Fungi–Nematode Interactions

Subjects: Agriculture, Dairy & Animal Science

Contributor: Ying Zhang , Shuoshuo Li , Haixia Li , Ruirui Wang , Ke-Qin Zhang , Jianping Xu

Fungi and nematodes are among the most abundant organisms in soil habitats. They provide essential ecosystem services and play crucial roles for maintaining the stability of food-webs and for facilitating nutrient cycling. As two of the very abundant groups of organisms, depending on the specific species, fungi and nematodes interact with each other in multiple ways either directly or indirectly. Directly, they can interact with each other mutualistically to helping each other survive and reproduce, antagonistically to cause damage and/or kill each other, and as commensals. Indirectly, they may be interact with each other through other organisms. Both biotic and abiotic factors can impact the direction and magnitude of fungal-nematode interactions.

Nematode

Fungi

nematophagous fungi plant parasitic nematodes

nematode-trapping fungi

1. Introduction

Ecosystems consist of many types of organisms, including different types of microscopic organisms such as bacteria, archaea, protozoa, fungi, and small animals such as nematodes. Together, these organisms interact with each other and with macroscopic organisms such as plants and large animals to perform ecosystem functions. Their interactions happen in multiple ways, can be direct or indirect, involving two or more partners, and occur through different mechanisms such as predation, parasitism, mutualism, or competition. These interactions are critical for maintaining ecosystem balance [1].

Fungi and nematodes are among the most abundant organisms in the terrestrial ecosystem. The phylum Nematoda, also known as the roundworms, is the second largest phylum in the animal kingdom, encompassing an estimated 500,000 species ^[2] Ninety percent of terrestrial nematodes reside in the top 15 cm of soil, and they play an important role in the nitrogen cycle by way of nitrogen mineralization. Nematodes do not decompose organic matter but instead are parasitic or free-living organisms that feed on living materials ^[3]. On the other hand, fungi are the principal decomposers of dead organic matter; they perform fundamental roles in nutrient cycling in the ecosystem. Although fungi may look like plants, they are in fact evolutionarily more closely related to animals than to plants. Both fungi and nematodes (as well as all animals) are heterotrophs. They are commonly found coexisting in a diversity of natural and man-made ecosystems, especially in the rhizosphere of plants, including crops, with significant impacts on agriculture and forestry. Consequently, interactions among fungi and nematodes have attracted significant attention.

Nematode and fungi arose about 550–600 million years ago (mya) and 1050 mya, respectively. They likely coexisted and interacted with each other in soils before plants colonized terrestrial habitats about 450 mya ^{[4][5]}. The co-existence and interactions between nematodes and fungi, whether antagonistic or mutualistic, direct or indirect, are fundamental for understanding their ecosystem effects and their potential manipulations in agriculture. An important long-term goal in agriculture pest and pathogen management is to identify novel control strategies against phytophagous nematodes and soil-borne fungal pathogens, to help increase both the quality and quantity of agricultural products. Here, we summarize our current knowledge of the interactions between nematodes and fungi. Specifically, we focus on the interactions between these two groups of organisms that have shown both antagonistic and mutualistic interactions to each other, either directly or indirectly (Figure 1). We note that the nature of their interactions can vary greatly among the different fungal and nematode species. Furthermore, the interactions between two organisms are not static but can be impacted by environmental factors to influence both the type and magnitude of their interactions ^[6].



Figure 1. Fungi-nematode interactions in soil. a: Endophytic fungi trigger host plant defense against plant pathogenic nematodes (PPNs). b: Plants help nematodes escape fungal attacks through volatile training.

2. Applications of Our Understanding in Fungi–Nematode Interactions in Agriculture: The Control of Phytophagous Nematodes and Soilborne Fungal Pathogens

The rhizosphere contains a complex of biological and ecological processes. A better understanding of funginematode complexes could benefit the development of ecologically based management tools to control important plant pathogen and crop pests. Discoveries of antagonistic interactions between nematodes and some rhizospheric microorganisms can provide the basis for developing control strategies to enhance plant defense against soil-borne plant pathogens and root-knot nematode parasites, including *Meloidogyne* spp., etc. Below we discuss a few potential approaches.

2.1. Nematodes as Biocontrol Agents against Plant Pathogenic Fungi

Because fungal-feeding nematodes can be attracted to and actively feed on plant pathogenic fungi, these nematodes can potentially be used to reduce the load of fungal plant pathogens and minimize the effects of these fungal pathogens on crops.

Some species of the nematode genus *Aphelenchoides* feed on the cytoplasm of fungal hyphae by piercing and sucking using a strong stylet ^[Z]. When mixed with the mycopathogenetic fungus *Trichoderma* spp., these nematodes are able to feed on two plant fungal pathogens, namely *Botrytis cinerea* and *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* ^[S], and the combination of *T. harzianum* and *Aphelenchoides* nematode treatment resulted in the best disease control efficiency against fungal diseases ^[B]. One species in this nematode genus, *Aphelenchoides hylurgi* is able to parasitize both virulent and hypovirulent strains of the fungus *Cryphonectria parasitica*, the causal agent of chestnut blight ^[S]. Furthermore, the nematodes were also able to spread propagules of the hypovirulent strain, thus increasing the efficacy of biological control under field conditions. Another fungal-feeding nematode, *Aphelenchus avenae*, also showed strong abilities to reduce pathogen loads of two root-rot fungi in corn ^[10], *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Fusarium solani* ^[11], as well as one root-rot fungus, *Fusarium oxysporum*, in beans and peas ^[13]. However, fungivorous nematodes are often not discriminatory in their food fungal choice. They can also feed on fungi with potential beneficial effects to plants. For example, *Trichoderma harzianum*, an extensively studied biocontrol agent against the sclerotium-forming fungus, *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*, is also a favorite food for the fungivorous nematode *Aphelenchoides saprophilus*. Consequently, *A. saprophilus* can reduce the biocontrol efficiency of *T. harzianum* against *S. sclerotiorum* ^[14].

At present, most fungivorous nematodes reported in the literature are those that are easy to propagate in large numbers and can be stored in a dormant stage (anhydrobiosis) for a relatively long time. They have so far not been extensively applied to agriculture or horticulture fields in the form of nematode applications. This is mainly due to the high costs associated with the production, storage, and distribution of fungivorous nematodes for commercial applications. One way to realize such commercial potential is to combine fungivorous nematodes with other agricultural practices such as crop rotation and the application of other biocontrol agents to reduce the costs and maximize the benefits.

2.2. Biocontrol of Nematodes with Nematophagous Fungi

It is estimated that, worldwide, plant parasitic nematodes (PPNs) cause a combined >\$150 billion worth of damages to agriculture each year ^[15]. From an ecological perspective, this group of nematodes is one of many components in the ecosystem that interact with other organisms, contributing to the maintenance and stability of the soil food-web. Over the last 30 years, our understanding of microbial diversity and the multitrophic interactions that are manifested in the rhizosphere, as well as biological control systems as they apply to nematodes, has improved tremendously. Indeed, several environmentally benign strategies have been developed for PPN management. Among PPNs, the root-knot nematodes (RKNs; *Meloidogyne* spp.) represent the most severe challenges to crop production. In a summary of the biocontrol methods evaluated between 2015 and April 2020, 10

microfungi and 3 mushroom species were tested for their effectiveness in controlling RKNs ^[16]. However, most studies were conducted in laboratories and greenhouse settings and their efficacies in the field are not known. Converting the laboratory successes into equally effective field applications represents the next step of the challenge.

2.2.1. Potential for the Discovery of Novel Candidates

It has been estimated that the number of culturable fungal species is between 2.2 and 3.8 million. On the basis of a 1:8.8 ratio between the numbers of cultured fungal species and the number of fungal operational taxonomic units estimated based on metagenome sequencing, there would be approximately 12 million fungal species on earth ^[17] ^[18]. At present, only ~1.2% (140,000) of these have been described ^[19]. Thus, many new fungi with potential nematophagous activities await discovery. Even among the known culturable fungi, new compounds with novel mechanisms of nematode-parasite action have been continuously found. For example, in the fungus *Pleurotus ostreatus*, anthelmintic compounds were recently isolated and showed potent activity against a wide range of nematode species. It is possible that there are many novel fungi and novel fungal compounds effective at controlling parasitic nematodes of plants, animals, and humans ^[20].

Over the last few years, high-throughput sequencing of the universal barcode locus for fungi (18S, ITS rDNA) has revealed great potential for identifying fungi in many ecological niches. However, the fungal community comparisons between niches with different nematodes, especially those with different phytopathogenic nematodes, have been very limited. Microbiome studies of such ecological niches could help reveal the microbial diversities responsible for the differential distributions of phytopathogenic nematodes and assist in developing holistic management strategies with multi-target modes of action to control these pests. Integration of microfluidics, robotics, and machine learning technologies in interaction studies in microcosms between the microbiome and nematodes. Such knowledge could help increase control efficiency and stress-resistance of biocontrol applications [21]. On the other hand, novel molecular markers could be developed to analyze the parasitic activities and population dynamics of nematophagous fungi. Such tools could allow us monitoring these fungi and their activities in agricultural fields.

2.2.2. Development and Integration of New Methods

To achieve successful and reproducible biological control, we must understand the ecological interactions affecting the control agent and the target. Modern technologies can help us achieve such goals. For example, real-time quantitative PCR provides an effective way to quantify and track biocontrol agents after they are applied to soil ^[22]. Similarly, genetic modifications of the biocontrol agents could be used to help the organisms overexpress traits involved in pathogenicity or nematocidal activity ^{[23][24]}.

Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of using combinations of treatments, including various cultural practices (like soil solarization and soil amendment), chemical nematicides, and biological agents in controlling PPN populations under various conditions ^[25]. These studies have revealed that soil physical chemical

properties can have a significant influence on their control efficacies. Thus, attention should be paid to develop biocontrol protocols that are specific for targeting ecological niches.

The use of nematophagous fungi as endophytes, i.e., rhizosphere colonization by biocontrol agents, is a promising strategy for implementing biocontrol of plant-parasitic nematodes. Endophytes should be relatively easy to apply as inoculants to seeds or seedlings and could therefore be established in the root system before nematodes are attracted to roots ^[26].

Finally, the unpredictability and relatively low efficacy of nematode antagonists against PPN in field conditions are major obstacles for the application of biocontrol agents for managing plant-parasitic nematodes. Part of the reasons for the differences between laboratory-based and field-based trial results may be related to the intrinsic mechanisms regulating ecosystem stability in field conditions. Application of a large number of a specific organism would disturb the balance of interactions among organisms in native niches, with the target interaction between the applied biocontrol agent and PPN in the field not realized. Thus, understanding how organismal interactions in native niches are regulated could help us develop better applications that take into account native agricultural ecosystems to ultimately produce sustainable methods of crop protection while maintaining biodiversity. Studies that evaluate the effects of coadministration of multiple partners such as nematophagous fungi, mycoparasites of plant pathogens, and plant growth promotors could help generate significant data to allow a systems approach in developing biocontrol measures to minimize the effects of nematode pests and fungal pathogens on agricultural crops [27][28].

References

- 1. Topalovic, O.; Heuer, H. Plant-nematode interactions assisted by microbes in the rhizosphere. Curr. Issues Mol. Biol. 2019, 30, 75–88.
- 2. Bongers, T.; Bongers, M. Functional diversity of nematodes. Appl. Soil Ecol. 1998, 10, 239–251.
- 3. Burros, L. The Nature and Properties of Soils, 11th ed.; Nyle, C.B., Ray, R.W., Eds.; Prentice Hall Inc.: Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 1996; p. 740.
- 4. Hassani, M.A.; Durán, P.; Hacquard, S. Microbial interactions within the plant holobiont. Microbiome 2018, 6, 58.
- Van Megen, H.; Elsen, S.V.D.; Holterman, M.; Karssen, G.; Mooyman, P.; Bongers, T.; Holovachov, O.; Bakker, J.; Helder, J. A phylogenetic tree of nematode based on about 1200 fulllength small subunit ribosomal DNA sequences. Nematology 2009, 11, 927–950.
- 6. Ragozzino, A.; D'Errico, G. Interactions between nematodes and fungi: A concise review. Redia 2011, 94, 123–125.
- 7. Barron, G.; Dierkes, Y. Nematophagous fungi: Hohenbuehelia the perfect state of nematoctonus. Can. J. Bot. 1977, 55, 3054–3062.

- 8. Jun, O.-K.; Kim, Y.H. Aphelenchus avenae and antagonistic fungi as biological control agents of pythium spp. Plant Pathol. J. 2004, 20, 271–276.
- 9. Griffin, G.; Eisenback, J.; Yancey, M.; Templeton, J. Aphelenchoides hylurgi as a carrier of white, Hypovirulent Cryphonectria parasitica and its possible role in hypovirulence spread on blightcontrolled American Chestnut trees. J. Nematol. 2009, 41, 267–273.
- 10. Nickle, W.; McIntosh, P. Studies on the feeding and reproduction of seven mycophagous nematodes on Rhizoctonia, Fusarium, and Verticillium. Nematologica 1968, 14, 11–12.
- De la Cruz, R.G.; Knudsen, G.R.; Carta, L.K.; Newcombe, G. Either low inoculum or a multitrophic interaction can reduce the ability of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum to kill an invasive plant. Rhizosphere 2018, 5, 76–80.
- 12. De la Cruz, R.G.; Knudsen, G.R.; Dandurand, L.-M.C. Colonisation of sclerotia of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum by a fungivorous nematode. Biocontrol Sci. Technol. 2016, 26, 1166–1170.
- 13. Barnes, G. Aphelenchus avenae, a Potential biological control agent for root rot fungi. Plant Dis. 1981, 65, 423.
- Knudsen, G.R.; Kim, T.G.; Bae, Y.-S.; Dandurand, L.M.C. Use of quantitative real-time pcr to unravel ecological complexity in a biological control system. Adv. Biosci. Biotechnol. 2015, 6, 237–244.
- Li, J.; Zou, C.; Xu, J.; Ji, X.; Niu, X.; Yang, J.; Huang, X.; Zhang, K.Q. Molecular mechanisms of nematode-nematophagous microbe interactions: Basis for biological control of plant-parasitic nematodes. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 2015, 53, 67–95.
- 16. Forghani, F.; Hajihassani, A. Recent advances in the development of environmentally benign treatments to control root-knot nematodes. Front. Plant Sci. 2020, 11, 1125.
- Wu, B.; Hussain, M.; Zhang, W.; Stadler, M.; Liu, X.; Xiang, M. Current insights into fungal species diversity and perspective on naming the environmental DNA sequences of fungi. Mycology 2019, 10, 127–140.
- Hawksworth, D.; Lücking, R. Fungal diversity revisited: 2.2 to 3.8 million species. Microbiol. Spectr. 2017, 5, 79–95.
- 19. Xu, J. Fungal species concepts in the genomics era. Genome 2020, 63, 459–468.
- 20. Lee, C.-H.; Chang, H.-W.; Yang, C.-T.; Wali, N.; Shie, J.-J.; Hsueh, Y.-P. Sensory cilia as the Achilles heel of nematodes when attacked by carnivorous mushrooms. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2020, 117, 6014–6022.
- Toju, H.; Peay, K.; Yamamichi, M.; Narisawa, K.; Hiruma, K.; Naito, K.; Fukuda, S.; Ushio, M.; Nakaoka, S.; Onoda, Y.; et al. Core microbiomes for sustainable agroecosystems. Nat. Plants 2018, 4, 247–257.

- 22. Zhang, L.; Yang, E.; Xiang, M.; Liu, X.; Chen, S. Population dynamics and biocontrol efficacy of the nematophagous fungus Hirsutella rhossiliensis as affected by stage of the soybean cyst nematode. Biol. Control 2008, 47, 244–249.
- Su, H.; Zhao, Y.; Zhou, J.; Feng, H.; Jiang, D.; Zhang, K.Q.; Yang, J. Trapping devices of nematode-trapping fungi: Formation, evolution, and genomic perspectives. Biol. Rev. 2017, 92, 357–368.
- Liang, L.M.; Zou, C.G.; Xu, J.; Zhang, K.Q. Signal pathways involved in microbe—Nematode interactions provide new insights into the biocontrol of plant-parasitic nematodes. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 2019, 374, 20180317.
- Zhang, Y.; Zhang, K.-Q.; Hyde, K. The ecology of nematophagous fungi in natural environments. In Nematode-Trapping Fungi; Zhang, K.-Q., Hyde, K.D., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2014; pp. 211–229.
- Escudero, N.; Lopez-Llorca, L. Effects on plant growth and root-knot nematode infection of an endophytic GFP transformant of the nematophagous fungus Pochonia chlamydosporia. Symbiosis 2012, 57, 33–42.
- Luns, F.; Assis, R.; Silva, L.; Ferraz, C.; Braga, F.; Araújo, J. Coadministration of Nematophagous Fungi for Biological Control over Nematodes in Bovine in the South-Eastern Brazil. BioMed Res. Int. 2018, 2018, 1–6.
- 28. Baron Cozentino, N.; Souza-Pollo, A.; Rigobelo, E. Purpureocillium lilacinum and Metarhizium marquandii as plant growth-promoting fungi. PeerJ 2020, 8, e9005.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/6646