

The Challenge of Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma

Subjects: **Surgery**

Contributor: Damiano Patrono , Fabio Colli , Matteo Colangelo , Nicola De Stefano , Ana Lavinia Apostu , Elena Mazza , Silvia Catalano , Giorgia Rizza , Stefano Mirabella , Renato Romagnoli

Perihilar cholangiocarcinomas (pCCA) are rare yet aggressive tumors originating from the bile ducts. While surgery remains the mainstay of treatment, only a minority of patients are amenable to curative resection, and the prognosis of unresectable patients is dismal. The introduction of liver transplantation (LT) after neoadjuvant chemoradiation for unresectable pCCA in 1993 represented a major breakthrough, and it has been associated with 5-year survival rates consistently >50%. Despite these encouraging results, pCCA has remained a niche indication for LT, which is most likely due to the need for stringent candidate selection and the challenges in preoperative and surgical management.

hilar cholangiocarcinoma

donor pool expansion

hypothermic oxygenated machine perfusion

1. Introduction

Perihilar cholangiocarcinomas (pCCA) are epithelial tumors originating from the biliary tree below second-order bile ducts and proximally to the confluence of the cystic duct, and they represent 50–70% of the tumors arising from the biliary tree ^[1]. They are relatively rare ^[2] but aggressive tumors, and surgical resection is generally considered the only potentially curative treatment ^{[3][4]}. However, most patients with pCCA are diagnosed at an advanced stage, and only 15–35% are amenable to curative resection ^{[3][5][6]}, which is associated with a 15–40% 5-year survival ^{[7][8][9]}. The 5-year survival of patients suffering from unresectable pCCA is 2% ^[10].

The dismal prognosis of unresectable pCCA led to exploring liver transplantation (LT) following neoadjuvant treatment with external beam irradiation, brachytherapy, and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and/or oral capecitabine as a potential treatment. The first series from the Mayo Clinic reported an impressive intention-to-treat 54% 5-year survival and a 82% 5-year survival after transplantation ^[11]. However, although the survival benefit of this approach has been confirmed in subsequent series ^[12], LT for pCCA has not gained widespread acceptance due to the difficulties in applying the neoadjuvant protocol, patient selection and the lack of clear allocation rules in this setting.

The term “transplant oncology” refers to the application of oncology along with transplant medicine and surgery to improve the survival and quality of life of cancer patients ^[13]. This includes considering LT for patients affected by malignancies that classically represented contraindications for LT, such as liver metastases from colorectal cancer ^[14], hepatocellular carcinoma beyond the most widely adopted selection criteria, pCCA and intrahepatic

cholangiocarcinoma [15]. The prerequisite to successfully implement LT as a treatment for these diseases is the availability of suitable liver grafts. Although the introduction of direct acting antivirals against hepatitis C virus has profoundly changed the landscape of indications for LT [16], increasing the number of available grafts for alternative indications, the supply–demand gap for liver grafts remains an unresolved issue. The two main strategies to expand the donor pool are currently represented by the utilization of extended criteria donors (ECD) and by living donation. In most cases, ECD are represented by donors whose death has been determined by circulatory criteria (DCD), elderly donors, or liver grafts with significant macrovesicular steatosis [17][18]. While utilizations of these grafts may allow expanding the donor pool, their use has been associated with inferior outcomes as compared to those of LT using standard donors.

In the last decade, machine perfusion (MP) has been re-introduced in clinical practice, which is prompted by the need to cope with the increased risks associated with the use of ECD grafts [19][20][21][22][23][24][25][26][27][28][29][30][31][32][33][34][35][36][37][38][39][40][41][42][43][44][45][46][47][48][49][50][51][52][53][54]. Several MP techniques exist, which are characterized by different principles and mechanisms of graft protection [55]. Apart from improving graft preservation and allowing for longer preservation times, MP has a very interesting feature: it allows testing the viability of a liver graft prior to implantation (so-called “viability assessment”) [18][56]. Although normothermic MP (NMP) has been most frequently used as a tool for viability assessment, information about liver viability can be obtained also during hypothermic perfusion [33][57]. Assessing the viability of a graft should ideally allow for an increase in the number of transplanted grafts while minimizing recipient risk and avoiding discarding potentially usable grafts solely based on donor characteristics. In addition, other aspects of machine perfusion technology make its application in the setting of LT for pCCA appealing.

2. The Challenge of Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma

As the international classification of cholangiocarcinoma does not distinguish between perihepatic and distal cholangiocarcinoma [4], estimating the true incidence of pCCA is difficult. In the West, age standardized incidence rates range between 0.5 and 2 per 100,000 individuals, whereas in eastern Asia, incidence is higher due to endemic liver flukes (*Opisthorchis viverrini* and *Clonorchis sinensis*) infection as well as a higher incidence of hepatolithiasis. Worldwide, the incidence of pCCA has increased in recent years, which has been linked to the increased incidence of metabolic syndrome, especially in countries with historically low incidence rates [2].

Perihilar CCA is an aggressive disease. A large study from the Netherlands on 2031 patients showed an overall median survival of 5.2 months [58]. Patients undergoing palliative systemic treatment, loco-regional treatment or best supportive care had a median survival of 12.2, 14.5 and 2.9 months, respectively. Notably, only 15% of patients underwent curative resection, which was associated with a median survival of 29.6 months [58].

2.1. Surgery for Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma

The outcome of patients suffering from pCCA is primarily determined by the possibility to undergo curative resection. However, only a minority of patients are eligible for surgical resection due to several factors. Early

diagnosis is infrequent in pCCA because most patients with early disease are asymptomatic or symptoms are poorly specific (dyspepsia, abdominal discomfort, fatigue, weight loss) [3]. Furthermore, pCCA are desmoplastic and paucicellular tumors, which complicates obtaining histological confirmation once the clinical diagnosis becomes more evident [59]. At this stage, most patients will present with jaundice and/or cholangitis and will frequently require preoperative biliary drainage (PBD). In patients undergoing surgery for pCCA, preoperative cholangitis is associated with increased mortality, overall morbidity, incidence of liver failure, and sepsis, and it is an absolute indication for PBD [60]. In patients with jaundice but not cholangitis, PBD is still frequently indicated due to the concerns for impaired liver regeneration capability, as pCCA patients are frequently candidate for major liver resections. However, PBD has been associated with higher overall morbidity, perioperative transfusion, cholangitis, infection and bile leakage [61][62], suggesting that it could be reasonably avoided in patients with sufficient future liver remnant ($\geq 50\%$). It is significant that regardless of the technique used for PBD (endoscopic versus percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage), about 15% of patients will fail to proceed to surgery because of PBD complications and progressive deterioration [63]. Another factor complicating the surgical approach is the necessity to perform an oncologically adequate (R0) surgery, which frequently involves an extended hepatectomy associated with the resection of the biliary confluence and the reconstruction by an hepaticojejunostomy while preserving a sufficient portion of liver parenchyma. Portal vein embolization has traditionally been used to induce future liver remnant hypertrophy. Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for stage hepatectomy (ALPPS) represents an alternative approach [64]. However, ALPPS is still debated in the setting of pCCA [65][66]. In patients who do not develop sufficient liver hypertrophy after portal vein embolization alone, associating hepatic vein embolization (so-called liver venous deprivation) could contribute to enhancing the growth of future liver remnants and improve access to curative resection [67].

Patients who can access resection with curative intent are exposed to an overall major morbidity rate of 43–65%, whereas postoperative mortality rates as high as 17% have been reported [68][69]. In a study evaluating outcomes of pCCA resection in 708 low-risk patients at 24 high-volume centers, the benchmark values (i.e., the 75% or 25% percentiles of the medians of each center) for Clavien–Dindo ≥ 3 complications rate and in-hospital mortality were $\leq 70\%$ and $\leq 8\%$, respectively [70].

About 80% of patients will experience recurrence after resection, in most cases within 2 years from surgery [71][72]. Overall 5-year survival is 11–44% and appears to be strongly influenced by the radicality of surgical resection, being $\sim 60\%$ in patients undergoing R0 resection versus $< 10\%$ after R1 resection [69]. Interestingly, benchmark value for R1 resection has been set at $\leq 43\%$ [70].

Overall, surgery with curative intent appears to be an option only in a minority of patients suffering from pCCA, and it is burdened by a complicated preoperative management, high postoperative morbidity and mortality, and high recurrence rates, which highlights the urgent need for alternative strategies to improve the outcome in these patients.

2.2. Liver Transplantation as a Treatment for Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma

In theory, LT is an interesting option for patients with pCCA because it allows for the radical excision of the tumor while avoiding the issue of residual hepatic functional reserve. Unfortunately, early results of LT performed in patients with pCCA were burdened by high recurrence rates, leading to pCCA being considered a contraindication for LT. [73][74]. However, observations that long-term survival could be achieved in patients with limited tumor burden, negative resection margins and no lymph node involvement opened to reconsider pCCA as a possible indication for LT in selected patients [75]. As aforementioned, the early experiences from the Mayo Clinic (Rochester, MN, USA) team showed that by stringent patient selection and by applying a neoadjuvant protocol of external beam radiotherapy, brachytherapy and 5-FU, excellent results could be achieved [11][76][77]. **Table 1** summarizes the results of LT for pCCA [11][12][76][78][79][80][81][82][83][84][85][86][87][88][89][90].

Table 1. Results of LT for pCCA.

Author	Country	Study Design	n	Dropout (%)	Neoadjuvant Treatment	Survival Outcomes
Figueras et al. [83]	Spain	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 8 LR, n = 20	n.a.	None	5-year survival: - LT = 36% - Resection = 21%
Sudan et al. [91]	NE, USA	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 11	35%	Brachytherapy 6000 cGy + 5-FU	Median survival after LT = 25 months; 45% disease-free with median 7.5 years follow-up
Heimbach et al. [11]	MN, USA	Single center, prospective	LT, n = 28	39%	EBRT 4500 cGy + Brachytherapy 2000-3000 cGy + 5-FU	5-year survival: - Whole cohort = 54% - LT = 82%
Robles et al. [88]	Spain	Multicenter, retrospective	LT, n = 36	n.a.	None	Overall survival at 1, 3, 5, and 10 years was 82%, 53%, 30%, and 18%. Disease-free survival at 1, 3, 5, and 10 years was 77%, 53%, 30%, and 18%.
Axelrod et al. [79]	IL, USA	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 5	n.a.	EBRT 45 Gy + 5-FU	100% recurrence-free survival in 4 patients treated with neoadjuvant protocol (median follow-up = 18 months)

Author	Country	Study Design	n	Dropout (%)	Neoadjuvant Treatment	Survival Outcomes
Jonas et al. [85]	Germany	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 5	n.a.	None	Overall survival was 80% at a median follow-up of 20 months
Hidalgo et al. [84]	UK	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 12 LR, n = 44	n.a.	None	5-year survival: - LT = 20% - Resection = 28%
Kaiser et al. [86]	Germany	Multicenter, retrospective	LT, n = 47	n.a.	None	Median survival = 35.5 months. Overall survival at 1, 3 and 5 years was 61%, 31% and 22%
Rosen et al. [92]	MN, USA	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 136	36%	EBRT 4500 cGy + Brachytherapy 2000-3000 cGy + 5-FU + capecitabine	Overall survival at 1, 3 and 5 years was 92%, 81%, and 74%.
Darwish Murad et al. [12]	USA	Multicenter, retrospective	LT, n = 214	25%	EBRT 4500 cGy + Brachytherapy 2000-3000 cGy + 5-FU + capecitabine	Recurrence-free survival at 2, 5 and 10 years was 78%, 65% and 59%.
Schule et al. [89]	Germany	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 16	n.a.	None	Overall survival (postoperative deaths excluded) at 3 and 5 years was 63% and 50% in N0 patients and 15% and 0% in N+ patients
Welling et al. [93]	USA	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 6	42%	SBRT 50-60 Gy + capecitabine	Overall survival in transplanted patients at 1 year was 81%
Duignan et al. [81]	Ireland	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 20	26%	EBRT 45-55 Gy + Brachytherapy 7.5 Gy + 5-FU + capecitabine	Overall survival at 1, 3 and 4 years was 75%, 60% and 51%
Marchan et al. [87]	GA, USA	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 8	20%	EBRT 4500 cGy + Brachytherapy 2000-3000 cGy + 5-FU + capecitabine	Median survival = 30.2 months. Overall survival at 6, 12 and 24 months was

Author	Country	Study Design	n	Dropout (%)	Neoadjuvant Treatment	Survival Outcomes
						100%, 87.5%, and 87.5%
Dondorf et al. [80]	Germany	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 22	31%	None	Median survival = 29 months. Overall survival at 1, 3 and 5 years was 89.2%, 36% and 28.8%.
Ethun et al. [82]	USA	Multicenter, retrospective	LT, n = 41 LR, n = 191	34%	EBRT 4500 cGy + Brachytherapy 2000-3000 cGy + 5-FU	Median survival: - LT = 77.4 months - Resection = 27 months
Zaborowski et al. [90]	Ireland	Multicenter, retrospective	LT, n = 26	30%	EBRT 45-55 Gy + Brachytherapy 7.5 Gy + 5-FU + capecitabine	Median survival = 53 months. Overall survival at 1, 3 and 5 years was 81%, 69% and 55%.
Ahmed et al. [78]	MO, USA	Single center, retrospective	LT, n = 38	34%	EBRT 4500 cGy + Brachytherapy 2000-3000 cGy + 5-FU	Overall survival at 1, 3 and 5 years was 91%, 58% and 52%

can be considered eligible for LT. In the setting of deceased donor LT, considerable time can separate the staging laparotomy from LT operation, further complicating an already difficult dissection. An alternative option, which has been adopted by some centers, is performing the staging laparotomy simultaneously with LT, to avoid a repeat laparotomy and peritoneal adhesions. While this is a viable option in living donor liver transplantation, in deceased donor LT, it necessitates the availability of a back-up recipient and has the disadvantage of significantly prolonging preservation time, which may have a negative impact on postoperative graft function.

In summary, although excellent outcomes have been reported, LT for pCCA has not gained widespread adoption. This is likely explained by the limited number of eligible patients, the difficulties in preoperative management and the technical and logistical difficulties linked to the neoadjuvant chemoradiation protocol.

References

- Mansour, J.C.; Aloia, T.A.; Crane, C.H.; Heimbach, J.K.; Nagino, M.; Vauthey, J.N. Hilar cholangiocarcinoma: Expert consensus statement. *HPB* 2015, 17, 691–699.
- Florio, A.A.; Ferlay, J.; Znaor, A.; Ruggieri, D.; Alvarez, C.S.; Laversanne, M.; Bray, F.; McGlynn, K.A.; Petrick, J.L. Global trends in intrahepatic and extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma incidence from 1993 to 2012. *Cancer* 2020, 126, 2666–2678.

3. Jarnagin, W.R.; Fong, Y.; DeMatteo, R.P.; Gonen, M.; Burke, E.C.; Bodniewicz, B.J.; Youssef, B.M.; Klimstra, D.; Blumgart, L.H. Staging, resectability, and outcome in 225 patients with hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg.* 2001, 234, 507–517; discussion 509–517.
4. Rizvi, S.; Khan, S.A.; Hallemeier, C.L.; Kelley, R.K.; Gores, G.J. Cholangiocarcinoma—Evolving concepts and therapeutic strategies. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 2018, 15, 95–111.
5. Chaiteerakij, R.; Harmsen, W.S.; Marrero, C.R.; Aboelsoud, M.M.; Ndzengue, A.; Kaiya, J.; Therneau, T.M.; Sanchez, W.; Gores, G.J.; Roberts, L.R. A new clinically based staging system for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2014, 109, 1881–1890.
6. Groot Koerkamp, B.; Wiggers, J.K.; Gonen, M.; Doussot, A.; Allen, P.J.; Besselink, M.G.H.; Blumgart, L.H.; Busch, O.R.C.; D’Angelica, M.I.; DeMatteo, R.P.; et al. Survival after resection of perihilar cholangiocarcinoma-development and external validation of a prognostic nomogram. *Ann. Oncol.* 2015, 26, 1930–1935.
7. Cillo, U.; Fondevila, C.; Donadon, M.; Gringeri, E.; Mocchegiani, F.; Schlitt, H.J.; Ijzermans, J.N.M.; Vivarelli, M.; Zieniewicz, K.; Olde Damink, S.W.M.; et al. Surgery for cholangiocarcinoma. *Liver Int.* 2019, 39 (Suppl. 1), 143–155.
8. Lee, S.G.; Song, G.W.; Hwang, S.; Ha, T.Y.; Moon, D.B.; Jung, D.H.; Kim, K.H.; Ahn, C.S.; Kim, M.H.; Lee, S.K.; et al. Surgical treatment of hilar cholangiocarcinoma in the new era: The Asan experience. *J. Hepatobiliary Pancreat. Sci.* 2010, 17, 476–489.
9. Nagino, M.; Ebata, T.; Yokoyama, Y.; Igami, T.; Sugawara, G.; Takahashi, Y.; Nimura, Y. Evolution of surgical treatment for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma: A single-center 34-year review of 574 consecutive resections. *Ann. Surg.* 2013, 258, 129–140.
10. Gaspersz, M.P.; Buettner, S.; van Vugt, J.L.A.; Roos, E.; Coelen, R.J.S.; Vugts, J.; Belt, E.J.; de Jonge, J.; Polak, W.G.; Willemssen, F.; et al. Conditional survival in patients with unresectable perihilar cholangiocarcinoma. *HPB* 2017, 19, 966–971.
11. Heimbach, J.K.; Gores, G.J.; Haddock, M.G.; Alberts, S.R.; Nyberg, S.L.; Ishitani, M.B.; Rosen, C.B. Liver transplantation for unresectable perihilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 2004, 24, 201–207.
12. Darwish Murad, S.; Kim, W.R.; Harnois, D.M.; Douglas, D.D.; Burton, J.; Kulik, L.M.; Botha, J.F.; Mezrich, J.D.; Chapman, W.C.; Schwartz, J.J.; et al. Efficacy of neoadjuvant chemoradiation, followed by liver transplantation, for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma at 12 US centers. *Gastroenterology* 2012, 143, 88–98.e3, quiz e14.
13. Abdelrahim, M.; Esmail, A.; Abudayyeh, A.; Murakami, N.; Saharia, A.; McMillan, R.; Victor, D.; Kodali, S.; Shetty, A.; Nolte Fong, J.V.; et al. Transplant Oncology: An Evolving Field in Cancer Care. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 4911.

14. Dueland, S.; Syversveen, T.; Solheim, J.M.; Solberg, S.; Grut, H.; Bjornbeth, B.A.; Hagness, M.; Line, P.D. Survival Following Liver Transplantation for Patients With Nonresectable Liver-only Colorectal Metastases. *Ann. Surg.* 2020, 271, 212–218.
15. McMillan, R.R.; Javle, M.; Kodali, S.; Saharia, A.; Mobley, C.; Heyne, K.; Hobeika, M.J.; Lunsford, K.E.; Victor, D.W., 3rd; Shetty, A.; et al. Survival following liver transplantation for locally advanced, unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2022, 22, 823–832.
16. Vaziri, A.; Gimson, A.; Agarwal, K.; Aldersley, M.; Bathgate, A.; MacDonald, D.; McPherson, S.; Mutimer, D.; Gelson, W. Liver transplant listing for hepatitis C-associated cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma has fallen in the United Kingdom since the introduction of direct-acting antiviral therapy. *J. Viral Hepat.* 2019, 26, 231–235.
17. Attia, M.; Silva, M.A.; Mirza, D.F. The marginal liver donor—An update. *Transpl. Int.* 2008, 21, 713–724.
18. Salvi, M.; Molinaro, L.; Metovic, J.; Patrono, D.; Romagnoli, R.; Papotti, M.; Molinari, F. Fully automated quantitative assessment of hepatic steatosis in liver transplants. *Comput. Biol. Med.* 2020, 123, 103836.
19. Ceresa, C.D.L.; Nasralla, D.; Coussios, C.C.; Friend, P.J. The case for normothermic machine perfusion in liver transplantation. *Liver Transplant.* 2018, 24, 269–275.
20. Czigany, Z.; Pratschke, J.; Fronek, J.; Guba, M.; Schoning, W.; Raptis, D.A.; Andrassy, J.; Kramer, M.; Strnad, P.; Tolba, R.H.; et al. Hypothermic Oxygenated Machine Perfusion Reduces Early Allograft Injury and Improves Post-transplant Outcomes in Extended Criteria Donation Liver Transplantation From Donation After Brain Death: Results From a Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial (HOPE ECD-DBD). *Ann. Surg.* 2021, 274, 705–712.
21. Dutkowski, P.; Polak, W.G.; Muiesan, P.; Schlegel, A.; Verhoeven, C.J.; Scalera, I.; DeOliveira, M.L.; Kron, P.; Clavien, P.A. First Comparison of Hypothermic Oxygenated PERfusion Versus Static Cold Storage of Human Donation After Cardiac Death Liver Transplants: An International-matched Case Analysis. *Ann. Surg.* 2015, 262, 764–770; discussion 761–770.
22. Fodor, M.; Cardini, B.; Peter, W.; Weissenbacher, A.; Oberhuber, R.; Hautz, T.; Otashvili, G.; Margreiter, C.; Maglione, M.; Resch, T.; et al. Static cold storage compared with normothermic machine perfusion of the liver and effect on ischaemic-type biliary lesions after transplantation: A propensity score-matched study. *Br. J. Surg.* 2021, 108, 1082–1089.
23. Friend, P.J.; Imber, C.; St Peter, S.; Lopez, I.; Butler, A.J.; Rees, M.A. Normothermic perfusion of the isolated liver. *Transplant. Proc.* 2001, 33, 3436–3438.
24. Ghinolfi, D.; Rreka, E.; De Tata, V.; Franzini, M.; Pezzati, D.; Fierabracci, V.; Masini, M.; Cacciatoinsilla, A.; Bindi, M.L.; Marselli, L.; et al. Pilot, Open, Randomized, Prospective Trial for

- Normothermic Machine Perfusion Evaluation in Liver Transplantation From Older Donors. *Liver Transplant.* 2019, 25, 436–449.
25. Guarrera, J.V.; Henry, S.D.; Samstein, B.; Odeh-Ramadan, R.; Kinkhabwala, M.; Goldstein, M.J.; Ratner, L.E.; Renz, J.F.; Lee, H.T.; Brown, R.S., Jr.; et al. Hypothermic machine preservation in human liver transplantation: The first clinical series. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2010, 10, 372–381.
 26. Guarrera, J.V.; Henry, S.D.; Samstein, B.; Reznik, E.; Musat, C.; Lukose, T.I.; Ratner, L.E.; Brown, R.S., Jr.; Kato, T.; Emond, J.C. Hypothermic machine preservation facilitates successful transplantation of “orphan” extended criteria donor livers. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2015, 15, 161–169.
 27. Guo, Z.; Xu, J.; Huang, S.; Yin, M.; Zhao, Q.; Ju, W.; Wang, D.; Gao, N.; Huang, C.; Yang, L.; et al. Abrogation of graft ischemia-reperfusion injury in ischemia-free liver transplantation. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 2022, 12, e546.
 28. He, X.; Guo, Z.; Zhao, Q.; Ju, W.; Wang, D.; Wu, L.; Yang, L.; Ji, F.; Tang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; et al. The first case of ischemia-free organ transplantation in humans: A proof of concept. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2018, 18, 737–744.
 29. Mergental, H.; Laing, R.W.; Kirkham, A.J.; Perera, M.; Boteon, Y.L.; Attard, J.; Barton, D.; Curbishley, S.; Wilkhu, M.; Neil, D.A.H.; et al. Transplantation of discarded livers following viability testing with normothermic machine perfusion. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, 11, 2939.
 30. Mergental, H.; Perera, M.T.; Laing, R.W.; Muiesan, P.; Isaac, J.R.; Smith, A.; Stephenson, B.T.; Cilliers, H.; Neil, D.A.; Hubscher, S.G.; et al. Transplantation of Declined Liver Allografts Following Normothermic Ex-Situ Evaluation. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2016, 16, 3235–3245.
 31. Nasralla, D.; Coussios, C.C.; Mergental, H.; Akhtar, M.Z.; Butler, A.J.; Ceresa, C.D.L.; Chiocchia, V.; Dutton, S.J.; Garcia-Valdecasas, J.C.; Heaton, N.; et al. A randomized trial of normothermic preservation in liver transplantation. *Nature* 2018, 557, 50–56.
 32. Op den Dries, S.; Karimian, N.; Porte, R.J. Normothermic machine perfusion of discarded liver grafts. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2013, 13, 2504.
 33. Patrono, D.; Catalano, G.; Rizza, G.; Lavorato, N.; Berchiolla, P.; Gambella, A.; Caropreso, P.; Mengozzi, G.; Romagnoli, R. Perfusate Analysis During Dual Hypothermic Oxygenated Machine Perfusion of Liver Grafts: Correlations With Donor Factors and Early Outcomes. *Transplantation* 2020, 104, 1929–1942.
 34. Patrono, D.; Cussa, D.; Sciannameo, V.; Montanari, E.; Panconesi, R.; Berchiolla, P.; Lepore, M.; Gambella, A.; Rizza, G.; Catalano, G.; et al. Outcome of liver transplantation with grafts from brain-dead donors treated with dual hypothermic oxygenated machine perfusion, with particular reference to elderly donors. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2022, 22, 1382–1395.
 35. Patrono, D.; Lavezzo, B.; Molinaro, L.; Rizza, G.; Catalano, G.; Gonella, F.; Salizzoni, M.; Romagnoli, R. Hypothermic Oxygenated Machine Perfusion for Liver Transplantation: An Initial

- Experience. *Exp. Clin. Transplant.* 2018, 16, 172–176.
36. Patrono, D.; Surra, A.; Catalano, G.; Rizza, G.; Berchialla, P.; Martini, S.; Tandoi, F.; Lupo, F.; Mirabella, S.; Stratta, C.; et al. Hypothermic Oxygenated Machine Perfusion of Liver Grafts from Brain-Dead Donors. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 9337.
 37. Quintini, C.; Del Prete, L.; Simioni, A.; Del Angel, L.; Diago Uso, T.; D'Amico, G.; Hashimoto, K.; Aucejo, F.; Fujiki, M.; Eghtesad, B.; et al. Transplantation of declined livers after normothermic perfusion. *Surgery* 2022, 171, 747–756.
 38. Ravaioli, M.; Germinario, G.; Dajti, G.; Sessa, M.; Vasuri, F.; Siniscalchi, A.; Morelli, M.C.; Serenari, M.; Del Gaudio, M.; Zanfi, C.; et al. Hypothermic oxygenated perfusion in extended criteria donor liver transplantation-A randomized clinical trial. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2022, 22, 2401–2408.
 39. Schlegel, A.; de Rougemont, O.; Graf, R.; Clavien, P.A.; Dutkowski, P. Protective mechanisms of end-ischemic cold machine perfusion in DCD liver grafts. *J. Hepatol.* 2013, 58, 278–286.
 40. Schlegel, A.; Muller, X.; Kalisvaart, M.; Muellhaupt, B.; Perera, M.; Isaac, J.R.; Clavien, P.A.; Muiesan, P.; Dutkowski, P. Outcomes of DCD liver transplantation using organs treated by hypothermic oxygenated perfusion before implantation. *J. Hepatol.* 2019, 70, 50–57.
 41. Sousa Da Silva, R.X.; Weber, A.; Dutkowski, P.; Clavien, P.A. Machine perfusion in liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2022, 76, 1531–1549.
 42. van Leeuwen, O.B.; Bodewes, S.B.; Lantinga, V.A.; Haring, M.P.D.; Thorne, A.M.; Bruggenwirth, I.M.A.; van den Berg, A.P.; de Boer, M.T.; de Jong, I.E.M.; de Kleine, R.H.J.; et al. Sequential hypothermic and normothermic machine perfusion enables safe transplantation of high-risk donor livers. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2022, 22, 1658–1670.
 43. van Leeuwen, O.B.; de Vries, Y.; Fujiyoshi, M.; Nijsten, M.W.N.; Ubbink, R.; Pelgrim, G.J.; Werner, M.J.M.; Reyntjens, K.; van den Berg, A.P.; de Boer, M.T.; et al. Transplantation of High-risk Donor Livers After Ex Situ Resuscitation and Assessment Using Combined Hypo- and Normothermic Machine Perfusion: A Prospective Clinical Trial. *Ann. Surg.* 2019, 270, 906–914.
 44. van Rijn, R.; Karimian, N.; Matton, A.P.M.; Burlage, L.C.; Westerkamp, A.C.; van den Berg, A.P.; de Kleine, R.H.J.; de Boer, M.T.; Lisman, T.; Porte, R.J. Dual hypothermic oxygenated machine perfusion in liver transplants donated after circulatory death. *Br. J. Surg.* 2017, 104, 907–917.
 45. van Rijn, R.; Schurink, I.J.; de Vries, Y.; van den Berg, A.P.; Cortes Cerisuelo, M.; Darwish Murad, S.; Erdmann, J.I.; Gilbo, N.; de Haas, R.J.; Heaton, N.; et al. Hypothermic Machine Perfusion in Liver Transplantation—A Randomized Trial. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2021, 384, 1391–1401.
 46. Vogel, T.; Brockmann, J.G.; Quaglia, A.; Morovat, A.; Jassem, W.; Heaton, N.D.; Coussios, C.C.; Friend, P.J. The 24-hour normothermic machine perfusion of discarded human liver grafts. *Liver Transplant.* 2017, 23, 207–220.

47. Watson, C.J.E.; Gaurav, R.; Fear, C.; Swift, L.; Selves, L.; Ceresa, C.D.L.; Upponi, S.S.; Brais, R.; Allison, M.; Macdonald-Wallis, C.; et al. Predicting Early Allograft Function After Normothermic Machine Perfusion. *Transplantation* 2022, 106, 2391–2398.
48. Watson, C.J.E.; Kosmoliaptsis, V.; Pley, C.; Randle, L.; Fear, C.; Crick, K.; Gimson, A.E.; Allison, M.; Upponi, S.; Brais, R.; et al. Observations on the ex situ perfusion of livers for transplantation. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2018, 18, 2005–2020.
49. de Vries, Y.; Matton, A.P.M.; Nijsten, M.W.N.; Werner, M.J.M.; van den Berg, A.P.; de Boer, M.T.; Buis, C.I.; Fujiyoshi, M.; de Kleine, R.H.J.; van Leeuwen, O.B.; et al. Pretransplant sequential hypo- and normothermic machine perfusion of suboptimal livers donated after circulatory death using a hemoglobin-based oxygen carrier perfusion solution. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2019, 19, 1202–1211.
50. Matton, A.P.M.; de Vries, Y.; Burlage, L.C.; van Rijn, R.; Fujiyoshi, M.; de Meijer, V.E.; de Boer, M.T.; de Kleine, R.H.J.; Verkade, H.J.; Gouw, A.S.H.; et al. Biliary Bicarbonate, pH, and Glucose Are Suitable Biomarkers of Biliary Viability During Ex Situ Normothermic Machine Perfusion of Human Donor Livers. *Transplantation* 2019, 103, 1405–1413.
51. Watson, C.J.E.; Kosmoliaptsis, V.; Randle, L.V.; Gimson, A.E.; Brais, R.; Klinck, J.R.; Hamed, M.; Tsyben, A.; Butler, A.J. Normothermic Perfusion in the Assessment and Preservation of Declined Livers Before Transplantation: Hyperoxia and Vasoplegia-Important Lessons From the First 12 Cases. *Transplantation* 2017, 101, 1084–1098.
52. Reiling, J.; Butler, N.; Simpson, A.; Hodgkinson, P.; Campbell, C.; Lockwood, D.; Bridle, K.; Santrampurwala, N.; Britton, L.; Crawford, D.; et al. Assessment and Transplantation of Orphan Donor Livers: A Back-to-Base Approach to Normothermic Machine Perfusion. *Liver Transpl.* 2020, 26, 1618–1628.
53. Hann, A.; Lembach, H.; Nutu, A.; Mergental, H.; Isaac, J.L.; Isaac, J.R.; Oo, Y.H.; Armstrong, M.J.; Rajoriya, N.; Afford, S.; et al. Assessment of Deceased Brain Dead Donor Liver Grafts via Normothermic Machine Perfusion: Lactate Clearance Time Threshold Can Be Safely Extended to 6 Hours. *Liver Transpl.* 2022, 28, 493–496.
54. Clavien, P.A.; Dutkowski, P.; Mueller, M.; Eshmuminov, D.; Bautista Borrego, L.; Weber, A.; Muellhaupt, B.; Sousa Da Silva, R.X.; Burg, B.R.; Rudolf von Rohr, P.; et al. Transplantation of a human liver following 3 days of ex situ normothermic preservation. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2022, 40, 1610–1616.
55. Weissenbacher, A.; Vrakas, G.; Nasralla, D.; Ceresa, C.D.L. The future of organ perfusion and re-conditioning. *Transpl. Int.* 2019, 32, 586–597.
56. Patrono, D.; Lonati, C.; Romagnoli, R. Viability testing during liver preservation. *Curr. Opin. Organ. Transplant.* 2022, 27, 454–465.

57. Muller, X.; Schlegel, A.; Kron, P.; Eshmuminov, D.; Wurdinger, M.; Meierhofer, D.; Clavien, P.A.; Dutkowski, P. Novel Real-time Prediction of Liver Graft Function During Hypothermic Oxygenated Machine Perfusion Before Liver Transplantation. *Ann. Surg.* 2019, 270, 783–790.
58. van Keulen, A.M.; Franssen, S.; van der Geest, L.G.; de Boer, M.T.; Coenraad, M.; van Driel, L.; Erdmann, J.I.; Haj Mohammad, N.; Heij, L.; Klumpen, H.J.; et al. Nationwide treatment and outcomes of perihilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Liver Int.* 2021, 41, 1945–1953.
59. Barr Fritcher, E.G.; Voss, J.S.; Brankley, S.M.; Campion, M.B.; Jenkins, S.M.; Keeney, M.E.; Henry, M.R.; Kerr, S.M.; Chaiteerakij, R.; Pestova, E.V.; et al. An Optimized Set of Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization Probes for Detection of Pancreatobiliary Tract Cancer in Cytology Brush Samples. *Gastroenterology* 2015, 149, 1813–1824.e1.
60. Wang, Y.; Fu, W.; Tang, Z.; Meng, W.; Zhou, W.; Li, X. Effect of preoperative cholangitis on prognosis of patients with hilar cholangiocarcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine* 2018, 97, e12025.
61. Celotti, A.; Solaini, L.; Montori, G.; Coccolini, F.; Tognali, D.; Baiocchi, G. Preoperative biliary drainage in hilar cholangiocarcinoma: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol.* 2017, 43, 1628–1635.
62. Teng, F.; Tang, Y.Y.; Dai, J.L.; Li, Y.; Chen, Z.Y. The effect and safety of preoperative biliary drainage in patients with hilar cholangiocarcinoma: An updated meta-analysis. *World J. Surg. Oncol.* 2020, 18, 174.
63. Hameed, A.; Pang, T.; Chiou, J.; Pleass, H.; Lam, V.; Hollands, M.; Johnston, E.; Richardson, A.; Yuen, L. Percutaneous vs. endoscopic pre-operative biliary drainage in hilar cholangiocarcinoma—A systematic review and meta-analysis. *HPB* 2016, 18, 400–410.
64. Schnitzbauer, A.A.; Lang, S.A.; Goessmann, H.; Nadalin, S.; Baumgart, J.; Farkas, S.A.; Fichtner-Feigl, S.; Lorf, T.; Goralcyk, A.; Horbelt, R.; et al. Right portal vein ligation combined with in situ splitting induces rapid left lateral liver lobe hypertrophy enabling 2-staged extended right hepatic resection in small-for-size settings. *Ann. Surg.* 2012, 255, 405–414.
65. Balci, D.; Sakamoto, Y.; Li, J.; Di Benedetto, F.; Krimker, E.O.; Petrowsky, H. Associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) procedure for cholangiocarcinoma. *Int. J. Surg.* 2020, 82S, 97–102.
66. Olthof, P.B.; Coelen, R.J.S.; Wiggers, J.K.; Groot Koerkamp, B.; Malago, M.; Hernandez-Alejandro, R.; Topp, S.A.; Vivarelli, M.; Aldrighetti, L.A.; Robles Campos, R.; et al. High mortality after ALPPS for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma: Case-control analysis including the first series from the international ALPPS registry. *HPB* 2017, 19, 381–387.
67. Hocquet, A.; Sotiriadis, C.; Duran, R.; Guiu, B.; Yamaguchi, T.; Halkic, N.; Melloul, E.; Demartines, N.; Denys, A. Preoperative Portal Vein Embolization Alone with Biliary Drainage

- Compared to a Combination of Simultaneous Portal Vein, Right Hepatic Vein Embolization and Biliary Drainage in Klatskin Tumor. *Cardiovasc. Interv. Radiol.* 2018, 41, 1885–1891.
68. Launois, B.; Reding, R.; Lebeau, G.; Buard, J.L. Surgery for hilar cholangiocarcinoma: French experience in a collective survey of 552 extrahepatic bile duct cancers. *J. Hepatobiliary Pancreat. Surg.* 2000, 7, 128–134.
 69. Soares, K.C.; Jarnagin, W.R. The Landmark Series: Hilar Cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2021, 28, 4158–4170.
 70. Mueller, M.; Breuer, E.; Mizuno, T.; Bartsch, F.; Ratti, F.; Benzing, C.; Ammar-Khodja, N.; Sugiura, T.; Takayashiki, T.; Hessheimer, A.; et al. Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma—Novel Benchmark Values for Surgical and Oncological Outcomes From 24 Expert Centers. *Ann. Surg.* 2021, 274, 780–788.
 71. Groot Koerkamp, B.; Wiggers, J.K.; Allen, P.J.; Besselink, M.G.; Blumgart, L.H.; Busch, O.R.; Coelen, R.J.; D’Angelica, M.I.; DeMatteo, R.P.; Gouma, D.J.; et al. Recurrence Rate and Pattern of Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma after Curative Intent Resection. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 2015, 221, 1041–1049.
 72. Zhang, X.F.; Beal, E.W.; Chakedis, J.; Chen, Q.; Lv, Y.; Ethun, C.G.; Salem, A.; Weber, S.M.; Tran, T.; Poultides, G.; et al. Defining Early Recurrence of Hilar Cholangiocarcinoma After Curative-intent Surgery: A Multi-institutional Study from the US Extrahepatic Biliary Malignancy Consortium. *World J. Surg.* 2018, 42, 2919–2929.
 73. Iwatsuki, S.; Todo, S.; Marsh, J.W.; Madariaga, J.R.; Lee, R.G.; Dvorchik, I.; Fung, J.J.; Starzl, T.E. Treatment of hilar cholangiocarcinoma (Klatskin tumors) with hepatic resection or transplantation. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 1998, 187, 358–364.
 74. Jeyarajah, D.R.; Klintmalm, G.B. Is liver transplantation indicated for cholangiocarcinoma? *J. Hepatobiliary Pancreat. Surg.* 1998, 5, 48–51.
 75. Shimoda, M.; Farmer, D.G.; Colquhoun, S.D.; Rosove, M.; Ghobrial, R.M.; Yersiz, H.; Chen, P.; Busuttil, R.W. Liver transplantation for cholangiocellular carcinoma: Analysis of a single-center experience and review of the literature. *Liver Transplant.* 2001, 7, 1023–1033.
 76. De Vreede, I.; Steers, J.L.; Burch, P.A.; Rosen, C.B.; Gunderson, L.L.; Haddock, M.G.; Burgart, L.; Gores, G.J. Prolonged disease-free survival after orthotopic liver transplantation plus adjuvant chemoradiation for cholangiocarcinoma. *Liver Transplant.* 2000, 6, 309–316.
 77. Heimbach, J.K.; Haddock, M.G.; Alberts, S.R.; Nyberg, S.L.; Ishitani, M.B.; Rosen, C.B.; Gores, G.J. Transplantation for hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Liver Transplant.* 2004, 10, S65–S68.
 78. Ahmed, O.; Vachharajani, N.; Chang, S.H.; Park, Y.; Khan, A.S.; Chapman, W.C.; Doyle, M.B.M. Single-center experience of liver transplantation for perihilar cholangiocarcinoma. *HPB* 2022, 24, 461–469.

79. Axelrod, D.; Koffron, A.; Kulik, L.; Al-Saden, P.; Mulcahy, M.; Baker, T.; Fryer, J.; Abecassis, M. Living donor liver transplant for malignancy. *Transplantation* 2005, 79, 363–366.
80. Dondorf, F.; Utebeta, F.; Fahrner, R.; Felgendreff, P.; Ardelt, M.; Tautenhahn, H.M.; Settmacher, U.; Rauchfubeta, F. Liver Transplant for Perihilar Cholangiocarcinoma (Klatskin Tumor): The Essential Role of Patient Selection. *Exp. Clin. Transplant.* 2019, 17, 363–369.
81. Duignan, S.; Maguire, D.; Ravichand, C.S.; Geoghegan, J.; Hoti, E.; Fennelly, D.; Armstrong, J.; Rock, K.; Mohan, H.; Traynor, O. Neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by liver transplantation for unresectable cholangiocarcinoma: A single-centre national experience. *HPB* 2014, 16, 91–98.
82. Ethun, C.G.; Lopez-Aguilar, A.G.; Anderson, D.J.; Adams, A.B.; Fields, R.C.; Doyle, M.B.; Chapman, W.C.; Krasnick, B.A.; Weber, S.M.; Mezrich, J.D.; et al. Transplantation Versus Resection for Hilar Cholangiocarcinoma: An Argument for Shifting Treatment Paradigms for Resectable Disease. *Ann. Surg.* 2018, 267, 797–805.
83. Figueras, J.; Llado, L.; Valls, C.; Serrano, T.; Ramos, E.; Fabregat, J.; Rafecas, A.; Torras, J.; Jaurrieta, E. Changing strategies in diagnosis and management of hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Liver Transplant.* 2000, 6, 786–794.
84. Hidalgo, E.; Asthana, S.; Nishio, H.; Wyatt, J.; Toogood, G.J.; Prasad, K.R.; Lodge, J.P. Surgery for hilar cholangiocarcinoma: The Leeds experience. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol.* 2008, 34, 787–794.
85. Jonas, S.; Mittler, J.; Pascher, A.; Theruvath, T.; Thelen, A.; Klupp, J.; Langrehr, J.M.; Neuhaus, P. Extended indications in living-donor liver transplantation: Bile duct cancer. *Transplantation* 2005, 80, S101–S104.
86. Kaiser, G.M.; Sotiropoulos, G.C.; Jauch, K.W.; Lohe, F.; Hirner, A.; Kalff, J.C.; Konigsrainer, A.; Steurer, W.; Senninger, N.; Brockmann, J.G.; et al. Liver transplantation for hilar cholangiocarcinoma: A German survey. *Transplant. Proc.* 2008, 40, 3191–3193.
87. Marchan, E.M.; Landry, J.C. Neoadjuvant chemoradiation followed by orthotopic liver transplantation in cholangiocarcinomas: The emory experience. *J. Gastrointest. Oncol.* 2016, 7, 248–254.
88. Robles, R.; Figueras, J.; Turrion, V.S.; Margarit, C.; Moya, A.; Varo, E.; Calleja, J.; Valdivieso, A.; Valdecasas, J.C.; Lopez, P.; et al. Spanish experience in liver transplantation for hilar and peripheral cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann. Surg.* 2004, 239, 265–271.
89. Schule, S.; Altendorf-Hofmann, A.; Utess, F.; Rauchfuss, F.; Freesmeyer, M.; Knosel, T.; Dittmar, Y.; Settmacher, U. Liver transplantation for hilar cholangiocarcinoma--a single-centre experience. *Langenbecks Arch. Surg.* 2013, 398, 71–77.
90. Zaborowski, A.; Heneghan, H.M.; Fiore, B.; Stafford, A.; Gallagher, T.; Geoghegan, J.; Maguire, D.; Hoti, E. Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy and Liver Transplantation for Unresectable Hilar

Cholangiocarcinoma: The Irish Experience of the Mayo Protocol. *Transplantation* 2020, 104, 2097–2104.

91. Sudan, D.; DeRoover, A.; Chinnakotla, S.; Fox, I.; Shaw, B., Jr.; McCashland, T.; Sorrell, M.; Tempero, M.; Langnas, A. Radiochemotherapy and transplantation allow long-term survival for nonresectable hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Am. J. Transplant.* 2002, 2, 774–779.
92. Rosen, C.B.; Darwish Murad, S.; Heimbach, J.K.; Nyberg, S.L.; Nagorney, D.M.; Gores, G.J. Neoadjuvant therapy and liver transplantation for hilar cholangiocarcinoma: Is pretreatment pathological confirmation of diagnosis necessary? *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 2012, 215, 31–38; discussion 38–40.
93. Welling, T.H.; Feng, M.; Wan, S.; Hwang, S.Y.; Volk, M.L.; Lawrence, T.S.; Zalupski, M.M.; Sonnenday, C.J. Neoadjuvant stereotactic body radiation therapy, capecitabine, and liver transplantation for unresectable hilar cholangiocarcinoma. *Liver Transpl.* 2014, 20, 81–88.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/96028>