1000 ppm	8.5	154.4 s (125 ppm)/114.2 s (1500 ppm)	164 °C	NA	[<u>200]</u>
Zn ₂ SnO ₄ /nanospheres	Ethanol/50 ppm	23.4	18 s/45 s	180 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>201</u>]

Indium Tungsten Oxide/ellipsoidal nanospheres	Methanol/400 ppm	>5	2 s/9 s	312 °C	~20 ppm	[<u>202]</u>
Ag@In ₂ O ₃ /core-shell nanospheres	Ethanol/50 ppm	72.56	13 s/8 s	220 °C	~2 ppm	[<u>203]</u>
ZnSnO ₃ /hollow nanospheres	n-Propanol/500 ppm	64	NA	200 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>204</u>]
ZnO/hollow nanospheres	n-Butanol/500 ppm	292	36 s/9 s	385 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>205</u>]
α -Fe ₂ O ₃ /hollow nanospheres	Methanol/10 ppm	25	8 s/9 s	280 °C	1 ppm	[<u>206</u>]
PdO NPs modified ZnO/nanoflowers	Methanol/150 ppm	>80	18 s/52.2 s	150 °C	<0.5 ppm	[207]
NiO _/ grained nanoflowers	Ethanol/150 ppm	35	3 s/6 s	200 °C	2.6 ppm	[<u>208</u>]
rGO nanosheets modified NiCo ₂ S ₄ /nanoflowers	Ethanol/100 ppm	>2.5	4.56 s/10.38 s	100 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>209</u>]
Pd and rGO modified TiO ₂ /nanoflowers	Ethanol/700 ppm	>64% (for both)	6.55 s/186.97 s & 75.64 s/147.16 s	90 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>210]</u>
Ag- functionalizedZnO/macro-/mesoporous- nanostructure	n-Butanol/100 ppm	994.8	66 s/25 s	240 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>211]</u>
Al-doped ZnO/macro-/mesoporous- nanostructure	n-Butanol/100 ppm	751.96	25 s/23 s	300 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>212]</u>
Au loaded WO ₃ /mesoporous- nanostructure	n-Butanol/100 ppm	14.35	10 s/35 s	250 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>213]</u>
In doped ZnO/three dimensionally ordered mesoporous- nanostructure	Ethanol/100 ppm	88	25 s/10 s	250 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>214]</u>
Si@ZnO NPs/ mesoporous- nanostructure	Ethanol/300 ppm	62.5	0.27 min/3.5 min	400 °C	<50 ppm	[215]
Ag loaded g-C ₃ N _{4/} mesoporous- nanostructure	Ethanol/50 ppm	49.2	11.5 s/7 s	250 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>216</u>]
Pd/SnO _{2/} porous- nanostructure	Ethanol/5 -200 ppm	~90%	1.5 s/18 s	300 °C	<5 ppm	[217]

SnO ₂ /mesoporous- nanofibers	n-Butanol /300 ppm (for both)	556.5 & 415.3	195 s/100 s & 64 s/36 s	150 °C & 200 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>218]</u>
TiO ₂ –SnO ₂ /hierarchical branched mesoporous nano- composite	Ethanol/50 ppm	40	7 s/5 s	350 °C	0.2 ppm	[<u>219</u>]
Co-Doped ZnO/hierarchical mesoporous- nanostructure	Ethanol/50 ppm	54	22 s/53 s	180 °C	0.0454 ppm	[<u>220</u>]
Fe ₂ O ₃ nanorods on SnO ₂ nanospheres/hierarchical nano- composite	Ethanol/100 ppm	23.512	5 s/12 s	320 °C	<50 ppm	[<u>221</u>]
MoO ₃ -mixed SnO ₂ / hierarchical nanostructure	Ethanol/100 ppm	714	1 s (for all)/357 s, 8 s and 85 s	260 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>222]</u>
In ₂ O ₃ Nanoparticles Decorated ZnO/hierarchical nanostructure	n-Butanol /100 ppm	218.3	5 s/12 s	260 °C	Down to sub- ppm	[<u>223</u>]
SnO ₂ – Si-NPA/honeycomb like nanostructure	Ethanol/50 ppm	7.7	10 s/9 s	320 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>224</u>]
SnO ₂ / rambutan-like hierarchical nanostructure	n-Butanol /100 ppm	44.3	8 s/5 s	140 °C	<20 ppm	[225]
SnO ₂ / raspberry-like hollow nanostructure	n-Butanol /100 ppm	303.49	163 s/808 s	160 °C	1 ppm	[227]
SnO ₂ / snowflake-like hierarchical nanostructure	Ethanol/250 ppm	~55	6 s/7 s	400 °C	NA	[<u>228]</u>
SnO ₂ / pentagonal-cone assembled with nanorods	Ethanol/200 ppm	98	11 s/18 s	220 °C	1 ppm	[<u>230]</u>
ZIF-8 derived ZnO/neck- connected nanostructure films	Ethanol/50 ppm	124	120 s/70 s	375 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>231</u>]
LaMnO ₃ @ZnO/nano- composite	n-Butanol /100 ppm	6	8 s/17 s	300 °C	NA	[<u>232]</u>
SnO ₂ -Pd-Pt- In ₂ O _{3/} nano- composite	Methanol/100 ppm	320.73	32 s/47 s	160 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>233]</u>
RGO-SnO ₂ / nano- composite	Ethanol /100 ppm	43	8 s/NA	300 °C	~5 ppm	[234]

ZnO:Fe/ nano- composite films	Ethanol /100 ppm	61 & 36	1.1 s/1.45 s & 0.23 s/0.34 s	250 °C & 350 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>236</u>]
$g-C_3N_4-SnO_2/nano-$ composite	Ethanol/500 ppm	240	15 s/38 s	300 °C	~50 ppm	[<u>237</u>]
Co ₃ O ₄ nanosheet array-3D carbon foam/ nano- composite	Ethanol/100 ppm	10.4	45 s/140 s	100 °C	0.2 ppm	[<u>238</u>]

NA = Not available; Temp. = Temperature; s = seconds; in = minutes.

5. Various Nanostructures in Volatile Aldehyde Detection

In addition to acetone or alcoholic vapors detection, volatile organic aldehydes quantitation is also become essential and diverse nanostructured materials were reported in the aldehyde gases quantification. This section describes published reports in detail. Majority of the research papers generally focused on the assay of formaldehyde (HCHO) via the following reaction mechanism ^[239], which are also applicable for other aldehydes detection. As described in Equations (8) and (9), the adsorbed oxygen in the sensor chamber first reacts to form the acid followed by interaction with oxygen anion to release electrons, which can be adopted as the sensor signal.

HCHO + O ⁻ (ads) \rightarrow HCOOH + e ⁻	(8)
$HCHO + 2O^{-} \rightarrow CO_{2} + H_{2}O + 2e^{-}$	(9)

Nanoparticles, such as In_2O_3 NPs, molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) NPs, amorphous $Eu_{0.9}Ni_{0.1}B_6$ NPs, Ni-doped SnO₂ NPs, and NiO granular NPs films were utilized to detect the formaldehyde at diverse operating temperatures with sub-ppm LODs, as noted in ^{[240][241][242][243][244]}. Among them, molecularly imprinted polymers (MIPs) NPs discriminated the formaldehyde by means of quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) tactic with a LOD of 0.5 ppm, thus can be noted as an additional approach for the formaldehyde detection. Moreover, amorphous $Eu_{0.9}Ni_{0.1}B_6$ NPs ^[242] were noted as an exceptional candidate in formaldehyde quantitation due do their sensor response (R_a/R_g 7 for 20 ppm; response/recovery time 20 s (for both)) at room temperature. Therefore, commercialization of amorphous $Eu_{0.9}Ni_{0.1}B_6$ NPs towards HCHO detection is desirable.

Regarding the HCHO quantification, researchers developed nanowires $(SnO_2 NWs, p-CuO/n-SnO_2 \text{ core-shell NWs}, ZnO meso-structured NWs and RGO coated Si NWs) and nanorods (Co doped In₂O₃ NRds and Ag-functionalized and Ni-doped In₂O₃ NRds) via VLS, atomic layer deposition, low-temperature chemical synthesis, metal-assisted chemical etching method (MACE), thermal annealing methods and applied them in effective sensing of HCHO gas ^{[245][246][247][248]} [^{249][250]}. As noted in Table 3, many of these materials display exceptional sensitivity to HCHO at dif$

ferent working temperatures. Note that ZnO meso-structured NWs [248] are capable of operating at room temperature and show a high sensor response of 1223% to 50 ppm HCHO with a LOD of 0.005 ppm under UV, thereby attest as a motivational research. In view of this, Zhang et al. reported the utility of Ag-LaFeO₃ with spheres, fibers, and cages architectures with sensor responses of 16, 14, and 23 for 1 ppm HCHO at 82 °C, 110 °C, and 70 °C, correspondingly ^[251]. This work has driven the utilization of diverse nanostructures in VOCs determination as described below.

Materials with nanofibers structures were also attested their sensing ability to HCHO vapor. Ag-doped LaFeO₃ nanofibers, Co_3O_4 -ZnO core-shell nanofibers, $WO_3/ZnWO_4$ —1D nanofibers, and Pr-doped BiFeO₃/hollow nanofibers were synthesized by electrospinning method with the combination of calcination tactic and utilized in HCHO detection at 190–230 °C ^{[252][253][254][255]}. As shown in Table 3, $WO_3/ZnWO_4$ —1D hetrostructured nanofibers are found to be a good candidate in terms of the sensor response ($R_a/R_g = 44.5$ for 5 ppm at 220 °C; response/recovery time = 12 s/14 s) with a LOD of 1 ppm ^[254]. However, further optimization to reduce the working temperature is still needed. Liang and co-workers presented the alkaline earth metals-doped In_2O_3 NTs for the sensing of HCHO ^[256]. In which, Ca-doped In_2O_3 NTs showed a sensor response of 116 for 100 ppm HCHO at 130 °C with the response/recovery time of 1 s/328 s and a LOD

of 0.06 ppm. Therefore, it can be authorized as an exceptional material for the HCHO sensor studies. Doped nanobelts were employed in the discriminative assay of HCHO as detailed below. Er-doped SnO₂ and Pt-decorated MoO₃ nanobelts were reported for the quantitation of volatile HCHO [257][258]. As shown in Figure 14, Er-doped SnO₂ nanobelts [257] display a distinct response to HCHO vapor ($R_a/R_g = 9$ for 100 ppm at 230 °C; response/recovery time = 17 s/25 s) with a LOD of 0.141 ppm. On the other hand, Pt-decorated MoO₃ nanobelts [258] are more impressive with a sensor response ($R_a/R_g = -25\%$ for 100 ppm; response/recovery time = 17.8 s/10.5 s; LOD = 1 ppm) at room temperature, thereby become a noteworthy material in HCHO sensory research.



Figure 14. (i) SEM images of Er–SnO₂ nanobelts (a) at a low magnification, (b) at a high magnification, (c) SEM image of pure SnO₂ nanobelts, and (d) EDS spectra of Er–SnO₂ nanobelts; (ii) (a) The responses (R_s) versus temperature (*T*) of Er–SnO₂ nanobelt to 100 ppm gas from 150 °C to 260 °C, (b) The responses (R_s) versus temperature (*T*) of SnO₂ nanobelt to 100 ppm gas from 150 °C to 260 °C, (c) Histogram of the sensitive responses at 230 °C (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[257]).

Nanocube-shaped materials were consumed in the quantification of HCHO vapor at diverse operating temperatures. Multi-shelled hollow nanocubes $(ZnSnO_3 \text{ and } ZnSn(OH)_6$; synthesized by co-precipitation method) were engaged in HCHO sensing at 220 °C and 60 °C, respectively ^{[259][260]}. The responses reached 37.2 and 56.6 (for 100 ppm; response/recovery time = 1 s/59 s and 1 s/89 s, respectively) with the LODs of <10 ppm and 1ppm, correspondingly. Both materials performed remarkablely in HCHO sensory studies. Similarly, MOF (zeolite imidazolate framework-67; ZIF-67)-derived Co₃O₄/CoFeO₄ double-shelled nanocubes were utilized in the detection of HCHO ^[261]. As illustrated in Figure 15, the ZIF-67-derived Co₃O₄/CoFeO₄ double-shelled nanocubes were synthesized by MOF route and found to be more effective in the sensing of HCHO even at 1 ppm concentration.



Figure 15. (i) Schematic of the process to fabricate porous Co_3O_4 HNCs, CCFO DSNCs, and CFO SSNCs; (ii) (a) Responses of the sensors to 10 ppm of formaldehyde at 80–230 °C. (b) Responses of the sensors to 10 ppm of various gases at the optimal operating temperatures. Dynamic response–recovery curves of the CCFO DSNCs and Co_3O_4 HNCs sensors to xylene in the ranges (c,e) 1–20 ppm and (d,f) 50–500 ppm under their optimal operating temperatures. (g) Response of the sensors in the ranges from 1 to 500 ppm of xylene. (h) Responses of the CCFO DSNCs sensor as a function of low formaldehyde concentration (1–10 ppm) (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. [261]).

For 10 ppm HCHO, the sensor response reaches 12.7 at low operating temperature (139 °C) with short response/recovery time (4 s/9 s) and sub-ppm LOD (0.3 ppm). Therefore, development of such nanocube materials are highly anticipated in HCHO quantitation. Nanosheets with and without decoration were effectively applied in the sensing of HCHO. High resolution SEM and TEM images of nanosheets are dispayed in Figure 16. WO₃ clusters decorated In₂O₃ NShs (synthesized by impregnating method), SnO₂ NShs, ZnO NShs, Au atom dispersed In₂O₃ NShs, and PdAu bimetal decorated SnO₂ NShs were exploited in the discrimination of HCHO at various operating temperatures [262][263][264][265] [266]. However, the ZnO NShs (adopted from hydrothermal method; Figure 16) were engaged in aqueous phase detection of HCHO with linear regression of 10 nM to 1 mM and a LOD of 210 nM; thus, it cannot be listed as device-based assays $\frac{[267]}{2}$. Compared to other HCHO sensory reports, Au atom dispersed \ln_2O_3 NShs (developed by light assisted reduction method) were highly fascinated with respect to its sensor reposes (R_a/R_g = 85.67 for 50 ppm at 100 °C; response/recovery time = 25 s/198 s) with an exceptional LOD of 0.00142 ppm $\frac{[265]}{2}$. PdAu bimetal decorated SnO₂ NShs (synthesized by hydro-solvothermal treatment) were reported for the detection of both acetone and HCHO at 250 °C and 110 °C, respectively, with a LODs down to sub-ppm level [266]. The device also works at high humid condition (94% RH), but interference studies still need more clarification., Hayashi et al. proposed the utilization of SnS₂ nanoflake device to detect the HCHO gas [268], which had a LOD down to sub-ppm (0.001/0.02 ppm) and operated at 210 °C. However, details on other sensory properties are currently missing.



Figure 16. Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) image (**a**) cross section image (**b**), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping image (**c**) and transmission electron microscope (TEM) image (**d**) (inner HR TEM and selected area diffraction (SAED) pattern) of ZnO NShs (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[267]).

Nanospheres were also fabricated to quantify the HCHO as described in the following. Hussain and co-workers demonstrated the utilization of 0D ZnO NSPs and NPs (developed by low temperature hydrothermal route) to discriminate the formaldehyde ^[269]. Wherein, 0D ZnO NSPs displayed a higher sensor response ($R_a/R_g = 95.4$ for 100 ppm at 295 °C; response/recovery time = 11 s/8 s; LOD as ~5 ppm) than that of 0D ZnO NPs ($R_a/R_g = 68.2$ for 100 ppm at 295 °C; response/recovery time = 11 s/8 s; LOD = ~10 ppm). However, the working temperature needs to be reduced before commercialization. In light of this, hydrothermally synthesized Ag-doped Zn₂SnO₄/SnO₂ hollow NSPs [^{270]} were reported in the detection of HCHO at low working temperature ($R_a/R_g = 60$ for 50 ppm at 140 °C; response/recovery time = 9 s/5 s; LOD = 5 ppm), thereby is attested as a better candidate. Similar to NSPs, microspheres were also still applied in the detection of HCHO. For example, MOF-derived ZnO/ZnCo₂O₄ microspheres ^[271] were used in HCHO sensing with a response of 26.9 for 100 ppm gas with response/recovery time of 9 s/14 s and a LOD of 0.2 ppm. Therefore, research on NSPs/microspheres-based VOCs detection is highly anticipated in future.

As an alternative to HCHO sensors, materials with nanoflowers like structures were developed. Hierarchical SnO_2 and Sn_3O_4/rGO hetrostructured nanoflowers (synthesized by hydrothermal method) were reported for formaldehyde assay $\frac{[272][273]}{273}$. As noted in Table 3, Sn_3O_4/rGO hetrostructured nanoflowers are more effective in sensing HCHO ($R_a/R_g = 44$ for 100 ppm at 150 °C; response/recovery time = 4 s/125 s) than that of Hierarchical SnO_2 nanoflowers with a LOD of 1 ppm. Moreover, the presence of rGO enhances the sensor response and long-term stability, thereby is noted as a good addition to HCHO sensors.

Exploitation of different nanostructures with porosity in the quantitation of HCHO detection has been demonstrated. Porous nanostructures such as Au-loaded In₂O₃ hierarchical porous nanocubes, Ag-loaded ZnO porous hierarchical nanocomposite, Pd–WO₃/m-CN mesoporous nanosubes, GO/SnO₂-2D mesoporous nanosheets, ZnSnO3-2D mesoporous nanostructure, LaFeO₃ porous hierarchical nanostructure, Bi doped Zn₂SnO₄/SnO₂ porous nanospheres, ZnO porous nanoplates, and Au@ZnO mesoporous nanoflowers were reported as HCHO sensors at diverse operating temperatures as summarized in Table 3 [274][275][276][277][280][281][282]]. The higher sensor responses achieved from those materials are attributed to the presence of porosity, which increases the adsorption of the HCHO gas significantly to enhance the signal (changes in resistance). Among the aforementioned porous materials, 2D mesoporous GO/SnO₂ nanosheets [277] revealed an exceptional sensor response of 2275.7 at low operating temperature (60 °C) with the response/recovery time of 81.3 s/33.7 s and a LOD of 0.25 ppm. Therefore, this extraordinary work has demonstrated possible commercialization in future. Similarly, mesoporous Pd–WO₃/m-CN nanocubes ^[276] performed quite impressively with operation at 95% dry humid condition. In the light of this, TiO₂/ZnCo₂O₄ porous nanorods were engaged in the detection of HCHO and trimethylamine (TEA) at 220 °C and 130 °C ^[283]. However, response in TEA detection was higher than that of HCHO and it was lack of interference studies.

Hierarchical nanostructures of Zn₂SnO₄/SnO₂, Pt/MnO₂-Ni(OH)₂ hybrid nanoflakes, SnO₂ nanofiber/nanosheets, In₂O₃@SnO₂ composite, and cedar-like SnO₂ were demonstrated as HCHO sensors [284][285][286][287][288]. These materials were synthesized by means of chemical route, hydrothermal, or electrospinning tactics. Wherein, hierarchical Pt/MnO₂-Ni(OH)₂ hybrid nanoflakes ^[285] demonstrated only the formaldehyde oxidation activity at room temperature, thereby more work was needed to study the exact sensor response. Among these hierarchical nanostructures, In2O3@SnO2 hierarchical composite displayed a good sensor response (R_a/R_g = 180.1 for 100 ppm at 120 °C; response/recovery time = 3 s/3.6 s) with a LOD of 0.1 ppm. Different shaped nanostructures were also proposed by researchers as an alternative to aldehyde sensors. Following the similar synthetic approaches, urchin-like In₂O₃ hollow nanostructure, butterfly-like SnO₂ hierarchical nanostructure, SnO₂ hollow hexagonal prisms, and NiO/NiFe₂O₄ composite nanotetrahedrons were synthesized and used in aldehydes detection as illustrated in Table 3 [289][290][291][292]. However, butterfly-like SnO₂ hierarchical nanostructure ^[290] performs better in the detection of acetaldehyde than that of HCHO as noted in Figure 17. It displays a high sensor response to acetaldehyde ($R_a/R_g = 178.3$ for 100 ppm at 243 °C; response/recovery time = 28 s/58 s) with a LOD of <0.5 ppm, thereby cab be noted as an exceptional candidate. In the detection of HCHO, nanocomposite materials were also effectively employed. Vertical graphene (VG) decorated SnO₂, multiwalled carbon nanotubes-polyethyleneimine, and n-n TiO2@SnO2 nanocomposites (synthesized by ALD method) were utilized in the discrimination of HCHO with LODs down to sub-ppm level [293][294][295]. In particular, multiwalled carbon nanotubespolyethyleneimine composites [286] operated at room temperature with good response/recovery time (<1 min for both). On the other hand, n-n TiO2@SnO2 nanocomposites [295] detected the HCHO under UV and dark conditions at 50 °C. Therefore, development of such nanocomposite-based VOC sensor devices are much anticipated.



Figure 17. (i) Schematic illustration of the formation of butterfly-like SnO_2 architectures. (ii) The response comparison of the butterfly-like SnO_2 architectures to 200 ppm of various VOCs at the optimal operating temperature. (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[290]).

Table 3. Detection concentration, response/recovery time, operating temperature (Temp.) and LODs to volatile organic aldehyde gas by diverse nanostructured materials.

Materials/Nanostructure	Analyte/Concentration	Gas Response (R _{air} /R _{gas})	Response/Recovery	Temp.	LOD	Ref
p-CuO/n-SnO ₂ /core-shell nanowires	Formaldehyde/50 ppm	2.42	52 s/80 s	250 °C	<1.5 ppm	[<u>246</u>]
ZnO/meso-structured nanowires (under UV)	Formaldehyde/50 ppm	1223%	NA	Room Temp.	0.005 ppm	[<u>247]</u>
RGO coated Si/nanowires	Formaldehyde/10 ppm	6.4	30 s/10 s	300 °C	0.035 ppm	[<u>248]</u>
Co doped $In_2O_3/nanorods$	Formaldehyde/10 ppm	23.2	60 s/120 s	130 °C	1 ppm	[<u>249</u>]
Ag-functionalized Ni-doped In ₂ O ₃ /nanorods	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	123.97	1.45 s/58.2 s	160 °C	<2.5 ppm	[<u>250]</u>
Ag doped LaFeO ₃ /nanofibers	Formaldehyde/5 ppm	4.8	2 s/4 s	230 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>252</u>]
Co ₃ O ₄ -ZnO/core-shell nanofibers	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	>5	6 s/9 s	220 °C	<10 ppm	[253]

WO3/ZnWO4/nanofibers	Formaldehyde/5 ppm	44.5	12 s/14 s	220 °C	1 ppm	[<u>254</u>]
Pr-doped BiFeO _{3/} hollow nanofibers	Formaldehyde/50 ppm	17.6	17 s/19 s	190 °C	5 ppm	[<u>255</u>]
Ca doped In ₂ O ₃ /nanotubes	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	116	1 s/328 s	130 °C	0.06 ppm	[<u>256</u>]
Er-doped SnO ₂ /nanobelt	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	9	17 s/25 s	230 °C	0.141 ppm	[<u>257</u>]
Pt-decorated MoO ₃ /nanobelt	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	~25%	17.8 s/10.5 s	Room Temp.	1 ppm	[<u>258</u>]
ZnSnO ₃ /multi-shelled nanocubes	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	37.2	1 s/59 s	220 °C	<10 ppm	[<u>259</u>]
ZnSn(OH) ₆ /multi-shelled nanocubes	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	56.6	1 s/89 s	60 °C	1 ppm	[<u>260]</u>
MOF-derived $Co_3O_4/CoFeO_4/double-$ shelled nanocubes	Formaldehyde/10 ppm	12.7	4 s/9 s	139 °C	0.3 ppm	[<u>261</u>]
WO_x clusters decorated In_2O_3 /nanosheets	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	~25	1 s/67 s	170 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>262]</u>
SnO ₂ /nanosheets	Formaldehyde/200 ppm	207.7	30 s/57 s	200 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>263]</u>
Au atom dispersed In ₂ O _{3/} nanosheets	Formaldehyde/50 ppm	85.67	25 s/198 s	100 °C	0.00142 ppm	[<u>265</u>]
SnS _{2/} nanoflakes film	Formaldehyde/NA	NA	NA	210 °C	0.001/0.02 ppm	[<u>268</u>]
0D ZnS/nanospheres and nanoparticles	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	95.4 & 68.2	11 s/8 s	295 °C	~5 & 10 ppm	[<u>269</u>]
Ag doped Zn ₂ SnO ₄ /SnO _{2/} hollow nanospheres	Formaldehyde/50 ppm	60	9 s/5 s	140 °C	5 ppm	[<u>270</u>]
SnO ₂ /nanoflowers (hierarchical)	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	34.6	64 s/10 s	300 °C	5 ppm	[<u>272</u>]
Sn ₃ O ₄ /rGO _/ nanoflower (hetero- structure)	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	44	4 s/125 s	150 °C	1 ppm	[<u>273]</u>

Au-loaded In ₂ O ₃ /porous hierarchical nanocubes	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	37	3 s/8 s	240 °C	10 ppm	[<u>274</u>]
Ag-loaded ZnO/porous hierarchical nano- composite	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	170.42	12 s/90 s	240 °C	1 ppm	[274]
Pd–WO ₃ /m-CN/mesoporous nanocubes	Formaldehyde/25 ppm	24.2	6.8 s/4.5 s	120 °C	1 ppm	[<u>276</u>]
GO/SnO ₂ /2D mesoporous nanosheets	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	2275.7	81.3 s/33.7 s	60 °C	0.25 ppm	[<u>277]</u>
ZnSnO _{3/} 2D mesoporous nanostructure	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	45.8	3 s/6 s	210 °C	0.2 ppm	[<u>278</u>]
LaFeO ₃ /porous hierarchical nanostructure	Formaldehyde/50 ppm	116	7 s/24 s	125 °C	0.05 ppm	[<u>279</u>]
Bi doped Zn ₂ SnO ₄ /SnO ₂ /porous nanospheres	Formaldehyde/50 ppm	23.2	16 s/9 s	180 °C	10 ppm	[<u>280]</u>
ZnO/porous nanoplates	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	12	80 s/60 s	240 °C	10 ppm	[<u>281</u>]
Au@ZnO/mesoporous nanoflowers	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	45.28	NA	220 °C	NA	[<u>282</u>]
Zn ₂ SnO ₄ /SnO ₂ /hierarchical octahedral nanostructure	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	>60	76 s/139 s (for 20 ppm)	200 °C	2 ppm	[<u>284]</u>
SnO ₂ nanofiber/nanosheet/hierarchical nanostructure	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	57	4.7 s/11.6 s	120 °C	~0.5 ppm	[<u>286</u>]
In ₂ O ₃ @SnO ₂ /hierarchical nano- composite	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	180.1	3 s/3.6 s	120 °C	0.01 ppm	[<u>287]</u>
SnO ₂ /cedar like hierarchical nano-micro structure	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	13.3	<1 s/13 s	200 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>288</u>]
In ₂ O _{3/} urchin like hollow nanostructure	Formaldehyde/1 ppm	20.9	46 s/90 s	140 °C	0.05 ppm	[<u>289]</u>
SnO ₂ /Butterfly like hierarchical nanostructure	Acetaldehyde/100 ppm	178.3	28 s/58 s	243 °C	<0.5 ppm	[<u>290</u>]
SnO ₂ /hollow hexagonal prisms	Formaldehyde/2 ppm	882	19 s/NA	120 °C	<2 ppm	[<u>291]</u>

NiO/NiFe ₂ O ₄ /nano- tetrahedrons composite	Formaldehyde/100 ppm	22.5	9 s/3 s	240 °C	0.2 ppm	[<u>292</u>]
VG/SnO ₂ /nano- composite	Formaldehyde/5 ppm	>5	46 s/95 s	Room Temp.	0.02 ppm	[<u>293</u>]

NA = Not available; Temp. = Temperature; s = seconds; in = minutes.

6. Various Nanostructures in Volatile Organic Amines Detection

The well-known toxic volatile organic amines detection by nanomaterials are highly anticipated. The mechanism follows the similar trend as proposed in other VOCs sensors. Upon interaction with anionic oxide species in the chamber, the volatile amines reacts and releases electrons resulting changes in resistance, which is adopted as sensor signals. A simple scheme represents the reaction mechanisms in triethylamine (TEA) detection is given in Equations (10)–(12). Similar to this mechanism, other volatile organic amine detections also follow the same sequence.

In chamber O_2 (ads) + $e^- \rightarrow O_2^-$ (ads)	(10)
In chamber O_2^- (ads) + $e^- \rightarrow 2O^-$ (ads)	(11)
Under TEA $(C_2H_5)_3N + O^-$ (ads) $\rightarrow N_2 + CO_2 + H_2O + e^-$	(12)

TEA detection was demonstrated by nanoparticle-based devices as explained below. Co_3O_4/ZnO hybrid NPs, Ho-doped SnO₂ NPs, and CuCrO₂ NPs (synthesized by hydrothermal or gas–liquid phase chemical method and annealing) were utilized in the sensing of TEA at 285 °C, 175 °C, and 140 °C, respectively, as shown in ^{[296][297][298]}. Wherein, Co_3O_4/ZnO hybrid NPs ^[296] shows a high response (R_a/R_g = 282.3 for 200 ppm at 285 °C; response/recovery time = 25 s/36 s) with a LOD of ~ 10 ppm. However, more investigations are needed to lower the working temperature. Subsequently, multi-metal functionalized tungsten oxide NWs (Ag/Pt/W₁₈O₄₉ NWs) and 1D SnO₂ coated ZnO hybrid NWs were reported in the discrimination of TEA and n-Butylamine, correspondingly, at 240 °C. The Ag/Pt/W₁₈O₄₉ NWs ^[299] displayed a high sensor response to TEA (R_a/R_g = 813 for 50 ppm at 240 °C; response/recovery time = 15 s/35 s (for 2 ppm) with a LOD of 0.071 ppm, thereby is noted as a remarkable candidate. 1D SnO₂ coated ZnO hybrid NWs (synthesized by solvothermal and calcination tactics) revealed sensitivity to n-Butylamine (R_a/R_g = 7.4 for 10 ppm at 240 °C; response/recovery time is 40 s/80 s) with an estimated LOD of 1 ppm, thereby can be included in n-Butylamine detection ^[300].

Apart from NWs, nanorods were also engaged in the quantitation of volatile organic amines as detailed in the following. The sensing of Diethylamine (DEA), Trimethylamine (TMA), and TEA were demonstrated by V_2O_5 -decorated α -Fe₂O₃ NRds, Au NPs decorated WO₃ NRds, Ag NPs decorated α -MoO₃ NRds, Cr doped α -MoO₃ NRds, acidic α -MoO₃ NRds, and NiCo₂O₄ microspheres assembled by hierarchical NRds as illustrated in Table 4 ^{[301][302][303][304][305][306]}. All these NRds were synthesized through electrospinning, calcination, wet-chemical reduction, thermal annealing, or by hydrothermal methods. Wherein, V_2O_5 -decorated α -Fe₂O₃ NRds and Au NPs decorated WO₃ NRds ^{[293][294]} demonstrated sensor utility towards DEA and TMA with the responses of 8.9 and 76.7 (response/recovery time = 2 s/40 s and 6 s/7 s, respectively) at 350 °C and 280 °C, respectively. The LODs of DEA and TMA are estimated as ~ 5 ppm, apart from the working temperature, it is worthy of continuing research. All other NRds ^{[303][304][305][306]} can detect the TEA at various operating temperatures (between 180 and 300 °C) as revealed in Table 4. Among them, Ag NPs decorated α -MoO₃ NRds ^[305] show an exceptional sensor response to TEA (R_a/R_g = 408.6 for 100 ppm at 200 °C; response/recovery time = 3 s/107 s) with a LOD of 0.035 ppm. On the other hand, NiCo₂O₄ microspheres assembled by hierarchical NRds ^[306] has a low sensor response to TEA (R_a/R_g 1.5 for 50 ppm at 180 °C; response/recovery time = 49 s/54 s) with a LOD of 0.145 ppm, hence further investigations are mandatory on this material to improve the response.

Towards enhanced sensing of TEA, Xu and co-workers fabricated the Au@SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ core-shell nanoneedles directly on alumina tubes via pulsed laser deposition (PLD) and DC-sputtering methods ^[307]. As shown in Figure 18, Au@SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ core-shell nanoneedles displayed a higher response to TEA (R_a/R_g = 39 for 100 ppm at 300 °C; response/recovery time = 4 s/203 s) than that of α -Fe₂O₃ nanoneedles and SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ core-shell nanoneedles with a LOD of ~ 2 ppm. However, more interrogations are required to increase the response and to reduce the operating temperature.



Figure 18. (i) (a) Gas sensor of α -Fe₂O₃ nanosheets fixed on an electronic bracket (b) Al₂O₃ cube covered with a film of sensing materials; (c) SEM image of SnO₂ nanosheet in cross-sectional view; (d) SEM image of α -Fe₂O₃ nanoneedles (FNs) directly grown on Al₂O₃ tubes; (e) SEM images of SFNs; (f,g) SEM images of Au@SnO₂/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanoneedles (ASFNS) after implantation of SnO₂ shell and Au nanoparticles; (h) EDS spectrum of ASFNs; (ii) The selectivity of different gases with same concentration at 300 °C (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[307]).

By means of calcination and electrospinning, nanofibers (Al₂O₃/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanofibers and In₂O₃ hierarchical nanofibers with in situ growth of octahedron particles) were proposed by the researchers for TEA sensing studies ^{[308][309]}. As depicted in Figure 19, the Al₂O₃/ α -Fe₂O₃ nanofibers ^[308] evidence the sensor signal even at 0.5 ppm. The sensor response to TEA is 15.19 (for 100 ppm) at 250 °C (response/recovery time = 1 s/17 s), but it is important to further reduce the working temperature in this report. In contrast, In₂O₃ hierarchical nanofibers with in situ growth of octahedron particles ^[309] revealed the highest response to TEA at 40 °C (R_a/R_g = 87.8 for 50 ppm; response/recovery time = 148 s/40 min) with a LOD of ~5 ppm, thereby is noted as an excellent work. Subsequently, TiO₂ membrane NTs and sidewall modified singlewalled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) were employed in the detection of TMA vapor ^{[310][311]}. The flexible TiO₂ membrane NTs showed a response of 40 for 400 ppm of TMA, but details regarding the working temperature and response/recovery time were not clear. Similarly, sidewall modified SWCNTs were demonstrated with selectivity to both ammonia and TMA at room temperature, thereby cannot be stated as a successful work. In view of this, Galstyan et al. described the DMA sensing utility of Nb doped TiO₂ nanotubes at 300 °C as illustrated in Table 4 ^[312]. This work requires additional interrogations to attain a high response at low temperature.



Figure 19. (i) FESEM images of S1 (a), S2 (b), S3 (c), S4 (d), high-magnification SEM images of S1 (e) and S3 (f); (Here S1–S4 represents Al_2O_3/α -Fe₂O₃ nanofibers); (ii) (a) Gas responses of the S3 sensor as a function of trimethylamine (TEA) concentrations at 250 °C. (b) Dynamic response-recovery curves of the sensor S3 to different concentrations of TEA at the operating temperature (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. [308]).

Nanobelts such as Au NPs decorated MoO₃ nanobelts, W doped MoO₃ nanobelts, RuO₂ NPs decorated MoO₃ nanobelts, and ZnO-SnO₂ nanobelts were developed by hydrothermal, soaking, and two step synthesis, etc., and applied in the discrimination of TMA and TEA ^{[313][314][315][316]}. Doping and decoration are the two important steps to enhance the senor signals. As shown in Table 4, these nanobelts, except the RuO₂ NPs decorated MoO₃ nanobelts, used in the TMA and TEA sensing interrogations require more efforts to enhance the response and to minimize the operating temperature. The RuO₂ NPs decorated MoO₃ nanobelts evidenced a good response (R_a/R_g = 75 for 10 ppm at 260 °C; response/recovery time = 2 s/10 s) with a LOD of ~1 ppm, but the working temperature still needs further optimization. Towards TEA detection, Zhang and co-workers described the utilization of hydrothermally synthesized In₂O₃ nanocubes ^[317], which showed a high response (R_a/R_g = 175 for 100 ppm at 260 °C; response/recovery time = 11 s/14 s) with a LOD of ~ 10 ppm, thereby is noted as an encouraging research.

As an important candidate in the TEA sensory studies, nanosheets were intensively studied by many research groups. WO₃ NShs, Au@ZnO-SnO₂ NShs, TiO₂ NPs decorated CuO NShs, and Rh-SnO₂ NShs were synthesized by precipitation, PLD, water bath treatment and solution etching methods, and surface impregnation precipitation and heat treatment method, respectively, and applied in TEA detection $\frac{318[319](320](321)}{320[321]}$. Among them, WO₃ NShs operate at room temperature and show a sensor response of ~ 14 for 1000 ppm as shown in Table 4. Though this work is an impressive one, but the sensor response is not sufficiently high. On the other hand, Rh-SnO₂ NShs displayed a great response to TEA (R_a/R_g = 607.2 for 100 ppm at 325 °C; response/recovery time = 49 s/24 s) with a LOD of ~ 1 ppm, but further investigation is necessary to lower the working temperature. In light of this, Yan et al. reported the hydrothermally synthesized Ag modified Zn₂SnO₄ hexagonal nanoflakes-hollow octahedron for the enhanced sensing of TEA ^[322]. This material performed remarkably in terms of the sensor response (R_a/R_g = 83.6 for 50 ppm at 220 °C; response/recovery time 1s/24 s) with a LOD of ~ 1 ppm. Subsequently, Zn₂SnO₄-doped SnO₂ hollow NSPs and CeO₂-SnO₂ nanoflowers (by Hydrothermal synthesize) were engaged in the sensing investigations of volatile organic amines ^{[323][324]}. Wherein, Zn₂SnO₄-doped SnO₂ hollow NSPs ^[323] is an exceptional material, which detected the phenylamine (R_a/R_g = 4.53 for 50 ppm at 300 °C; response/recovery time = 10 s/4 s) with a LOD of ~ 1 ppm. On the other hand, CeO₂-SnO₂ nanoflowers ^[324] can be noted as an alternative in the TEA sensing at 310 °C.

Similar to diverse nanostructures, materials with porosity were vastly used in the detection of volatile organic amines as described below. WO_3 -SnO₂ mesoporous nanostructures, CuO porous particles with diverse morphologies, In₂O₃ mesoporous nanocubes, CeO₂ porous nanospheres, Au decahedrons-decorated α -Fe₂O₃ porous nanorods, ZnCo₂O₄ porous nanostructures, NiCo₂O₄ porous nanoplates, SnO₂ porous thin films, Fe₂O₃/ZnFe₂O₄ porous nanocomposite, and

Au-Modified ZnO porous hierarchical nanosheets were reported for the quantification of TMA or TEA as summarized in Table 4 [325][326][327][328][329][330][331][332][333][334]. Hydrothermal, solvothermal, calcination, impregnation, template methods, wet-chemical methods, etc, were used to synthesize these porous nanomaterials. Porosity scaled from nano to micro can enhance the capture of volatile amines. A schematic of WO₃-SnO₂ mesoporous nanostructure formation and its utilization in sensors is shown in Figure 20 [335].

Among them, In_2O_3 mesoporous nanocubes and Au-Modified ZnO porous hierarchical nanosheets were engaged in the sensing of TMA with decent LODs [327][334]. Similarly, CeO₂ porous nanospheres and SnO₂ porous thin films were reported with remarkable performance in sensing of TEA at room temperature [328][332]. In particular, SnO₂ porous thin films [332] revealed a high sensitivity to TEA (R_a/R_g = 150.5 for 10 ppm at RT; response/recovery time = 53 s/120 s) with a LOD of 0.11 ppm, thereby can be stated as excellent material towards commercialization.



Figure 20. Schematic representation for nanocasting synthesis of mesoporous WO_3 –SnO₂ by hard templating of SBA-15 (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[335]).

Like other nanostructures, various hierarchical nanostructures were discussed in volatile amines detection. In light of this, α -Fe₂O₃ snowflake-like hierarchical nanostructure, Zn₂SnO₄–ZnO hierarchical nanocomposite, MoS₂/GO 3D hierarchical nanocomposite, Au NPs decorated Co₃O₄ hierarchical nanochains, and WO₃ hierarchical flower like spheres were developed and employed in TEA detection ^{[335][336][337][338][339]}. These materials were synthesized via the combination of solvothermal, annealing, hydrothermal, calcination, template route, and precipitation methods. These hierarchical nanostructures operate between 205 and 260 °C to enhance sensor responses to TEA as noted in Table 4. For example, Au NPs decorated Co₃O₄ hierarchical nanochains displayed a sensor response to TEA even at 10 ppm as shown in Figure 21 ^[338]. However, among the aforementioned hierarchical nanomaterials, Zn₂SnO₄–ZnO hierarchical nanocomposite ^[336] revealed a high response to TEA (R_a/R_g = 175.5 for 100 ppm at 200 °C; response/recovery time = 12 s/25 s) with a LOD of 0.4 ppm, thereby can be noted as an inspiring research in TEA sensors.

Apart from the utilization of distinct nano-architectures, numerous diversified nano-shaped materials were employed in the quantitation of volatile organic amines. In view of this, ZnO/Au hemishperical nanostructure, SnO₂/Au/Fe₂O₃ nanoboxes, Au decorated ZnO nest-like nanostructure, Pd doped ZnO agaric like nanostructure, Co3O4@MnO2 shish-kebab like nanostructure, and Au@ZnO core-shell nanostructure were demonstrated in TEA and aniline detection as noted in Table 4 [340][341][342][343][344][345]. In particular, Pd-doped ZnO agaric like nanostructure [343] was used in aniline sensing with a high response (R_a/R_g = 182 for 100 ppm at 280 °C; response/recovery time = 29 s/23 s) with a LOD of 0.5 ppm, thereby is noted as a distinct research. Among the other materials in TEA discrimination, Au@ZnO core-shell nanostructure [345] is an exceptional material with excellent sensor performance at 50 °C (R_a/R_g = 12.2% for 5 ppm; response/recovery time is 27 s/46 s) and a LOD of ~ 1 ppm. In parallel to diverse nanostructures, composite materials without any structural modifications were also utilized in TEA and TMA sensors. Materials such as ZnO/ZnFe₂O₄ composites, Au/Co₃O₄/W₁₈O₄₉ hollow composite nanospheres, α-Fe₂O₃@α-MoO₃ composite, CuO/ZnO 3D diamond shaped MOF, rGO decorated Wdoped BiVO₄ hierarchical nanocomposite, and Au@MoS₂ nanocomposite were effectively consumed in TEA or TMA detection $\frac{[346][347][348][349][350][351]}{[348][349][350][351]}$. Herein, ZnO/ZnFe₂O₄ composite displayed a good sensitivity to TEA under irradiation $(R_a/R_q 9 \text{ for 500 ppm at 80 °C})$ and requires additional interrogations to enhance the response ^[346]. Similarly, p-n CuO/ZnO 3D diamond shaped MOF composite authenticated the selectivity to TEA and methanol at 220 °C and 260 °C, respectively $\frac{[349]}{2}$. However, this composite showed a better response to TEA ($R_a/R_g \ge 400$ for 500 ppm; response/recovery time = 11 s/~ 60 min) than that of methanol with a LOD of 0.175 ppm. However, this research still needs further work. Compared to the other composite materials, Au@MoS₂ nanocomposite is a unique material with its sensory response to TEA at 30 °C (R_a/R_g = 44 for 50 ppm; response/recovery time = 9 s/91 s) and a LOD of ~ 2 ppm as noted in Table 4 [351].



Figure 21. (i) TEM and HRTEM images of the prepared (**a**,**b**) pure and (**c**,**d**) Au/Co₃O₄ nanochains (Au/Co₃O₄-2) (ii) (**a**) Dynamic response-recover curves of the nanochain sensors towards various concentrations of TEA and their concentration-dependent responses within the TEA concentration range of (**b**) 10e500 ppm and (**c**)10–200 ppm; (**d**) the response and recovery curves of the sensors towards 300 ppm TEA (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[338]).

Table 4. Detection concentration, response/recovery time, operating temperature (Temp.) and LODs to volatile organic amine gas by diverse nanostructured materials.

Materials/Nanostructure	Analyte/Concentration	Gas Response (R _{air} /R _{gas})	Response/Recovery	Temp.	LOD	Ref
Co ₃ O ₄ /ZnO/hybrid nanoparticles	Triethylamine/200 ppm	282.3	25 s/36 s	280 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>296</u>]
Ho-doped SnO ₂ /nanoparticles	Triethylamine/50 ppm	12	2 s/2 min	175 °C	~5 ppm	[297]
CuCrO ₂ /nanoparticles	Triethylamine/100 ppm	~5	90 s/120 s	140 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>298]</u>
Ag/Pt/W ₁₈ O ₄₉ /nanowires	Triethylamine/50 ppm	813	15 s/35 s (for 2 ppm)	240 °C	0.071 ppm	[<u>299</u>]
1D SnO ₂ coated ZnO/hybrid nanowires	n-Butylamine/10 ppm	7.4	40 s/80 s	240 °C	~1 ppm	[300]

V_2O_5 -decorated α - Fe ₂ O ₃ /nanorods	Diethylamine/100 ppm	8.9	2 s/40 s	350 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>301</u>]
Au NPs decorated WO ₃ /nanorods	Trimethyl-amine/100 ppm	76.7	6 s/7 s	280 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>302</u>]
Ag NPs decorated α -MoO ₃ /nanorods	Triethylamine/100 ppm	408.6	3 s/107 s	200 °C	0.035 ppm	[<u>303</u>]
Cr dopedα-MoO ₃ /nanorods	Triethylamine/100 ppm	150.25	7 s/80 s	200 °C	~1 ppm	[304]
Acidic α -MoO ₃ /nanorods	Triethylamine/100 ppm	101.74	4 s/88 s	300 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>305]</u>
Au@SnO ₂ /α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /core- shell nanoneedles on alumina tubes	Triethylamine/100 ppm	39	4 s/203 s	300 °C	~2 ppm	[<u>307]</u>
Al_2O_3/α -Fe $_2O_3/nanofibers$	Triethylamine/100 ppm	15.19	1 s/17 s	250 °C	~0.5 ppm	[<u>308</u>]
In ₂ O ₃ /hierarchical nanofibers (with nanoparticles)	Triethylamine/50 ppm	87.8	148 s/40 min	40 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>309]</u>
Nb doped TiO ₂ /nanotubes	Dimethyl-amine/50 ppm	9.1	≥300 s (for both)	300 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>312</u>]
Au NPs decorated MoO ₃ /nanobelts	Trimethyl-amine/50 ppm	70	6 s/9 s	280 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>313]</u>
W doped MoO ₃ /nanobelts	Trimethyl-amine/50 ppm	13.8	6 s/11 s	280 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>314]</u>
RuO ₂ NPs decorated MoO ₃ /nanobelts	Triethylamine/10 ppm	75	2 s/10 s	260 °C	~1 ppm	[315]
ZnO-SnO ₂ /nanobelts	Triethylamine/100 ppm	9.9	1.8 s/18 s	220 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>316</u>]
In ₂ O ₃ /nanocubes	Triethylamine/100 ppm	175	11 s/14 s	180 °C	~10 ppm	[317]
WO ₃ /nanosheets	Triethylamine/1000 ppm	~14	NA	Room Temp.	~5 ppm	<u>[318]</u>
Au@ZnO- SnO ₂ /nanosheets	Triethylamine/100 ppm	115	7 s/30 s	300 °C	~2 ppm	[<u>319]</u>

TiO ₂ NPs decorated CuO/nanosheets	Triethylamine/5 ppm	12.7	45 s/202 s	160 °C	0.5 ppm	<u>[320]</u>
Rh-SnO ₂ /nanosheets	Triethylamine/100 ppm	607.2	49 s/24 s	325 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>321]</u>
Ag modified Zn ₂ SnO ₄ /hexagonal nanoflakes- hollow octahedron	Triethylamine/50 ppm	83.6	<1 s/20 s	220 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>322]</u>
Zn ₂ SnO ₄ - doped SnO ₂ /hollow nanospheres	Phenylamine/50 ppm	4.53	10 s/12 s	300 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>323]</u>
CeO ₂ -SnO ₂ /nanoflowers	Triethylamine/200 ppm	252.2	NA	310 °C	~20 ppm	[324]
WO ₃ -SnO ₂ /mesoporous nanostructure	Triethylamine/50 ppm	87	6 s/7 s	220 °C	~1 ppm	[325]
CuO/porous particles with diverse morphologies	Triethylamine/100 ppm	5.6–102	<40 s/<260 s	230 °C	~5 ppm	[326]
In ₂ O ₃ /mesoporous nanocubes	Trimethyl-amine/10 ppm	57	4 s/11 s	160 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>327]</u>
CeO ₂ /porous nanospheres	Triethylamine/100 ppm	4.67	13 s/<230 s	Room Temp.	~5 ppm	[<u>328]</u>
Au decahedrons-decorated α -Fe ₂ O ₃ /porous nanorods	Triethylamine/50 ppm	17	12 s/18 s	40 °C	1 ppm	[<u>329]</u>
ZnCo ₂ O ₄ /porous nano- structures	Triethylamine/100 ppm	14	7 s/57 s	200 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>330]</u>
NiCo ₂ O ₄ /porous nanoplates	Triethylamine/10 ppm	2.58	<33 s/42 s	220 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>331]</u>
SnO ₂ /porous thin films	Triethylamine/10 ppm	150.5	53 s/120 s	Room Temp.	0.11 ppm	[<u>332]</u>
Fe ₂ O ₃ /ZnFe ₂ O ₄ /porous nano- composite	Triethylamine/20 ppm	60.24	2 s/7 s	300 °C	0.2 ppm	[333]
Au-Modified ZnO/porous hierarchical nanosheets	Trimethyl-amine/30 ppm	65.8	3.3 s/64 s	260 °C	0.01 ppm	[<u>334]</u>
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ /snowflake-like hierarchical nanostructure	Trimethyl-amine/100 ppm	10.9	0.9 s/1.5 s	260 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>335]</u>

Zn ₂ SnO ₄ –ZnO/hierarchical nano- composite	Triethylamine/100 ppm	175.5	12 s/25 s	200 °C	0.4 ppm	[<u>336]</u>
MoS ₂ /GO/3D hierarchical nano- composite	Triethylamine/100 ppm	192	20 s/18 s	260 °C	1 ppm	[<u>337]</u>
Au NPs decorated Co ₃ O ₄ /hierarchical nanochains	Triethylamine/300 ppm	>40	94 s/100 s	210 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>338]</u>
WO ₃ /hierarchical flower like spheres	Triethylamine/10 ppm	11.6	3 s/55 s	205 °C	0.083 ppm	[<u>339]</u>
ZnO/Au/hemishperical nanostructure	Triethylamine/100 ppm	104.8	5 s/2 s	260 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>340]</u>
SnO2/Au/Fe2O3/nanoboxes	Triethylamine/100 ppm	126.84	7 s/10 s	240 °C	0.05 ppm	[<u>341]</u>
Au decorated ZnO/nest-like nanostructure	Triethylamine/200 ppm	625	4 s/26 s	320 °C	1 ppm	[<u>342]</u>
Pd doped ZnO/agaric like nanostructure	Aniline/100 ppm	182	29 s/23 s	280 °C	0.5 ppm	[<u>343]</u>
Co ₃ O ₄ @MnO ₂ /shish-kebab like nanostructure	Triethylamine/100 ppm	9.13	93 s/92 s	250 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>344]</u>
Au@ZnO/core-shell nanostructure	Triethylamine/5 ppm	12.2%	27 s/46 s	50 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>345]</u>
Au/Co ₃ O ₄ /W ₁₈ O ₄₉ /hollow composite nanospheres	Triethylamine/2 ppm	16.7	9 s/14 s	270 °C	0.081 ppm	[<u>347]</u>
α-Fe ₂ O ₃ @α- MoO _{3/} nano- composite	Triethylamine/50 ppm	76	4 s/370 s	280 °C	~2 ppm	[<u>348]</u>
rGO decorated W doped BiVO4/hierarchical nano- composite	Trimethyl-amine/20 ppm	12.8	16 s/NA	135 °C	0.63 ppm	[350]
Au@MoS ₂ /nano- composite	Triethylamine/50 ppm	44	9 s/91 s	30 °C	~2 ppm	[<u>351]</u>

NA = Not available; Temp. = Temperature; s = seconds; in = minutes.

7. Volatile Hydrocarbons Detection by Distinct Nanostructures

Following the similar mechanism mentioned earlier in acetone and alcohols discrimination, hydrocarbons sensors were reported using various nanostructured materials. Nanoparticles were oftenly investigated by many researchers. Chen et al. reported Ag-LaFeO₃ NPs developed via two different tactics like MIP and lotus-leaf templated synthesis and engaged

in xylene detection at 99 °C and 125 °C, correspondingly ^{[352][353]}. As noted in Table 5, Ag-LaFeO₃ NPs synthesized via MIP tactic seems to be good in terms of sensor response ($R_a/R_g = 36.2$ for 5 ppm at 99 °C; response/recovery time = 114 s/55 s) with a LOD of <1 ppm, thereby Ag-LaFeO₃ NPs can be attested as an inspiring candidate in xylene sensors. Similar to above studies, Au loaded ZnO NPs and cobalt porphyrin (CoPP)-functionalized TiO₂ NPs were described for the quantitation of xylene and BTX (Benzene, Toluene and Xylene) vapors at 377 °C and 240 °C, respectively ^{[354][355]}. Wherein, cobalt porphyrin (CoPP)-functionalized TiO₂ NPs showed a high response (R_a/R_g 5 for 10 ppm at 240 °C; response/recovery time = 40 s/80 s) with a LOD of 0.005 ppm ^[355]. However, further studies are needed to minimize the operating temperatures in both cases. Other than NPs, Park and co-workers described the utility of In-doped ZnO Quantum dots (QDs) towards the sensing of acetylene gas at 400 °C with the LOD down to sub-ppm level (0.1 ppm) ^[356]. However, the working temperature in this report was high, which requires more work. In view of this, Xu et al. exploited the BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl benzene, and Xylene) detection by MOF derived nanocrystals at room temperature ^[357]. However, anti-interference studies with this material is still in question, thereby cannot be attested as excellent work.

To discriminate the volatile toluene, α -Fe₂O₃/SnO₂ NW arrays and Pt NPs sensitized Si NW-TeO₂ NWs (opted from ultrasonic spray pyrolysis, hydrothermal, and sputtering tactics) were proposed with sensor responses >40 at 90 °C and 200 °C, respectively, as noted in Table 4 ^{[358][359]}. Such NWs-based sensory research is noted as an innovative one. Pd NPs decorated TiO₂ NRds were employed in the sensing of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), but further interrogations are required for authentication ^[360]. Following above work, Lee et al. fabricated the cobalt porphyrin (CoPP)-ZNO NRds towards the detection of Toluene (R_a/R_g = 3.3 for 10 ppm) and estimated a LOD of 0.002 ppm ^[361]. Moreover, this material can operates in the 0 to 85% humid conditions, thereby attest as a nice work. Qin and co-workers described the Y-doped or undoped α -MoO₃ nanoarrays towards the enhanced sensing of Xylene at 370 °C as noted in Table 5 ^{[362][363]}. As shown in Figure 22, authors conformed the 1% Y doping over α -MoO₃ nanoarrays displayed an improved sensor response than that of other doping concentrations or non-doping (0, 3%, and 5%). However, both works do not merit the commercialization due to the higher operating temperature.

Nanofibers, such as MOF-driven metal-embedded metal oxide (Pd@ZnO-WO₃) nanofibers, V₂O₅ nanofibers, and Pd functionalized SnO₂ nanofibers were engaged in the determination of toluene, xylene, and butane, correspondingly ^[364] ^{[365][366]}. Pd@ZnO-WO₃ nanofibers showed a high response to toluene (R_a/R_g = 22.22 for 1 ppm at 350 °C; response/recovery time 20 s/not available) with a LOD of 0.1 ppm, thereby become an impressive candidate apart from the working temperature [356]. Subsequently, V₂O₅ nanofibers were noted as highly remarkable material to be employed in xylene detection at room temperature (R_a/R_g = 191 for 500 ppm at RT; response/recovery time = 80 s/50 s (for 100 ppm)) with an estimated LOD of- 5 ppm ^[367]. Similar to above research, Pd functionalized SnO₂ nanofibers ^[366] used in the discrimination of butane at 260 °C (see Table 5), but its sensor response needs to be improved with further investigations. As an inclusion to the VOC sensory research, nanotubes (Pt-decorated CNTs, 3D TiO₂/G-CNTs and hierarchical NiCo₂O₄ NTs) were demonstrated towards toluene or xylene discrimination [367–369]. As seen in Table 5, TiO₂ NPs decorated G-CNTs seems to be an excellent candidate towards toluene detection at room temperature with a calculated LOD of 0.4 ppm ^[368].



Figure 22. (**a**,**b**) The SEM and TEM images of nanoarrays; (**c**) Response curve of samples to 100 ppm different Gases at the working temperature of 370 °C; (**d**) Response curve of samples to 100 ppm xylene at the different working temperature (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. [363]).

Nanobelts (Fe doped MoO₃ nanobelts and Au decorated ZnO/In₂O₃ belt-tooth nanostructure), nanocages (ZnO/ZnCo₂O₄ hollow nanocages), nanosheets (Au functionalizedWO3, H2O NShs, porous h-BN 3D NShs, and Nb-doped NiO NShs), nanoflakes (CdO hexagonal nanoflakes and ZnO-CeO₂ triangular nanoflakes), and nanospheres (ZnFe₂O₄ NSPs and Pt doped CoCr₂O₄ hollow NSPs) were demonstrated to volatile hydrocarbons quantitation by the researchers as noted in Table 5 [369][370][371][372][373][374][375][376][377][378]. Among them, Nb-doped NiO NShs and CoCr₂O₄ hollow NSPs [374][378] are highly notable with their sensor responses to xylene vapor (R_a/R_g = 335.1 for 100 ppm at 370 °C and R_a/R_g = 559 for 5 ppm at 275 °C, respectively) with LODs down to sub-ppm level (0.002 ppm and 0.0187 ppm, correspondingly). In light of this, involvement of porous nanostructures is anticipated due to the high adsorption nature through the pores [373]. Yao et al. discussed the pore-effect of Pd-SnO₂ nanoporous composite [379] for the capture and sensing of methane gas as illustrated in Figure 23. The material shows reasonable sensor response (see Table 5) in methane sensory research. In view of this, p-n Co₃O₄-TiO₂ mesoporous hierarchical nanostructures were elaborated in the sensing of xylene at an operating temperature of 115 °C $\frac{(380)}{2}$. In which, the response is well enough (R_a/R_g = 113 for 50 ppm; response/recovery time = 130 s/150 s) due to the pore-effect of the material. In parallel to utilization of distinct nanostructures in volatile hydrocarbons discriminations, many hierarchical nanomaterials were also employed as described below. Hierarchical nanostructures like Au loaded MoO₃ hollow NSPs, Pt-SnO₂ hollow NSPs, NiO/NiMoO₄ NSPs, Co₃O₄, and WO₃ were demonstrated in the sensing of toluene, xylene, methane, or acetylene at various temperature (180-340 °C) as noted in Table 5 [381](382](383)(384)(385). Among them, hierarchical nanostructure of Co₃O₄ displayed a sensor response to toluene at 180 °C even after one month, thereby is noted as a reliable system [384]. In this work, authors described the sensory performance of cube shaped Co_3O_4 (C- Co_3O_4), rod shaped Co_3O_4 (R- Co_3O_4), and sheet shaped (S- Co_3O_4) nanostructures synthesized via hydrothermal tactic. Wherein, sheet shaped (S- Co₃O₄) hierarchical nanostructure showed a higher response than that of others as shown in Figure 24.



Figure 23. (**a**,**b**) Schematic diagram of the sensing reaction mechanism of the Pd-SnO₂ composite nanoporous structure (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[379]).



Figure 24. Resistance curves of the sensors based on (**a**) Co_3O_4 -C, (**b**) Co_3O_4 -R, (**c**) Co_3O_4 -S towards 200 ppm toluene at 180 °C, respectively; (**d**) responses of three sensors towards 200 ppm toluene at different working temperatures; (**e**) responses of three sensors to 200 ppm different target gases at 180 °C; (**f**) dynamic resistance curve of the sensor based on Co_3O_4 -S to different concentration of toluene; (**g**) relationship between response and toluene concentration; (**h**) response and morphology stability of Co_3O_4 -S-based sensor to 200 ppm toluene during 30 days (measurement number = 3); (**i**) schematic of sensor exposed to air and target gas (Reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[384]).

In addition, PbS NPs decorated CdO necklace like nanobeads were discussed in the quantitation of LPG gas at RT by Sonawane and co-workers ^[386]. Nevertheless, further investigation is necessary to authenticate the material's reliability. In light of this, Au loaded TiO₂ hedgehog-like nanostructure was proposed to detect xylene at 375 °C, thereby can be included as an additional candidate ^[387]. These materials were synthesized by hydrothermal method followed by simple isometric impregnation route. They are required to be optimized to minimize the working temperature during the sensor studies.

Similar to microstructure-based volatile hydrocarbon vapors quantification (example: Sn^{2+} doped NiO microspheres in Xylene detection with sub-ppm LOD) ^[388], nanocomposites, such as Pd/PdO/S-SnO₂ nanocomposite film, rGO/Co₃O₄ nanocomposite, WO₃ decorated TiO₂ NPs nanocomposite, BGQD/Ag–LaFeO₃ nanocomposite, Ag/Bi₂O₃ nanocomposite, AgO loaded LaFeO₃ nanocomposite, CuO NPs-Ti₃C₂Tx MXene nanocomposite, and Graphene/SnO₂ NPs nanocomposite were effectively applied in the detection of hydrocarbons as detailed in Table 5 ^{[389][390][391][392][393][394][395]} ^[396]. Wherein, Ag/Bi₂O₃ nanocomposite and Graphene/SnO₂ NPs nanocomposite were found to perform remarkably in terms of their operation temperature (at room temperature) ^{[393][396]}. Recently, Luo et al. described the sensitivity of a polymer-SWCNTs composite toward BTX with an exceptional LOD of 5 ppm [398]. However, this work also depends on the polymer properties and requires more detailed investigations.

Detection concentration, response/recovery time, operating temperature (Temp.), and LODs to volatile hydrocarbons gases by diverse nanostructured materials.

Materials/Nanostructure	Analyte/Concentration	Gas Response (R _{air} /R _{gas})	Response/Recovery	Temp.	LOD	Ref
Ag-LaFeO ₃ /nanoparticles	Xylene/5 ppm	36.2	114 s/55 s	99 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>352]</u>
Ag-LaFeO ₃ /nanoparticles	Xylene/10 ppm	16.76	68 s/36 s	125 °C	0.2 ppm	[<u>353]</u>
Au-ZnO/nanoparticles	Xylene/100 ppm	92	4 s/6 min	377 °C	NA	[<u>354]</u>

cobalt porphyrin (CoPP)- functionalized TiO ₂ /nanoparticles	Benzene, Toluene and Xylene (BTX)/10 ppm	>5	40 s/80 s	240 °C	0.005 ppm	[<u>355]</u>
In-doped ZnO/Quantum dots	Acetylene/10 ppm	19.3	~100 s/NA	400 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>356]</u>
Metal organic Frameworks/nanocrystals	Benzene, Toluene, Ethyl benzene and Xylene (BTEX)/50 ppm	>20	NA	Room Temp.	0.4 ppm	[<u>357]</u>
α -Fe ₂ O ₃ /SnO ₂ /nanowire arrays	Toluene/100 ppm	49.7	20 s/15 s	90 °C	~50 ppm	[<u>358]</u>
Pt NPs sensitizedSi NW- TeO ₂ /nanowires	Toluene/50 ppm	45	20 s/500 s	200 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>359</u>]
CoPP-ZnO/nanorods	Toluene/10 ppm	>2.5	NA	NA	0.002 ppm	[361]
α -MoO ₃ /nanoarrays	Xylene/100 ppm	19.2	1 s/≤20 s	370 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>362]</u>
Y doped α -MoO $_3$ /nanoarrays	Xylene/100 ppm	28.3	1 s/~15 s	370 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>363]</u>
MOF-driven metal- embedded metal oxide (Pd@ZnO- WO ₃)/nanofibers	Toluene/1 ppm	22.22	<20 s/NA	350 °C	0.1 ppm	[<u>364]</u>
V ₂ O ₅ /nanofibers	Xylene/500 ppm	191	80 s/50 s (100 ppm)	Room Temp.	~5 ppm	[<u>365]</u>
Pd functionalized SnO ₂ /nanofibers	Butane/3000 ppm	47.58	3.20 s/6.28 s	260 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>366]</u>
Pt-decorated CNTs/nanotubes	Toluene/5 ppm	5.06	90 s/520 s	150 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>367]</u>
3D TiO ₂ /G-CNT/nanotubes	Toluene/500 ppm	42.9%	9–11 s (for both)	Room Temp.	0.4 ppm	[<u>368]</u>
NiCo ₂ O ₄ /nanotubes (hierarchical)	Xylene/100 ppm	9.25	20 s/9 s	220 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>397]</u>
Fe doped MoO ₃ /nanobelts	Xylene/100 ppm	6.1	20 s/75 s	206 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>369]</u>
Au decorated ZnO/In ₂ O _{3/} belt-tooth nanostructure	Acetylene/100 ppm	5	8.5 s/NA	90 °C	~25 ppm	[<u>370]</u>

ZnO/ZnCo ₂ O ₄ /hollow nanocages	Xylene/100 ppm	34.26	NA	320 °C	0.126 ppm	[<u>371</u>]
Au functionalizedWO ₃ ·H ₂ O/nanosheets	Toluene/100 ppm	50	2 s/9 s	300 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>372</u>]
Nb-doped NiO/nanosheets	Xylene/100 ppm	335.1	63 s/66 s	370 °C	0.002 ppm	[<u>374]</u>
CdO/hexagonal nanoflakes	liquefied petroleum gas (LPG)/500 ppm	~27.5	8.6 s/10 s	270 °C	~10 ppm	[<u>375</u>]
ZnO-CeO ₂ /triangular nanoflakes	BTEX/50 ppm	10–21	8 s/10 s	200 °C	0.01 ppm	[<u>376</u>]
$ZnFe_2O_4$ /nanospheres	Toluene/100 ppm	9.98	18.14 s/29.2 s	300 °C	~1 ppm	[<u>377</u>]
Pt doped CoCr ₂ O ₄ /hollow nanospheres	Xylene/5 ppm	559	300 s/600 s	275 °C	0.0187 ppm	[<u>378</u>]
Pd-SnO ₂ /nanoporous composite	Methane/3000 ppm	17.6	3 s/5 s	340 °C	~100 ppm	[<u>379</u>]
Co ₃ O ₄ –TiO ₂ /mesoporous hierarchical nanostructure	Xylene/50 ppm	113	130 s/150 s	115 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>380</u>]
Au loaded MoO ₃ /hollow nanospheres (hierarchical)	Toluene and Xylene/100 ppm	17.5 & 22.1	19 s/6 s & 1.6 s/2 s	250 °C	0.1 & 0.5 ppm	[<u>381]</u>
Pt-SnO _{2/} hollow nanospheres (hierarchical)	Methane/250 ppm	4.88 & 4.33	NA	300 °C & 340 °C	~25 ppm	[<u>382]</u>
NiO/NiMoO ₄ /hierarchical nanospheres	<i>p-</i> Xylene/5 ppm	101.5	10-50 s/20-200 s	375 °C	0.02 ppm	[<u>398</u>]
Co_3O_4 /hierarchical nanostructure	Toluene/200 ppm	8.5	10 s/30 s	180 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>384]</u>
WO ₃ /hierarchical nanostructure	Acetylene/200 ppm	32.31	12 s/17 s	275 °C	<5 ppm	[<u>385</u>]
PbS NPs decorated CdO/necklace like nanobeads	LPG/1176 ppm	~50	148 s/142 s	Room Temp.	~300 ppm	[<u>386</u>]
Au loaded TiO ₂ /hedgehog-like nanostructure	Xylene/100 ppm	6.49	5 s/2 s	375 °C	~2 ppm	[<u>387]</u>

Pd/PdO/S-SnO ₂ /nano- composite film	methane/300 ppm	12.3	8 s/12 s	240 °C	<50 ppm	<u>[389]</u>
rGO/Co ₃ O _{4/} nano- composite	Toluene/5 ppm	11.3	NA	110 °C	≥0.5ppm	[<u>390</u>]
WO ₃ decorated TiO ₂ NPs/nano- composite	Xylene/10 ppm	92.53	410 s/2563 s	160 °C	1 ppm	[<u>391</u>]
BGQD/Ag–LaFeO ₃ /nano- composite	Benzene/1 ppm	17.5	NA	65 °C	<1 ppm	[<u>392]</u>
Ag/Bi ₂ O ₃ /nano- composite	Toluene/50 ppm	89.2%	NA	Room Temp.	~10 ppm	[<u>393</u>]
AgO loaded LaFeO ₃ /nano- composite	Acetylene/100 ppm	60	6.1 min/4.7 min	200 °C	~5 ppm	[<u>394</u>]
CuO NPs-Ti ₃ C ₂ TxMXene/nano- composite	Toluene/50 ppm	11.4	270 s/10 s	250 °C	0.32 ppm	[<u>395</u>]
Graphene/SnO ₂ NPs nano- composite	BTX/0.2–11 ppm	1–28	NA	RT and 250 °C	~0.2 ppm	[<u>396]</u>

NA = Not available; Temp. = Temperature; s = Seconds; in = minutes.

8. Nanostructures in other VOCs Determinations

Apart from the quantitation of acetone, alcohols, aldehydes, amines, and hydrocarbons, nanomaterials were also consumed in the discrimination of other VOCs as detailed in this section. For instance, Ma et al. reported the acetic acid sensing properties of Co-doped LaFeO₃ nanofibers [399]. The fabricated nanofibers detected the acetic acid at 130 °C than that of other interferences (ethanol, methanol, acetone, N,N'-dimethylformamide (DMF), ammonia, and benzene). This work requires further investigations to identify various sensory details. Mesoporous tungsten oxides with crystalline framework were utilized in the highly selective detection of foodborne pathogen "3-hydroxy-2-butanone" and proposed in food safety by Zhu and co-workers [400]. Compared to all other competing species at high concentration (50 ppm), the material displayed a high response to 3-hydroxy-2-butanone at low concentration (R_a/R_g 25 for 5 ppm) at 290 °C with response/recovery time of <1 min and a LOD of 0.1 ppm. The porosity of this material plays a vital role in the sensory studies with similar mechanism proposed in acetone. This is an excellent and representative work in food safety. Aqueous electrochemical-based detection of chloroform was proposed by Hamid and co-workers [401]. Wherein, Fe₂O₃ NPs decorated ZnO NRds were used in this work, which showed linear response from 10 µM to 10 mM with a calculated LOD of 0.6 µM. However, this material needs to be investigated to optimize the resistance-based sensor responses. For example, MOF derived core-shell PrFeO₃ functionalized α -Fe₂O₃ nano-octahedrons were employed in the quantitation of ethyl acetate at 206 °C as seen in Figure 25 [402]. For 100 ppm ethyl acetate, the sensor response reached 22.85 with response/recovery time of <15 s with LOD down to 1 ppm. Therefore, this work is noted as an excellent one towards ethyl acetate discrimination in the presence of competing species (ethanol, acetone, xylene, formaldehyde, and benzene).



Figure 25. (a) Typical FESEM images of MIL-53 nano-octahedrons; (b) SEM images of MIL-53/Pr–Fe hydroxide precursor; (c) typical FESEM image of pure core-shell α -Fe₂O₃ nano-octahedrons; (d) Selectivity of four sensors upon exposure to 100 ppm various interfering gases at 255 °C (S1) and 206 °C (S2–S4), respectively, (reproduced with the permission from Ref. ^[402]).

Gao and his research team discussed the detection ability of ZnO NRds bundles towards three kinds of abused drugs $(C_{10}H_{15}NO, C_9H_{13}NO \text{ and } C_8H_9NO_2$; 100 ppm) at 252 °C via the similar mechanism in VOCs detection ^[403]. These ZnO NRds bundles were synthesized by hydrothermal method, and upon exposure to those abused drugs vapors, the sensor responses were higher (>40) than that of other interferences. Firstly, the n-type ZnO NRds bundles adsorb O₂ molecules in air to capture free electrons in conduction band (at the device surface) and convert them to adsorbed oxygen species (O^{2-}, O_2^-, O^-) . Secondly, decrease in the number of electrons in the conduction band leads to the formation of a depletion layer, which increases resistance in ZnO. Consequently, the electrons are released back to conduction band upon interaction with target drug vapors, which affects the depletion layer and the resistance of ZnO. The changes in resistance can provide sensor responses of interactions with target drug vapor. Moreover, this work performed quite well at high humid condition (>90% RH). In a similar fashion, Ag–ZnO–SWCNT field effect transistor (FET) device demonstrated its sensing performance to organophosphorus pesticide "methyl parathion" with linear response from 1 × 10⁻¹⁶ to 1 × 10⁻⁴ M and a LOD of 0.27 × 10⁻¹⁶ M ^[404]. The response and recovery time of this sensor is 1 s and 3 s, respectively. Moreover, this work also attested by real time analysis in rice and soil, thereby is noted as good innovation.

A FET device based on electrospun InYbO nanofibers was proposed towards the sensing of DMF at room temperature by Chen and co-workers ^[405]. The sensor showed remarkable response ($R_a/R_g = 89$) to 2 ppm DMF, with an estimated LOD of 0.00118 ppm. Moreover, it delivered fast response/recovery time of 36 s/67 s, thereby is noted as encouraging research with a wide scope. In view of this, MgGa₂O₄/graphene composites were synthesized by simple hydrothermal route and utilized in the sensing of acetic acid at room temperature ^[406]. At 0.1 wt% graphene, the material displayed a high sensor response ($R_a/R_g = 363.3$ for 100 ppm; response/recovery time = 50 s/35 s) with a calculated LOD of 0.001 ppm. Therefore, it is noted as an exceptional material and can be consumed in acetic acid sensory studies in future.

9. Advantages and Limitations

Consumption of distinct nanostructured materials in VOCs detection also has a few advantages and limitations as noted below.

- 1. By tuning the nanostructural features, materials with similar compositions are able to detect diverse VOC analytes.
- 2. Modulation of nanostructures might be able to tune the availability of surface area to enhance adsorption of VOC analytes, thereby the sensor response can be improved significantly.

- 3. By adopting different nanostructures, the operating temperature for the sensing of specified VOC can be reduced or lowered to room temperature.
- 4. Divergent nanostructures formation via the combination of diverse materials and their decoration or doping or functionalization may enhance/tune the conducting and charge/electron transport properties, which can help the device to detect specific VOC from other competing species.
- 5. Utilization of known and easily fabricated semiconducting materials with diverse nanostructures may led to the generation of cost-effective and reliable devices with social impact.
- 6. The less toxic nature of some semiconducting materials is noted as an advantage and can be implemented in upcoming health care devices.
- 7. Synthesis of majority of diverse nanostructures seems to be more complicated with involvement of multiple synthetic tactics, such as hydrothermal, CVD, impregnation, electrospinning, etc. The requirement of processing at high temperature further increases the production cost.
- 8. Reliability of numerous diverse semiconducting nanostructures to specific VOC is still in question due to the higher operating temperature.
- 9. Reports on temperature dependent multiple analyte sensors by a few nanostructured materials are still not convincing with the interference studies; therefore, applicability of those materials is limited and requires more interrogations.
- 10. Fabrication of diverse nanostructured materials is also limited by the sophisticated clean room atmosphere and characterizations using costly equipment, such as SEM, TEM, electrochemical instruments, etc.
- 11. Majority of reported nanostructures are still not authenticated by real time applications, which limits the operation of those devices in VOC detection.
- 12. In general, porous nanostructures display the high/low responses to VOCs due to their pore-effect but are limited in operation by their uneven results.
- 13. Stability of sensory reports is considerably affected by high humid conditions and is restricted in real time applications.

10. Conclusions and Perspectives

This review gives a concise summary of the diverse nanostructured material-based detection of VOCs (more than 340 references that have been published since 2016), such as acetone, alcohols, aldehydes, amines, hydrocarbons, and other volatile organic compounds. The underlying mechanism of those temperature tuned semiconducting device-based assays of VOCs is also discussed in brief with given advantages and limitations. Moreover, the VOC sensory utilities of diverse nanostructures and nanocomposites with p-p, p-n, n-n heterojunction structures are also presented. Wherein, numerous inorganic-nanostructured materials such as metal oxides, perovskites and composited structures are currently noted as effective candidates towards VOCs detection. Further, majority of reports mainly follows the similar trend to detect the aforementioned VOCs. In addition to those sensory details, following points also require much attention.

- 1. Synthetic complications on the development of semiconducting materials towards VOCs sensors must be reduced with respect to cost-effect and reliability.
- 2. Justification regarding the role of semiconducting property in the sensing studies seems to be deficient in some reports, which requires more investigations.
- 3. Majority of the reports did not provide any theoretical or in-depth explanation regarding, "Why the attested nanostructure becomes more specific to the certain target?" Therefore, extensive research must be done in future.
- 4. Utilization of diverse nanostructures with similar material compositions towards different VOCs still needs more interpretations.
- 5. Numerous VOCs sensors operate at higher temperature, thereby optimization research should be conducted to make the devices operable at low temperature or even room temperature.
- 6. Studies on how to resolve the factors that affecting the sensor response (such as humidity, interferences, temperature, etc.) are necessary.

- 7. So far, majority of VOC sensors make use of metal-oxide nanostructures, thereby implementation of devices with emerging halide perovskite nanomaterials can be much anticipated in VOCs detection.
- 8. Many reports describe the dopant and composited materials tuned sensory responses towards specific VOCs, but there is no-valid information regarding the efficacy and the role of such dopants or composited materials on the VOCs sensing, which requires clarification.
- 9. Enormous amount of reports are available for acetone and alcohols chemiresistor sensors, thus upcoming researchers must focus towards commercialization rather than simply developing the new materials.
- 10. Due to the high toxic effect of aldehydes and amines over the eco-systems, chemiresistor sensors coupled with ecofriendly instrumental set up is requires much focus.
- 11. Mainstream of BTEX assays by distinct nanostructures are still need to be tuned towards specific target due to their ineffectiveness towards mixed analytes.
- 12. Detection of other toxic VOCs (such as carbon tetrachloride (CCl₄), chloroform (CHCl₃), phosgene, etc.) needs much attention in future.
- 13. Standardized procedure is become mandatory to attain the specific nanostructures and its VOC sensing performance.
- 14. Development of stable and commercial devices in the determination of VOCs is still in demand, therefore, much attention is required for commercialization.
- 15. More research is necessary to justify the exact production cost of reliable devices in VOCs detection with social importance.

Though mechanistic aspects of VOCs detection have been clarified by numerous reports; however, theoretical and indepth discussions regarding charge/electron transport in semiconducting properties are yet to be improved. As an essential research in health care innovations, many scientists are currently trying to develop and commercialize costeffective devices towards specific VOC targets, which may improve the safety of the healthcare and food products in future.

References

- Broza, Y.Y.; Vishinkin, R.; Barash, O.; Nakhleh, M.K.; Haick, H. Synergy between nanomaterials and volatile organic co mpounds for non-invasive medical evaluation. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 4781–4859.
- Broza, Y.Y.; Haick, H. Nanomaterial-based sensors for detection of disease by volatile organic compounds. Nanomedici ne 2013, 8, 785–806.
- Konvalina, G.; Haick, H. Sensors for Breath Testing: From Nanomaterials to Comprehensive Disease Detection. Acc. C hem. Res. 2014, 47, 66–76.
- 4. Mirzaei, A.; Leonardi, S.G.; Neri, G. Detection of hazardous volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by metal oxide nanostr uctures-based gas sensors: A review. Ceram. Int. 2016, 42, 15119–15141.
- 5. Spinelle, L.; Gerboles, M.; Kok, G.; Persijn, S.; Sauerwald, T. Review of Portable and Low-Cost Sensors for the Ambien t Air Monitoring of Benzene and Other Volatile Organic Compounds. Sensors 2017, 17, 1520.
- Jalal, A.H.; Alam, F.; Roychoudhury, S.; Umasankar, Y.; Pala, N.; Bhansali, S. Prospects and Challenges of Volatile Org anic Compound Sensors in Human Healthcare. ACS Sens. 2018, 3, 1246–1263.
- 7. Zhang, D.; Yang, Z.; Yu, S.; Mi, Q.; Pan, Q. Diversiform metal oxide-based hybrid nanostructures for gas sensing with v ersatile prospects. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2020, 413, 213272.
- Swain, S.K.; Barik, S.; Das, R. Nanomaterials as Sensor for Hazardous Gas Detection. In Handbook of Ecomaterials; Martínez, L.M.T., Kharissova, O.V., Kharisov, B.I., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; p p. 1–20.
- Francioso, L.; De Pascali, C.; Creti, P.; Radogna, A.V.; Capone, S.; Taurino, A.; Epifani, M.; Baldacchini, C.; Bizzarri, A. R.; Siciliano, P.A. Nanogap Sensors Decorated with SnO2 Nanoparticles Enable Low-Temperature Detection of Volatile Organic Compounds. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2020, 3, 3337–3346.
- 10. Maslik, J.; Kuritka, I.; Urbanek, P.; Krcmar, P.; Suly, P.; Masar, M.; Machovsky, M. Water-Based Indium Tin Oxide Nanop article Ink for Printed Toluene Vapours Sensor Operating at Room Temperature. Sensors 2018, 18, 3246.

- 11. Shellaiah, M.; Sun, K.W. Review on Sensing Applications of Perovskite Nanomaterials. Chemosensors 2020, 8, 55.
- Amiri, V.; Roshan, H.; Mirzaei, A.; Neri, G.; Ayesh, A.I. Nanostructured Metal Oxide-Based Acetone Gas Sensors: A Rev iew. Sensors 2020, 20, 3096.
- 13. Prakash Sharma, V.; Sharma, U.; Chattopadhyay, M.; Shukla, V.N. Advance Applications of Nanomaterials: A Review. Mater. Today Proc. 2018, 5, 6376–6380.
- 14. Nanomaterials definition matters. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2019, 14, 193.
- Kolahalam, L.A.; Kasi Viswanath, I.V.; Diwakar, B.S.; Govindh, B.; Reddy, V.; Murthy, Y.L.N. Review on nanomaterials: Synthesis and applications. Mater. Today Proc. 2019, 18, 2182–2190.
- 16. Shellaiah, M.; Sun, K.W. Review on Nanomaterial-Based Melamine Detection. Chemosensors 2019, 7, 9.
- 17. Pirzada, M.; Altintas, Z. Nanomaterials for Healthcare Biosensing Applications. Sensors 2019, 19, 5311.
- Shellaiah, M.; Chen, Y.-C.; Simon, T.; Li, L.-C.; Sun, K.W.; Ko, F.-H. Effect of Metal Ions on Hybrid Graphite-Diamond N anowire Growth: Conductivity Measurements from a Single Nanowire Device. Nanomaterials 2019, 9, 415.
- 19. Sun, H.; Deng, J.; Qiu, L.; Fang, X.; Peng, H. Recent progress in solar cells based on one-dimensional nanomaterials. Energy Environ. Sci. 2015, 8, 1139–1159.
- 20. Rowland, C.E.; Brown, C.W.; Delehanty, J.B.; Medintz, I.L. Nanomaterial-based sensors for the detection of biological t hreat agents. Mater. Today 2016, 19, 464–477.
- 21. Li, B.; Zhou, Q.; Peng, S.; Liao, Y. Recent Advances of SnO2-Based Sensors for Detecting Volatile Organic Compound s. Front. Chem. 2020, 8, 321.
- 22. Abdel-Karim, R.; Reda, Y.; Abdel-Fattah, A. Review—Nanostructured Materials-Based Nanosensors. J. Electrochem. S oc. 2020, 167, 037554.
- 23. Wang, J.; Zhou, Q.; Peng, S.; Xu, L.; Zeng, W. Volatile Organic Compounds Gas Sensors Based on Molybdenum Oxid es: A Mini Review. Front. Chem. 2020, 8, 339.
- 24. Malik, R.; Tomer, V.K.; Mishra, Y.K.; Lin, L. Functional gas sensing nanomaterials: A panoramic view. Appl. Phys. Rev. 2 020, 7, 021301.
- 25. Camilli, L.; Passacantando, M. Advances on Sensors Based on Carbon Nanotubes. Chemosensors 2018, 6, 62.
- 26. Schroeder, V.; Savagatrup, S.; He, M.; Lin, S.; Swager, T.M. Carbon Nanotube Chemical Sensors. Chem. Rev. 2019, 1 19, 599–663.
- 27. Zhou, X.; Xue, Z.; Chen, X.; Huang, C.; Bai, W.; Lu, Z.; Wang, T. Nanomaterial-based gas sensors used for breath diag nosis. J. Mater. Chem. B 2020, 8, 3231–3248.
- Li, X.; Sun, L.; Sui, H.; He, L.; Yuan, W.; Han, Z. A Novel Polymeric Adsorbent Embedded with Phase Change Materials (PCMs) Microcapsules: Synthesis and Application. Nanomaterials 2019, 9, 736.
- 29. Bearzotti, A.; Macagnano, A.; Papa, P.; Venditti, I.; Zampetti, E. A study of a QCM sensor based on pentacene for the d etection of BTX vapors in air. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 240, 1160–1164.
- 30. Wang, B.; Sonar, P.; Manzhos, S.; Haick, H. Diketopyrrolopyrrole copolymers based chemical sensors for the detection and discrimination of volatile organic compounds. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 251, 49–56.
- 31. Wang, Y.; Tao, H.; Yu, D.; Chang, C. Performance Assessment of Ordered Porous Electrospun Honeycomb Fibers for t he Removal of Atmospheric Polar Volatile Organic Compounds. Nanomaterials 2018, 8, 350.
- 32. Pantalei, S.; Zampetti, E.; Macagnano, A.; Bearzotti, A.; Venditti, I.; Russo, M.V. Enhanced Sensory Properties of a Mul tichannel Quartz Crystal Microbalance Coated with Polymeric Nanobeads. Sensors 2007, 7, 2920–2928.
- Avossa, J.; Paolesse, R.; Di Natale, C.; Zampetti, E.; Bertoni, G.; De Cesare, F.; Scarascia-Mugnozza, G.; Macagnano, A. Electrospinning of Polystyrene/Polyhydroxybutyrate Nanofibers Doped with Porphyrin and Graphene for Chemiresist or Gas Sensors. Nanomaterials 2019, 9, 280.
- 34. Walekar, L.; Dutta, T.; Kumar, P.; Ok, Y.S.; Pawar, S.; Deep, A.; Kim, K.-H. Functionalized fluorescent nanomaterials for sensing pollutants in the environment: A critical review. TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 2017, 97, 458–467.
- 35. Andre, R.S.; Sanfelice, R.C.; Pavinatto, A.; Mattoso, L.H.C.; Correa, D.S. Hybrid nanomaterials designed for volatile or ganic compounds sensors: A review. Mater. Des. 2018, 156, 154–166.
- 36. Zhang, C.; Wang, J.; Hu, R.; Qiao, Q.; Li, X. Synthesis and gas sensing properties of porous hierarchical SnO2 by grap efruit exocarp biotemplate. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 222, 1134–1143.
- 37. Li, J.; Tang, P.; Zhang, J.; Feng, Y.; Luo, R.; Chen, A.; Li, D. Facile Synthesis and Acetone Sensing Performance of Hier archical SnO2 Hollow Microspheres with Controllable Size and Shell Thickness. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2016, 55, 3588–

3595.

- 38. Zhao, R.; Wang, Z.; Zou, T.; Wang, Z.; Xing, X.; Yang, Y.; Wang, Y. 'Green' prepare SnO2 nanofibers by shaddock peel s: Application for detection of volatile organic compound gases. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 2019, 30, 3032–3044.
- 39. Abdelghani, R.; Shokry Hassan, H.; Morsi, I.; Kashyout, A.B. Nano-architecture of highly sensitive SnO2–based gas se nsors for acetone and ammonia using molecular imprinting technique. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 297, 126668.
- 40. Li, R.; Chen, S.; Lou, Z.; Li, L.; Huang, T.; Song, Y.; Chen, D.; Shen, G. Fabrication of porous SnO2 nanowires gas sen sors with enhanced sensitivity. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 252, 79–85.
- 41. Bing, Y.; Liu, C.; Qiao, L.; Zeng, Y.; Yu, S.; Liang, Z.; Liu, J.; Luo, J.; Zheng, W. Multistep synthesis of non-spherical Sn O2@SnO2 yolk-shell cuboctahedra with nanoparticle-assembled porous structure for toluene detection. Sens. Actuator s B 2016, 231, 365–375.
- 42. Torrinha, Á.; Oliveira, T.M.B.F.; Ribeiro, F.W.P.; Correia, A.N.; Lima-Neto, P.; Morais, S. Application of Nanostructured C arbon-Based Electrochemical (Bio)Sensors for Screening of Emerging Pharmaceutical Pollutants in Waters and Aquati c Species: A Review. Nanomaterials 2020, 10, 1268.
- 43. Waheed, A.; Mansha, M.; Ullah, N. Nanomaterials-based electrochemical detection of heavy metals in water: Current st atus, challenges and future direction. TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 2018, 105, 37–51.
- 44. Jian, Y.; Hu, W.; Zhao, Z.; Cheng, P.; Haick, H.; Yao, M.; Wu, W. Gas Sensors Based on Chemi-Resistive Hybrid Functi onal Nanomaterials. Nano-Micro Lett. 2020, 12, 71.
- Ferrero, F.J.; Valledor, M.; Campo, J.C.; López, A.; Llano-Suárez, P.; Fernández-Arguelles, M.T.; Costa-Fernández, J. M.; Soldado, A. Portable Instrument for Monitoring Environmental Toxins Using Immobilized Quantum Dots as the Sens ing Material. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 3246.
- 46. Wang, Y.; Liu, J.; Wang, M.; Pei, C.; Liu, B.; Yuan, Y.; Liu, S.; Yang, H. Enhancing the Sensing Properties of TiO2 Nano sheets with Exposed {001} Facets by a Hydrogenation and Sensing Mechanism. Inorg. Chem. 2017, 56, 1504–1510.
- 47. Zhou, T.; Sang, Y.; Wang, X.; Wu, C.; Zeng, D.; Xie, C. Pore size dependent gas-sensing selectivity based on ZnO@ZI F nanorod arrays. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 258, 1099–1106.
- 48. Dey, S.; Nag, S.; Santra, S.; Ray, S.K.; Guha, P.K. Voltage-controlled NiO/ZnO p–n heterojunction diode: A new approa ch towards selective VOC sensing. Microsyst. Nanoeng. 2020, 6, 35.
- 49. Yeung, H.H.M.; Yoshikawa, G.; Minami, K.; Shiba, K. Strain-based chemical sensing using metal–organic framework na noparticles. J. Mater. Chem. A 2020, 8, 18007–18014.
- Kim, S.-J.; Choi, S.-J.; Jang, J.-S.; Kim, N.-H.; Hakim, M.; Tuller, H.L.; Kim, I.-D. Mesoporous WO3 Nanofibers with Pro tein-Templated Nanoscale Catalysts for Detection of Trace Biomarkers in Exhaled Breath. ACS Nano 2016, 10, 5891–5 899.
- Rodner, M.; Puglisi, D.; Ekeroth, S.; Helmersson, U.; Shtepliuk, I.; Yakimova, R.; Skallberg, A.; Uvdal, K.; Schütze, A.; E riksson, J. Graphene Decorated with Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Highly Sensitive Interaction with Volatile Organic Co mpounds. Sensors 2019, 19, 918.
- 52. Chen, W.Y.; Yen, C.-C.; Xue, S.; Wang, H.; Stanciu, L.A. Surface Functionalization of Layered Molybdenum Disulfide fo r the Selective Detection of Volatile Organic Compounds at Room Temperature. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 34135–34143.
- 53. Liang, X.; Qin, Y.; Xie, W.; Deng, Z.; Yang, C.; Su, X. Facile synthesis of high-stable and monodisperse Fe3O4/carbon f lake-like nanocomposites and their excellent gas sensing properties. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 818, 152898.
- 54. Han, X.; Sun, Y.; Feng, Z.; Zhang, G.; Chen, Z.; Zhan, J. Au-deposited porous single-crystalline ZnO nanoplates for gas sensing detection of total volatile organic compounds. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 37750–37756.
- 55. Han, T.-L.; Wan, Y.-T.; Li, J.-J.; Zhang, H.-G.; Liu, J.-H.; Huang, X.-J.; Liu, J.-Y. In situ gold nanoparticle-decorated three -dimensional tin dioxide nanostructures for sensitive and selective gas-sensing detection of volatile organic compound s. J. Mater. Chem. C 2017, 5, 6193–6201.
- 56. Postica, V.; Vahl, A.; Santos-Carballal, D.; Dankwort, T.; Kienle, L.; Hoppe, M.; Cadi-Essadek, A.; de Leeuw, N.H.; Teras a, M.-I.; Adelung, R.; et al. Tuning ZnO Sensors Reactivity toward Volatile Organic Compounds via Ag Doping and Nan oparticle Functionalization. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 31452–31466.
- 57. Navale, S.T.; Yang, Z.B.; Liu, C.; Cao, P.J.; Patil, V.B.; Ramgir, N.S.; Mane, R.S.; Stadler, F.J. Enhanced acetone sensi ng properties of titanium dioxide nanoparticles with a sub-ppm detection limit. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 255, 1701–171
 0.
- 58. Liang, S.; Li, J.; Wang, F.; Qin, J.; Lai, X.; Jiang, X. Highly sensitive acetone gas sensor based on ultrafine α-Fe2O3 na noparticles. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 238, 923–927.

- 59. Zhou, X.; Wang, J.; Wang, Z.; Bian, Y.; Wang, Y.; Han, N.; Chen, Y. Transilient Response to Acetone Gas Using the Inte rlocking p+n Field-Effect Transistor Circuit. Sensors 2018, 18, 1914.
- 60. Koo, A.; Yoo, R.; Woo, S.P.; Lee, H.-S.; Lee, W. Enhanced acetone-sensing properties of pt-decorated al-doped ZnO n anoparticles. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 280, 109–119.
- 61. Yoo, R.; Park, Y.; Jung, H.; Rim, H.J.; Cho, S.; Lee, H.-S.; Lee, W. Acetone-sensing properties of doped ZnO nanoparti cles for breath-analyzer applications. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 803, 135–144.
- 62. Yang, W.; Shen, H.; Min, H.; Ge, J. Enhanced acetone sensing performance in black TiO2 by Ag modification. J. Mater. Sci. 2020, 55, 10399–10411.
- 63. Liu, H.; Li, C.; Zhang, X.; Zheng, K.; Xie, R.; Huang, H.; Peng, T.; Jia, R.; Huo, J. A novel and highly responsive aceton e sensor based on La1–xYxMnO3+δ nanoparticles. Mater. Lett. 2019, 257, 126725.
- 64. Peng, S.; Ma, M.; Yang, W.; Wang, Z.; Wang, Z.; Bi, J.; Wu, J. Acetone sensing with parts-per-billion limit of detection u sing a BiFeO3-based solid solution sensor at the morphotropic phase boundary. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 313, 128060.
- Zhang, H.; Qin, H.; Zhang, P.; Hu, J. High Sensing Properties of 3 wt % Pd-Doped SmFe1–xMgxO3 Nanocrystalline Po wders to Acetone Vapor with Ultralow Concentrations under Light Illumination. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 15 558–15564.
- 66. Lu, J.; Xu, C.; Cheng, L.; Jia, N.; Huang, J.; Li, C. Acetone sensor based on WO3 nanocrystallines with oxygen defects for low concentration detection. Mater. Sci. Semicond. Proc. 2019, 101, 214–222.
- Epifani, M.; Kaciulis, S.; Mezzi, A.; Zhang, T.; Arbiol, J.; Siciliano, P.; Landström, A.; Concina, I.; Moumen, A.; Comini, E.; et al. Rhodium as efficient additive for boosting acetone sensing by TiO2 nanocrystals. Beyond the classical view of noble metal additives. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 319, 128338.
- 68. Kim, H.; Cai, Z.; Chang, S.-P.; Park, S. Improved sub-ppm acetone sensing properties of SnO2 nanowire-based sensor by attachment of Co3O4 nanoparticles. J. Mater. Res. Technol. 2020, 9, 1129–1136.
- Singh, M.; Kaur, N.; Drera, G.; Casotto, A.; Sangaletti, L.; Comini, E. SAM Functionalized ZnO Nanowires for Selective Acetone Detection: Optimized Surface Specific Interaction Using APTMS and GLYMO Monolayers. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2020, 30, 2003217.
- 70. Xue, X.-T.; Zhu, L.-Y.; Yuan, K.-P.; Zeng, C.; Li, X.-X.; Ma, H.-P.; Lu, H.-L.; Zhang, D.W. ZnO branched p-CuxO@n-ZnO heterojunction nanowires for improving acetone gas sensing performance. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 324, 128729.
- 71. Zhang, G.H.; Deng, X.Y.; Wang, P.Y.; Wang, X.L.; Chen, Y.; Ma, H.L.; Gengzang, D.J. Morphology controlled syntheses of Cr doped ZnO single-crystal nanorods for acetone gas sensor. Mater. Lett. 2016, 165, 83–86.
- 72. Giberti, A.; Gaiardo, A.; Fabbri, B.; Gherardi, S.; Guidi, V.; Malagù, C.; Bellutti, P.; Zonta, G.; Casotti, D.; Cruciani, G. Ti n(IV) sulfide nanorods as a new gas sensing material. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 223, 827–833.
- 73. Huang, J.; Zhou, J.; Liu, Z.; Li, X.; Geng, Y.; Tian, X.; Du, Y.; Qian, Z. Enhanced acetone-sensing properties to ppb dete ction level using Au/Pd-doped ZnO nanorod. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 310, 127129.
- 74. Wang, Z.; Zhang, K.; Fei, T.; Gu, F.; Han, D. α-Fe2O3/NiO heterojunction nanorods with enhanced gas sensing perform ance for acetone. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 318, 128191.
- 75. Al-Hadeethi, Y.; Umar, A.; Ibrahim, A.A.; Al-Heniti, S.H.; Kumar, R.; Baskoutas, S.; Raffah, B.M. Synthesis, characterizat ion and acetone gas sensing applications of Ag-doped ZnO nanoneedles. Ceram. Int. 2017, 43, 6765–6770.
- 76. Gao, F.; Qin, G.; Li, Y.; Jiang, Q.; Luo, L.; Zhao, K.; Liu, Y.; Zhao, H. One-pot synthesis of La-doped SnO2 layered nano arrays with an enhanced gas-sensing performance toward acetone. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 10298–10310.
- 77. Gong, H.; Zhao, C.; Niu, G.; Zhang, W.; Wang, F. Construction of 1D/2D α-Fe2O3/SnO2 Hybrid Nanoarrays for Sub-pp m Acetone Detection. Research 2020, 2020, 2196063.
- 78. Abdul Haroon Rashid, S.S.A.; Sabri, Y.M.; Kandjani, A.E.; Harrison, C.J.; Canjeevaram Balasubramanyam, R.K.; Della Gaspera, E.; Field, M.R.; Bhargava, S.K.; Tricoli, A.; Wlodarski, W.; et al. Zinc Titanate Nanoarrays with Superior Optoe lectrochemical Properties for Chemical Sensing. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 29255–29267.
- 79. Xu, X.; Chen, Y.; Zhang, G.; Ma, S.; Lu, Y.; Bian, H.; Chen, Q. Highly sensitive VOCs-acetone sensor based on Ag-dec orated SnO2 hollow nanofibers. J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 703, 572–579.
- 80. Ma, L.; Ma, S.Y.; Shen, X.F.; Wang, T.T.; Jiang, X.H.; Chen, Q.; Qiang, Z.; Yang, H.M.; Chen, H. PrFeO3 hollow nanofib ers as a highly efficient gas sensor for acetone detection. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 255, 2546–2554.
- 81. Guo, L.; Chen, F.; Xie, N.; Kou, X.; Wang, C.; Sun, Y.; Liu, F.; Liang, X.; Gao, Y.; Yan, X.; et al. Ultra-sensitive sensing pl atform based on Pt-ZnO-In2O3 nanofibers for detection of acetone. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 272, 185–194.

- Shao, S.; Chen, X.; Chen, Y.; Lai, M.; Che, L. Ultrasensitive and highly selective detection of acetone based on Au@W O3-SnO2 corrugated nanofibers. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2019, 473, 902–911.
- Chen, Q.; Wang, Y.; Wang, M.; Ma, S.; Wang, P.; Zhang, G.; Chen, W.; Jiao, H.; Liu, L.; Xu, X. Enhanced acetone sens or based on Au functionalized In-doped ZnSnO3 nanofibers synthesized by electrospinning method. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2019, 543, 285–299.
- Du, H.; Yang, W.; Yi, W.; Sun, Y.; Yu, N.; Wang, J. Oxygen-Plasma-Assisted Enhanced Acetone-Sensing Properties of ZnO Nanofibers by Electrospinning. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 23084–23093.
- 85. Kou, X.; Meng, F.; Chen, K.; Wang, T.; Sun, P.; Liu, F.; Yan, X.; Sun, Y.; Liu, F.; Shimanoe, K.; et al. High-performance a cetone gas sensor based on Ru-doped SnO2 nanofibers. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 320, 128292.
- Jang, J.-S.; Yu, S.; Choi, S.-J.; Kim, S.-J.; Koo, W.-T.; Kim, I.-D. Metal Chelation Assisted In Situ Migration and Functio nalization of Catalysts on Peapod-Like Hollow SnO2 toward a Superior Chemical Sensor. Small 2016, 12, 5989–5997.
- Koo, W.-T.; Jang, J.-S.; Choi, S.-J.; Cho, H.-J.; Kim, I.-D. Metal–Organic Framework Templated Catalysts: Dual Sensitiz ation of PdO–ZnO Composite on Hollow SnO2 Nanotubes for Selective Acetone Sensors. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 18069–18077.
- 88. Dai, M.; Zhao, L.; Gao, H.; Sun, P.; Liu, F.; Zhang, S.; Shimanoe, K.; Yamazoe, N.; Lu, G. Hierarchical Assembly of α-F e2O3 Nanorods on Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes as a High-Performance Sensing Material for Gas Sensors. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 8919–8928.
- 89. Zhang, R.; Zhang, M.; Zhou, T.; Zhang, T. Robust cobalt perforated with multi-walled carbon nanotubes as an effective sensing material for acetone detection. Inorg. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 2563–2570.
- Zhao, C.; Lan, W.; Gong, H.; Bai, J.; Ramachandran, R.; Liu, S.; Wang, F. Highly sensitive acetone-sensing properties of Pt-decorated CuFe2O4 nanotubes prepared by electrospinning. Ceram. Int. 2018, 44, 2856–2863.
- 91. Zhang, J.; Zhang, L.; Leng, D.; Ma, F.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, W.; Liang, Q.; Gao, J.; Lu, H. Nanoscale Pd catalys ts decorated WO3–SnO2 heterojunction nanotubes for highly sensitive and selective acetone sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 306, 127575.
- 92. Zhang, Y.; Jia, C.; Kong, Q.; Fan, N.; Chen, G.; Guan, H.; Dong, C. ZnO-Decorated In/Ga Oxide Nanotubes Derived fro m Bimetallic In/Ga MOFs for Fast Acetone Detection with High Sensitivity and Selectivity. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2 020, 12, 26161–26169.
- Zhou, T.; Zhang, T.; Deng, J.; Zhang, R.; Lou, Z.; Wang, L. P-type Co3O4 nanomaterials-based gas sensor: Preparatio n and acetone sensing performance. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 242, 369–377.
- 94. Mishra, R.K.; Murali, G.; Kim, T.-H.; Kim, J.H.; Lim, Y.J.; Kim, B.-S.; Sahay, P.P.; Lee, S.H. Nanocube In2O3@RGO het erostructure based gas sensor for acetone and formaldehyde detection. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 38714–38724.
- Yin, Y.; Li, F.; Zhang, N.; Ruan, S.; Zhang, H.; Chen, Y. Improved gas sensing properties of silver-functionalized ZnSnO 3 hollow nanocubes. Inorg. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 2123–2131.
- 96. Lee, J.E.; Lim, C.K.; Park, H.J.; Song, H.; Choi, S.-Y.; Lee, D.-S. ZnO–CuO Core-Hollow Cube Nanostructures for Highl y Sensitive Acetone Gas Sensors at the ppb Level. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 35688–35697.
- 97. Wang, X.-F.; Ma, W.; Jiang, F.; Cao, E.-S.; Sun, K.-M.; Cheng, L.; Song, X.-Z. Prussian Blue analogue derived porous NiFe2O4 nanocubes for low-concentration acetone sensing at low working temperature. Chem. Eng. J. 2018, 338, 504 –512.
- 98. Zhang, N.; Li, H.; Xu, Z.; Yuan, R.; Xu, Y.; Cui, Y. Enhanced Acetone Sensing Property of a Sacrificial Template Based on Cubic-Like MOF-5 Doped by Ni Nanoparticles. Nanomaterials 2020, 10, 386.
- 99. Ma, X.; Zhou, X.; Gong, Y.; Han, N.; Liu, H.; Chen, Y. MOF-derived hierarchical ZnO/ZnFe2O4 hollow cubes for enhanc ed acetone gas-sensing performance. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 34609–34617.
- 100. Koo, W.-T.; Yu, S.; Choi, S.-J.; Jang, J.-S.; Cheong, J.Y.; Kim, I.-D. Nanoscale PdO Catalyst Functionalized Co3O4 Holl ow Nanocages Using MOF Templates for Selective Detection of Acetone Molecules in Exhaled Breath. ACS Appl. Mate r. Interfaces 2017, 9, 8201–8210.
- 101. Wang, X.; Zhang, S.; Shao, M.; Huang, J.; Deng, X.; Hou, P.; Xu, X. Fabrication of ZnO/ZnFe2O4 hollow nanocages thr ough metal organic frameworks route with enhanced gas sensing properties. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 251, 27–33.
- 102. Zhou, T.; Liu, X.; Zhang, R.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, T. NiO/NiCo2O4 Truncated Nanocages with PdO Catalyst Functionalizati on as Sensing Layers for Acetone Detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 37242–37250.
- 103. Wang, G.; Fu, Z.; Wang, T.; Lei, W.; Sun, P.; Sui, Y.; Zou, B. A rational design of hollow nanocages Ag@CuO-TiO2 for e nhanced acetone sensing performance. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 295, 70–78.

- 104. Zhang, Z.; Zhu, L.; Wen, Z.; Ye, Z. Controllable synthesis of Co3O4 crossed nanosheet arrays toward an acetone gas s ensor. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 238, 1052–1059.
- 105. Li, S.-M.; Zhang, L.-X.; Zhu, M.-Y.; Ji, G.-J.; Zhao, L.-X.; Yin, J.; Bie, L.-J. Acetone sensing of ZnO nanosheets synthesi zed using room-temperature precipitation. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 249, 611–623.
- 106. Liu, J.; Wang, Y.; Wang, L.; Tian, H.; Zeng, Y. Controllable assembly of sandwich-structured SnO2/Fe2O3 multilayer na nosheets for high sensitive acetone detection. Mater. Lett. 2018, 221, 57–61.
- 107. Dey, S.; Santra, S.; Guha, P.K.; Ray, S.K. Liquid Exfoliated NiO Nanosheets for Trace Level Detection of Acetone Vapor s. IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 2019, 66, 3568–3572.
- 108. Feng, Z.; Zhang, L.; Chen, W.; Peng, Z.; Li, Y. A strategy for supportless sensors: Fluorine doped TiO2 nanosheets dire ctly grown onto Ti foam enabling highly sensitive detection toward acetone. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 322, 128633.
- 109. Kim, S.-H.; Shim, G.-I.; Choi, S.-Y. Fabrication of Nb-doped ZnO nanowall structure by RF magnetron sputter for enhan ced gas-sensing properties. J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 698, 77–86.
- Hien, V.X.; Minh, N.H.; Son, D.T.; Nghi, N.T.; Phuoc, L.H.; Khoa, C.T.; Vuong, D.D.; Chien, N.D.; Heo, Y.-W. Acetone se nsing properties of CuO nanowalls synthesized via oxidation of Cu foil in aqueous NH4OH. Vacuum 2018, 150, 129–13
 5.
- 111. Urso, M.; Leonardi, S.G.; Neri, G.; Petralia, S.; Conoci, S.; Priolo, F.; Mirabella, S. Acetone sensing and modelling by lo w-cost NiO nanowalls. Mater. Lett. 2020, 262, 127043.
- 112. Choi, H.; Kwon, S.H.; Kang, H.; Kim, J.H.; Choi, W. Zinc-oxide-deposited Carbon Nanowalls for Acetone Sensing. Thin Solid Films 2020, 700, 137887.
- 113. Dwivedi, P.; Dhanekar, S.; Das, S. Synthesis ofα-MoO3nano-flakes by dry oxidation of RF sputtered Mo thin films and t heir application in gas sensing. Semicond. Sci. Technol. 2016, 31, 115010.
- 114. Afsar, M.F.; Rafiq, M.A.; Tok, A.I.Y. Two-dimensional SnS nanoflakes: Synthesis and application to acetone and alcohol sensors. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 21556–21566.
- 115. Cho, S.-Y.; Koh, H.-J.; Yoo, H.-W.; Kim, J.-S.; Jung, H.-T. Tunable Volatile-Organic-Compound Sensor by Using Au Nan oparticle Incorporation on MoS2. ACS Sens. 2017, 2, 183–189.
- 116. Zhang, R.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Cao, J. Highly Sensitive Acetone Gas Sensor Based on g-C3N4 Decorated MgFe2O4 Porous Microspheres Composites. Sensors 2018, 18, 2211.
- 117. Qu, F.; Zhang, N.; Zhang, S.; Zhao, R.; Yao, D.; Ruan, S.; Yang, M. Construction of Co3O4/CoWO4 core-shell urchin-li ke microspheres through ion-exchange method for high-performance acetone gas sensing performance. Sens. Actuato rs B 2020, 309, 127711.
- 118. Liu, C.; Zhao, L.; Wang, B.; Sun, P.; Wang, Q.; Gao, Y.; Liang, X.; Zhang, T.; Lu, G. Acetone gas sensor based on NiO/ ZnO hollow spheres: Fast response and recovery, and low (ppb) detection limit. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2017, 495, 207 –215.
- 119. Zhu, Y.; Wang, H.; Liu, J.; Yin, M.; Yu, L.; Zhou, J.; Liu, Y.; Qiao, F. High-performance gas sensors based on the WO3-S nO2 nanosphere composites. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 782, 789–795.
- 120. Jaisutti, R.; Lee, M.; Kim, J.; Choi, S.; Ha, T.-J.; Kim, J.; Kim, H.; Park, S.K.; Kim, Y.-H. Ultrasensitive Room-Temperatur e Operable Gas Sensors Using p-Type Na:ZnO Nanoflowers for Diabetes Detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 8796–8804.
- 121. Chen, F.; Yang, M.; Wang, X.; Song, Y.; Guo, L.; Xie, N.; Kou, X.; Xu, X.; Sun, Y.; Lu, G. Template-free synthesis of cubi c-rhombohedral-In2O3 flower for ppb level acetone detection. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 290, 459–466.
- 122. Wang, P.; Dong, T.; Jia, C.; Yang, P. Ultraselective acetone-gas sensor based ZnO flowers functionalized by Au nanopa rticle loading on certain facet. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 288, 1–11.
- 123. Zhang, S.; Wang, C.; Qu, F.; Liu, S.; Lin, C.-T.; Du, S.; Chen, Y.; Meng, F.; Yang, M. ZnO nanoflowers modified with Ru O2 for enhancing acetone sensing performance. Nanotechnology 2019, 31, 115502.
- 124. Ma, T.; Zheng, L.; Zhao, Y.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Liu, X. Highly Porous Double-Shelled Hollow Hematite Nanoparticles for Gas Sensing. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2019, 2, 2347–2357.
- 125. Xia, J.; Diao, K.; Zheng, Z.; Cui, X. Porous Au/ZnO nanoparticles synthesised through a metal organic framework (MO F) route for enhanced acetone gas-sensing. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 38444–38451.
- 126. Li, L.; Tan, J.; Dun, M.; Huang, X. Porous ZnFe2O4 nanorods with net-worked nanostructure for highly sensor respons e and fast response acetone gas sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 248, 85–91.

- 127. Chen, Y.; Li, H.; Ma, Q.; Che, Q.; Wang, J.; Wang, G.; Yang, P. Morphology-controlled porous α-Fe2O3/SnO2 nanorods with uniform surface heterostructures and their enhanced acetone gas-sensing properties. Mater. Lett. 2018, 211, 212– 215.
- 128. Xu, Y.; Lou, C.; Zheng, L.; Zheng, W.; Liu, X.; Kumar, M.; Zhang, J. Highly sensitive and selective detection of acetone based on platinum sensitized porous tungsten oxide nanospheres. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 307, 127616.
- 129. Chao, J.; Chen, Y.; Xing, S.; Zhang, D.; Shen, W. Facile fabrication of ZnO/C nanoporous fibers and ZnO hollow sphere s for high performance gas sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 298, 126927.
- 130. Quan, W.; Hu, X.; Min, X.; Qiu, J.; Tian, R.; Ji, P.; Qin, W.; Wang, H.; Pan, T.; Cheng, S.; et al. A Highly Sensitive and S elective ppb-Level Acetone Sensor Based on a Pt-Doped 3D Porous SnO2 Hierarchical Structure. Sensors 2020, 20, 1 150.
- 131. Zhang, X.; Dong, Z.; Liu, S.; Shi, Y.; Dong, Y.; Feng, W. Maize straw-templated hierarchical porous ZnO:Ni with enhanc ed acetone gas sensing properties. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 243, 1224–1230.
- 132. Li, X.; Lu, D.; Shao, C.; Lu, G.; Li, X.; Liu, Y. Hollow CuFe2O4/α-Fe2O3 composite with ultrathin porous shell for aceton e detection at ppb levels. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 258, 436–446.
- 133. Zhang, X.; Dong, B.; Liu, W.; Zhou, X.; Liu, M.; Sun, X.; Lv, J.; Zhang, L.; Xu, W.; Bai, X.; et al. Highly sensitive and sel ective acetone sensor based on three-dimensional ordered WO3/Au nanocomposite with enhanced performance. Sen s. Actuators B 2020, 320, 128405.
- 134. Choi, H.-J.; Choi, S.-J.; Choo, S.; Kim, I.-D.; Lee, H. Hierarchical ZnO Nanowires-loaded Sb-doped SnO2-ZnO Microgr ating Pattern via Direct Imprinting-assisted Hydrothermal Growth and Its Selective Detection of Acetone Molecules. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 18731.
- 135. Peng, C.; Guo, J.; Yang, W.; Shi, C.; Liu, M.; Zheng, Y.; Xu, J.; Chen, P.; Huang, T.; Yang, Y. Synthesis of three-dimensi onal flower-like hierarchical ZnO nanostructure and its enhanced acetone gas sensing properties. J. Alloys Compd. 201 6, 654, 371–378.
- 136. Wang, L.; Fu, H.; Jin, Q.; Jin, H.; Haick, H.; Wang, S.; Yu, K.; Deng, S.; Wang, Y. Directly transforming SnS2 nanosheet s to hierarchical SnO2 nanotubes: Towards sensitive and selective sensing of acetone at relatively low operating tempe ratures. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 292, 148–155.
- 137. Xing, R.; Sheng, K.; Xu, L.; Liu, W.; Song, J.; Song, H. Three-dimensional In2O3–CuO inverse opals: Synthesis and im proved gas sensing properties towards acetone. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 57389–57395.
- Dankeaw, A.; Poungchan, G.; Panapoy, M.; Ksapabutr, B. In-situ one-step method for fabricating three-dimensional gra ss-like carbon-doped ZrO2 films for room temperature alcohol and acetone sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 242, 202 –214.
- 139. Zhang, Y.; Zhou, L.; Liu, Y.; Liu, D.; Liu, F.; Liu, F.; Yan, X.; Liang, X.; Gao, Y.; Lu, G. Gas sensor based on samarium ox ide loaded mulberry-shaped tin oxide for highly selective and sub ppm-level acetone detection. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2018, 531, 74–82.
- 140. Zhu, L.; Zeng, W.; Li, Y. A novel cactus-like WO3-SnO2 nanocomposite and its acetone gas sensing properties. Mater. Lett. 2018, 231, 5–7.
- 141. Shen, J.-Y.; Wang, M.-D.; Wang, Y.-F.; Hu, J.-Y.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, Y.X.; Li, Z.-J.; Yao, H.-C. Iron and carbon codoped WO 3 with hierarchical walnut-like microstructure for highly sensitive and selective acetone sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 256, 27–37.
- 142. Ding, Q.; Wang, Y.; Guo, P.; Li, J.; Chen, C.; Wang, T.; Sun, K.; He, D. Cr-Doped Urchin-Like WO3 Hollow Spheres: Th e Cooperative Modulation of Crystal Growth and Energy-Band Structure for High-Sensitive Acetone Detection. Sensors 2020, 20, 3473.
- 143. Chang, X.; Qiao, X.; Li, K.; Wang, P.; Xiong, Y.; Li, X.; Xia, F.; Xue, Q. UV assisted ppb-level acetone detection based o n hollow ZnO/MoS2 nanosheets core/shell heterostructures at low temperature. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 317, 128208.
- 144. Perfecto, T.M.; Zito, C.A.; Volanti, D.P. Room-temperature volatile organic compounds sensing based on WO3·0.33H2 O, hexagonal-WO3, and their reduced graphene oxide composites. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 105171–105179.
- 145. Hu, J.; Zou, C.; Su, Y.; Li, M.; Yang, Z.; Ge, M.; Zhang, Y. One-step synthesis of 2D C3N4-tin oxide gas sensors for enh anced acetone vapor detection. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 253, 641–651.
- 146. Tomer, V.K.; Singh, K.; Kaur, H.; Shorie, M.; Sabherwal, P. Rapid acetone detection using indium loaded WO3/SnO2 na nohybrid sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 253, 703–713.
- 147. Zhang, C.; Li, L.; Hou, L.; Chen, W. Fabrication of Co3O4 nanowires assembled on the surface of hollow carbon spher es for acetone gas sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 291, 130–140.

- 148. Cao, E.; Song, G.; Guo, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Hao, W.; Sun, L.; Nie, Z. Acetone sensing characteristics of Fe2O3/In2O3 nanoc omposite. Mater. Lett. 2020, 261, 126985.
- 149. Dyndal, K.; Zarzycki, A.; Andrysiewicz, W.; Grochala, D.; Marszalek, K.; Rydosz, A. CuO-Ga2O3 Thin Films as a Gas-S ensitive Material for Acetone Detection. Sensors 2020, 20, 3142.
- 150. Li, X.; Liu, Y.; Li, S.; Huang, J.; Wu, Y.; Yu, D. The Sensing Properties of Single Y-Doped SnO2 Nanobelt Device to Acet one. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 2016, 11, 470.
- 151. Chen, W.; Qin, Z.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Li, Y.; Shen, S.; Wang, Z.M.; Song, H.-Z. Promotion on Acetone Sensing of Single SnO2 Nanobelt by Eu Doping. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 2017, 12, 405.
- 152. Qu, F.; Yuan, Y.; Yang, M. Programmed Synthesis of Sn3N4 Nanoparticles via a Soft Chemistry Approach with Urea: A pplication for Ethanol Vapor Sensing. Chem. Mater. 2017, 29, 969–974.
- 153. Lin, Z.; Li, N.; Chen, Z.; Fu, P. The effect of Ni doping concentration on the gas sensing properties of Ni doped SnO2. S ens. Actuators B 2017, 239, 501–510.
- 154. Zhao, D.; Zhang, X.; Sui, L.; Wang, W.; Zhou, X.; Cheng, X.; Gao, S.; Xu, Y.; Huo, L. C-doped TiO2 nanoparticles to det ect alcohols with different carbon chains and their sensing mechanism analysis. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 312, 127942.
- 155. Ma, Z.-H.; Yu, R.-T.; Song, J.-M. Facile synthesis of Pr-doped In2O3 nanoparticles and their high gas sensing performa nce for ethanol. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 305, 127377.
- 156. Cao, E.; Wu, A.; Wang, H.; Zhang, Y.; Hao, W.; Sun, L. Enhanced Ethanol Sensing Performance of Au and Cl Comodifi ed LaFeO3 Nanoparticles. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2019, 2, 1541–1551.
- 157. Cao, K.; Cao, E.; Zhang, Y.; Hao, W.; Sun, L.; Peng, H. The influence of nonstoichiometry on electrical transport and et hanol sensing characteristics for nanocrystalline LaFexO3–δ sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 230, 592–599.
- 158. Cao, E.; Wang, H.; Wang, X.; Yang, Y.; Hao, W.; Sun, L.; Zhang, Y. Enhanced ethanol sensing performance for chlorine doped nanocrystalline LaFeO3-δ powders by citric sol-gel method. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 251, 885–893.
- 159. Sau, S.; Chakraborty, S.; Das, T.; Pal, M. Ethanol Sensing Properties of Nanocrystalline α-MoO3. Front. Mater. 2019, 6.
- 160. Lupan, O.; Cretu, V.; Postica, V.; Ababii, N.; Polonskyi, O.; Kaidas, V.; Schütt, F.; Mishra, Y.K.; Monaico, E.; Tiginyanu, I.; et al. Enhanced ethanol vapour sensing performances of copper oxide nanocrystals with mixed phases. Sens. Actua tors B 2016, 224, 434–448.
- 161. Xiaofeng, W.; Ma, W.; Sun, K.; Hu, J.; Qin, H. Nanocrystalline Gd1–xCaxFeO3 sensors for detection of methanol gas. J. Rare Earths 2017, 35, 690–696.
- 162. Wu, Y.; Jiang, T.; Shi, T.; Sun, B.; Tang, Z.; Liao, G. Au modified ZnO nanowires for ethanol gas sensing. Sci. China Tec hnol. Sci. 2017, 60, 71–77.
- 163. Choi, K.S.; Park, S.; Chang, S.-P. Enhanced ethanol sensing properties based on SnO2 nanowires coated with Fe2O3 nanoparticles. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 238, 871–879.
- 164. Kim, K.-K.; Kim, D.; Kang, S.-H.; Park, S. Detection of ethanol gas using In2O3 nanoparticle-decorated ZnS nanowires. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 248, 43–49.
- 165. Song, L.; Dou, K.; Wang, R.; Leng, P.; Luo, L.; Xi, Y.; Kaun, C.-C.; Han, N.; Wang, F.; Chen, Y. Sr-Doped Cubic In2O3/R hombohedral In2O3 Homojunction Nanowires for Highly Sensitive and Selective Breath Ethanol Sensing: Experiment a nd DFT Simulation Studies. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 1270–1279.
- 166. Choi, S.; Bonyani, M.; Sun, G.-J.; Lee, J.K.; Hyun, S.K.; Lee, C. Cr2O3 nanoparticle-functionalized WO3 nanorods for e thanol gas sensors. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2018, 432, 241–249.
- 167. Shankar, P.; Rayappan, J.B.B. Room temperature ethanol sensing properties of ZnO nanorods prepared using an elect rospinning technique. J. Mater. Chem. C 2017, 5, 10869–10880.
- 168. Zhao, S.; Shen, Y.; Yan, X.; Zhou, P.; Yin, Y.; Lu, R.; Han, C.; Cui, B.; Wei, D. Complex-surfactant-assisted hydrotherma I synthesis of one-dimensional ZnO nanorods for high-performance ethanol gas sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 286, 5 01–511.
- 169. Cao, P.; Yang, Z.; Navale, S.T.; Han, S.; Liu, X.; Liu, W.; Lu, Y.; Stadler, F.J.; Zhu, D. Ethanol sensing behavior of Pd-na noparticles decorated ZnO-nanorod based chemiresistive gas sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 298, 126850.
- Yang, X.; Zhang, S.; Yu, Q.; Zhao, L.; Sun, P.; Wang, T.; Liu, F.; Yan, X.; Gao, Y.; Liang, X.; et al. One step synthesis of branched SnO2/ZnO heterostructures and their enhanced gas-sensing properties. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 281, 415–4 23.
- 171. Perfecto, T.M.; Zito, C.A.; Mazon, T.; Volanti, D.P. Flexible room-temperature volatile organic compound sensors based on reduced graphene oxide–WO3·0.33H2O nano-needles. J. Mater. Chem. C 2018, 6, 2822–2829.

- 172. Zhao, Y.; Li, Y.; Wan, W.; Ren, X.; Zhao, H. Surface defect and gas-sensing performance of the well-aligned Sm-doped SnO2 nanoarrays. Mater. Lett. 2018, 218, 22–26.
- 173. Han, T.; Ma, S.Y.; Xu, X.L.; Xu, X.H.; Pei, S.T.; Tie, Y.; Cao, P.F.; Liu, W.W.; Wang, B.J.; Zhang, R.; et al. Rough SmFeO 3 nanofibers as an optimization ethylene glycol gas sensor prepared by electrospinning. Mater. Lett. 2020, 268, 12757 5.
- 174. Feng, C.; Kou, X.; Chen, B.; Qian, G.; Sun, Y.; Lu, G. One-pot synthesis of in doped NiO nanofibers and their gas sensi ng properties. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 253, 584–591.
- 175. Liu, Y.; Yang, P.; Li, J.; Matras-Postolek, K.; Yue, Y.; Huang, B. Formation of SiO2@SnO2 core-shell nanofibers and th eir gas sensing properties. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 13371–13376.
- 176. Jun, L.; Chen, Q.; Fu, W.; Yang, Y.; Zhu, W.; Zhang, J. Electrospun Yb-Doped In2O3 Nanofiber Field-Effect Transistors for Highly Sensitive Ethanol Sensors. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 38425–38434.
- 177. Liu, Y.; Yang, P.; Li, J.; Matras-Postolek, K.; Yue, Y.; Huang, B. Formation of SiO2@SnO2 core–shell nanofibers and th eir gas sensing properties. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 13371–13376.
- 178. Alali, K.T.; Lu, Z.; Zhang, H.; Liu, J.; Liu, Q.; Li, R.; Aljebawi, K.; Wang, J. P–p heterojunction CuO/CuCo2O4 nanotubes synthesized via electrospinning technology for detecting n-propanol gas at room temperature. Inorg. Chem. Front. 201 7, 4, 1219–1230.
- 179. Su, C.; Zhang, L.; Han, Y.; Ren, C.; Zeng, M.; Zhou, Z.; Su, Y.; Hu, N.; Wei, H.; Yang, Z. Controllable synthesis of heter ostructured CuO–NiO nanotubes and their synergistic effect for glycol gas sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 304, 1273 47.
- Zhang, L.; He, J.; Jiao, W. Synthesis and gas sensing performance of NiO decorated SnO2 vertical-standing nanotubes composite thin films. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 281, 326–334.
- 181. Zhao, C.; Gong, H.; Niu, G.; Wang, F. Electrospun Ca-doped In2O3 nanotubes for ethanol detection with enhanced sen sitivity and selectivity. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 299, 126946.
- 182. Bai, J.; Wang, Q.; Wang, Y.; Cheng, X.; Yang, Z.; Gu, X.; Huang, B.; Sun, G.; Zhang, Z.; Pan, X.; et al. Role of nickel do pant on gas response and selectivity of electrospun indium oxide nanotubes. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2020, 560, 447–4 57.
- 183. Wang, Q.; Bai, J.; Hu, Q.; Hao, J.; Cheng, X.; Li, J.; Xie, E.; Wang, Y.; Pan, X. W-doped NiO as a material for selective r esistive ethanol sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 308, 127668.
- 184. Li, Y.; Yang, H.; Tian, J.; Hu, X.; Cui, H. Synthesis of In2O3 nanoparticle/TiO2 nanobelt heterostructures for near room t emperature ethanol sensing. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 11503–11509.
- 185. Mo, Y.; Tan, Z.; Sun, L.; Lu, Y.; Liu, X. Ethanol-sensing properties of α-MoO3 nanobelts synthesized by hydrothermal m ethod. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 812, 152166.
- 186. Yang, S.; Liu, Y.; Chen, T.; Jin, W.; Yang, T.; Cao, M.; Liu, S.; Zhou, J.; Zakharova, G.S.; Chen, W. Zn doped MoO3 nan obelts and the enhanced gas sensing properties to ethanol. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2017, 393, 377–384.
- 187. Wang, M.; Hou, T.; Shen, Z.; Zhao, X.; Ji, H. MOF-derived Fe2O3: Phase control and effects of phase composition on g as sensing performance. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 292, 171–179.
- 188. Nguyen, T.T.D.; Choi, H.-N.; Ahemad, M.J.; Van Dao, D.; Lee, I.-H.; Yu, Y.-T. Hydrothermal synthesis of In2O3 nanocub es for highly responsive and selective ethanol gas sensing. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 820, 153133.
- 189. Zhu, L.; Zeng, W.; Li, Y.; Yang, J. Enhanced ethanol gas-sensing property based on hollow MoO3 microcages. Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct. 2019, 106, 170–175.
- 190. Zhang, X.; Lan, W.; Xu, J.; Luo, Y.; Pan, J.; Liao, C.; Yang, L.; Tan, W.; Huang, X. ZIF-8 derived hierarchical hollow ZnO nanocages with quantum dots for sensitive ethanol gas detection. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 289, 144–152.
- 191. Zhang, J.; Lu, H.; Zhang, L.; Leng, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, W.; Gao, Y.; Lu, H.; Gao, J.; Zhu, G.; et al. Metal–organic fram ework-derived ZnO hollow nanocages functionalized with nanoscale Ag catalysts for enhanced ethanol sensing propert ies. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 291, 458–469.
- 192. Zhou, S.; Chen, M.; Lu, Q.; Hu, J.; Wang, H.; Li, K.; Li, K.; Zhang, J.; Zhu, Z.; Liu, Q. Design of hollow dodecahedral Cu 2O nanocages for ethanol gas sensing. Mater. Lett. 2019, 247, 15–18.
- 193. Cao, F.; Li, C.; Li, M.; Li, H.; Huang, X.; Yang, B. Direct growth of Al-doped ZnO ultrathin nanosheets on electrode for et hanol gas sensor application. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2018, 447, 173–181.
- 194. Niu, G.; Zhao, C.; Gong, H.; Yang, Z.; Leng, X.; Wang, F. NiO nanoparticle-decorated SnO2 nanosheets for ethanol sen sing with enhanced moisture resistance. Microsyst. Nanoeng. 2019, 5, 21.

- 195. Liu, X.; Sun, Y.; Yu, M.; Yin, Y.; Du, B.; Tang, W.; Jiang, T.; Yang, B.; Cao, W.; Ashfold, M.N.R. Enhanced ethanol sensin g properties of ultrathin ZnO nanosheets decorated with CuO nanoparticles. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 255, 3384–3390.
- 196. Bharatula, L.D.; Erande, M.B.; Mulla, I.S.; Rout, C.S.; Late, D.J. SnS2 nanoflakes for efficient humidity and alcohol sen sing at room temperature. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 105421–105427.
- 197. Liu, X.-H.; Yin, P.-F.; Kulinich, S.A.; Zhou, Y.-Z.; Mao, J.; Ling, T.; Du, X.-W. Arrays of Ultrathin CdS Nanoflakes with Hig h-Energy Surface for Efficient Gas Detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 602–609.
- 198. Darvishnejad, M.H.; Anaraki Firooz, A.; Beheshtian, J.; Khodadadi, A.A. Highly sensitive and selective ethanol and acet one gas sensors by adding some dopants (Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) onto hexagonal ZnO plates. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 7838–7845.
- 199. Chen, Y.; Li, H.; Ma, Q.; Che, Q.; Wang, J.; Wang, G.; Yang, P. ZIF-8 derived hexagonal-like α-Fe2O3/ZnO/Au nanoplat es with tunable surface heterostructures for superior ethanol gas-sensing performance. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2018, 439, 649 –659.
- 200. Phuoc, L.H.; Tho, D.D.; Dung, N.T.; Hien, V.X.; Vuong, D.D.; Chien, N.D. Enhancement of ethanol-sensing properties of ZnO nanoplates by UV illumination. Bull. Mater. Sci. 2019, 42, 72.
- 201. An, D.; Mao, N.; Deng, G.; Zou, Y.; Li, Y.; Wei, T.; Lian, X. Ethanol gas-sensing characteristic of the Zn2SnO4 nanosph eres. Ceram. Inter. 2016, 42, 3535–3541.
- 202. Wang, C.; Kou, X.; Xie, N.; Guo, L.; Sun, Y.; Chuai, X.; Ma, J.; Sun, P.; Wang, Y.; Lu, G. Detection of Methanol with Fast Response by Monodispersed Indium Tungsten Oxide Ellipsoidal Nanospheres. ACS Sens. 2017, 2, 648–654.
- 203. Liu, X.; Sun, X.; Duan, X.; Zhang, C.; Zhao, K.; Xu, X. Core-shell Ag@In2O3 hollow hetero-nanostructures for selective ethanol detection in air. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 305, 127450.
- 204. Yin, Y.; Shen, Y.; Zhou, P.; Lu, R.; Li, A.; Zhao, S.; Liu, W.; Wei, D.; Wei, K. Fabrication, characterization and n-propanol sensing properties of perovskite-type ZnSnO3 nanospheres based gas sensor. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2020, 509, 145335.
- 205. Han, B.; Liu, X.; Xing, X.; Chen, N.; Xiao, X.; Liu, S.; Wang, Y. A high response butanol gas sensor based on ZnO hollo w spheres. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 237, 423–430.
- 206. Yang, H.M.; Ma, S.Y.; Yang, G.J.; Jin, W.X.; Wang, T.T.; Jiang, X.H.; Li, W.Q. High sensitive and low concentration dete ction of methanol by a gas sensor based on one-step synthesis α-Fe2O3 hollow spheres. Mater. Lett. 2016, 169, 73–7
 6.
- 207. Acharyya, D.; Huang, K.Y.; Chattopadhyay, P.P.; Ho, M.S.; Fecht, H.J.; Bhattacharyya, P. Hybrid 3D structures of ZnO n anoflowers and PdO nanoparticles as a highly selective methanol sensor. Analyst 2016, 141, 2977–2989.
- 208. Carbone, M.; Tagliatesta, P. NiO Grained-Flowers and Nanoparticles for Ethanol Sensing. Materials 2020, 13, 1880.
- 209. Li, B.; Xia, J.; Liu, J.; Liu, Q.; Huang, G.; Zhang, H.; Jing, X.; Li, R.; Wang, J. RGO nanosheets modified NiCo2S4 nano flowers for improved ethanol sensing performance at low temperature. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2018, 703, 80–85.
- 210. Maity, I.; Bhattacharyya, P. Potentiallity of Surface Modified TiO2 Nanoflowers for Alcohol Sensing Application. In Proce edings of the 2019 2nd International Symposium on Devices, Circuits and Systems (ISDCS), Higashi-Hiroshima, Japa n, 6–8 March 2019; pp. 1–4.
- 211. Xing, X.; Li, Y.; Deng, D.; Chen, N.; Liu, X.; Xiao, X.; Wang, Y. Ag-Functionalized macro-/mesoporous AZO synthesized by solution combustion for VOCs gas sensing application. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 101304–101312.
- 212. Xing, X.; Chen, T.; Li, Y.; Deng, D.; Xiao, X.; Wang, Y. Flash synthesis of Al-doping macro-/nanoporous ZnO from self-s ustained decomposition of Zn-based complex for superior gas-sensing application to n-butanol. Sens. Actuators B 201 6, 237, 90–98.
- 213. Wang, Y.; Zhang, B.; Liu, J.; Yang, Q.; Cui, X.; Gao, Y.; Chuai, X.; Liu, F.; Sun, P.; Liang, X.; et al. Au-loaded mesoporou s WO3: Preparation and n-butanol sensing performances. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 236, 67–76.
- 214. Wang, Z.; Tian, Z.; Han, D.; Gu, F. Highly Sensitive and Selective Ethanol Sensor Fabricated with In-Doped 3DOM Zn O. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 5466–5474.
- 215. Saboor, F.H.; Khodadadi, A.A.; Mortazavi, Y.; Asgari, M. Microemulsion synthesized silica/ZnO stable core/shell sensor s highly selective to ethanol with minimum sensitivity to humidity. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 238, 1070–1083.
- 216. Tomer, V.K.; Malik, R.; Kailasam, K. Near-Room-Temperature Ethanol Detection Using Ag-Loaded Mesoporous Carbon Nitrides. ACS Omega 2017, 2, 3658–3668.
- 217. Xiao, L.; Xu, S.; Yu, G.; Liu, S. Efficient hierarchical mixed Pd/SnO2 porous architecture deposited microheater for low power ethanol gas sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 255, 2002–2010.
- 218. Zhang, X.; Xu, G.; Wang, H.; Cui, H.; Zhan, X.; Sang, L.; Zhang, G. Preparation of meso-porous SnO2 fibers with enha nced sensitivity for n-butanol. Ceram. Int. 2018, 44, 4990–4995.

- 219. Zhao, T.; Qiu, P.; Fan, Y.; Yang, J.; Jiang, W.; Wang, L.; Deng, Y.; Luo, W. Hierarchical Branched Mesoporous TiO2–Sn O2 Nanocomposites with Well-Defined n–n Heterojunctions for Highly Efficient Ethanol Sensing. Adv. Sci. 2019, 6, 190 2008.
- 220. Mo, Y.; Shi, F.; Qin, S.; Tang, P.; Feng, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Li, D. Facile Fabrication of Mesoporous Hierarchical Co-Doped ZnO for Highly Sensitive Ethanol Detection. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 2019, 58, 8061–8071.
- 221. Wei, Q.; Song, P.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Q. Hierarchical assembly of Fe2O3 nanorods on SnO2 nanospheres with enhanced ethanol sensing properties. Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct. 2018, 103, 156–163.
- 222. Li, H.; Zhu, D.; Yang, Z.; Lu, W.; Pu, Y. The ethanol-sensitive property of hierarchical MoO3-mixed SnO2 aerogels via f acile ambient pressure drying. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2019, 489, 384–391.
- 223. Wang, X.; Liu, F.; Chen, X.; Song, X.; Xu, G.; Han, Y.; Tian, J.; Cui, H. In2O3 Nanoparticles Decorated ZnO Hierarchical Structures for n-Butanol Sensor. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2020, 3, 3295–3304.
- 224. Wang, L.L.; Li, Z.J.; Luo, L.; Zhao, C.Z.; Kang, L.P.; Liu, D.W. Methanol sensing properties of honeycomb-like SnO2 gro wn on silicon nanoporous pillar array. J. Alloys Compd. 2016, 682, 170–175.
- 225. Jiao, Z.; Wang, Y.; Ying, M.; Xu, J.; Xu, L.; Zhang, H. Copolymer-assisted fabrication of rambutan-like SnO2 hierarchica I nanostructure with enhanced sensitivity for n-butanol. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2016, 172, 113–120.
- 226. Lupan, O.; Postica, V.; Gröttrup, J.; Mishra, A.K.; de Leeuw, N.H.; Carreira, J.F.C.; Rodrigues, J.; Ben Sedrine, N.; Corr eia, M.R.; Monteiro, T.; et al. Hybridization of Zinc Oxide Tetrapods for Selective Gas Sensing Applications. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 4084–4099.
- 227. Zhao, R.; Wang, Z.; Yang, Y.; Xing, X.; Zou, T.; Wang, Z.; Wang, Y. Raspberry-like SnO2 hollow nanostructure as a high response sensing material of gas sensor toward n-butanol gas. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 2018, 120, 173–182.
- 228. Li, Y. A novel snowflake-like SnO2 hierarchical architecture with superior gas sensing properties. Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct. 2018, 96, 54–56.
- 229. Wang, C.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, X.; Sun, Y.; Liu, F.; Yan, X.; Wang, C.; Sun, P.; Geyu, L. Fast detection of alcohols by novel s ea cucumber-like indium tungsten oxide. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 319, 128158.
- 230. Zhao, Y.; Liu, Y.; Ma, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhang, J.; Ren, X.; Li, C.; Zhao, J.; Zhu, J.; Zhao, H. Hollow Pentagonal-Cone-Structure d SnO2 Architectures Assembled with Nanorod Arrays for Low-Temperature Ethanol Sensing. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2 020, 3, 7720–7731.
- 231. Qi, T.; Yang, X.; Sun, J. Neck-connected ZnO films derived from core-shell zeolitic imidazolate framework-8 (ZIF-8)@Z nO for highly sensitive ethanol gas sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 283, 93–98.
- 232. Zhang, H.; Yi, J. Enhanced ethanol gas sensing performance of ZnO nanoflowers decorated with LaMnO3 perovskite n anoparticles. Mater. Lett. 2018, 216, 196–198.
- 233. Li, Y.; Deng, D.; Xing, X.; Chen, N.; Liu, X.; Xiao, X.; Wang, Y. A high performance methanol gas sensor based on palla dium-platinum-In2O3 composited nanocrystalline SnO2. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 237, 133–141.
- 234. Zito, C.A.; Perfecto, T.M.; Volanti, D.P. Impact of reduced graphene oxide on the ethanol sensing performance of hollow SnO2 nanoparticles under humid atmosphere. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 244, 466–474.
- 235. Muthukumaravel, C.; Karunakaran, U.; Mangamma, G. Local grain-to-grain conductivity in an SnO2–V2O5 nanocompo site ethanol sensor. Nanotechnology 2020, 31, 344001.
- Postica, V.; Hölken, I.; Schneider, V.; Kaidas, V.; Polonskyi, O.; Cretu, V.; Tiginyanu, I.; Faupel, F.; Adelung, R.; Lupan, O. Multifunctional device based on ZnO:Fe nanostructured films with enhanced UV and ultra-fast ethanol vapour sensi ng. Mater. Sci. Semicond. Proc. 2016, 49, 20–33.
- 237. Cao, J.; Qin, C.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, B.; Gong, Y.; Wang, X.; Sun, G.; Bala, H.; Zhang, Z. Synthesis of g-C3N4 nanosheet modified SnO2 composites with improved performance for ethanol gas sensing. RSC Adv. 2017, 7, 25504–2 5511.
- 238. Li, L.; Zhang, C.; Zhang, R.; Gao, X.; He, S.; Liu, M.; Li, X.; Chen, W. 2D ultrathin Co3O4 nanosheet array deposited on 3D carbon foam for enhanced ethanol gas sensing application. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 244, 664–672.
- 239. Kukkar, D.; Vellingiri, K.; Kaur, R.; Bhardwaj, S.K.; Deep, A.; Kim, K.-H. Nanomaterials for sensing of formaldehyde in ai r: Principles, applications, and performance evaluation. Nano Res. 2019, 12, 225–246.
- 240. Gu, F.; Li, C.; Han, D.; Wang, Z. Manipulating the Defect Structure (VO) of In2O3 Nanoparticles for Enhancement of Fo rmaldehyde Detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 933–942.
- 241. Hussain, M.; Kotova, K.; Lieberzeit, P.A. Molecularly Imprinted Polymer Nanoparticles for Formaldehyde Sensing with QCM. Sensors 2016, 16, 1011.

- 242. Xiang, D.L.; Hou, S.M.; Tong, D.G. Amorphous Eu0.9Ni0.1B6 Nanoparticles for Formaldehyde Vapor Detection. ACS A ppl. Nano Mater. 2019, 2, 4048–4052.
- 243. Hu, J.; Wang, T.; Wang, Y.; Huang, D.; He, G.; Han, Y.; Hu, N.; Su, Y.; Zhou, Z.; Zhang, Y.; et al. Enhanced formaldehyd e detection based on Ni doping of SnO2 nanoparticles by one-step synthesis. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 263, 120–128.
- 244. Prajesh, R.; Goyal, V.; Nahid, M.; Saini, V.; Singh, A.K.; Sharma, A.K.; Bhargava, J.; Agarwal, A. Nickel oxide (NiO) thin film optimization by reactive sputtering for highly sensitive formaldehyde sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 318, 12816
 6.
- 245. Castro-Hurtado, I.; Gonzalez-Chávarri, J.; Morandi, S.; Samà, J.; Romano-Rodríguez, A.; Castaño, E.; Mandayo, G.G. Formaldehyde sensing mechanism of SnO2 nanowires grown on-chip by sputtering techniques. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 185 58–18566.
- 246. Zhu, L.-Y.; Yuan, K.; Yang, J.-G.; Ma, H.-P.; Wang, T.; Ji, X.-M.; Feng, J.-J.; Devi, A.; Lu, H.-L. Fabrication of heterostruc tured p-CuO/n-SnO2 core-shell nanowires for enhanced sensitive and selective formaldehyde detection. Sens. Actuato rs B 2019, 290, 233–241.
- 247. Chen, L.; Cui, J.; Sheng, X.; Xie, T.; Xu, T.; Feng, X. High-Performance Photoelectronic Sensor Using Mesostructured ZnO Nanowires. ACS Sens. 2017, 2, 1567–1572.
- 248. Song, L.; Luo, L.; Xi, Y.; Song, J.; Wang, Y.; Yang, L.; Wang, A.; Chen, Y.; Han, N.; Wang, F. Reduced Graphene Oxide-Coated Si Nanowires for Highly Sensitive and Selective Detection of Indoor Formaldehyde. Nanoscale Res. Lett. 2019, 14, 97.
- 249. Wang, Z.; Hou, C.; De, Q.; Gu, F.; Han, D. One-Step Synthesis of Co-Doped In2O3 Nanorods for High Response of Fo rmaldehyde Sensor at Low Temperature. ACS Sens. 2018, 3, 468–475.
- 250. Zhang, X.; Song, D.; Liu, Q.; Chen, R.; Hou, J.; Liu, J.; Zhang, H.; Yu, J.; Liu, P.; Wang, J. Designed synthesis of Ag-fun ctionalized Ni-doped In2O3 nanorods with enhanced formaldehyde gas sensing properties. J. Mater. Chem. C 2019, 7, 7219–7229.
- 251. Zhang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, J.; Zhu, Z.; Liu, Q. Ag–LaFeO3 fibers, spheres, and cages for ultrasensitive detection of for maldehyde at low operating temperatures. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 6973–6980.
- 252. Wei, W.; Guo, S.; Chen, C.; Sun, L.; Chen, Y.; Guo, W.; Ruan, S. High sensitive and fast formaldehyde gas sensor bas ed on Ag-doped LaFeO3 nanofibers. J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 695, 1122–1127.
- 253. Gao, X.; Li, F.; Wang, R.; Zhang, T. A formaldehyde sensor: Significant role of p-n heterojunction in gas-sensitive core-s hell nanofibers. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 258, 1230–1241.
- 254. Li, H.; Chu, S.; Ma, Q.; Wang, J.; Che, Q.; Wang, G.; Yang, P. Hierarchical WO3/ZnWO4 1D fibrous heterostructures wi th tunable in-situ growth of WO3 nanoparticles on surface for efficient low concentration HCHO detection. Sens. Actuat ors B 2019, 286, 564–574.
- 255. Tie, Y.; Ma, S.Y.; Pei, S.T.; Zhang, Q.X.; Zhu, K.M.; Zhang, R.; Xu, X.H.; Han, T.; Liu, W.W. Pr doped BiFeO3 hollow na nofibers via electrospinning method as a formaldehyde sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 308, 127689.
- 256. Liang, Q.; Zou, X.; Chen, H.; Fan, M.; Li, G.-D. High-performance formaldehyde sensing realized by alkaline-earth met als doped In2O3 nanotubes with optimized surface properties. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 304, 127241.
- 257. Li, S.; Liu, Y.; Wu, Y.; Chen, W.; Qin, Z.; Gong, N.; Yu, D. Highly sensitive formaldehyde resistive sensor based on a sin gle Er-doped SnO2 nanobelt. Phys. B Condens. Matter 2016, 489, 33–38.
- 258. Fu, X.; Yang, P.; Xiao, X.; Zhou, D.; Huang, R.; Zhang, X.; Cao, F.; Xiong, J.; Hu, Y.; Tu, Y.; et al. Ultra-fast and highly s elective room-temperature formaldehyde gas sensing of Pt-decorated MoO3 nanobelts. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 797, 6 66–675.
- Zhou, T.; Zhang, T.; Zhang, R.; Lou, Z.; Deng, J.; Wang, L. Hollow ZnSnO3 Cubes with Controllable Shells Enabling Hi ghly Efficient Chemical Sensing Detection of Formaldehyde Vapors. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 14525–1453
 3.
- 260. Du, L.; Gu, K.; Zhu, M.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, M. Perovskite-type ZnSn(OH)6 hollow cubes with controllable shells for enha nced formaldehyde sensing performance at low temperature. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 288, 298–306.
- Zhang, N.; Ruan, S.; Qu, F.; Yin, Y.; Li, X.; Wen, S.; Adimi, S.; Yin, J. Metal–organic framework-derived Co3O4/CoFe2O 4 double-shelled nanocubes for selective detection of sub-ppm-level formaldehyde. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 298, 1268 87.
- Cao, Y.; He, Y.; Zou, X.; Li, G.-D. Tungsten oxide clusters decorated ultrathin In2O3 nanosheets for selective detecting f ormaldehyde. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 252, 232–238.

- 263. Xu, R.; Zhang, L.-X.; Li, M.-W.; Yin, Y.-Y.; Yin, J.; Zhu, M.-Y.; Chen, J.-J.; Wang, Y.; Bie, L.-J. Ultrathin SnO2 nanosheet s with dominant high-energy {001} facets for low temperature formaldehyde gas sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 289, 186–194.
- 264. Kim, E.-B.; Seo, H.-K. Highly Sensitive Formaldehyde Detection Using Well-Aligned Zinc Oxide Nanosheets Synthesiz ed by Chemical Bath Deposition Technique. Materials 2019, 12, 250. [PubMed]
- 265. Gu, F.; Di, M.; Han, D.; Hong, S.; Wang, Z. Atomically Dispersed Au on In2O3 Nanosheets for Highly Sensitive and Sel ective Detection of Formaldehyde. ACS Sens. 2020, 5, 2611–2619.
- 266. Li, G.; Cheng, Z.; Xiang, Q.; Yan, L.; Wang, X.; Xu, J. Bimetal PdAu decorated SnO2 nanosheets based gas sensor wit h temperature-dependent dual selectivity for detecting formaldehyde and acetone. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 283, 590–6 01.
- 267. Kim, E.-B.; Seo, H.-K. Highly Sensitive Formaldehyde Detection Using Well-Aligned Zinc Oxide Nanosheets Synthesiz ed by Chemical Bath Deposition Technique. Materials 2019, 12, 250.
- 268. Hayashi, K.; Kataoka, M.; Jippo, H.; Ohfuchi, M.; Sato, S. Vacancy-Assisted Selective Detection of Low-ppb Formaldeh yde in Two-Dimensional Layered SnS2. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 12207–12214.
- 269. Hussain, S.; Liu, T.; Javed, M.S.; Aslam, N.; Zeng, W. Highly reactive 0D ZnS nanospheres and nanoparticles for forma Idehyde gas-sensing properties. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 239, 1243–1250.
- 270. Zhang, R.; Ma, S.Y.; Zhang, Q.X.; Zhu, K.M.; Tie, Y.; Pei, S.T.; Wang, B.J.; Zhang, J.L. Highly sensitive formaldehyde g as sensors based on Ag doped Zn2SnO4/SnO2 hollow nanospheres. Mater. Lett. 2019, 254, 178–181.
- 271. Zhang, N.; Lu, Y.; Fan, Y.; Zhou, J.; Li, X.; Adimi, S.; Liu, C.; Ruan, S. Metal–organic framework-derived ZnO/ZnCo2O4 microspheres modified by catalytic PdO nanoparticles for sub-ppm-level formaldehyde detection. Sens. Actuators B 20 20, 315, 128118.
- 272. Hu, J.; Wang, H.; Chen, M.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, X.; Zhang, D.; Lu, Q.; Zhang, J.; Liu, Q. Constructing hierarchical SnO2 n anoflowers for enhanced formaldehyde sensing performances. Mater. Lett. 2020, 263, 126843.
- 273. Yin, F.; Li, Y.; Yue, W.; Gao, S.; Zhang, C.; Chen, Z. Sn3O4/rGO heterostructure as a material for formaldehyde gas se nsor with a wide detecting range and low operating temperature. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 312, 127954.
- 274. Zhang, S.; Song, P.; Li, J.; Zhang, J.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Q. Facile approach to prepare hierarchical Au-loaded In2O3 poro us nanocubes and their enhanced sensing performance towards formaldehyde. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 241, 1130–11 38.
- 275. Xing, X.; Xiao, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, Y. Highly sensitive formaldehyde gas sensor based on hierarchically porous Ag-loa ded ZnO heterojunction nanocomposites. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 247, 797–806.
- 276. Malik, R.; Tomer, V.K.; Dankwort, T.; Mishra, Y.K.; Kienle, L. Cubic mesoporous Pd–WO3 loaded graphitic carbon nitrid e (g-CN) nanohybrids: Highly sensitive and temperature dependent VOC sensors. J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, 6, 10718–1 0730.
- 277. Wang, D.; Tian, L.; Li, H.; Wan, K.; Yu, X.; Wang, P.; Chen, A.; Wang, X.; Yang, J. Mesoporous Ultrathin SnO2 Nanoshe ets in Situ Modified by Graphene Oxide for Extraordinary Formaldehyde Detection at Low Temperatures. ACS Appl. Ma ter. Interfaces 2019, 11, 12808–12818.
- 278. Wang, B.; Yu, J.; Li, X.; Yin, J.; Chen, M. Synthesis and high formaldehyde sensing properties of quasi two-dimensional mesoporous ZnSnO3 nanomaterials. RSC Adv. 2019, 9, 14809–14816.
- 279. Yang, K.; Ma, J.; Qiao, X.; Cui, Y.; Jia, L.; Wang, H. Hierarchical porous LaFeO3 nanostructure for efficient trace detecti on of formaldehyde. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 313, 128022.
- 280. Zhang, R.; Ma, S.Y.; Zhang, J.L.; Wang, B.J.; Pei, S.T. Enhanced formaldehyde gas sensing performance based on Bi doped Zn2SnO4/SnO2 porous nanospheres. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 828, 154408.
- 281. Chen, H.; Li, C.; Zhang, X.; Yang, W. ZnO nanoplates with abundant porosity for significant formaldehyde-sensing. Mat er. Lett. 2020, 260, 126982.
- 282. Liu, D.; Wan, J.; Wang, H.; Pang, G.; Tang, Z. Mesoporous Au@ZnO flower-like nanostructure for enhanced formaldeh yde sensing performance. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2019, 102, 203–209.
- 283. Zhang, B.; Li, Y.; Luo, N.; Xu, X.; Sun, G.; Wang, Y.; Cao, J. TiO2/ZnCo2O4 porous nanorods: Synthesis and temperatu re-dependent dual selectivity for sensing HCHO and TEA. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 321, 128461.
- 284. Shu, S.; Wang, M.; Yang, W.; Liu, S. Synthesis of surface layered hierarchical octahedral-like structured Zn2SnO4/SnO 2 with excellent sensing properties toward HCHO. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 243, 1171–1180.
- 285. Huang, S.; Cheng, B.; Yu, J.; Jiang, C. Hierarchical Pt/MnO2–Ni(OH)2 Hybrid Nanoflakes with Enhanced Room-Tempe rature Formaldehyde Oxidation Activity. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2018, 6, 12481–12488.

- 286. Wang, D.; Wan, K.; Zhang, M.; Li, H.; Wang, P.; Wang, X.; Yang, J. Constructing hierarchical SnO2 nanofiber/nanoshee ts for efficient formaldehyde detection. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 283, 714–723.
- 287. Wan, K.; Wang, D.; Wang, F.; Li, H.; Xu, J.; Wang, X.; Yang, J. Hierarchical In2O3@SnO2 Core-Shell Nanofiber for Hig h Efficiency Formaldehyde Detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 45214–45225.
- 288. Yu, H.; Yang, T.; Wang, Z.; Li, Z.; Xiao, B.; Zhao, Q.; Zhang, M. Facile synthesis cedar-like SnO2 hierarchical micro-nan ostructures with improved formaldehyde gas sensing characteristics. J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 724, 121–129.
- 289. Tao, Z.; Li, Y.; Zhang, B.; Sun, G.; Xiao, M.; Bala, H.; Cao, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Y. Synthesis of urchin-like In2O3 hollow spheres for selective and quantitative detection of formaldehyde. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 298, 126889.
- 290. Li, C.; Liu, Y.; Wan, W.; Li, Y.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, J.; Ren, X.; Zhao, H. Hydrothermal synthesis of novel porous butterfly-like hierarchical SnO2 architecture with excellent gas-sensing performance to acetaldehyde. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 318, 128209.
- 291. Wang, B.J.; Ma, S.Y.; Pei, S.T.; Xu, X.L.; Cao, P.F.; Zhang, J.L.; Zhang, R.; Xu, X.H.; Han, T. High specific surface area SnO2 prepared by calcining Sn-MOFs and their formaldehyde-sensing characteristics. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 321, 12 8560.
- 292. Xu, Y.; Tian, X.; Fan, Y.; Sun, Y. A formaldehyde gas sensor with improved gas response and sub-ppm level detection li mit based on NiO/NiFe2O4 composite nanotetrahedrons. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 309, 127719.
- 293. Bo, Z.; Yuan, M.; Mao, S.; Chen, X.; Yan, J.; Cen, K. Decoration of vertical graphene with tin dioxide nanoparticles for h ighly sensitive room temperature formaldehyde sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 256, 1011–1020.
- 294. Wang, J.; Zhan, D.; Wang, K.; Hang, W. The detection of formaldehyde using microelectromechanical acoustic resonat or with multiwalled carbon nanotubes-polyethyleneimine composite coating. J. Micromech. Microeng. 2017, 28, 01500 3.
- 295. Nasriddinov, A.; Rumyantseva, M.; Marikutsa, A.; Gaskov, A.; Lee, J.-H.; Kim, J.-H.; Kim, J.-Y.; Kim, S.S.; Kim, H.W. Su b-ppm Formaldehyde Detection by n-n TiO2@SnO2 Nanocomposites. Sensors 2019, 19, 3182.
- 296. Yang, Y.; Wang, X.; Yi, G.; Li, H.; Shi, C.; Sun, G.; Zhang, Z. Hydrothermal Synthesis of Co3O4/ZnO Hybrid Nanoparticl es for Triethylamine Detection. Nanomaterials 2019, 9, 1599.
- 297. Zhu, M.; Yang, T.; Zhai, C.; Du, L.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, M. Fast triethylamine gas sensing response properties of Ho-dope d SnO2 nanoparticles. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 817, 152724.
- 298. Liu, H.; Cao, X.; Wu, H.; Li, B.; Li, Y.; Zhu, W.; Yang, Z.; Huang, Y. Innovative development on a p-type delafossite CuC rO2 nanoparticles based triethylamine sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 324, 128743.
- 299. Xu, Y.; Ma, T.; Zhao, Y.; Zheng, L.; Liu, X.; Zhang, J. Multi-metal functionalized tungsten oxide nanowires enabling ultrasensitive detection of triethylamine. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 300, 127042.
- 300. Wang, L.; Li, J.; Wang, Y.; Yu, K.; Tang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, S.; Wei, C. Construction of 1D SnO2-coated ZnO nanowir e heterojunction for their improved n-butylamine sensing performances. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 35079.
- 301. Zhang, H.; Luo, Y.; Zhuo, M.; Yang, T.; Liang, J.; Zhang, M.; Ma, J.; Duan, H.; Li, Q. Diethylamine gas sensor using V2 O5-decorated α-Fe2O3 nanorods as a sensing material. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 6511–6515.
- 302. Liu, L.; Song, P.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Q. Highly sensitive and selective trimethylamine sensors based on WO3 nanorods de corated with Au nanoparticles. Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct. 2017, 90, 109–115.
- 303. He, K.; He, S.; Yang, W.; Tian, Q. Ag nanoparticles-decorated α-MoO3 nanorods for remarkable and rapid triethylamine -sensing response boosted by pulse-heating technique. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 808, 151704.
- 304. Li, W.; He, S.; Feng, L.; Yang, W. Cr-doped α-MoO3 nanorods for the fast detection of triethylamine using a pulse-heati ng strategy. Mater. Lett. 2019, 250, 143–146.
- 305. He, S.; Li, W.; Feng, L.; Yang, W. Rational interaction between the aimed gas and oxide surfaces enabling high-perform ance sensor: The case of acidic α-MoO3 nanorods for selective detection of triethylamine. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 783, 574–582.
- 306. Yang, C.; Xu, Y.; Zheng, L.; Zhao, Y.; Zheng, W.; Liu, X.; Zhang, J. Hierarchical NiCo2O4 microspheres assembled by n anorods with p-type response for detection of triethylamine. Chin. Chem. Lett. 2020, 31, 2077–2082.
- 307. Xu, H.; Li, W.; Han, R.; Zhai, T.; Yu, H.; Chen, Z.; Wu, X.; Wang, J.; Cao, B. Enhanced triethylamine sensing properties by fabricating Au@SnO2/α-Fe2O3 core-shell nanoneedles directly on alumina tubes. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 262, 70– 78.
- 308. Guo, L.; Wang, C.; Kou, X.; Xie, N.; Liu, F.; Zhang, H.; Liang, X.; Gao, Y.; Sun, Y.; Chuai, X.; et al. Detection of triethyla mine with fast response by Al2O3/α-Fe2O3 composite nanofibers. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 266, 139–148.

- 309. Ma, Q.; Li, H.; Chu, S.; Liu, Y.; Liu, M.; Fu, X.; Li, H.; Guo, J. In2O3 Hierarchical Structures of One-Dimensional Electro spun Fibers with in Situ Growth of Octahedron-like Particles with Superior Sensitivity for Triethylamine at Near Room T emperature. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2020, 8, 5240–5250.
- 310. Perillo, P.M.; Rodríguez, D.F. Low temperature trimethylamine flexible gas sensor based on TiO2 membrane nanotube s. J. Alloys Compd. 2016, 657, 765–769.
- 311. Paoletti, C.; He, M.; Salvo, P.; Melai, B.; Calisi, N.; Mannini, M.; Cortigiani, B.; Bellagambi, F.G.; Swager, T.M.; Di Franc esco, F.; et al. Room temperature amine sensors enabled by sidewall functionalization of single-walled carbon nanotub es. RSC Adv. 2018, 8, 5578–5585.
- 312. Galstyan, V.; Ponzoni, A.; Kholmanov, I.; Natile, M.M.; Comini, E.; Sberveglieri, G. Highly sensitive and selective detecti on of dimethylamine through Nb-doping of TiO2 nanotubes for potential use in seafood quality control. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 303, 127217.
- 313. Zhang, J.; Song, P.; Li, Z.; Zhang, S.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Q. Enhanced trimethylamine sensing performance of single-cryst al MoO3 nanobelts decorated with Au nanoparticles. J. Alloys Compd. 2016, 685, 1024–1033.
- 314. Li, Z.; Wang, W.; Zhao, Z.; Liu, X.; Song, P. Facile synthesis and enhanced trimethylamine sensing performances of Wdoped MoO3 nanobelts. Mater. Sci. Semicond. Proc. 2017, 66, 33–38.
- 315. Wei, Q.; Song, P.; Li, Z.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Q. Enhanced triethylamine sensing performance of MoO3 nanobelts by RuO2 nanoparticles decoration. Vacuum 2019, 162, 85–91.
- 316. Yang, T.; Gu, K.; Zhu, M.; Lu, Q.; Zhai, C.; Zhao, Q.; Yang, X.; Zhang, M. ZnO-SnO2 heterojunction nanobelts: Synthesi s and ultraviolet light irradiation to improve the triethylamine sensing properties. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 279, 410–41 7.
- 317. Zhang, Q.; Wang, S.; Fu, H.; Wang, Y.; Yu, K.; Wang, L. Facile Design and Hydrothermal Synthesis of In2O3 Nanocube Polycrystals with Superior Triethylamine Sensing Properties. ACS Omega 2020, 5, 11466–11472.
- 318. Chen, G.; Chu, X.; Qiao, H.; Ye, M.; Chen, J.; Gao, C.; Guo, C.-Y. Thickness controllable single-crystal WO3 nanosheet s: Highly selective sensor for triethylamine detection at room temperature. Mater. Lett. 2018, 226, 59–62.
- 319. Zhai, T.; Xu, H.; Li, W.; Yu, H.; Chen, Z.; Wang, J.; Cao, B. Low-temperature in-situ growth of SnO2 nanosheets and its high triethylamine sensing response by constructing Au-loaded ZnO/SnO2 heterostructure. J. Alloys Compd. 2018, 73 7, 603–612.
- 320. Wang, X.; Li, Y.; Li, Z.; Zhang, S.; Deng, X.; Zhao, G.; Xu, X. Highly sensitive and low working temperature detection of trace triethylamine based on TiO2 nanoparticles decorated CuO nanosheets sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 301, 12 7019.
- 321. Bi, W.; Wang, W.; Liu, S. Synthesis of Rh–SnO2 nanosheets and ultra-high triethylamine sensing performance. J. Alloy s Compd. 2020, 817, 152730.
- 322. Yan, Y.; Liu, J.; Liu, Q.; Yu, J.; Chen, R.; Zhang, H.; Song, D.; Yang, P.; Zhang, M.; Wang, J. Ag-modified hexagonal na noflakes-textured hollow octahedron Zn2SnO4 with enhanced sensing properties for triethylamine. J. Alloys Compd. 20 20, 823, 153724.
- 323. Yang, J.; Wang, S.; Zhang, L.; Dong, R.; Zhu, Z.; Gao, X. Zn2SnO4-doped SnO2 hollow spheres for phenylamine gas s ensor application. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 239, 857–864.
- 324. Xue, D.; Wang, Y.; Cao, J.; Zhang, Z. Hydrothermal Synthesis of CeO2-SnO2 Nanoflowers for Improving Triethylamine Gas Sensing Property. Nanomaterials 2018, 8, 1025.
- 325. Tomer, V.K.; Devi, S.; Malik, R.; Nehra, S.P.; Duhan, S. Highly sensitive and selective volatile organic amine (VOA) sen sors using mesoporous WO3–SnO2 nanohybrids. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 229, 321–330.
- 326. Wu, Y.-P.; Zhou, W.; Dong, W.-W.; Zhao, J.; Qiao, X.-Q.; Hou, D.-F.; Li, D.-S.; Zhang, Q.; Feng, P. Temperature-Controll ed Synthesis of Porous CuO Particles with Different Morphologies for Highly Sensitive Detection of Triethylamine. Crys t. Growth Des. 2017, 17, 2158–2165.
- 327. Zhang, S.; Song, P.; Tian, Z.; Wang, Q. Synthesis of mesoporous In2O3 nanocubes and their superior trimethylamine s ensing properties. Mater. Sci. Semicond. Proc. 2018, 75, 58–64.
- 328. Zito, C.A.; Perfecto, T.M.; Volanti, D.P. Porous CeO2 nanospheres for a room temperature triethylamine sensor under h igh humidity conditions. New J. Chem. 2018, 42, 15954–15961.
- 329. Song, X.; Xu, Q.; Zhang, T.; Song, B.; Li, C.; Cao, B. Room-temperature, high selectivity and low-ppm-level triethylamin e sensor assembled with Au decahedrons-decorated porous α-Fe2O3 nanorods directly grown on flat substrate. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 268, 170–181.

- 330. Luo, N.; Sun, G.; Zhang, B.; Li, Y.; Jin, H.; Lin, L.; Bala, H.; Cao, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Y. Improved TEA sensing perform ance of ZnCo2O4 by structure evolution from porous nanorod to single-layer nanochain. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 277, 544–554.
- 331. Zhao, Y.; Yuan, X.; Sun, Y.; Wang, Q.; Xia, X.-Y.; Tang, B. Facile synthesis of tortoise shell-like porous NiCo2O4 nanopl ate with promising triethylamine gas sensing properties. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 323, 128663.
- 332. Xu, Y.; Zheng, L.; Yang, C.; Zheng, W.; Liu, X.; Zhang, J. Oxygen Vacancies Enabled Porous SnO2 Thin Films for Highl y Sensitive Detection of Triethylamine at Room Temperature. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 20704–20713.
- 333. Wei, Q.; Sun, J.; Song, P.; Li, J.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Q. Spindle-like Fe2O3/ZnFe2O4 porous nanocomposites derived fro m metal-organic frameworks with excellent sensing performance towards triethylamine. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 317, 1 28205.
- 334. Meng, F.; Zheng, H.; Sun, Y.; Li, M.; Liu, J. Trimethylamine Sensors Based on Au-Modified Hierarchical Porous Single-Crystalline ZnO Nanosheets. Sensors 2017, 17, 1478.
- 335. Yang, T.; Du, L.; Zhai, C.; Li, Z.; Zhao, Q.; Luo, Y.; Xing, D.; Zhang, M. Ultrafast response and recovery trimethylamine sensor based on α-Fe2O3 snowflake-like hierarchical architectures. J. Alloys Compd. 2017, 718, 396–404.
- 336. Liu, F.; Chen, X.; Wang, X.; Han, Y.; Song, X.; Tian, J.; He, X.; Cui, H. Fabrication of 1D Zn2SnO4 nanowire and 2D Zn O nanosheet hybrid hierarchical structures for use in triethylamine gas sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 291, 155–16
 3.
- 337. Hou, X.; Wang, Z.; Fan, G.; Ji, H.; Yi, S.; Li, T.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Yuan, L.; Zhang, R.; et al. Hierarchical three-dimen sional MoS2/GO hybrid nanostructures for triethylamine-sensing applications with high sensitivity and selectivity. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 317, 128236.
- 338. Jin, H.; Sun, G.; Zhang, B.; Luo, N.; Li, Y.; Lin, L.; Bala, H.; Cao, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Y. Facile synthesis of Co3O4 nan ochains and their improved TEA sensing performance by decorating with Au nanoparticles. J. Alloys Compd. 2019, 77 6, 782–790.
- 339. Han, Y.; Liu, Y.; Su, C.; Chen, X.; Zeng, M.; Hu, N.; Su, Y.; Zhou, Z.; Wei, H.; Yang, Z. Sonochemical synthesis of hierar chical WO3 flower-like spheres for highly efficient triethylamine detection. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 306, 127536.
- 340. Ma, Q.; Fang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Song, J.; Fu, X.; Li, H.; Chu, S.; Chen, Y. Facile synthesis of ZnO morphological evolution with tunable growth habits: Achieving superior gas-sensing properties of hemispherical ZnO/Au heterostructures for triethyla mine. Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct. 2019, 106, 180–186.
- 341. Liu, L.; Zhao, Y.; Song, P.; Yang, Z.; Wang, Q. ppb level triethylamine detection of yolk-shell SnO2/Au/Fe2O3 nanoboxe s at low-temperature. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2019, 476, 391–401.
- 342. Tao, Z.; Li, Y.; Sun, G.; Xiao, M. Enhanced TEA sensing properties of nest-like ZnO by decoration with Au. Mater. Res. Exp. 2019, 6, 105910.
- 343. Zhang, Y.-H.; Wang, C.-N.; Gong, F.-L.; Wang, P.; Guharoy, U.; Yang, C.; Zhang, H.-L.; Fang, S.-M.; Liu, J. Ultrathin ag aric-like ZnO with Pd dopant for aniline sensor and DFT investigation. J. Hazard. Mater. 2020, 388, 122069.
- 344. Liang, Y.; Yang, Y.; Xu, K.; Yu, T.; Peng, Q.; Yao, S.; Yuan, C. Controllable preparation of faceted Co3O4 nanocrystals @MnO2 nanowires shish-kebab structures with enhanced triethylamine sensing performance. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 304, 127358.
- Peng, R.; Li, Y.; Chen, J.; Si, P.; Feng, J.; Zhang, L.; Ci, L. Reduced graphene oxide wrapped Au@ZnO core-shell struc ture for highly selective triethylamine gas sensing application at a low temperature. Sens. Actuators A 2018, 283, 128–1 33.
- 346. Liu, S.-R.; Guan, M.-Y.; Li, X.-Z.; Guo, Y. Light irradiation enhanced triethylamine gas sensing materials based on ZnO/ ZnFe2O4 composites. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 236, 350–357.
- 347. Xu, Y.; Ma, T.; Zheng, L.; Sun, L.; Liu, X.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, J. Rational design of Au/Co3O4-functionalized W18O49 holl ow heterostructures with high sensitivity and ultralow limit for triethylamine detection. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 284, 202 –212.
- 348. Liu, C.; Xu, H.; Chen, Z.; Ye, Q.; Wu, X.; Wang, J.; Cao, B. Enhanced Triethylamine Sensing Properties by Designing a n α-Fe2O3/α-MoO3 Nanostructure Directly Grown on Ceramic Tubes. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2019, 2, 6715–6725.
- 349. Li, H.; Zhang, N.; Zhao, X.; Xu, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Y. Modulation of TEA and methanol gas sensing by ion-exchange based on a sacrificial template 3D diamond-shaped MOF. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 315, 128136.
- 350. Sun, L.; Sun, J.; Han, N.; Liao, D.; Bai, S.; Yang, X.; Luo, R.; Li, D.; Chen, A. rGO decorated W doped BiVO4 novel mat erial for sensing detection of trimethylamine. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 298, 126749.

- 351. Chen, Z.; Xu, H.; Liu, C.; Cao, D.; Ye, Q.; Wu, X.; Wang, J.; Cao, B. Good triethylamine sensing properties of Au@MoS 2 nanostructures directly grown on ceramic tubes. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2020, 245, 122683.
- 352. Chen, M.; Zhang, Y.; Lv, T.; Li, K.; Zhu, Z.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, R.; Liu, Q. Ag-LaFeO3 nanoparticles using molecular impri nting technique for selective detection of xylene. Mater. Res. Bull. 2018, 107, 271–279.
- 353. Chen, M.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Li, K.; Lv, T.; Shen, K.; Zhu, Z.; Liu, Q. Facile lotus-leaf-templated synthesis and enhan ced xylene gas sensing properties of Ag-LaFeO3 nanoparticles. J. Mater. Chem. C 2018, 6, 6138–6145.
- 354. Suematsu, K.; Watanabe, K.; Tou, A.; Sun, Y.; Shimanoe, K. Ultraselective Toluene-Gas Sensor: Nanosized Gold Load ed on Zinc Oxide Nanoparticles. Anal. Chem. 2018, 90, 1959–1966.
- 355. Kang, Y.; Kim, K.; Cho, B.; Kwak, Y.; Kim, J. Highly Sensitive Detection of Benzene, Toluene, and Xylene Based on Co PP-Functionalized TiO2 Nanoparticles with Low Power Consumption. ACS Sens. 2020, 5, 754–763.
- 356. Park, M.S.; Lee, J.H.; Park, Y.; Yoo, R.; Park, S.; Jung, H.; Kim, W.; Lee, H.-S.; Lee, W. Doping effects of ZnO quantum dots on the sensitive and selective detection of acetylene for dissolved-gas analysis applications of transformer oil. Sen s. Actuators B 2019, 299, 126992.
- 357. Xu, T.; Xu, P.; Zheng, D.; Yu, H.; Li, X. Metal–Organic Frameworks for Resonant-Gravimetric Detection of Trace-Level Xylene Molecules. Anal. Chem. 2016, 88, 12234–12240.
- 358. Wang, T.; Huang, Z.; Yu, Z.; Wang, B.; Wang, H.; Sun, P.; Suo, H.; Gao, Y.; Sun, Y.; Li, T.; et al. Low operating temperat ure toluene sensor based on novel α-Fe2O3/SnO2 heterostructure nanowire arrays. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 52604–52610.
- 359. Bang, J.H.; Choi, M.S.; Mirzaei, A.; Han, S.; Lee, H.Y.; Choi, S.-W.; Kim, S.S.; Kim, H.W. Hybridization of silicon nanowi res with TeO2 branch structures and Pt nanoparticles for highly sensitive and selective toluene sensing. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2020, 525, 146620.
- 360. Dhawale, D.S.; Gujar, T.P.; Lokhande, C.D. TiO2 Nanorods Decorated with Pd Nanoparticles for Enhanced Liquefied P etroleum Gas Sensing Performance. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89, 8531–8537.
- 361. Lee, K.; Baek, D.-H.; Choi, J.; Kim, J. Suspended CoPP-ZnO nanorods integrated with micro-heaters for highly sensitiv e VOC detection. Sens. Actuators B 2018, 264, 249–254.
- 362. Qin, H.; Cao, Y.; Xie, J.; Xu, H.; Jia, D. Solid-state chemical synthesis and xylene-sensing properties of α-MoO3 arrays assembled by nanoplates. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 242, 769–776.
- 363. Qin, H.; Xie, J.; Xu, H.; Li, Y.; Cao, Y. Green solid-state chemical synthesis and excellent xylene-detecting behaviors of Y-doped α-MoO3 nanoarrays. Mater. Res. Bull. 2017, 93, 256–263.
- 364. Koo, W.-T.; Choi, S.-J.; Kim, S.-J.; Jang, J.-S.; Tuller, H.L.; Kim, I.-D. Heterogeneous Sensitization of Metal–Organic Fr amework Driven Metal@Metal Oxide Complex Catalysts on an Oxide Nanofiber Scaffold Toward Superior Gas Sensor s. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 13431–13437.
- 365. Vijayakumar, Y.; Mani, G.K.; Ponnusamy, D.; Shankar, P.; Kulandaisamy, A.J.; Tsuchiya, K.; Rayappan, J.B.B.; Ramana Reddy, M.V. V2O5 nanofibers: Potential contestant for high performance xylene sensor. J. Alloys Compd. 2018, 731, 8 05–812.
- 366. Zhao, R.; Wang, Z.; Yang, Y.; Xing, X.; Zou, T.; Wang, Z.; Hong, P.; Peng, S.; Wang, Y. Pd-Functionalized SnO2 Nanofi bers Prepared by Shaddock Peels as Bio-Templates for High Gas Sensing Performance toward Butane. Nanomaterials 2019, 9, 13.
- 367. Kwon, Y.J.; Na, H.G.; Kang, S.Y.; Choi, S.-W.; Kim, S.S.; Kim, H.W. Selective detection of low concentration toluene ga s using Pt-decorated carbon nanotubes sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 227, 157–168.
- 368. Seekaew, Y.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Phokharatkul, D.; Wongchoosuk, C. Room temperature toluene gas sensor based on TiO 2 nanoparticles decorated 3D graphene-carbon nanotube nanostructures. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 279, 69–78.
- 369. Xu, R.; Zhang, N.; Sun, L.; Chen, C.; Chen, Y.; Li, C.; Ruan, S. One-step synthesis and the enhanced xylene-sensing p roperties of Fe-doped MoO3 nanobelts. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 106364–106369.
- 370. Wang, B.; Jin, H.T.; Zheng, Z.Q.; Zhou, Y.H.; Gao, C. Low-temperature and highly sensitive C2H2 sensor based on Au decorated ZnO/In2O3 belt-tooth shape nano-heterostructures. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 244, 344–356.
- 371. Qu, F.; Jiang, H.; Yang, M. Designed formation through a metal organic framework route of ZnO/ZnCo2O4 hollow coreshell nanocages with enhanced gas sensing properties. Nanoscale 2016, 8, 16349–16356.
- 372. Li, F.; Li, C.; Zhu, L.; Guo, W.; Shen, L.; Wen, S.; Ruan, S. Enhanced toluene sensing performance of gold-functionaliz ed WO3·H2O nanosheets. Sens. Actuators B 2016, 223, 761–767.
- 373. Gautam, C.; Tiwary, C.S.; Machado, L.D.; Jose, S.; Ozden, S.; Biradar, S.; Galvao, D.S.; Sonker, R.K.; Yadav, B.C.; Vaj tai, R.; et al. Synthesis and porous h-BN 3D architectures for effective humidity and gas sensors. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 87 888–87896.

- 374. Qiu, L.; Zhang, S.; Huang, J.; Wang, C.; Zhao, R.; Qu, F.; Wang, P.; Yang, M. Highly selective and sensitive xylene sen sors based on Nb-doped NiO nanosheets. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 308, 127520.
- 375. Xia, W.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.; Chen, C. Investigation of CdO hexagonal nanoflakes synthesized by a hydrothermal method for li quefied petroleum gas detection. Anal. Methods 2016, 8, 6265–6269.
- 376. Wang, D.; Yin, Y.; Xu, P.; Wang, F.; Wang, P.; Xu, J.; Wang, X.; Li, X. The catalytic-induced sensing effect of triangular CeO2 nanoflakes for enhanced BTEX vapor detection with conventional ZnO gas sensors. J. Mater. Chem. A 2020, 8, 11188–11194.
- 377. Dong, C.; Liu, X.; Xiao, X.; Du, S.; Wang, Y. Monodisperse ZnFe2O4 nanospheres synthesized by a nonaqueous route for a highly slective low-ppm-level toluene gas sensor. Sens. Actuators B 2017, 239, 1231–1236.
- Lee, K.H.; Kim, B.-Y.; Yoon, J.-W.; Lee, J.-H. Extremely selective detection of ppb levels of indoor xylene using CoCr2O 4 hollow spheres activated by Pt doping. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 751–754.
- 379. Yao, L.; Li, Y.; Ran, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zhao, R.; Su, L.; Kong, Y.; Ma, D.; Chen, Y.; Wang, Y. Construction of novel Pd–SnO2 composite nanoporous structure as a high-response sensor for methane gas. J. Alloys Compd. 2020, 826, 154063.
- 380. Zhang, J.; Tang, P.; Liu, T.; Feng, Y.; Blackman, C.; Li, D. Facile synthesis of mesoporous hierarchical Co3O4–TiO2 p– n heterojunctions with greatly enhanced gas sensing performance. J. Mater. Chem. A 2017, 5, 10387–10397.
- 381. Sui, L.; Zhang, X.; Cheng, X.; Wang, P.; Xu, Y.; Gao, S.; Zhao, H.; Huo, L. Au-Loaded Hierarchical MoO3 Hollow Spher es with Enhanced Gas-Sensing Performance for the Detection of BTX (Benzene, Toluene, And Xylene) And the Sensin g Mechanism. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 1661–1670.
- 382. Yang, L.; Zhou, X.; Song, L.; Wang, Y.; Wu, X.; Han, N.; Chen, Y. Noble Metal/Tin Dioxide Hierarchical Hollow Spheres for Low-Concentration Breath Methane Sensing. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2018, 1, 6327–6336.
- 383. Kim, B.-Y.; Ahn, J.H.; Yoon, J.-W.; Lee, C.-S.; Kang, Y.C.; Abdel-Hady, F.; Wazzan, A.A.; Lee, J.-H. Highly Selective Xyl ene Sensor Based on NiO/NiMoO4 Nanocomposite Hierarchical Spheres for Indoor Air Monitoring. ACS Appl. Mater. In terfaces 2016, 8, 34603–34611. [PubMed]
- 384. Zhang, R.; Gao, S.; Zhou, T.; Tu, J.; Zhang, T. Facile preparation of hierarchical structure based on p-type Co3O4 as tol uene detecting sensor. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2020, 503, 144167.
- 385. Wei, Z.; Zhou, Q.; Lu, Z.; Xu, L.; Gui, Y.; Tang, C. Morphology controllable synthesis of hierarchical WO3 nanostructure s and C2H2 sensing properties. Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct. 2019, 109, 253–260.
- 386. Sonawane, N.B.; Baviskar, P.K.; Ahire, R.R.; Sankapal, B.R. CdO necklace like nanobeads decorated with PbS nanopa rticles: Room temperature LPG sensor. Mater. Chem. Phys. 2017, 191, 168–172.
- 387. Zhang, Y.; Bai, J.; Zhou, L.; Liu, D.; Liu, F.; Liang, X.; Gao, Y.; Liu, F.; Yan, X.; Lu, G. Preparation of silver-loaded titaniu m dioxide hedgehog-like architecture composed of hundreds of nanorods and its fast response to xylene. J. Colloid Int erface Sci. 2019, 536, 215–223.
- 388. Lu, S.; Hu, X.; Zheng, H.; Qiu, J.; Tian, R.; Quan, W.; Min, X.; Ji, P.; Hu, Y.; Cheng, S.; et al. Highly Selective, ppb-Level Xylene Gas Detection by Sn2+-Doped NiO Flower-Like Microspheres Prepared by a One-Step Hydrothermal Method. Sensors 2019, 19, 2958.
- 389. Xu, H.; Li, J.; Fu, Y.; Tian, Y.; Yang, Z. Sensitized mechanism of recovered S-SnO2 from tin sludge for CH4 detection by increasing oxygen vacancy density as an efficient strategy. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 298, 126838.
- 390. Bai, S.; Du, L.; Sun, J.; Luo, R.; Li, D.; Chen, A.; Liu, C.-C. Preparation of reduced graphene oxide/Co3O4 composites and sensing performance to toluene at low temperature. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 60109–60116.
- 391. Chen, N.; Deng, D.; Li, Y.; Xing, X.; Liu, X.; Xiao, X.; Wang, Y. The xylene sensing performance of WO3 decorated anat ase TiO2 nanoparticles as a sensing material for a gas sensor at a low operating temperature. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 4969 2–49701.
- 392. Zhang, Y.; Rong, Q.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, J.; Zhu, Z.; Liu, Q. Boron-doped graphene quantum dot/Ag–LaFeO3 p–p heteroj unctions for sensitive and selective benzene detection. J. Mater. Chem. A 2018, 6, 12647–12653.
- 393. Subin David, S.P.; Veeralakshmi, S.; Sandhya, J.; Nehru, S.; Kalaiselvam, S. Room temperature operatable high sensiti ve toluene gas sensor using chemiresistive Ag/Bi2O3 nanocomposite. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 320, 128410.
- 394. Sukee, A.; Alharbi, A.A.; Staerz, A.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Liewhiran, C.; Weimar, U.; Barsan, N. Effect of AgO loading on flam e-made LaFeO3 p-type semiconductor nanoparticles to acetylene sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 312, 127990.
- 395. Hermawan, A.; Zhang, B.; Taufik, A.; Asakura, Y.; Hasegawa, T.; Zhu, J.; Shi, P.; Yin, S. CuO Nanoparticles/Ti3C2Tx M Xene Hybrid Nanocomposites for Detection of Toluene Gas. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2020, 3, 4755–4766.
- 396. Behi, S.; Bohli, N.; Casanova-Cháfer, J.; Llobet, E.; Abdelghani, A. Metal Oxide Nanoparticle-Decorated Few Layer Gra phene Nanoflake Chemoresistors for the Detection of Aromatic Volatile Organic Compounds. Sensors 2020, 20, 3413.

- 397. Du, L.; Song, X.; Liang, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, M. Formation of NiCo2O4 hierarchical tubular nanostructures for enhanced x ylene sensing properties. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2020, 526, 146706.
- 398. Kim, B.-Y.; Ahn, J.H.; Yoon, J.-W.; Lee, C.-S.; Kang, Y.C.; Abdel-Hady, F.; Wazzan, A.A.; Lee, J.-H. Highly Selective Xyl ene Sensor Based on NiO/NiMoO4 Nanocomposite Hierarchical Spheres for Indoor Air Monitoring. ACS Appl. Mater. In terfaces 2016, 8, 34603–34611.
- 399. Ma, L.; Ma, S.Y.; Qiang, Z.; Xu, X.L.; Chen, Q.; Yang, H.M.; Chen, H.; Ge, Q.; Zeng, Q.Z.; Wang, B.Q. Preparation of C o-doped LaFeO3 nanofibers with enhanced acetic acid sensing properties. Mater. Lett. 2017, 200, 47–50.
- 400. Zhu, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Ma, J.; Cheng, X.; Xie, J.; Xu, P.; Liu, H.; Liu, H.; Zhang, H.; Wu, M.; et al. Mesoporous Tungsten Oxi des with Crystalline Framework for Highly Sensitive and Selective Detection of Foodborne Pathogens. J. Am. Chem. S oc. 2017, 139, 10365–10373.
- 401. Abdul Hamid, H.; Lockman, Z.; Hattori, T.; Abdul Razak, K. Sensitive and selective chloroform sensor using Fe2O3 nan oparticle-decorated ZnO nanorods in an aqueous solution. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron. 2019, 30, 18990–19000.
- 402. Yin, Y.; Zhang, N.; Han, J.; Liu, C.; Adimi, S.; Wen, S.; Li, X.; Ruan, S. Metal-organic framework derived core-shell PrFe O3-functionalized α-Fe2O3 nano-octahedrons as high performance ethyl acetate sensors. Sens. Actuators B 2019, 29 7, 126738.
- 403. Gao, R.; Cheng, X.; Gao, S.; Zhang, X.; Xu, Y.; Zhao, H.; Huo, L. Highly selective detection of saturated vapors of abus ed drugs by ZnO nanorod bundles gas sensor. Appl. Surf. Sci. 2019, 485, 266–273.
- 404. Kumar, T.H.V.; Raman Pillai, S.K.; Chan-Park, M.B.; Sundramoorthy, A.K. Highly selective detection of an organophosp horus pesticide, methyl parathion, using Ag–ZnO–SWCNT based field-effect transistors. J. Mater. Chem. C 2020, 8, 88 64–8875.
- 405. Chen, Q.; Li, J.; Fu, W.; Yang, Y.; Zhu, W.; Zhang, J. Detection of N,N-dimethylformamide vapor down to ppb level usin g electrospun InYbO nanofibers field-effect transistor. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 323, 128676.
- 406. He, L.; Gao, C.; Yang, L.; Zhang, K.; Chu, X.; Liang, S.; Zeng, D. Facile synthesis of MgGa2O4/graphene composites f or room temperature acetic acid gas sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 306, 127453.
- 407. He, L.; Gao, C.; Yang, L.; Zhang, K.; Chu, X.; Liang, S.; Zeng, D. Facile synthesis of MgGa2O4/graphene composites f or room temperature acetic acid gas sensing. Sens. Actuators B 2020, 306, 127453.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/16388