

Water Literacy

Subjects: Environmental Sciences

Contributor: Meghan McCarroll, Hillary Hamann

Water literacy may be considered generally as the culmination of water-related knowledge, attitudes and behaviors. It holds growing importance for sustainable water resource use and management. While use and definitions of the concept of water literacy vary broadly in the literature, the synthesis of these identifies common elements and themes in a holistic framework.

Keywords: water literacy ; water education ; hydrosocial ; water sustainability ; conservation

1. Introduction

A strong, interdisciplinary and widespread foundation of water knowledge among all water users is a pivotal goal towards achieving water sustainability and social water equity. Drawing from educational psychology, water knowledge for an informed and engaged citizenry must also include cognitive, affective/emotional, and behavioral domains^[1]. This mirrors the learning goals set forth by the United Nation's Education for the Sustainable Development Goals (ESDGs)^[2]. Water literacy is therefore the culmination of water-related knowledge, attitudes and behaviors, setting apart its importance and uniqueness from other more commonly used labels such as ecological or environmental literacy. The use of water literacy is increasingly popular, but the concept's definition, application and assessment differs substantially across each use. Thus, this entry details a systematic review and synthesis of available literature to develop a holistic framework of water literacy elements, which can serve as a foundation for future study and application of water literacy efforts.

2. Development of a Water Literacy Framework

Definitions of water and watershed literacy were collected through a keyword search of scientific databases (Google Scholar, ProQuest, and Jstor) and websites. Twenty-six unique definitions and descriptions were analyzed using a qualitative thematic text analysis^[3] to identify common themes. Reviewing the themes in the context of other learning and knowledge frameworks^{[2][4][5]} led to a new framework of eight unique but complementary knowledge sets.

The synthesized knowledge sets with their descriptive themes are shown in Figure 1. The outer ring recognizes definitions that are non-specific. For example, one of the broadest and most thorough water literacy surveys to date was conducted by Fielding et al.^[6] in Australia, and yet they define water literacy as "water-related knowledge" (p. 6). Ambiguity in defining water literacy is appealing because it can encompass a vast range of water-related topics, but it also limits understanding and applications of the concept.

The interior of the figure depicts more specific knowledge sets organized by the learning domains reflected in each set. From the cognitive domain, four separate knowledge sets were identified: science and systems knowledge, hydrosocial knowledge, local knowledge, and functional knowledge. From the behavioral domain, two knowledge sets were identified: individual action and collective action. From the affective domain, one knowledge set was identified: attitudes and values. The unequal division between these three learning domains reflects a common issue among sustainability education literature, which emphasize cognitive learning over behavioral and affective learning^[7].

Figure 1. Water literacy framework depicting key knowledge sets that emerged from a text analysis of water literacy definitions from 26 sources^[8].

Cognitive knowledge was the most detailed in water literacy definitions and provided the most distinction among knowledge sets.

Science and systems knowledge is based on water's unique scientific properties and its significance for living systems, including the water cycle and water's ability to transport dissolved and solid materials. This category encompasses ecosystem needs and flows^{[4][5][9][10][11]}, hydrological processes, cycles and functions^{[5][9][12][13][14][15]}, and water's

chemical and physical properties^{[5][16][17]}. An understanding of “watershed concepts”^[18] (p. i) and ability to define watersheds^{[11][13][19]} are also included in this knowledge set.

Local knowledge encompasses an understanding of local water sources^{[17][20]}, water infrastructure^{[12][13][15][21][22]}, and current water demands and uses^{[15][17][21][22][23][24][25][26]}. There is a particular focus on knowing where one's water comes from^{[4][10][15][22][25]}, including a familiarity with the watershed one lives in^{[11][13]}.

Hydrosocial knowledge refers to the bi-directional and continuous interactions between society and water resources. A number of definitions emphasized how human actions impact water quality and health of water resources, and at the same time, how the health and quality of water resources directly impact human health and welfare^{[11][12][13][14][15][21][25][27]}. This knowledge set reflects the increasing recognition of the hydrologic cycle as intricately intertwined within and around social and cultural processes^{[28][29][30]}.

Functional knowledge represents a bridging knowledge set that connects water-related knowledge to real world applications by underscoring the difference between how water is currently used and how water should be used. This includes awareness of how to use water sustainably^{[20][23][25]}, how to conserve^{[10][15][31]}, and how to protect and/or restore watersheds^{[11][18]}.

The affective application of water-related knowledge is represented by the **attitudes and values** knowledge set. Beyond a general mention^{[21][32][33][34]}, definitions specify that water literacy should include “attitudes toward watershed health”^[18], a “scientific water attitude”^[31], or regionally-specific elements such as valuing the role and function of the Great Lakes in the U.S.^[14].

Finally, the two behavioral domains of water literacy include **individual action** and **collective action**. Individual action refers to the actions of single persons or households^{[9][12][13][17][21][25][31][32][33]}, who make “informed and responsible”^[14] decisions about water resources that have the capacity to “reduce individual... impact”^[11] on water quality and water quantity. In contrast, collective action refers to the water-conscious actions of a large group of people. It is the act of making informed decisions at a “societal level”^[9] (p. 37), in order to reduce the “collective impact” of humans ^[11] (p. 29).

Together, these knowledge sets highlight that the concept of water literacy is multi-faceted and complex. While a standardized definition of water literacy may be infeasible, the identification of common elements and knowledge sets provides a holistic framework for water literacy on which to build.

Note: This entry is based on McCarroll, M. & Hamann, H. What We Know about Water: A Water Literacy Review. *Water* 2020, 12, 2803. For further development, see McCarroll, M. and Hamann, H. (2020) and its cited references.

References

1. Angela J. Dean; Jo Lindsay; Kelly S. Fielding; Liam D.G. Smith; Fostering water sensitive citizenship – Community profiles of engagement in water-related issues. *Environmental Science & Policy* **2015**, 55, 238-247, [10.1016/j.envsci.2015.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.10.016).
2. Rieckmann, M.; Mindt, L.; Gardiner, S. Education for Sustainable Development: Learning Objectives; United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization: Paris, France, 2017.
3. Kuckartz, U. Qualitative Text Analysis: A Systems Approach. In *Compendium for Early Career Researchers in Mathematics Education*; Kaiser, G., Presmeg, N., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 181–197.
4. Alberta Water Council. Recommendations to Improve Water Literacy in Alberta; Alberta Water Council: Edmonton, AB, Canada, 2016. Available online: https://www.awchome.ca/uploads/source/Publications/Project_Team_Reports/AWC_Water_Literacy_Report.pdf (accessed on 6 October 2020).
5. *What We Do*. Project WET Foundation. Retrieved 2020-11-3
6. Fielding, K.; Karnadewi, F.; Mitchell, E.; Newton, F. A National Survey of Australians' Water Literacy and Water-Related Attitudes; CRC for Water Sensitive Cities: Clayton, Australia, 2015.
7. Jessica Belue Buckley; Jessica Ostrow Michel; Correction to: An Examination of Higher Education Institutional Level Learning Outcomes Related to Sustainability. *Innovative Higher Education* **2020**, 45, 1-1, [10.1007/s10755-020-09515-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-020-09515-9).
8. Meghan McCarroll; Hillary Hamann; What We Know about Water: A Water Literacy Review. *Water* **2020**, 12, 2803, [10.3390/w12102803](https://doi.org/10.3390/w12102803).

9. Beth A. Covitt; Kristin L. Gunckel; Charles W. Anderson; Students' Developing Understanding of Water in Environmental Systems. *The Journal of Environmental Education* **2009**, 40, 37-51, [10.3200/jpee.40.3.37-51](https://doi.org/10.3200/jpee.40.3.37-51).
10. Eldridge-Fox, L.; Luxton, L.; Terhorst, A.; de Parry, Z.; Knapp, K.; Lazenby, A. Water Literacy; LSA ThemeSemester on Water. 2010. Available online: <http://graham.umich.edu/media/files/2010report-waterliteracy.pdf> (accessed on 10 August 2020).
11. Hensley, N. Incorporating Place-Based Education to Cultivate Watershed Literacy: A Case Study. In *Handbook of Research on Pedagogical Innovations for Sustainable Development*; Thomas, K.D., Muga, H.E., Eds.; IGI Global: Hershey, PA, USA, 2014.
12. Angela J. Dean; Kelly S. Fielding; Fiona J. Newton; Community Knowledge about Water: Who Has Better Knowledge and Is This Associated with Water-Related Behaviors and Support for Water-Related Policies?. *PLOS ONE* **2016**, 11, e0159063, [10.1371/journal.pone.0159063](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159063).
13. Zint, M.; Kraemer, A.; Heimlich, J. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Bay Watershed Education and Training Program; NOAA 2012. Available online: https://www.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/atoms/files/PDFNOAA_B-WET_Evaluation_Plan-09-2012-NOAA.pdf (accessed on 10 August 2020).
14. Laporte, E.; Ariganello, S.; Samples, A.; Diana, J. Water Literacy White Paper. Michigan Sea Grant; MichiganDepartment of Environmental Quality: Lansing, MI, USA, 2013. Available online: <https://www.michiganseagrants.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Water-Literacy-White-Paper-Michigan-Sea-Grant.pdf> (accessed on 6 October 2020).
15. Reenberg, A. Water literacy in the Sahel: Understanding rain and groundwater. In *Waterworlds: Anthropology in Fluid Environments*; Hastrup, K., Hastrup, F., Eds.; Berghahn Books: New York, NY, USA, 2015.
16. Margaret S. Ewing; Terence J. Mills; Water Literacy in College Freshmen: Could a Cognitive Imagery Strategy Improve Understanding?. *The Journal of Environmental Education* **1994**, 25, 36-40, [10.1080/00958964.1994.9941963](https://doi.org/10.1080/00958964.1994.9941963).
17. Samendra Sherchan; Fayzul Pasha; Beth Weinman; Fred L. Nelson; Florence C. Sharma; Jes Therkelsen; David Drexler; Seven faculties in search of a mission: A proposed interdisciplinary course on water literacy. *Applied Environmental Education & Communication* **2016**, 15, 171-183, [10.1080/1533015x.2016.1164098](https://doi.org/10.1080/1533015x.2016.1164098).
18. Duda, M.D.; Jones, M.; Beppler, T.; Bissell, S.J.; Center, A.; Criscione, A.; Doherty, P.; Hughes, G.L.; Kirkman, T.; Reilly, C.; et al. Watershed-Literacy Survey of Carson River Watershed Residents; Carson River Watershed: Harrisonburg, VA, USA, 2015.
19. Dolman, B. Watershed Literacy. In *Water Matters: Why We Need to Act Now to Save Our Most Critical Resource*; Lohan, T., Ed.; AlterNet Books: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2010; pp. 125–133.
20. Febriani, A. Water Literacy in developing country: A case study for Indonesia. Master Thesis, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, 2017.
21. Otaki, Yurina; Sakura, Osamu; Otaki, M.; Advocating water literacy.. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology* **2015**, 1, 36-40, .
22. Huxhold, R.E. Keep Your Head above Water: Management and Water Literacy in Italy. *Black Gold* 2016, 2, 4. Available online: https://openworks.wooster.edu/blackandgold/vol2/iss1/4/?utm_source=openworks.wooster.edu%2Fbifblackandg%2Fvol2%2Fiss1%2F4&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages (accessed on 10 August 2020).
23. Mackenzie, C. Water Literacy in Central and Southern Alberta: How Five Watershed Education Programs Foster a Relationship between Humans and the Land/Water They depend on. Ph.D. Thesis, Royal Roads University, Victoria, BC, Canada, 2017.
24. Singh, B.N.B.; Aranha, R.H.; Srinivasan, S.; Sharma, P. Water Literacy: An Empirical Study with Special Reference to the Apartment Dwellers in Bengaluru. In *Sustainability, Inspiration, Innovation and Inclusion*; Proceedings of the 5th Annual International Conference on Sustainability, New Delhi, India; Giri, T.K., Jaipuria, S., Das, K.C., Mukhopadhyay, A., Gogoi, B.J., Bhattacharya, M., Eds.; Emerald Group Publishing: Bingley, UK, 2017; pp. 152–161.
25. Carla Roncoli; Ben Orlove; Christoph Ungemach; Brian Dowd-Urbe; Colin Thor West; Kerry Milch; Moussa Sanon; Enough is enough: how West African farmers judge water sufficiency. *Regional Environmental Change* **2018**, 19, 573-585, [10.1007/s10113-018-1426-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1426-3).
26. Brock Ternes; Groundwater Citizenship and Water Supply Awareness: Investigating Water-Related Infrastructure and Well Ownership. *Rural Sociology* **2017**, 83, 347-375, [10.1111/ruso.12179](https://doi.org/10.1111/ruso.12179).
27. Huey-Jen Su; Mu-Jean Chen; Jough-Tai Wang; Developing a water literacy. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability* **2011**, 3, 517-519, [10.1016/j.cosust.2011.10.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2011.10.010).

28. Benjamin W. Abbott; Kevin Bishop; Jay P. Zarnetske; Camille Minaudo; F. S. Chapin; Stefan Krause; David M. Hannah; Lafe Conner; David Ellison; Sarah E. Godsey; et al. Human domination of the global water cycle absent from depictions and perceptions. *Nature Geoscience* **2019**, 12, 533-540, [10.1038/s41561-019-0374-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0374-y).
29. Erik Swyngedouw; The Political Economy and Political Ecology of the Hydro-Social Cycle. *Journal of Contemporary Water Research & Education* **2009**, 142, 56-60, [10.1111/j.1936-704x.2009.00054.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1936-704x.2009.00054.x).
30. Jamie Linton; Jessica Budds; The hydrosocial cycle: Defining and mobilizing a relational-dialectical approach to water. *Geoforum* **2014**, 57, 170-180, [10.1016/j.geoforum.2013.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2013.10.008).
31. Hui-Shuang He; Construction of the index system of water literacy and application in a case study of four Chinese communities. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography* **2018**, 21, 485-491, [10.1080/09720529.2018.1449330](https://doi.org/10.1080/09720529.2018.1449330).
32. Wood, G.V. Water Literacy and Citizenship: Education for Sustainable Domestic Water Use in the East Midlands. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK, 2014.
33. Yu-Hung Wang; Mei-Chen Chang; Jia-Ru Liou; Effects of water-saving education in Taiwan on public water knowledge, attitude, and behavior intention change. *Water Policy* **2019**, 21, 964-979, [10.2166/wp.2019.173](https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2019.173).
34. [Ripple Effect](#). Ripple Effect. Retrieved 2020-11-4

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/9231>