Endoscopic Bariatric and Metabolic Therapies

Subjects: Gastroenterology & Hepatology Contributor: Su Young Kim

Obesity is a chronic disease that is becoming increasingly more prevalent and is associated with many health problems, such as metabolic syndrome. The treatment options for obese patients include lifestyle modification, medications, endoscopic bariatric and metabolic therapies (EBMTs), and surgery. In particular, EBMTs have an excellent therapeutic effect and are less invasive than bariatric surgery.

Keywords: endoscopic bariatric and metabolic therapies ; intragastric balloon ; endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty ; gastroesophageal reflux disease ; obesity

1. Introduction

Obesity is a complex metabolic disease associated with many health problems, including diabetes mellitus, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, obstructive sleep apnea, malignancy, and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) ^{[1][2][3]}. Obesity is not a single disease but is accompanied by a variety of comorbidities, so the increase in the obese population at the national level has caused a significant increase in medical expenses ^[4]. Therefore, resolving obesity is an important issue from the national health and medical perspectives. The current obesity treatments rely on lifestyle modifications, diet control, exercise, and medication, but these methods often do not result in effective weight loss, and persistence is a problem even if there is a weight-loss effect ^{[5][6]}. Therefore, improved treatment methods are needed to solve these problems.

Bariatric surgery is performed to treat extreme obesity and metabolic diseases accompanying obesity and includes adjustable gastric banding, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG), and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) ^[Z]. Bariatric surgery has good effects, but patients do not choose this treatment due to its high cost and permanent resection of the gastrointestinal tract ^[B]. Research and development on endoscopic bariatric and metabolic therapies (EBMTs) have been conducted to obtain an effect similar to that of bariatric surgery ^[S]. EBMTs are expected to have a comparable effect to that of bariatric surgery in terms of cost and safety, therefore they are relatively easily accessible. Representative EBMT methods include the intragastric balloon (IGB), which artificially reduces stomach volume by inserting a balloon, and endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty (ESG), which is a gastric reduction procedure through an endoscope ^{[10][11]}.

EBMT reduces weight and ameliorates obesity-associated complications ^{[12][13]}. However, EBMTs can result in adverse events, including nausea, abdominal pain, and gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) ^{[12][13]}. GERD may diminish when EBMT is performed on obese patients because GERD may occur due to obesity, but GERD may also worsen due to the EBMT even though the patient has lost weight. Recent studies have suggested that anatomical changes associated with bariatric surgery (LSG and vertical gastric banding) may increase new-onset GERD in asymptomatic patients ^{[14][15]}. However, the mechanism of EBMT in GERD is unclear, and only small studies have indicated that anatomical changes associated with EBMT may compound GERD. As the obese population increases, the targets of EBMT are gradually increasing. Therefore, managing the complications related to the procedure is emerging as an important issue. In particular, GERD can significantly reduce the quality of life. Unfortunately, very few studies have reported on the effects of EBMTs on GERD. In particular, there is no randomized controlled study (RCT) on the occurrence of GERD based on the type of procedure.

2. Intragastric Balloon

An IGB is used extensively in clinical practice and is the earliest EBMT ^[16]. IGBs occupy space in the stomach and reduce food intake while simultaneously reducing gastric motility, which leads to weight loss. Various types of IGBs have been developed, and the most representative IGB is the BioEnteric intragastric balloon (Allergan, Irvine, CA, USA) ^[16]. The

effectiveness of the IGB has been demonstrated in many studies. Six months of IGB treatment led to an average weight loss of 14.7 kg and a decrease of body mass index (BMI) by 5.7 kg/m 2 $^{[17]}$. Another systematic review showed that the mean changes in weight and BMI were 15.7 kg and 5.9 kg/m 2, respectively, after 6 months $^{[18]}$.

Very few studies have investigated the effects of EBMT on GERD, and studies on IGBs are limited. In addition, some studies have reported conflicting results about how IGBs increase GERD. Tolone et al. demonstrated the effects of various bariatric procedures on lower esophageal sphincter pressure (LESp), peristalsis, and acid exposure total (AET) using high-resolution manometry and impedance–pH monitoring ^[19]. In that study, 13 patients underwent endoscopic balloon placement. As results, LESp and the frequency of ineffective peristalsis did not change significantly after placement of an IGB. AET and the total number of refluxes were also not significantly different before and after placement of an endoscopic balloon. In contrast, AET and the total number of refluxes increased after gastric banding and sleeve gastrectomy. Bariatric surgery transforms the stomach anatomy and induces physiological changes to accommodate internal pressure. These physiological changes create conditions in which GERD occurs. IGBs can be removed if necessary.

Several other studies have reported that IGBs cause transient or long-term GERD. Gastric distension is long thought to accelerate acid reflux because of reduced LESp and total sphincter length, and an increase in the frequency of transient LES relaxations (TLESR) and gastric acid secretion ^{[20][21]}. IGBs can also induce chronic artificial gastric distension and exacerbate GERD through the above mechanisms. However, weight loss occurs after balloon treatment, resulting in a pattern in which GERD-inducing factors due to obesity (increased abdominal pressure and TLESR) are cancelled out ^[22]. Therefore, the acid reflux parameters improve during the second half of IGB treatment compared to the value before IGB treatment ^[20]. Another study showed that chronic distention caused by an IGB increased acid reflux for 10 weeks after balloon placement, which resolved after 20 weeks ^[23].

3. Endoscopic Sleeve Gastroplasty

ESG is a method to reduce stomach volume by endoscopic suturing ^[24]. RYGB and LSG are the most effective methods for weight loss, but they have a relatively high risk, and it is difficult to convert the stomach back to its original structure ^[25]. Fayad et al. demonstrated that ESG patients had a significantly lower rate of adverse events than LSG patients did (5.2% vs. 16.9%, p < 0.05) ^[26]. A recent meta-analysis also showed that adverse events with ESG totalled 2.9% and with LSG was 11.8% (p = 0.001) ^[27]. ESG narrows the intraluminal space by repeatedly suturing the greater curvature of the stomach body to the longitudinal axis using an endoscopic suturing system (OverStitch). Unlike LSG, ESG does not require general anesthesia and does not require gastric resection ^[24]. ESG requires additional equipment under moderate sedation (overtube and large-bore gastroscope are needed along with patient compliance to stitch), and in some cases may take longer than LSG. The ESG mechanism is to narrow the intraluminal space and to induce a feeling of early satiety, which ultimately leads to reduced food intake and weight loss. A prospective study reported that mean BMI loss was 7.3 ± 4.2 kg/m 2, and a mean percentage of total body weight loss of 18.7 ± 10.7 after 1 year ^[28]. Another prospective study showed that ESG alters gastric physiology (slows gastric emptying and increases insulin sensitivity) and induces body weight loss in obese patients ^[29].

Very few studies have been performed on the effects of ESG on GERD, and the results are limited. In most cases, these studies compared the occurrence of adverse events with LSG. According to a recent meta-analysis, GERD with ESG produced a frequency of 0.4% adverse events (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.1–1.1) and LSG led to 5.8% adverse events (95% CI: 3.5–9.3) (p = 0.001) ^[2Z]. LSG can adversely affect GERD. A meta-analysis showed that the pooled incidence of new-onset GERD symptoms is 20% after LSG ^[30]. DuPree et al. demonstrated that LSG does not improve GERD symptoms in obese patients but, rather, may induce GERD in some previously asymptomatic patients ^[14]. Therefore, preoperative GERD is associated with a worse outcome after LSG. An expert consensus indicated that GERD is a contraindication to LSG ^[31]. The possible causes of LSG inducing GERD are low maximal distal contraction integral and low resting esophageal sphincter pressure ^{[13][32]}. Fayad et al. showed how ESG and LSG affect GERD ^[26]. In that study, the proportions of patients with GERD at baseline were similar in the two groups (ESG vs. LSG); however, new-onset GERD was significantly lower in the ESG group than in the LSG group (1.9% vs. 14.5%, p < 0.05) ^[26]. The reason why the frequency of GERD was very low in the ESG group is because the fundus of the stomach was preserved and the neuronal innervation of the stomach was maintained ^[33].

4. Conclusions

Although many studies have been published on EBMTs, studies showing the effect of EBMTs on GERD are rare. In addition, most of the published results simply present how the frequency of GERD was an adverse event after EBMT treatment. **Table 1** indicates the effect of EBMT on the occurrence of GERD in previous studies. The reason why relatively few studies have been published on the effect of EBMT on the development of GERD is that the mechanism of EBMT-induced GERD is unclear, and the results of each study differ. In addition, countermeasures for more serious adverse events than GERD have been prioritized. Taken together, the following conclusions can be drawn. First, IGB may have some effects on the occurrence of GERD; however, the mechanism remains controversial. Gastric distension is induced by an IGB, and a decrease in LESp is thought to be the main reason for GERD. It is also expected that IGB will lower the TLESR threshold and induce regurgitation, creating an environment prone to GERD. Second, ESG has little effect on the occurrence of GERD. Far fewer studies have been published on ESG than on IGBs, and most do not mention GERD. In particular, we confirmed that the occurrence of GERD was much lower than that of LSG. Further prospective RCT studies with objective criteria (esophageal pH monitoring tests/endoscopy) are required to understand the effect of EBMTs on GERD.

Author, Year	Study Design	Intervention	Total N	Patient Inclusion Criteria	Rate of GERD	Notes
Mathus- Vliegen et al., 2002 [<u>20</u>]	Randomized, double-blind, sham- controlled trial	IGB	43	BMI ≥ 32 kg/m²	NA	Supine reflux and duration of the longest reflux increased initially in balloon-treated subjects. However, acid reflux decreased to the pretreatment level during the second half of the treatment, and improved further after removing the balloon.
Sallet et al., 2004 <u>[40]</u>	Prospective, multicenter study	IGB	323	NA	Reflux esophagitis: 12.4%	
Al-Momen et al., 2005 <u>[41]</u>	Retrospective study	IGB	44	NA	GERD: 6.8%	
Herve et al., 2005 <u>[42]</u>	Prospective study	IGB	100	NA	New or progressive esophagitis: 7.5%	
Rossi et al., 2007 <u>[24]</u>	Retrospective study	IGB	121	BMI ≥ 30 kg/m ² with significant health risk; Patients with BMI ≥ 40 or 35 kg/m ² with co- morbidities; Presurgical temporary use in extremely obese patients	Erosive esophagitis: 15% (before treatment) → 18.2% (after treatment)	This study did not measure GERD but only showed an increase in erosive esophagitis.
Peker et al., 2010 <u>[43]</u>	Prospective study	IGB	31	NA	GERD: 16.1%	
Tai et al., 2013 <u>[44]</u>	NA	IGB	28	BMI: 27–32 kg/m ² with obesity-related co-morbidities; BMI ≥ 32 kg/m ² with obesity-related co- morbidities and did not wish to undergo bariatric surgery; BMI ≥ 37 kg/m ²	Erosive esophagitis: 7.1% (before treatment) → 32.1% (after treatment), GERD: 7.1%	
Nguyen et al., 2017 <u>[45]</u>	Retrospective study	IGB	135	BMI ≥ 27 kg/m²	GERD: 6.7%	

Table 1. Clinical outcomes of endoscopic bariatric and metabolic therapies for gastroesophageal reflux disease.

Author, Year	Study Design	Intervention	Total N	Patient Inclusion Criteria	Rate of GERD	Notes
Courcoulas et al., 2017 <u>[46]</u>	Multicenter, randomized, open-label clinical trial	IGB	160	BMI: 30–40 kg/m ²	Severe GERD: 0.6%, esophagitis: 2.5%	
Dang et al., 2018 [<u>47]</u>	A propensity- matched analysis study	IGB	781	NA	GERD: 22.7%	The Metabolic and Bariatric Surgery Accreditation and Quality Improvement Program (MBSAQIP) collects data from 791 bariatric surgery centers in the United States and Canada.
Abeid et al., 2019 <u>[48]</u>	Interventional study	IGB	1600	NA	Reflux esophagitis: 3.6%	Most cases of reflux esophagitis were controlled by PPIs.
Barrichello et al., 2020 [25]	Retrospective review of prospectively collected data	IGB	24	BMI: 30–40 kg/m ²	NA	There was an increase in the mean DeMeester score with the IGB treatment compared to pretreatment, without statistical significance.
Fayad et al., 2019 <u>[32]</u>	A case- matched study	ESG	54	ΝΑ	GERD: 1.9%	New-onset GERD was significantly lower in the ESG than in the LSG (1.9% vs. 14.5%, p < 0.05).
Fiorillo et al., 2020 <u>[49]</u>	Retrospective single-center study	ESG	23	BMI > 40 kg/m ² or BMI > 35 kg/m ² when diagnosed with obesity-related diseases	GERD: 0%	Contrast to the ESG group, 30.7% of the LSG group developed postoperative GERD.

GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; IGB, intragastric balloon; BMI, body mass index; NA, not available; PPI, proton pump inhibitor; ESG, endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty; LSG, laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy.

References

- Jensen, M.D.; Ryan, D.H.; Apovian, C.M.; Ard, J.D.; Comuzzie, A.G.; Donato, K.A.; Hu, F.B.; Hubbard, V.S.; Jakicic, J.M.; Kushner, R.F.; et al. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: A report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and The Obesity Society. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2014, 63, 2985–3023.
- Garvey, W.T.; Garber, A.J.; Mechanick, J.I.; Bray, G.A.; Dagogo-Jack, S.; Einhorn, D.; Grunberger, G.; Handelsman, Y.; Hennekens, C.H.; Hurley, D.L.; et al. American association of clinical endocrinologists and american college of endocrinology consensus conference on obesity: Building an evidence base for comprehensive action. Endocr. Pract. 2014, 20, 956–976.
- Kim, S.H.; Chun, H.J.; Choi, H.S.; Kim, E.S.; Keum, B.; Jeen, Y.T. Current status of intragastric balloon for obesity treatment. World J. Gastroenterol. 2016, 22, 5495–5504.
- 4. Kim, D.D.; Basu, A. Estimating the Medical Care Costs of Obesity in the United States: Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Empirical Analysis. Value Health 2016, 19, 602–613.
- 5. Gregg, E.W.; Chen, H.; Wagenknecht, L.E.; Clark, J.M.; Delahanty, L.M.; Bantle, J.; Pownall, H.J.; Johnson, K.C.; Safford, M.M.; Kitabchi, A.E.; et al. Association of an intensive lifestyle intervention with remission of type 2 diabetes. JAMA 2012, 308, 2489–2496.
- 6. Yanovski, S.Z.; Yanovski, J.A. Long-term drug treatment for obesity: A systematic and clinical review. JAMA 2014, 311, 74–86.
- 7. Angrisani, L.; Santonicola, A.; Iovino, P.; Vitiello, A.; Higa, K.; Himpens, J.; Buchwald, H.; Scopinaro, N. IFSO Worldwide Survey 2016: Primary, Endoluminal, and Revisional Procedures. Obes. Surg. 2018, 28, 3783–3794.
- 8. Buchwald, H.; Oien, D.M. Metabolic/bariatric surgery worldwide. Obes. Surg. 2013, 23, 427–436.

- Sullivan, S.; Kumar, N.; Edmundowicz, S.A.; Abu Dayyeh, B.K.; Jonnalagadda, S.S.; Larsen, M.; Thompson, C.C. ASGE position statement on endoscopic bariatric therapies in clinical practice. Gastrointest. Endosc. 2015, 82, 767– 772.
- 10. Lee, B.I. Role of Gastroenterologists in Management of Obesity. Korean. J. Gastroenterol. 2015, 66, 186–189.
- 11. Choi, H.S.; Chun, H.J. Recent Trends in Endoscopic Bariatric Therapies. Clin. Endosc. 2017, 50, 11–16.
- 12. Na, H.K.; De Moura, D.T.H. Various Novel and Emerging Technologies in Endoscopic Bariatric and Metabolic Treatments. Clin. Endosc. 2021, 54, 25–31.
- 13. Yoon, J.Y.; Arau, R.T. The Efficacy and Safety of Endoscopic Sleeve Gastroplasty as an Alternative to Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy. Clin. Endosc. 2021, 54, 17–24.
- 14. DuPree, C.E.; Blair, K.; Steele, S.R.; Martin, M.J. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy in patients with preexisting gastroesophageal reflux disease: A national analysis. JAMA Surg. 2014, 149, 328–334.
- 15. Gu, L.; Chen, B.; Du, N.; Fu, R.; Huang, X.; Mao, F.; Khadaroo, P.A.; Zhao, S. Relationship Between Bariatric Surgery and Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Obes. Surg. 2019, 29, 4105–4113.
- 16. Choi, S.J.; Choi, H.S. Various Intragastric Balloons Under Clinical Investigation. Clin. Endosc. 2018, 51, 407–415.
- 17. Imaz, I.; Martinez-Cervell, C.; Garcia-Alvarez, E.E.; Sendra-Gutierrez, J.M.; Gonzalez-Enriquez, J. Safety and effectiveness of the intragastric balloon for obesity. A meta-analysis. Obes. Surg. 2008, 18, 841–846.
- Yorke, E.; Switzer, N.J.; Reso, A.; Shi, X.; de Gara, C.; Birch, D.; Gill, R.; Karmali, S. Intragastric Balloon for Management of Severe Obesity: A Systematic Review. Obes. Surg. 2016, 26, 2248–2254.
- Tolone, S.; Savarino, E.; de Bortoli, N.; Frazzoni, M.; Frazzoni, L.; Savarino, V.; Docimo, L. Esophageal High-Resolution Manometry Can Unravel the Mechanisms by Which Different Bariatric Techniques Produce Different Reflux Exposures. J. Gastrointest. Surg. 2020, 24, 1–7.
- 20. Mathus-Vliegen, E.M.; Tygat, G.N. Gastro-oesophageal reflux in obese subjects: Influence of overweight, weight loss and chronic gastric balloon distension. Scand. J. Gastroenterol. 2002, 37, 1246–1252.
- 21. Holloway, R.H.; Hongo, M.; Berger, K.; McCallum, R.W. Gastric distention: A mechanism for postprandial gastroesophageal reflux. Gastroenterology 1985, 89, 779–784.
- 22. Wu, J.C.; Mui, L.M.; Cheung, C.M.; Chan, Y.; Sung, J.J. Obesity is associated with increased transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation. Gastroenterology 2007, 132, 883–889.
- 23. Hirsch, D.P.; Mathus-Vliegen, E.M.; Dagli, U.; Tytgat, G.N.; Boeckxstaens, G.E. Effect of prolonged gastric distention on lower esophageal sphincter function and gastroesophageal reflux. Am. J. Gastroenterol. 2003, 98, 1696–1704.
- 24. Lee, H.L. Currently Available Non-Balloon Devices. Clin. Endosc. 2018, 51, 416–419.
- 25. Itani, M.I.; Farha, J.; Marrache, M.K.; Fayad, L.; Badurdeen, D.; Kumbhari, V. The Effects of Bariatric Surgery and Endoscopic Bariatric Therapies on GERD: An Update. Curr. Treat. Options. Gastroenterol. 2020, 18, 97–108.
- Fayad, L.; Adam, A.; Schweitzer, M.; Cheskin, L.J.; Ajayi, T.; Dunlap, M.; Badurdeen, D.S.; Hill, C.; Paranji, N.; Lalezari, S. Endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty versus laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: A case-matched study. Gastrointest. Endosc. 2019, 89, 782–788.
- 27. Mohan, B.P.; Asokkumar, R.; Khan, S.R.; Kotagiri, R.; Sridharan, G.K.; Chandan, S.; Ravikumar, N.P.; Ponnada, S.; Jayaraj, M.; Adler, D.G. Outcomes of endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty; how does it compare to laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Endosc. Int. Open 2020, 8, E558–E565.
- 28. Lopez-Nava, G.; Galvao, M.; Bautista-Castano, I.; Fernandez-Corbelle, J.P.; Trell, M. Endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty with 1-year follow-up: Factors predictive of success. Endosc. Int. Open 2016, 4, E222–E227.
- Abu Dayyeh, B.K.; Acosta, A.; Camilleri, M.; Mundi, M.S.; Rajan, E.; Topazian, M.D.; Gostout, C.J. Endoscopic Sleeve Gastroplasty Alters Gastric Physiology and Induces Loss of Body Weight in Obese Individuals. Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2017, 15, 37–43.e1.
- Oor, J.E.; Roks, D.J.; Unlu, C.; Hazebroek, E.J. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy and gastroesophageal reflux disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am. J. Surg. 2016, 211, 250–267.
- Gagner, M.; Hutchinson, C.; Rosenthal, R. Fifth International Consensus Conference: Current status of sleeve gastrectomy. Surg. Obes. Relat. Dis. 2016, 12, 750–756.
- 32. Quero, G.; Fiorillo, C.; Dallemagne, B.; Mascagni, P.; Curcic, J.; Fox, M.; Perretta, S. The Causes of Gastroesophageal Reflux after Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy: Quantitative Assessment of the Structure and Function of the Esophagogastric Junction by Magnetic Resonance Imaging and High-Resolution Manometry. Obes. Surg. 2020, 30, 2108–2117.

33. Asokkumar, R.; Babu, M.P.; Bautista, I.; Lopez-Nava, G. The Use of the OverStitch for Bariatric Weight Loss in Europe. Gastrointest. Endosc. Clin. N. Am. 2020, 30, 129–145.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/30225