

School gardening activities and Obesity

Subjects: **Health Care Sciences & Services**

Contributor: Qian Lin

School gardening activities (SGA) combined with physical activities (PA) may improve childhood dietary intake and prevent overweight and obesity. This study aims to evaluate the effect of SGA combined with PA on children's dietary intake and anthropometric outcomes. We searched studies containing randomized controlled trials up to January 2021 in Web of Science, PubMed, Cochrane Library, and the EBSCO database on this topic for children aged 7 to 12 years.

physical activity

school gardening activity

childhood obesity

meta-analysis

systematic review

1. Introduction

Childhood obesity is a public health priority and its prevalence and economic burden have been steadily increasing worldwide [1][2]. In the past three decades, the detection rate of childhood obesity in the United States has tripled, and the rate of adolescent obesity detection has increased by four times [3][4][5]. Related reports showed that in the past 20 years, the body mass index (BMI) of children and adolescents aged 6 to 17 in China has increased by 11.1% for overweight and 7.9% for obesity [6]. Childhood obesity is strongly associated with adult obesity [7][8][9]. It not only has an impact on children's physical and mental health, as well as their social abilities, but it is also the primary risk factor for metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular- and cerebrovascular-related chronic diseases [10][11][12][13], and death in adulthood [14].

Public institutions have developed and implemented several strategies to prevent obesity in children, including school gardening activities (SGA) and physical activities (PA). SGA, known as a "learning laboratory", is a way based on Social Cognitive Theory (SCT) to teach students' skills through fun hands-on activities, selecting the school as the intervention site and using gardening as a key component is a promising approach to addressing healthy eating and student's weight status [15][16][17]. In school gardens where students grow edible produce, they generally learn science and nutrition concepts relevant to growing food while they work in the garden, which enhances students' positive modeling of fruits and vegetables and increases their preference for these foods as well as their fruit and vegetable intakes (FVs) [18][19]. According to Molitor and Doerr [20], children who learn healthy eating habits at a young age are more likely to maintain these habits throughout their life. Children at a young age must develop healthy eating habits for their future health [21]. Some scholars have used SGA to successfully improve children's FVs and their intake preferences [22][23][24], but the opinions on the effects of SGA on weight are divided [25][26][27][28]. Scientific PA is an effective way to reduce BMI, body fat, and serum Chemerin in obese

children, and also controls their blood pressure [29][30]. Short-term or long-term moderate-to-high intensity physical activity (MVPA) has been related to increased blood flow to the brain and neurotransmitter levels, as well as enhanced attention, motor skills, physical fitness, executive function, and social skills, and improved mental health in children [31][32][33][34]. Extracurricular PA is also considered closely during the study process, since the short time of school physical education may be occupied by other key courses [35][36]. However, the research shows that extracurricular PA has mixed effects on improving children's obesity [37][38][39]. Considering that each SGA and PA intervention was proven to be effective, theoretically, combining SGA with PA might improve children's eating habits and increase the amount of PA to reduce obesity more effectively.

BMI, body mass index z-score (BMI z-score), and WC are commonly used to measure anthropometric characteristics and stratify the risk for overweight and obesity in adults and children [40][41]. BMI and WC are easily obtainable and have proved to be strong predictors of metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease in adults and children [42]. Many scholars of the United States and other developed countries have used SGA to explore its impact on children's BMI and other anthropometric outcomes [43][44][45]. Therefore, we systematically reviewed and meta-analyzed the effects of SGA on anthropometric outcomes of school-age children (7–12 years old), gathering experimental information on BMI and WC changes in SGA and other interventions. Additionally, we undertook an SR on the impact of SGA and PA on the motivation and preference of fruits and vegetables and anthropometric outcomes of school-age children (7–12 years old). This work can provide clinicians, teachers, and policymakers with robust evidence on the efficacy of SGA combined with PA as a comprehensive intervention model to improve children's obesity, providing an important countermeasure to deal with childhood obesity.

2. Discussion

This is the first SR and meta-analysis combining SGA with PA to evaluate the changes in the obesity index of children, such as FVs, BMI, BMI z-score, and WC. Since most of the current studies only use an SGA as the main method, more data on the obesity index can be collected. Still, these studies were included in this study for meta-analysis. However, the only two studies using SGA combined with PA as the intervention method have included FVs and other obesity-related outcomes [46][47], which were not unified with those outcomes in the other 12 studies. Thus, they can only be included in this study for SR. Our study shows that SGA and SGA combined with PA can effectively increase children's FVs and improve their fruit and vegetable knowledge, intake motivation, and intake preference. The above-mentioned results are in accordance with previous studies' results [15][48][49][50][51] for these outcomes, and highlight this strategy's importance in cultivating children's good eating habits and improving their weight.

The outcomes, such as children's knowledge of fruits and vegetables, intake preference, and intake motivation, could not accurately measure the changes of children's FVs. Therefore, some researchers use a 24 h food diary (CADET) or Block Kids food filter to measure the changes of FVs in portions [23][25][26][52][53]. Among them, Christian's [23] and Davis' [53] studies had the most significant effects and showed an increase in the children's FVs by about 1.4 and 0.8 portions through 72 weeks and 36 weeks of SGA and other interventions, respectively. While

in Morgan's [26] and Hanbazaza's [52] studies, after 10 and 72 weeks of SGA, the FVs increased only by 0.2 and 0.4 portions, respectively. The reasons for this difference may be as follows. Christian [23] strengthened the role of parents in SGA. Parents not only participated in part of the curriculum of the SGA, but were also supervising their children to fill in the CADET, which improved the results helpfully. Professional gardening experts were used in Davis' [53] study as interveners who conducted SGA for children with cooking activities and nutrition education as auxiliary means, which effectively enhanced children's FVs. The short intervention time of Morgan's [26] and Ratcliffe's [25] studies may have affected the outcomes. Although Hanbazaza [52] also conducted a 72-week SGA, the frequency of intervention and parental participation was low, which may be an important reason for limiting an effective improvement of the outcomes. However, the above studies have confirmed that SGA has a positive effect on improving children's FVs.

The meta-analysis of anthropometric outcomes related to obesity showed that the BMI, BMI z-score, and WC were all reduced to varying degrees after the intervention. A recent study [53] with an expanded sample size and more rigorous statistics found that SGA had no obvious effect on the three outcomes. Therefore, we concluded that overall results indicated that SGA had no significant effect on improving children's obesity. The World Health Organization (WHO) has analyzed the possibility and scientific validity of using BMI, WC, and the Waist-Hip Ratio to predict chronic diseases [15]. Additionally, the decrease in BMI and WC after intervention in some studies [22][27][54][55][56][57][58] indicated that SGA has a positive effect on the prevention and improvement of some chronic diseases in children, even if the improvement effect is limited. Among them, five studies [22][27][54][56][53] added cooking intervention into SGA, namely cooking demonstrations and having children cook vegetables and fruits planted by themselves. The results showed that compared with the outcomes of those only intervened by SGA, the BMI and WC outcomes of those intervened by both cooking intervention and SGA reduced more significantly. For example, Davis et al. [53] added cooking demonstrations and other activities to the SGA and made them into the LA Sprouts' course. The results showed that the BMI and WC of children in the LA Sprouts' course group decreased more significantly than the SGA group or cooking intervention group separately. This coincided with the conclusion that SGA combined with nutrition education could more effectively prevent child obesity. Both proved that combined interventions can achieve more effective effects [16][59], which provides new ideas for improving the health of obese children. The results of the meta-analysis indicated that interventions based only on SGA had no significant impact on children's BMI and WC. In other words, a single intervention such as gardening or cooking has limited effect on improving childhood obesity, so we should consider combining SGA with other interventions in the future to explore its effectiveness in improving overweight and obesity in children.

As highlighted by Katz et al. [16][60], the 6 h that school-aged children spend at school every day, for more than half the year, constitute a substantial part of their time and their lives. Therefore, it is important to consider school as one of the major drivers and elective settings for children's education on healthy lifestyles [61][62]. Recently, more studies have shown that physical activities provide many benefits in regulating metabolism and weight loss by increasing energy consumption and improving metabolic status [63][64][65][66][67], so more attention was paid to physical exercise-related courses in the program of preventing childhood obesity. In theory, SGA paired with PA can improve the children's FVs and their PA, making it more successful in reducing obesity in children.

There are only two studies on SGA combined with PA, but these two studies only measured children's intake of vegetables without the intake of fruits. This may be because vegetables are relatively easy to grow and harvest. In addition, the two studies only measured the proportion of people in different weight intervals in anthropometrics, which may be related to the difficulty in collecting data of the large sample size selected in the study. Evans et al. [46] conducted a 48-week SGA combined with PA and found that children's preference for vegetable intake increased significantly, but the BMI outcome showed that the number of overweight and obese children did not significantly decrease. Since the sample size selected by the researcher was relatively large and the samples were distributed in 28 schools, it was difficult to carry out the intervention and balance all conditions. However, this combination of SGA and PA is an innovation. On this basis, Alexandra et al. [47] did their study with the same intervention method. Additionally, the results showed that both the SGA group and SGA combined with the PA group effectively improved children's vegetable-related outcomes. Both the SGA group and PA group effectively improved childhood obesity. However, the SGA combined with PA had no obvious effect on improving childhood obesity, which may be related to the implementation of the intervention. Because the curriculum executors of the SGA combined with the PA group were not teachers but trainers, the implementation of the intervention may lack scientific validity and rationality. In addition, these two studies both used low-intensity PA. However, many studies have shown that compared to low-intensity PA, MVPA has a significant effect on reducing obese children's BMI, WC, and other outcomes [68][69][70][71][72]. In future studies, MVPA should be combined with SGA, and the obesity outcomes, such as WC and blood pressure, should be added to more comprehensively detect the accuracy of obesity improvement, which provides a new perspective and ideas for future studies.

This study has the following limitations. First, although the number of studies on SGA has steadily increased in the last 10 years, there were few comprehensive studies on SGA combined with PA, which should be explored in the future. Second, FVs-related outcomes in most studies [23][25][26][52][53] were measured by self-report, which is easily affected by social recognition bias, so they may not accurately represent the changes in dietary intake. Thirdly, there are little data on long-term changes of FVs, so we were unable to determine if the changes of FVs continued over time, and further research is needed. Finally, the main purpose of gardening-based interventions is to improve children's intake of fruits and vegetables. Therefore, the literature included in this study only analyzed changes in fruit and vegetable intake. In future studies, we can also observe whether unhealthy eating behaviors related to childhood obesity have improved, such as excess intake of sugar-sweetened beverages, desserts, and fried foods.

3. Conclusions

The intervention based on SGA can effectively increase children's FVs and improve their intake motivation, attitude, and preference for vegetables and fruits, but it has no obvious effect on reducing BMI outcomes and WC. The increase in both FVs and PA has obvious effects on the improvement of childhood obesity. In future studies, we should consider integrating various interventions such as SGA, PA, and cooking. Additionally, we can reasonably learn from and innovate the research design of previous scholars and formulate more scientific research plans to explore methods that are more conducive to improving childhood obesity.

References

1. Ng, M.; Fleming, T.; Robinson, M.; Thomson, B.; Graetz, N.; Margono, C.; Mullany, E.C.; Biryukov, S.; Abbafati, C.; Abera, S.F.; et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and obesity in children and adults during 1980–2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet* 2014, **384**, 766–781.
2. Wang, Y.; Lobstein, T. Worldwide trends in childhood overweight and obesity. *Int. J. Pediatr. Obes.* 2006, **1**, 11–25.
3. Ogden, C.L.; Carroll, M.D.; Kit, B.K.; Flegal, K.M. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011–2012. *JAMA* 2014, **311**, 806–814.
4. Jie, G.A.Q.J. Interpretation of the 2017 U.S. Preventive Services Working Group's Recommendations for Screening Obesity in Children and Adolescents. *J. Chin. Gen. Pract.* 2017, **20**, 3195–3198.
5. National Center for Health Statistics. U.S. Health, United States, 2011: With Special Features on Socioeconomic Status and Health. National Center for Health Statistics: Hyattsville, MD, USA, 2012. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK98752/> (accessed on 27 July 2021).
6. Pan, X.F.; Wang, L.M.; Pan, A. Epidemiology and determinants of obesity in China. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2021, **9**, 373–392.
7. Serdula, M.K. Do obese children become obese adults? A review of the literature. *Prev. Med.* 1993, **22**, 167–177.
8. Liu, W.; Li, Q.; Li, H.; Li, J.; Wang, H.J.; Li, B. 20-year trends in prevalence of overweight and obesity among children aged 0–6 in Harbin, China: A multiple cross-sectional study. *PLoS ONE* 2018, **13**, e0198032.
9. Micic, D.D.; Polovina, S.; Micic, D.D. Does Obesity Cause Type 2 Diabetes? *Int. C Interd. Manag. D* 2020, **11**, 379–381.
10. Liang, Y.J.; Hou, D.Q.; Zhao, X.Y.; Wang, L.; Hu, Y.H.; Liu, J.T.; Cheng, H.; Yang, P.Y.; Shan, X.K.; Yan, Y.; et al. Childhood obesity affects adult metabolic syndrome and diabetes. *Endocrine* 2015, **50**, 87–92.
11. Beilin, L.; Huang, R.C. Childhood obesity, hypertension, the metabolic syndrome and adult cardiovascular disease. *Clin. Exp. Pharm. Physiol.* 2008, **35**, 409–411.
12. Burgess, J.A.; Walters, E.H.; Byrnes, G.B.; Jenkins, M.A.; Giles, G.G.; Abramson, M.J.; Hopper, J.L.; Dharmage, S.C. Childhood obesity predicts current incident asthma in adult women. *Thorax* 2005, **60**, li32–li33.

13. Loke, K.Y. Early Influences in Childhood Obesity Implications for Adult Metabolic Disease. *Ann. Acad. Med. Singap.* 2014, 43, 57–58.
14. Wang, Z.Q.; Peng, Y.; Liu, M.N. Age Variation in the Association Between Obesity and Mortality in Adults. *Obesity* 2017, 25, 2137–2141.
15. Nolan, G.A.; McFarland, A.L.; Zajicek, J.M.; Waliczek, T.M. The Effects of Nutrition Education and Gardening on Attitudes, Preferences, and Knowledge of Minority Second to Fifth Graders in the Rio Grande Valley Toward Fruit and Vegetables. *Horttechnology* 2012, 22, 299–304.
16. Langellotto, G.A.; Gupta, A. Gardening Increases Vegetable Consumption in School-aged Children: A Meta-analytical Synthesis. *Horttechnology* 2012, 22, 430–445.
17. Rosenstock, I.M.; Strecher, V.J.; Becker, M.H. Social learning theory and the Health Belief Model. *Health Educ. Q.* 1988, 15, 175–183.
18. Ozer, E.J. The effects of school gardens on students and schools: Conceptualization and considerations for maximizing healthy development. *Health Educ. Behav.* 2007, 34, 846–863.
19. Christian, M.S.; Evans, C.E.L.; Conner, M.; Ransley, J.K.; Cade, J.E. Study protocol: Can a school gardening intervention improve children's diets? *BMC Public Health* 2012, 12, 304.
20. Molitor, F.; Doerr, C. Dietary Behaviors and Obesity of Children From Low-Income Households by Gender of Caregiver and Child. *Am. J. Health Promot.* 2021, 35, 434–437.
21. Savage, J.S.; Fisher, J.O.; Birch, L.L. Parental influence on eating behavior: Conception to adolescence. *J. Law Med. Ethics.* 2007, 35, 22–34.
22. Davis, J.N.; Ventura, E.E.; Cook, L.T.; Gyllenhammer, L.E.; Gatto, N.M. LA Sprouts: A Gardening, Nutrition, and Cooking Intervention for Latino Youth Improves Diet and Reduces Obesity. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 2011, 111, 1224–1230.
23. Christian, M.S.; El Evans, C.; Nykjaer, C.; Hancock, N.; Cade, J.E. Evaluation of the impact of a school gardening intervention on children's fruit and vegetable intake: A randomised controlled trial. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phy.* 2014, 11, 99.
24. Castro, D.C.; Samuels, M.; Harman, A.E. Growing Healthy Kids A Community Garden-Based Obesity Prevention Program. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 2013, 44, S193–S199.
25. Ratcliffe, M.M.; Merrigan, K.A.; Rogers, B.L.; Goldberg, J.P. The effects of school garden experiences on middle school-aged students' knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors associated with vegetable consumption. *Health Promot. Pract.* 2011, 12, 36–43.
26. Morgan, P.J.; Warren, J.M.; Lubans, D.R.; Saunders, K.L.; Quick, G.I.; Collins, C.E. The impact of nutrition education with and without a school garden on knowledge, vegetable intake and preferences and quality of school life among primary-school students. *Public. Health Nutr.* 2010, 13, 1931–1940.

27. Gatto, N.M.; Martinez, L.C.; Spruijt-Metz, D.; Davis, J.N. LA sprouts randomized controlled nutrition, cooking and gardening programme reduces obesity and metabolic risk in Hispanic/Latino youth. *Pediatr. Obes.* 2017, 12, 28–37.

28. Utter, J.; Denny, S.; Dyson, B. School gardens and adolescent nutrition and BMI: Results from a national, multilevel study. *Prev. Med.* 2016, 83, 1–4.

29. Liang, J.; Lu, B.; Hao, L. Effect of resistance training on body composition, blood lipids and serum leptin of obese children. *J. Chin. Sch. Health* 2017, 38, 1379–1381+1384.

30. Liang, J.a.L.H. Effects of high intensity intermittent exercise on body composition, blood pressure and serum Chemerin in obese children. *J. Chin. Sch. Health* 2018, 39, 1729–1732.

31. Jeyanthi, S.; Arumugam, N.; Parasher, R.K. Effect of physical exercises on attention, motor skill and physical fitness in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: A systematic review. *Atten. Defic Hyperact. Disord.* 2019, 11, 125–137.

32. Ng, Q.X.; Ho, C.Y.X.; Chan, H.W.; Yong, B.Z.J.; Yeo, W.S. Managing childhood and adolescent attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) with exercise: A systematic review. *Complement Ther. Med.* 2017, 34, 123–128.

33. Contreras-Osorio, F.; Campos-Jara, C.; Martinez-Salazar, C.; Chirosa-Rios, L.; Martinez-Garcia, D. Effects of Sport-Based Interventions on Children's Executive Function: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Brain Sci.* 2021, 11, 755.

34. Ganjeh, P.; Meyer, T.; Hagmayer, Y.; Kuhnert, R.; Ravens-Sieberer, U.; von Steinbuechel, N.; Rothenberger, A.; Becker, A. Physical Activity Improves Mental Health in Children and Adolescents Irrespective of the Diagnosis of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)-A Multi-Wave Analysis Using Data from the KiGGS Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 2207.

35. Story, M. School-based approaches for preventing and treating obesity. *Int. J. Obesity* 1999, 23, S43–S51.

36. Flattum, C.; Friend, S.; Story, M.; Neumark-Sztainer, D. Evaluation of an Individualized Counseling Approach as Part of a Multicomponent School-Based Program to Prevent Weight-Related Problems among Adolescent Girls. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 2011, 111, 1218–1223.

37. Basch, C.E. Physical Activity and the Achievement Gap Among Urban Minority Youth. *J. Sch. Health* 2011, 81, 626–634.

38. Rausch, J.C.; Berger-Jenkins, E.; Nieto, A.R.; McCord, M.; Meyer, D. Effect of a School-Based Intervention on Parents' Nutrition and Exercise Knowledge, Attitudes, and Behaviors. *Am. J. Health Educ.* 2015, 46, 33–39.

39. Grasten, A. School-based physical activity interventions for children and youth: Keys for success. *J. Sport Health Sci.* 2017, 6, 290–291.

40. Messiah, S.E.; Arheart, K.L.; Lipshultz, S.E.; Miller, T.L. Body mass index, waist circumference, and cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents. *J. Pediatr.* 2008, 153, 845–850.

41. Messiah, S.E. BMI, waist circumference, and selected cardiovascular disease risk factors among preschool-age children. *Obesity* 2012, 20, 1942–1949.

42. Magnussen, C.G.; Koskinen, J.; Chen, W.; Thomson, R.; Schmidt, M.D.; Srinivasan, S.R.; Kivimaki, M.; Mattsson, N.; Kahonen, M.; Laitinen, T.; et al. Pediatric Metabolic Syndrome Predicts Adulthood Metabolic Syndrome, Subclinical Atherosclerosis, and Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus but Is No Better Than Body Mass Index Alone The Bogalusa Heart Study and the Cardiovascular Risk in Young Finns Study. *Circulation* 2010, 122, 1604–U1134.

43. Soltero, E.G.; Parker, N.H.; Mama, S.K.; Ledoux, T.A.; Lee, R.E. Lessons Learned from Implementing of Garden Education Program in Early Child Care. *Health Promot. Pract.* 2021, 22, 266–274.

44. Kegler, M.C.; Prakash, R.; Hermstad, A.; Williamson, D.; Anderson, K.; Haardorfer, R. Home gardening and associations with fruit and vegetable intake and BMI. *Public Health Nutr.* 2020, 23, 3417–3422.

45. Skelton, K.; Herbert, A.; Benjamin-Neelon, S.E. Garden-based interventions and early childhood health: A protocol for an umbrella review. *Syst. Rev.* 2019, 8, 310.

46. Evans, A.; Ranjit, N.; Hoelscher, D.; Jovanovic, C.; Lopez, M.; McIntosh, A.; Ory, M.; Whittlesey, L.; McKyer, L.; Kirk, A.; et al. Impact of school-based vegetable garden and physical activity coordinated health interventions on weight status and weight-related behaviors of ethnically diverse, low-income students: Study design and baseline data of the Texas, Grow! Eat! Go! (TGEG) cluster-randomized controlled trial. *BMC Public. Health* 2016, 16, 973.

47. Van den Berg, A.; Warren, J.L.; McIntosh, A.; Hoelscher, D.; Ory, M.G.; Jovanovic, C.; Lopez, M.; Whittlesey, L.; Kirk, A.; Walton, C.; et al. Impact of a Gardening and Physical Activity Intervention in Title 1 Schools: The TGEG Study. *Child. Obes.* 2020, 16, S44–S54.

48. Knapp, M.B.; Hall, M.T.; Mundorf, A.R.; Partridge, K.L.; Johnson, C.C. Perceptions of School-Based Kitchen Garden Programs in Low-Income, African American Communities. *Health Promot. Pract.* 2019, 20, 667–674.

49. Leuven, J.R.F.W.; Rutenfrans, A.H.M.; Dolfing, A.G.; Leuven, R.S.E.W. School gardening increases knowledge of primary school children on edible plants and preference for vegetables. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2018, 6, 1960–1967.

50. Taylor, C.; Symon, E.B.; Dabbs, A.; Way, A.; Thompson, O.M. Assessing a School Gardening Program as an Integrated Component of a Pilot Farm-to-school Initiative Based in South Carolina.

Horttechnology 2017, 27, 228–234.

51. Schreinemachers, P.; Bhattarai, D.R.; Subedi, G.D.; Acharya, T.P.; Chen, H.P.; Yang, R.Y.; Kashichhawa, N.K.; Dhungana, U.; Luther, G.C.; Mecozzi, M. Impact of school gardens in Nepal: A cluster randomised controlled trial. *J. Dev. Effect.* 2017, 9, 329–343.

52. Hanbazaza, M.A.; Triador, L.; Ball, G.D.C.; Farmer, A.; Maximova, K.; Nation, A.F.; Willows, N.D. The Impact of School Gardening on Cree Children’s Knowledge and Attitudes toward Vegetables and Fruit. *Can. J. Diet. Pract. Res.* 2015, 76, 133–139.

53. Davis, J.N.; Perez, A.; Asigbee, F.M.; Landry, M.J.; Vandyousefi, S.; Ghaddar, R.; Hoover, A.; Jeans, M.; Nikah, K.; Fischer, B.; et al. School-based gardening, cooking and nutrition intervention increased vegetable intake but did not reduce BMI: Texas sprouts—a cluster randomized controlled trial. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phy.* 2021, 18, 18.

54. Davis, J.N.; Martinez, L.C.; Spruijt-Metz, D.; Gatto, N.M. LA Sprouts: A 12-Week Gardening, Nutrition, and Cooking Randomized Control Trial Improves Determinants of Dietary Behaviors. *J. Nutr. Educ. Behav.* 2016, 48, 2–11.e11.

55. Landry, M.J.; Markowitz, A.K.; Asigbee, F.M.; Gatto, N.M.; Spruijt-Metz, D.; Davis, J.N. Cooking and Gardening Behaviors and Improvements in Dietary Intake in Hispanic/Latino Youth. *Child. Obes.* 2019, 15, 262–270.

56. Martinez, L.C.; Gatto, N.M.; Spruijt-Metz, D.; Davis, J.N. Design and methodology of the LA Sprouts nutrition, cooking and gardening program for Latino youth: A randomized controlled intervention. *Contemp. Clin. Trials* 2015, 42, 219–227.

57. Gatto, N.M.; Ventura, E.E.; Cook, L.T.; Gyllenhammar, L.E.; Davis, J.N. LA Sprouts: A garden-based nutrition intervention pilot program influences motivation and preferences for fruits and vegetables in Latino youth. *J. Acad. Nutr. Diet.* 2012, 112, 913–920.

58. Nishida, C.; Ko, G.T.; Kumanyika, S. Body fat distribution and noncommunicable diseases in populations: Overview of the 2008 WHO Expert Consultation on Waist Circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2010, 64, 2–5.

59. Scherr, R.E.; Linnell, J.D.; Dharmar, M.; Beccarelli, L.M.; Bergman, J.J.; Briggs, M.; Brian, K.M.; Feenstra, G.; Hillhouse, J.C.; Keen, C.L.; et al. A Multicomponent, School-Based Intervention, the Shaping Healthy Choices Program, Improves Nutrition-Related Outcomes. *J. Nutr. Educ. Behav.* 2017, 49, 368–379.e1.

60. Blair, D. The Child in the Garden: An Evaluative Review of the Benefits of School Gardening. *J. Environ. Educ.* 2009, 40, 15–38.

61. Katz, D.L.; O’Connell, M.; Njike, V.Y.; Yeh, M.C.; Nawaz, H. Strategies for the prevention and control of obesity in the school setting: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Obes.* 2008, 32, 1780–1789.

62. Katz, D.L. School-Based Interventions for Health Promotion and Weight Control: Not Just Waiting on the World to Change. *Annu. Rev. Publ. Health* 2009, 30, 253–272.

63. Gonzalez-Suarez, C.; Worley, A.; Grimmer-Somers, K.; Dones, V. School-Based Interventions on Childhood Obesity A Meta-Analysis. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* 2009, 37, 418–427.

64. McGuire, S. Institute of Medicine. 2012. Accelerating Progress in Obesity Prevention: Solving the Weight of the Nation. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. *Adv. Nutr.* 2012, 3, 708–709.

65. Sa, G.D.; Neves, V.D.; Fraga, S.R.D.; Souza-Mello, V.; Barbosa-da-Silva, S. High-intensity interval training has beneficial effects on cardiac remodeling through local renin-angiotensin system modulation in mice fed high-fat or high-fructose diets. *Life Sci.* 2017, 189, 8–17.

66. Smith, K.E.; Mason, T.B.; O'Connor, S.M.; Wang, S.; Dzubur, E.; Crosby, R.D.; Wonderlich, S.A.; Salvy, S.J.; Feda, D.M.; Roemmich, J.N. Bi-Directional Associations Between Real-Time Affect and Physical Activity in Weight-Discordant Siblings. *J. Pediatr. Psychol.* 2021, 46, 443–453.

67. Paduano, S.; Greco, A.; Borsari, L.; Salvia, C.; Tancredi, S.; Pinca, J.; Midili, S.; Tripodi, A.; Borella, P.; Marchesi, I. Physical and Sedentary Activities and Childhood Overweight/Obesity: A Cross-Sectional Study among First-Year Children of Primary Schools in Modena, Italy. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 3221.

68. Imierska, M.; Kuraniuk, A.; Blachnio-Zabielska, A. The Influence of Physical Activity on the Bioactive Lipids Metabolism in Obesity-Induced Muscle Insulin Resistance. *Biomolecules* 2020, 10, 1665.

69. Pysna, J.; Pysny, L.; Cihlar, D.; Petru, D.; Skopek, M. Effect of Physical Activity on Obesity in Second Stage Pupils of Elementary Schools in Northwest Bohemia. *Sustainability* 2020, 12, 42.

70. Smith, K.E.; O'Connor, S.M.; Mason, T.B.; Wang, S.; Dzubur, E.; Crosby, R.D.; Wonderlich, S.A.; Salvy, S.J.; Feda, D.M.; Roemmich, J.N. Associations between objective physical activity and emotional eating among adiposity-discordant siblings using ecological momentary assessment and accelerometers. *Pediatr. Obes.* 2021, 16, e12720.

71. Schwarzfischer, P.; Grusfeld, D.; Stolarczyk, A.; Ferre, N.; Escribano, J.; Rousseaux, D.; Moretti, M.; Mariani, B.; Verduci, E.; Koletzko, B.; et al. Physical Activity and Sedentary Behavior From 6 to 11 Years. *Pediatrics* 2019, 143, e20180994.

72. Riso, E.M.; Kull, M.; Mooses, K.; Jurimae, J. Physical activity, sedentary time and sleep duration: Associations with body composition in 10-12-year-old Estonian schoolchildren. *BMC Public Health* 2018, 18, 496.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/30870>