# **Applications of 3D Printing in Cosmetics**

Subjects: Others

Contributor: Yimeng Jiao, Milica Stevic, Asma Buanz, Md Jasim Uddin, Slobodanka Tamburic

3D printing (3DP) is a manufacturing technology that produces 3D objects from a design file using layer-by-layer deposition of material. It has already found applications in the healthcare and pharmaceutical industries. There are potential uses for 3DP in the cosmetic field.

Keywords: cosmetic active ingredients ; 3D printed microneedles ; 3D printed patches ; skin delivery

### 1. Introduction

Stratum corneum (SC) acts as an efficient barrier against physical, chemical, and microbiological xenophobes, preventing their penetration into the skin. However, this excellent barrier is a limiting factor for the penetration of cosmetic active ingredients (also known as actives) into the skin. Skin delivery from topical formulations is known to be very inefficient, with typical bioavailability of less than 2% of the applied dose <sup>[1]</sup>. A good example is caffeine, a well-studied cosmetic and pharmaceutical active ingredient, also a model hydrophilic compound in skin toxicology. Summarising a series of studies conducted with different topical caffeine formulations, a review article <sup>[2]</sup> has established that the highest penetration from conventional ointment formulations was only 0.0062%.

Therefore, it is crucial to explore all available means for more efficient delivery of topical (cosmetic and pharmaceutical) active ingredients into the skin. Many technologies have been studied and developed so far, including penetration enhancers, supersaturation, and a wide range of skin delivery systems (e.g., liposomes, niosomes, transfersomes, lipid nanoparticles, polymeric microparticles and nanoparticles, patches, and microneedles). One of the relatively recent approaches is the use of 3D printed platforms (carriers).

3D printing (3DP) is a manufacturing technology that produces 3D objects from a design file using layer-by-layer deposition of material. It offers some advantages over traditional manufacturing techniques, such as one-step fabrication and customisation <sup>[3]</sup>. In addition, 3D printing has shown potential in increasing skin delivery efficacy and user compliance <sup>[4]</sup>.

The healthcare and medical industry has already benefited from 3DP with versatile applications, from 3D printed pharmaceuticals in solid and semisolid forms <sup>[5][6]</sup>, to those with complex release profiles <sup>[Z]</sup>. In addition, there are 3D printed medical devices, such as patient-specific implants and hydrogel grid wound dressings <sup>[8][9]</sup>, many of them approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) <sup>[10]</sup>.

However, the number of applications of 3DP in skin delivery is relatively low, with limited choice of 3DP-specific materials being the biggest obstacle. This is because specific physico-chemical properties, such as photosensitivity or thermal sensitivity, are required for the solidification process of the inks during 3D printing in order to provide the structure of 3D objects; in addition, some 3D printing technologies require the ink to be within certain viscosity range <sup>[11]</sup>. Another obstacle is high initial investment necessary to increase the production output. Extensive studies in skin delivery have only been carried out in the last two decades <sup>[12]</sup>, and have demonstrated a considerable potential of 3DP in this area.

## 2. Types of 3D Printing Technologies

Based on the process involved, the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) has classified 3DP technology into seven types, the overview of which is given in several articles <sup>[13][14]</sup>. Among these methods, fused deposition modelling (FDM) and stereolithography (SLA) have been the most popular 3DP technologies for the fabrication of skin delivery platforms. In recent studies, digital light processing (DLP) and two photon-polymerisation (TPP) were also used <sup>[15][16]</sup>. In addition, ink jet printing is applied for the loading of active ingredients in the post-platform fabrication processes <sup>[17][18]</sup>.

All currently used types of 3D processes could be classified into three broad categories: ink jet printing, extrusion-based and photopolymerisation-based, and are summarised in **Table 1**.



Table 1. Three categories of common 3DP technologies [13][14][19].

### 3. Types of 3D Printed Delivery Platforms

Skin patches and microneedles (MNs) have emerged as the two main types of 3D printed platforms. Due to the same principles of skin delivery of cosmetic and topical pharmaceutical formulations, the developments in both will be reviewed in this section.

• Skin patches

Skin patches are the most used and studied among all device-based skin delivery systems. They have a long history for treating skin conditions <sup>[20]</sup> and have also been used for transdermal delivery. A recent review article reported research work conducted on conventional skin patches, in terms of their active ingredients, materials, delivery enhancers, characterisation methods, and results <sup>[21]</sup>. Standard methods for the fabrication of skin patches include solvent, hydrogel, and hot melt-based means <sup>[22]</sup>.

Patches closely adhere to the skin and could be designed with or without separate adhesive support, which will be either loaded with active ingredients or saturated with active ingredients from the reservoir <sup>[23]</sup>, as demonstrated in **Figure 1**. The adhesive property of patches strongly affects the delivery of active ingredients, and in turn their efficacy <sup>[24][25]</sup>. They create a continuous occlusion which increases skin penetration by providing a strong driving force for the diffusion of active ingredients <sup>[26]</sup>.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of three types of conventional multi-layered skin patches.

Theoretically, cosmetic patches have the potential to tackle many cosmetic skin problems, such as wrinkles, pigmentation, and the effects of aging <sup>[27]</sup>. The main disadvantage of conventional patches is the low quantity of active ingredients that could be loaded and delivered. The mechanism of drug absorption from a patch-like device starts with its release from the patch, its penetration and then storage in the stratum corneum, at which point it might crystalise and prevent further transport <sup>[28]</sup>. The next stage is diffusion of the active to the deeper layers of skin, and, if applicable, into the systemic circulation, causing a controlled delay of the therapeutic effect <sup>[28]</sup>. Another disadvantage is a possible inconsistent diffusion rate of the active ingredient from skin patches, which depends on the skin condition of individuals. This includes skin hydration state, age, and ethnicity, with the SC being a rate-limiting barrier. In addition, the delivery strongly depends on the type and physicochemical properties of the active <sup>[3]</sup>.

Conventional adhesive patches have multilayer structures and are classified by the layer in which the drug/active has been loaded <sup>[24][25][29][30]</sup>, as shown in **Figure 1**. Therefore, the fabrication involves a multi-stage process <sup>[31]</sup>. In contrast, 3D printed patches are mostly made of one material in a single layer, although there is a potential for the multi-layered printing. Due to the flexible nature of the 3D printed patches, researchers have been exploring the potential of drug-loaded 3DP mesh or 3DP grid patches for implantation within tissues and to support organs <sup>[32]</sup>.

Overall, the development of 3DP patch platforms is an ongoing research area, with a potential to improve current skin delivery designs.

• Microneedles

Microneedles (MNs) have evolved as a hybrid of two conventional skin delivery systems, skin patches and hypodermic injection needles, with some advantages of both, such as ease of administration, being minimally invasive, and enabling high bioavailability of active ingredients <sup>[33]</sup>. An MN platform is typically composed of micro-sized, needle-like structures attached to a patch (backing) for ease of application. This delivery platform is sometimes referred to as a MNP (microneedle patch). In comparison to patches and semisolid topical formulations, microneedles are more versatile and more efficient in delivering active ingredients into deeper layers of the skin. Instead of relying on passive diffusion, microneedles can actively enhance the delivery by piercing the epidermis (0.1–0.2 mm) and, if so designed, the dermis (1–2 mm), creating microscopic channels <sup>[34]</sup>. These microscopic punctures could overcome the skin barrier and provide an alternative route for enhanced transport of drug or cosmetic active in a painless, minimally invasive manner <sup>[35]</sup>. **Table 2** provides a summary of the common types of MNs. Some novel MNs not only serve as a delivery platform, but also as a wearable therapeutic device for real time monitoring <sup>[36]</sup>.

Class	Type of Delivery	Loading of Actives	Delivery Mechanism	Pros	Cons
Solid	Poke with patch	In separate topical formulations, before or after insertion of MNs	Creates transient pores, then passive diffusion	Excellent mechanical properties	Two-step- application
Coated	Coat and poke	Layer-by-layer, e.g., dip coating, spin coating	From coated layers on the surface of MNs	Efficient drug delivery with precise amount	Low drug loading
Dissolving/separable	Poke and release	Encapsulated within hydrophilic polymer matrix	Dissolve upon insertion after minutes	Safer, larger dose, no biohazardous waste, facilitate rapid delivery of macromolecules	Clogging, can be resolved by side opening on the tip

#### Table 2. Classification of MNs [37][38][39][40].

Class	Type of Delivery	Loading of Actives	Delivery Mechanism	Pros	Cons
Hollow	Poke and flow	Within liquid reservoir	Pressure-driven delivery of liquid formulations	Large amount of formulation loading	Possibility of blocking by skin tissue, complex design
Swellable (hydrogel- forming)	Poke and release	Within voids of polymer matrix	Upon absorption of skin interstitial fluid, forming continuous unblockable microchannels for active delivery	Intact removal of MNs array after use, leaving no polymer residues	Limited drug loading, low ability to perforate skin, weak mechanical strength.

A recent review paper <sup>[41]</sup> has provided a comprehensive report on the studies on cosmetic application of microneedles. It summarises MN materials and categorises MN studies in terms of the targeted skin problems.

3D printing could be used in three different ways in the manufacture of an MN platform: (1) to develop 'male' master moulds; (2) to coat active ingredients onto previously prepared MNs and (3) to print complete MN structures.

Research on 3D printed cosmetic microneedles is still in its early age. However, several published papers and patents have shown the feasibility of delivering both hydrophilic and lipophilic active ingredients by microneedles that are fabricated by methods other than 3DP <sup>[42][43][44]</sup>, proving that this concept is viable. One study has compared the wrinkle improvement by two different delivery platforms (dissolving MNs and standard formulation, both containing hyaluronic acid); after eight weeks of treatment, the MNs have shown higher effectiveness <sup>[45]</sup>.In general, all MNs enhance skin delivery via micro-channels they create, partly bypassing the skin barrier. In the case of wrinkle improvement, there is a second mechanism <sup>[46][47]</sup>: the perforations they create could induce elastin and collagen expression and deposition, stimulating the metabolism in the upper skin layers, as well as the natural healing of the skin.

**Table 3** illustrates the mechanism of skin delivery of different types of 3D printed solid MNs, including coated MNs, dissolving/swellable MNs (DMNs), and hollow MNs. Further details and diagrams could be found in several review articles which focus on microneedle skin delivery platforms, including their characteristics and their typical delivery mechanisms [39][48][49].

Types of MNs	Solid MNs	Coated MNs	Dissolving MNs	Hollow MNs	Swellable MNs
Just before insertion					
After application					

Table 3. Schematic diagrams of common types of 3D printed MNs before and after application.

There is an argument that dissolvable MNs (DMNs) might not be an ideal platform for cosmetic use, due to the potential loss of hydration through the perforations made in the skin. However, it has been shown that a novel design of DMNs, loaded with a barrier-restoring active ingredient, horse oil, has significantly improved dermal density, skin elasticity and moisturisation level <sup>[50]</sup>.

Very few published studies have reported a detailed 3DP manufacture of the dissolving MNs, and none has studied the use of sugar-based biopolymers <sup>[51]</sup>. It remains challenging to fabricate DMNs with 3DP other than by micro-moulding methods, due to the lack of the printability of dissolvable polymers.

#### **3.1. Fabrication Methods**

Conventional MN fabrication methods can be classified into several categories: (1) moulding method, (2) lithography, (3) droplet-born air blowing (DAB) method, some followed by coating or deposition process to produce coated MNs <sup>[33][49][52]</sup> [53][54].

As described by Kim et al., DAB was a popular DMN fabrication method <sup>[55]</sup>, adopted by many researchers who have successfully fabricated DMNs and assessed their effects in improving skin delivery of cosmetic active ingredients <sup>[56][57][58]</sup> <sup>[59]</sup>. This method was gradually abandoned with the development of centrifugal lithography (CL) <sup>[60]</sup> for DMN fabrication. Due to the self-shaping nature of viscous polymer solution, continuous transformation under centrifugal force is induced in the CL process. Polymer drops dispense on the inner plate of two parallel fixed plates, and, upon the separation of plates, DMNs with two different shapes have been formed on the top and bottom plates, respectively. Morphological observation, fracture force analysis, and in vitro skin penetration tests have shown that both DMNs platforms could achieve an efficient diffusion and permeation of active ingredients through the skin <sup>[61]</sup>. It is worth mentioning that no additional environmental stimulation is required for producing DMNs using CL. The usual problems related to other fabrication methods, such as the loss of activity of cosmetic ingredients when exposed to UV irradiation, heat, and air, do not exist in CL. However, CL-produced MN shapes are extremely limited, with little variation of the natural droplet shape, which points to the necessity of studying the use of 3DP technology in the fabrication of DMNs.

Apart from the use of FDM and SLA, the two most common 3DP technologies, microneedles have also been successfully produced using some novel 3DP technologies, including DLP, CLIP, and TPP.

An investigation on the use of high precision DLP for the 3D printing of hydrogel MNs in terms of the process parameters were performed by Yao et al. <sup>[15]</sup>. A dye rhodamine B was used as the model compound for the platform characterisation. Its loading was achieved through soaking of the DLP printed MN in the dye solution. The authors have concluded that the long exposure time enhances the stiffness of MNs, and that with the use of hydrogel, the drug loading capacity was greatly increased. There was also a significant decrease in the fabrication time, which only took a few minutes <sup>[15]</sup>.

The DLP printing of personalised and flexible MN patches has been extensively studied by Lim et al. <sup>[62]</sup>, e.g., the MN patches to treat the trigger finger, which is not achievable with conventional MNs <sup>[62]</sup>. Their more recent studies on printing MN periorbital patch focused on the relationship between geometries of these microneedles to their mechanical strength and skin penetration efficiency <sup>[63][64]</sup>. The fabrication involves two steps: the DLP printing of flat MN patches, which are then compressed against a FDM-printed curved substrate to generate flexible, curved MN patches. Acetyl-hexapeptide 3 (AHP-3) is a small peptide and anti-aging active, with very poor skin penetration due to its hydrophilicity and high molecular weight. With the aid of the optimised DLP-printed MN periorbital patch, enhanced anti-wrinkle effect was achieved with significantly improved AHP-3 delivery <sup>[63][64]</sup>.

Different materials and geometries with various aspect ratios of MNs were attempted by Johnson and co-workers <sup>[65]</sup>, using CLIP technology. Square pyramidal needle shape was found to be the most suitable design for encapsulating and delivering a wide range of active ingredients. That shape has been shown to effectively pierce the skin and achieve controlled release of drug, based on the fabrication process of less than 10 min <sup>[66]</sup>. Another more recent work successfully demonstrated a rapid fabrication method of DIP coating CLIP microneedles for transdermal delivery of therapeutic proteins, achieving a high degree of control over microneedle design parameters <sup>[65]</sup>.

The recent study by Cordeiro et al. <sup>[16]</sup> has shown that highly precise and reproducible MNs could be successfully manufactured using TPP technology to make silicone MN moulds. MNs with various needle shapes and lengths were then

produced by a micro-moulding method. Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) liquid blend and Polyvinyl methyl ether/maleic acid (PVM/MA) copolymer and poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) liquid blend were prepared and poured in the silicone moulds to produce dissolvable and 'super-swellable' MNs, respectively <sup>[16]</sup>.

The above 3DP technologies generally have high printing resolution and precision, producing micro-sized needle shapes highly suitable for MN-type skin delivery systems. Although significant development in this area has been made, the research is still not widely carried out due to the cost and the need of specialist equipment. It is envisaged that more extensive research will be carried out with further development of 3DP technologies.

# 4. Materials Used in 3DP Platforms

Common 3DP ink materials adopted for cosmetic-relevant application are listed in Table 4.

Material	Characteristics	Cosmetic Benefits	3D Printability
Carrageenan (sulphated anionic polysaccharide)	Simple cold-setting gelation, biodegradable, renewable, safe, low cost, viscoelastic properties, so it can be modified easily. No addition of additives or initiators required.	As stabiliser and thickener for emulsions, to achieve desired product consistency, hydration.	Extrusion method: gel strength linearly increases by decreasing printing speed and layer height, at printing temperature below ~80 °C <sup>[67]</sup> . Addition of crosslinkers, methylcellulose and cellulose nanocrystal, can improve rheological behaviour and compressive mechanical strength <sup>[68]</sup> . The pore size of 3D printed structure is adjustable, produces soft and flexible structure <sup>[69]</sup> .
Chitosan (synthetised cationic polysaccharide from deacetylation of chitin)	Low-cost production, biodegradable, hydrogel can be produced by various ways (both physical and chemical crosslinking). Controlled release of actives is possible. Low water solubility at neutral pH and low mechanical integrity of 3D printed structure.	Absorbs UV, used in sunscreens; has intrinsic antimicrobial and antifungal properties, moisture absorbing properties, acts also as emulsion stabiliser <sup>[70]</sup> .	Extrusion method and photopolymerisation method, widely used for studies on 3D-printed wound dressing due to bioactivity, flexibility, and self-adhesion properties of 3D printed patches. The addition of other biomaterial could increase the printability <sup>[71]</sup> . Chitosan was also studied as a coating for MNs, where it increased drug loading capacity <sup>[72]</sup> .
Hyaluronic acid (linear, weak polyanion, non- sulphated glycosaminoglycan)	Hydrophilic, biocompatible, and biodegradable, viscoelastic.	It possesses skin regenerating and collagen stimulating efficacy, with hydrating, anti- wrinkle, and anti- aging effects <sup>[73]</sup>	Extrusion based: widely used in wound healing <sup>[74]</sup> . 3D printed hydrogel can achieve controlled release of actives <sup>[75]</sup>

Table 4. 3DP materials (via direct fabrication only) relevant to cosmetic applications.

Material	Characteristics	Cosmetic Benefits	3D Printability
Cellulose (nano- cellulose, bacterial cellulose, and other derivatives; polysaccharide)	Most abundant biopolymer, sustainable, biocompatible, high strength, high elasticity.	Produces facial masks for prolonged release of actives <sup>[76]</sup> . Used as UV filter <sup>[77]</sup> .	Extrusion-based <sup>[78]</sup> . Direct ink writing 3DP and freeze drying to produce versatile aerogels <sup>[79]</sup> .
Collagen (protein)	Biocompatible, low antigenic, biodegradable, highly soluble at neutral pH.	Derivatives are antioxidant, UV protective, anti- aging, moisturising.	Extrusion-based, studied for wound healing. Due to the porous nature of the 3D printed structure, actives could be easily coated <sup>[80]</sup> .
Gelatin (derived from collagen)	Low toughness, various modification methods available to improve its low melting point and poor stability.	Reduces the effect of photo aging and oxidative damage. UV protection <sup>[81]</sup> .	Photopolymerisation with the addition of photo initiator <sup>[82]</sup> ; UV exposure time and shape affect the release; both can be controlled <sup>[83]</sup> .
Alginate (anionic linear polysaccharide)	Biocompatible, biodegradable. High strength.	Moisturising. Used for production of biodegradable cosmetic patches.	Extrusion based: studied for wound healing <sup>[84]</sup> .
Polylactic acid (PLA, thermoplastic polylactide)	Biocompatible, high elasticity, may cause inflammation.	As makeup products additive. For development of biodegradable novel cosmetic delivery platform <sup>[85]</sup> and for packaging <sup>[86][87]</sup> . For producing novel cosmetic emulsion <sup>[88]</sup> .	Extrusion method (FDM) to produce 3D printed specimen of cosmetic container <sup>[86][89]</sup> , also used for coated microneedles <sup>[90]</sup> .
Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, synthetic polymer)	Biocompatible, water soluble, stable to temperature variations, film forming.	Producing cosmetic delivery platforms and peel-off masks <sup>[91]</sup> , also nanoparticles for cosmetic emulsions <sup>[92]</sup> .	Extrusion method and photopolymerisation method (DLP).
Poly(vinyl pyrrolidone) (PVP, linear polymer)	Low toxicity, inert and biocompatible, brittle, low reactivity towards photopolymerisation, can be adjusted by addition of another photopolymer.	Produce metal- coated <sup>[93]</sup> and dissolving <sup>[94]</sup> cosmetic MNs.	Photopolymerisation method (DLP) <sup>[64]</sup> .

# **5.** Characterisation of 3DP Platforms

Testing for stability, safety, and efficacy is a fundamental requirement and must be carried out for both cosmetic and pharmaceutical products. In addition, there is a wide range of physico-mechanical characterisation methods, which could

help formulators in their development work, enabling predictions of how products will behave during their production, storage, and use. Some of these methods have the potential to reveal the interactions between active materials and the components of the base. This in turn could explain the observed stability issues, the rate and extent of active ingredient release and ultimately the product efficacy.

Due to the novel nature of the 3DP platforms, a possible interaction (or the lack of it) between the carrier and the active is particularly important. Two aspects of 3DP platforms should be considered: characterisation of finished 3D printed products and characterisation for optimisation of printing process (including intermediates, such as printing filaments).

In terms of the final product, researchers normally report basic physical parameters of the 3DP platforms, including their morphology, geometry, density, and mechanical strength (patch stiffness). For optimising the printing process with extrusion-type 3D printers, the most commonly listed properties for filament polymers include molecular weight, which is measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) <sup>[95]</sup>, as well as thermal properties and crystallinity, which are measured by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and X-ray diffraction, respectively <sup>[96]</sup>. These methods are used in addition to well-established standard characterisation techniques for active molecules via in vitro/in vivo permeability studies.

Regarding the 3DP patches, few characterisation methods have been used. Rheological properties, gel strength and bioadhesive properties are key to an effective 3DP patch. Texture analysers and rheometers are mostly used to determine the printability of active -loaded ink, especially important for extrusion-based 3DP technologies <sup>[69]</sup>. In addition, the pH of the 3DP patch must be suitable for topical use and its pH value, when in contact with moisture, should be close to the pH of human skin <sup>[97]</sup>.

For 3DP microneedles, the two properties that have drawn most attention are their geometry and their mechanical properties, which can be further divided into insertion force and mechanical strength (failure test), performed by theoretical simulation and/or experiments <sup>[53]</sup>.

Various geometries of MNs have been studied to achieve more defined tip of microneedles, which directly relate to the ability to perforate the SC <sup>[98]</sup>. The most common shape of MNs are cones, with different aspect ratio, height, interspace, tip diameter and base diameter <sup>[99]</sup>. There is no standard for the best geometry; in addition, the performance of MNs vary depending on the materials and 3D printers used. Therefore, each parameter must be studied in relation to various ink formulations and 3DP parameters in order to be optimised. Pyramid, cross and spear shapes are also studied, obtained by SLA or other 3DP technologies that have higher resolution <sup>[100]</sup>. Although FDM printers are easy to use, fast and cost-effective, the technique is generally not suitable for printing the fine structures of MNs. The extrusion manner of printing makes it difficult for printed layers to adhere to one another when the printing area is very small (for sharp tips). Therefore, only shapes that gradually change from the bottom to top could be printed by the FDM method.

The observation of platform morphology and the measurement of their dimensions have been carried out using optical microscopy <sup>[101][102]</sup>, scanning electron microscopy <sup>[102][103]</sup> and in vivo imaging techniques <sup>[104]</sup>. Image analysis is particularly useful, because it visualises the shape and uniformity of the MN array, allowing checking for any defects <sup>[105]</sup>.

MN platforms are normally applied by pressing them into the skin with a thumb, hence MNs must have sufficient mechanical strength to provide efficient delivery of the actives into the skin <sup>[106]</sup>. The upper surface of the skin experiences viscoelastic deformation while being perforated with an increasing force. There is a minimal force necessary to punctuate the intact skin, which must not exceed the maximum force that an individual micro-sized needle can withstand, otherwise the needle will break or fracture before piercing the skin <sup>[105]</sup>. Therefore, it is important to consider mechanical properties of MNs when designing MN platforms.

A study by Davis et al. <sup>[98]</sup> first quantified the effect of geometry to the fracture force of MNs. Their theoretical and experimental analysis both led to the same conclusion: the insertion force varies linearly with the interfacial area of the needle tip <sup>[98]</sup>. It has been proven by many further studies that the smaller the tip diameter, the easier the perforation <sup>[103]</sup>. However, the tip diameter is limited by the resolution of the 3D printer, particularly for those using FDM technology. A recent study has shown that, by varying the tilted angle of the MN arrays during the SLA printing process, the tip diameter could be significantly changed <sup>[107]</sup>. Using the printing angle of 45°, the MNs appeared not only sharper but also without defects. However, the optimisation of printing quality and geometry accuracy differs significantly between the 3DP technologies, so it remains challenging to print sophisticated micro-sized needles.

The process of insertion of NMs into the skin has been evaluated by several methods. The penetration test using the membrane that mimics human skin was employed to determine the rate of piercing and the rate of needle breakage after

the insertion  $^{[101]}$ . Another approach used dye solution applied on the surface of the skin sample, before applying and removing MNs, and analysed the coloured holes produced. In the same study, when the insertion speed was kept constant at 0.5 mm/s, the predicted minimum insertion force through a multilayer skin structure obtained through modelling by Finite Element Analysis for each MN was above 0.03 N. This was consistent with their experimental result of 0.069 N and the literature  $^{[103]}$ .

Texture analyser has also been employed with skin samples to quantify the insertion of the MN platform, by reporting the continuous force and displacement of microneedle arrays fixed on the top of a moving probe <sup>[108]</sup>. The mechanical strength or fracture point of MNs were measured in various ways. Transversal, axial, and bending forces were exerted on the MN array to determine the point of mechanical failure by mechanical testers; the shear resistance was also measured <sup>[84]</sup>. It was found that the 3D printed MNs could be refined post-printing via etching (when using FDM) <sup>[101]</sup> and post-curing (when using SLA) <sup>[107]</sup>.

Since transepidermal water loss (TEWL) reflects the integrity of the skin barrier, changes in TEWL have also been used to evaluate the effects of MNs penetration [97][109].

Comprehensive evaluation on the physico-mechanical properties of 3D printed platforms is important for their development and optimisation. For FDM-produced 3DP hydrogel patches and dissolving MNs, the addition of actives may significantly change rheological properties of the formulation, leading to a varied mechanical strength of the MNs after solidification process. In such cases, rheological characterisation is being used to evaluate and regulate their viscosity <sup>[47]</sup> <sup>[84]</sup>. For developing dissolving MNs, it is vital to understand the process of MNs degradation, since the actives are released during this process. SEM provides information on any change in porosity and formation of cracks in the MN structure, while DSC and X-ray diffraction measure the change of crystallinity of the polymer. Since the crystalline region of the MN is where the integrity of the polymer structure was maintained, amorphous regions start to degrade or dissolve first <sup>[110]</sup>.

### 6. Release and Skin Delivery of Actives Used in 3DP Platforms

This section presents a review of the release and penetration studies that have been performed on 3DP platforms in order to study them as carriers for pharmaceutical and cosmetic active molecules.

Even though a series of examples of 3D printed patches for wound healing have been discussed, the delivery mechanism is different from the one occurring in cosmetic application, since the application sites normally do not have a functioning skin barrier.

All published studies related to the use of 3D printing for the delivery of cosmetic active ingredients are summarised in **Table 5**, including potential ones. The use of standard delivery platforms (patches and MNs) is widely studied <sup>[22][41][85]</sup> [111], but very few attempts have been made with 3D printed skin delivery platforms. Some methods normally used for tissue engineering, wound dressing, and food industry might be transferable for cosmetic applications.

Cosmetic Benefits	Active Ingredient	Characteristics	3D Printed Delivery Platforms
Anti-wrinkle	Acetyl- hexapeptide 3 (AHP-3)	Peptide, hydrophilic, large MW.	DLP 3D printing of polyethylene glycol diacrylate (PEGDA) and vinyl pyrrolidone (VP) to produce personalised MN patch. AHP-3 was loaded by mixing in pre-polymer resin, but not incorporated in the polymer structure, aiming for easy release from the printed MNs <sup>[64]</sup> .

Table 5. An overview of cosmetic benefits, active ingredients and 3DP platforms investigated so far.

Cosmetic Benefits	Active Ingredient	Characteristics	3D Printed Delivery Platforms
Anti-acne (anti-microbial)	Salicylic acid	Obtained from plant extract. Beta-hydroxyl acid, small MW, potentially good skin penetrant.	Salicylic acid was loaded to polylactic acid by hot melt extrusion. 3D printed nose patch made by FDM failed due to its complex structure. Flexible nose patch was successfully fabricated with PEGDA and PEG using SLA printer <sup>[112]</sup> .
Anti-aging and anti-acne (antioxidant and anti- inflammatory properties); skin-whitening	Resveratrol	Obtained from plant extract, polyphenol phytoalexin. Skin permeation from aqueous was better than from oily system <sup>[113]</sup> .	Extrusion based method followed by freeze-drying for the fabrication of 3DP edible oleogel from emulsion containing gelatin and gellan gum. The bioactivity of actives has improved. The method has potential to produce cosmetic soft patch with resveratrol.
Skin-whitening/lightening	Hydroquinone	Inhibits melanin synthesis, side effects related to long-term application <sup>[114]</sup>	It has been used an initiator for SLA 3D printing in producing wound dressings [115]

#### References

- 1. Hadgraft, J.; Lane, M.E. Advanced topical formulations (ATF). Int. J. Pharm. 2016, 514, 52–57.
- 2. Luo, L.; Lane, M.E. Topical and transdermal delivery of caffeine. Int. J. Pharm. 2015, 490, 155–164.
- 3. Economidou, S.N.; Lamprou, D.A.; Douroumis, D. 3D printing applications for transdermal drug delivery. Int. J. Pharm. 2018, 544, 415–424.
- Menditto, E.; Orlando, V.; de Rosa, G.; Minghetti, P.; Musazzi, U.M.; Cahir, C.; Kurczewska-Michalak, M.; Kardas, P.; Costa, E.; Lobo, J.M.S.; et al. Patient centric pharmaceutical drug product design—The impact on medication adherence. Pharmaceutics 2020, 12, 44.
- Martinez, P.R.; Goyanes, A.; Basit, A.W.; Gaisford, S. Fabrication of drug-loaded hydrogels with stereolithographic 3D printing. Int. J. Pharm. 2017, 532, 313–317.
- Trenfield, S.J.; Awad, A.; Goyanes, A.; Gaisford, S.; Basit, A.W. 3D Printing Pharmaceuticals: Drug Development to Frontline Care. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2018, 39, 440–451.
- 7. Azad, M.A.; Olawuni, D.; Kimbell, G.; Badruddoza, A.Z.M.; Hossain, M.S.; Sultana, T. Polymers for extrusion-based 3D printing of pharmaceuticals: A holistic materials–process perspective. Pharmaceutics 2020, 12, 124.
- Chinga-Carrasco, G.; Ehman, N.V.; Filgueira, D.; Johansson, J.; Vallejos, M.E.; Felissia, F.E.; Håkansson, J.; Area, M.C. Bagasse—A major agro-industrial residue as potential resource for nanocellulose inks for 3D printing of wound dressing devices. Addit. Manuf. 2019, 28, 267–274.
- 9. Varaprasad, K.; Jayaramudu, T.; Kanikireddy, V.; Toro, C.; Sadiku, E.R. Alginate-based composite materials for wound dressing application: A mini review. Carbohydr. Polym. 2020, 236, 116025.
- 10. Souto, E.B.; Campos, J.C.; Filho, S.C.; Teixeira, M.C.; Martins-Gomes, C.; Zielinska, A.; Carbone, C.; Silva, A.M. 3D printing in the design of pharmaceutical dosage forms. Pharm. Dev. Technol. 2019, 24, 1044–1053.
- Bird, D.; Eker, E.; Ravindra, N.M. 3D printing of pharmaceuticals and transdermal drug delivery—An overview. In Proceedings of the TMS 2019 148th Annual Meeting & Exhibition Supplemental Proceedings, San Antonio, TX, USA, 10–14 March 2019; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 1563–1573.
- 12. Elahpour, N.; Pahlevanzadeh, F.; Kharaziha, M.; Bakhsheshi-Rad, H.R.; Ramakrishna, S.; Berto, F. 3D printed microneedles for transdermal drug delivery: A brief review of two decades. Int. J. Pharm. 2021, 597, 120301.

- 13. Trenfield, S.J.; Awad, A.; Madla, C.M.; Hatton, G.B.; Firth, J.; Goyanes, A.; Gaisford, S.; Basit, A.W. Shaping the future: Recent advances of 3D printing in drug delivery and healthcare. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2019, 16, 1081–1094.
- 14. Shahrubudin, N.; Lee, T.C.; Ramlan, R. An overview on 3D printing technology: Technological, materials, and applications. Procedia Manuf. 2019, 35, 1286–1296.
- 15. Yao, W.; Li, D.; Zhao, Y.; Zhan, Z.; Jin, G.; Liang, H.; Yang, R. 3D Printed Multi-Functional Hydrogel Microneedles Based on High-Precision Digital Light Processing. Micromachines 2019, 11, 17.
- Cordeiro, A.S.; Tekko, I.A.; Jomaa, M.H.; Vora, L.; McAlister, E.; Volpe-Zanutto, F.; Nethery, M.; Baine, P.T.; Mitchell, N.; McNeill, D.W.; et al. Two-Photon Polymerisation 3D Printing of Microneedle Array Templates with Versatile Designs: Application in the Development of Polymeric Drug Delivery Systems. Pharm. Res. 2020, 37, 174.
- 17. Pere, C.P.P.; Economidou, S.N.; Lall, G.; Ziraud, C.; Boateng, J.S.; Alexander, B.D.; Lamprou, D.A.; Douroumis, D. 3D printed microneedles for insulin skin delivery. Int. J. Pharm. 2018, 544, 425–432.
- Economidou, S.N.; Pere, C.P.P.; Reid, A.; Uddin, M.J.; Windmill, J.F.C.; Lamprou, D.A.; Douroumis, D. 3D printed microneedle patches using stereolithography (SLA)for intradermal insulin delivery. Mater. Sci. Eng. C 2019, 102, 743– 755.
- 19. Vithani, K.; Goyanes, A.; Jannin, V.; Basit, A.W.; Gaisford, S.; Boyd, B.J. An Overview of 3D Printing Technologies for Soft Materials and Potential Opportunities for Lipid-based Drug Delivery Systems. Pharm. Res. 2019, 36, 4.
- 20. Anantrao, J.H.; Nath, P.A.; Nivrutti, P.R. Drug Penetration Enhancement Techniques in Transdermal Drug Delivery System: A Review. J. Pharm. Res. Int. 2021, 33, 46–61.
- 21. Chandan, S.; Nishant, T.; Bhupinder, K.; Manish, G. Recent advancements in transdermal patches. Int. J. Health Sci. 2022, 6, 6443–6460.
- 22. Pastore, M.N.; Kalia, Y.N.; Horstmann, M.; Roberts, M.S. Transdermal patches: History, development and pharmacology. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2015, 172, 2179–2209.
- 23. Kadam, C.Y.; Muchandi, A.; Alabade, P.P.; Narwade, P.P.; Khandwe, S.R. Transdermal Drug Delivery System: A Painless Method for Healthy Skin—A Review. Int. J. Sci. Dev. Res. 2022, 7, 123–130.
- 24. Brooks, Z.; Goswami, T.; Neidhard-Doll, A.; Goswami, T. Transdermal drug delivery systems: Analysis of adhesion failure. J. Pharm. Biopharm. Res. 2022, 4, 256–270.
- 25. Cilurzo, F.; Gennari, C.G.M.; Minghetti, P. Adhesive properties: A critical issue in transdermal patch development. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2012, 9, 33–45.
- Brown, M.B.; Traynor, M.J.; Martin, G.P.; Akomeah, F.K. Transdermal drug delivery systems: Skin perturbation devices. In Methods in Molecular Biology; Humana Press: Totowa, NJ, USA, 2008; pp. 119–139.
- 27. Patravale, V.B.; Mandawgade, S.D. Novel cosmetic delivery systems: An application update. Int. J. Cosmet. Sci. 2008, 30, 19–33.
- Hadgraft, J.; Lane, M.E. Drug crystallization—Implications for topical and transdermal delivery. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2016, 13, 817–830.
- 29. Bird, D.; Ravindra, N.M. Transdermal Drug Delivery and Patches—An Overview. Med. Devices Sens. 2020, 3, e10069.
- Prodduturi, S.; Sadrieh, N.; Wokovich, A.M.; Doub, W.H.; Westenberger, B.J.; Buhse, L. Transdermal delivery of fentanyl from matrix and reservoir systems: Effect of heat and compromised skin. J. Pharm. Sci. 2010, 99, 2357–2366.
- 31. Pawar, R.; Mishra, D.N.; Pawar, N. An Updated Review on Global Pharmaceutical Formulation Developments and Future Potential of Non-invasive Transdermal Drug Delivery System. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Res. 2022, 13, 1896–1907.
- 32. Reddy, R.D.P.; Sharma, V. Additive manufacturing in drug delivery applications: A review. Int. J. Pharm. 2020, 589, 119820.
- Sirbubalo, M.; Tucak, A.; Muhamedagic, K.; Hindija, L.; Rahić, O.; Hadžiabdić, J.; Cekic, A.; Begic-Hajdarevic, D.; Husic, M.C.; Dervišević, A.; et al. 3D Printing—A "Touch-Button" Approach to Manufacture Microneedles for Transdermal Drug Delivery. Pharmaceutics 2021, 13, 924.
- Hirao, T. Structure and function of skin from a cosmetic aspect. In Cosmetic Science and Technology: Theoretical Principles and Applications; Sakamoto, K., Lochhead, R., Maibach, H., Yamashita, Y., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2017; pp. 673–683.
- 35. Kochhar, J.S.; Tan, J.J.Y.; Kwang, Y.C.; Kang, L. Microneedles for Transdermal Drug Delivery; Springer International Publishing AG: Cham, Switzerland, 2019.
- 36. Teymourian, H.; Tehrani, F.; Mahato, K.; Wang, J. Lab under the Skin: Microneedle Based Wearable Devices. Adv. Healthc. Mater. 2021, 10, e2002255.

- 37. Fonseca, D.F.S.; Vilela, C.; Silvestre, A.J.D.; Freire, C.S.R. A compendium of current developments on polysaccharide and protein-based microneedles. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2019, 136, 704–728.
- 38. Guillot, A.J.; Cordeiro, A.S.; Donnelly, R.F.; Montesinos, M.C.; Garrigues, T.M.; Melero, A. Microneedle-based delivery: An overview of current applications and trends. Pharmaceutics 2020, 12, 569.
- Zhu, D.D.; Zhang, X.P.; Zhang, B.L.; Hao, Y.Y.; Guo, X.D. Safety Assessment of Microneedle Technology for Transdermal Drug Delivery: A Review. Adv. Ther. 2020, 3, 2000033.
- 40. Aldawood, F.K.; Andar, A.; Desai, S. A Comprehensive Review of Microneedles: Types, Materials, Processes, Characterizations and Applications. Polymers 2021, 13, 2815.
- 41. Huang, Y.; Yu, H.; Wang, L.; Shen, D.; Ni, Z.; Ren, S.; Lu, Y.; Chen, X.; Yang, J.; Hong, Y. Research progress on cosmetic microneedle systems: Preparation, property and application. Eur. Polym. J. 2022, 163, 110942.
- 42. Markiewicz, A.; Zasada, M.; Erkiert-Polguj, A.; Wieckowska-Szakiel, M.; Budzisz, E. An evaluation of the antiaging properties of strawberry hydrolysate treatment enriched with L-ascorbic acid applied with microneedle mesotherapy. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2019, 18, 129–135.
- Serrano-Castañeda, P.; Escobar-Chávez, J.J.; Rodríguez-Cruz, I.M.; Melgoza-Contreras, L.M.; Martínez-Hernández, J. Microneedles as enhancer of drug absorption through the skin and applications in medicine and cosmetology. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci. 2018, 21, 73–93.
- 44. Cohen, I.D.; Bratescu, D.; Althea, K.E.; Thomas, M. Dissolvable Microneedles Comprising One or More Encapsulated Cosmetic Ingredients. U.S. Patent Application No. US20140200509A1, 17 July 2014.
- 45. Choi, S.Y.; Kwon, H.J.; Ahn, G.R.; Ko, E.J.; Yoo, K.H.; Kim, B.J.; Lee, C.; Kim, D. Hyaluronic acid microneedle patch for the improvement of crow's feet wrinkles. Dermatol. Ther. 2017, 30, e12546.
- 46. Bhatnagar, S.; Dave, K.; Venuganti, V.V.K. Microneedles in the clinic. J. Control. Release 2017, 260, 164–182.
- McCrudden, M.T.; Alkilani, A.Z.; McCrudden, C.M.; McAlister, E.; McCarthy, H.O.; Woolfson, A.D.; Donnelly, R.F. Design and physicochemical characterisation of novel dissolving polymeric microneedle arrays for transdermal delivery of high dose, low molecular weight drugs. J. Control. Release 2014, 180, 71–80.
- 48. Bhatnagar, S.; Gadeela, P.R.; Thathireddy, P.; Venuganti, V.V.K. Microneedle-based drug delivery: Materials of construction. J. Chem. Sci. 2019, 131, 90.
- 49. Kang, N.; Kim, S.; Lee, J.; Kim, K.; Choi, Y.; Oh, Y.; Kim, J.; Kim, D.; Park, J. Microneedles for drug delivery: Recent advances in materials and geometry for preclinical and clinical studies. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2021, 18, 929–947.
- 50. Lee, C.; Eom, Y.A.; Yang, H.; Jang, M.; Jung, S.U.; Park, Y.O.; Lee, S.E.; Jung, H. Skin Barrier Restoration and Moisturization Using Horse Oil-Loaded Dissolving Microneedle Patches. Ski. Pharmacol. Physiol. 2018, 31, 163–171.
- 51. Koyani, R.D. Biopolymers for microneedle synthesis: From then to now. Biomanufacturing Rev. 2019, 4, 1.
- Lee, K.; Lee, H.C.; Lee, D.; Jung, H. Drawing Lithography: Three-Dimensional Fabrication of an Ultrahigh-Aspect-Ratio Microneedle. Adv. Mater. 2010, 22, 483–486.
- 53. Ebrahiminejad, V.; Rad, Z.F.; Prewett, P.D.; Davies, G.J. Fabrication and testing of polymer microneedles for transdermal drug delivery. Beilstein J. Nanotechnol. 2022, 13, 629–640.
- 54. Sonetha, V.; Majumdar, S.; Shah, S. Step-wise micro-fabrication techniques of microneedle arrays with applications in transdermal drug delivery—A review. J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol. 2022, 68, 103119.
- 55. Kim, J.D.; Kim, M.; Yang, H.; Lee, K.; Jung, H. Droplet-born air blowing: Novel dissolving microneedle fabrication. J. Control. Release 2013, 170, 430–436.
- 56. Kim, M.; Yang, H.; Kim, H.; Jung, H.; Jung, H. Novel cosmetic patches for wrinkle improvement: Retinyl retinoate- and ascorbic acid-loaded dissolving microneedles. Int. J. Cosmet. Sci. 2014, 36, 207–212.
- 57. Kim, S.; Yang, H.; Kim, M.; Baek, J.H.; Kim, S.J.; An, S.M.; Koh, J.S.; Seo, R.; Jung, H. 4-n-butylresorcinol dissolving microneedle patch for skin depigmentation: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2016, 15, 16–23.
- 58. Kim, S.; Dangol, M.; Kang, G.; Lahiji, S.F.; Yang, H.; Jang, M.; Ma, Y.; Li, C.; Lee, S.G.; Kim, C.H.; et al. Enhanced Transdermal Delivery by Combined Application of Dissolving Microneedle Patch on Serum-Treated Skin. Mol. Pharm. 2017, 14, 2024–2031.
- Lee, C.; Yang, H.; Kim, S.; Kim, M.; Kang, H.; Kim, N.; An, S.; Koh, J.; Jung, H. Evaluation of the anti-wrinkle effect of an ascorbic acid-loaded dissolving microneedle patch via a double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical study. Int. J. Cosmet. Sci. 2016, 38, 375–381.

- 60. Yang, H.; Kim, S.; Jang, M.; Kim, H.; Lee, S.; Kim, Y.; Eom, Y.A.; Kang, G.; Chiang, L.; Baek, J.H.; et al. Two-phase delivery using a horse oil and adenosine-loaded dissolving microneedle patch for skin barrier restoration, moisturization, and wrinkle improvement. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2019, 18, 936–943.
- 61. Lee, H.; Song, C.; Baik, S.; Kim, D.; Hyeon, T.; Kim, D. Device-assisted transdermal drug delivery. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2018, 127, 35–45.
- 62. Lim, S.H.; Ng, J.Y.; Kang, L. Three-dimensional printing of a microneedle array on personalized curved surfaces for dual-pronged treatment of trigger finger. Biofabrication 2017, 9, 015010.
- 63. Lim, S.H.; Tiew, W.J.; Zhang, J.; Ho, P.C.L.; Kachouie, N.N.; Kang, L. Geometrical optimisation of a personalised microneedle eye patch for transdermal delivery of anti-wrinkle small peptide. Biofabrication 2020, 12, 035003.
- Lim, S.H.; Kathuria, H.; Amir, M.H.B.; Zhang, X.; Duong, H.T.T.; Ho, P.C.L.; Kang, L. High resolution photopolymer for 3D printing of personalised microneedle for transdermal delivery of anti-wrinkle small peptide. J. Control. Release 2021, 329, 907–918.
- 65. Caudill, C.L.; Perry, J.L.; Tian, S.; Luft, J.C.; DeSimone, J.M. Spatially controlled coating of continuous liquid interface production microneedles for transdermal protein delivery. J. Control Release 2018, 284, 122–132.
- 66. Johnson, A.R.; Caudill, C.L.; Tumbleston, J.R.; Bloomquist, C.J.; Moga, K.A.; Ermoshkin, A.; Shirvanyants, D.; Mecham, S.J.; Luft, J.C.; DeSimone, J.M. Single-step fabrication of computationally designed microneedles by continuous liquid interface production. PLoS ONE 2016, 11, e0162518.
- Diañez, I.; Gallegos, C.; la Fuente, E.B.; Martínez, I.; Valencia, C.; Sánchez, M.C.; Diaz, M.; Franco, J. 3D printing in situ gelification of κ-carrageenan solutions: Effect of printing variables on the rheological response. Food Hydrocoll. 2019, 87, 321–330.
- 68. Boonlai, W.; Tantishaiyakul, V.; Hirun, N. Characterization of κ-carrageenan/methylcellulose/cellulose nanocrystal hydrogels for 3D bioprinting. Polym. Int. 2021, 71, 181–191.
- 69. Sommer, M.R.; Alison, L.; Minas, C.; Tervoort, E.; Rühs, P.A.; Studart, A.R. 3D printing of concentrated emulsions into multiphase biocompatible soft materials. Soft Matter. 2017, 13, 1794–1803.
- 70. Aranaz, I.; Acosta, N.; Civera, C.; Elorza, B.; Mingo, J.; Castro, C.; de Los Llanos Gandía, M.; Caballero, A.H. Cosmetics and Cosmeceutical Applications of Chitin, Chitosan and Their Derivatives. Polymers 2018, 10, 213.
- 71. Rajabi, M.; McConnell, M.; Cabral, J.; Ali, M.A. Chitosan hydrogels in 3D printing for biomedical applications. Carbohydr. Polym. 2021, 260, 117768.
- 72. Camcı, Y.; Türk, S.; Gepek, E.; İyibilgin, O.; Özsoy, M.İ. Fabrication and characterization of innovative chitosan/doxorubicin coated 3D printed microneedle patch for prolonged drug delivery. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2022, 139.
- 73. Bukhari, S.N.A.; Roswandi, N.L.; Waqas, M.; Habib, H.; Hussain, F.; Khan, S.; Sohail, M.; Ramli, N.A.; Thu, H.E.; Hussain, Z. Hyaluronic acid, a promising skin rejuvenating biomedicine: A review of recent updates and pre-clinical and clinical investigations on cosmetic and nutricosmetic effects. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2018, 120, 1682–1695.
- 74. Graça, M.F.P.; Miguel, S.P.; Cabral, C.S.D.; Correia, I.J. Hyaluronic acid—Based wound dressings: A review. Carbohydr. Polym. 2020, 241, 116364.
- Maiz-Fernández, S.; Barroso, N.; Pérez-Álvarez, L.; Silván, U.; Vilas-Vilela, J.L.; Lanceros-Mendez, S. 3D printable self-healing hyaluronic acid/chitosan polycomplex hydrogels with drug release capability. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 2021, 188, 820–832.
- 76. Mbituyimana, B.; Liu, L.; Ye, W.; Boni, B.O.O.; Zhang, K.; Chen, J.; Thomas, S.; Vasilievich, R.V.; Shi, Z.; Yang, G. Bacterial cellulose-based composites for biomedical and cosmetic applications: Research progress and existing products. Carbohydr. Polym. 2021, 273, 118565.
- 77. Mendoza, D.J.; Maliha, M.; Raghuwanshi, V.S.; Browne, C.; Mouterde, L.M.M.; Simon, G.P.; Allais, F.; Garnier, G. Diethyl sinapate-grafted cellulose nanocrystals as nature-inspired UV filters in cosmetic formulations. Mater. Today Bio 2021, 12, 100126.
- 78. Mohan, D.; Teong, Z.K.; Bakir, A.N.; Sajab, M.S.; Kaco, H. Extending Cellulose-Based Polymers Application in Additive Manufacturing Technology: A Review of Recent Approaches. Polymers 2020, 12, 1876.
- 79. Li, V.C.; Dunn, C.K.; Zhang, Z.; Deng, Y.; Qi, H.J. Direct Ink Write (DIW) 3D Printed Cellulose Nanocrystal Aerogel Structures. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 8018.
- 80. Xiong, S.; Zhang, X.; Lu, P.; Wu, Y.; Wang, Q.; Sun, H.; Heng, B.C.; Bunpetch, V.; Zhang, S.; Ouyang, H. A Gelatinsulfonated Silk Composite Scaffold based on 3D Printing Technology Enhances Skin Regeneration by Stimulating Epidermal Growth and Dermal Neovascularization. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 4288.

- Al-Nimry, S.; Dayah, A.A.; Hasan, I.; Daghmash, R. Cosmetic, Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Applications of Fish Gelatin/Hydrolysates. Mar. Drugs 2021, 19, 145.
- Wang, Z.; Kumar, H.; Tian, Z.; Jin, X.; Holzman, J.F.; Menard, F.; Kim, K. Visible Light Photoinitiation of Cell-Adhesive Gelatin Methacryloyl Hydrogels for Stereolithography 3D Bioprinting. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2018, 10, 26859– 26869.
- 83. Liu, J.; Tagami, T.; Ozeki, T. Fabrication of 3D-Printed Fish-Gelatin-Based Polymer Hydrogel Patches for Local Delivery of PEGylated Liposomal Doxorubicin. Mar. Drugs 2020, 18, 325.
- 84. Karavasili, C.; Tsongas, K.; Andreadis, I.I.; Andriotis, E.G.; Papachristou, E.T.; Papi, R.M.; Tzetzis, D.; Fatouros, D.G. Physico-mechanical and finite element analysis evaluation of 3D printable alginate-methylcellulose inks for wound healing applications. Carbohydr. Polym. 2020, 247, 116666.
- 85. Hajleh, M.N.A.; Al-Samydai, A.A.; Al-Dujaili, E.A.S. Nano, micro particulate and cosmetic delivery systems of polylactic acid: A mini review. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2020, 19, 2805–2811.
- Rydz, J.; Sikorska, W.; Musioł, M.; Janeczek, H.; Włodarczyk, J.; Misiurska-Marczak, M.; Łęczycka, J.; Kowalczuk, M.
  3D-Printed Polyester-Based Prototypes for Cosmetic Applications—Future Directions at the Forensic Engineering of Advanced Polymeric Materials. Materials 2019, 12, 994.
- 87. Blanco, I. End-life Prediction of Commercial PLA Used for Food Packaging through Short Term TGA Experiments: Real Chance or Low Reliability? Chin. J. Polym. Sci. 2014, 32, 681–689.
- Kesente, M.; Kavetsou, E.; Roussaki, M.; Blidi, S.; Loupassaki, S.; Chanioti, S.; Siamandoura, P.; Stamatogianni, C.; Philippou, E.; Papaspyrides, C.J.B.; et al. Encapsulation of Olive Leaves Extracts in Biodegradable PLA Nanoparticles for Use in Cosmetic Formulation. Bioengineering 2017, 4, 75.
- Ausejo, J.G.; Rydz, J.; Musioł, M.; Sikorska, W.; Sobota, M.; Włodarczyk, J.; Adamus, G.; Janeczek, H.; Kwiecień, I.; Hercog, A.; et al. A comparative study of three-dimensional printing directions: The degradation and toxicological profile of a PLA/PHA blend. Polym. Degrad. Stab. 2018, 152, 191–207.
- 90. Camović, M.; Biščević, A.; Brčić, I.; Borčak, K.; Bušatlić, S.; Ćenanović, N.; Dedović, A.; Mulalić, A.; Osmanlić, M.; Sirbubalo, M.; et al. Coated 3D printed PLA microneedles as transdermal drug delivery systems. In Proceedings of the CMBEBIH 2019, Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina, 16–18 May 2019; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 735–742.
- 91. Asthana, N.; Pal, K.; Aljabali, A.A.A.; Tambuwala, M.M.; de Souza, F.G.; Pandey, K. Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) mixed green–clay and aloe vera based polymeric membrane optimization: Peel-off mask formulation for skin care cosmeceuticals in green nanotechnology. J. Mol. Struct. 2021, 1229, 129592.
- 92. Badri, W.; Miladi, K.; Eddabra, R.; Fessi, H.; Elaissari, A. Elaboration of Nanoparticles Containing Indomethacin: Argan Oil for Transdermal Local and Cosmetic Application. J. Nanomater. 2015, 16, 113.
- Yang, S.; Jeong, J.; Lim, Y.; Park, J. Synthesis and characterization of PVP microneedle patch using metal bioelectrodes for novel drug delivery system. Mater. Des. 2021, 201, 109485.
- Park, Y.; Park, J.; Chu, G.S.; Kim, K.S.; Sung, J.H.; Kim, B. Transdermal delivery of cosmetic ingredients using dissolving polymer microneedle arrays. Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng. 2015, 20, 543–549.
- 95. Tang, T.O.; Holmes, S.; Dean, K.; Simon, G.P. Design and fabrication of transdermal drug delivery patch with milliprojections using material extrusion 3D printing. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2020, 137, 48777.
- Chaudhari, V.S.; Malakar, T.K.; Murty, U.S.; Banerjee, S. Extruded filaments derived 3D printed medicated skin patch to mitigate destructive pulmonary tuberculosis: Design to delivery. Expert Opin. Drug Deliv. 2020, 18, 301–313.
- 97. Wang, Z.; Liu, L.; Xiang, S.; Jiang, C.; Wu, W.; Ruan, S.; Du, Q.; Chen, T.; Xue, Y.; Chen, H.; et al. Formulation and Characterization of a 3D-Printed Cryptotanshinone-Loaded Niosomal Hydrogel for Topical Therapy of Acne. AAPS PharmSciTech 2020, 21, 159.
- 98. Davis, S.P.; Landis, B.J.; Adams, Z.H.; Allen, M.G.; Prausnitz, M.R. Insertion of microneedles into skin: Measurement and prediction of insertion force and needle fracture force. J. Biomech. 2004, 37, 1155–1163.
- 99. Economidou, S.N.; Douroumis, D. 3D printing as a transformative tool for microneedle systems: Recent advances, manufacturing considerations and market potential. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2021, 173, 60–69.
- 100. Yang, Q.; Zhong, W.; Xu, L.; Li, H.; Yan, Q.; She, Y.; Yang, G. Recent progress of 3D-printed microneedles for transdermal drug delivery. Int. J. Pharm. 2021, 593, 120106.
- 101. Luzuriaga, M.A.; Berry, D.R.; Reagan, J.C.; Smaldone, R.A.; Gassensmith, J.J. Biodegradable 3D printed polymer microneedles for transdermal drug delivery. Lab Chip 2018, 18, 1223–1230.

- 102. Arany, P.; Róka, E.; Mollet, L.; Coleman, A.W.; Perret, F.; Kim, B.; Kovács, R.; Kazsoki, A.; Zelkó, R.; Gesztelyi, R.; et al. Fused depositionmodeling 3D printing: Test platforms for evaluating post-fabrication chemical modifications and invitro biological properties. Pharmaceutics 2019, 11, 277.
- 103. Xenikakis, I.; Tzimtzimis, M.; Tsongas, K.; Andreadis, D.; Demiri, E.; Tzetzis, D.; Fatouros, D.G. Fabrication and finite element analysis of stereolithographic 3D printed microneedles for transdermal delivery of model dyes across human skin in vitro. Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 2019, 137, 104976.
- 104. Hao, Y.Y.; Yang, Y.; Li, Q.Y.; Zhang, X.P.; Shen, C.B.; Zhang, C.; Cui, Y.; Guo, X.D. Effect of polymer microneedle pretreatment on drug distributions in the skin in vivo. J. Drug Target. 2020, 28, 811–817.
- 105. Aung, N.N.; Ngawhirunpat, T.; Rojanarata, T.; Patrojanasophon, P.; Opanasopit, P.; Pamornpathomkul, B. HPMC/PVP Dissolving Microneedles: A Promising Delivery Platform to Promote Trans-Epidermal Delivery of Alpha-Arbutin for Skin Lightening. AAPS PharmSciTech 2020, 21, 25.
- 106. Lee, S.; Lahiji, S.F.; Jang, J.; Jang, M.; Jung, H. Micro-pillar integrated dissolving microneedles for enhanced transdermal drug delivery. Pharmaceutics 2019, 11, 402.
- 107. Economidou, S.N.; Pere, C.P.P.; Okereke, M.; Douroumis, D. Optimisation of Design and Manufacturing Parameters of 3D Printed Solid Microneedles for Improved Strength, Sharpness, and Drug Delivery. Micromachines 2021, 12, 117.
- 108. Economidou, S.N.; Uddin, M.J.; Marques, M.J.; Douroumis, D.; Sow, W.T.; Li, H.; Reid, A.; Windmill, J.F.; Podoleanu, A. A Novel 3D Printed Hollow Microneedle Microelectromechanical System for Controlled, Personalised Transdermal Drug Delivery. Addit. Manuf. 2020, 38, 101815.
- 109. Donnelly, R.F.; Singh, T.R.R.; Garland, M.J.; Migalska, K.; Majithiya, R.; McCrudden, C.M.; Kole, P.L.; Mahmood, T.M.T.; McCarthy, H.O.; Woolfson, A.D. Hydrogel-forming microneedle arrays for enhanced transdermal drug delivery. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012, 22, 4879–4890.
- 110. Tang, T.O.; Simon, G.P. Biodegradation of 3D-printed polylactic acid milliprojections under physiological conditions. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 2020, 137.
- 111. Hu, X.; He, H. A review of cosmetic skin delivery. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2021, 20, 2020–2030.
- 112. Goyanes, A.; Det-Amornrat, U.; Wang, J.; Basit, A.W.; Gaisford, S. 3D scanning and 3D printing as innovative technologies for fabricating personalized topical drug delivery systems. J. Control Release 2016, 234, 41–48.
- 113. Ratz-Łyko, A.; Arct, J. Resveratrol as an active ingredient for cosmetic and dermatological applications: A review. J. Cosmet. Laser Ther. 2019, 21, 84–90.
- 114. Siddique, S.; Parveen, Z.; Ali, Z.; Zaheer, M. Qualitative and Quantitative Estimation of Hydroquinone in Skin Whitening Cosmetics. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. Sci. Appl. 2012, 2, 224–228.
- 115. Tabriz, A.G.; Douroumis, D. Recent advances in 3D printing for wound healing: A systematic review. J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol. 2022, 74, 103564.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/80477