Extraction of Protein from Agricultural Waste

Subjects: Agricultural Engineering
Contributor: MOHD ZUHAIR MOHD NOR

Protein is the link of amino acids bonded by peptide bonds forming the primary, secondary, tertiary, and quaternary structure of the protein. Regardless of the superiority of the protein quantity in animals compared to plants, plant-derived protein has become one of the alternative options in overcoming the increasing environmental issue due to the mass production in the agricultural sector. Plant-derived protein is now receiving attention for its functionality as a sustainable protein source. In recent years, downstream bioprocessing industries are venturing into less tedious, simple, and high-efficiency separation by implementing advanced purification and extraction methods. The separation of proteins, with the main focus on amylase as an enzyme from industrial agriculture waste using various techniques of extraction, is being discussed further.

Keywords: agricultural waste; protein

1. Characteristics of Agricultural Waste and Protein

The agricultural and industrial waste can be separated into two categories: agricultural and industrial residues. Agricultural residues include stems, stalks, leaves, seedpods and husks, seeds, roots, bagasse, and molasses, as well as field and processing residues. Meanwhile, industrial residues are mostly from the food-processing industries, such as potato peel, orange peel, soybean cake, cassava peel, and other organic residues.

Industrial residues are expected to multiply in tandem with the increasing population and demand in food supply $^{[\underline{1}]}$. This corresponds to the development of the high-input agricultural trend, which will improve the overall residue production, including agricultural waste, by 1.3 Pg dry matter per year $^{[\underline{2}]}$. However, these protein-rich residues have started to gain interest for their economically attractive value and capability to be recovered. The residues are now mostly used for the extraction and utilization of usable protein and applied in foods and supplements $^{[\underline{3}]}$. This agricultural waste should be significantly regarded as a potential resource to cope with the modern food-technology process and in line with a complete life cycle analysis system $^{[\underline{4}]}$.

Protein is naturally synthesized in plants and animals; generally, protein is abundant in animals compared to plants ^[5]. Hicks and Verbeek (2016) stated that the growing worldwide demand for animal-based products necessitates a significant rise in plants and other feed resources, resulting in a much higher amount of protein-rich materials being generated as waste than the protein supplied for consumption. The major facet of this occurrence is to convert these agricultural wastes into usable protein ^[3]. The discovery of usable protein from these wastes will be feasible along with the technology available for recovering nutrient-rich protein. Membrane separation, adsorption, microbe-assisted protein recovery, and other conventional extraction methods have been presented as potential strategies for protein recovery from waste ^{[6][7][8]}. The recovery of enzyme protein is one of the concerted efforts for converting these wastes into usable protein in the industry ^{[9][10][11]}.

Amylase is recognized as a crucial industrial enzyme protein, comprising approximately 30% of the world enzyme market $^{[12][13]}$. It is eminent for the food, fermentation, and pharmaceutical industries. Amylase can be found in animals, bacteria, and plant cells. Despite various sources of amylase, only fungi and bacterial amylase dominate the industrial sector. Previously, large-scale production was limited to only certain strains of bacteria and fungi (extracellular protein), making them the only resources susceptible to meet the huge demand of the industries $^{[14]}$. However, the discovery of biotechnologies has found that plants (intracellular protein) can suffice as a rich source of plant-derived enzymes for biotechnological and industrial purposes at lower cost and toxicity $^{[9]}$.

2. Methods in Extraction and Purification of Protein from Agricultural Waste

The huge amount of agricultural waste generated per year eventually leads to pollution and economic loss. However, these waste streams are rich in bioactive compounds, including proteins that have the potential to be recovered and reutilized as functional foods, medications, cosmetics, and bio packaging [15]. Hence, much research has been completed on the recovery and utilization of these agricultural wastes [15][16][17][18][19].

2.1. Membrane Extraction

Membrane extraction is a bioseparation method that uses a membrane, which is an interphase that is usually heterogeneous. The membrane acts as an obstacle to the flow of molecular and ionic groups present in the liquid or vapor phase. Membranes have traditionally been used for size-based separation with high throughput but low-resolution requirements. This method includes microfiltration, ultrafiltration, and nanofiltration techniques for protein concentration and buffer interchange [8]. Separation using membrane extraction has been proposed for enhancing processes due to the absence of chemical usage, reduced energy consumption, and simpler scale-up of operations [20].

Membrane technology has been demonstrated to be excellent in recovering proteins from waste sources [6][21]. The ultrafiltration method was applied to extract valuable protein from poultry-handling industry waste [6]. The result obtained from this experiment demonstrated the highly relevant and ideal application of ultrafiltration to extract protein from the poultry waste stream.

Amylase separation via microfiltration was reported by Rodrigues et al. (2017) [22]. Crude enzyme extract was subjected to a microfiltration process to separate enzymes of different molecular weights from other low-molecular-weight compounds of the previous fermentation process. It was determined that the enzymatic activity of the retentate increased by 38% [22]. This is due to the removal of lower-molecular-weight compounds from the retentate, which could affect amylase activity [22]. The efficiency of a two-stage membrane technique for purifying protease from a pineapple waste mixture was evaluated [23]. Two-stage ultrafiltration, enzymatic pretreatment, and diafiltration were included in this system. During membrane filtration, the enzymatic pretreatment improved flux performance. The excellent membrane selectivity of the diafiltration procedure helped this system to achieve a 4.4-fold increase in enzyme purity [23].

Protease purification was conducted via a one-step ultrafiltration process of feather meal $^{[24]}$. Keratinolytic proteases were produced by *Bacillus* sp. P45 with chicken feather meal as the substrate. The optimized ultrafiltration process recorded an enzyme recovery of 87.8% with a 4.1-fold purity increment. The limitation of this membrane-based extraction is flooding and loading limits while passing through the membrane, emulsification on the membrane, and large solvent inventory and high investment in the machinery $^{[25][26]}$. On the other hand, the benefit of membrane separation techniques is energy efficiency, where most of the membrane-based extraction techniques require low energy consumption and possess small dimensions that can reduce space consumption $^{[26]}$.

2.2. Precipitation

One of the most frequent methods used for separating enzymes and proteins is via precipitation. The idea behind this procedure is to introduce salts, polar and non-polar solvents, and organic polymers into cell extracts by changing the temperature or pH $^{[27]}$. The concept of precipitation is the phenomenon whereby a substance is dissolved in a solution, which will then emerge, and the emerging precipitate will be separated from the solution $^{[28]}$. For example, for the precipitation of protein by acid, the changes in the pH of the medium affect protein structure. When acid is added, the hydration sphere surrounding the protein is disrupted, leading to precipitation $^{[29]}$.

Haslaniza et al. (2014) applied the precipitation method to optimize the production of protein hydrolysate from cockle meat wash water [30]. This experiment demonstrated precipitation as a reliable technique in recovering protein from waste as the objective of this experiment was achieved. Furthermore, the optimized parameter was successfully identified [30].

A study reported the separation of amylase from agricultural waste by precipitation. Ammonium sulfate precipitation was used to separate amylase from cultivated dhal industrial waste with 40% activity $\frac{[31]}{2}$. The same technique was also used to purify amylase from cultivated tapioca liquid waste, which managed to obtain the enzyme-specific activity of 37.56 \pm 0.38 U/mg $\frac{[32]}{2}$.

The advantages of precipitation include the possibility to be applied in a continuous process due to its simplicity, scalability, proven usage in bioprocessing, as well as good biocompatibility and storage stability [28]. Meanwhile, the

drawbacks of precipitation are the possible introduction of additional impurities, and quality control is needed because the yield of separation may be significantly influenced by pollutant ions, such as divalent ions [28].

2.3. Ultrasonication

Ultrasound-assisted extraction involves several mechanisms, including fragmentation, erosion, capillarity, texturization, and sonoporation $^{[33]}$. This technique can be performed by bath or probe modes. A comparison between ultrasound-assisted extraction and conventional solvent extraction was made to purify carotenoids from pomegranate peel $^{[34]}$. They concluded that ultrasound-assisted extraction exhibited superior attributes in terms of a green process, low energy consumption, and safer processing with a higher yield of carotenoids.

Ultrasonication is regarded as one of the green technologies for the extraction of plant-based proteins [35]. Ultrasound-assisted extraction was conducted on wampee seed to extract protein, which is regarded as an advantageous nutraceutical and food ingredient. The finding from this experiment proved that ultrasonication is feasible in recovering protein from wampee seed [36].

Jain and Anal (2016) carried out ultrasound-assisted extraction of functional protein hydrolysates from the membrane of chicken eggshells [21]. According to this study, ultrasonic treatment is an effective method for separating protein hydrolysates.

Another study on ultrasonication was performed for the extraction of bioactive compounds from amaranth [37]. Amaranth is an underutilized plant with numerous precious bioactive compounds, such as polyphenols, betaxanthins, and betacyanins, that can inhibit fatal diseases [38]. Based on the study performed by Ahmed et al. (2020), ultrasound-assisted extraction enhanced the extraction of bioactive compounds and the antioxidant activities of amaranth [37]. This method also has the potential to serve as an alternative to the conventional extraction technique [37].

The application of ultrasound-assisted extraction is reported to be more efficient and offers a shorter extraction time with lower solvent utilization; furthermore, there is a huge potential for the automation of this extraction process [37][39]. Meanwhile, the drawback of ultrasound-assisted extraction is the presence of oxidation that can cause negative impacts on bioactive compounds, the decrease in nutritional content, and the occurrence of cell rupture on the extracted bioactive compounds [37].

2.4. Chromatography

Chromatography can be classified into four separation techniques: ionic exchange, surface adsorption, partition, and size exclusion $^{[\underline{40}]}$. Theoretically, the separation of molecules occurs between the movement of the mobile phase and the stationary phase.

Melnichuk et al. (2020) reported the valorization of two types of agricultural waste (i.e., soybean and wheat) by solid-state fermentation [11]. Size-exclusion chromatography was used to purify the enzyme protein, α -amylase, for the fermented samples. The recovery and purification factors of 83% and 6 were achieved, respectively.

Other research was conducted using chromatography for the purification of lithium $\frac{[41]}{}$. Lithium is an alkali metal that is highly reactive, contains highly soluble salts, and possesses a low ionic charge $\frac{[42]}{}$. Chromatography recovered lithium at a higher efficiency than extraction using the conventional liquid—liquid extraction (LLE) method $\frac{[41]}{}$.

Chromatography solves the drawbacks of LLE, such as the high consumption of solvent and complex extraction stages, by achieving higher purification of lithium $^{[41]}$. Nevertheless, research on extraction using chromatography is still in premature development and operated on a small laboratory scale $^{[41]}$.

2.5. Liquid-Liquid Extraction

When two immiscible or partially soluble liquid phases are stacked together, LLE is used to separate components from one phase to the next. Some of the most common varieties of this bio-separation technology principles include an aqueous two-phase micellar system, an aqueous two-phase polymer system, and a two-phase reverse micellar system [43][44]. When water-soluble polymers are mixed with other polymers, solvents, or inorganic salts at quantities above their critical concentrations, a two-phase system emerges. This principle is used to separate, concentrate, and fractionate biological solutes and particles, such as proteins, enzymes, and nucleic acids.

The LLE process is based on the movement of the targeted analyte from one phase to another when two immiscible liquids come into contact. This method is theorized to be dependent on preferential mass transfer and dissolution of target biomolecules in a complex aqueous matrix. The thermodynamic forces will drive the transfer of chemical species across the phase. The traditional method made use of a separatory funnel to quantitatively transfer the liquid out. Hence, relative density plays an important role, where the less-dense organic phase will reside in the top phase and the aqueous sample in the bottom phase [45].

Liquid-liquid extraction is utilized in the industry, particularly in the chemical and mining industries, as well as in the recovery of fermentation products at the end of the process $\frac{[46]}{}$. Along with its simplicity, low cost, and ease of scaling up, LLE has been used to purify biomolecules on a large industrial scale for more than a decade $\frac{[43]}{}$. The advantages of employing LLE include low viscosity, lower mechanical cost, and shorter time of phase separation. Most crucially for industrial applications, LLE has been certified by industry regulatory bodies $\frac{[47]}{}$.

By considering the benefits offered by this technique, researchers have explored its potential for improvements in terms of separation mechanism and setup. Hence, advanced separation techniques have been introduced by following the basic principles of LLE.

2.6 Advanced Liquid-Liquid Extraction Techniques

Several advanced techniques have been developed based on the separation principles of LLE. These techniques aim to overcome some drawbacks of the conventional LLE method by integrating several technologies to improve separation efficiency and process feasibility. The advanced LLE extraction includes Liquid Biphasic System (LBS), Liquid Biphasic Flotation (LBF), Thermoseparation (TMP), Three-Phase Partitioning (TPP), microwave-assisted LBS, ultrasound-assisted LBS, and electrically assisted LBS. These techniques have been reported to be successfully applied for the separation of proteins from agricultural waste. Advanced LLE techniques have received positive feedback for the application of LBS, LBF, TMP, and TPP on the extraction of proteins from agricultural waste [48][49][50][51]. The methods are effective and superior in obtaining the targeted biomolecules compared to the conventional method. Furthermore, the drawback of conventional methods for extraction and purification in terms of economic and complexity of the operation has been improved.

References

- 1. Sadh, P.K.; Duhan, S.; Duhan, J.S. Agro-industrial wastes and their utilization using solid state fermentation: A review. Bioresour. Bioprocess. 2018, 5, 1.
- 2. Bentsen, N.S.; Felby, C.; Thorsen, B.J. Agricultural residue production and potentials for energy and materials services. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 2014, 40, 59–73.
- 3. Hicks, T.M.; Verbeek, C.J.R. Protein-Rich By-Products: Production Statistics, Legislative Restrictions, and Management Options; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; Chapter 1; ISBN 9780128023914.
- 4. Petruccioli, M.; Raviv, M.; Di Silvestro, R.; Dinelli, G. Agriculture and Agro-Industrial Wastes, by-Products, and Wastewa ters: Origin, Characteristics, and Potential in Bio-Based Compounds Production, 3rd ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Net herlands, 2019; Volume 6, ISBN 9780444640475.
- 5. Lonnie, M.; Hooker, E.; Brunstrom, J.M.; Corfe, B.M.; Green, M.A.; Watson, A.W.; Williams, E.A.; Stevenson, E.J.; Pens on, S.; Johnstone, A.M. Protein for life: Review of optimal protein intake, sustainable dietary sources and the effect on a ppetite in ageing adults. Nutrients 2018, 10, 360.
- 6. Shahid, K.; Srivastava, V.; Sillanpää, M. Protein recovery as a resource from waste specifically via membrane technolo gy—from waste to wonder. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2021, 28, 10262–10282.
- 7. Bano, S.; Qader, S.A.U.; Aman, A.; Syed, M.N.; Azhar, A. Purification and characterization of novel α-amylase from Bac illus subtilis KIBGE HAS. AAPS PharmSciTech 2011, 12, 255–261.
- 8. Saxena, A.; Tripathi, B.P.; Kumar, M.; Shahi, V.K. Membrane-based techniques for the separation and purification of pr oteins: An overview. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 2009, 145, 1–22.
- 9. Hui, C.Y.; Leong, O.J. Selective recovery of amylase from pitaya (Hylocereus polyrhizus) peel using alcohol/salt aqueo us two-phase system. J. Eng. Sci. Technol. 2018, 13, 123–136.
- 10. Ramakrishnan, S.; Rathnasamy, S.K. β amylase purification from sweet potato (Ipomoea batatus): Reverse micellar ext raction versus ammonium sulphate precipitation. Der Pharm. Lett. 2016, 8, 118–125.

- 11. Melnichuk, N.; Braia, M.J.; Anselmi, P.A.; Meini, M.R.; Romanini, D. Valorization of two agroindustrial wastes to produc e alpha-amylase enzyme from Aspergillus oryzae by solid-state fermentation. Waste Manag. 2020, 106, 155–161.
- 12. De Souza, P.M.; Magalhães, P. de O. Application of microbial α-amylase in industry—A review. Braz. J. Microbiol. 2010, 41, 850–861.
- 13. Gómez-villegas, P.; Vigara, J.; Romero, L.; Gotor, C.; Raposo, S.; Gonçalves, B.; Léon, R. Biochemical characterization of the amylase activity from the new Haloarchaeal strain Haloarcula sp. Hs isolated in the Odiel Marshlands. Biology 20 21, 10, 337.
- 14. Naidu, M.A.; Saranraj, P. Bacterial Amylase: A Review. Int. J. Pharm. Biol. Arch. 2013, 4, 274–287.
- 15. Sagu, S.T.; Nso, E.J.; Homann, T.; Kapseu, C.; Rawel, H.M. Isolation and purification of beta-amylase from stems of Ca daba farinosa and determination of its characteristics. Curr. Top. Pept. Protein Res. 2016, 17, 21–35.
- 16. Silva, M.E.; Franco, T.T. Liquid-liquid extraction of biomolecules in downstream processing—A review paper. Braz. J. C hem. Eng. 2000, 17, 1–17.
- 17. Vithu, P.; Dash, S.K.; Rayaguru, K. Post-harvest processing and utilization of sweet potato: A review. Food Rev. Int. 20 19, 35, 726–762.
- 18. Brojanigo, S.; Parro, E.; Cazzorla, T.; Favaro, L.; Basaglia, M.; Casella, S. Conversion of starchy waste streams into pol yhydroxyalkanoates using Cupriavidus necator DSM 545. Polymers 2020, 12, 1496.
- 19. He, C.; Sampers, I.; Raes, K. Dietary fiber concentrates recovered from agro-industrial by-products: Functional properti es and application as physical carriers for probiotics. Food Hydrocoll. 2021, 111, 106175.
- 20. Jönsson, J.Å. Membrane-based extraction for environmental analysis. Compr. Sampl. Sample Prep. 2012, 3, 591-602.
- 21. Jain, S.; Anal, A.K. Optimization of extraction of functional protein hydrolysates from chicken egg shell membrane (ES M) by ultrasonic assisted extraction (UAE) and enzymatic hydrolysis. LWT-Food Sci. Technol. 2016, 69, 295–302.
- 22. Rodrigues, É.F.; Ficanha, A.M.M.; Dallago, R.M.; Treichel, H.; Reinehr, C.O.; Machado, T.P.; Nunes, G.B.; Colla, L.M. P roduction and purification of amylolytic enzymes for saccharification of microalgal biomass. Bioresour. Technol. 2017, 2 25, 134–141.
- 23. Nor, M.Z.M.; Ramchandran, L.; Duke, M.; Vasiljevic, T. Performance of a two-stage membrane system for bromelain se paration from pineapple waste mixture as impacted by enzymatic pretreatment and diafiltration. Food Technol. Biotechn ol. 2018, 56, 218–227.
- 24. Machado, J.R.; Severo, E.E.; de Oliveira, J.M.; Ores, J.D.C.; Brandelli, A.; Kalil, S.J. One-step ultrafiltration process for separation and purification of a keratinolytic protease produced with feather meal. Int. J. Chem. Eng. 2018, 2018, 6729 490.
- 25. Parhi, P.K. Supported liquid membrane principle and its practices: A short review. J. Chem. 2013, 2013, 618236.
- 26. Eljaddi, T.; Lebrun, L.; Hlaibi, M. Review on mechanism of facilitated transport on liquid membranes. J. Membr. Sci. Re s. 2017, 3, 199–208.
- 27. Nadzirah, K.Z.; Zainal, S.; Noriham, A.; Normah, I. Efficacy of selected purification techniques for bromelain. Int. Food Res. J. 2013, 20, 43–46.
- 28. Van Alstine, J.M.; Jagschies, G.; Łacki, K.M. Alternative separation methods: Flocculation and precipitation. Biopharm. Process. Dev. Des. Implement. Manuf. Process. 2018, 221–239.
- 29. Rajalingam, D.; Loftis, C.; Xu, J.J.; Kumar, T.K.S. Trichloroacetic acid-induced protein precipitation involves the reversib le association of a stable partially structured intermediate. Protein Sci. 2009, 18, 980–993.
- 30. Haslaniza, H.; Maskat, M.Y.; Wan Aida, W.M.; Mamot, S. Process development for the production of protein hydrolysate from cockle (Anadara granosa) meat wash water. Sains Malays. 2014, 43, 53–63.
- 31. Thippeswamy, S.; Girigowda, K.; Mulimani, V.H. Isolation and identification of α-amylase producing Bacillus sp. from dh al industry waste. Indian J. Biochem. Biophys. 2006, 43, 295–298.
- 32. Zusfahair, Z.; Ningsih, D.R.; Kartika, D.; Fatoni, A. Amylase from Bacillus thuringiensis isolated from tapioca waste: Isol ation, partial purification and characterization. Malays. J. Fundam. Appl. Sci. 2016, 12, 22–27.
- 33. Chemat, F.; Rombaut, N.; Sicaire, A.; Meullemiestre, A.; Abert-vian, M. Ultrasonics sonochemistry ultrasound assisted e xtraction of food and natural products. Mechanisms, techniques, combinations, protocols and applications. Ultrason. So nochem. 2017, 34, 540–560.
- 34. Goula, A.M.; Ververi, M.; Adamopoulou, A.; Kaderides, K. Green ultrasound-assisted extraction of carotenoids from po megranate wastes using vegetable oils. Ultrason. Sonochem. 2017, 34, 821–830.

- 35. Rahman, M.M.; Lamsal, B.P. Ultrasound-assisted extraction and modification of plant-based proteins: Impact on physic ochemical, functional, and nutritional properties. Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf. 2021, 20, 1457–1480.
- 36. Liu, Y.; Ma, X.Y.; Liu, L.N.; Xie, Y.P.; Ke, Y.J.; Cai, Z.J.; Wu, G.J. Ultrasonic-assisted extraction and functional properties of wampee seed protein. Food Sci. Technol. 2019, 39, 324–331.
- 37. Ahmed, M.; Ramachandraiah, K.; Jiang, G.; Eun, J.B. Effects of ultra-sonication and agitation on bioactive. Foods 202 0, 9, 1116.
- 38. Biswas, M.; Dey, S.; Sen, R. Betalains from Amaranthus tricolor L. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 2013, 32 (Suppl. 1), 71 –84.
- 39. Vilaplana, F.; Karlsson, P.; Ribes-Greus, A.; Ivarsson, P.; Karlsson, S. Analysis of brominated flame retardants in styreni c polymers. Comparison of the extraction efficiency of ultrasonication, microwave-assisted extraction and pressurised li quid extraction. J. Chromatogr. A 2008, 1196–1197, 139–146.
- 40. Coskun, O. Separation Tecniques: Chromatography. North. Clin. Istanbul 2016, 3, 156-160.
- 41. Schmidt, A.; Mestmäcker, F.; Brückner, L.; Elwert, T.; Strube, J. Liquid-liquid extraction and chromatography process routes for the purification of lithium. Mater. Sci. Forum 2019, 959, 79–99.
- 42. Klett, J. Structural motifs of alkali metal superbases in non-coordinating solvents. Chem.-Eur. J. 2021, 27, 888–904.
- 43. Mazzola, P.; Lopes, M.A.; Hasmann, F.A.; Jozala, A.F.; Penna, T.C.; Magalhaes, P.O.; Rangel-Yagui, C.O.; Jr, A.P. Revi ew liquid–liquid extraction of biomolecules: An overview and update of the main techniques. J. Chem. Technol. Biotech nol. 2008, 83, 1163–1169.
- 44. Pereira, J.F.B.; Coutinho, J.A.P. Aqueous Two-Phase Systems; Elsevier: Detroit, MI, USA, 2020; Chapter 5; ISBN 9780 128169117.
- 45. Daso, A.P.; Okonkwo, O.J. Conventional extraction techniques: Soxhlet and liquid-liquid extractions and evaporation. A nal. Sep. Sci. 2015, 5, 1437–1468.
- 46. Berk, Z. Extraction; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2018; ISBN 9780128120187.
- 47. Simon, L. Aqueous two-phase extraction—A case study in process analysis and control. In Proceedings of the AIChE A nnual Meeting, Cincinatti, OH, USA, 30 October–4 November 2005; p. 3777.
- 48. Sagu, S.T.; Nso, E.J.; Homann, T.; Kapseu, C.; Rawel, H.M. Extraction and purification of beta-amylase from stems of Abrus precatorius by three phase partitioning. Food Chem. 2015, 183, 144–153.
- 49. Shad, Z.; Mirhosseini, H.; Hussin, A.S.M.; Forghani, B.; Motshakeri, M.; Manap, M.Y.A. Aqueous two-phase purification of α-amylase from white pitaya (Hylocereus undatus) peel in polyethylene glycol/citrate system: Optimization by respon se surface methodology. Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol. 2018, 14, 305–313.
- 50. Saw, H.S.; Sankaran, R.; Khoo, K.S.; Chew, K.W.; Phong, W.N.; Tang, M.S.Y.; Lim, S.S.; Mohd Zaid, H.F.; Naushad, M.; Show, P.L. Application of a liquid biphasic flotation (LBF) system for protein extraction from Persiscaria tenulla leaf. Processes 2020, 8, 247.
- 51. Ketnawa, S.; Rungraeng, N.; Rawdkuen, S. Phase partitioning for enzyme separation: An overview and recent applications. Int. Food Res. J. 2017, 24, 1–24.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/39407