

Coffee Silverskin

Subjects: **Plant Sciences**

Contributor: Simon Pezzutto

Coffee silverskin (CSS) is one of the main byproducts of coffee roasting and poses a potential risk to the environment if disposed of incorrectly. Each year in Italy, over 500,000 tonnes of green coffee are imported for roasting followed by consumption or export. This results in over 7500 tonnes of CSS produced each year which is typically disposed of as solid waste. Silverskin contains lignocellulose and can be used as a substitute for other raw materials to produce paper pulp. Both Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and Life Cycle Costing (LCC) were performed to compare the impact and cost of CSS paper production to conventional paper production using only virgin pulp. It was shown that the addition of CSS reduces the environmental impact of paper production by 10% and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 13% compared to conventional production with no cost increase (0.01% reduction with addition of CSS) for the producer.

circular economy

life cycle assessment

life cycle costing

agri-industry residues

energy savings

waste valorization

1. Introduction

In 2018, the EU's primary energy consumption accounted for approximately 1600 million tons of oil equivalent per year (Mtoe/y), of which a major contribution is provided by heating and cooling applications (around 800 Mtoe/y, which also includes industrial heat), followed by transport and electricity—which account for nearly 520 Mtoe/y and 280 Mtoe/y, respectively [1][2][3][4]. By 2030, the EU intends to further lower domestic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 55% relative to 1990 levels. Moreover, EU countries have declared the goal of being the first climate neutral continent by 2050 [5][6]. The fulfilment of the Paris COP21 agreement is going to require equal, if not more, reduction of GHG emissions [6].

Circular economy (CE) plays a crucial role in this scenario. Circular economy intends to recover and valorize wastes and residues (from here on referred to as byproducts), adding value to these materials which can be included back into supply chains and minimizing the waste creation along the production pathways [7]. Moreover, the CE concept is gaining attention among researchers and institutions since it has potential to increase the sustainability of production and consumption systems [8]. According to the European Parliament, CE could increase the gross domestic product by 0.5% and create about 700,000 new jobs in the EU by 2030 [7]. By tapping into previously unexploited pools of byproducts, a new, valuable resource is available.

A study published by the EU in 2010 revealed that nearly 90 million tons of food byproducts are expelled from the food manufacturing industry every year [9]. Food industry byproducts could be utilized as raw material for multiple purposes. For example, large amounts of lignocellulosic material from food processing can be converted into ethanol [10] or biogas [11]. Food byproducts are also a potential reservoir for high value-added chemicals [12][13], including lipids, nutraceuticals, other bioactive compounds, bioplastics, etc. A recent report demonstrates that production of bulk chemicals from biomass waste is 3.5 times more profitable than converting it into biofuel [14].

Coffee is one of the world's most popular beverages, amounting to about ten million tonnes of roasted coffee consumed annually worldwide (2018/2019). In 2015, Italy was the third-largest international green coffee importer, after the USA and Germany. Coffee is imported into Italy for roasting which, together with brewing, is the industrial process responsible for the final flavor and aroma of coffee. Different byproducts are produced along the whole coffee life cycle [15]. In the past 10 years, spent coffee grounds have received significant attention, especially as an alternative bioenergy resource [16]. Oil suitable for biodiesel production can be extracted from spent coffee grounds or these residues can be transformed into bricks and pellets which could be used in domestic wood burners and multi-fuel stoves [17]. The coffee roasting process also generates a biowaste called coffee silverskin (CSS). Coffee silverskin is a very thin, papery material accounting for about 1.5% of the total mass of unroasted coffee. In Italy, approximately 7500 tonnes of CSS are produced each year due to the large coffee roasting industry [15][16]. Currently CSS is largely unexploited and, to the best of our knowledge, no major valorization of CSS is on the market yet.

FAVINI srl [18], a company producing paper since 1736, developed a method called "CRUSH" which involves the use of byproducts and residues of agri-food transformations to produce paper. The vegetable residues (peels, shells, or pits) are dried at controlled temperature and milled to obtain a flour that is micronized and added to paper pulp. The amount of these flours can reach nearly 15% by weight, with significant savings of virgin cellulose [19].

Within the multidisciplinary project "CirCo-Multi valorization of silverskin, a residue of the coffee roasting industry" (funded by The Cariplò Foundation and Innovhub Stazioni Sperimentali per l'Industria S.r.l. [20]), the possibility to use these industrial coffee byproducts as a raw material in other industrial processes, embracing the CE concept, is examined.

Silverskin is mainly formed by cellulose together with other value-added chemicals (i.e., polyphenols, fat, and waxes) that are extremely interesting for its valorization [21]. Due to the high content in cellulose and to the significance of reducing the import of virgin cellulose in Italy, the use of CSS in paper manufacturing was envisaged [15]. Samples of graphic paper containing 15% of micronized CSS have been prepared in the framework of the CirCo project and its quality was found to be comparable with that of conventionally prepared paper.

However, besides the verification of the technical feasibility of using CSS as a raw material for paper production, it is fundamental to assess the sustainability of this new circular system since prolonging the lifetime of a material (i.e., byproduct) does not automatically confer sustainability. When modifications to the current industrial system occur due to the inclusion of byproducts, it is fundamental to verify their potential in reducing resource and energy

consumption, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and other environmental, economic, and social burdens along the whole life-cycle chain.

Life cycle assessment (LCA) and life cycle costing (LCC) analyses are powerful tools for considering the environmental and economic sustainability of a particular good. Life cycle assessment is an internationally standardized (ISO 14040/2006 [22]; ISO 14044/2006 [23]) methodology that allows a quantitative assessment of the environmental performance of a product, process, or system along their whole life cycle. Based on the LCA concept, the LCC method evaluates the costs occurring along the whole chain, analyzing the economic side of sustainability [7].

2. A Case Study on Valorization of Coffee Silverskin in the Context of Circular Economy in Italy

Comparing the results with other studies is difficult for numerous reasons. A specific system is analyzed in each study, which may sometimes allow for reasonable comparisons when assumptions are made. Furthermore, various distinct impact assessment methods are selected, and separate impact categories are analyzed, making any comparisons even more problematic.

The figure regarding GWP that was obtained in our study is in line with the figure reported in two separate carbon-footprints carried out for FAVINI srl regarding paper made with other agricultural residues. Our results for conventional paper are in line with the figures reported in Silva et al., 2015 [24].

The LCA results show that there is an advantage in including coffee silverskin in paper production compared to using virgin pulp alone. There is approximately 10% environmental impact reduction through the incorporation of CSS in paper production method relative to using virgin pulp alone. Moreover, we registered a 13% reduction in GHG emissions concerning the CSS paper production method compared to the conventional method.

This result should not be taken for granted. The use of agri-food byproducts as secondary raw materials is a topic of relevant interest in the Bio-economy scenario that is strongly encouraged by the EU. However, some initiatives have turned out to be less sustainable than conventional disposal, such as landfilling, after a careful LCA analysis. This was the case with the use of spent coffee grounds to produce biodiesel in the UK according to one recent study [25].

In addition to the environmental benefits conferred by incorporating CSS into paper production, the LCC analysis indicates that the difference in cost of production is competitive and marginally lower for CSS paper versus conventional paper. The LCC results show that the divergence between the two paper production methods is quite small: we determined a 0.01% reduction using the CSS production method.

Data acquisition required a significant effort due to the unique material under study. There are data gaps in the scientific literature, especially on the topic of coffee processing and cultivation. These data, along with data

regarding much of the paper production process, is only available through the private sector, making robust LCA and LCC results difficult to obtain. While the cooperation of FAVINI srl made good estimates of their processes possible, the conventional processes required many assumptions as far as transport distances: energy consumption for certain processes and sources for raw material.

As with all LCA and LCC analyses, several assumptions were needed. One of the main considerations affecting the outcome of the model is how to consider the CSS itself. Silverskin is a residue and can thus be considered as either a waste or as a co-product when performing an LCA. If CSS is considered as a waste, then the system boundary should begin with the waste itself and a production system cut-off should be applied. Alternatively, if CSS is considered as a co-product, then the system boundary should include every necessary process required to attain that co-product (i.e., coffee cultivation, handling, transport, roasting, etc.). While both scenarios were explored, the main results presented represent the first scenario where CSS is considered as a waste product. The authors assumed this was appropriate given that the normal practice of disposal for CSS is disposal as solid waste. Of course, this leads to the entire CSS paper having a lower impact as the impacts from the coffee production itself are not considered. It should be mentioned, however, that even when CSS was considered as a co-product, the CSS paper production still outperformed conventional paper production.

Considering the main results obtained, it is worth mentioning that the customers consumer behavior might favor the CSS option due to being more attractive because of environmental impact reasons. In recent years, there has been a substantial increase in demand for “green” products and, when marketed as such, consumers might be willing to accept a higher price point for a product with a lower environmental impact. In fact, one study showed that 37% of consumers are willing to pay up to 5% more for a more environmentally friendly product [26]. Further research concerning consumers’ willingness to pay with regards to CSS paper is recommended.

Another point deserves to be mentioned. CSS has no market, at least in Italy, and this material is disposed of as a waste or given away for free to people interested in valorizing it. Following this work it could become a very attractive substitute for virgin cellulose in paper making, thus achieving a monetary value and adding profit to the roasters. Of course this is where policy and regulation play a landmark role for the implementation of the circular economy and industrial symbiosis.

3. Conclusions

In conclusion, the main findings are summarized as follows:

- An about 10% environmental impact reduction can be achieved by substituting existing methods with the novel silverskin paper production method.
- We found approximately 13% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions when comparing the silverskin paper production method to the conventional method.

- The limited availability of data and information to carry out the given investigation required the study team to make several assumptions. Please refer to [Section 2.1.3.5](#) (Coffee production) for more information concerning this issue.

Thus, the findings demonstrate the feasibility in utilizing silverskin as a substitute to other raw materials to produce paper pulp at the Italian national level. These results also show the relevance of applying LCA analysis when designing circular economy and industrial symbiosis pathways.

References

1. Final Energy Consumption. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/t2020_34/default/table?lang=en (accessed on 29 May 2020).
2. Rousselot, M.; Pollier, K. Energy efficiency trends in buildings. *Odyssee Mure Policy Br.* 2018, 4, 1–4.
3. Energy Efficiency and Energy Consumption in the Transport Sector. Available online: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/energy-efficiency-and-energy-consumption/assessment-1> (accessed on 3 June 2021).
4. Pezzutto, S.; Croce, S.; Zambotti, S.; Kranzl, L.; Novelli, A.; Zambelli, P. Assessment of the space heating and domestic hot water market in Europe—open data and results. *Energies* 2019, 12, 1760.
5. 2050 Long-Term Strategy Climate Action. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/strategies/2050_en (accessed on 29 May 2020).
6. Paris Agreement. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/international/negotiations/paris_en (accessed on 29 May 2020).
7. Circular Economy: Definition, Importance and Benefits. Available online: <https://www.europarl.europa.eu/news/en/headlines/economy/20151201STO05603/circular-economy-definition-importance-and-benefits> (accessed on 31 December 2020).
8. Boschiero, M.; Pezzutto, S. Assessing sustainability of circular economy supply chains: A case study on coffee residues in Italy. In Proceedings of the SSPCR Conference, Bolzano, Italy, 9–13 December 2019.
9. Monier, V.; Mudgal, S.; Escalon, V.; O'Connor, C.; Gibon, T.; Anderson, G.; Ogilvie, S.; Montoux, H.; Morton, G.; Reisinger, H.; et al. Preparatory Study on Food Waste Across Eu 27. 2010, pp.

40–51. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/environment/euussd/pdf/bio_foodwaste_report.pdf (accessed on 12 August 2020).

10. Mabee, W.E.; McFarlane, P.N.; Saddler, J.N. Biomass availability for lignocellulosic ethanol production. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2011, 35, 4519–4529.

11. Abraham, A.; Mathew, A.K.; Park, H.; Choi, O.; Sindhu, R.; Parameswaran, B.; Pandey, A.; Park, J.H.; Sang, B.I. Pretreatment strategies for enhanced biogas production from lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2020, 301, 122725.

12. Overturf, E.; Ravasio, N.; Zaccheria, F.; Tonin, C.; Patrucco, A.; Bertini, F.; Canetti, M.; Avramidou, K.; Speranza, G.; Bavaro, T.; et al. Towards a more sustainable circular bioeconomy. Innovative approaches to rice residue valorization: The RiceRes case study. *Bioresour. Technol. Rep.* 2020, 11, 100427.

13. Mirabella, N.; Castellani, V.; Sala, S. Current options for the valorization of food manufacturing waste: A review. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2014, 65, 28–41.

14. Tuck, C.O.; Pérez, E.; Horváth, I.T.; Sheldon, R.A.; Poliakoff, M. Valorization of biomass: Deriving more value from waste. *Science* 2012, 337, 695–699.

15. Ravasio, N.; CirCo Project Form. 2017; 1–14, unpublished.

16. Kovalcik, M.; Obruca, S.; Marova, I. Valorization of spent coffee grounds: A review. *Food Bioprod. Process.* 2018, 110, 104–119.

17. The World's Largest Recycler of Coffee Grounds. Available online: <https://www.bio-bean.com/> (accessed on 1 June 2020).

18. FAVINI. Available online: <https://www.favini.com/en/> (accessed on 3 June 2021).

19. Favini's Upcycled Ecological Papers: Crush, Uses By-Products from the Food Industry. Available online: <https://circulareconomy.europa.eu/platform/en/good-practices/favinis-upcycled-ecological-papers-crush-uses-products-food-industry> (accessed on 17 June 2020).

20. CirCo Project. Available online: <http://progettocirco.it/?lang=en> (accessed on 4 December 2020).

21. Xuan, S.H.; Lee, K.S.; Jeong, H.J.; Park, Y.M.; Ha, J.H.; Park, S.N. Cosmeceutical activities of ethanol extract and its ethyl acetate fraction from coffee silverskin. *Biomater. Res.* 2019, 23, 2.

22. Environmental Management—Life Cycle Assessment—Principles and Framework (ISO 14040:2006). Available online: <https://www.iso.org/standard/37456.html> (accessed on 12 June 2019).

23. Environmental Management—Life Cycle Assessment—Requirements and Guidelines (ISO 14044:2006). Available online: <https://www.iso.org/standard/38498.html> (accessed on 12 June 2019).

24. Silva, D.A.L.; Raymundo Pavan, A.L.; Augusto De Oliveira, J.; Ometto, A.R. Life cycle assessment of offset paper production in Brazil: Hotspots and cleaner production alternatives. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2015, 93, 222–233.
25. Schmidt Rivera, X.C.; Gallego-Schmid, A.; Najdanovic-Visak, V.; Azapagic, A. Life cycle environmental sustainability of valorisation routes for spent coffee grounds: From waste to resources. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 2020, 157, 104751.
26. 2019 Sustainability Report. Available online: <http://go.toluna-group.com/l/36212/2019-10-30/5p7ppd> (accessed on 12 August 2021).

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/31107>