

Aspergillus fumigatus

Subjects: [Agronomy](#)

Contributor: Helge Sierotzki

Aspergillus fumigatus is a ubiquitous saprophytic fungus. Inhalation of *A. fumigatus* spores can lead to Invasive Aspergillosis (IA) in people with weakened immune systems. The use of triazole antifungals with the demethylation inhibitor (DMI) mode of action to treat IA is being hampered by the spread of DMI-resistant “ARAf” (azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus*) genotypes.

Aspergillus fumigatus

DMI fungicides

azoles

resistance

1. Introduction

1.1. *Aspergillus fumigatus*

Aspergillus fumigatus is a ubiquitous saprophytic ascomycete fungus typically found in nature growing on decaying vegetation on and in soil ^{[1][2][3]}. It is thermotolerant, with a broad temperature range for growth (12–65 °C, optimum growth temperature of ~35 °C), and it benefits from high humidity (85–100%), characteristics that allow it to thrive in plant waste heaps ^{[4][5][6]}.

A. fumigatus is a widespread constituent of the air microflora. Prolific release of conidia ^{[1][7]} from natural sources or others associated with human activity (e.g., composting, building work) can lead to high spore counts in aerosols, reaching up to 10⁶ colony-forming units (CFUs) per m³ ^[8], depending on the location, context, and season. People can inhale *A. fumigatus* spores from the air in both the indoor and outdoor environments ^{[2][4]}.

A. fumigatus is also the most important of several *Aspergillus* species capable of opportunistic infection of humans and many species of domesticated animal ^{[4][9][10]}: its clinical effects range from allergic reactions to chronic pulmonary Aspergillosis (CPA) and acute invasive Aspergillosis (IA) in immunocompromised patients ^{[11][12][13]}.

1.2. Demethylation Inhibitor (DMI) Antifungals in the Clinic and Fungicides in the Environment

The most important DMI antifungals used in medicine and DMI fungicides used in the outside environment (often referred to generically as “azoles” in the scientific literature) belong to the triazole and imidazole groups, which share the ability to inhibit sterol 14- α -demethylase, a member of the P450 family encoded by the *cyp51* gene. Sterol demethylation is intrinsic to the biosynthesis of ergosterol, an essential component of fungal membranes, the lack of which causes a loss of functionality, followed by fungistasis ^{[13][14][15]}.

Since their first introduction in the 1970s, DMI fungicides have been used for various purposes in the outside environment: as crop protection agents in agriculture and horticulture; as material protectants (e.g., in timber treatment, paints), and for veterinary treatments [4][12][16]. In agriculture, DMI fungicides continue to be indispensable crop protectants for foliar application and seed treatment [17][18], a position they have maintained over several decades because their high-level, broad-spectrum efficacy has been largely resilient towards loss of efficacy against target pathogens: they show partial “shifting” resistance only, in contrast to the full resistance that has developed quite rapidly to other modes of action [12][19].

Many triazoles and imidazoles are active against *A. fumigatus* [20][21], hence the introduction of medical triazole therapies to combat CPA and IA in the late 1980s [6][10]. Triazoles are currently the first-line choice of medical treatment for patients suffering from these conditions. Therapeutic alternatives to triazoles exist (echinocandins and polyenes), but unlike triazoles, they cannot be applied orally (resulting in higher cost) and are less favourable in terms of efficacy and side effects. Thus, they are generally administered in combination with triazoles or are positioned as salvage treatments if triazole therapy fails [9][10][12][13][15].

1.3. Resistance to DMI Fungicides in *A. fumigatus*

Resistance to DMIs in *A. fumigatus* was first observed in the clinical setting in the late 1990s, followed by a rise in incidence over the last two decades [15][22]. The initial findings were followed by the recovery of resistant isolates from patients in many countries [9][23]. Resistant isolates hinder triazole therapy, and the infection with DMI-resistant *A. fumigatus* (ARAF) is associated with significantly higher patient mortality [9][10][12][13][15][24][25][26][27].

Triazole resistance can be acquired during therapy, particularly in patients undergoing long-term DMI treatment [10][15][28]. ARAF isolates recovered from patients typically carry resistance mechanisms based on point mutations at various positions in the *cyp51A* gene (which decrease the affinity of DMIs for the target protein), altered efflux pump activity, or increased *cyp51A* copy number [11][15] as well as other mechanisms [9][12][13][14]. However, a recent finding indicates that a mutation in the *cyp51B* gene can also confer DMI resistance [29].

Strong evidence for the emergence of resistance within patients during DMI therapy has been provided by applying microsatellite typing to isolates recovered serially from infected individuals [10][11][30]. In-patient emergence of triazole resistance is probably associated with pulmonary cavities, within which the fungus can sporulate asexually from an aspergilloma, providing an opportunity for resistant variants to emerge that are then capable of being selected by the medical DMIs [6][11][12][23].

The recovery of resistant isolates from triazole-naïve IA patients in the late 1990s indicated that the external environment presents an additional route for ARAF infection [11][15][20][25][31][32]. “Environmental” ARAF strains typically combine the insertion of tandem repeats (TRs) in the promoter region of the *cyp51A* target gene (which up-regulate the expression of *cyp51A*) together with single or multiple point mutations in the coding sequence of the gene [9][12][15][33]. The most ubiquitous common mutants recovered from patients that are generally held to be of environmental origin are TR₃₄/L98H, TR₄₆/Y121F/T289A, and TR₃₄/L98H/S297T/F495I [9][10][34][35][36]. TR₃₄/L98H,

which combines a 34 base-pair tandem repeat with a leucine-to-histidine substitution at position 98 in the amino acid coding sequence, was first recovered from a Dutch patient in 1999 [37][38]. It was later found in a sample taken from an Italian patient in 1998 [39], and it has since been detected in clinical settings (e.g., hospital corridors, intensive care units) and recovered from patients and environmental samples from around the globe [15][25][33][40]. A second widespread “environmental” mutant, TR₄₆/Y121F/T289A, was first recovered from a Dutch patient in 2009 [9][10][41][42] and later found in a sample from a US patient taken in 2008 [43]. It too has been detected widely in the environment [44], albeit less frequently than TR₃₄/L98H [45]. Further TR-associated mutants, such as a TR₅₃ mutant without substitutions in the *cyp51A* gene, have also been detected [9][46].

While there is some evidence for in-patient emergence of TRs [11][47][48] and nosocomial transfer (i.e., within the clinic) of ARAf isolates [49], the environment is likely to be a relevant source of IA patient contamination with resistant isolates [6]. Several studies have shown correspondence between specific ARAf genotypes isolated from patients and the general or their local environments [15][50][51][52]. ARAf carrying the TR₃₄ mutation has also been detected in hospital air and dust samples [53][54][55], as well as hospital gardens, suggesting that the immediate hospital surroundings can contribute to the clinical spore load [53].

A number of DMI fungicides used in the outside environment are intrinsically active against *A. fumigatus* [20][21][56][57]. Cross-resistance in ARAf isolates to these DMIs and the different triazoles used in medicine is common, but not always the case, depending on the genetic configuration of the ARAf genotype [20][52][57]. Unlike other *Aspergillus* species such as *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus niger*, *A. fumigatus* is not able to infect living plant tissues, so it is not a crop pathogen and therefore not a target of fungicide applications in agriculture [4]. However, “collateral” exposure of the fungus is possible in environmental settings. For example, DMI fungicides applied to crops start to dissipate after treatment; however, their presence in the treated field can also extend beyond the cropping cycle in the form of steadily declining residues in the soil [58] and on non-harvested parts of the crop, including vegetative substrates capable of colonization by *A. fumigatus*. If DMI residues are present in these substrates at concentrations sufficient to inhibit the growth of the wild-type portion of the *A. fumigatus* population, but not that of resistant isolates, then this convergence may lead to the selection of ARAf. Snelders et al. [20] identified a group of five agricultural DMI fungicides with structural similarity (and cross-resistance) to medical triazoles and pointed out that the timing of market introduction of these compounds immediately preceded the estimated date of origin of TR₃₄/L98H. Toda et al. [59] also related the first recovery of a TR₃₄ mutant from a patient in the United States in 2016 to the preceding decade of intensification of national agricultural DMI use. A further indication of the potential participation of agronomic settings in selection for resistance derives from the characterization of ARAf isolates—deriving from clinical, environmental and plant material sources—that are also resistant to other modes of action which are used in agriculture but not in medicine, such as the quinone outside inhibitor (QoI), methyl benzimidazole carbamate (MBC), and succinate dehydrogenase inhibitor (SDHI) fungicide classes [60][61][62].

1.4. Emergence of Resistance in *A. fumigatus* during Exposure to Agricultural DMIs

Environmental saprophytic fungi such as *A. fumigatus* are often phenotypically and genotypically plastic, allowing them to respond to stressful stimuli (environmental factors, nutrient availability, competitive stress, toxins) via stable genetic or epigenetic changes that are then capable of selection [10].

Laboratory studies have demonstrated the emergence of *cyp51A* gene mutations during exposure to agricultural DMIs in culture media or soil, with mutants showing increased MICs (Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations) and either pan-DMI resistance or resistance to specific medical DMIs [20][63][64][65][66][67][68][69]. For example, Snelders et al. [20] showed the emergence, during in vitro exposure to agricultural DMIs, of *cyp51A* substitutions that are also known to emerge within patients during therapy. They did not detect the emergence of TR₃₄/L98H, although a tripling of the 34 base-pair tandem repeat occurred in an isolate already possessing the TR₃₄/L98H mutation. In contrast, Ren et al. [64] reported the recovery of the resistant genotype TR₄₆/Y121F/T289A after repeated subculturing of an initially wild-type strain on medium supplemented with agricultural DMIs, and Cao et al. [67] recovered TR₃₄/L98H and TR₃₄/L98H/S297T/F495I mutants from tomato leaves and soils treated with the agricultural DMI tebuconazole.

The emergence of mutants in these studies (and in the environment) is assumed to be the result of the spontaneous generation of genetic changes followed by selection under DMI pressure. DMIs have not been shown to be mutagenic: mass production of conidia from fungal colonies provides ample opportunity for spontaneous mutations to occur during the myriad mitotic events leading to the formation of spore masses [6][70]. Evidence for the role of asexual sporulation in the emergence of resistant mutants during exposure to agricultural DMIs in vitro was provided by Zhang et al. [71], who later showed the emergence of a TR₃₄³/L98H isolate following asexual reproduction of a TR₃₄/L98H ancestor in the presence of voriconazole [72].

Alterations in the promotor region (of which tandem repeats are an example) and *cyp51A* single nucleotide polymorphisms typical of environmental ARAf isolates have generally been found to emerge separately in DMI-resistant isolates of plant pathogens directly targeted with agricultural DMIs [9]. However, a combination of these resistance mechanisms has recently been found in the environment in isolates of the plant pathogens *Pyrenopeziza brassicae* and *Pseudocercospora fijiensis* showing decreased sensitivity to DMIs [73][74].

The mutations leading to DMI resistance in ARAf do not generally impose a significant fitness cost, allowing them to survive and spread in the absence of DMIs [11][23][51]. Verweij et al. [70] raise the possibility that tandem repeats in the promoter region may provide a compensatory mechanism for metabolically costly point mutations in the *cyp51A* gene.

1.5. Population Dynamics of Azole-Resistant *A. fumigatus* in the Environment

DMI resistance is present in environmental populations of *A. fumigatus* around the globe [13][45]. There is evidence that TR-associated resistance has arisen rarely in the environment but has subsequently spread widely, acquiring various point mutations in the meanwhile [12][75]. For example, genomic analysis of both clinical and environmental TR₃₄/L98H and TR₄₆/Y121F/T289A isolates from different geographical locations [35], across India [76] and from

across the UK and Ireland [51] showed that the TR-associated DMI-resistant genotypes show relatively low genetic diversity, evidence that frequent emergence of these mutations is unlikely. The less common TR₅₃ mutation first reported from the clinical setting in the Netherlands in 2009 has since been detected in the environment in Europe as well as in Colombia [15][77].

A. fumigatus conidia can be dispersed over long distances in the air, and it is conceivable that the global distribution of TR₃₄/L98H and TR₄₆/Y121F/T289A is the result of conidial spread, as shown by the detection of identical clones in different European countries [60] and by the isolation of identical TR₃₄/L98H and TR₄₆/Y121F/T289A clones from the environment and clinical settings worldwide [35]. However, the transfer of ARAf isolates on plant material such as flower bulbs has been demonstrated [53], and the long-distance spread of resistant isolates by birds is also considered a possibility [78].

2. Characteristics of Agronomic Hotspots for the Amplification and Spread of ARAf

An agronomic hotspot is an agricultural or horticultural setting that fulfils the following conditions: it provides a substrate capable of supporting the growth, reproduction and dispersal of a population of *A. fumigatus*: for selection of resistant genotypes to occur, the prevailing environmental conditions must also allow *A. fumigatus* to grow and complete its life cycle to the point of spore production, and the substrate must contain DMI residues at concentrations capable of selecting ARAf isolates from within a mixed population of susceptible and resistant genotypes [5]. The greater the population size, the greater the probability that mutations conferring DMI resistance emerge that are then capable of selection [11].

Within a hotspot, the selective action of DMI residues results in the resistant proportion of the population being “amplified,” i.e., its ability to develop and release propagules into the environment is favoured at the expense of the DMI-susceptible portion [12][56]. This can increase the proportion of ARAf spores in the air spora over “background” levels (Table 1). Mass release of conidia is considered essential to the definition of an ARAf hotspot because airborne conidia are the predominant route of infection of patients by *A. fumigatus* deriving from the environment [3][4][15].

Table 1. List of requirements for classifying an agronomic setting as a hotspot for the amplification of DMI fungicide resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*.

Requirement	Comments
Favourable conditions for growth and multiplication of <i>A. fumigatus</i>	Availability of a suitable organic substrate capable of supporting a sizable population of <i>A. fumigatus</i> ; prevailing conditions of temperature and humidity provide optimal growth conditions, leading to a competitive advantage for <i>A. fumigatus</i> within the fungal/microbial community
Exposure of <i>A. fumigatus</i> to residual concentrations of DMI	Residue levels of a specific DMI fungicide exceed its Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) for wild-type (susceptible) <i>A. fumigatus</i> , leading to the selection of resistant <i>A. fumigatus</i> genotypes

Requirement	Comments
fungicides that are selective for resistant genotypes	
Mass release of airborne spores of <i>A. fumigatus</i> into the environment	Selection of resistant genotypes leads to preferential reproduction and release into the air spora, resulting in an “amplification” of resistance over background levels

References

1. Mullins, J.; Harvey, R.; Seaton, A. Sources and incidence of airborne *Aspergillus fumigatus* (Fres). *Clin. Allergy* 1976, 6, 209–217.
2. Latgé, J.-P.; Chamilos, G. *Aspergillus fumigatus* and aspergillosis in 2019. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2019, 33, e00140-18.
3. O’Gorman, C.M. Airborne *Aspergillus fumigatus* conidia: A risk factor for aspergillosis. *Fungal Biol. Rev.* 2011, 25, 151–157.
4. Gisi, U. Assessment of selection and resistance risk for demethylation inhibitor fungicides in *Aspergillus fumigatus* in agriculture and medicine: A critical review. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2014, 70, 352–364.
5. Schoustra, S.E.; Debets, A.J.M.; Rijs, A.J.M.M.; Zhang, J.; Snelders, E.; Leendertse, P.C.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Rietveld, A.G.; Zwaan, B.J.; Verweij, P.E. Environmental Hotspots for Azole Resistance Selection of *Aspergillus fumigatus*, the Netherlands. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 25, 1347–1353.
6. Zhang, J.; Debets, A.J.M.; Verweij, P.E.; Snelders, E. Azole-resistance development; How the *Aspergillus fumigatus* lifecycle defines the potential for adaptation. *J. Fungi* 2021, 7, 599.
7. Kwon-Chung, K.J.; Sugui, J.A. *Aspergillus fumigatus*—what makes the species a ubiquitous human fungal pathogen? *PLoS Pathog.* 2013, 9, e1003743.
8. Pearson, C.; Littlewood, E.; Douglas, P.; Robertson, S.; Gant, T.W.; Hansell, A.L. Exposures and Health Outcomes in relation to Bioaerosol Emissions from Composting Facilities: A Systematic Review of Occupational and Community Studies. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health B* 2015, 18, 43–69.
9. Garcia-Rubio, R.; Cuenca-Estrella, M.; Mellado, E. Triazole Resistance in *Aspergillus* Species: An Emerging Problem. *Drugs* 2017, 77, 599–613.
10. Nywening, A.V.; Rybak, J.M.; Rogers, P.D.; Fortwendel, J.R. Mechanisms of triazole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2020, 22, 4934–4952.

11. Buil, J.B.; Hare, R.K.; Zwaan, B.J.; Arendrup, M.C.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Verweij, P.E. The fading boundaries between patient and environmental routes of triazole resistance selection in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *PLoS Pathog.* 2019, 15, e1007858.
12. Verweij, P.E.; Lucas, J.A.; Arendrup, M.C.; Bowyer, P.; Brinkmann, A.J.F.; Denning, D.W.; Dyer, P.S.; Fisher, M.C.; Geenen, P.L.; Gisi, U.; et al. The one health problem of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*: Current insights and future research agenda. *Fungal Biol. Rev.* 2020, 34, 202–214.
13. Arastehfar, A.; Carvalho, A.; Houbraken, J.; Lombardi, L.; Garcia-Rubio, R.; Jenks, J.D.; Rivero-Menendez, O.; Aljohani, R.; Jacobsen, I.D.; Berman, J.; et al. *Aspergillus fumigatus* and aspergillosis: From basics to clinics. *Stud. Mycol.* 2021, 100, 100115.
14. Berger, S.; El Chazli, Y.; Babu, A.F.; Coste, A.T. Azole Resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*: A Consequence of Antifungal Use in Agriculture? *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, 8, 1024.
15. Jeanvoine, A.; Rocchi, S.; Bellanger, A.P.; Reboux, G.; Millon, L. Azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus*: A global phenomenon originating in the environment? *Med. Mal. Infect.* 2020, 50, 389–395.
16. Jeanvoine, A.; Rocchi, S.; Reboux, G.; Crini, N.; Crini, G.; Millon, L. Azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* in sawmills of Eastern France. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 2017, 123, 172–184.
17. Price, C.L.; Parker, J.E.; Warrilow, A.G.S.; Kelly, D.E.; Kelly, S.L. Azole fungicides—Understanding resistance mechanisms in agricultural fungal pathogens. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2015, 71, 1054–1058.
18. Jørgensen, L.N.; Hieck, T.M. Azole use in Agriculture, Horticulture, and Wood Preservation—Is it indispensable? *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 2021, 11, 806.
19. Lucas, J.A.; Hawkins, N.J.; Fraaije, B.A. The evolution of fungicide resistance. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* 2015, 90, 29–92.
20. Snelders, E.; Camps, S.M.T.; Karawajczyk, A.; Schaftenaar, G.; Kema, G.H.J.; van der Lee, H.A.; Klaassen, C.H.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Verweij, P.E. Triazole fungicides can induce cross-resistance to medical triazoles in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e31801.
21. Jørgensen, K.; Helleberg, M.; Hare, R.K.; Jørgensen, L.N.; Arendrup, M.C. Dissection of the Activity of Agricultural Fungicides against Clinical *Aspergillus* Isolates with and without Environmentally and Medically Induced Azole Resistance. *J. Fungi* 2021, 7, 205.
22. Buil, J.B.; Snelders, E.; Denardi, L.B.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Verweij, P.E. Trends in Azole Resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*, the Netherlands, 1994–2016. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 25, 176–178.
23. Verweij, P.E.; Chowdhary, A.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Meis, J.F. Azole Resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*: Can We Retain the Clinical Use of Mold-Active Antifungal Azoles? *Clin. Infect. Dis.*

2016, 62, 362–368.

24. Chowdhary, A.; Kathuria, S.; Xu, J.; Meis, J.F. Emergence of azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* strains due to agricultural azole use creates an increasing threat to human health. *PLoS Pathog.* 2013, 9, e1003633.
25. Meis, J.F.; Chowdhary, A.; Rhodes, J.L.; Fisher, M.C.; Verweij, P.E. Clinical implications of globally emerging azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 2016, 371, 20150460.
26. Ashu, E.E.; Hagen, F.; Chowdhary, A.; Meis, J.F.; Xu, J. Global Population Genetic Analysis of *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *mSphere* 2017, 2, e00019-17.
27. Hendrickson, J.A.; Hu, C.; Aitken, S.L.; Beyda, N. Antifungal Resistance: A Concerning Trend for the Present and Future. *Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep.* 2019, 21, 47.
28. Camps, S.M.T.; van der Linden, J.W.M.; Li, Y.; Kuijper, E.J.; van Dissel, J.T.; Verweij, P.E.; Melchers, W.J.G. Rapid induction of multiple resistance mechanisms in *Aspergillus fumigatus* during azole therapy: A case study and review of the literature. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2012, 56, 10–16.
29. Gonzalez-Jiminez, I.; Lucio, J.; Amich, J.; Cuesta, I.; Arroyo, R.S.; Alcazar-Fuoli, L.; Mellado, E. A Cyp51B Mutation Contributes to Azole Resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *J. Fungi* 2020, 6, 315.
30. Hagiwara, D.; Takahashi, H.; Watanabe, A.; Takahashi-Nakaguchi, A.; Kawamoto, S.; Kamei, K.; Gono, T. Whole-genome comparison of *Aspergillus fumigatus* strains serially isolated from patients with aspergillosis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2014, 52, 4202–4209.
31. Snelders, E.; van der Lee, H.A.L.; Kuijpers, J.; Rijs, A.J.M.M.; Varga, J.; Samson, R.A.; Mellado, E.; Donders, A.R.T.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Verweij, P.E. Emergence of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* and spread of a single resistance mechanism. *PLoS Med.* 2008, 5, e219.
32. Snelders, E.; Huis In't Veld, R.A.G.; Rijs, A.J.M.M.; Kema, G.H.J.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Verweij, P.E. Possible environmental origin of resistance of *Aspergillus fumigatus* to medical triazoles. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2009, 75, 4053–4057.
33. Chowdhary, A.; Sharma, C.; Meis, J.F. Azole-Resistant Aspergillosis: Epidemiology, Molecular Mechanisms, and Treatment. *J. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 216, 436–444.
34. Sharpe, A.R.; Lagrou, K.; Meis, J.F.; Chowdhary, A.; Lockhart, S.R.; Verweij, P.E. Triazole resistance surveillance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Med. Mycol.* 2018, 56, 83–92.
35. Sewell, T.R.; Zhang, Y.; Brackin, A.P.; Shelton, J.M.G.; Rhodes, J.; Fisher, M.C. Elevated Prevalence of Azole-Resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* in Urban versus Rural Environments in the United Kingdom. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2019, 63, e00548-19.

36. Chen, Y.; Li, Z.; Han, X.; Tian, S.; Zhao, J.; Chen, F.; Su, X.; Zhao, J.; Zou, Z.; Gong, Y.; et al. Elevated MIC Values of Imidazole Drugs against *Aspergillus fumigatus* Isolates with TR34/L98H/S297T/F4951 Mutation. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemoth.* 2018, 62, e01549-17.
37. Verweij, P.E.; Snelders, E.; Kema, G.H.J.; Mellado, E.; Melchers, W.J.G. Azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*: A side-effect of environmental fungicide use? *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2009, 9, 789–795.
38. Zhang, J.; Snelders, E.; Zwaan, B.J.; Schoustra, S.E.; Meis, J.F.; van Dijk, K.; Hagen, F.; van der Beek, M.T.; Kampinga, G.A.; Zoll, J.; et al. A Novel Environmental Azole Resistance Mutation in *Aspergillus fumigatus* and a Possible Role of Sexual Reproduction in Its Emergence. *mBio* 2017, 8, e00791-17.
39. Lazzarini, C.; Esposto, M.C.; Prigitano, A.; Cogliati, M.; De Lorenzis, G.; Tortorano, A.M. Azole Resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* Clinical Isolates from an Italian Culture Collection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2016, 60, 682–685.
40. Duong, T.-M.N.; Le, T.-V.; Tran, K.-L.H.; Nguyen, P.-T.; Nguyen, B.-P.T.; Nguyen, T.-A.; Nguyen, H.-L.P.; Nguyen, B.-N.T.; Fisher, M.C.; Rhodes, J.; et al. Azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* is highly prevalent in the environment of Vietnam, with marked variability by land use type. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2021.
41. van der Linden, J.W.M.; Camps, S.M.T.; Kampinga, G.A.; Arends, J.P.A.; Debets-Ossenkopp, Y.J.; Haas, P.J.A.; Rijnders, B.J.A.; Kuijper, E.J.; van Tiel, F.H.; Varga, J.; et al. Aspergillois due to voriconazole highly resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* and recovery of genetically related resistant isolates from domiciles. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2013, 57, 513–520.
42. Alvarez-Moreno, C.; Lavergne, R.-A.; Hagen, F.; Morio, F.; Meis, J.F.; Le Pape, P. Azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* harboring TR34/L98H, TR46/Y121F/T289A and TR53 mutations related to flower fields in Colombia. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 45631.
43. Wiederhold, N.P.; Garcia Gil, V.; Gutierrez, F.; Lindner, J.R.; Albataineh, M.T.; McCarthy, D.I.; Sanders, C.; Fan, H.; Fothergill, A.W.; Sutton, D.A. First Detection of TR34 L98H and TR46 Y121F T289A Cyp51 Mutations in *Aspergillus fumigatus* Isolates in the United States. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2016, 54, 168–171.
44. Ahangarkani, F.; Puts, Y.; Nabili, M.; Khodavaisy, S.; Moazeni, A.; Salehi, Z.; Laal Kargar, M.; Badali, H.; Meis, J.F. First azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* isolates with the environmental TR46/Y121F/T289A mutation in Iran. *Mycoses* 2020, 63, 430–436.
45. Burks, C.; Darby, A.; Londoño, L.G.; Momany, M.; Brewer, M.T. Azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* in the environment: Identifying key reservoirs and hotspots of antifungal resistance. *PLOS Pathog.* 2021, 17, e1009711.

46. Le Pape, P.; Lavergne, R.-A.; Morio, F.; Alvarez-Moreno, C. Multiple Fungicide-Driven Alterations in Azole-Resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus*, Colombia, 2015. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 22, 156–157.
47. Hare, R.K.; Gertsen, J.B.; Astvad, K.M.T.; Degn, K.B.; Løkke, A.; Stegger, M.; Andersen, P.S.; Kristensen, K.; Arendrup, M.C. In Vivo Selection of a Unique Tandem Repeat Mediated Azole Resistance Mechanism (TR120) in *Aspergillus fumigatus* cyp51A, Denmark. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 25, 577–580.
48. Risum, M.; Hare, R.K.; Gertsen, J.B.; Kristensen, L.; Johansen, H.K.; Helweg-Larsen, J.; Abou-Chakra, N.; Pressler, T.; Skov, M.; Jensen-Fangel, S.; et al. Azole-Resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* Among Danish Cystic Fibrosis Patients: Increasing Prevalence and Dominance of TR34/L98H. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 1850.
49. Engel, T.G.P.; Erren, E.; Driessche, K.S.J.V.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Reijers, M.H.; Merkus, P.; Verweij, P.E. Aerosol Transmission of *Aspergillus fumigatus* in Cystic Fibrosis Patients in the Netherlands. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2019, 25, 797–799.
50. Lavergne, R.A.; Chouaki, T.; Hagen, F.; Toubanc, B.; Dupont, H.; Jounieaux, V.; Meis, J.F.; Morio, F.; Le Pape, P. Home Environment as a Source of Life-Threatening Azole-Resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* in Immunocompromised Patients. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 64, 76–78.
51. Rhodes, J.; Abdolrasouli, A.; Dunne, K.; Sewell, T.R.; Zhang, Y.; Ballard, E.; Brackin, A.P.; van Rhijn, N.; Tsitsopoulou, A.; Posso, R.B.; et al. Tracing patterns of evolution and acquisition of drug resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* infection from the environment using population genomics. *bioRxiv* 2021.
52. Zhang, J.; Jimenez, L.L.; Snelders, E.; Debets, A.J.M.; Rietveld, A.G.; Zwaan, B.J.; Verweij, P.E.; Schoustra, S.E. Dynamics of *Aspergillus fumigatus* in Azole Fungicide-Containing Plant Waste in the Netherlands (2016–2017). *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2021, 87, e02295-20.
53. Dunne, K.; Hagen, F.; Pomeroy, N.; Meis, J.F.; Rogers, T.R. Inter-country Transfer of Triazole-Resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* on Plant Bulbs. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 65, 147–149.
54. Godeau, C.; Reboux, G.; Scherer, E.; Laboissiere, A.; Lechenault-Bergerot, C.; Millon, L.; Rocchi, S. Azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* in the hospital: Surveillance from flower beds to corridors. *Am. J. Infect. Control* 2020, 48, 702–704.
55. Gonzalez-Jimenez, I.; Lucio, J.; Menéndez-Fraga, M.D.; Mellado, E.; Peláez, T. Hospital Environment as a Source of Azole-Resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* Strains with TR34/L98H and G448S Cyp51A Mutations. *J. Fungi* 2021, 7, 22.
56. Anon. Azole-Resistance Selection in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. Final Report 2015–2017 (clm.nl). 2017. Available online: https://www.clm.nl/uploads/pagina-pdfs/Azole-resistance_selection_in_AspERGILLUS_fumigatus_Final_Report.pdf (accessed on 25 November 2021).

57. Garcia-Rubio, R.; Gonzalez-Jiminez, I.; Lucio, J.; Mellado, E. Aspergillus fumigatus Cross-Resistance between Clinical and Demethylase Inhibitor Azole Drugs. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2021, 87, e02539-20.
58. Hollomon, D. Does agricultural use of azole fungicides contribute to resistance in the human pathogen *Aspergillus fumigatus*? *Pest Manag. Sci.* 2017, 73, 1987–1993.
59. Toda, M.; Beer, K.D.; Kuivila, K.M.; Chiller, T.M.; Jackson, B.R. Trends in Agricultural Triazole Fungicide Use in the United States, 1992–2016 and Possible Implications for Antifungal-Resistant Fungi in Human Disease. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2021, 129, 55001.
60. Fraaije, B.; Atkins, S.; Hanley, S.; Macdonald, A.; Lucas, J. The Multi-Fungicide Resistance Status of *Aspergillus fumigatus* Populations in Arable Soils and the Wider European Environment. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 3199.
61. Kang, S.E.; Sumabat, L.G.; Melie, T.; Mangum, B.; Momany, M.; Brewer, M.T. Evidence for the agricultural origin of antimicrobial resistance in a fungal pathogen of humans. *bioRxiv* 2020.
62. Gonzalez-Jiminez, I.; Garcia-Rubio, R.; Monzon, S.; Lucio, J.; Cuesta, I.; Mellado, E. Multiresistance to Nonazole Fungicides in *Aspergillus fumigatus* TR34/L98H Azole-Resistant Isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2021, 65, e0064221.
63. Faria-Ramos, I.; Farinha, S.; Neves-Maia, J.; Tavares, P.R.; Miranda, I.M.; Estevinho, L.M.; Pina-Vaz, C.; Rodrigues, A.G. Development of cross-resistance by *Aspergillus fumigatus* to clinical azoles following exposure to prochloraz, an agricultural azole. *BMC Microbiol.* 2014, 14, 155.
64. Ren, J.; Jin, X.; Zhang, Q.; Zheng, Y.; Lin, D.; Yu, Y. Fungicides induced triazole-resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* associated with mutations of TR46/Y121F/T289A and its appearance in agricultural fields. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2017, 326, 54–60.
65. Zhang, J.; van den Heuvel, J.; Debets, A.J.M.; Verweij, P.E.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Zwaan, B.J.; Schoustra, S.E. Evolution of cross-resistance to medical triazoles in *Aspergillus fumigatus* through selection pressure of environmental fungicides. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2017, 284, 20170635.
66. Cui, N.; He, Y.; Yao, S.; Zhang, H.; Ren, J.; Fang, H.; Yu, Y. Tebuconazole induces triazole-resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* in liquid medium and soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 648, 1237–1243.
67. Cao, D.; Wu, R.; Dong, S.; Wang, F.; Ju, C.; Yu, S.; Xu, S.; Fang, H.; Yu, Y. Triazole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus* in crop plant soil after tebuconazole applications. *Environ. Pollut.* 2020, 266, 115124.
68. Toyotome, T.; Onishi, K.; Sato, M.; Kusuya, Y.; Hagiwara, D.; Watanabe, A.; Takahashi, H. Identification of novel mutations contributing to azole tolerance of *Aspergillus fumigatus* through in vitro exposure to tebuconazole. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2021, 65, e0265720.

69. Wang, F.; Yao, S.; Cao, D.; Ju, C.; Yu, S.; Xu, S.; Fang, H.; Yu, Y. Increased triazole-resistance and cyp51A mutations in *Aspergillus fumigatus* after selection with a combination of the triazole fungicides difenoconazole and propiconazole. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2020, 400, 123200.
70. Verweij, P.E.; Zhang, J.; Debets, A.J.M.; Meis, J.F.; van de Veerdonk, F.L.; Schoustra, S.E.; Zwaan, B.J.; Melchers, W.J.G. In-host adaptation and acquired triazole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*: A dilemma for clinical management. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2016, 11, e251–e260.
71. Zhang, J.; Debets, A.J.M.; Verweij, P.E.; Melchers, W.J.G.; Zwaan, B.J.; Schoustra, S.E. Asexual sporulation facilitates adaptation: The emergence of azole resistance in *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *Evolution* 2015, 69, 2573–2586.
72. Zhang, J.; Zoll, J.; Engel, T.; van den Heuvel, J.; Verweij, P.E.; Debets, A.J.M. The Medical Triazole Voriconazole Can Select for Tandem Repeat Variations in Azole-Resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* Harboring TR34/L98H Via Asexual Reproduction. *J. Fungi* 2020, 6, 277.
73. Carter, H.E.; Fraaije, B.A.; West, J.S.; Kelly, S.L.; Mehl, A.; Shaw, M.W.; Cools, H.J. Alterations in the predicted regulatory and coding regions of the sterol 14 α -demethylase gene (CYP51) confer decreased azole sensitivity in the oilseed rape pathogen *Pyrenopeziza brassicae*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 2014, 15, 513–522.
74. Diaz-Trujillo, C.; Chong, P.; Stergiopoulos, I.; Cordovez, V.; Guzman, M.; De Wit, P.J.G.M.; Meijer, H.J.G.; Scalliet, G.; Sierotzki, H.; Peralta, E.L.; et al. A new mechanism for reduced sensitivity to demethylation-inhibitor fungicides in the fungal banana black Sigatoka pathogen *Pseudocercospora fijiensis*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 2018, 19, 1491–1503.
75. Etienne, K.A.; Berkow, E.L.; Gade, L.; Nunnally, N.; Lockhart, S.R.; Beer, K.; Jordan, I.K.; Rishishwar, L.; Litvintseva, A.P. Genomic diversity of azole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* in the United States. *mBio* 2021, 12, e01803–e01821.
76. Chowdhary, A.; Kathuria, S.; Xu, J.; Sharma, C.; Sundar, G.; Singh, P.K.; Gaur, S.N.; Hagen, F.; Klaassen, C.H.; Meis, J.F. Clonal expansion and emergence of environmental multiple-triazole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* strains carrying the TR₃₄/L98H mutations in the cyp51A gene in India. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e52871.
77. Shelton, J.M.G.; Collins, R.; Uzzell, C.B.; Alghamdi, A.; Dyer, P.S.; Singer, A.C.; Fisher, M.C. Citizen-science surveillance of triazole-resistant *Aspergillus fumigatus* in UK residential garden soils. *bioRxiv* 2021.
78. Melo, A.; Stevens, D.A.; Tell, L.A.; Veríssimo, C.; Sabino, R.; Xavier, M.O. Aspergillosis, Avian Species and the One Health Perspective: The Possible Importance of Birds in Azole Resistance. *Microorganisms* 2020, 8, 2037.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/41162>