The Effect of International Traffic on Malaria Cases

Subjects: Health Policy & Services Contributor: Hiroyuki Noda

The stagnation of international traffic due to countermeasures against COVID-19 potentially reduced malaria cases by 58% in 2020 in Japan. International traffic was stagnated due to the COVID-19 pandemic, but international travel is slowly picking up from very low levels. We need to promote the preparation for the rebound of imported malaria cases in response to the normalization of international traffic.

Keywords: international traffic ; malaria ; COVID-19 ; Japan

1. Introduction

International traffic, which is defined as "the movement of persons, baggage, cargo, containers, conveyances, goods or postal parcels across an international border, including international trade" in International Health Regulation ^[1], has been at the heart of the debate on global health regulations and the weighting of quarantine measures and sanitary measures with the aim of prevention and control of imported infectious diseases for several centuries ^{[2][3]}. In line with historical frameworks, International Health Regulation states "The purpose and scope of these regulations are to prevent, protect against, control and provide a public health response to the international spread of disease in ways that are commensurate with and restricted to public health risks, and which avoid unnecessary interference with international traffic has rarely been introduced in the context of global health. However, the situation changed completely with the onset of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) ^{[5][6]}.

Since the lockdown of Wuhan following the spread of COVID-19 in the city, almost all countries have broadly applied interference with international traffic, such as international travel restrictions and border control ^{[4][Z]}. An annual report by the World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) indicates that international tourist arrivals fell globally by 74%, from 1.46 billion arrivals in 2019 to 381 million arrivals in 2020 ^[8]. In Japan, the number of entries reported by the Immigration Services Agency of Japan reduced from 51 million persons in 2019 to 8 million persons in 2020 ^[9]. However, international travel is slowly picking up from very low levels in May 2021, after a long stagnation, as some destinations have started to ease travel restrictions and consumer confidence has risen slightly ^[10]. UNWTO indicated that international tourism saw a minor uptick in May 2021, with arrivals declining by 82% (versus May 2019), after falling by 86% in April ^[10]. This minor uptick may represent a path back to the normalization of international traffic, even though levels remain far from those in the prepandemic era.

International traffic is seen as one of the main human influences on the international spread of infectious diseases in the twenty-first century ^[4]. For example, indigenous malaria was eradicated in 1961 ^{[11][12][13]}, and malaria is currently one of the imported infectious diseases in Japan. Malaria cases were reported annually in the range around 60 cases between 2006 and 2017 in Japan, and almost all cases were reported as imported malaria ^[14]. Nevertheless, after the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of malaria cases has reduced significantly as a result of the stagnation of international traffic due to countermeasures against COVID-19. In Japan, the cumulative number of malaria cases was only 20 cases by the end of the epidemiological weeks in 2020 ^[15]. However, as this change may be caused by an association between international traffic (i.e., the number of entries crossing international borders) and imported infectious diseases such as malaria may increase again in the future due to the normalization of international traffic.

In Japan, malaria was eradicated, and almost all cases are reported as imported malaria $\frac{14}{1}$. Persons aged 20–39 years account for 62% of cases $\frac{14}{1}$, and the report by the National Institute of Infectious Diseases concluded that this age distribution of malaria cases was associated with the countries representing malaria risk and the age distribution of oversea travelers $\frac{14}{1}$. This report also showed that 688 cases of the 704 cases between 2006 and 2017 were imported malaria, and persons who travelled to African countries accounted for 65% of cases $\frac{14}{1}$. These data suggest that malaria is rare in Japan, and a previous study suggested that there are few medical doctors with experience in diagnosing malaria in Japan $\frac{16}{10}$. Moreover, residents in countries without indigenous malaria, including Japan, are usually non-immune, as

acquisition of immunity is slow and requires repeated exposure, and people with non-immunity are more likely to become severe cases ^[17]. Therefore, it may be important to increase prior probability in diagnosing malaria for preventing delay of diagnosis, and prediction of future trends of malaria cases may assist medical doctors in their awareness of malaria risks in countries without indigenous malaria, including Japan.

Globally, there were an estimated 241 million malaria cases in 2020 in 85 malaria-endemic countries, increasing from 227 million in 2019, with most of this increase coming from countries in the World Health Organization (WHO) Africa Region ^[18]. The latest report by the WHO indicates that 29 countries, mainly in Africa, accounted for 96% of malaria cases globally, and that six countries (i.e., Nigeria, the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Uganda, Mozambique, Angola, and Burkina Faso) accounted for about 55% of all cases ^[18]. On 6 October 2021, the WHO announced that it will be recommending widespread use of the RTS,S/AS01 (RTS,S) malaria vaccine, which is the first parasite vaccine to have obtained regulatory approval, for children in sub-Saharan Africa and in other regions with moderate-to-high *Plasmodium Falciparum* transmission ^[19]. This innovative technology may reduce child mortality in high-risk malaria areas in the future, but these statistics show that African countries currently have most of the malaria cases in the world.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the government of Japan has implemented risk-based border control by quarantine measures (e.g., submission of a certificate of a negative test result conducted within 72 h prior to departing the country/region visited, staying for specified days at facilities designated by the Chief of the Quarantine Station, and staying for the remaining period of 14 days after entry into Japan at places such as one's own residence), denial of permission to enter for foreign nationals from specified countries/areas, and suspension of visa validity. On 31 January 2020, Hubei Province was designated as the first region for denial of permission to enter for foreign nationals, after declaration of a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) under the International Health Regulations ^[1]; this was subsequently expanded to 73 countries/areas on 3 April 2020, after the announcement of a pandemic by the WHO Director-General. At the end of 2021, new entry of foreign nationals from a preventive perspective against the Omicron variant. These border control measures affect the number of people crossing the international borders of Japan and thus the imported malaria cases during the COVID-19 pandemic.

2.Current Insights

The association of the number of entries for Japanese and foreigners with the number of malaria cases during the past two decades in Japan was examined, aiming to identify the potentially reduced number of malaria cases due to stagnation of international traffic after the COVID-19 pandemic. The number of entries for Japanese was strongly associated with the number of malaria cases, and 58% of malaria cases were estimated to be potentially reduced during 2020 in Japan. These findings suggest that the stagnation of international traffic during the COVID-19 pandemic reduced the number of malaria cases in Japan.

This study indicated that the stagnation of international traffic during the COVID-19 pandemic potentially reduced imported malaria cases. This finding indicates the possibility of a future rebound of malaria cases after the normalization of international traffic. In Japan, almost all medical doctors have little experiences with malaria diagnosis ^[16] and may have difficulty in early detection due to a lack of diagnostic experience in a clinical setting and reduced prior probability ^[5]. A previous study suggested that less information about malaria risks in overseas travelers might lead to a delay in diagnosing malaria, as there are few medical doctors with experience in diagnosing malaria in Japan ^[16]. Before normalization of international traffic, we need to raise the awareness of medical doctors, which will help them to diagnose patients by increasing prior probability.

This study showed that the number of entries for Japanese was strongly associated with the number of malaria cases. In Japan, malaria was eradicated ^[13], and almost all cases are recognized as an imported infectious disease ^[14]. If infected overseas, most Japanese residents may be delayed in going to the hospital as they would not suspect malaria infection ^[16]. Moreover, overseas travelers infected with malaria may be more likely to become severe cases, because protection from malaria is acquired from repeated exposure ^[17]. As naturally acquired immunity to malaria is usually developed by individuals living in a malaria-endemic areas ^[17], visitors infected with malaria while overseas are more likely to be non-immune. A previous study indicates that the risk of severe cases is predominantly confined to malaria-naive visitors as well as small children and pregnant women in areas of high transmission ^[17]. Moreover, a previous study suggested that health education before overseas travel may reduce "patient's delay" and "doctor's delay" in Japan, which may help patients to access early diagnosis and treatment ^[16]. Earlier access to diagnosis leads to better outcomes in a clinical setting. A previous study suggested that most delays in fatal cases were in seeking care ^[20]. We also need to raise

awareness and to promote health education on malaria risks as well as chemoprophylaxis for overseas travelers after normalization of international traffic.

On the other hand, there was no association of the number of entries for foreigners with the number of malaria cases after adjustment of time trends. This reduced risk was due to the association with the time trend, whereas there was not multicollinearity. In fact, the number of entries for foreigners increased slightly between 1999 and 2011, and then the number began to increase rapidly after 2012. Despite this change, the time trend of malaria cases was not changed around 2012. This indicates that there may be almost no impact of foreigners' entry itself on the number of malaria cases in Japan.

One of the plausible reasons for why the entry for foreigners was not associated with malaria cases may be the distribution of nationality in the number of entries in Japan. Statistics on Legal Migrants in 2019 showed that the percentage of entries was high for foreigners from East Asian countries such as China and South Korea ^[9], and the population in these countries has a low risk of malaria infection ^[18]. In fact, China was classified as malaria free as of 2000 ^[18], and there were no indigenous cases after 2017. South Korea is classified as a country with indigenous cases, but the number of indigenous malaria cases was only 485 in 2019 ^[18]. On the other hand, 29 countries, mainly in Africa, account for 96% of malaria cases globally, and six countries account for about 55% of all cases ^[18]. The percentage of entries for foreigners from the 29 countries was only 0.7% during 2019 in Japan, and the respective percentage from the six countries was 0.02%.

In Japan, malaria was eradicated, and the number of cases reported annually before the COVID-19 pandemic was around 60 ^{[11][12][13][14]}, which suggests that malaria is rare in Japan. However, residents of Japan are usually non-immune, and persons with non-immunity are more likely to become severe cases ^[12]. Moreover, a previous article suggested that there was "patient's delay" and "doctor's delay" in Japan, as there are few medical doctors with experience in diagnosing malaria ^[16]. Therefore, it may be important to increase prior probability in diagnosing malaria for preventing delay of diagnosis, and the future trend of malaria cases predicted in this article can greatly assist medical doctors to be aware of malaria risks.

This study showed a predictive model to estimate the effect of international traffic on malaria cases. Although the association was estimated using data in Japan, it may be possible to conduct similar analysis in other countries without indigenous malaria, because these data (i.e., the number of entries crossing international borders and malaria cases) are basic statistics that are usually available in each country. The predictive model using data in each country may assist medical doctors to be aware of malaria risk, and to promote health education as well as chemoprophylaxis for overseas travelers from countries without indigenous malaria.

Public health measures always present side effects beyond the main effect on the target disease ^[5], because they are strongly related to society. For example, it has been pointed out that the countermeasures against COVID-19 have affected social connectedness as well as employment, which may lead to other health issues such as dementia and suicide ^{[21][22][23]}. Furthermore, a previous study showed that the countermeasures against COVID-19, such as domestic travel restrictions and school closures, may reduce hospitalization by acute respiratory infection and respiratory syncytial virus ^[24]. This article showed that the stagnation of international traffic as a side effect of the countermeasures against COVID-19 reduced the number of malaria cases in Japan.

References

- 1. World Health Organization. International Health Regulations (2005), 3rd ed.; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.
- Nagata, N. The International Control of Epidemic Disease: The Study of the International Sanitary Conferences, 1851– 1938; Kokusai Shoin: Tokyo, Japan, 2010. (In Japanese)
- 3. Vanderslott, S.; Marks, T. Travel restrictions as a disease control measure: Lessons from yellow fever. Glob. Public Health 2021, 16, 340–353.
- Bickley, S.J.; Chan, H.F.; Skali, A.; Stadelmann, D.; Torgler, B. How does globalization affect COVID-19 responses? Glob. Health 2021, 17, 57.
- 5. Noda, H. Progress of public health policy regarding global infectious diseases over the past decade in Japan. J. Infect. Chemother. 2021, 27, 555–561.
- 6. Meier, B.M.; Habibi, R.; Yang, Y.T. Travel restrictions violate international law. Science 2020, 367, 1436.

- 7. Meghan, B.; Batalova, J.; Davidoff-Gore, S.; Schmidt, T. COVID-19 and the State of Global Mobility in 2020; Migration Policy Institute and International Organization for Migration: Washington, DC, USA; Geneva, Switzerland, 2021.
- 8. UNWTO. Tourism suffers its deepest crisis with a drop of 74% in 2020 in international arrivals. World Tour. Barom. 2021, 19, 1.
- 9. Immigration Services Agency of Japan. Annual Report of Statistics on Legal Migrants 2020; Immigration Services Agency of Japan: Tokyo, Japan, 2021.
- 10. UNWTO. International travel largely on hold despite uptick in May 2021. World Tour. Barom. 2021, 19, 1.
- 11. Tanaka, S.; Sugita, S.; Marui, E. Two types of malaria epidemics during the postwar occupation period in Japan. Jpn. J. Hyg. 2009, 64, 3–13. (In Japanese)
- 12. Kishimoto, T. History of vector control in Okinawa islands, 1945–1998. Annu. Rep. Okinawa Prefect. Inst. Health Environ. 1999, 33, 31–36. (In Japanese)
- 13. Tanaka, S.; Sugita, S.; Ando, T.; Marui, E. How was endemic malaria eradicated? Community-based action in postwar Hikone. Nihon Ishigaku Zasshi. Jpn. Hist. Med. 2009, 55, 15–30. (In Japanese)
- 14. National Institute of Infectious Diseases. Malaria 2006–2017. In IASR; 2018; Volume 39, pp. 167–169. (In Japanese)
- 15. The Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, National Institute of Infectious Diseases. Data of the 53rd Week. In IDWR; 2020; Volume 22, pp. 36–50. (In Japanese)
- Yamamoto, K.; Kato, Y.; Aoyama, J.; Shinohara, H.; Hayakawa, K.; Hori, N.; Kanagawa, S.; Ohmagari, N. Report of 2 cases of falciparum malaria within the same group after traveling to Kenya and an adult case of cerebral malaria. IASR 2014, 35, 151–152. (In Japanese)
- Mischlinger, J.; Rönnberg, C.; Álvarez-Martínez, M.J.; Bühler, S.; Paul, M.; Schlagenhauf, P.; Petersen, E.; Ramharter, M. Imported Malaria in Countries where Malaria Is Not Endemic: A Comparison of Semi-immune and Nonimmune Travelers. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2020, 33, e00104-19.
- 18. World Health Organization. World Malaria Report 2021; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2021.
- 19. Lancet, T. Malaria vaccine approval: A step change for global health. Lancet 2021, 398, 1381.
- 20. Checkley, A.M.; Smith, A.; Smith, V.; Blaze, M.; Bradley, D.; Chiodini, P.L.; Whitty, C.J. Risk factors for mortality from imported falciparum malaria in the United Kingdom over 20 years: An observational study. BMJ 2012, 344, e2116.
- 21. Wang, H.; Li, T.; Barbarino, P.; Gauthier, S.; Brodaty, H.; Molinuevo, J.L.; Xie, H.; Sun, Y.; Yu, E.; Tang, Y.; et al. Dementia care during COVID-19. Lancet 2020, 395, 1190–1191.
- 22. Kawohl, W.; Nordt, C. COVID-19, unemployment, and suicide. Lancet Psychiatry 2020, 7, 389–390.
- John, A.; Pirkis, J.; Gunnell, D.; Appleby, L.; Morrissey, J. Trends in suicide during the covid-19 pandemic. BMJ 2020, 371, m4352.
- Nolen, L.D.; Seeman, S.; Bruden, D.; Klejka, J.; Desnoyers, C.; Tiesinga, J.; Singleton, R. Impact of Social Distancing and Travel Restrictions on Non-Coronavirus Disease 2019 (Non-COVID-19) Respiratory Hospital Admissions in Young Children in Rural Alaska. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2021, 72, 2196–2198.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/43504