

# Understanding Science Teachers' Implementations of Integrated STEM

Subjects: **Education & Educational Research**

Contributor: Yousef Wardat , , Lutfieh Rabbani

Science teachers generally have a positive attitude towards using STEM-based activities. In addition, data revealed that participants implement integrated STEM into their teaching frequently and regularly. Results also indicated teachers encounter challenges while implementing STEM: documentation, the vast curriculum content, and lack of time. Moreover, external challenges (i.e., the lack of supportive guidelines) rather than teachers' competency (i.e., having sufficient knowledge and skills for implementing STEM teaching) appeared to have the highest impending impact.

science

science teacher

STEM education

attitudes

## 1. Introduction

Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) education focuses on the production of STEM-literate graduates with the necessary skills for excelling in the technologically oriented future workforce <sup>[1]</sup>. Although STEM has received global attention and calls; research on science educators has revealed several concerns about the science education current situation, such as that (1) many students find little of interest or even dislike science; (2) science is taught as the transmission of facts of little relevance, and is too complex; (3) school experience leads to loss of interest in science and technology as career possibilities <sup>[2]</sup>. Moreover, the international assessment results revealed how students in UAE scored below the average score in science assessment of both Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) and Programmed for International Student Assessment (PISA) <sup>[3]</sup>.

Those findings can be related to the concerns raised earlier in the research on science educators and how science is being taught and learned. In contrast, Herro et al. <sup>[4]</sup> argued that the purpose of science programs in schools is to develop scientifically literate citizens. In particular, science, mathematics, and technology are interdependent human enterprises with strengths and limitations. To be scientifically literate means to understand critical concepts and principles of science, be familiar with the natural world and recognize both its diversity and unity, and to be able to use scientific knowledge and scientific ways of thinking for individual and social purposes <sup>[5]</sup>. However, Johnson <sup>[6]</sup> reported that the integration of STEM implementation faces several challenges: (1) STEM integration requires restructuring of interdisciplinary curriculum and lessons; (2) integrated STEM education often requires numerous materials and resources for students, such as construction tools; (3) creating a school culture and environment that supports an integrated STEM approach to teaching and learning can be costly and time-

consuming; (4) effective STEM education relies on qualified teachers who can teach and implement the interdisciplinary approach [5].

Today, STEM integration is a widespread teaching approach. It has an essential role in providing students with a vital education in science and other subjects [2][3][6]. For this purpose, the UAE education system is moving toward STEM education in its education reforms plans, aiming to develop an innovative education system for knowledge and a globally competitive society by coping with the global market demands [7]. Various innovation conferences and festivals have been held to highlight the finest approaches in attaining the STEM integration objective [8].

## **2. STEM Definition**

Science, technology, engineering, and mathematics or STEM abbreviation was introduced at National Science Foundation (NSF) in 2001 [9], by the assistant director of the Education and Human Resources Directorate [10]. At that time, she described STEM as an educational inquiry process where the learning process was modeled by students solving a real-world problem. In contrast, Joyner [11] defined STEM as a meta-discipline integrating the four disciplines.

Another definition considered STEM integration as a widespread teaching approach. It has an essential role in providing students with a vital education in science and other subjects [12]. Jolly [13] looked at STEM from a different angle as innovation pursuits. Alternatively, Johnson [6] reported that STEM integration refers to students participating in the engineering design process to develop technologies that require meaningful learning through integration and application of mathematics and science. On the other hand, refs. [14][15] asserted no consensus regarding the definition of STEM in terms of nature, degree of integration, and connections between the different STEM disciplines. At the same time, other researchers [4][10][16][17] focused on the importance of applying equal attention to two or more STEM disciplines and explicitly assimilating concepts from various STEM disciplines.

## **3. Nature of STEM Integration**

There were several views regarding integrating STEM education in science education in the previous studies. Some focused on bringing together all STEM disciplines through explicit content area connections or interdisciplinary content, providing more relevant, less fragmented, and more stimulating experiences for learners [18][19][20][21]. Other researchers emphasized that STEM integration must shift toward student-centered teaching that relies on authentic, real-world problems that promote active learning [22][23].

Many studies focused mainly on incorporating specific instructional strategies for integrating STEM with inquiry-based learning, problem-based learning, or design-based learning [24][25][26][27]. On the other hand, the opponents of the integration process have rebelling vision related to adopting such an approach that requires the restructuring of many elements, ranging from the training of STEM teachers to changing the structure of education programs from the revision of measurement-evaluation methods. In particular, the time cost of making such significant changes stands as a barrier in front of this reform [28].

Moreover, education researchers indicate that teachers struggle to connect the STEM disciplines [29].

## 4. Interest and Career

Several research studies discussed that STEM integration impacts students' interest and engagement in science learning and toward STEM careers [30][31][32][33]. Xie et al. [34] cite that STEM education focuses on the production of STEM-literate graduates with the necessary skills for excelling in the technologically oriented future workforce. Kant et al. [35] believed that integrated STEM education could be a platform for developing essential personal and professional competencies, including research inquiry, problem-solving, critical and creative thinking, entrepreneurship, collaboration, teamwork, and communication. Herro and Quigley [36], in their study, found that STEM programs in the USA have three primary and inclusive goals for STEM education: (a) increase the number of STEM innovators and professionals, (b) strengthen the STEM-related workforce, and (c) improve STEM literacy in all citizens. Dori et al. [37] presented a contradictory argument about a STEM workforce shortage in the United States. He argued that science and engineering workers had increased at a steady rate of 2.7%, sufficient to increase job growth. However, he postulated concern centered on the United States' decreasing global dominance in science and engineering, national security, research, and development logjams in research universities, and changing demographics.

On the other hand, references [38][39][40] reported that learning science and mathematics through an integrated engineering design process enhances knowledge and critical thinking skills and promotes interest in science and engineering careers. Moreover, other studies assert that integrated STEM education leads to increased interest in STEM field careers and is essential for student success as they progress into the future [38]. STEM courses and programs can increase students' competencies for STEM-related occupations and understanding of scientific and engineering work [41]. Improved STEM education may necessitate a change in the structure of higher-education institutions by restructuring curricula to produce graduates who are versatile, adaptable, and highly employable, specifically, graduates in engineering, health sciences, computer sciences, and natural sciences [42].

## 5. Challenges of STEM Integration

Haesen and Van de Put [43], in his study, reported teachers' barriers that prevent the successful implementation of STEM integration in a science classroom: (1) classes become crowded and are hardly managed; (2) science content is too significant to adopt the STEM approach as it is time-costly, and (3) teachers lack the needed knowledge to teach using the STEM approach. On the same track was [11], who discussed several challenges that highly hinder the implementation process: (1) STEM integration requires restructuring of interdisciplinary curriculum and lessons; (2) integrated STEM education often requires numerous materials and resources for students, such as construction tools; (3) creating a school culture and environment that supports an integrated STEM approach to teaching and learning can be costly and time-consuming; (4) effective STEM education relies on qualified teachers who can teach and implement the interdisciplinary approach.

## References

1. Wardat, Y.; Jarrah, A.M.; Stoica, G. Understanding the meaning of the equal sign: A case study of middle-school students in the United Arab Emirates. *Eur. J. Educ. Res.* 2021, 10, 1505–1514.
2. Aziz, N.A.A.E. Egyptian STEAM international partnerships for sustainable development. *Int. J. Cross-Discip. Subj. Educ.* 2015, 5, 2656–2660.
3. Berlin, D.F.; White, A.L. A longitudinal look at attitudes and perceptions related to the integration of mathematics, science, and technology education. *Sch. Sci. Math.* 2012, 112, 20.
4. Herro, D.; Quigley, C.; Cian, H. The challenges of STEAM instruction: Lessons from the field. *Action Teach. Educ.* 2018, 41, 172–190.
5. Bryant, A. *Grounded Theory and Grounded Theorizing: Pragmatism in Research Practice*; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2017.
6. Johnson, G. The Synchronicity of Art and Mathematics. In *Promoting Language and STEAM as Human Rights in Education*; Babaci-Wilhite, Z., Ed.; Springer Nature: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2019; pp. 177–188.
7. Bowen, G.A. Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qual. Res. J.* 2009, 9, 27–40.
8. Bush, S.B.; Cook, K.L. Structuring STEAM Inquiries: Lessons Learned from Practice. In *STEAM Education: Theory and Practice*; Khine, M.S., Areepattamannil, S., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2019; pp. 19–35.
9. Colucci-Gray, L. What Matters in Developing an Ecological View Through STEAM Pedagogies in Science Education. In *Why Science and Arts Creativities Matter: (Re-)Configuring STEAM for Future-Making Education*; Burnard, P., Colucci-Gray, L., Eds.; Brill Publishing: Leiden, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 105–130.
10. Digital Education Africa Network (DEAN). Digital STEAM Classes in Kenya: Introduction of Digital Tools at Secondary Schools in Kenya. 2020. Available online: <https://www.dean.ngo/ict4e/digital-steam-classeskenya/> (accessed on 4 January 2021).
11. Joyner, J. UA Professor Argues Support for STEAM Education Misplaced. *Talk Business and Politics (TB&P)*. 10 December 2017. Available online: <https://talkbusiness.net/2017/12/ua-professor-argues-support-forsteam-education-misplaced/> (accessed on 10 January 2021).
12. White, D.W. What is STEM education and why is it important? *Fla. Assoc. Teach. Educ. J.* 2014, 1, 1–9.
13. Jolly, A. STEM vs. STEAM: Do the Arts Belong? *Education Week: Teacher*. 18 November 2014. Available online: <https://www.edweek.org/tm/articles/2014/11/18/ctq-jolly-stem-vs-steam.html> (accessed on 10 January 2021).

14. Crippen, K.J.; Antonenko, P.D. Designing for Collaborative Problem Solving in STEM Cyberlearning. In *Cognition, Metacognition, and Culture in STEM Education: Learning, Teaching, and Assessment*; Dori, Y.J., Mevarech, Z.R., Baker, D.R., Eds.; Springer Nature: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2018; pp. 89–116.
15. Culen, A.L.; Gasparini, A.A. STEAM Education: Why Learn Design Thinking? In *Promoting Language and STEAM as Human Rights in Education*; Babaci-Wilhite, Z., Ed.; Springer Nature: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2019; pp. 91–108.
16. Breiner, J.M.; Harkness, S.S.; Johnson, C.C.; Koehler, C.M. What is STEM? A discussion about conceptions of STEM in education and partnerships. *Sch. Sci. Math.* 2012, 112, 3–11.
17. Hetland, L.; Winner, E.; Veneema, S.; Sheridan, K.M. *Studio Thinking 2: The Real Benefits of Visual Arts Education*; Teachers College Press: New York, NY, USA, 2013.
18. Committee on STEM (CoSTEM) Education. *Charting a Course for Success: America's Strategy for STEM Education*; Executive Office of the President of the United States: Washington, DC, USA, 2018. Available online: <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/STEM-Education-Strategic-Plan-2018.pdf> (accessed on 15 January 2021).
19. Eriksson, L. Adaptor Tiles Evolves the Girih Tile Set. *Proceedings of Bridges 2020: Mathematics, Art, Music, Architecture, Education, Culture, Online*, 1–5 August 2020; Tessellations Publishing: Phoenix, AZ, USA, 2020; pp. 19–26. Available online: <https://archive.bridgesmathart.org/2020/bridges2020-19.pdf> (accessed on 10 January 2021).
20. Harris, A.; de Bruin, L.R. Secondary school creativity, teacher practice and STEAM education: An international study. *J. Educ. Change* 2018, 19, 153–179.
21. Suleiman, Y. Integrating Technopreneurship Education in Nigerian Universities: Strategy for Decreasing Youth Unemployment. *J. Educ. Res.* 2021, 11, 49–76.
22. Kang, N.-H. A review of the effect of integrated STEM or STEAM education in South Korea. *Asia-Pac. Sci. Educ.* 2019, 5, 6.
23. Shaer, S.; Zakzak, L.; Shibl, E.; The STEAM Dilemma: Advancing Sciences in UAE Schools—the Case of Dubai. United Arab Emirates: Mohammed Bin Rashid School of Government (MBRSG). 2019. Available online: <https://www.mbrsg.ae/getattachment/174c88b2-e633-4dc9-9f9a-a473f6c91892/The-STEAMDilemma-Advancing-Sciences-in-UAE-School.aspx> (accessed on 6 March 2021).
24. Bailey, C. An Artist's Argument for STEAM Education. 2015. Available online: <https://www.modeldmedia.com/features/artsinSTEM033115.aspx> (accessed on 10 April 2021).
25. Bennett, A.G. Ethnocomputational creativity in STEAM education: A cultural framework for generative justice. *Rev. Teknokultura* 2016, 13, 587–612.

26. Campbell, C. Full STEAM ahead: Creating informal teaching opportunities for pre-service teachers. In Proceedings of the Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference, Savannah, GA, USA, 21 March 2016; Chamblee, G., Langub, L., Eds.; Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE): Waynesville, NC, USA, 2016; pp. 3134–3138.
27. Belbase, S.; Mainali, B.R.; Kasemsukpipat, W.; Tairab, H.; Gochoo, M.; Jarrah, A. At the dawn of science, technology, engineering, arts, and mathematics (STEAM) education: Prospects, priorities, processes, and problems. *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.* 2021, 1–37.
28. Colucci-Gray, L.; Burnard, P.; Cooke, C.; Davies, R.; Gray, D.; Trowsdale, J. Reviewing the Potential and Challenges of Developing STEAM Education through Creative Pedagogies for 21st Learning: How Can School Curricula Be Broadened towards a More Responsive, Dynamic, and Inclusive Form of Education? British Educational Research Association. 2017. Available online: <https://jotrowsdale.files.wordpress.com/2017/11/bera-research-commission-report-steam.pdf> (accessed on 10 December 2021).
29. Doniger, T. Art infusion: Ideal conditions for STEAM. *Art Educ.* 2018, 71, 22–27.
30. Discovery Education. New York's Mt. Vernon City School District Begins New Three-Year Partnership with Discovery Education Strengthening STEAM/STEM Education across the School System. 2 August 2018. Available online: <https://www.discoveryeducation.com/details/new-yorks-mt-vernon-city-school-district-begins-new-three-year-partnership-with-discovery-education-strengthening-steam-stem-education-across-the-school-system/> (accessed on 2 August 2021).
31. European Committee of the Regions. Strengthening STE(A)M Education in the EU. Opinion Factsheet, Opinion Number: CDR 6435/2018. 2019. Available online: <https://cor.europa.eu/en/our-work/Pages/OpinionTimeline.aspx?opId=CDR-6435-2018> (accessed on 9 December 2021).
32. European Schoolnet. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics Education Policies in Europe: Scientix Observatory Report. October 2018. Available online: [https://www.scientix.eu/documents/10137/782005/Scientix\\_Texas-Instruments\\_STEM-policies-October-2018.pdf/d56db8e4-cef1-4480-a420-1107bae513d5](https://www.scientix.eu/documents/10137/782005/Scientix_Texas-Instruments_STEM-policies-October-2018.pdf/d56db8e4-cef1-4480-a420-1107bae513d5) (accessed on 2 December 2021).
33. Freeman, M. *Modes of Thinking for Qualitative Data Analysis*; Routledge Taylor & Francis Group: New York, NY, USA, 2017.
34. Xie, Y.; Fang, M.; Shauman, K. STEM education. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* 2015, 41, 331–357.
35. Kant, J.M.; Burckhard, S.R.; Meyers, R.T. Engaging high school girls in Native American culturally responsive STEAM enrichment activities. *J. STEM Educ.* 2018, 18, 15–25. Available online: <https://jstem.org/jstem/index.php/JSTEM/article/view/2210/1912> (accessed on 10 January 2022).

36. Herro, D.; Quigley, C. Exploring teachers' perceptions of STEAM teaching through professional development: Implications for teacher educators. *Prof. Dev. Educ.* 2016, 43, 416–438.
37. Dori, Y.J.; Mevarech, Z.R.; Baker, D.R. *Cognition, Metacognition, and Culture in STEM Education: Learning, Teaching, and Assessment*; Springer Nature: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2018; pp. 1–8.
38. Dumitriu, A. Collaborative Journey in Art and Biology. In *The STEAM Revolution: Transdisciplinary Approaches to Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, Humanities and Mathematics*; de la Garza, A., Travis, C., Eds.; Springer Nature: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2019; pp. 91–106.
39. Gailiunas, P. Bridges and Artists. *Proceedings of Bridges 2019: Mathematics, Art, Music, Architecture, Education, Culture, Linz, Austria, 16–20 July 2019*; Tessellations Publishing: Phoenix, AZ, USA, 2019; pp. 75–82. Available online: <https://archive.bridgesmathart.org/2019/bridges2019-75.pdf> (accessed on 10 July 2021).
40. Herro, D.; Quigley, C.; Andrews, J.; Delacruz, G. Co-measure: Developing an assessment for student collaboration in STEAM activities. *Int. J. STEAM Educ.* 2017, 4, 26.
41. Badmus, O.T.; Omosewo, E.O. Evolution of STEM, STEAM and STREAM in Africa: The implication of the knowledge gap. *Int. J. Res. STEM Educ.* 2020, 2, 99–106.
42. Baines, L. The Language Arts as Foundational for Science, Technology, Engineering, Art, and Mathematics. In *Emerging Technologies for STEAM Education: Full STEAM Ahead*; Ge, X., Ifenthaler, D., Spector, J.M., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; pp. 247–258.
43. Haesen, S.; Van de Put, E. *STEAM Education in Europe: A Comparative Analysis Report*. EuroSTEAM. 2018. Available online: [https://www.eurosteamproject.eu/res/Comparative\\_analysis\\_report\\_vlatest.pdf](https://www.eurosteamproject.eu/res/Comparative_analysis_report_vlatest.pdf) (accessed on 15 December 2021).

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/50774>