Cardiac Fibrosis and Fibroblasts

Subjects: Pharmacology & Pharmacy Contributor: Hitoshi Kurose

Cardiac fibrosis is the excess deposition of extracellular matrix (ECM), such as collagen.

Keywords: fibroblasts ; myofibroblasts ; fibrosis ; single-cell analysis ; genetic lineage tracing

1. Introduction

Cardiac fibrosis is defined as a state in which excess extracellular deposition of collagens and extracellular matrix (ECM) occurs ^[1]. Cardiac fibrosis occurs when the heart is exposed to stresses, such as ischemic injury and chronic high blood pressure. Since fibrosis causes cardiac dysfunction, it is a target for treatment with drugs, medical devices, or tissue transplantation. ECM proteins, including collagen, are primarily produced by myofibroblasts, which are differentiated mainly from resident fibroblasts. Manipulation of the activity and number of myofibroblasts appears to be important for the inhibition of progression to more severe fibrotic states, and for recovery from the fibrotic state ^[2]. Since myofibroblasts and myofibroblasts is necessary when developing novel antifibrotic drugs. Recent technological advances have been used to address some of these issues.

2. Cardiac Cell Types

There are several types of cells in the healthy heart. Cardiomyocytes are cells that are responsible for contraction and relaxation. In addition to cardiomyocytes, the heart is made up of fibroblasts, endothelial cells, macrophages, mast cells, and lymphocytes, and a few other cell types. These cells interact with each other to maintain homeostasis in both healthy and diseased conditions [4]. In the resting state of a healthy heart, fibroblasts constantly modify the extracellular environment by producing and degrading the ECM ^[5]. The number of fibroblasts in the healthy heart is low. However, fibroblasts are differentiated to myofibroblasts when the heart is exposed to stresses, such as cardiac injury or chronic hypertension. Myofibroblasts actively produce collagen and other ECM proteins. Endothelial cells release autocrine and paracrine factors, such as nitric oxide and prostaglandins, which affect other types of cells [6]. Endothelial cells also express adhesion molecules that are involved in direct cell-to-cell interactions with myocytes or leukocytes. Macrophages residing in the healthy heart are different from macrophages infiltrating during cardiac injury. Resident macrophages play important roles in maintaining cardiac homeostasis, by facilitating atrioventricular conduction ^[2]. In injury, resident macrophages are replaced by monocyte-derived macrophages ^[8], which regulate fibrosis by secreting profibrotic cytokines and growth factors. Macrophages also play a role in matrix remodeling by secreting proteases. Mast cells are also present in the healthy heart. Mast cells expand in fibrotic areas and contribute to fibrosis, partly by the production of fibroblast-activating cytokines and growth factors [9][10][11]. Subsets of T lymphocytes may directly activate fibroblasts by producing profibrotic cytokines, interleukin (IL)-4 and IL-13. T lymphocytes may activate macrophages, inducing fibrosis. T lymphocytes may also affect the survival of cardiomyocytes, which promote the replacement of dead cells with fibrotic scarring ^[12]. Regulatory T cells (Tregs) are associated with the attenuation of myocardial fibrosis in various disease models of the heart [13], and myocardial infarction [14] through the modulation of macrophages [15] and fibroblasts [16]. Thus, each cell contributes differently to injury- or stress-induced cardiac fibrosis.

3. Classification of Fibroblasts

Among the multiple types of cells in the heart, fibroblasts are unique, since they differentiate into myofibroblasts and produce the ECM, which preserves the structural integrity of the injured heart. Recent histology-based and flow cytometric methods have demonstrated that fibroblasts account for about 13% of cells in the mouse heart ^{[17][18]}. When the heart is exposed to injury, such as myocardial infarction or hypertrophy, the fibroblasts differentiate into myofibroblasts, which produce ECM. Excess deposition of ECM causes fibrosis, leading to tissue dysfunction. The management of the number or function of myofibroblasts is important for the treatment of fibrosis.

Myofibroblasts have the following several important characteristics: extensive endoplasmic reticulum ^[19], the expression of contractile proteins, such as α -smooth muscle actin (α -SMA), and the synthesis of matricellular proteins, including periostin. Although α -SMA is widely used for the identification of myofibroblasts, not all α -SMA-expressing cells are myofibroblasts. Five days after the MI operation, α -SMA were expressed in subsets of PDGF receptor α - or collagen1 α 1-positive cells ^[20]. So far, specific and reliable marker proteins for myofibroblasts have not been reported.

The origins of myofibroblasts have been analyzed by labeling the various types of cells with reporter genes under cellspecific promoters, as part of lineage-tracing experiments ^[21]. Genetic labeling has advantages over the immunological detection of marker proteins, since maker proteins sometimes disappear during development or differentiation. Marker proteins can be expressed not only in cells that are of interest in a particular experiment, but also in functionally irrelevant cells. Lineage-tracing experiments allow the expression of reporter genes under the control of a cell type-specific promoter. This modification labels the cells permanently, even after the promoter activity turns off, and allows the identification and tracking of the cells once that promoter is activated.

Lineage-tracing experiments showed that fibroblasts that are present in the left ventricle and ventricular septum are derived from endocardial cells via the endothelial-mesenchymal transition (EndoMT), and epicardial cells through the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) ^[22]. A small number of fibroblasts are generated by the differentiation of neural crest cells. However, mature endothelial cells, epicardial cells, and bone marrow-derived cells do not contribute to the population of fibroblasts. After labeling the fibroblasts using cell type-specific promoter-reporter genes, the question of whether fibroblasts exhibit different functions depending on their origin was addressed. After pressure overload, epicardial-derived fibroblasts labeled with Tbx18 promoter-GFP, and endocardial-derived cells labeled with Tie2 promoter-GFP were isolated. The analysis of RNAs revealed similar expression profiles between epicardial-derived and endocardial-derived cells ^{[23][24][25]}, and the two groups of cells had similar proliferative activity ^[26]. Therefore, it was concluded that there is no significant relationship between the origin of fibroblasts and their function.

Genetic lineage tracing was used to trace the fate of fibroblasts over time, after myocardial infarction ^[22]. The following four different states of fibroblasts were identified using the technique: resting fibroblasts, active fibroblasts, myofibroblasts, and matrifibrocytes. In one study, the Tcf21 promoter was used to label the fibroblasts in resting conditions, which correspond to tissue-resident fibroblasts. When the proliferative activity of fibroblasts was measured, using 5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) or immunodetection of Ki-67 after myocardial infarction, the fibroblasts with proliferating activity appeared two to four days after myocardial infarction (active fibroblasts), and the conversion of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts occurred four to seven days after myocardial infarction ^[22]. The myofibroblasts were derived from tissue-resident fibroblasts. These findings are consistent with those of another study ^[23]. Myofibroblasts were transformed to a new type of cell, called matrifibrocytes, 10 days after myocardial infarction ^[27]. Analysis of the expressed mRNAs suggested that cells from different states have distinct expression patterns. Fibroblasts in active states had high proliferative and migration activities. Myofibroblasts produced collagen and α -SMA. Matrifibrocytes are unique, since they localize at scar sites and express genes associated with bone and cartilage remodeling, including *Cilp2* and *Comp*. The physiological implications of these bone-related genes in the heart are not known.

The heterogeneity of fibroblasts has been demonstrated using single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq), a relatively new, but rapidly developing, technology ^[28]. It allows the comprehensive characterization of gene expression and relationships in individual cells. Single-cell analysis of 11,492 cells revealed the heterogeneity of fibroblasts and cardiomyocytes during pressure overload-induced cardiac hypertrophy ^[29]. In this report, fibroblasts were grouped into six clusters, FB1 to FB6. FB1 corresponds to active fibroblasts in previous reports, and FB6 contains myofibroblast-like cells that express high levels of ECM and periostin. It is unknown whether the cells of each group contribute differently to fibrosis, and which groups correspond to the previous classification. In contrast to fibroblasts, cardiomyocytes were divided into four groups, FC1–FC4, based on their expressed proteins. The cells of each group expressed different combinations of proteins that are involved in muscle development, metabolism, and contraction. Among them, FC3 and FC4 are of interest, due to the expression of endothelial or fibroblast markers, such as cadherin 5, von Willebrand factor, vimentin, and decorin. FC3 and FC4 cells are not of fibroblast origin, since they did not express marker proteins that label fibroblasts, such as the transcription factor Tcf21 and the PDGF α receptor. Correlation analysis suggested that the changes in groups FC3 and FC4 are highly correlated to late-stage cardiomyocyte pathology. However, it remains to be determined whether FC3 and FC4 have specific functions in the progression of myocardial infarction-induced heart failure.

There are several studies that have used scRNAseq for the analysis of cellular states. Skelly et al. reported new cardiac fibroblast states in cells isolated from healthy hearts ^[30]. A new population of fibrocyte cells was identified, expressing markers of both fibroblasts and immune cells. However, the functional role of these cells in the heart, at baseline or injury, was not investigated. Farbehi et al. used lineage tracing to isolate the cells expressing PDGF receptor α , and sequenced

them ^[31]. They identified novel myofibroblast subtypes, expressing both profibrotic and antifibrotic signatures. McLellan et al. studied the fibroblast populations that are present after angiotensin II infusion, using scRNAseq ^[32]. They did not detect myofibroblasts expressing α SMA. Instead, they identified two fibroblast subpopulations, expressing the matricellular proteins Cilp1, a mediator of cardiac ECM remodeling, and thrombospondin 4. Based on several scRNAseq studies, a new scheme of fibroblast states has been proposed.

In the scheme, there are four different states of fibroblasts (**Figure 1**) ^{[33][34]}. In the basal state, three subsets of fibroblasts were identified. After injury or during aging, fibroblasts activated by the stimulation of inflammatory cytokines acquire proliferating and ECM-producing activities, entering an expansion state. Fibroblasts in an expansion state are subdivided into three different subsets. The activated state of fibroblasts follows the expansion state. The function of fibroblasts in an activated state is almost equivalent to that of so-called myofibroblasts change their phenotypes in one of several ways (i.e., resolution). They may revert to resting fibroblasts, undergo apoptosis, or enter senescence. Some fibroblasts in an activated state can still produce ECM. Although multiple studies have demonstrated that fibroblasts can regulate inflammation, single-cell sequencing experiments have not identified fibroblasts with inflammatory activity. The marker proteins of each fibroblast state are shown in **Table 1**.



Figure 1. Differentiation of fibroblasts to several states of fibroblasts. Fibroblasts of each state have different proliferating activity and function. Scheme is based on two reports [33][34].

	States			
Marker Proteins *	Resting	Expansion (Proliferating)	Activated	Resolution
collagen type 1	+	+	+	+
DDR2	+			
PDFF receptor α	+			+
TCF21	+			+
periostin		+	+	
α-SMA			+ (but not all cells)	
CILP1, 2			+	+
СОМР				+
thrombospondin 4			+	
VEGF-A			+	

Table 1 is modified from ^[33]. * Marker proteins: DDR2, discoidin domain receptor 2 (collagen type 1 receptor); PDGFR- α , platelet-derived growth factor receptor- α ; TCF21, the transcription factor 21; α -SMA, α -smooth muscle actin; CILP, cartilage intermediate layer protein; COMP, cartilage oligomeric matrix protein; VEGF-A, vascular endothelial growth **Flags** and interactions between the cells in each state are unknown. Their functions and contribution to cardiac fibrosis remain to be determined in the future.

Although systemic sclerosis is a cause of cardiac fibrosis, many studies of cardiac fibrosis in animal models have been of myocardial infarction, pressure overload-induced hypertrophy, or treatment with neurotransmitters or hormones. It is unknown whether cardiac fibroblasts of systemic sclerosis exhibit states that are similar to those induced by myocardial infarction.

4. Differentiation of Fibroblasts Following Cardiac Injury

The possibility that fibroblasts convert to other cells, or vice versa, after maturation has been investigated ^[35]. The prolonged culture of macrophages resulted in cells that express various fibroblast markers, such as type I collagen, prolyl-4-hydroxylase, fibroblast-specific protein-1, and fibroblast activation protein (FAP) ^[35]. Animals that express yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) only in cells of myeloid lineage have been created. These marker fibroblast proteins were detected in infiltrating YFP-positive macrophages after myocardial infarction. Chlodronate liposome treatment to deplete macrophages reduced the number of collagen-positive fibroblast marker-expressing cells. These results suggest that fibroblasts are derived from macrophages. It is interesting to examine the contribution of the macrophage-fibroblast transition to cardiac fibrosis. Although the contribution of the macrophage-fibroblast transition to fibroblasts may help to reduce fibrosis after myocardial infarction.

It has been reported that endothelial cells are not a major source of fibroblasts in the adult mouse heart ^[24]. However, there is controversy about the conversion of endothelial cells to myofibroblasts. It has been reported that fibroblasts acquire endothelial cell-like phenotypes during ischemia-reperfusion ^{[36][37]}. A series of experiments, using mice with genetically labeled fibroblasts, demonstrated that 20%–40% of fibroblasts express various endothelial cell markers, and the isolated cells can form a capillary network. The expression of p53 was essential for the process of conversion from fibroblasts to endothelial cells ^[36]. The stimulation of p53 signaling improved cardiac dysfunction during ischemia-reperfusion. However, the opposite result was reported by a different group, who found that resident fibroblasts did not contribute to neovascularization after cardiac injury ^[37]. In the latter report, the pulse-chase labeling of fibroblasts after ischemia-reperfusion showed that resident fibroblasts did not express genes involved in angiogenesis, which are characteristic of endothelial cells. The origin of almost all endothelial cells was resident endothelial cells. Different approaches resulted in different conclusions. Thus, it may be necessary to confirm the findings using other techniques, such as scRNAseq and proteomic analysis of isolated cells.

5. Myofibroblasts as Phagocytes

Myofibroblasts have been recognized primarily as the cells that produce ECM components, such as collagen and fibronectin. They also interact with inflammatory cells through secreted factors. A new role for myofibroblasts in inflammation induced by myocardial infarction has been reported. Myofibroblasts, but not fibroblasts, efficiently phagocytose apoptotic cells and secret cytokines that suppress inflammatory responses ^[38]. This activity is similar to that of macrophages, which induce immuno-suppressive responses by the engulfment of apoptotic cells.

Myocardial infarction induces necrosis of cardiomyocytes. Phosphatidylserine is present on the surface of cells that have undergone necrosis, as observed at apoptosis. Therefore, terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase-mediated dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) staining can be used as a marker of dead cells. Apoptotic cells are thought to be engulfed by phagocytes, such as macrophages. Nakaya et al. examined the expression of various molecules that are involved in engulfment after myocardial infarction ^[38] (**Figure 2**).



Figure 2. Engulfment of dead cells by myofibroblasts. Myofibroblasts engulf dead cells with the help of MFG-E8 secreted by themselves and then secrete anti-inflammatory cytokines.

The expression of a factor called milk fat globule-EGF factor 8 (MFG-E8) was increased. MFG-E8 binds both phosphatidylserine expressed on the membranes of apoptotic cells and integrin present at the surface of phagocytic cells ^[39]. Since integrin does not directly bind phosphatidylserine, MFG-E8 functions as a bridge between apoptotic cells and phagocytes. MFG-E8 was found to be produced by myofibroblasts, and was used by myofibroblasts to phagocytose dead cells, releasing anti-inflammatory cytokines and preventing excessive inflammation. Myofibroblasts exhibit macrophage-like properties, such as the phagocytosis of dead cells and secretion of anti-inflammatory cytokines, thus behaving similarly to macrophages at myocardial infarction. Myofibroblasts are less active than macrophages in engulfing dead cells. However, fibroblasts easily differentiate to myofibroblasts at injury sites, and the number of myofibroblasts is believed to be high in ischemic areas during myocardial infarction. Thus, myofibroblasts compensate for their low ability to engulf cells by being present in high numbers. There is a major difference between myofibroblasts and macrophages. Unlike macrophages, myofibroblasts do not have antigen presentation activity ^[38]. Myofibroblast-mediated phagocytosis of apoptotic cells is an efficient way to prevent excess inflammation at an injured site. However, not all myofibroblasts phagocytose dead cells in vitro. Thus, distinct groups of myofibroblasts may have different functions, such as phagocytosis and differentiation to other types of cells.

It has been reported that cardiac fibroblasts contribute to inflammatory responses during the two to three days after myocardial infarction ^[40]. Fibroblasts are activated by damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) released from dying or dead cardiomyocytes. Activated fibroblasts produce inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, as well as matrix-degrading proteins. These inflammatory cytokines activate fibroblasts to covert to myofibroblasts. Fibroblasts and myofibroblasts therefore form a positive loop to enhance the formation of myofibroblasts. Activated fibroblast- and myofibroblast-secreted chemokines recruit immune cells to an infarcted area. The recruitment of immune cells stimulates the clearance of matrix debris and promotes wound healing. Thus, myofibroblasts possess the following two different activities: phagocytosis-linked anti-inflammatory activity, and DAMP-induced inflammatory activity. The time courses of the two activities are different. Fibroblasts contribute to inflammation, to recruit immune cells during the early phase of inflammation, and promote the removal of dead cells together with immune cells, since myofibroblasts, but not fibroblasts, efficiently engulf dead cells. The removal of dead cells by immune cells and myofibroblasts then negatively regulates inflammation.

Experimental autoimmune myocarditis is a mouse model of CD4+ T-cell-mediated inflammatory cardiomyopathy. In experimental autoimmune myocarditis, heart-infiltrating CD133+ progenitor cells were reported to be converted to myofibroblast-like cells, with the help of TGF- β ^[41]. The type of disease may therefore determine the origin of myofibroblasts.

6. Signaling Controlling Differentiation to Myofibroblasts

TGF- β is a strong inducer of the differentiation of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts ^[42]. Inflammatory cells that are recruited to an injury site release cytokines, including TGF- β . Injury-associated cells also release alarmins and DAMPs ^[43]. These molecules cause inflammation, leading to the differentiation of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts. Thus, any inhibition of inflammatory responses will block the appearance of myofibroblasts, which eventually suppresses fibrosis. At the early stages of myocardial infarction, neutrophils are first recruited to the injury site $\frac{[44][45]}{4}$. Leukotriene B4 is a powerful attractant of neutrophils, which bind to the leukotriene B4 receptor BLT1. The inhibition of neutrophil recruitment by BLT1 gene knockout, or the use of a BLT1 blocker, decreased cardiac fibrosis by inhibiting inflammation $\frac{[46][47]}{4}$.

Interleukins are important inflammatory cytokines. Multiple IL receptors are expressed in cardiac fibroblasts, which regulate fibroblast states and functions ^[44]. The effects of proinflammatory ILs on cardiac fibroblasts are blocked by cardiac fibroblast-specific deletion of IL receptors. Knockout of the IL11 receptor or IL17 receptor genes reduced injury-induced cardiac fibroblast-specific deletion of IL receptors. These results reveal that cardiac fibroblast-specific deletion of IL receptor genes decreases the infiltration to, or activity of, immune cells in the area of the injury. They also suggest that cardiac fibroblasts play an important role in the regulation of injury-induced inflammation, through IL signaling.

After myocardial infarction, monocytes are mobilized from the bone marrow and differentiate into macrophages at the injury site ^[50]. Macrophages in mice can be depleted by treatment with chlodronate liposomes ^[51]. Macrophage-depleted mice showed decreased fibrosis and improved cardiac function. These results show that the inhibition of inflammatory signaling after myocardial infarction decreases fibrosis partly through the blockade of the differentiation into myofibroblasts.

TGF- β stimulation activates both the canonical Smad2/3 and the noncanonical mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinase signaling pathways ^[42]. Fibrotic responses were inhibited by the knockout of fibroblast-specific TGF- β receptor 1/2, or the knockout of transcription factor Smad3, which is activated downstream of the TGF- β receptor ^[52]. TGF- β -Smad2/3 signaling of fibroblasts is a major factor in cardiac fibrosis induced by pressure overload. The knockout of TGF- β receptor 1/2 in fibroblasts also inhibited cardiac hypertrophy by pressure overload ^[52]. It appears that myofibroblasts interact with cardiac myocytes through direct cell-cell communication, or indirectly via a mediator that is secreted from myofibroblasts.

In addition to TGF- β , lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) stimulation induces the differentiation of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts ^[53] ^[54]. LPA binds its own GPCRs to activate cellular responses ^[55]. In vitro, LPA stimulation induces fibrosis by the activation of the myocardin-related transcription factor-serum responsive factor (MRTF-SRF) pathway. Rho regulated actin oligomerization, which is regulated by the phosphorylation of actin by Rho kinase (ROCK). ROCK-mediated phosphorylation of actin increased the amount of the monomeric form of actin. Monomeric actin loses its activity to inhibit SRF. SRF, together with MRTF, activated the transcription of various genes, including profibrotic genes ^[56]. Compound CCG-203971 is a small-molecule inhibitor of the Rho-mediated MRTF-SRF pathway ^[57]. The administration of CCG-203971 inhibited bleomycin-induced lung fibrosis. Rho is a signaling molecule that is activated downstream of various receptors, including GPCRs and TGF- β receptors. Inhibitors of the Rho-ROCK pathway may suppress fibroblast activation and fibrosis in the heart more efficiently than receptor inhibition.

G-protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 (GRK2) is known to be a regulator of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), which act by phosphorylating agonist-bound GPCRs ^[58]. Cardiomyocyte-specific knockout of GRK2 demonstrated that GRK2 ablation protects the heart against cardiac dysfunction and fibrosis, following myocardial infarction ^[59]. These mice also showed a reduction in the development of heart failure following myocardial infarction. Fibroblast-specific knockout of GRK2, using the collagen 1 α 2 promoter, reduced the secretion of TNF α and suppressed the expression of profibrotic factors after ischemia-reperfusion ^[60]. The inhibition of fibroblast function improved cardiac dysfunction. These results show that the inhibition of GRK2 protects the heart against cardiac stresses in cardiomyocytes as well as in fibroblasts.

Transient receptor potential channel canonical 6 (TRPC6) is a voltage-independent cation channel that mediates angiotensin II-stimulated hypertrophic responses ^[61]. Increased intracellular Ca²⁺ plays an important role in the conversion of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts, and the production of fibrosis by activating cellular signaling ^[62]. TGF- β -induced upregulation of TRPC6 was inhibited by the blockade of p38 MAPK-mediated signaling ^[63]. TRPC6 knockout fibroblasts did not show changes in Ca²⁺ signaling, and did not promote the conversion of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts when the cells were treated with angiotensin II and TGF- β . These results demonstrate that TRPC6-Ca²⁺ signaling is essential for the induction of myofibroblasts from cardiac fibroblasts.

There are other signaling molecules involved in the induction of fibrosis. The deletion of the β -catenin gene in cardiac fibroblasts improves cardiac function and reduces fibrosis, due to the decreased production of ECM proteins by cardiac fibroblasts ^[64]. It has recently been found that functional primary cilia in cardiac fibroblasts are required for the canonical TGF β signaling-induced differentiation of cardiac fibroblasts to myofibroblasts ^[65]. Primary cilia express polycystin-1, which is known to be a regulator of cell proliferation, cell migration, and interactions with other cells. Polycystin-1 is required for the maintenance of the cellular structures of primary cilia. When the primary cilia of cardiac fibroblasts were disrupted, specifically by deletion of the polycystin-1 gene, TGF β -Smad3 signaling-induced ECM protein production and

fibroblast differentiation were impaired. The deletion of the polycystin-1 gene enhanced pathological cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction ^[66], suggesting an important role for primary cilia in hypertrophy and fibrosis. These findings also suggest that primary cilia are functional, and participate in TGF β -induced fibrosis and myofibroblast differentiation. Heat-shock protein (Hsp) is a chaperon molecule for the conversion of fibroblasts to myofibroblasts, leading to fibrosis. Among the many Hsp proteins, Hsp47 is known to be a collagen-specific chaperone. The cardiac fibroblast-specific deletion of Hsp47 significantly reduced cardiac fibrosis and improved cardiac diastolic dysfunction after pressure overload ^[67]. However, the reduced collagen production in these mice increased the death rate after myocardial infarction, due to insufficient scar formation.

Collectively, these results suggest that the manipulation of the expression and activity of various signaling molecules involved in the fibrotic pathway modulates fibroblast states, leading to an alteration in fibrosis.

Angiotensin II (Ang II) is well known to be a trigger of cardiac fibrosis mediated by cardiac fibroblasts. Ang II is generated by the sequential cleavage of renin or chymase and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE). Ang II binds and activates angiotensin type 1 (AT1) and type 2 (AT2) receptors. ACE inhibitors and AT1 receptor antagonists are very popular drugs for the reduction in blood pressure and the treatment of heart failure. Ang I or Ang II is further metabolized to angiotensin-(1-7) (Ang (1-7)), by the action of neprilysin or ACE2 ^[68]. Ang (1-7) antagonizes the effects of Ang II through a G-protein-coupled receptor, the Mas receptor ^[69].

AT1 receptor activation induces cellular responses through G-proteins, which are linked to an increase in Ca^{2+} and the activation of Rho ^[69]. Ca^{2+} activates Ca^{2+} -sensitive protein kinases and Ca^{2+} -regulated transcription factors, leading to the induction of fibrosis. Rho activation increases the transcriptional activity of SRF to stimulate fibrotic responses.

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) have been reported to mediate cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis. AT1 receptor stimulation generates ROS through G-protein activation ^[70]. ROS is a term that encompasses several highly reactive molecules, including peroxides, superoxide, and hydroxyl radicals. The ROS are thought to exert their effects mainly through cysteine modification of various proteins ^[71]. Although not all target molecules of ROS have been identified, ROS-mediated modification is found in protein phosphates, nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B), and MAP. Among the various ROS, hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), generated by the AT1 receptor as well as other receptors, has been recognized as a major ROS in the redox regulation of various proteins ^[72]. H₂O₂ is more stable and membrane-permeable than superoxide or other ROS.

AT1 receptor-mediated signaling engages in crosstalk with other signaling pathways, such as the TGF- β pathway ^[73]. Ang II-induced cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis was blocked in TGF- β 1 knockout mice. The induction of TGF- β 1 expression is mediated by AT1 receptor stimulation in vivo. However, many studies have suggested that TGF- β 1 acts downstream of Ang II, and promotes fibrosis in the heart. These results demonstrate that the AT1 receptor and the TGF- β receptor interact with each other, and cardiac hypertrophy and fibrosis are regulated by a complex regulatory network of AT1 receptor- and TGF- β receptor-mediated signaling pathways, rather than by two independent signaling pathways.

AT1R signaling is also required for proper TGF- β signaling in experimental autoimmune myocarditis, by controlling Wnt/ β catenin signaling [74]. Wht signaling is an evolutionarily conserved pathway, which plays an important role in cell-cell interactions, such as cardiomyocyte-fibroblast and endothelial cell-endothelial cell interactions. Wnt is a technical term created from the names wingless and int-1. Wnt signaling is subdivided into canonical and noncanonical signaling. βcatenin is a major player in canonical signaling $\frac{[75]}{\beta}$. β -catenin increases the transcriptional activity of transcription factor TCF (T-cell factor)/LEF (lymphoid enhancer factor), to regulate various responses, such as cell cycle and adhesion. Noncanonical signaling is grouped into the Wnt/PCP (planar cell polarity) and Wnt/Ca²⁺ pathways. Both pathways are independent of β -catenin and TCF/LEF. Wnt/PCP signaling is initiated by the binding of a noncanonical Wnt protein, such as Wnt5a or Wnt11, to one of the Fzd (frizzled) receptors and the receptor tyrosine kinase-like orphan receptor 2 (ROR2) ^[75]. It recruits DvI (disheveled) to the membrane, thereby activating Rho and Rac. Activated Rho in turn activates ROCK and c-Jun-N-terminal kinase, which activates the gene expression program. The Wnt/Ca²⁺ pathway is similarly initiated by the binding of several Whts to Fzd receptors. However, it activates G-proteins that trigger the release of Ca²⁺ from intracellular stores. Increased Ca²⁺ levels stimulate calmodulin-dependent kinase and the nuclear factor associated with T cell (NFAT), histone deacetylase 4 (HDAC4), and myocyte enhancer factor. Wnt signaling is reported to be one of the triggers of cardiac fibrosis. Although Wnt signaling is known to be activated in human cardiovascular disease [76], and the modulation of Wnt signaling has produced promising results in animal models of cardiac disease, the clinical application of Wnt signaling modulators has not yet been evaluated [77].

References

- Rockey, D.C.; Bell, P.D.; Hill, J.A. Fibrosis—A Common Pathway to Organ Injury and Failure. N. Engl. J. Med. 2015, 372, 1138–1149.
- 2. Gourdie, R.G.; Dimmeler, S.; Kohl, P. Novel therapeutic strategies targeting fibroblasts and fibrosis in heart disease. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2016, 15, 620–638.
- 3. Kurose, H.; Mangmool, S. Myofibroblasts and inflammatory cells as players of cardiac fibrosis. Arch. Pharmacal Res. 2016, 39, 1100–1113.
- 4. Howard, C.M.; Baudino, T.A. Dynamic cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions in the heart. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2014, 70, 19–26.
- 5. Eghbali, M.; Weber, K.T. Collagen and the myocardium: Fibrillar structure, biosynthesis and degradation in relation to hypertro-phy and its regression. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 1990, 96, 1–14.
- 6. Gogiraju, R.; Bochenek, M.L.; Schäfer, K. Angiogenic endothelial cell signaling in cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 2019, 6, 20.
- 7. Hulsmans, M.; Clauss, S.; Xiao, L.; Aguirre, A.D.; King, K.R.; Hanley, A.; Hucker, W.J.; Wülfers, E.; Seemann, G.; Courties, G.; et al. Macrophages facilitate electrical conduction in the heart. Cell 2017, 169, 510–522.
- Epelman, S.; Lavine, K.J.; Beaudin, A.E.; Sojka, D.K.; Carrero, J.A.; Calderon, B.; Brija, T.; Gautier, E.L.; Ivanov, S.; Satpathy, A.T.; et al. Embryonic and adult-derived resident cardiac macrophages are maintained through distinct mechanisms at steady state and during inflammation. Immunity 2014, 40, 91–104.
- Frangogiannis, N.; Lindsey, M.; Michael, L.H.; Youker, K.A.; Bressler, R.B.; Mendoza, L.H.; Spengler, R.N.; Smith, C.W.; Entman, M.L. Resident Cardiac Mast Cells Degranulate and Release Preformed TNF-α, Initiating the Cytokine Cascade in Experimental Canine Myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion. Circulation 1998, 98, 699–710.
- 10. Shiota, N.; Rysä, J.; Kovanen, P.T.; Ruskoaho, H.; O Kokkonen, J.; A Lindstedt, K. A role for cardiac mast cells in the pathogenesis of hypertensive heart disease. J. Hypertens. 2003, 21, 1935–1944.
- Nevers, T.; Salvador, A.M.; Grodecki-Pena, A.; Knapp, A.; Velázquez, F.; Aronovitz, M.; Kapur, N.K.; Karas, R.H.; Blanton, R.M.; Alcaide, P. Left Ventricular T-Cell Recruitment Contributes to the Pathogenesis of Heart Failure. Circ. Hear. Fail. 2015, 8, 776–787.
- 12. Kallikourdis, M.; Martini, E.; Carullo, P.; Sardi, C.; Roselli, G.; Greco, C.M.; Vignali, D.; Riva, F.; Berre, A.M.O.; Stølen, T.O.; et al. T cell costimulation blockade blunts pressure overload-induced heart failure. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 14680.
- Kvakan, H.; Kleinewietfeld, M.; Qadri, F.; Park, J.-K.; Fischer, R.; Schwarz, I.; Rahn, H.-P.; Plehm, R.; Wellner, M.; Elitok, S.; et al. Regulatory T Cells Ameliorate Angiotensin II–Induced Cardiac Damage. Circulation 2009, 119, 2904– 2912.
- 14. Tang, T.-T.; Yuan, J.; Zhu, Z.-F.; Zhang, W.-C.; Xiao, H.; Xia, N.; Yan, X.-X.; Nie, S.-F.; Liu, J.; Zhou, S.-F.; et al. Regulatory T cells ameliorate cardiac remodeling after myocardial infarction. Basic Res. Cardiol. 2012, 107, 232.
- Weirather, J.; Hofmann, U.D.; Beyersdorf, N.; Ramos, G.; Vogel, B.; Frey, A.; Ertl, G.; Kerkau, T.; Frantz, S. Foxp3 + CD4 + T Cells Improve Healing After Myocardial Infarction by Modulating Monocyte/Macrophage Differentiation. Circ. Res. 2014, 115, 55–67.
- Saxena, A.; Dobaczewski, M.; Rai, V.; Haque, Z.; Chen, W.; Li, N.; Frangogiannis, N.G. Regulatory T cells are recruited in the infarcted mouse myocardium and may modulate fibroblast phenotype and function. Am. J. Physiol. Circ. Physiol. 2014, 307, H1233–H1242.
- 17. Pinto, A.R.; Ilinykh, A.; Ivey, M.J.; Kuwabara, J.T.; D'Antoni, M.L.; Debuque, R.; Chandran, A.; Wang, L.; Arora, K.; Rosenthal, N.; et al. Revisiting Cardiac Cellular Composition. Circ. Res. 2016, 118, 400–409.
- 18. Zhou, P.; Pu, W.T. Recounting Cardiac Cellular Composition. Circ. Res. 2016, 118, 368–370.
- 19. Hinz, B. The myofibroblast: Paradigm for a mechanically active cell. J. Biomech. 2010, 43, 146–155.
- 20. Ivey, M.; Kuwabara, J.T.; Pai, J.T.; Moore, R.E.; Sun, Z.; Tallquist, M.D. Resident fibroblast expansion during cardiac growth and remodeling. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2018, 114, 161–174.
- Kebschull, J.M.; Zador, A.M. Cellular barcoding: Lineage tracing, screening and beyond. Nat. Methods 2018, 15, 871– 879.
- 22. Doppler, S.A.; Carvalho, C.M.S.; Lahm, H.; Deutsch, M.-A.; Dreßen, M.; Puluca, N.; Lange, R.; Krane, M. Cardiac fibroblasts: More than mechanical support. J. Thorac. Dis. 2017, 9, S36–S51.
- Kanisicak, O.; Khalil, H.; Ivey, M.; Karch, J.; Maliken, B.D.; Correll, R.N.; Brody, M.; Lin, S.-C.J.; Aronow, B.J.; Tallquist, M.D.; et al. Genetic lineage tracing defines myofibroblast origin and function in the injured heart. Nat. Commun. 2016,

7, 12260.

- Moore-Morris, T.; Guimarães-Camboa, N.; Banerjee, I.; Zambon, A.C.; Kisseleva, T.; Velayoudon, A.; Stallcup, W.B.; Gu, Y.; Dal-ton, N.D.; Cedenilla, M.; et al. Resident fibroblast line-ages mediate pressure overload-induced cardiac fibrosis. J. Clin. Investig. 2014, 124, 2921–2934.
- Ali, S.R.; Ranjbarvaziri, S.; Talkhabi, M.; Zhao, P.; Subat, A.; Hojjat, A.; Kamran, P.; Müller, A.M.; Volz, K.S.; Tang, Z.; et al. Developmental Heterogeneity of Cardiac Fibroblasts Does Not Predict Pathological Proliferation and Activation. Circ. Res. 2014, 115, 625–635.
- 26. Wu, R.; Ma, F.; Tosevska, A.; Farrell, C.; Pellegrini, M.; Deb, A. Cardiac fibroblast proliferation rates and collagen expression ma-ture early and are unaltered with advancing age. JCl insight 2020, 17, e140628.
- Fu, X.; Khalil, H.; Kanisicak, O.; Boyer, J.G.; Vagnozzi, R.J.; Maliken, B.D.; Sargent, M.A.; Prasad, V.; Valiente-Alandi, I.; Blaxall, B.C.; et al. Specialized fibroblast differentiated states underlie scar formation in the infarcted mouse heart. J. Clin. Investig. 2018, 128, 2127–2143.
- 28. Yamada, S.; Nomura, S. Review of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing in the Heart. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 8345.
- 29. Ren, Z.; Yu, P.; Li, D.; Li, Z.; Liao, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, B.; Wang, L. Single-Cell Reconstruction of Progression Trajectory Reveals Intervention Principles in Pathological Cardiac Hypertrophy. Circulation 2020, 141, 1704–1719.
- Skelly, D.A.; Squiers, G.T.; McLellan, M.A.; Bolisetty, M.T.; Robson, P.; Rosenthal, N.A.; Pinto, A.R. Single-cell transcriptional pro-filing reveals cellular diversity and intercommunication in the mouse heart. Cell Rep. 2018, 22, 600– 610.
- 31. Farbehi, N.; Patrick, R.; Dorison, A.; Xaymardan, M.; Janbandhu, V.; Wystub-Lis, K.; Ho, J.W.; Nordon, R.E.; Harvey, R.P. Single-cell expression profiling reveals dynamic flux of cardiac stromal, vascular and immune cells in health and injury. eLife 2019, 8, e43882.
- McLellan, M.A.; Skelly, D.A.; Dona, M.S.; Squiers, G.T.; Farrugia, G.E.; Gaynor, T.L.; Cohen, C.D.; Pandey, R.; Diep, H.; Vinh, A.; et al. High-Resolution Transcriptomic Profiling of the Heart During Chronic Stress Reveals Cellular Drivers of Cardiac Fibrosis and Hypertrophy. Circulation 2020, 142, 1448–1463.
- 33. Tallquist, M.D. Cardiac Fibroblast Diversity. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 2020, 82, 63-78.
- Haider, N.; Boscá, L.; Zandbergen, H.R.; Kovacic, J.C.; Narula, N.; González-Ramos, S.; Fernandez-Velasco, M.; Agrawal, S.; Paz-García, M.; Gupta, S.; et al. Transition of macrophages to fibroblast-like cells in healing myocardial infarction. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2019, 74, 3124–3135.
- 35. Ubil, E.; Duan, J.; Pillai, I.; Rosa-Garrido, M.; Wu, Y.; Bargiacchi, F.; Lu, Y.; Stanbouly, S.; Huang, J.; Rojas, M.; et al. Mesenchymal-endothelial transition contributes to cardiac neovascularization. Nat. Cell Biol. 2014, 514, 585–590.
- 36. Xiuzhen, H.; Huang, X.; Kanisicak, O.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Li, Y.; Pu, W.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, H.; Tian, X.; et al. Preexisting endothelial cells mediate cardiac neovascularization after injury. J. Clin. Investig. 2017, 127, 2968–2981.
- Nakaya, M.; Watari, K.; Tajima, M.; Nakaya, T.; Matsuda, S.; Ohara, H.; Nishihara, H.; Yamaguchi, H.; Hashimoto, A.; Nishida, M.; et al. Cardiac myofibroblast engulfment of dead cells facilitates recovery after myocardial infarction. J. Clin. Investig. 2016, 127, 383–401.
- Hanayama, R.; Tanaka, M.; Miwa, K.; Shinohara, A.; Iwamatsu, A.; Nagata, S. Identification of a factor that links apoptotic cells to phagocytes. Nat. Cell Biol. 2002, 417, 182–187.
- Ong, S.-B.; Hernández-Reséndiz, S.; Crespo-Avilan, G.E.; Mukhametshina, R.T.; Kwek, X.-Y.; Cabrera-Fuentes, H.A.; Hausenloy, D.J. Inflammation following acute myocardial infarction: Multiple players, dynamic roles, and novel therapeutic opportunities. Pharmacol. Ther. 2018, 186, 73–87.
- 40. Kania, G.; Blyszczuk, P.; Stein, S.; Valaperti, A.; Germano, D.; Dirnhofer, S.; Hunziker, L.; Matter, C.M.; Eriksson, U. Heart-infiltrating prominin-1+/CD133+ progenitor cells represent the cellular source of transforming growth factor betamediated cardiac fibrosis in experimental autoimmune myocarditis. Circ. Res. 2009, 105, 462–470.
- 41. Parichatikanond, W.; Luangmonkong, T.; Mangmool, S.; Kurose, H. Therapeutic Targets for the Treatment of Cardiac Fibrosis and Cancer: Focusing on TGF-β Signaling. Front. Cardiovasc. Med. 2020, 7, 34.
- 42. Turner, N.A. Inflammatory and fibrotic responses of cardiac fibroblasts to myocardial damage associated molecular patterns (DAMPs). J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2016, 94, 189–200.
- 43. Prabhu, S.D.; Frangogiannis, N.G. The Biological Basis for Cardiac Repair After Myocardial Infarction: From Inflammation to Fibrosis. Circ. Res. 2016, 119, 91–112.
- 44. Daseke, M.J.; Chalise, U.; Becirovic-Agic, M.; Salomon, J.D.; Cook, L.M.; Case, A.J.; Lindsey, M.L. Neutrophil signaling during myocardial infarction wound repair. Cell. Signal. 2021, 77, 109816.

- De Hoog, V.C.; Bovens, S.M.; De Jager, S.C.; Van Middelaar, B.J.; Van Duijvenvoorde, A.; Doevendans, P.A.; Pasterkamp, G.; De Kleijn, D.P.; Timmers, L. BLT1 antagonist LSN2792613 reduces infarct size in a mouse model of myocardial ischaemia–reperfusion injury. Cardiovasc. Res. 2015, 108, 367–376.
- Horii, Y.; Nakaya, M.; Ohara, H.; Nishihara, H.; Watari, K.; Nagasaka, A.; Nakaya, T.; Sugiura, Y.; Okuno, T.; Koga, T.; et al. Leukotriene B 4 receptor 1 exacerbates inflammation following myocardial infarction. FASEB J. 2020, 34, 8749– 8763.
- 47. Schäfer, S.; Viswanathan, S.; Widjaja, A.; Lim, W.W.; Moreno-Moral, A.; DeLaughter, D.M.; Ng, B.; Patone, G.; Chow, K.; Khin, E.; et al. IL-11 is a crucial determinant of cardiovascular fibrosis. Nat. Cell Biol. 2017, 552, 110–115.
- 48. Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Y.-Y.; Li, T.-T.; Wang, J.; Jiang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Jin, X.-X.; Xue, G.-L.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, X.-F.; et al. Ablation of interleukin-17 alleviated cardiac interstitial fibrosis and improved cardiac function via inhibiting long noncoding RNA-AK081284 in diabetic mice. J. Mol. Cell. Cardiol. 2018, 115, 64–72.
- 49. Honold, L.; Nahrendorf, M. Resident and Monocyte-Derived Macrophages in Cardiovascular Disease. Circ. Res. 2018, 122, 113–127.
- Frantz, S.; Hofmann, U.; Fraccarollo, D.; Schäfer, A.; Kranepuhl, S.; Hagedorn, I.; Nieswandt, B.; Nahrendorf, M.; Wagner, H.; Bayer, B.; et al. Monocytes/macrophages prevent healing defects and left ventricular thrombus formation after myocardial infarction. FASEB J. 2012, 27, 871–881.
- 51. Khalil, H.; Kanisicak, O.; Prasad, V.; Correll, R.N.; Fu, X.; Schips, T.; Vagnozzi, R.J.; Liu, R.; Huynh, T.; Lee, S.-J.; et al. Fibroblast-specific TGF-β-Smad2/3 signaling underlies cardiac fibrosis. J. Clin. Investig. 2017, 127, 3770–3783.
- 52. Wang, J.; Gareri, C.; Rockman, H.A. G-Protein–Coupled Receptors in Heart Disease. Circ. Res. 2018, 123, 716–735.
- 53. Zmajkovicova, K.; Bauer, Y.; Menyhart, K.; Schnoebelen, M.; Freti, D.; Boucher, M.; Renault, B.; Studer, R.; Birker-Robaczewska, M.; Klenk, A.; et al. GPCR-induced YAP activation sensitizes fibroblasts to profibrotic activity of TGFβ1. PLoS ONE 2020, 15, e0228195.
- 54. Choi, J.W.; Herr, D.R.; Noguchi, K.; Yung, Y.C.; Lee, C.-W.; Mutoh, T.; Lin, M.-E.; Teo, S.T.; Park, K.E.; Mosley, A.N.; et al. LPA Receptors: Subtypes and Biological Actions. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2010, 50, 157–186.
- Olson, E.N.; Nordheim, A. Linking actin dynamics and gene transcription to drive cellular motile functions. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2010, 11, 353–365.
- 56. Lisabeth, E.M.; Kahl, D.; Gopallawa, I.; Haynes, S.E.; Misek, S.A.; Campbell, P.L.; Dexheimer, T.S.; Khanna, D.; Fox, D.A.; Jin, X.; et al. Identification of Pirin as a Molecular Target of the CCG-1423/CCG-203971 Series of Antifibrotic and Antimetastatic Compounds. ACS Pharmacol. Transl. Sci. 2019, 2, 92–100.
- 57. Murga, C.; Arcones, A.C.; Cruces-Sande, M.; Briones, A.M.; Salaices, M.; Mayor Jr, F. G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 (GRK2) as a potential therapeutic target in cardiovascular and metabolic diseases. Front. Pharmacol. 2019, 10, 112.
- 58. Raake, P.W.; Vinge, L.E.; Gao, E.; Boucher, M.; Rengo, G.; Chen, X.; DeGeorge, B.R.; Matkovich, S.; Houser, S.R.; Most, P.; et al. G Protein–Coupled Receptor Kinase 2 Ablation in Cardiac Myocytes Before or After Myocardial Infarction Prevents Heart Failure. Circ. Res. 2008, 103, 413–422.
- 59. Woodall, M.C.; Woodall, B.P.; Gao, E.; Yuan, A.; Koch, W.J. Cardiac fibroblast GRK2 deletion enhances contractility and remodeling following ischemia/reperfusion injury. Circ. Res. 2016, 119, 1116–1127.
- 60. Onohara, N.; Nishida, M.; Inoue, R.; Kobayashi, H.; Sumimoto, H.; Sato, Y.; Mori, Y.; Nagao, T.; Kurose, H. TRPC3 and TRPC6 are essential for angiotensin II-induced cardiac hypertrophy. EMBO J. 2006, 25, 5305–5316.
- 61. Davis, J.; Burr, A.R.; Davis, G.F.; Birnbaumer, L.; Molkentin, J.D. A TRPC6-dependent pathway for myofibroblast transdi ferentiation and wound healing in vivo. Dev. Cell 2012, 23, 705–715.
- 62. Molkentin, J.D.; Bugg, D.; Ghearing, N.; Dorn, L.E.; Kim, P.; Sargent, M.A.; Gunaje, J.; Otsu, K.; Davis, J. Fibroblast-Specific Genetic Manipulation of p38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase In Vivo Reveals Its Central Regulatory Role in Fibrosis. Circulation 2017, 136, 549–561.
- 63. Xiang, F.-L.; Fang, M.; Yutzey, K.E. Loss of β-catenin in resident cardiac fibroblasts attenuates fibrosis induced by pressure over-load in mice. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 712.
- 64. Ana, S.; Leal, A.S.; Misek, S.A.; Lisabeth, E.M.; Neubig, R.R.; Liby, K.T. The Rho/MRTF pathway inhibitor CCG-222740 reduces stellate cell activation and modulates immune cell populations in Kras G12D.; Pdx1-Cre (KC) mice. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 7072.
- Villalobos, E.; Criollo, A.; Schiattarella, G.; Altamirano, F.; French, K.M.; May, H.; Jiang, N.; Nguyen, N.U.N.; Romero, D.; Roa, J.C.; et al. Fibroblast Primary Cilia Are Required for Cardiac Fibrosis. Circulation 2019, 139, 2342–2357.

- 66. Khalil, H.; Kanisicak, O.; Vagnozzi, R.J.; Johansen, A.K.; Maliken, B.D.; Prasad, V.; Boyer, J.G.; Brody, M.; Schips, T.; Kilian, K.K.; et al. Cell-specific ablation of Hsp47 defines the collagen-producing cells in the injured heart. JCI Insight 2019, 4, e128722.
- 67. Garvin, A.M.; Khokhar, B.S.; Czubryt, M.P.; Hale, T.M. RAS inhibition in resident fibroblast biology. Cell. Signal. 2021, 80, 109903.
- 68. Santos, R.A.S.; Oudit, G.Y.; Verano-Braga, T.; Canta, G.; Steckelings, U.M.; Bader, M. The renin-angiotensin system: Going beyond the classical paradigms. Am. J. Physiol. Circ. Physiol. 2019, 316, H958–H970.
- 69. Zhang, M.; Perino, A.; Ghigo, A.; Hirsch, E.; Shah, A.M. NADPH Oxidases in Heart Failure: Poachers or Gamekeepers? Antioxid. Redox Signal. 2013, 18, 1024–1041.
- 70. Messina, S.; De Simone, G.; Ascenzi, P. Cysteine-based regulation of redox-sensitive Ras small GTPases. Redox Biol. 2019, 26, 101282.
- 71. Sies, H. Role of metabolic H2O2 generation: Redox signaling and oxidative stress. J. Biol. Chem. 2014, 289, 8735– 8741.
- 72. Rosenkranz, S. TGF-β1 and angiotensin networking in cardiac remodeling. Cardiovasc. Res. 2004, 63, 423–432.
- 73. Czepiel, M.; Diviani, D.; Jaźwa-Kusior, A.; Tkacz, K.; Rolski, F.; Smolenski, R.T.; Siedlar, M.; Eriksson, U.; Kania, G.; Błyszczuk, P. Angiotensin II receptor 1 controls profibrotic Wnt/β-catenin signalling in experimental autoimmune myocarditis. Cardiovasc. Res. 2021.
- 74. Meyer, I.S.; Leuschner, F. The role of Wnt signaling in the healing myocardium: A focus on cell specificity. Basic Res. Cardiol. 2018, 113, 44.
- 75. Fu, W.-B.; Wang, W.E.; Zeng, C.-Y. Wnt signaling pathways in myocardial infarction and the therapeutic effects of Wnt pathway inhibitors. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 2019, 40, 9–12.
- 76. Daskalopoulos, E.; Blankesteijn, W. Effect of Interventions in WNT Signaling on Healing of Cardiac Injury: A Systematic Review. Cells 2021, 10, 207.
- 77. Yu, J.; Seldin, M.M.; Fu, K.; Li, S.; Lam, L.; Wang, P.; Wang, Y.; Huang, D.; Nguyen, T.L.; Wei, B.; et al. Topological Arrangement of Cardiac Fibroblasts Regulates Cellular Plasticity. Circ. Res. 2018, 123, 73–85.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/29118