# **Washing Damage in E-Textiles**

Subjects: Materials Science, Textiles | Engineering, Electrical & Electronic | Others Contributor: Sigrid Rotzler

E-textiles, hybrid products that incorporate electronic functionality into textiles, often need to withstand washing procedures to ensure textile typical usability. Yet, the washability—which is essential for many e-textile applications like medical or sports due to hygiene requirements—is often still insufficient. The influence factors for washing damage in textile integrated electronics as well as common weak points are not extensively researched, which makes a targeted approach to improve washability in e-textiles difficult.

electronic textiles

e-textiles smart textiles

wash testing

washability

reliability

failure modes

# **1. Introduction and Context**

If conceived to be worn on or near the body—and even for some non-wearable applications—hybrid electronic textiles (e-textiles), products that incorporate electronic or electrically conductive functionality into textiles, need to be clean- and washable <sup>[1]</sup>. Only e-textiles that exhibit a textile typical usability (which includes washability) will be accepted by users and, thus, lead to commercially successful products <sup>[2]</sup>. Although many experts agree that a lacking washability is one of the major hurdles that e-textiles need to overcome, there is still only limited research into the topic. While numerous publications on e-textiles do feature wash testing, washability is rarely the main issue, but rather one of a range of reliability tests run to evaluate the developed e-textiles. A difficulty in comparably assessing the washing results that are presented in these publications arises from the very different wash testing methods <sup>[3]</sup>. While some wash according to, or at least loosely follow, different existing textile washing standards, others use a variety of household washing procedures or even alternative washing methods, like placing the samples in a beaker with water. Not only do the washing methods differ greatly, but also the number of cycles run to assess washability (between one and more than 50 cycles) as well as the use and type of detergent, the washing temperatures, and the subsequent drying of the samples <sup>[3]</sup>.

To improve the washability of e-textile systems, insight is needed into the typical damages brought about by washing and weak points in the e-textile that will fail first if subjected to washing procedures. This knowledge will allow researchers and developers a targeted approach towards e-textiles with improved washing reliability. Existing research into those topics is rarely done systematically, as washability is not the main focus in most e-textile related publications, as mentioned above. This review tries to alleviate this lack of insight, by bundling findings on common failure modes. The presented overview can be used as a basis for improved e-textile designs with enhanced washability. Detailed information regarding the employed washing methods for most of the cited sources

can be found in a previous review of wash testing practices for e-textiles <sup>[3]</sup>. An extensive research into relevant scientific publications was used as a basis. Similar information on commercially available e-textiles is rarely published; thus, the review is limited to academic research.

# 2. Effects of Washing on E-Textiles

During any washing process, the cleanable items are subjected to the four washing factors time, temperature, mechanical action, and chemistry/biology, also known as Sinners factors <sup>[4][5]</sup>. The magnitude of these interdependent factors determines the outcome of a washing procedure, both the desired effects—cleanliness and hygiene—as well as the unwanted, so-called secondary washing effects (e.g., damages, ageing, or changes in shape or color) <sup>[1]</sup>. Because of the influence of the four factors in combination with the washing water, an e-textile might exhibit any or all of the following secondary washing effects:

- changes in electrical properties: the loss of conductivity or increase in resistance, altered capacitance, [40647/[8094900151][32][33][34][15][16][17][18][19][20][21][22][23][24][25][26][27][26][29][30][31][32][33][34][35][36][37][38][39][40][41][42][43][44][45]
- changes in function: (partial) loss of function of one or more components (LEDs, ...) or the whole e-textile system [13][19][37][46][53][54][55][56][57][58][59]
- changes in characteristics: e.g., changes in sensor ranges, antenna spectra, decreased read ranges, ...
- changes in integrity: e.g., delamination, loosening of wires, loss of components ... [1][10][17][29][36][39][46][55][58]
- changes in appearance: e.g., darkening, changes of the textile surface (pilling, fuzzing), wrinkling, shrinkage ...

The extent of one or more of these changes after a certain number of washing cycles is used as an indicator of washability for e-textiles. The parameter(s) chosen often correlate with the complexity or the composition of the tested system. Most often—especially when testing only conductive textiles—a change in resistance is the parameter of choice to assess washability. Researchers washing antennas or sensors frequently evaluate changes in characteristics and, if added components are present (like LEDs) or fully functional systems are tested, then the estimation of washing reliability is often tied to a change in function <sup>[3]</sup>. Because maintaining conductivity and/or functionality (within tolerances) is more of an issue when washing e-textiles than the textile integrity, changes in appearance and other textile changes are less often employed to assess the washability of e-textiles <sup>[3]</sup>. Although, in some cases, a change in appearance and changes in resistance go hand-in-hand, as in research by Gaubert et al.: the amount of bleaching agents in the detergents used does not only influence the extent of darkening in the examined metallized textiles, but also reduces their conductivity accordingly <sup>[16]</sup>.

# 3. Washing Related E-Textile Failure Modes

When textile integrated electrically conductive or electronic components are subjected to (repeated) washing, damages can occur, which impairs the e-textile's function, appearance, or characteristics. Because e-textiles are a

very diverse group of products—not all of which can be found in a literary source featuring wash testing—the following listing is not exhaustive. Likewise, as new e-textiles are developed, previously non-existent failure modes might occur.

### 3.1. Damages to Conductive Coatings and Printed Conductive Structures

Zeagler et al. find that their silver-based printed conductive tracks exhibit cracks after six washing cycles, which results in increased resistance. They reason that the swelling of the hydrophilic cotton substrate, as well as bending occurring during washing, are responsible. In some samples, the printed ink is not only cracked, but also flaking off [52]. Kim et al. wash aramid textiles coated with a graphene and polyurethane (PU) composite. After repeated washing, the graphene is (partially) lost and the fibers of the underlying textile substrate loosened and entangled (see Figure 1a). The collision of the samples with other materials during the washing process is suspected to be the reason [27]. When testing the washing reliability of differently formulated, metal based conductive coatings, Malm et al. observe a loss of and cracks in coating, with the severity of damages-and subsequent increase in resistance-being strongly dependent on the formulation and thickness of the coating (see Figure 1c) [35]. In woven fabric that is coated with graphene based ink, Afroj et al. observe a loss of graphene flakes after washing, which results in an increase in resistance (see Figure 1d) <sup>[6]</sup>. Shahariar et al. report crack formation of varying severity in their printed-on silver-based paste, as well as a delamination of the paste from the substrates [42]. Other sources also suspect the loss of conductive coating or ink as the reason for increased resistance after washing [7][45]. In a larger study with two types of silver-based conductive ink printed onto 14 different woven textiles, Kazani et al. observe a lost ink and resulting increased resistance for all samples, with differing severity dependent on the material combinations (see Figure 1 b) <sup>[26]</sup>. Screen printed antennas in another publication by Kazani et al. also exhibit cracks after washing [64].



(a) Loss of coating and roughing of textile surface after repeated washing. (Adapted with permission from [30]. Copyright 2018 Springer Nature)



(b) Conductive ink on textiles before (upper row) and after (lower row) washing. (Reprinted with permission from [29]. Copyright 2012 Fibers and Textiles in Eastern Europe)



(c) Damage dependent on coating composition and thickness (upper row: copper based, 5 μm & 200 μm; lower row: silver based, 5 μm & 200 μm). (Reprinted from [38])



(d) Loss of graphene flakes after laundering, less pronounced with TPU coating. (Adapted from [9])

Figure 1. Examples of damages to conductive textile coatings after washing.

### 3.2. Damages to Metallization Layers

Failures that are similar to those described in the previous section occur when washing metallized yarns and textiles. Cai et al. measure the silver content of the washing water of their metallized textile and observe an increase after several cycles, indicating a gradual loss of the silver coating <sup>[61]</sup>. Dhanawansha et al. also observe lost silver, but find that, with an increasing number of washing cycles, the amount of silver lost decreases, which suggests that silver loss is greatest during the first few washing cycles <sup>[62]</sup>. In one publication, the loss of metallization is suspected to be the reason for increased resistance after washing, but not further investigated <sup>[50]</sup>. Rotzler observes a loss of the metallization layer for knitted silver coated nylon fabric, which is dependent on the employed washing program (see Figure 2a) <sup>[39]</sup>. Similar observations are made by Gerhold <sup>[17]</sup> and Foerster, who supposes the friction between the test samples and other textiles during washing is responsible for the loss of metallization <sup>[15]</sup>. Other studies with similar damages to metallized yarns include Tao et al. and Gaubert et al. They observe that the amount of lost metallization increases with the number of washing cycles (see Figure 2b) <sup>[46]</sup>, and it is dependent on the washing parameters (see Figure 2d) <sup>[16]</sup>. Lee et al. find the amount of metal lost, and related

increase in resistance, is dependent on the combination of involved materials <sup>[31]</sup>. uz Zaman et al. presume that mechanical wear is responsible for peeled off and abraded metal layers in metallized nylon fabric <sup>[47][48][49]</sup>. When washing gold and copper coated yarns, Schwarz observes cracks in and a loss of metallization, also suspecting mechanical forces as the main cause <sup>[40]</sup>. Depending on the washing conditions, cracks in the metallization can even occur when the conductive textile is covered by a protective layer of thermoplastic polyurethane (TPU) (see Figure 2c), as a study by Rotzler et al. shows. The authors reason that, due to the conductive textile being covered by a protective layer, mechanical wear and abrasion are not the main cause of damages. Cyclic temperature changes during washing are more damaging to the silver layer, due to a mismatch of the thermal expansion coefficient in the involved materials <sup>[1]</sup>.



(a) Depleated silver layer on nylon filaments. (Reprinted from[42])



(b) Increased loss of metallization dependent on wash cycle ((a)-(f): before washing, after 5,10,20,40,50 cycles). (Reprinted from [49])



(c) Cracks in metallization layer of TPU encaspulated conductive textiles. (Reprinted from [42])



(d) Amount of loss of metallization dependent on washing parameters (1-4: detergent with bleach, detergent without bleach, no detergent, bleaching agent; M: machine wash, S: soaking). (Adapted from [19])

Figure 2. Examples of loss of metallization after washing.

### 3.3. Damages to Wires, Conductive Tracks and Connections

When washing strips of flexible circuit board woven into textiles, Komolafe et al. observe cracks in the copper tracks, located only at the transition zone between tracks and bond pads, identifying these zones as weak points of the circuit <sup>[54]</sup>. Hardy et al. wash different e-yarns of similar composition—wires that are wrapped around a textile core, with and without added functional components. They see wire breakages along the whole length of yarns, as

well as broken and torn off wires at the added components, see Figure 3 c<sup>[19]</sup>. Rotzler et al. conduct a washability study with different types of conductive tracks. In tracks made from meander shaped copper foil embedded in thermoplastic polymer (so-called stretchable circuit boards, SCB), they observe breakages in the copper mostly at the transition from conductive tracks to contacting pads, see Figure 3 b, as well as near the added dummy interposer. Torn litz wires occur in conductive yarn, embroidered onto the textile substrates using the tailored fibre placement (TFP) technique, see Figure 3 a. Similar to the results for the SCB tracks, the torn wires occur at a larger number at the contacting points and the interposer, and to a lesser extent along the length of the tracks <sup>[1]</sup>. SCB tracks that were washed by Veske et al. also exhibit breakages in the tracks, located exclusively at the top and bottom of the meander shapes of the tracks, see Figure 3 d<sup>[59]</sup>. Tao et al. register an increase in broken litz wires corresponding to the number of wash cycles. Another failure mode occurring are broken contacts between the embroidered and interwoven tracks and added LEDs<sup>[46]</sup>.



(a) Torn litz wires. (Reprinted from [42])



(b) Cracks in copper tracks at the transition to contacting pads. (Reprinted from [42])



(c) Torn litz wires and torn off wires at LED pod. (Reprinted from [22])



(d) Cracks in copper tracks. (Reprinted from [62])

Figure 3. Examples of damages to wire- and foil based conductive tracks after washing.

When washing PU coated copper wires that were knitted into textiles, Li et al. observe breakages of the wires, leading to an increase in the resistance or complete electrical failure. The authors suspect the mechanical strain

during washing and resulting deformation of the wires to be responsible <sup>[32]</sup>. Molla et al. find that their embroidered tracks exhibit breakages of the metallized yarn—but the number of breakages is very low when compared to the number of samples with a decreased conductivity, indicating that not only yarn breakages occur, but also a loss of silver coating <sup>[36]</sup>.

Wires embroidered onto a knitted textile using the TFP technique show breakages only at the soldered interconnections to a flexible circuit board in research by Vervust et al. Added LEDs on the board still show function, indicating the board itself and its functional components did survive the washing, only the solder connections are not stable enough <sup>[58]</sup>. Similar observations are made by Gui et al., their liquid metal tracks are still fully intact after washing, with the only defects presenting at the contacting points between the tracks and added components <sup>[53]</sup>. Others also report damaged interconnections between tracks and components, circuit boards, or connectors <sup>[1][19][29][36][57]</sup>. In polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) encapsulated, textile integrated circuits, Ojuroye et al. detect wires that were torn from, as well as chips pulled from, solder joints after repeated washing, being attributed to abrasion, bending, and twisting affecting the samples during the cycles, see Figure 4d <sup>[55]</sup>.



(a) Delamination of protective layer along conductive tracks.(Reprinted from [42])



(b) Peeled off glob top protection. (Reprinted with permission from [32]. Copyright 2016 University of Southampton)



(c) Cracks in protective coating. (Reprinted from [71])



(d) Cracks in PDMS coating. (Reprinted from [58])

Figure 4. Examples of damages to protective layers due to washing.

### 3.4. Damages to Protective Layers

Not only can the conductive materials and components of e-textiles get damaged during washing, but also protective layers or elements. Once these protective structures are corrupted, the underlying conductive or electronic components are more vulnerable to damages themselves [36]. Berglund et al. observe the delamination of the protective PU film in their stitched stretch sensors, with the magnitude of delamination corresponding with an increase in resistance <sup>[10]</sup>. When washing SCB type conductive tracks, delamination of the protective PU layer occurs along the copper foil tracks in the research conducted by Rotzler. The extent of the damages coincides with the harshness of the washing program, which indicates that frictional forces acting on the samples will lead to thinning and eventual breaks of the PU layer along the edges of the copper tracks (see Figure 4a) [39]. Textilebased radio-frequency identification (RFID) tags in a publication by Wang et al. exhibit a steady decrease in read range when subjected to washing cycles. Cracks in the protective coating of the tags, see Figure 4c, which results from mechanical stresses during washing, are suspected to be among the reasons for this reduced functionality <sup>[68]</sup>. Tao et al. also report the delamination of a TPU layer after washing, leading to an ingress of water and, thus, possibly responsible for increased resistance [46]. When testing different protective films and tapes on embroidered tracks, Molla et al. observe delamination for some of the materials. The exposed tracks and components experience greater damage than intact samples [36]. Komolafe reports peeled-off glob-top and encapsulation layers after washing (see Figure 4b). Another failure mode that is connected to the protective layer occurring in the same publication is the encapsulation peeling off the underlying printed silver layer from an embedded component. The author suggests this is due to a stiffness difference, which makes the contact vulnerable to bending <sup>[29]</sup>. The textile integrated touch sensors by Ojuroye et al. exhibit an increasingly limited functionality after each washing cycle. A microscopic analysis reveals cracks in the PDMS encapsulation (see Figure 4d), which enables water to damage the encapsulated components <sup>[55]</sup>. Even reversible effects on the protective layers can impact washability. Parkova et al. argue that their silicone coating will stretch during washing, which leads to damages and function loss of embedded LEDs [37], while Gerhold observes the swelling of the PU layer on conductive textiles and suspects that this could lead to decreased conductivity [17].

#### 3.5. Textile Changes

When looking at e-textile washability in its entirety, maintaining not only electronic, but also textile, integrity should be considered, even though being less relevant for the continued functionality of the e-textile system. Several sources report changes and damages to the textile substrate. Ojuroje et al. observe wrinkling in their test samples <sup>[55]</sup>, Rotzler pilling <sup>[39]</sup> with varying severity depending on washing program and substrate, Quandt et al. entangling of fibers <sup>[66]</sup>, and Martinez-Estrada et al. the loss of fabric pre-treatment after washing <sup>[65]</sup>. Du et al., Kim et al. (see <u>Figure 1</u>a) and Zhao et al. notice an increase in yarn or textile surface roughing <sup>[12][27][69]</sup>. Xu et al. observe a shrinkage in the wale direction of a knitted metallized textile, which results in a decrease in resistance after one wash cycle <sup>[50]</sup>. Zhao et al. wash conductive yarn up to 20 times, with shrinkage occurring only during the first two cycles <sup>[69]</sup>, similar findings are made by Hardy et al. <sup>[19]</sup>.

#### 3.6. Other Failures

Tao et al. report corrosion on some of their washed conductive yarns, as well as an oxidized battery in an ECGdevice <sup>[46]</sup>. The metallized textiles washed by Gaubert et al. show a darkening of the surface, indicating the oxidation of the silver coating <sup>[16]</sup>. Rotzler sees a loss of interposers and Ojuroye et al. detached chips <sup>[39][55]</sup>. Gerhold stops wash testing at the first incidence of detached LED modules from textile based conductive tracks <sup>[17]</sup>.

#### 3.7. Summary of Failure Modes

Washing can lead to numerous issues in e-textiles, as this overview of failure modes shows. These failures can occur at specific points in the design or allover, depending on the type and composition of the e-textile. Weak points are contacts between different materials and components—especially if there is a high stiffness gradient— as well as transition areas within the same material (e.g., from conductor track to contact pad). In conductive yarn or textiles without some form of protection, damages can occur throughout the structure. Because added components in the researched publications are mostly encapsulated or otherwise protected, they fail less often than the conductive tracks connecting them or the contacts between tracks and components. For the same reasons, lost or detached components do not occur often. If the protective elements are themselves damaged during washing, though, the components are also vulnerable to damages, e.g., through water ingress. On the other hand, many of the cited sources do not include added components at all, which makes the findings of this paper concerning components less reliable.

# 4. Conclusion

This article provides an extensive overview of common damage types in e-textiles as a result of (repeated) washing. Although not without limitations, the findings provide much needed insight into how washing affects e-textiles. In doing so, this article can serve as a basis to tackle the pressing matter of washability from different angles and, thereby, create more reliable e-textiles. While some of the findings are applicable to most e-textiles, others are only valid for a specific type of textile-integrated electronics. For a future in-depth approach towards reliably washable e-textiles, a more differentiated examination will thus be necessary, discerning conductor and substrate materials and their requirements as well as the specific e-textile application more thoroughly than this relatively general overview. To achieve this, it will not be sufficient to access, in some cases, the very limited existing sources—as was done in this review. Instead specific, targeted research into single aspects of e-textile washability needs to be conducted.

## References

- Rotzler, S.; Kallmayer, C.; Dils, C.; von Krshiwoblozki, M.; Bauer, U.; Schneider-Ramelow, M. Improving the washability of smart textiles: Influence of different washing conditions on textile integrated conductor tracks. J. Text. Inst. 2020, 111, 1766–1777.
- 2. Yole Développment. Wearables in Consumer and Medical Applications 2020; Techreport; Yole Développment: Lyon, France, 2020.

- 3. Rotzler, S.; von Krshiwoblozki, M.; Schneider-Ramelow, M. Washability of e-textiles: Current testing practices and the need for standardization. Text. Res. J. 2021.
- 4. Wagner, G. Waschmittel, 5th ed.; Wiley VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2017.
- 5. Ellmer, K. Wäsche-Cluster in Konsumentenhaushalten. Ph.D. Thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany, 2016.
- Afroj, S.; Tan, S.; Abdelkader, A.M.; Novoselov, K.S.; Karim, N. Highly Conductive, Scalable, and Machine Washable Graphene-Based E-Textiles for Multifunctional Wearable Electronic Applications. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2020, 2000293.
- Ankhili, A.; Tao, X.; Cochrane, C.; Coulon, D.; Koncar, V. Washable and Reliable Textile Electrodes Embedded into Underwear Fabric for Electrocardiography (ECG) Monitoring. Materials 2018, 11, 256.
- Ankhili, A.; uz Zaman, S.; Tao, X.; Cochrane, C.; Koncar, V.; Coulon, D. Washable embroidered textile electrodes for long-term electrocardiography monitoring. Text. Leather Rev. 2019, 2, 126– 135.
- 9. Baribina, N.; Baltina, I.; Oks, A. Application of additional coating for conductive yarns protection against washing. Key Eng. Mater. 2018, 762, 396–401.
- Berglund, M.E.; Coughlin, J.; Gioberto, G.; Dunne, L.E. Washability of E-Textile Stretch Sensors and Sensor Insulation. In ISWC '14: Proceedings of the 2014 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers; Association for Computing Machinery: New York, NY, USA, 2014; pp. 127– 128.
- Choi, C.M.; Kwon, S.N.; Na, S.I. Conductive PEDOT:PSS-coated poly-paraphenylene terephthalamide thread for highly durable electronic textiles. J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 2017, 50, 155– 161.
- 12. Du, D.; Tang, Z.; Ouyang, J. Highly washable e-textile prepared by ultrasonic nanosoldering of carbon nanotubes onto polymer fibers. J. Mater. Chem. C 2018, 6, 883–889.
- Erdem, D.; Yeşilpınar, S.; Şenol, Y. Design of a TENS knee pad with integrated textile electrodes. In Proceedings of the 7th International Technical Textiles Congress, Izmir, Turkey, 10–12 October 2018; pp. 237–245.
- Frank, E.; Bauch, V. Hochfunktionale leitfähige Beschichtungen aus CNT/Polypyrrol-Kompositbeschichtungen für Intelligente Textilien; Resreport; Institut für Textilchemie und Chemiefasern, Deutsche Institute für Textil- und Faserforschung: Denkendorf, Germany, 2013.
- 15. Foerster, P. Untersuchungen zu Eigenschaften von Nanosilberschichten auf Polyamidfasern; Studienarbeit, Technische Universität Berlin: Berlin, Germany, 2010.

- 16. Gaubert, V.; Gidik, H.; Bodart, N.; Koncar, V. Investigating the Impact of Washing Cycles on Silver-Plated Textile Electrodes: A Complete Study. Sensors 2020, 20, 1739.
- 17. Gerhold, L. Researches on Ultrasonic-Welded Electrical Interconnections at Smart Textiles Using Nonconductive Adhesives. Master's Thesis, Hochschule für Technik und Wirtschaft HTW Berlin, Berlin, Germany, 2018.
- 18. Guo, Y.; Otley, M.T.; Li, M.; Zhang, X.; Sinha, S.; Triech, G.M.; Sotzing, G.A. PEDOT:PSS 'Wires' Printed on Textile for Wearable Electronics. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016.
- Hardy, D.A.; Rahemtulla, Z.; Satharasinghe, A.; Shahidi, A.; Oliveira, C.; Anastasopoulos, I.; Nashed, M.N.; Kgatuke, M.; Komolafe, A.; Torah, R.; et al. Wash Testing of Electronic Yarn. Materials 2020, 13, 1228.
- Hirman, M.; Navratil, J.; Steiner, F.; Hamacek, A. Effect of Washing Cycles on Glued Conductive Joints Used on Stretchable Smart Textile Ribbons. In Proceedings of the 2020 IEEE 8th Electronics System-Integration Technology Conference (ESTC), Tonsberg, Norway, 15–18 September 2020; pp. 1–4.
- Huang, T.H.; Tao, X.; Ko, Y.C.; Hsu, P.C.; Shen, C.L.; Chen, F.L.; Wang, W.C.; Jou, G.T.; Koncar, V. A Novel Design of E-Textile Integration for Physiological Monitoring and Lighting. J. Fash. Technol. Text. Eng. 2018, S4, 10.
- 22. Hwang, B.; Lund, A.; Tian, Y.; Darabi, S.; Müller, C. Machine-Washable Conductive Silk Yarns with a Composite Coating of Ag Nanowires and PEDOT:PSS. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2020, 12, 27537–27544.
- 23. Islam, G.M.N.; Collie, S.; Qasim, M.; Ali, M.A. Highly Stretchable and Flexible Melt Spun Thermoplastic Conductive Yarns for Smart Textiles. Nanomaterials 2020, 10, 2324.
- 24. Ismar, E.; uz Zaman, S.; Bahadir, S.K.; Kalaoglu, F.; Koncar, V. Seam Strength and Washability of Silver Coated Polyamide Yarns. In 18th World Textile Conference (AUTEX 2018); IOP Publishing: Istanbul, Turkey, 2018; Volume 460, p. 012053.
- 25. Kayacan, O.; Kayacan, Ö.; Bulgun, E.; Eser, B.; Pamuk, M. Design Methodology and Performance Studies of a flexible Electrotextile Surface. Autex Res. J. 2015, 15, 153–157.
- 26. Kazani, I.; Hertleer, C.; Mey, G.D.; Schwarz, A.; Guxho, G.; van Langenhove, L. Electrical Conductive Textiles Obtained by Screen Printing. Fibers Text. East. Eur. 2012, 1, 57–63.
- 27. Kim, H.; Lee, S. Evaluation of Laundering Durability of Electro-conductive Textile Dip-coated on Para Aramid Knit with Graphene/Waterborne Polyurethane Composite. Fibers Polym. 2018, 19, 2351–2358.
- 28. Kivanc, U.; Bahadir, S.K. Effects of Home Laundering on Electrical Resistance of Signal Transmission Lines on Colored E-Textiles. J. Energy Power Eng. 2017, 11, 336–344.

- 29. Komolafe, A. Reliability and Interconnections for Printed Circuits on Fabric. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Southhampton, Southhampton, UK, 2016.
- 30. Kye, M.J.; Cho, J.; Yu, J.C.; Chang, Y.W.; Han, J.; Lee, E.; Lim, H.S.; Lim, J.A. "Drop-on-textile" patternable aqueous PEDOT composite ink providing highly stretchable and wash-resistant electrodes for electronic textiles. Dye. Pigment. 2018.
- Lee, J.C.; Lo, C.; Chen, C.C.; Liu, W. Laundering Reliability of Electrically Conductive Fabrics for E-Textile Applications. In Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE 69th Electronic Components and Technology Conference (ECTC), Las Vegas, NV, USA, 28–31 May 2019; pp. 1826–1832.
- 32. Li, Q.; Tao, X.M. Three-dimensionally deformable, highly stretchable, permeable, durable and washable fabric circuit boards. Proc. R. Soc. A 2014, 470, 20140472.
- Liu, X.; Guo, R.; Shi, Y.; Deng, L.; Li, Y. Durable, Washable, and Flexible Conductive PET Fabrics Designed by Fiber Interfacial Molecular Engineering. Macromol. Mater. Eng. 2016, 301, 1383– 1389.
- 34. Linz, T. Analysis of Failure Mechanisms of Machine Embroidered Electrical Contacts and Solutions for Improved Reliability. Ph.D. Thesis, Universiteit Gent, Gent, Belgium, 2012.
- 35. Malm, V.; Seoane, F.; Nierstrasz, V. Characterisation of Electrical and Stiffness Properties of Conductive Textile Coatings with Metal Flake-Shaped Fillers. Materials 2019, 12, 3537.
- 36. Molla, M.T.I.; Compton, C.; Dunne, L.E. Launderability of Surface-Insulated Cut and Sew E-Textiles. In ISWC '18: Proceedings of the 2018 ACM International Symposium on Wearable Computers; Association for Computing Machinery: New York, NY, USA, 2018; pp. 104–111.
- 37. Parkova, I.; Viļumsone, A. Insulation of flexible light emitting display for smart clothing. WIT Trans. Built Environ. 2014, 137.
- 38. Paul, G.; Torah, R.; Yang, K.; Beeby, S.; Tudor, J. An investigation into the durability of screenprinted conductive tracks on textiles. Meas. Sci. Technol. 2014, 25, 025006.
- 39. Rotzler, S. Einfluss der Sinnerschen Faktoren Sowie der Textilen Substrate auf die Waschbarkeit Textilintegrierter Leiterbahnen. Master's Thesis, Hochschule für Technik und Wirtschaft HTW Berlin, Berlin, Germany, 2018.
- 40. Schwarz, A. Electro-Conductive Yarns: Their Development, Characterization and Applications. Ph.D. Thesis, Universiteit Gent, Gent, Belgium, 2011.
- 41. Shahariar, H.; Kim, I.; Soewardiman, H.; Jur, J.S. Inkjet Printing of Reactive Silver Ink on Textiles. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, 6208–6216.
- 42. Shahariar, H.; Kim, I.; Bhakta, R.; Jur, J.S. Direct-write Printing Process of Conductive Paste on Fiber Bulks for Wearable Textile Heaters. Smart Mater. Struct. 2020.

- 43. Tadesse, M.G.; Loghin, C.; Chen, Y.; Wang, L.; Catalin, D.; Nierstrasz, V. Effect of liquid immersion of PEDOT: PSS-coated polyester fabric on surface resistance and wettability. Smart Mater. Struct. 2017, 26, 065016.
- 44. Tadesse, M.G.; Mengistie, D.A.; Chen, Y.; Wang, L.; Loghin, C.; Nierstrasz, V. Electrically conductive highly elastic polyamide/lycra fabric treated with PEDOT:PSS and polyurethane. J. Mater. Sci. 2019, 54, 9591–9602.
- 45. Tang, Z.; Yao, D.; Du, D.; Ouyang, J. Highly machine-washable e-textiles with highstrain sensitivity and high thermal conduction. J. Mater. Chem. C 2020, 8, 2741–2748.
- 46. Tao, X.; Koncar, V.; Huang, T.H.; Shen, C.L.; Ko, Y.C.; Jou, G.T. How to Make Reliable, Washable, and Wearable Textronic Devices. Sensors 2017, 17, 673.
- Uz Zaman, S.; Tao, X.; Cochrane, C.; Koncar, V. Market readiness of smart textile structures— Reliability and washability. In Proceedings of the Aegean International Textile and Advanced Engineering Conference (AITAE2018), Mytilene, Greece, 5–7 September 2018; IOP Publishing: Bristol, UK, 2018; Volume 459, p. 012071.
- Uz Zaman, S.; Tao, X.; Cochrane, C.; Koncar, V. Launderability of Conductive Polymer Yarns Used for Connections of E-textile Modules: Mechanical Stresses. Fibers Polym. 2019, 20, 2355– 2366.
- 49. Uz Zaman, S.; Tao, X.; Cochrane, C.; Koncar, V. Understanding the Washing Damage to Textile ECG Dry Skin Electrodes, Embroidered and Fabric-Based; set up of Equivalent Laboratory Tests. Sensors 2020, 20, 1272.
- 50. Xu, B.; Eike, R.J.; Cliett, A.; Ni, L.; Cloud, R.; Li, Y. Durability testing of electronic textile surface resistivity and textile antenna performance. Text. Res. J. 2019, 89, 3708–3721.
- 51. Yokus, M.A.; Foote, R.; Jur, J.S. Printed Stretchable Interconnects for Smart Garments: Design, Fabrication, and Characterization. IEEE Sens. J. 2016, 16, 7967–7976.
- 52. Zeagler, C.; Audy, S.; Gilliland, S.; Starner, T. Can I Wash It?: The Effect of Washing Conductive Materials Used in Making Textile Based Wearable Electronic Interfaces; Techreport; Georgia Institute of Technology: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2013.
- 53. Gui, H.; Tan, S.; Wang, Q.; Yu, Y.; Liu, F.; Lin, J.; Liu, J. Spraying printing of liquid metal electronics on various clothes to compose wearable functional device. Sci. China Technol. Sci. 2017, 60, 306–316.
- Komolafe, A.; Torah, R.; Wei, Y.; Nunes-Matos, H.; Li, M.; Hardy, D.A.; Dias, T.; Tudor, M.; Beeby, S. Integrating Flexible Filament Circuits for E-Textile Applications. Adv. Mater. Technol. 2019, 4, 1900176.

- 55. Ojuroye, O.; Torah, R.; Beeby, S. Modified PDMS packaging of sensory e-textile circuit microsystems for improved robustness with washing. Microsyst. Technol. 2019.
- 56. Satharasinghe, A.; Hughes-Riley, T.; Dias, T. Wearable and Washable Photovoltaic Fabrics. In Proceedings of the 36th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition. EU PVSEC, Marseille, France, 9–13 September 2019; pp. 42–45.
- 57. Tao, X.; Huang, T.H.; Shen, C.L.; Ko, Y.C.; Jou, G.T.; Koncar, V. Bluetooth Low Energy-Based Washable Wearable Activity Motion and Electrocardiogram Textronic Monitoring and Communicating System. Adv. Mater. Technol. 2018, 1700309.
- 58. Vervust, T.; Buyle, G.; Bossuyt, F.; Vanfleteren, J. Integration of stretchable and washable electronic modules for smart textile applications. J. Text. Inst. 2012, 103, 1127–1138.
- 59. Veske, P.; Bauwens, P.; Bossuyt, F.; Sterken, T.; Vanfleteren, J. Development and Washing Reliability Testing of a Stretchable Circuit on Knit Fabric. Appl. Sci. 2020, 10, 9057.
- Björninen, T.; Virkki, J.; Sydänheimo, L.; Ukkonen, L. Impact of Recurrent Washing on the Performance of Electro-textile UHF RFID Tags. In Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE RFID Technology and Applications Conference (RFID-TA), Tampere, Finland, 8–9 September 2014; pp. 251–255.
- 61. Cai, L.; Song, A.Y.; Wu, P.; Hsu, P.C.; Peng, Y.; Chen, J.; Liu, C.; Catrysse, P.B.; Liu, Y.; Yang, A.; et al. Warming up human body by nanoporous metallized polyethylene textile. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8, 496.
- 62. Dhanawansha, K.B.; Senadeera, R.; Gunathilake, S.S.; Dassanayake, B.S. Silver nanowirecontaining wearable thermogenic smart textiles with washing stability. Adv. Nano Res. 2020, 9, 123–131.
- 63. Fu, Y.Y.; Chan, Y.L.; Yang, M.H.; Chan, Y.C.; Virkki, J.; Björninen, T.; Sydänheimo, L.; Ukkonen, L. Experimental Study on the Washing Durability of Electro-Textile UHF RFID Tags. IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett. 2015, 14, 466–469.
- 64. Kazani, I.; Declerq, F.; Scarpello, M.L.; Hertleer, C.; Rogier, H.; Vande Ginste, D.; Mey, G.D.; Guxho, G.; van Langenhove, L. Performance study of screen-printed textils antennas after repeated washing. Autex Res. J. 2014, 14, 47–54.
- 65. Martinez-Estrada, M.; Moradi, B.; Fernández-Garcia, R.; Gil, I. Impact of Manufacturing Variability and Washing on Embroidery Textile Sensors. Sensors 2018, 18, 3824.
- Quandt, B.M.; Braun, F.; Ferrario, D.; Rossi, R.M.; Scheel-Sailer, A.; Wolf, M.; Bona, G.L.; Hufenus, R.; Scherer, L.J.; Boesel, L.F. Body-monitoring with photonic textiles: A reflective heartbeat sensor based on polymer optical fibres. Interface 2017, 14, 20170060.

- 67. Virkki, J.; Björninen, T.; Kellomäki, T.; Merilampi, S.; Shafiq, I.; Ukkonen, L.; Sydänheimo, L.; Chan, Y. Reliability of washable wearable screen printed UHF RFID tags. Microelectron. Reliab. 2014, 54, 840–846.
- 68. Wang, S.; Chong, N.L.; Virkki, J.; Björninen, T.; Sydänheimo, L.; Ukkonen, L. Towards Washable Electrotextile UHF RFID Tags: Reliability Study of Epoxy-Coated Copper Fabric Antennas. Int. J. Antenn. Propag. 2015, 2015, 424150.
- 69. Zhao, Z.; Yan, C.; Liu, Z.; Fu, X.; Peng, L.M.; Hu, Y.; Zheng, Z. Machine-Washable Textile Triboelectric Nanogenerators for Effective Human Respiratory Monitoring through Loom Weaving of Metallic Yarns. Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 10267–10274.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/23858