Gene Conversion amongst Alu SINE

Subjects: Cell Biology Contributor: Liliya Doronina

The process of non-allelic gene conversion acts on homologous sequences during recombination, replacing parts of one with the other to make them uniform. Such concerted evolution is best described as paralogous ribosomal RNA gene unification that serves to preserve the essential house-keeping functions of the converted genes. Transposed elements (TE), especially Alu short interspersed elements (SINE) that have more than a million copies in primate genomes, are a significant source of homologous units and a verified target of gene conversion. The consequences of such a recombination-based process are diverse, including multiplications of functional TE internal binding domains and, for evolutionists, confusing divergent annotations of orthologous transposable elements in related species.

Keywords: gene conversion ; partial gene conversion ; transposable elements ; Alu subfamily conversion ; homoplasy

1. Introduction

Genomes of most eukaryotic organisms contain a large number of repetitive sequences, a notable portion of which is composed of transposable elements (TE). For example, TEs occupy up to 69% of human genomes ^[1]. Despite the large numbers of TEs, only a few "master-copies" can actively propagate ^{[2][3]}. Accumulating changes in master copies leads to new subfamilies and types of TEs that commonly differ by several diagnostic sites and spread in limiting activity waves through the genome ^[4].

Due to their repetitive nature, high similarity, and large quantities in the genome, TEs present a significant substrate for non-allelic gene conversion. Gene conversion is a process whereby the genetic material of a donor sequence unidirectionally replaces that of a homologous acceptor sequence via recombination after a double-strand DNA break. Thus, gene conversion can proliferate mutations among TEs independently of the activity of the master-copy, leading to TE homogenization, a phenomenon known as concerted evolution ^[5]. Earlier retrotransposon studies reported a few cases of gene conversion between TE copies. For example, Kass and colleagues ^[6] described a case of gene conversion that changed a younger human *Alu* SINE to an older element. Roy et al. ^[Z] suggested that gene conversion is responsible for ~10–20% of the variation in the young *Alu*Ya5 subfamily. A whole-genome gene conversion analysis among *Alus* in humans ^[8] focused on non-diagnostic mutations in *Alu* sequences revealed significant levels of gene conversion, especially among neighboring *Alus*. The authors found that gene conversion acts on *Alus* within a range of about 10 kb, inversely proportional to their distance from one another. Most studies of gene conversion between TEs focused on *Alu* SINEs in primates. However, similar effects were also reported for LTRs in other mammals ^{[9][10]} and in plants ^[11].

As the vast majority of genomic TEs are neutral to the effects of natural selection, gene conversion does not usually have a crucial impact on the organism. However, TE gene conversion can have an adaptive effect in rewiring regulatory networks (reviewed in ^[12]). For example, gene conversion among ISX TEs might be responsible for optimizing binding sites for the dosage compensation complex in *Drosophila* ^[13].

Gene conversion may also directly influence the evolution of TEs ^{[12][14]}. Transferring mutations to master copies may increase or reduce their activity, as proposed for example for the *Alu*Yh3a3 subfamily ^[15]. Moreover, gene conversion might lead to the formation of new TE families or help maintain them in endosymbiont genomes by preventing their degradation and loss ^[16].

The extent of sequence similarity between donor and acceptor loci positively influences the frequency of gene conversion, and reaches an optimum at 89%–100% ^{[17][18]}. Therefore, a substantial number of gene conversion events involves young TEs of the same subfamily. *Alu* elements are the most abundant TEs in primate genomes and have served as a model group for TE-based gene conversion studies e.g., ^{[7][8]}. *Alus* evolved from 7 SL RNA around 65 million years ago in the ancestral lineage of primates and consist of dimeric sequences of about 300 nt (merged 5'- and 3'-monomers ^[19]). They diverged into three subfamilies/types—the oldest *Alu*J, the *Alu*S, and the youngest *Alu*Y. More than a million *Alu* copies are distributed across the human genome, occupying about 11% of genomic space ^[20]. Because gene conversion also

acts on relatively short sequences (beginning with 10 nt $^{[17]}$), not only are entire *Alu* sequences substituted, but also partial *Alu–Alu* gene conversion occurs, resulting in hybrid elements (e.g., hybrids with 5'-*Alu*S and 3'-*Alu*Y $^{[21][22]}$, <u>Figure 1</u>).



Figure 1. Schematic representation of *Alu–Alu* gene conversion. (**A**) shows three different scenarios of gene conversion: in which a 5'-end *Alu* monomer, a complete *Alu*, or a 3'-end *Alu* monomer were converted. (**B**) Representative gene conversion at orthologous *AluS* loci leading to 5'-end *AluY*, *AluY*, and 3'-end *AluY* in the common ancestor of great apes (grey area; e.g., loci *AluS_*plus_7691_7692, HGib37,f and H_*AluS*6 in Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary File S2, ignoring some variation in marmoset). The phylogenetic time-point of gene conversions is labelled GC (red branch of the tree).

Changing the TE type via gene conversion might impact the global genome architecture and, for genome scientists, may also lead to faulty genome annotations and obstruct TE-based phylogenetic reconstructions. The phylogenetic presence of identical orthologous TE elements in several species indicates their close relationships, the identification of which can be compromised if gene conversion results in altered element types. We previously showed that parallel insertions and precise deletions of *Alus* are rare in primates, confirming their usefulness as virtually homoplasy-free markers in phylogenetic studies ^[23]. However, no study has yet evaluated gene conversion as an additional possible source of confounding TE presence/absence patterns. Replacing one *Alu* type with another in a monophyletic species group can lead to an incorrect conclusion about their phylogenomic relationship. Therefore, to determine the extent of possible homoplasy caused by gene conversion and the frequency of gene conversion in TEs of different ages, we performed a systematic screening for gene conversion among *Alu* elements belonging to clearly different primate *Alu* subfamilies and types (*AluY*/*AluS* and *AluY*/*AluY*c).

2. Alu–Alu element type change via gene conversions

Here we present for the first time a systematic, genome-wide screening of primate genomes for clear Alu-Alu element type change via gene conversions. Two recently developed tools were combined to find 98 specific cases of gene conversion. fastCOEX derived Alu loci with almost TE-free flanks, and 2-n-way extracted their orthologous sequences in various primate species. Gene conversion is identifiable when different Alu subfamilies or types recombine (e.g., AluS change to AluY or vice versa). From a RepeatMasker report of the human genome using fastCOEX [24], we extracted human coordinates of 55,408 AluS and 12,689 AluY/Yc full-length elements with flanking regions largely free of other repetitive sequences. However, restricting our screening to these most reliable cases of Alu TEs reduces the total dataset of human Alus (~800.000 for AluS plus AluY) by about a tenth. We used the 2-n-way computer suite to retrieve 46,285 targeted AluS and 8099 AluY/Yc elements orthologous loci for a set of hominoid species (see Section 2.2 under Methods). We then applied a local RepeatMasker analysis to both annotate each hominoid insertion at orthologous positions, and, in a search for human hybrid elements, to compare the element subtypes of 5'- and 3'-Alu monomers for each human Alu. After manual inspection to verify orthology, we identified 98 cases in which some primate species or species groups contained different Alu elements or hybrid Alus compared to the others in the group (Table 1, Supplementary Table S1, and Supplementary File S2). It has to be mentioned that this number underestimates the actual extent of Alu gene converted loci. The more similar elements are, the more probable they involve in gene conversion. However, gene conversion of identical elements is difficult to trace. About half of the identified gene converted Alu elements were located in gene regions (introns or UTRs). The other half was found in the intergenic areas of the genome (Supplementary Table S1). However, because of restricting our survey to Alus free from flanking TEs, we underestimate the portion of Alu-Alu gene conversion in intergenic regions.

 Table 1. Gene conversion cases among Alu elements.

| Donor | Acceptor | Replaced Part of Alu | Number of Loci |
|---|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Gene conversion with identified direction | | | |
| AluY | AluS | Complete Alu | 11 |
| AluY | AluS | 3'- <i>Alu</i> unit (S-Y hybrid) | 23 |
| AluY | AluS | 5'- <i>Alu</i> unit (Y-S hybrid) | 6 |
| AluS | AluY | Complete Alu | 3 |
| AluS | AluY | 3'- <i>Alu</i> unit (Y-S hybrid) | 6 |
| AluS | AluY | 5'- <i>Alu</i> unit (S-Y hybrid) | 3 |
| AluYc | AluY | Diagnostic indel | 9 |
| AluY | AluYc | Diagnostic indel | 3 |
| Gene conversion with unidentified direction | | | |
| Unidentified | Unidentified | AluS–AluY hybrid | 31 |
| Complex scenario | Complex scenario | Multiple gene conversion | 3 |

For 64 of the 98 gene conversion loci, we were able to reconstruct the original ancestral Alu element type and to determine the direction of gene conversion. For the AluS to AluY conversions (40 loci; Table 1, first three lines), the older AluS elements (AluSs ceased their main activity before the diversification of Catarrhini) were replaced by younger, potentially active AluY elements (AluYs exhibited their main activity starting with the divergence of Catarrhini) ^[25]. This suggested that young, actively transcribed DNA regions were the preferred donors for gene conversion ^[26]. However, we also observed incidences in which the reverse process occurred (12 cases; Table 1, line 4-6), providing evidence that old inactive elements might replace young active elements via gene conversion resulting in a sort of "life after death" spreading throughout the genome after silencing. For 14 of the reconstructed 52 loci involving both AluS and AluY elements, we detected gene conversion of the complete acceptor element, whereas in the remaining 38 loci only partial gene conversion occurred, leading to "mosaic" or hybrid elements (e.g., a hybrid of AluS 5'-monomer and AluY 3'monomer). It should be mentioned that AluY/AluS gene conversion events resulted in hybrids of AluY 5'-monomer and AluS 3'-monomer (12 cases) can also be potentially AluSc8/AluS gene conversion because the 5'-monomer of AluSc8 shares the diagnostic mutations of AluY and the 3'-monomer of AluS. Furthermore, we detected an additional 31 cases of hybrid elements, in which we were unable to assign the pre-conversional state of the Alu elements (Table 1, line 9). We were unable to categorize AluY/AluS hybrids for cases of unidentified ancestral origins because they were indistinguishable from AluSc8 elements. We also observed 12 incidences of gene conversion among AluY and AluYc elements and 3 cases, in which the Alu loci underwent more than one gene conversion event during primate evolution (Table 1).

Among the 98 cases of gene conversion, 64 occurred on the lineage leading to humans (including 6 instances after human split from chimpanzee), whereas 31 gene conversions occurred on the terminal branches of other investigated primates (Figure 2). Within Anthropoidea we distinguished three waves of high gene conversion events: (1) on the ancestral branch of Catarrhini (31 conversions), (2) on the ancestral branch of hominoids (17 conversions), and (3) in the gibbon lineage (19 conversions). The first two of these higher incidences might be explained by the longer lengths of the ancestral internodes leading to Catarrhini and hominoids, both leaving substantial times for the occurrence and fixation of gene conversion events. The increased gene conversion events in gibbons might be partially explained by the more highly active gibbon-specific AluY elements ($AluYd3a1_gib$ ^[27]), which contain the same diagnostic deletion as the AluYc element.



Figure 2. Gene conversion in primates. Circles represent incidences of gene conversion including the number of such occurrences and their direction. White circles are incidences in which the ancestral *Alu* element and the direction of conversion were reconstructed. Gray circles represent incidences with unidentifiable conversion direction. The 3 cases with complex scenarios are not shown.

Another gene conversion-rich branch was that leading to gorilla. In our initial analysis, we screened the gorGor4 genome assembly (gorilla Kamilah, UCSC https://genome-euro.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgGateway, accessed on 9 June 2021) and found 12 gene conversions (Supplementary File S3). A previous examination of interlocus gene conversion in gorGor4 ^[28], also observed a more frequent occurrence of gene conversion in gorIlla than in other great apes. However, our expanded analysis of another gorilla genome (gorilla Susie, gorGor5) revealed only 5 gene conversion events (Figure 2, Supplementary Table S1), suggesting that the difference between gorGor4 and gorGor5 is an individual variation or a genomic artifact of the gorGor4 assembly. The gorGor6 assembly (August 2019, assembly Kamilah_GGO_v0/gorGor6) that recently became available carries none of the previously detected cases of gene conversions found solely in gorGor4, suggesting there might be assembly errors in gorGor4. Learning from gorilla, we compared gene conversion patterns for at least two related species or independent assemblies in cases when gene conversion occurred on a terminal branch to avoid such assembly issues.

We conducted a population analysis of human-specific gene conversions (6 cases), including 35 human individual genomes from Africa, Asia, America, and Europe (Supplementary Table S2). We found a consistent gene conversion pattern in all investigated genomes for the 5 loci containing *AluS* to *AluY* conversions. For the remaining one locus (*AluY* converted to *AluS*), gene conversion was only detected in some human individuals. Contrary to our expectations, we could not find a phylogenetic pattern of the gene conversion distribution among 35 individuals. Orthologous *Alu* gene conversion was found in 2 of 9 African individuals, 5 of 13 Asian, 1 of 4 American, 1 of 1 Puerto Rican, and 5 of 8 European individuals. We suggest that such a mosaic of gene conversion events might result from duplication of *Alu* loci in the human genome with the subsequent gene conversion in one of the copies. Alternatively, multiple independent conversions could have occurred.

In the present study, we examined gene conversion events leading to changes in the *Alu* subfamily or type affiliations in selected hominoids. It should be noted that because of sequence similarity, gene conversion occurs most frequently among identical or closely related elements, and is then unrecognizable. Here we showed that *AluS/Y/Yc* gene conversion occurred in all hominoid lineages. We suggest that the observed patterns of *Alu–Alu* gene conversion in hominoids are also representative of other primate species and TE types.

Parallel insertion, exact deletion, or gene conversion might lead to apparently conflicting presence/absence patterns at orthologous loci. Doronina et al. [23] showed there to be a negligibly low frequency of conflicting phylogenetic signals amongst *Alu* elements in primates. However, they did not examine gene conversion. Although Aleshin et al. [8] found a

notable quantity of potential *Alu–Alu* gene conversions, their screening method (ignoring diagnostic *Alu* positions) does not evaluate the contribution of gene conversion to homoplasy. Similar to the data in Doronina et al. ^[23], we estimate the frequency of gene conversion-related homoplasy in the human-chimpanzee-rhesus macaque model group to be 0.0006% in human (3/544,034 × 100%) and 0.0004% in chimpanzee (2/544,034 × 100%), where 544,034 is the number of *Alu* insertions present in the Catarrhini ancestral lineage. Thus, we provide evidence for the existence of homoplasy caused by gene conversion, but show that the frequency is even lower than parallel insertions or precise deletions.

It should be mentioned that the classical, distance-based (the divergence of a TE sequence from a consensus sequence) calculations of the ages of TEs used in evolutionary studies might be distorted by gene conversion [9][11][29]. Our results suggest that transposition-in-transposition-based analyses [25] that take into account element types rather than accumulated mutations in TE sequences may provide a more reliable alternative. Indeed, we detected relatively few gene conversion events per lineage affecting diagnostic positions that resulted in TE subfamily or type changes, whereas the sharing of non-diagnostic mutations among *Alus* via gene conversion was shown to be a frequent phenomenon ^[8].

In summary, the footprints of gene conversion are directly detectable by genome-wide comparisons of deviating annotations of orthologous TEs in different species (e.g., orthologous *Alu* SINEs with different subfamily or type affiliations in primates). Many potential incidences of partial gene conversion were detected that resulted in hybrid elements. Incidences of gene conversion in TEs are frequent enough to visualize by genome-level screenings but rare enough that they do not challenge large-scale phylogenetic TE presence/absence studies.

References

- De Koning, A.P.; Gu, W.; Castoe, T.A.; Batzer, M.A.; Pollock, D.D. Repetitive elements may comprise over two-thirds of the human genome. PLoS Genet. 2011, 7, e1002384.
- 2. Brookfield, J.F.; Johnson, L.J. The evolution of mobile DNAs: When will transposons create phylogenies that look as if there is a master gene? Genetics 2006, 173, 1115–1123.
- Ludwig, A.; Rozhdestvensky, T.S.; Kuryshev, V.Y.; Schmitz, J.; Brosius, J. An unusual primate locus that attracted two independent Alu insertions and facilitates their transcription. J. Mol. Biol. 2005, 350, 200–214.
- 4. Kapitonov, V.; Jurka, J. The age of Alu subfamilies. J. Mol. Evol. 1996, 42, 59-65.
- Elder, J.R.; Turner, B.J. Concerted evolution of repetitive DNA sequences in eukaryotes. Q. Rev. Biol. 1995, 70, 297– 320.
- Kass, D.H.; Batzer, M.A.; Deininger, P.L. Gene conversion as a secondary mechanism of short interspersed element (SINE) evolution. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1995, 15, 19–25.
- Roy, A.M.; Carroll, M.L.; Nguyen, S.V.; Salem, A.H.; Oldridge, M.; Wilkie, A.O.M.; Batzer, M.A.; Deininger, P.L. Potential gene conversion and source genes for recently integrated Alu elements. Genome Res. 2000, 10, 1485–1495.
- Aleshin, A.; Zhi, D. Recombination-associated sequence homogenization of neighboring Alu elements: Signature of nonallelic gene conversion. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2010, 27, 2300–2311.
- 9. Kijima, T.E.; Innan, H. On the estimation of the insertion time of LTR retrotransposable elements. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2010, 27, 896–904.
- 10. Trombetta, B.; Fantini, G.; D'Atanasio, E.; Sellitto, D.; Cruciani, F. Evidence of extensive non-allelic gene conversion among LTR elements in the human genome. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 28710.
- Cossu, R.M.; Casola, C.; Giacomello, S.; Vidalis, A.; Scofield, D.G.; Zuccolo, A. LTR retrotransposons show low levels of unequal recombination and high rates of intraelement gene conversion in large plant genomes. Genome Biol. Evol. 2017, 9, 3449–3462.
- 12. Fawcett, J.A.; Innan, H. The role of gene conversion between transposable elements in rewiring regulatory networks. Genome Biol. Evol. 2019, 11, 1723–1729.
- 13. Ellison, C.E.; Bachtrog, D. Non-allelic gene conversion enables rapid evolutionary change at multiple regulatory sites encoded by transposable elements. eLife 2015, 4, e05899.
- 14. Batzer, M.A.; Deininger, P.L. Alu repeats and human genomic diversity. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2002, 3, 370–379.
- 15. Styles, P.; Brookfield, J.F. Source gene composition and gene conversion of the AluYh and AluYi lineages of retrotransposons. BMC Evol. Biol. 2009, 9, 102.

- Cordaux, R. Gene conversion maintains nonfunctional transposable elements in an obligate mutualistic endosymbiont. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2009, 26, 1679–1682.
- 17. Benovoy, D.; Drouin, G. Ectopic gene conversions in the human genome. Genomics 2009, 93, 27–32.
- 18. Chen, J.M.; Cooper, D.N.; Chuzhanova, N.; Férec, C.; Patrinos, G.P. Gene conversion: Mechanisms, evolution and human disease. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2007, 8, 762–775.
- 19. Kriegs, J.O.; Matzke, A.; Churakov, G.; Kuritzin, A.; Mayr, G.; Brosius, J.; Schmitz, J. Waves of genomic hitchhikers shed light on the evolution of gamebirds (Aves: Galliformes). BMC Evol. Biol. 2007, 7, 190.
- 20. Deininger, P. Alu elements: Know the SINEs. Genome Biol. 2011, 12, 236.
- Batzer, M.A.; Rubin, C.M.; Hellmann-Blumberg, U.; Alegria-Hartman, M.; Leeflang, E.P.; Stern, J.D.; Bazan, H.A.; Shaikh, T.H.; Deininger, P.L.; Schmid, C.W. Dispersion and insertion polymorphism in two small subfamilies of recently amplified human Alu Repeats. J. Mol. Biol. 1995, 247, 418–427.
- 22. Salem, A.-H.; Ray, D.A.; Hedges, D.J.; Jurka, J.; Batzer, M.A. Analysis of the human Alu Ye lineage. BMC Evol. Biol. 2005, 5, 18.
- Doronina, L.; Reising, O.; Clawson, H.; Ray, D.A.; Schmitz, J. True homoplasy of retrotransposon insertions in primates. Syst. Biol. 2019, 68, 482–493.
- 24. Doronina, L.; Matzke, A.; Churakov, G.; Stoll, M.; Huge, A.; Schmitz, J. The beaver's phylogenetic lineage illuminated by retroposon reads. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 43562.
- 25. Churakov, G.; Grundmann, N.; Kuritzin, A.; Brosius, J.; Makalowski, W.; Schmitz, J. A novel web-based TinT application and the chronology of the Primate Alu retroposon activity. BMC Evol. Biol. 2010, 10, 376.
- Schildkraut, E.; Miller, C.A.; Nickoloff, J.A. Transcription of a donor enhances its use during double-strand breakinduced gene conversion in human cells. Mol. Cell. Biol. 2006, 26, 3098–3105.
- Meyer, T.J.; McLain, A.T.; Oldenburg, J.M.; Faulk, C.; Bourgeois, M.G.; Conlin, E.M.; Mootnick, A.R.; De Jong, P.J.; Roos, C.; Carbone, L.; et al. An Alu-based phylogeny of gibbons (Hylobatidae). Mol. Biol. Evol. 2012, 29, 3441–3450.
- 28. Wacholder, A.; Pollock, D.D. PRDM9 and an epidemic of gene conversion and non-homologous recombination among Alu elements in ancestral gorillas. bioRxiv 2017, 241356.
- 29. Jedlicka, P.; Lexa, M.; Kejnovsky, E. What can long terminal repeats tell us about the age of LTR retrotransposons, gene conversion and ectopic recombination? Front. Plant Sci. 2020, 11, 644.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/26617