PD-1/PD-L1 antibody plus Anti-VEGF Inhibitors

Subjects: Oncology | Agriculture, Dairy & Animal Science Contributor: Masatoshi Kudo

A successful phase III trial for the combination of atezolizumab and bevacizumab (the IMbrave150 trial) in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma has recently been reported to show better survival benefit over sorafenib, standard of care for more than 12 years. This is a practice changing results and scientific rationale of this combination, PD-1/PD-L1 antibody plus anti-VEGF inhibitors is very important.

Keywords: hepatocellular carcinoma ; immune checkpoint inhibitor ; PD-1 antibody ; PD-L1 antibody ; anti-VEGF inhibitor

1. Introduction

At the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Asia in November 2019, the positive results of the IMbrave150 study, a trial which compared the effects of the combination of atezolizumab and bevacizumab with those of sorafenib ^[1], drew attention to the possibility of immunotherapy with a combination of programmed cell death-1 (PD-1)/programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors. This review outlines the scientific rationale for the therapeutic combination of PD-1/PD-L1 and VEGF antibodies, proof-of-concept results of the phase lb trial, and results of other phase lb trials for similar combination strategies.

2. The Rationale Underlying the Combination of PD-1/PD-L1 and VEGF Inhibitors

At tumor sites, VEGF released by hypoxic cancer cells and vascular endothelial cells promotes tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis by increasing neovascularization . Simultaneously, VEGF enhances the mobilization and proliferation of various cells, including regulatory T cells (Tregs), and the release of immunosuppressive cytokines ^{[2][3]}. It also enhances the mobilization of tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and their polarization to an M2 phenotype. Tregs and TAMs promote tumor growth through the release of VEGF and angiopoietin-2, among other mechanisms ^[4]. VEGF can also activate myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), which in turn release more VEGF [4]. Furthermore, VEGF inhibits dendritic cell maturation and antigen presentation in the priming phase. Thus, VEGF reduces the proliferation and activation of naive CD8+ cells by suppressing dendritic cell activity even in the presence of neoantigens ^[4] (Figure 1). VEGF-induced Tregs, TAMs, and MDSCs reduce the proliferation and function of CD8+ cells. VEGF also prevents antigen-activated CD8+ cells from infiltrating the tumor tissue through its effects on tumor angiogenesis. In addition, VEGF creates a microenvironment that inhibits the function of T cells in the tumor during the effector phase of the immune response ^[4]. Furthermore, immunosuppressive cells (Tregs, TAMs, and MDSCs) promote immune escape by releasing immunosuppressive cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-10 and transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β), and by inhibiting dendritic cell maturation and activation, NK cell activation, and T cell activation and proliferation [2][3][5][6][7][8][9][10][11][12][13] [14][15][16][17][18][19][20][21][22][23][24][25] (Figure 1). The cancer immunity cycle begins with the uptake and presentation of neoantigens released from necrotic tumor cells by dendritic cells. This is followed by seven steps: (1) tumor antigen release, (2) tumor antigen uptake and presentation by dendritic cells, (3) T cell priming and activation, (4) T cell migration to the tumor, (5) T cell invasion of the tumor, (6) cancer cell recognition by T cells, and (7) attack on tumor cells by T cells, which leads to cancer cell death and release of additional tumor antigens ^[5] (Figure 2). VEGF promotes immune escape at almost every step of the cancer immunity cycle [6][7][8][9]. Furthermore, hepatic interstitial cells such as Kupffer cells, liver endothelial cells, and hepatic stellate cells are involved in maintaining immune tolerance in the healthy liver and may contribute to the immunosuppressive microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma [26].

References

^{1.} Cheng, A.L.; Qin, S.; Ikeda, M.; Galle, P.R.; Ducreux, M.; Zhu, A.X.; Kim, T.Y.; Kudo, M.; Breder, V.; Merle, P.; et al. IMbrave150: Efficacy and safety results from a ph III study evaluating atezolizumab (atezo) + bevacizumab (bev) vs

sorafenib (Sor) as first treatment (tx) for patients (pts) with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Ann. Oncol. 2019, 30 (Suppl. 9), ix186–ix187.

- Fukumura, D.; Kloepper, J.; Amoozgan Z.; Duda, D.G.; Jain, R.K. Enhancing cancer immunotherapy using antiangiogenics: Opportunities and challenges. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 15, 325–340, doi:10.1038/nrclinonc.2018.29.
- 3. Chouaib, S.; Messai, Y.; Couve, S. Escudier, B.; Hasmim, M.; Noman, M.Z. Hypoxia promotes tumor growth in linking angiogenesis to immune escape. Front, Immunol. 2012, 3, 21, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2012.00021.
- 4. Voron, T.; Marcheteau, E.; Pernot, S.; Colussi, O. Tartour, E.; Taieb, J.; Terme, M. Control of the immune response by pro-angiogenic factors. Front. Oncol. 2014, 4, 70, doi:10.3389/fonc.2014.00070.
- 5. Chen, D.S.; Mellman, I. Oncology meets immunology: The cancer-immunity cycle. Immunity 2013, 39, 1–10, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2013.07.012.
- Hegde, P.S.; Wallin, J.J.; Mancao, C. Predictive markers of anti-VEGF and emerging role of angiogenesis inhibitors as immunotherapeutics. Semin. Cancer Biol. 2018, 52, 117–124, doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.12.002.

7. Ferrara, N.; Hillan, K.J.; Gerber, H.P.; Novotny, W. Discovery and development of bevacizumab, an anti-VEGF antibody Figure 1. Immune suppressive microenvironment induced by VEGF (modified from ref.^[4] with permission). To treating cancer. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2004, 3, 391–400, doi:10.1038/hr01381.

- 8. Gabrilovich, D.I.; Chen, H.L.; Girgis, K.R.; Cunningham, H.T.; Meny, Compared and activation of vascular endothetial growth factor by human tumors inhibits the functional maturation of dendritic cells. Nat. Med. 1996, 2, 1096–1103, doi:10.1038/un1096-1096
- 9. Gabrilovich, D.; Ishida, T.; Oyama, T.; Ran, S.; Kravtsov, V.; Nadaf, S.; Carbone, D.P. Vascular endothelial growth factor inhibits the development of dendritic cells and dramatically affects the differentiation of multiple hematopoietic lineages in vivo. Blood 1998, 92, 4150–4166.

into tr

Killing of cancer cells

- 10. Elovic, A.E.; Ohyama, H.; Sauty, A.; McBride, J.; Tsuji, T.; Nagai, M.; Weller, P.F.; Wong, D.T. IL-4-dependent regulation of TGF-alpha and TGF-beta respiration in human eosinophils. J. Immunol. 1998, 160, 6121–6127.
- 11. Guermonprez, P.; Valladeau, J.; Zitvogel, L.; Thery, C.; Amigorena, S. Antiger presentation and T cell stimulation by dendritic cells. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 2002, 20, 621–667, doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.20.100301.064828.
- 12. Villadangos, J.A.; Schnorrer, P. Intrinsic and cooperative antigen-presenting functions of dendritic-cell subsets in vivo. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2007, 7, 543–555, doi:10.1038/nri2103.

1BigGriéiaemha. WanDamemmunky, Bljitlar (modffie Giroemeerfe gewite peumission) iogenesis is accompanied by a decreased inflammatory response of tumor-associated endothelium. Blood 1996, 88, 667–673.

The administration of molecular targeted drugs that inhibit VEGF activity, such as multi-kinase inhibitors that inhibit VEGF 14. Griffioen, A.W.; Damen, C.A.; Martinotti, S.; Blijham, G.H.; Groenewegen, G. Endothelial intercellular adhesion receptors, leads to an increase; in antigen presentation by denonitic cells . These drugs also promote T cell activation in molecule-1 expression is suppressed in human malignancies: The role of angiogenic factors, Cancer Res. 1996, 56, the priming phase is and improve the migration of T cells from the lymph nodes to the tumor site by normalizing the tumor vasculature $\frac{1111-111}{15}$. In addition, these drugs have been found to suppress the generation of Tregs, TAMs, and MDSCs at the 120. Tomoresite; and the pregatively regulated the expression; 50 km murasapple site Kcylok melization as the regulation for 120. veteratinantictreancereroteotheproligeaseenePhysialrosuppolsion, 1071-1421-0401/104452/10101452/10101461-1421-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/10101401-0401/104452/104452/104452/104452/104452/104452/10445 100 wirdement. "Satheradesiniswation efe? Coal (Pabriahantibodies, under: shade conditions; endrapore the antiMinor perioristical T cells; (Eigukos, E. andna): Aalderationar astoverables loos a loos a loos a loos and reparted by the contraction of the contract of the contra immMedity201c4op200n6007-t0e5pdoiRs0. F035/mm2564\$al of the VEGF-mediated inhibition of dendritic cell maturation results in 17. Hoor, F.S.; Edwience, D.; Lezcano, ef.; Wu, X.; Zhou, J.; Sasada, T.; Zeng, W.; Giobbie-Hurder, A.; Aikins, M.B.; vasculature and the second sec inhibite_bt2, a6fivity/11958/2926-6666. TGB14-0053. TAMs, leading to the reprogramming of the immunosuppressive microenvironment into an immunostimulatory microenvironment (Reprogrammig) ^[6]. Fourth, PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies 18. Wallin, J.J.; Bendell, J.C.; Funke, R.; Sznol, M.; Korski, K.; Jones, S.; Hernandez, G.; Mier, J.; He, X.; Hodi, F.S.; et al. enhance the ability of T cells to attack tumor cells' (Restoration) (Figure 3). These four Rs lead to efficient cancer immunity Atezolizumab in combination with bevacizumab enhances antigen-specific T-cell migration in metastatic renal cell and tumor growth inhibition. Proteins, released by the killed tumor cells are taken up by dendritic cells, and then processed carcinoma. Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 12624, doi:10.1038/ncomms12624. 19. Gebrilovich, Oubin Negerai, Sr Mycloid, deviced suppressor cells as regulators of the impune system above. Rev Impunesh of the VEGF-suppressed tumor microenvironment with molecular targeted agents against VEGF leads to the efficient 20ttatukaog,t0m,duis, by Scotigated Zhaedys (27) (Grigu, Qs Diagd M); Bowdrahin,h,; nAzizc) Ricaltstuety GfDe Bladikidasabyerosienet kiolasse inhibitioit (178(12,0, (a) pyremetria of the positive induced variant activation and a second and the second activation of the second activation o for eukial of ithe analytic and the condent of the

doi:10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-08-2924.

- 22. Kusmartsev, S.; Eruslanov, E.; Kubler, H., Tseng, T.; Sakai, Y.; Su, Z.; Kaliberov, S.; Heiser, A.; Rosser, C.; Dahm, P.; et al. Oxidative stress regulates expression of VEGFR1 in myeloid cells: Link to tumor induced immune suppression in renal cell carcinoma. J. Immunol. 2008, 181, 346-353, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.181.1.346. Anti-VEGF AGENT
- 23. Herbst, R.S.; Soria, J.C.; Kowanetz, M.; F ne, G.D.; Hamid, O.; Gordon, M.S.; Sosman, J.A.; McDermott, D.F.; Powderly, J.D.; Gettinger, Sweet al. Predictive correlates of reston se to the anti-PD-L1 antibody MPDL3280A in cancer patients. Nature 2014, 515, 563-567, Noi:10.1038/nature14011.
- 24. Chen, X.; Zeng, Q.; Wu, M.X. Inproved efficacy of dendritie cell-based immunotherapy by cutaneous laser illumination. Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 2012, 18, 2240–2249, doi:10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-11-2654.
- 25. Zou, W.; Chen, L. Inhibitory B7-family molecules in the tumour microenvironment. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2008, 8, 467– 477, doi:10.1038/nri2326. NK
- 26. Tiegs, G.; Lohse, A.W. Immune tolerance: What is unique about the liver. J Autoimmun. 2010, 34, 1-6, Stimulation doi:10.1016/j.jaut.2009.08.008: ... Inhibition
- 27igQver3aAhtiRec6ialahtidayTrebadatans;tKertuhoCarlemanAhdninaaltilovirImAhtnessulatessvletuslialauvetbeartiesive (motified dendritic relignaturation through the inhibition of nuclear factor-kappa B activation in hemopoietic progenitor cells. J. Immunol. 1998, 160, 1224-1232.
- 28. Kato, Y.; Tabata, K.; Kimura, T.; Yachie-Kinoshita, A.; Ozawa, Y.; Yamada, K.; Ito, J.; Tachino, S.; Hori, Y.; Matsuki, M.; et al. Lenvatinib plus, anti-RD-d antibody combination treatment activates CDS and CERS through reduction of tumorassociated macrophage and activation of the interferon pathway. PLoS ONE-2019 614 e0212513, into the tumour doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0212513ur antigens
- 29. Kudo, M. Combination Cancer Immunotherapy in Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Liver Cancer 2018, 7, 20-27, doi:10.1159/000486287ROGRAMME: Anti-VEGF, **RESTORE:** IO's ability to restore by decreasing the activity of MDSCs, T_{reg} cells and TAM enables anticancer immunity, through T-cell
- 30. Kudo, M. Targeted and immune therapies for hepatocellular carcinoma. Predictions for 2019 and beyond. World J. Gastroenterol. 2019: 25: 789-807: doi:10.3748/wjg.v25.17.789. mediated immunomodulatory effects
- 31. Kudo, M. Combination Cancer Immunotherapy with Molecular Targeted Agents/Anti-CTLA-4 Antibody for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Liver Cancer 2019, 8, 1-11, doi:10.1159/000496277.
- Figure 4. Scientific rationale of Immune-checkpoint Inhibitors plus Anti-VEGF: 4 Roles of anti-VEGF inhibitors in Cancer 32. Teng, M.W.; Ngjow, S.F.; Ribas, A.; Smyth, M.J. Classifying Cancers Based on T-cell Infiltration and PD-L1. Cancer Res. 2015, 75, 2139-2145, doi:10.1158/0008-5472.Can-15-0255.
- [₿]3!suRetsulftsM.6fL@htatseNuNgtaStufthesS.; offreOtherckComplimationss HoffrgPD=1#PD-L1 Antibocies and EEF Minibitors umab + bevacizumab in patients with previously untreated, unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Ann. Oncol. 2019, 30 (Suppl. 9), ix187.

In addition to the trial of atezolizumab and bevacizumab described above, other studies are examining the efficacy of 34. Yau, T.; Park, J.W.; Finn, R.S.; Cheng, A.L.; Mathurin, P.; Edeline, J.; Kudo, M.; Han, K.H.; Harding, J.J.; Merle, P.; et al. cordbined MBP-149D-L1 and VEGE minhibition, One swebustudy of nivoruman VS sorate Hilly as sirat-inhe steal methin patients of pempipulizumab and lenvatinih [32][35][37][38][39][40][41] This trial is an esingle and the results are highly anticipated. In addition,

multiple other clinical trials of immune checkpoint inhibitors and VEGF inhibitors have been completed (Table 1). The 35. Zhu, A.X.; Finn, R.S.; Edeline, J.; Cattan, S.; Ogasawara, S.; Palmer, D.; Verslype, C.; Zagonel, V.; Fartoux, L.; Vogel, number of patients who received pembrolizumab and lenvatinib (*n* = 67) was lower than the number of patients who received pembrolizumab and lenvatinib (*n* = 67) was lower than the number of patients who received pembrolizumab and the phase lb trial described above (*n* = 104). The ORR (40.3%), DCR (KEYNOTE-224): A non-randomised, open-label phase 2 trial. Lancet Oncol. 2018, 19, 940–952, doi:10.1016/s1470-(85,1%), PES (9,7 months), and OS (20.4 months) of the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib were higher than the combination of pembrolizumab and len

those of the combination of atezolizumab and bevacizumab [42]. Furthermore, the efficacy of the combination of nivolumab

3an Eilen vatihib Revaluated Merlan Independent Anughtorco Minhtier Hase Broderet (Sefoling, which was recently veported at al. Pembrolizumab As Second-Line Therapy in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma in KEYNOTE-240: A the annual meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, Gastrointestinal Cancers (ASCO GI), was higher than Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Trial, J Clin, Oncol, Off, J Am, Sor, Clin. Oncol, 2020, 38, 193–202, that of the other two combination therapies (ORR, 54.2%; DCR, 91.7%; PFS, 7.4 months; and OS, not reached) [43]. Of doi:10.1200/jco.19.01307. course, it is not adequate to compare the results of single-arm trials with different patient populations, small sample sizes,

32716 ushor Mobsenhandizupaholas, theoliventer encorels and one of the second second

candei: 4211758/090590540540 were 38.9% and 7.2 months, respectively [44]. However, there have been no updated reports

3971 Ktula combinatione Macerboint backpatent insultable hore carbination. 202 vely bake and axid at 12 years of ight by inferior to

those 00.01169/000040190400 therapies (ORR, 13.6%; PFS, 5.5 months; and OS, 12.7 months, based on RECIST 1.1).

Therefore, at present, the most promising ongoing trial is the LEAP-002 study [40][41]. The decision whether or not to 39. El-Khoueiry, A.B.; Sangro, B.; Yau, L.; Ciocenzi, T.S.; Kudo, M.; Hsu, C.; Kim, T.Y.; Choo, S.P.; Irojan, J.; Welling, proceed to phase III trials of the combination of nivolumab and lenvatinib has currently drawn attention. In any case, the T.H.R.; et al. Nivolumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 040): An open-laber, nonefficacy af all others compared to the second time and time and the second time and the second time and the second time and time and the second time and time and time and the second time and the second time and the second time and the second time and tinclust and time and time and tincl comprise tions of a provide the state of the

PFS, 3.7 months; and OS, 16.4 months) ^[34] or pembrolizumab alone (ORR, 18.3%; DCR, 62.2%; PFS, 3.0 months; OS, 40. Llovet, J.M.; Kudo, M.; Cheng, A.L.; Finn, R.S.; Galle, P.R.; Kaneko, S.; Meyer, T.; Qin, S.; dutcus, C.E.; Chen, E.; et al. 13.9 months) ^[36]. Therefore, combined immunotherapy is expected to shift the paradigm as a first-line treatment option in First-Line Combination Therapy With Lenvatinib Plus Pembrolizumab for Patients with Advanced Hepatocellular advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. ^[46]

42. Llovet, J.M.; Finn, R.S.; Ikeda, M.; Sung, M.W.; Baron, A.D.; Kudo, M.; Okusaka, T.; Kobayashi, M.; Kumada, H.; Kaneko, SAnti al A hase 10 frapy flenvatinih plus perpendizumatic uncessed by henatocellular carcinoma: Upated results. Ante: Anti-Maseo 2019, 30 (Suppl. 5), v253–v324.

43. Kudo, M.; Ikeda, K.; Motomura, K.; Okusaka, T.; Kato, N.; Dutcus, C.E.; Hisai, T.; Suzuki, M.; Ikezawa, H.; Iwata, T.; et al. A Phase 1b Study of Lenvatinib Plus Nito Proceeding Stranger and Stran

46. Cheng, A.L.; Hsu, C.; Chan, S.L.; Choo, S.P.; Kudo, M. Challenges of combination therapy with immune checkpoint rjabibitors for hepatocellular carcinoma. J. Hepatol. 2020, 72, 307–319, doi:10.1016/j.jhep.2019.09.025.

(95% Retrieved fr	55% rom https://en	62.2% cyclopedia.pub/ent	71% try/history/show/2	85.1% (74.3– 92.6) 13377	83.3%	(45.1– 86.1)	(73.0– 99.0)
PFS, months (95% CI)	3.7 (3.1– 3.9)	3.0 (2.8–4.1)	7.4 (5.6– 10.7)	9.7 (5.3–13.8)	7.2 (2.6–NE)	5.5 (1.9– 7.4)	7.4 (3.7– NE)
OS, months (95% CI)	16.4 (13.9– 18.4)	13.9 (11.6– 16.0)	17.1 (13.8– NE)	20.4 (11.0– NE)	NR	12.7 (8.0–NE)	NR
DOR, months (M)	23.3 (3.1– 34.5+)	13.8 (1.5–23.6)	NE (11.7– NE)	11.0 (5.6–11.0)	NA	5.5 (3.7– 7.3)	NA

DCR, disease control rate; DOR, duration of response; NA, not available; NE; not evaluable; NR, not reached; ORR, objective response rate (RECIST 1.1); OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-fee survival. TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

^{45 (}χμρίο, Μ.; Motomura, K.; Wada, Y.; Inaba, Y.; Sakamoto, Y.; Kurosaki, M.; Umeyama, Y.; Kamei Y.; & Sakamoto, Y.; Kamei Y.; & Sakamoto, Y.; & Sak