

Prevention of Renal Cell Carcinoma

Subjects: [Urology & Nephrology](#)

Contributor: Tomoyuki Makino , Suguru Kadomoto , Kouji Izumi , Atsushi Mizokami

With 400,000 diagnosed and 180,000 deaths in 2020, renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for 2.4% of all cancer diagnoses worldwide. The highest disease burden developed countries, primarily in Europe and North America. Incidence is projected to increase in the future as more countries shift to Western lifestyles. Risk factors for RCC include fixed factors such as gender, age, and hereditary diseases, as well as intervening factors such as smoking, obesity, hypertension, diabetes, diet and alcohol, and occupational exposure. Intervening factors in primary prevention, understanding of congenital risk factors and the establishment of early diagnostic tools are important for RCC.

renal cell carcinoma

epidemiology

prevention

1. Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for about 2.4% of all malignancies in adults, with more than 400,000 new cases diagnosed and about 180,000 deaths worldwide in 2020 according to GLOBOCAN data [\[1\]](#).

Increased detection of early RCC lesions in many patients is due to the widespread use of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and the 5-year survival rate for early RCC detection is as good as 93% [\[2\]](#)[\[3\]](#). However, there is a poor 5-year survival rate at 12% for RCC patients with metastases, and at least half of them require systemic drug therapy [\[4\]](#).

As it is generally resistant to cytotoxic chemotherapy and radiation therapy, RCC is classically considered an immunogenic tumor, and past immunotherapies have had some success, albeit inadequate [\[5\]](#). Treatment of RCC has changed dramatically from 20 years ago, when the only options were surgical treatment and inadequate immunotherapy. A wealth of options are now available for RCC treatment options, including anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) antibodies, VEGF receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway inhibitors, and immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). Patient prognosis is improving [\[6\]](#).

2. Primary Prevention

The comprehensive VITamin And Lifestyle (VITAL) study in the U.S. reported that lifestyle disease such as obesity and hypertension, and smoking increased the risk of developing RCC [\[7\]](#). Moreover, European reports also suggested that high obesity, hypertension, and hyperglycemia in men, and high body mass index (BMI) in women increase the risk of developing RCC [\[8\]](#). This section outlines primary prevention in terms of dietary habits and

smoking, which are believed to increase the risk of developing RCC, as well as risk factors such as obesity, hypertension, and diabetes, which are typical of lifestyle-related diseases.

2.1. Tobacco Smoking

Tobacco smoking has been linked to many common cancers including RCC. Tobacco smoke contains a mixture of carcinogens implicated in the etiology of RCC [9]. Epidemiologic evidence for a causal relationship with tobacco includes a dose-response relationship between risk and the amount smoked per day, and a decrease in risk with longer years of smoking cessation [10]. In the U.S., the VITAL study revealed that a 22.5 pack-year smokers had a more than 50% increased RCC risk compared to nonsmokers [7]. Meta-analysis of more than 24 research has recently shown that a pooled RR for RCC incidence is 1.31 (95% confidence interval [CI], 1.22–1.40) for all smokers, 1.36 (95% CI, 1.19–1.56) for current smokers, and 1.16 (95% CI, 1.08–1.25) for former smokers [11]. A recent report from the Japan Public Health Center-based Prospective Study (JPHC study) revealed that heavy smokers (≥ 40 pack-years) had an increased risk of RCC (hazard ratio [HR] 1.50; 95% CI, 1.01–2.25), albeit only when data for men and women were combined, although the effect of smoking on RCC incidence has not been well investigated in Asian populations [12].

2.2. Alcohol Consumption

Moderate alcohol consumption has been reported to have a protective effect on RCC incidence compared to abstinence [9]. A very large European prospective observational study examined the association between alcohol consumption and the risk of RCC within the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) [13]. The odds ratio (OR) of cancer risk was 0.72 (95% CI, 0.54–0.96) in the group consuming 12–24 g of alcohol per day, and 0.67 (95% CI, 0.50–0.89) in the group consuming 24–60 g of alcohol per day compared to the non-drinking group [13]. This suggests that alcohol consumption may have a prophylactic effect in the development of RCC. The VITAL study in the U.S. conversely found no association between alcohol intake and RCC [7]. There was a slight, but not significant inverse association between alcohol consumption and RCC incidence in the JPHC study [12]. Although a recent meta-analysis confirmed that alcohol consumption from wine, beer, and liquor is associated with a decreased risk of RCC. Statistically significant inverse associations were found for wine (RR 0.82; 95% CI, 0.73–0.91) in women, and for beer and liquor (RR 0.87; 95% CI, 0.83–0.91 and RR 0.95; 95% CI, 0.92–0.99, respectively) in men when these associations were examined by gender [14].

2.3. Eating Habits

In terms of diet, the group with a median red meat intake of 62.7 g per 1000 kcal had an increased risk of cancer with a HR of 1.19 (95% CI, 1.01–1.40) compared with the group with a median intake of 9.8 g [15]. This correlation was limited to women in another prospective study, with an OR of 2.03 (95% CI, 1.14–3.63) for cancer risk in the group with a daily red meat intake of >80 g compared to the group with a daily intake of <10 g [16].

Consumption of fruits and vegetables (especially cruciferous vegetables) has been reported to be associated with reduced risk of RCC [17][18]. However, no significant association was found between fruit or vegetable intake and

RCC risk in the EPIC study (HR 1.03; 95% CI, 0.97–1.08 and HR 0.97; 95% CI, 0.85–1.11, respectively) despite a wide range of intake ^[19], as well as in the VITAL study (HR 1.02; 95% CI, 0.71–1.46 and HR 0.76; 95% CI, 0.52–1.11, respectively) ^[7].

The risk of median daily sodium intake (3.4 g in men and 2.8 g in women) was presumably mediated by the development of hypertension ^[20], although this risk of was associated with a HR of 1.40 (95% CI, 0.99–1.97) for risk of cancer compared with 1.9 g in men and 1.5 g in women.

On the other hand, there is growing evidence that coffee can prevent several chronic diseases, including cancer and metabolic diseases ^{[21][22][23]} although coffee is one of the most widely consumed beverages worldwide. Cafestol and kahweol are natural diterpenes extracted from coffee beans and have particularly been shown to have a wide variety of bioactive properties, including anti-inflammation, anti-angiogenesis, and anti-tumorigenic activity ^[24]. Recent in vitro experimental results have revealed that anti-proliferative and anti-migratory properties of kahweol acetate and cafestol in human renal cancer cells ^[25], and anti-angiogenic properties of cafestol palmitate and kahweol palmitate in human microvascular endothelial cells ^[26]. Hence, coffee-containing compounds may have an anticancer aspect.

2.4. Maintaining an Appropriate Body Weight

The VITAL study confirmed that obesity is significantly associated with RCC risk (BMI ≥ 35 vs. < 25 kg/m²; HR 1.71; 95% CI, 1.06–2.79) ^[16]. The RR estimate corresponding to approximately 5 kg of body weight increases the risk of RCC by 25% for men and 35% for women ^[7]. Another study has been reported that not only the elderly, but also young males have an increased risk of cancer with a HR of 2.43 (95% CI, 1.54–3.83) in the group with a BMI over 27.5 kg/m² compared to the counterpart under 22.5 kg/m² ^[27]. Men with a BMI ≥ 27 kg/m² had a HR of 1.99 (95% CI, 1.04–3.81) for cancer risk in the JPHC study, compared with a BMI of 23–24.9 kg/m². In contrast, overweight women were positively associated with RCC risk, albeit not statistically significant (BMI ≥ 25 vs. 21–24 kg/m²; HR 1.55; 95% CI, 0.76–3.18). Interestingly, an increased risk of cancer was also observed in thin men with a BMI < 21 kg/m² (HR 1.86; 95% CI, 1.01–3.45), indicating a U-shaped association not seen in other countries ^[28].

2.5. Comorbidities

2.5.1. Hypertension

Hypertension was independently associated with RCC risk (HR 1.70; 95% CI, 1.30–2.22) ^[7] and evidence has been reported that hypertension predisposes to RCC. A recent meta-analysis in the VITAL study of 18 prospective studies further supports a positive association between hypertension and RCC risk. A history of hypertension was associated with 67% increased risk of RCC, and that for every 10 mmHg increase in blood pressure, the risk of RCC increased by 10–22% ^[29]. The biological mechanism underlying the relationship between hypertension and RCC remains unclear, but is hypothesized to involve chronic renal hypoxia and lipid peroxidation due to the formation of reactive oxygen species ^{[30][31]}. In contrast, the efficacy of the antihypertensive agents such as renin-angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors against RCC metastasis have been reported by a number of basic and meta-

analytic studies [32]. Hypertensive patients taking RAS inhibitors are expected to be potential chemopreventive effect on RCC due to smaller tumor size and fewer incidence of metastasis [33], and have a significantly prolonged overall survival after surgical treatment of RCC [34][35].

2.5.2. Diabetes

Type 2 diabetes has been associated with an increased risk of several types of cancer [36][37], but its relationship to RCC is still unclear. Indeed, no relationship between diabetes and RCC was observed in the VITAL study [7], while in the Nurses' Health study, type 2 diabetes was significantly associated with an increased risk of RCC in women (HR 1.60; 95% CI, 1.19–2.17) [38]. High levels of glucose among men were additionally associated with increased risk of RCC (HR 3.75; 95% CI, 1.46–9.68) [8]. Moreover, a meta-analysis of nine cohort studies indicates a positive association between diabetes and risk of RCC (RR 1.42; 95% CI, 1.06–1.91), stronger in women (RR 1.70; 95% CI, 1.47–1.97) than in men (RR 1.26; 95% CI, 1.06–1.49).

3. Secondary Prevention

Screening programs improve survival rates by detecting and treating RCC in its early, curable stages. The ideal screening modalities have not yet been determined and there are currently no diagnostic modalities for the early detection of RCC other than incidental radiological discovery although it has been postulated that screening for RCC may be a cost-effective strategy through downstaging the disease, reducing the prevalence of metastatic tumors and associated expenditure relating to systemic therapies. Some candidate molecules in blood and urine have been reported as biomarkers for RCC recently by searching serum microRNAs and urinary proteins or by metabolomics, proteomics, and amino acid profile analysis. There are no results or sufficient evaluations of screening in a large general population, and they have not reached a practical stage.

3.1. Serum and Urine Biomarkers as a Screening Modality

As an alternative to radiologic screening, the use of tumor markers with high sensitivity and specificity is desirable, but no readily available and clinically validated biomarkers for RCC screening are available. However, several urine and serum biomarkers have been proposed as potential screening tools. The collection of this biological fluid can also be attractive due to its quick, easy, and non-invasive method in clinical practice. The most promising urine biomarkers are aquaporin 1 (AQP1) and perilipin 2 (PLIN2). These concentrations are sensitive and specific biomarkers for the early non-invasive detection of clear cell or papillary subtypes of RCC [39][40]. The sensitivity and specificity of both biomarkers were 85–92% and 87–100%, respectively. This had an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.95 and 0.91 for AQP1 and PLIN2, respectively. Moreover, biomarkers can distinguish RCC from healthy controls, benign renal tumors, and non-renal urological cancers [41]. In addition, it is well known to reflect many types of kidney damage and has limited specificity as a diagnostic biomarker for RCC although urinary kidney injury molecule-1 is also significantly higher in patients with RCC than controls and has diagnostic sensitivity for RCC [42][43]. In contrast, a combined three-marker assay based on nicotinamide N-methyltransferase, L-plastin, and non-metastatic cell 1 protein was a promising novel serum marker. They were developed with a sensitivity of

95.7%, specificity of 90%. The diagnostic accuracy of AUC is 0.932 for RCC versus healthy controls. However, this assay is limited in its ability to distinguish between RCC and benign renal tumors [44]. Recently, epigenetic phenomena can regulate gene expression, particularly DNA methylation, microRNAs (miRNAs), and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs), and such changes have been reported to be frequently associated with various clinical subgroups of RCC [45][46][47]. Incidentally, miRNAs were the most widely studied in terms of potential non-invasive biomarkers for RCC, while a limited number of studies focused on DNA methylation. Due to the high specificity and diagnostic potential of lncRNAs, further efforts should be made for the wider investigation of these novel biomarkers in the future [48].

3.1.1. DNA Methylation

Alterations in DNA methylation occur early during cancer development and are observed even in the precancerous state in the case of clear cell RCC (ccRCC) [49][50], with an increased promoter hypermethylation frequency in higher stage and grade tumors [51]. The most innovative study on non-invasive RCC detection was reported by Nuzzo et al. [52], who used cell-free methylated DNA immunoprecipitation and high throughput sequencing (cf-MeDIP-seq) for sensitive detection of early-stage tumors. The researchers identified differentially methylated regions (DMRs) between patients and control groups to construct a classifier and performed cf-MeDIP-seq on cell-free DNA samples of plasma. The top 300 DMRs were able to accurately detect all stages of RCC with an AUC of 0.99 and 0.86 in plasma and urine samples, respectively. Furthermore, the plasma sample strongly discriminated between RCC and urothelial bladder cancer with an AUC of 0.98. However, due to its complexity, the clinical application of such a classification method is currently limited.

3.1.2. MiRNAs

Emerging evidence has suggested that miRNAs may be promising novel biomarkers for the diagnosis of RCC [53][54]. The pooled sensitivity and specificity of miRNAs for the diagnosis of RCC were 0.85 (95 % CI, 0.77–0.90) and 0.84 (95 % CI, 0.70–0.92), respectively according to a meta-analysis conducted to comprehensively evaluate the diagnostic potential of miRNAs in RCC. The value of AUC was 0.91 (95 % CI, 0.88–0.93), suggesting that the diagnostic accuracy of miRNAs achieved a relatively high level [55]. Another report found a new panel of 5-miRNAs (including miR-193a-3p, miR-362, miR-572, miR-28-5p, and miR-378) that can clearly distinguish RCC patients from non-cancer controls, demonstrating the value of the 5-miRNA panel as a clinical diagnostic aid to detect early-stage RCC [56]. Another study confirmed high serum miR-122-5p and miR-206 levels in ccRCC patients compared to healthy controls. Further, higher miR-122-5p and miR-206 levels were directly associated with pathological tumor-stage, -grade, and metastatic RCC, and with a shorter progression-free survival and overall survival, indicating potential prognostic noninvasive biomarkers [57]. The miR-210 is the most widely studied circulating miRNA in the case of RCC [58][59][60], and the upregulation of miR-210 combined with two other miRNAs, miR-155 [59] and miR-1233 [61] were found. All studies found that circulating miR-210 levels in RCC patients were increased compared to healthy controls despite widely differing experimental conditions. It is well known that miR-210 is expressed in response to hypoxia, mainly through HIF-1 α , a key player of renal carcinogenesis [62]. However, it is worth mentioning that upregulation of circulating miR-210 was also found in various other malignancies [63], and

further validation with appropriate controls seems to be mandatory. Urine is an attractive new promising source considering different body fluids for miRNA biomarker detection. Notably, the value of miR-210-3p has not only been demonstrated to be significantly elevated in urine samples collected from two independent cohorts of ccRCC patients at the time of surgery compared to samples from healthy donors [64][65], but also resulted in a significant decrease in response to treatment [66]. Besides miR-210-3p, recent studies have observed significantly higher levels of miR-122, miR-1271, and miR-15b in ccRCC urine specimens compared to controls [67]. Moreover, direct association was obtained between RCC size and miR-15a expression values in urine, and miR-15a expression differentiated RCC from benign renal tumors with a specificity of 98.1% and sensitivity of 100%, with an AUC of 0.955 [68]. Further, as shown by Pinho et al., the level of promoter methylation of miR-30a-5p is elevated in ccRCC and metastatic urine samples compared to healthy donors and non-metastatic ccRCC, suggesting that miR-30a-5p^{me} levels might be an indicator of disease progression and metastatic processes [69]. Cancer-suppressive miRNAs have also been identified contrary to the aberrant expression of oncogenic miRNAs in urine samples. Song et al. showed lower levels of urinary exosomal miR-30c-5p in ccRCC patients compared to controls [70].

3.1.3. lncRNAs

Recently, lncRNAs have been shown to contribute to the development of almost all cancer types, including RCC [71][72]. lncRNAs have been implicated in many processes related to cancer development and progression, including cell cycle regulation, proliferation, apoptosis, senescence, migration, invasion, and drug resistance as well as miRNAs [48]. PVT1, LET, PANDAR, PTENP1, HOTAIR, NBAT1, LINC00963, KCNQ1OT1, GAS5, CADM1-AS1, RCCRT1, MEG3, SPRY4-IT1, HIF1A-AS, MALAT1, and others [73][74][75] are the lncRNAs implicated in RCC. It has been reported that five significantly down-regulated lncRNAs including LET, PVT1, PANDAR, PTENP1, and LINC00963 in ccRCC patients when compared to healthy controls with an AUC of 5-lncRNAs panel equal to 0.90 and 0.82 for the training and testing sets of samples, respectively [76]. Moreover, the panel also significantly distinguished ccRCC from benign renal tumors. The serum levels of GIHCG [77] and LINC00887 [78] were found to be significantly elevated in RCC patients compared to healthy controls, and could be identified with a sensitivity of 87.0% and specificity of 84.8%, with an AUC of 0.920, and with a sensitivity of 67.1% and specificity of 89.9%, with an AUC of 0.803, respectively. In addition, although GIHCG and LINC00887 had a higher expression, both signatures interestingly have a background related to RCC cell proliferation and migration in vitro [77][78], and were related with advanced clinical tumor-stage and shorter survival. Thus, the specificity of lncRNAs for RCC seems to be equal to or greater than that of miRNAs and DNA methylation, and lncRNAs may have the potential to be promising and well-performing novel RCC biomarkers. The lncRNAs have remarkable potential as not only diagnostic markers but also as therapeutic targets; high expression of MALAT1 has been suggested to be a biomarker for early detection of lymph node metastasis or a predictor of reduced survival in RCC patients [79][80]. In addition, poor prognosis in RCC patients [72] is associated with TCL6, NBAT-1, SPRY4-IT1, RCCRT1, GAS5, and CADM1-AS1.

3.2. Metabolomics

Previous studies have identified metabolites of ccRCC using tissue samples evaluated with the Global Metabolomics protocol [81]. Pathways associated with these metabolites were found to be relevant for diagnosis and prediction of malignancy including glutathione, tryptophan, and glycolysis. Additionally, the glycerophospholipid, carnitine, and tocopherol pathways are potentially diagnostic, while the tricarboxylic acid cycle, nucleotide sugars, and inositol pathways are associated with malignancy [81]. Urine is theoretically an ideal tool for the study of urinary tract diseases because low molecular weight compounds (e.g., low molecular weight metabolites) can be freely filtered out. Therefore, urine metabolomics may be useful for metabolic profiling and biomarker discovery for urological cancers [82][83]. Recently, untargeted metabolomic analysis of urine samples from RCC patients and healthy subjects revealed that p-cresol glucuronide can be a diagnostic marker for RCC [84]. Furthermore, analysis of urine samples from RCC patients one year after nephrectomy revealed that isobutyryl-L-carnitine and L-proline betaine could be prognostic markers [84]. Others have reported the following [85]: five metabolites (L-glutamic acid, lactate, D-sedoheptulose 7-phosphate, 2-hydroxyglutarate, and myoinositol) in a diagnostic predictive model for ccRCC and four metabolites (L-kynurenine, L-glutamine, fructose 6-phosphate, and butyrylcarnitine) in a predictive model for clinical stage III/IV ccRCC were extracted. The sensitivity and specificity of the diagnostic prediction model were 93.1% and 95.0%, respectively, with an AUC of 0.966. Sensitivity and specificity of the clinical stage prediction model were 88.5% and 75.4%, respectively, with an AUC of 0.837 [85]. Guida et al., studied the first comprehensive metabolomics analysis of incident RCC to be conducted using a prospective design, using pre-diagnostic blood samples from up to 1305 RCC case-control pairs from five prospective cohort studies [86]. 25 metabolites were found to be robustly associated with risk after 1416 metabolites associated with the development of RCC were analyzed. The relationship between lipid metabolites and future RCC risk could theoretically capture the increased uptake of lipid metabolites due to preclinical cancer development although the majority of metabolites were classified as glycerophospholipids.

3.3. Proteomics

Proteomics studies of RCC using a variety of mass spectrometry (MS) techniques have been applied to many different types of samples, including tumor cell lines, serum, tissue, and urine [87]. A high molecular weight extracellular matrix protein that plays an important role in cellular attachment and cell spread [88], the plasma levels of fibronectin 1 (FN1), are significantly elevated in localized and metastatic RCC patients compared to a control group [89][90]. Another study suggested that FN1 mRNA expression is higher in RCC compared to normal renal tissue and correlates with advanced disease, suggesting that FN1 mRNA expression might serve as a marker for RCC aggressiveness [91]. Moreover, state-of-the-art label-free quantitative proteomics method evaluated the RCC proteome in comparison to non-cancer renal tissue. This has identified 596 proteins including von Willebrand factor, Ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase family member 3, adipose differentiation-related protein (ADFP), Coronin 1A, thymidine phosphorylase, nicotinamide N-methyltransferase, fatty-acid binding protein 5, annexin A4, laminin, vimentin, NADH dehydrogenase, metallothionein, ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase isozyme L1, and L-xylulose reductase [92] as the important cancer-associated proteins highlighted. In particular, ADFP has been suggested as a potential biomarker for diagnosis, prognosis prediction, and treatment efficacy [39]. A recent detailed quantitative proteomics identified 10,160 unique proteins, 955 of which were significantly regulated between tumor and normal adjacent tissue [93]. As a result, PLOD2, FERMT3, SPARC, and SIRPα, were

identified as the four candidate secreted biomarkers that are highly expressed proteins unaffected by intra- and inter-tumor heterogeneity [93]. In addition, SPARC showed a marked increase in urine samples from ccRCC patients and is a promising marker for disease detection in body fluids.

3.4. Lipidomics

Lipidomics is an independent and emerging field within metabolomics [94], and lipid metabolism dysfunction has been found to be associated with the pathogenesis of many diseases, including ovarian cancer [95], prostate cancer [96], and breast cancer [97]. Significant alterations in linoleic acid metabolism have also been observed in many other cancer types (colorectal, bladder, and RCC) associated with inflammatory disorders, immune responses, and cell proliferation [98]. Global lipid profiling analysis by MALDI-FT-ICR MS identified 39 lipids that most discriminate between tumor and healthy tissue or tumor recurrence and non-recurrence status [99]. Elsewhere, a lipidomic approach identified lipids that differed in presence between RCC tissue and normal cortex in the same affected area. Higher levels of ether-type phospholipids, cholesterol esters, and triacylglycerols, as well as by lower levels of phospholipids (except phosphatidylcholines) and polyunsaturated fatty acids [100] distinguished the cancerous tissues. Comprehensive liquid chromatography-MS utilizing plasma metabolomics and lipidomics was used to globally identify the plasma profiles of 64 bladder cancer patients, 74 RCC patients, and 141 healthy controls [98]. An apparent separation was observed between cancer (bladder cancer and RCC) plasma samples and controls, with an AUC by plasma metabolomics and lipidomics of 0.985 and 0.993, respectively. In addition, homocysteine thiolactone, acetylcysteine, methionine sulfoximine, 16-Hydroxy-10-oxohexadecanoic acid, 9S,10R-Epoxy-6Z-nonadecene, (10E,12Z)-(9S)-9-Hydroperoxyoctadeca-10,12-dienoic acid, avenoleic acid, and 9,10,13-TriHOME were the eight metabolites found which had good predictive ability for discriminating between bladder cancer, RCC, and controls;. Furthermore, a recent discovery-based lipid profiling study of human serum samples reported not only a 16-lipid panel discriminating ccRCC patients from controls with 77.1% accuracy in an independent test set, but also a 26-lipid panel discriminating between early and late ccRCC with 82.1% accuracy in an independent test set [101].

3.5. Amino Acid Profile Analysis

Extensive research has been conducted to determine whether serum amino acid levels can be a biomarker for RCC. One study reported that the concentrations of 26 amino acids in serum collected preoperatively from 189 RCC patients and 104 age- and sex-matched controls showed statistically significant changes in the concentrations of 15 amino acids, with a decrease in 13 and an increase in two amino acids. Furthermore, the value of AUC was 0.81, based on a logistic regression model using eight amino acids (cysteine, ornithine, histidine, leucine, tyrosine, proline, valine, and lysine). This same model also had predictive value in terms of overall survival and tumor recurrence in patients with RCC, suggesting that serum amino acid levels may be useful as a screening tool in identifying patients with RCC and predicting outcome [102]. Another study showed that logistic regression models can be constructed using serum levels of histidine, glutamine, 1-methylhistidine, and norvaline, and are particularly valuable for predicting patients with early clinical tumor-stage, low Fuhrman grade ccRCC, and for assessing prognosis in ccRCC patients [103]. The logistic regression model score based on serum levels of these

amino acids was also reported to be an independent prognostic predictor of progression-free survival in patients with ccRCC [103]. Moreover, significant differences in plasma/serum free amino acid profiles were observed between ccRCC patients and healthy controls [104]. In particular, serum histidine and plasma tryptophan were able to correctly classify 85.5% of control and 84.7% of case samples with the logistic regression model [104].

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). Global Health Estimates 2020: Deaths by Cause, Age, Sex, by Country and by Region, 2000–2019; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2020.
2. Ljungberg, B.; Bensalah, K.; Canfield, S.; Dabestani, S.; Hofmann, F.; Hora, M.; Kuczyk, M.A.; Lam, T.; Marconi, L.; Merseburger, A.S.; et al. Eau guidelines on renal cell carcinoma: 2014 update. *Eur. Urol.* 2015, 67, 913–924.
3. Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Fuchs, H.E.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2021. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2021, 71, 7–33.
4. Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2017. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2017, 67, 7–30.
5. Majidpoor, J.; Mortezaee, K. Interleukin-2 therapy of cancer-clinical perspectives. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2021, 98, 107836.
6. McKay, R.R.; Bossé, D.; Choueiri, T.K. Evolving Systemic Treatment Landscape for Patients with Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2018, 36, 3615–3623.
7. Macleod, L.C.; Hotaling, J.M.; Wright, J.L.; Davenport, M.T.; Gore, J.L.; Harper, J.; White, E. Risk factors for renal cell carcinoma in the vital study. *J. Urol.* 2013, 190, 1657–1661.
8. Häggström, C.; Rapp, K.; Stocks, T.; Manjer, J.; Bjørge, T.; Ulmer, H.; Engeland, A.; Almqvist, M.; Concin, H.; Selmer, R.; et al. Metabolic factors associated with risk of renal cell carcinoma. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e57475.
9. Capitanio, U.; Bensalah, K.; Bex, A.; Boorjian, S.A.; Bray, F.; Coleman, J.; Gore, J.L.; Sun, M.; Wood, C.; Russo, P. Epidemiology of renal cell carcinoma. *Eur. Urol.* 2019, 75, 74–84.
10. Hunt, J.D.; van der Hel, O.L.; McMillan, G.P.; Boffetta, P.; Brennan, P. Renal cell carcinoma in relation to cigarette smoking: Meta-analysis of 24 studies. *Int. J. Cancer* 2005, 114, 101–108.
11. Cumberbatch, M.G.; Rota, M.; Catto, J.W.; La Vecchia, C. The role of tobacco smoke in bladder and kidney carcinogenesis: A comparison of exposures and meta-analysis of incidence and mortality risks. *Eur. Urol.* 2016, 70, 458–466.
12. Minami, T.; Inoue, M.; Sawada, N.; Yamaji, T.; Iwasaki, M.; Tsugane, S. Alcohol consumption, tobacco smoking, and subsequent risk of renal cell carcinoma: The Jphc study. *Cancer Sci.* 2021, 112, 5068–5077.

13. Wozniak, M.B.; Brennan, P.; Brenner, D.R.; Overvad, K.; Olsen, A.; Tjønneland, A.; Boutron-Ruault, M.C.; Clavel-Chapelon, F.; Fagherazzi, G.; Katzke, V.; et al. Alcohol consumption and the risk of renal cancers in the European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition (epic). *Int. J. Cancer* 2015, 137, 1953–1966.
14. Xu, X.; Zhu, Y.; Zheng, X.; Xie, L. Does beer, wine or liquor consumption correlate with the risk of renal cell carcinoma? A dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Oncotarget* 2015, 6, 13347–13358.
15. Daniel, C.R.; Cross, A.J.; Graubard, B.I.; Park, Y.; Ward, M.H.; Rothman, N.; Hollenbeck, A.R.; Chow, W.H.; Sinha, R. Large prospective investigation of meat intake, related mutagens, and risk of renal cell carcinoma. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2012, 95, 155–162.
16. Rohrmann, S.; Linseisen, J.; Overvad, K.; Lund Würtz, A.M.; Roswall, N.; Tjønneland, A.; Boutron-Ruault, M.C.; Racine, A.; Bastide, N.; Palli, D.; et al. Meat and fish consumption and the risk of renal cell carcinoma in the European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition. *Int. J. Cancer* 2015, 136, E423–E431.
17. Zhao, J.; Zhao, L. Cruciferous vegetables intake is associated with lower risk of renal cell carcinoma: Evidence from a meta-analysis of observational studies. *PLoS ONE* 2013, 8, e75732.
18. Liu, B.; Mao, Q.; Wang, X.; Zhou, F.; Luo, J.; Wang, C.; Lin, Y.; Zheng, X.; Xie, L. Cruciferous vegetables consumption and risk of renal cell carcinoma: A meta-analysis. *Nutr. Cancer* 2013, 65, 668–676.
19. Weikert, S.; Boeing, H.; Pischon, T.; Olsen, A.; Tjønneland, A.; Overvad, K.; Becker, N.; Linseisen, J.; Lahmann, P.H.; Arvaniti, A.; et al. Fruits and vegetables and renal cell carcinoma: Findings from the European prospective investigation into Cancer and nutrition (epic). *Int. J. Cancer* 2006, 118, 3133–3139.
20. Deckers, I.A.; van den Brandt, P.A.; van Engeland, M.; Soetekouw, P.M.; Baldewijns, M.M.; Goldbohm, R.A.; Schouten, L.J. Long-term dietary sodium, potassium and fluid intake; exploring potential novel risk factors for renal cell Cancer in the Netherlands cohort study on diet and Cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2014, 110, 797–801.
21. Poole, R.; Kennedy, O.J.; Roderick, P.; Fallowfield, J.A.; Hayes, P.C.; Parkes, J. Coffee consumption and health: Umbrella review of meta-analyses of multiple health outcomes. *BMJ* 2017, 359, j5024.
22. Wang, A.; Wang, S.; Zhu, C.; Huang, H.; Wu, L.; Wan, X.; Yang, X.; Zhang, H.; Miao, R.; He, L.; et al. Coffee and cancer risk: A meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 33711.
23. Shang, F.; Li, X.; Jiang, X. Coffee consumption and risk of the metabolic syndrome: A meta-analysis. *Diabetes Metab.* 2016, 42, 80–87.

24. Ren, Y.; Wang, C.; Xu, J.; Wang, S. Cafestol and kahweol: A review on their bioactivities and pharmacological properties. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 4238.
25. Makino, T.; Izumi, K.; Hiratsuka, K.; Kano, H.; Shimada, T.; Nakano, T.; Kadomoto, S.; Naito, R.; Iwamoto, H.; Yaegashi, H.; et al. Anti-proliferative and anti-migratory properties of coffee diterpenes kahweol acetate and cafestol in human renal cancer cells. *Sci. Rep.* 2021, 11, 675.
26. Moeenfar, M.; Cortez, A.; Machado, V.; Costa, R.; Luís, C.; Coelho, P.; Soares, R.; Alves, A.; Borges, N.; Santos, A. Anti-angiogenic properties of cafestol and kahweol palmitate diterpene esters. *J. Cell Biochem.* 2016, 117, 2748–2756.
27. Leiba, A.; Kark, J.D.; Afek, A.; Derazne, E.; Barchana, M.; Tzur, D.; Vivante, A.; Shamiss, A. Adolescent obesity and paternal country of origin predict renal cell carcinoma: A cohort study of 1.1 million 16 to 19-year-old males. *J. Urol.* 2013, 189, 25–29.
28. Sawada, N.; Inoue, M.; Sasazuki, S.; Iwasaki, M.; Yamaji, T.; Shimazu, T.; Tsugane, S.; Jphc Study Group. Body mass index and subsequent risk of kidney Cancer: A prospective cohort study in Japan. *Ann. Epidemiol.* 2010, 20, 466–472.
29. Hidayat, K.; Du, X.; Zou, S.Y.; Shi, B.M. Blood pressure and kidney cancer risk: Meta-analysis of prospective studies. *J. Hypertens* 2017, 35, 1333–1344.
30. Sharifi, N.; Farrar, W.L. Perturbations in hypoxia detection: A shared link between hereditary and sporadic tumor formation? *Med. Hypotheses* 2006, 66, 732–735.
31. Gago-Dominguez, M.; Castela, J.E. Lipid peroxidation and renal cell carcinoma: Further supportive evidence and new mechanistic insights. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2006, 40, 721–733.
32. Ishikane, S.; Takahashi-Yanaga, F. The role of angiotensin II in cancer metastasis: Potential of renin-angiotensin system blockade as a treatment for cancer metastasis. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2018, 151, 96–103.
33. Makino, T.; Izumi, K.; Iwamoto, H.; Kadomoto, S.; Naito, R.; Yaegashi, H.; Shigehara, K.; Kadono, Y.; Mizokami, A. The impact of hypertension on the clinicopathological outcome and progression of renal cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2020, 40, 4087–4093.
34. Miyajima, A.; Yazawa, S.; Kosaka, T.; Tanaka, N.; Shirotake, S.; Mizuno, R.; Kikuchi, E.; Oya, M. Prognostic impact of renin-angiotensin system blockade on renal cell carcinoma after surgery. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2015, 22, 3751–3759.
35. McKay, R.R.; Rodriguez, G.E.; Lin, X.; Kaymakcalan, M.D.; Hamnvik, O.P.; Sabbisetti, V.S.; Bhatt, R.S.; Simantov, R.; Choueiri, T.K. Angiotensin system inhibitors and survival outcomes in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2015, 21, 2471–2479.
36. Pearson-Stuttard, J.; Zhou, B.; Kontis, V.; Bentham, J.; Gunter, M.J.; Ezzati, M. Worldwide burden of cancer attributable to diabetes and high body-mass index: A comparative risk assessment.

Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018, 6, e6–e15.

37. Hendriks, S.H.; Schrijnders, D.; van Hateren, K.J.; Groenier, K.H.; Siesling, S.; Maas, A.H.E.M.; Landman, G.W.D.; Bilo, H.J.G.; Kleefstra, N. Association between body mass index and obesity-related cancer risk in men and women with Type 2 diabetes in primary care in the Netherlands: A cohort study (Zodiac-56). *BMJ Open* 2018, 8, e018859.
38. Joh, H.K.; Willett, W.C.; Cho, E. Type 2 diabetes and the risk of renal cell Cancer in women. *Diabetes Care* 2011, 34, 1552–1556.
39. Morrissey, J.J.; London, A.N.; Luo, J.; Kharasch, E.D. Urinary biomarkers for the early diagnosis of kidney Cancer. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 2010, 85, 413–421.
40. Morrissey, J.J.; Kharasch, E.D. The specificity of urinary aquaporin 1 and perilipin 2 to screen for renal cell carcinoma. *J. Urol.* 2013, 189, 1913–1920.
41. Morrissey, J.J.; Mobley, J.; Figenshau, R.S.; Vetter, J.; Bhayani, S.; Kharasch, E.D. Urine aquaporin 1 and perilipin 2 differentiate renal carcinomas from other imaged renal masses and bladder and prostate Cancer. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 2015, 90, 35–42.
42. Han, W.K.; Alinani, A.; Wu, C.L.; Michaelson, D.; Loda, M.; McGovern, F.J.; Thadhani, R.; Bonventre, J.V. Human kidney injury Molecule-1 is a tissue and urinary tumor Marker of renal cell carcinoma. *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2005, 16, 1126–1134.
43. Morrissey, J.J.; London, A.N.; Lambert, M.C.; Kharasch, E.D. Sensitivity and specificity of urinary neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin and kidney injury Molecule-1 for the diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma. *Am. J. Nephrol.* 2011, 34, 391–398.
44. Su Kim, D.; Choi, Y.D.; Moon, M.; Kang, S.; Lim, J.B.; Kim, K.M.; Park, K.M.; Cho, N.H. Composite three-Marker assay for early detection of kidney Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2013, 22, 390–398.
45. Chen, W.; Zhuang, J.; Wang, P.P.; Jiang, J.; Lin, C.; Zeng, P.; Liang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Dai, Y.; Diao, H. DNA methylation-based classification and identification of renal cell carcinoma prognosis-subgroups. *Cancer Cell Int.* 2019, 19, 185.
46. Dessie, E.Y.; Tsai, J.J.P.; Chang, J.G.; Ng, K.L. A novel Mirna-based classification model of risks and stages for clear cell renal cell carcinoma patients. *BMC Bioinform.* 2021, 22 (Suppl. 10), 270.
47. Sun, Z.; Jing, C.; Xiao, C.; Li, T. Long non-coding rna profile study identifies an immune-related lncrna prognostic signature for kidney renal clear cell carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 2020, 10, 1430.
48. Kubiliute, R.; Jarmalaite, S. Epigenetic biomarkers of renal cell carcinoma for liquid biopsy tests. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 8846.
49. Minardi, D.; Lucarini, G.; Filosa, A.; Milanese, G.; Zizzi, A.; Primio, R.D.; Montironi, R.; Muzzonigro, G. Prognostic role of global DNA-methylation and histone acetylation in Pt1a clear

- cell renal carcinoma in partial nephrectomy specimens. *J. Cell Mol. Med.* 2009, 13, 2115–2121.
50. Arai, E.; Kanai, Y. Genetic and epigenetic alterations During renal carcinogenesis. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 2010, 4, 58–73.
 51. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Comprehensive molecular characterization of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Nature* 2013, 499, 43–49.
 52. Nuzzo, P.V.; Berchuck, J.E.; Korthauer, K.; Spisak, S.; Nassar, A.H.; Abou Alaiwi, S.; Chakravarthy, A.; Shen, S.Y.; Bakouny, Z.; Boccardo, F.; et al. Detection of renal cell carcinoma using plasma and urine cell-free DNA Methylomes. *Nat. Med.* 2020, 26, 1041–1043.
 53. Tito, C.; De Falco, E.; Rosa, P.; Iaiza, A.; Fazi, F.; Petrozza, V.; Calogero, A. Circulating microRNAs from the molecular mechanisms to clinical biomarkers: A focus on the clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Genes* 2021, 12, 1154.
 54. Cheng, G.; Li, M.; Ma, X.; Nan, F.; Zhang, L.; Yan, Z.; Li, H.; Zhang, G.; Han, Y.; Xie, L.; et al. Systematic analysis of microRNA biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and therapy in patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 2020, 10, 543817.
 55. Gao, Y.; Zhao, H.; Lu, Y.; Li, H.; Yan, G. MicroRNAs as potential diagnostic biomarkers in renal cell carcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 2014, 35, 11041–11050.
 56. Wang, C.; Hu, J.; Lu, M.; Gu, H.; Zhou, X.; Chen, X.; Zen, K.; Zhang, C.Y.; Zhang, T.; Ge, J.; et al. A panel of five serum mirnas as a potential diagnostic tool for early-stage renal cell carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, 5, 7610.
 57. Heinemann, F.G.; Tolkach, Y.; Deng, M.; Schmidt, D.; Perner, S.; Kristiansen, G.; Müller, S.C.; Ellinger, J. Serum Mir-122-5p and Mir-206 expression: Non-invasive prognostic biomarkers for renal cell carcinoma. *Clin. Epigenet.* 2018, 10, 11.
 58. Iwamoto, H.; Kanda, Y.; Sejima, T.; Osaki, M.; Okada, F.; Takenaka, A. Serum Mir-210 as a potential biomarker of early clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2014, 44, 53–58.
 59. Wang, X.; Wang, T.; Chen, C.; Wu, Z.; Bai, P.; Li, S.; Chen, B.; Liu, R.; Zhang, K.; Li, W.; et al. Serum exosomal Mir-210 as a potential biomarker for clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *J. Cell Biochem.* 2018, 120, 1492–1502.
 60. Dias, F.; Teixeira, A.L.; Ferreira, M.; Adem, B.; Bastos, N.; Vieira, J.; Fernandes, M.; Sequeira, M.I.; Maurício, J.; Lobo, F.; et al. Plasmatic Mir-210, Mir-221 and Mir-1233 profile: Potential liquid biopsies candidates for renal cell carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8, 103315–103326.
 61. Zhang, W.; Ni, M.; Su, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhu, S.; Zhao, A.; Li, G. MicroRNAs in serum exosomes as potential biomarkers in clear-cell renal cell carcinoma. *Eur. Urol. Focus* 2018, 4, 412–419.
 62. Fasanaro, P.; Greco, S.; Lorenzi, M.; Pescatori, M.; Brioschi, M.; Kulshreshtha, R.; Banfi, C.; Stubbs, A.; Calin, G.A.; Ivan, M.; et al. An integrated approach for experimental target

- identification of hypoxia-induced Mir-210. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2009, 284, 35134–35143.
63. Lu, J.; Xie, F.; Geng, L.; Shen, W.; Sui, C.; Yang, J. Potential role of Microrna-210 as biomarker in human cancers detection: A meta-analysis. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 303987.
 64. Petrozza, V.; Pastore, A.L.; Palleschi, G.; Tito, C.; Porta, N.; Ricci, S.; Marigliano, C.; Costantini, M.; Simone, G.; Di Carlo, A.; et al. Secreted Mir-210-3p as non-invasive biomarker in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8, 69551–69558.
 65. Petrozza, V.; Carbone, A.; Bellissimo, T.; Porta, N.; Palleschi, G.; Pastore, A.L.; Di Carlo, A.; Della Rocca, C.; Fazi, F. Oncogenic microRNAs characterization in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2015, 16, 29219–29225.
 66. Petrozza, V.; Costantini, M.; Tito, C.; Giammusso, L.M.; Sorrentino, V.; Cacciotti, J.; Porta, N.; Iaiza, A.; Pastore, A.L.; Di Carlo, A.; et al. Emerging role of secreted Mir-210-3p as potential biomarker for clear cell renal cell carcinoma metastasis. *Cancer Biomark* 2020, 27, 181–188.
 67. Cochetti, G.; Cari, L.; Nocentini, G.; Maulà, V.; Suvieri, C.; Cagnani, R.; Rossi De Vermandois, J.A.; Mearini, E. Detection of urinary mirnas for diagnosis of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 21290.
 68. Mytsyk, Y.; Dosenko, V.; Borys, Y.; Kucher, A.; Gazdikova, K.; Busselberg, D.; Caprnda, M.; Kruzliak, P.; Farooqi, A.A.; Lubov, M. Microrna-15a expression measured in urine samples as a potential biomarker of renal cell carcinoma. *Int. Urol. Nephrol.* 2018, 50, 851–859.
 69. Outeiro-Pinho, G.; Barros-Silva, D.; Aznar, E.; Sousa, A.I.; Vieira-Coimbra, M.; Oliveira, J.; Gonçalves, C.S.; Costa, B.M.; Junker, K.; Henrique, R.; et al. Microrna-30a-5p(Me): A novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for clear cell renal cell carcinoma in tissue and urine samples. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 2020, 39, 98.
 70. Song, S.; Long, M.; Yu, G.; Cheng, Y.; Yang, Q.; Liu, J.; Wang, Y.; Sheng, J.; Wang, L.; Wang, Z.; et al. Urinary exosome Mir-30c-5p as a biomarker of clear cell renal cell carcinoma that inhibits progression by targeting Hspa5. *J. Cell Mol. Med.* 2019, 23, 6755–6765.
 71. Bhan, A.; Soleimani, M.; Mandal, S.S. Long noncoding rna and Cancer: A new paradigm. *Cancer Res.* 2017, 77, 3965–3981.
 72. Liu, X.; Hao, Y.; Yu, W.; Yang, X.; Luo, X.; Zhao, J.; Li, J.; Hu, X.; Li, L. Long non-coding rna emergence During renal cell carcinoma tumorigenesis. *Cell Physiol. Biochem.* 2018, 47, 735–746.
 73. Martens-Uzunova, E.S.; Böttcher, R.; Croce, C.M.; Jenster, G.; Visakorpi, T.; Calin, G.A. Long noncoding rna in prostate, bladder, and kidney Cancer. *Eur. Urol.* 2014, 65, 1140–1151.
 74. Blondeau, J.J.; Deng, M.; Syring, I.; Schrödter, S.; Schmidt, D.; Perner, S.; Müller, S.C.; Ellinger, J. Identification of novel Long non-coding rnas in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Clin. Epigenet.* 2015, 7, 10.

75. Seles, M.; Hutterer, G.C.; Kiesslich, T.; Pummer, K.; Berindan-Neagoe, I.; Perakis, S.; Schwarzenbacher, D.; Stotz, M.; Gerger, A.; Pichler, M. Current insights into Long non-coding rnas in renal cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 573.
76. Wu, Y.; Wang, Y.Q.; Weng, W.W.; Zhang, Q.Y.; Yang, X.Q.; Gan, H.L.; Yang, Y.S.; Zhang, P.P.; Sun, M.H.; Xu, M.D.; et al. A serum-circulating long noncoding rna signature can discriminate between patients with clear cell renal cell carcinoma and healthy controls. *Oncogenesis* 2016, 5, e192.
77. He, Z.H.; Qin, X.H.; Zhang, X.L.; Yi, J.W.; Han, J.Y. Long noncoding rna Gihcg is a potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarker and therapeutic target for renal cell carcinoma. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2018, 22, 46–54.
78. Xie, J.; Zhong, Y.; Chen, R.; Li, G.; Luo, Y.; Yang, J.; Sun, Z.; Liu, Y.; Liu, P.; Wang, N.; et al. Serum Long non-coding rna Linc00887 as a potential biomarker for diagnosis of renal cell carcinoma. *FEBS Open Bio* 2020, 10, 1802–1809.
79. Chen, J.; Chen, Y.; Gu, L.; Li, X.; Gao, Y.; Lyu, X.; Chen, L.; Luo, G.; Wang, L.; Xie, Y.; et al. Lncrnas act as prognostic and diagnostic biomarkers in renal cell carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 74325–74336.
80. Wang, J.; Xu, A.M.; Zhang, J.Y.; He, X.M.; Pan, Y.S.; Cheng, G.; Qin, C.; Hua, L.X.; Wang, Z.J. Prognostic significance of Long non-coding rna Malat-1 in various human carcinomas: A meta-analysis. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 2016, 15, 10–4238.
81. Sato, T.; Kawasaki, Y.; Maekawa, M.; Takasaki, S.; Saigusa, D.; Ota, H.; Shimada, S.; Yamashita, S.; Mitsuzuka, K.; Yamaguchi, H.; et al. Value of global metabolomics in association with diagnosis and clinicopathological factors of renal cell carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 2019, 145, 484–493.
82. Kim, K.; Aronov, P.; Zakharkin, S.O.; Anderson, D.; Perroud, B.; Thompson, I.M.; Weiss, R.H. Urine metabolomics analysis for kidney cancer detection and biomarker discovery. *Mol. Cell Proteom.* 2009, 8, 558–570.
83. Ganti, S.; Weiss, R.H. Urine metabolomics for kidney cancer detection and biomarker discovery. *Urol. Oncol.* 2011, 29, 551–557.
84. Oto, J.; Fernández-Pardo, Á.; Roca, M.; Plana, E.; Solmoirago, M.J.; Sánchez-González, J.V.; Vera-Donoso, C.D.; Martínez-Sarmiento, M.; España, F.; Navarro, S.; et al. Urine metabolomic analysis in clear cell and papillary renal cell carcinoma: A pilot study. *J. Proteom.* 2020, 218, 103723.
85. Sato, T.; Kawasaki, Y.; Maekawa, M.; Takasaki, S.; Shimada, S.; Morozumi, K.; Sato, M.; Kawamorita, N.; Yamashita, S.; Mitsuzuka, K.; et al. Accurate quantification of urinary metabolites

- for predictive models manifest clinicopathology of renal cell carcinoma. *Cancer Sci.* 2020, 111, 2570–2578.
86. Guida, F.; Tan, V.Y.; Corbin, L.J.; Smith-Byrne, K.; Alcala, K.; Langenberg, C.; Stewart, I.D.; Butterworth, A.S.; Surendran, P.; Achaintre, D.; et al. The blood metabolome of incident kidney Cancer: A case-control study nested within the Metkid consortium. *PLoS Med.* 2021, 18, e1003786.
 87. Santorelli, L.; Stella, M.; Chinello, C.; Capitoli, G.; Piga, I.; Smith, A.; Grasso, A.; Grasso, M.; Bovo, G.; Magni, F. Does the urinary proteome reflect Ccrcc stage and grade progression? *Diagnostics* 2021, 11, 2369.
 88. Albelda, S.M. Role of integrins and other cell adhesion molecules in tumor progression and metastasis. *Lab. Investig.* 1993, 68, 4–17.
 89. Hegele, A.; Heidenreich, A.; Kropf, J.; von Knobloch, R.; Varga, Z.; Hofmann, R.; Olbert, P. Plasma levels of cellular fibronectin in patients with localized and metastatic renal cell carcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 2004, 25, 111–116.
 90. Yokomizo, A.; Takakura, M.; Kanai, Y.; Sakuma, T.; Matsubara, J.; Honda, K.; Naito, S.; Yamada, T.; Ono, M. Use of quantitative shotgun proteomics to identify fibronectin 1 as a potential plasma biomarker for clear cell carcinoma of the kidney. *Cancer Biomark* 2012, 10, 175–183.
 91. Waalkes, S.; Atschekzei, F.; Kramer, M.W.; Hennenlotter, J.; Vetter, G.; Becker, J.U.; Stenzl, A.; Merseburger, A.S.; Schrader, A.J.; Kuczyk, M.A.; et al. Fibronectin 1 mrna expression correlates with advanced disease in renal Cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2010, 10, 503.
 92. Atrih, A.; Mudaliar, M.A.; Zakikhani, P.; Lamont, D.J.; Huang, J.T.; Bray, S.E.; Barton, G.; Fleming, S.; Nabi, G. Quantitative proteomics in resected renal cancer tissue for biomarker discovery and profiling. *Br. J. Cancer* 2014, 110, 1622–1633.
 93. Senturk, A.; Sahin, A.T.; Armutlu, A.; Kiremit, M.C.; Acar, O.; Erdem, S.; Bagbudar, S.; Esen, T.; Tuncbag, N.; Ozlu, N. Quantitative proteomics identifies secreted diagnostic biomarkers as well as tumor-dependent prognostic targets for clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 2021, 19, 1322–1337.
 94. Vaz, F.M.; Pras-Raves, M.; Bootsma, A.H.; van Kampen, A.H.C. Principles and practice of lipidomics. *J. Inherit. Metab. Dis.* 2015, 38, 41–52.
 95. Sutphen, R.; Xu, Y.; Wilbanks, G.D.; Fiorica, J.; Grendys, E.C., Jr.; LaPolla, J.P.; Arango, H.; Hoffman, M.S.; Martino, M.; Wakeley, K.; et al. Lysophospholipids are potential biomarkers of ovarian Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2004, 13, 1185–1191.
 96. Giskeødegård, G.F.; Hansen, A.F.; Bertilsson, H.; Gonzalez, S.V.; Kristiansen, K.A.; Bruheim, P.; Mjøs, S.A.; Angelsen, A.; Bathen, T.F.; Tessem, M.B. Metabolic markers in blood can separate prostate Cancer from benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Br. J. Cancer* 2015, 113, 1712–1719.

97. Nagahashi, M.; Tsuchida, J.; Moro, K.; Hasegawa, M.; Tatsuda, K.; Woelfel, I.A.; Takabe, K.; Wakai, T. High levels of sphingolipids in human breast Cancer. *J. Surg. Res.* 2016, 204, 435–444.
98. Liu, X.; Zhang, M.; Cheng, X.; Liu, X.; Sun, H.; Guo, Z.; Li, J.; Tang, X.; Wang, Z.; Sun, W.; et al. Lc-Ms-based plasma metabolomics and lipidomics analyses for differential diagnosis of bladder Cancer and renal cell carcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 2020, 10, 717.
99. Jones, E.E.; Powers, T.W.; Neely, B.A.; Cazares, L.H.; Troyer, D.A.; Parker, A.S.; Drake, R.R. Maldi imaging mass spectrometry profiling of proteins and lipids in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Proteomics* 2014, 14, 924–935.
100. Saito, K.; Arai, E.; Maekawa, K.; Ishikawa, M.; Fujimoto, H.; Taguchi, R.; Matsumoto, K.; Kanai, Y.; Saito, Y. Lipidomic signatures and associated transcriptomic profiles of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 28932.
101. Manzi, M.; Palazzo, M.; Knott, M.E.; Beausery, P.; Yankilevich, P.; Giménez, M.I.; Monge, M.E. Coupled mass-spectrometry-based lipidomics machine learning approach for early detection of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *J. Proteome. Res.* 2021, 20, 841–857.
102. Mustafa, A.; Gupta, S.; Hudes, G.R.; Egleston, B.L.; Uzzo, R.G.; Kruger, W.D. Serum amino acid levels as a biomarker for renal cell carcinoma. *J. Urol.* 2011, 186, 1206–1212.
103. Zhang, F.; Ma, X.; Li, H.; Guo, G.; Li, P.; Li, H.; Gu, L.; Li, X.; Chen, L.; Zhang, X. The predictive and prognostic values of serum amino acid levels for clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *Urol. Oncol.* 2017, 35, 392–400.
104. Lee, H.O.; Uzzo, R.G.; Kister, D.; Kruger, W.D. Combination of serum histidine and plasma tryptophan as a potential biomarker to detect clear cell renal cell carcinoma. *J. Transl. Med.* 2017, 15, 72.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/76902>