

Escherichia coli Antimicrobial Resistance in Humans

Subjects: **Infectious Diseases**

Contributor: Chloé C. H. Smit , Maarten Lambert , Kris Rogers , Steven P. Djordjevic , Antoine M. Van Oijen , Caitlin Keighley , Katja Taxis , Hamish Robertson , Lisa G. Pont

To date, the scientific literature on health variables for *Escherichia coli* antimicrobial resistance (AMR) has been investigated throughout several systematic reviews, often with a focus on only one aspect of the One Health variables: human, animal, or environment.

antimicrobial resistance

antibiotics

One Health

risk factor

community

human

Escherichia coli

1. Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a global problem leading to untreatable infections that occurs by natural selection but is driven by antibiotic exposure in healthcare (humans), agriculture (animals, plants, or food-processing technology), and the environment (sea, soil, drinking water, and wastewater) ^{[1][2][3][4]}. The use of antibiotics in humans and animals is perceived as the major contributor to the development of AMR ^[5]. With AMR increasing and new antibiotic development stagnating, problems due to untreatable infections can be expected to increase health-related burdens, including more extended hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and death ^[6]. Investigating the interaction between humans, animals, and the environment, as well as between the different sectors involved (e.g., pharmaceutical industry, food industry, water waste companies), using a One Health approach, is of great importance in mitigating resistance ^[7].

Escherichia coli (*E. coli*) is a common commensal of the intestinal microbiota in both animals and humans ^{[8][9]} that has received significant attention in the literature ^{[10][11]} due to increasing AMR ^{[12][13]} and death associated with resistance ^{[14][15]}. *E. coli* infections are caused by extraintestinal and uropathogenic subtypes ^[16], with uropathogenic *E. coli* responsible for up to 80% of urinary tract infections ^[17], the most common infectious disease in the community ^[18]. Virulence potential varies according to molecular types of bacterial isolates ^[19]. AMR of *E. coli* is due to both intrinsic (the outer membrane and expression of efflux pumps) and extrinsic mechanisms (the acquisition of mobile genetic elements or through horizontal gene transfer that assists in capturing, accumulating, and disseminating resistance genes ^[20]). New antimicrobial resistance genes continuously emerge, leading to multidrug resistance ^{[21][22]}. *E. coli* can mobilize resistant genes more easily than other bacteria populations and act as a reservoir for AMR genes and mobile genetic elements, and is mainly driven by external factors ^{[12][20]}. It is, therefore, essential to understand the community variables leading to AMR of *E. coli*.

2. Human Variables

2.1. Antibiotic Use

Of the human-related variables, antibiotic use was most frequently reported as a variable for AMR (**Table 1**). Most reviews investigating the impact of antibiotic use on AMR *E. coli* reported a positive association ranging from general antibiotic use increasing the odds by 1.5 and use of fluoroquinolones increasing the odds by 19 times (**Table 1**). Longer duration of use was associated with increased odds of AMR *E. coli*, as was the use of multiple courses and mass administration across populations such as HIV-infected adults and young children. The use of β -lactam antibiotics was identified as the most important variable in this category, followed by (fluoro)quinolone- and cephalosporin antibiotics [23]. There were no [15][24] statistical results reported around sulphonamides, trimethoprim [25][26][27], and tetracycline [28][29] use.

Table 1. Human health variables of *E. coli* AMR among community-dwelling populations.

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Participants (Number of Studies Investigating Variable)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance Rating *
Antibiotic use	General antibiotic use	6 studies (NR)	1.51 (1.17–1.94) [15]	+
		1528 (6 studies)	1.58 ** (1.16–2.16) [24]	
		1297 (5 studies)	1.63 ** (1.19–2.24) [24]	
		449 (1 study)	1.8 (1.0–3.1) [23]	
		88 studies (NR)	2.33 (2.19–2.49) [30]	
		NR (5 studies)	2.65 (1.70–4.12) [31]	
		172 (1 study)	3.1 (1.4–6.7) [23]	
		484 (1 study)	4.0 (1.6–10.0) [23]	
		300 (1 study)	4.6 (1.9–11.0) [23]	

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Participants (Number of Studies Investigating Variable)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance Rating *
	Trimethoprim and β -lactams	140 (1 study)	5.6 (2.1–14.8) [23]	0
		179 (2 studies)	3.2 (0.9–10.8) [25]	
	Beta-Lactam	290 (1 study)	4.5 (1.8–11.0) [23]	+++
		510 (1 study)	4.6 (2.0–10.7) [23]	
	(Fluoro)Quinolone	449 (1 study)	2.1 (0.6–7.3) [23]	+
		200 (1 study)	2.6 (1.3–5.1) [23]	
		140 (1 study)	9.9 (2.2–44.6) [23]	
		290 (1 study)	19.0 (3.3–111.4) [23]	
	Penicillin	7170 (1 study)	0.9 (0.5–1.7) [23]	0
		408 (1 study)	2.7 (1.2–6.3) [23]	
		74 (1 study)	1.5 (5.4–85.2) [23]	
	Cephalosporin	200 (1 study)	2.2 (1.01–5.0) [23]	+
		408 (1 study)	2.2 (1.1–4.5) [23]	
		200 (1 study)	3.9 (1.8–8.5) [23]	
	Macrolides	7170 (1 study)	1.5 (1.1–2.2) [23]	0
	Nitrofurantoin	7170 (1 study)	1.54 (1.1–2.3)	0

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Participants (Number of Studies Investigating Variable)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance Rating *
Comorbidities	Longer duration of course (>7 days vs. <7 days amoxicillin and trimethoprim)	1521 (2 studies)	1.50 (0.76–2.92) ^[26]	0
		1521 (2 studies)	2.89 (1.44–5.78) ^[26]	
		1521 (2 studies)	0.4 (0.12–1.31) ^[26]	
	Multiple courses (>3 courses vs. 1 course, trimethoprim, amoxicillin, trimethoprim)	1521 (2 studies)	3.95 (1.06–14.72) ^[26]	++
		1521 (2 studies)	3.62 (1.25–10.48) ^[26]	
		NR (1 study)	3.64 (2.38–5.78) ^[32]	
	Mass administration	NR (5 studies)	7.8 (3.0–20.2) ^[27]	+++
		NR (5 studies)	10.2 (5.9–17.8) ^[27]	
		NR (5 studies)	17.1 (2.3–127.7) ^[27]	
	Higher dose (each 200 mg trimethoprim tablet extra and 500 mg instead of 250 mg amoxicillin)	1521 (2 studies)	1.01 (1.01–1.02) ^[26]	+
		1521 (2 studies)	2.26 (1.13–4.55) ^[26]	
	Previous/recurrent UTI	7170 (1 study)	1.3 (1.01–1.6) ^[23]	++
		408 (1 study)	3.4 (1.8–6.7) ^[23]	
		510 (1 study)	3.8 (1.8–8.1) ^[23]	
	Previous/recurrent pyelonephritis	300 (1 study)	1.7 (0.7–3.9) ^[23]	–

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Participants (Number of Studies Investigating Variable)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance Rating *
Medication use	Previous catheterization	408 (1 study)	3.3 (1.7–6.6) ^[23]	+
	Diarrhea symptoms	5144 (7 studies)	1.53 (1.27–1.84) ^[15]	0
	Diabetes	300 (1 study)	1.7 (0.8–3.4) ^[23]	++
		290 (1 study)	3.7 (1.1–12.7) ^[23]	
		484 (1 study)	3.0 (1.1–8.0) ^[23]	
	Recurrent acute pyelonephritis and a history of diabetes	300 (1 study)	4.2 (1.3–16.9) ^[23]	+
	Renal or urological disorder	7170 (1 study)	1.6 (1.0–2.5) ^[23]	–
		484 (1 study)	3.5 (1.0–11.5) ^[23]	
	History prostatic disease	510 (1 study)	9.6 (2.1–44.8) ^[23]	+
	Chronic disease	2323 (3 studies)	0.91 (0.13–6.53) ^[15]	–
	Immunosuppressive therapy	7170 (1 study)	1.5 (1.1–2.1) ^[23]	0
	Corticosteroids	172 (1 study)	24.3 (2.4–246.9) ^[23]	+
Hospitalization	Acid suppressants	4111 (3 studies)	1.31 (0.11–15.5) ^[15]	0
		NR (4 studies)	1.41 (1.07–1.87) ^[33]	
Hospitalization	Previous hospitalization	1379 (5 studies)	1.18 ** (0.78–1.81) ^[24]	+
		1163 (4 studies)	1.28 ** (0.82–	

2.2. Comorbidities, Medication Use, and Hospitalization

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Participants (Number of Studies Investigating Variable)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance Rating *		
Human living and travel variables	[23]	[15]	2.03) [24]	0	e 1), with ere were italization d odds of	
		7170 (1 study)	1.7 (1.3–2.3) [23]			
	[23]	172 (1 study)	2.9 (1.3–6.6) [31] [23]			MR <i>E. coli</i> the most Southern
		7170 (1 study)	3.9 (2.6–5.8) [34] [23]			
	[15]	449 (1 study)	3.9 (1.2–12.7) [23]			populations,
		Prior surgery	172 (1 study)			
Variable	Subcategory	Number of Participants (Number of Studies Investigating Variable)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance Rating *		
Living standards	Lower socioeconomic status	2775 (1 study)	1.33 (1.07–1.75) [34]	+		
		2775 (1 study)	2.47 (1.08–5.66) [34]			
	Day-care attendance	NR (6 studies)	1.49 (1.17–1.91) [31]	0		
	Living in Northern vs. Southern Europe	7170 (1 study)	0.4 (0.2–0.7) [23]	0		
Travel	International travel	1887 (6 studies)	4.06 ** (1.33–2.41) [24]	+++	relation +++ very on **	
		834 (1 study)	21 (4.5–97) [23]			
		NR (4 studies)	1.78 (0.64–4.98) [15]			
	To Asia	NR (12 studies)	14.16 (5.50–36.45) [35]	++		
		370 (1 study)	30.0 (6.3–147.2) [36]			

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Participants (Number of Studies Investigating Variable)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance Rating *
Health while traveling	To Africa	NR (3 studies)	0.94 ** (0.14–6.17) [24]	–
	To India	182 (3 studies)	2.4 ** (1.26–4.58) [24]	+
		NR (3 studies)	3.80 (2.23–6.47) [15]	
	Inflammatory bowel disease	5253 (20 studies)	2.09 (1.16–3.77) [37]	0
	Diarrhea	NR (4 studies)	1.65 (1.02–2.68) [15]	+
		5253 (20 studies)	1.69 (1.25–2.30) [37]	
		NR (12 studies)	2.02 (1.45–2.81) [35]	
		430 (1 study)	31.0 (2.7–358.1) [36]	
	Contact with healthcare while traveling	5253 (20 studies)	1.53 (1.09–2.15) [37]	0
	Antibiotic use	5253 (20 studies)	2.38 (1.88–3.00) [37]	+
		NR (12 studies)	2.78 (1.76–4.39) [35]	
		NR (4 studies)	2.81 (1.47–5.36) [15]	
99 (1 study)		3.0 (1.4–6.7) [36]		
99 (1 study)		5.0 (1.1–26.2) [36]		
Traveler demographics	Backpackers compared to other travelers	5253 (20 studies)	1.46 (1.20–1.78) [37]	0

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Participants (Number of Studies Investigating Variable)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance Rating *
	Vegetarian diet	5253 (20 studies)	1.41 (1.01–1.96) [37]	+
		NR (3 studies)	1.92 (1.13–3.26) [15]	
	Diet associated with risk (pastry, meals from stalls, etc.)	NR (12 studies)	1.27 (0.67–2.41) [35]	–
	Street food consumption	NR (2 studies)	0.92 (0.49–1.74) [15]	+
		NR (2 studies)	1.37 (1.08–1.73) [15]	
		NR (2 studies)	2.09 (1.30–3.38) [15]	
Protective measures while traveling [35] [37]	Raw vegetable consumption [15] [35] [36]	NR (2 studies)	0.34 (0.12–0.93) [15]	–
		NR (2 studies)	0.58 (0.33–1.07) [15]	
	Consuming bottled water	5253 (20 studies)	1.29 (0.50–3.34) [15] [37]	
		General protective measures (disposable gloves, bottled water, etc.) [37]	NR (12 studies)	
	Meticulous hand hygiene	5253 (20 studies)	1.10 (0.81–1.49) [37]	–
	Probiotics	5253 (20 studies)	1.06 (0.78–1.45) [37]	–

4. Animal and Environmental Variables

Of the animal-related variables, pets and farming were investigated in reviews for increasing the odds of AMR *E. coli* amongst community-dwelling populations (**Table 3**). All reviews reporting on pet owners reported no increased odds of AMR *E. coli*. No statistical results were reported on farming. Amongst the types of farms, poultry in the Netherlands has been identified as a probable source of genetic AMR *E. coli* transmission in two reviews identified through whole-genome sequencing [\[38\]](#)[\[39\]](#). Looking at the environmental-related variables, swimming in freshwater Risk ratio (95% CI) instead of odds ratio presented.

doubled the risk of AMR *E. coli* infection in one systematic review [23] (Table 3). No variables were identified as important in both categories.

Table 3. Animal and environmental variables of *E. coli* AMR among community-dwelling populations.

Animal	Subcategory	Number of Studies Investigating Variable (Number of Participants)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance of Rating *
Pets	Pet owner	963 (5 studies)	1.39 ** (0.89–2.18) [24][40]	–
		9403 (12 studies)	1.18 ** (0.83–1.68) [40]	
		5159 (4 studies)	1.15 (0.33–4.06) [15]	
	Dog owner	9403 (12 studies)	0.88 ** (0.56–1.40) [40]	–
	Cat owner	9403 (12 studies)	1.16 ** (0.58–2.34) [40]	–
	Rodent owner	9403 (12 studies)	1.34 ** (0.43–4.18) [40]	–
	Bird owner	9403 (12 studies)	0.91 ** (0.38–2.18) [40]	–
Environment				
Freshwater	Swimming	290 (1 study)	2.1 (1.02–4.3) [23]	0

5. Temporal Relationship Variable and AMR *E. coli*

* Importance rating refers to the statistical significance of a potential variable and/or effect size estimate in relation to *E. coli* AMR; i.e., the amount of studies within the reviews that found statistically significant results with 0 weak association and – No association ** Risk ratio (95% CI) instead of odds ratio presented. Eleven reviews investigated the temporal relation of variables and outcomes of AMR *E. coli* with antibiotic use and travel as subcategories (Table 4). Reviews showed that resistance after antibiotic use can persist for up to 12 months [15][26][41]. All cut-off points before one year were consistently associated with increasing the odds of AMR *E. coli* varying from 1.4 to 13.2. The risk of AMR *E. coli* after traveling abroad is highest in the first six weeks but decreases over time [37]. Six months [32][41] after antibiotic use was identified as the most important variable for AMR *E. coli*, followed by one and three months [32][41][42].

Table 4. Temporal relationship of variables for *E. coli* AMR among community-dwelling populations.

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Studies Investigating Variable (Number of Participants)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance of Rating *
Time after antibiotic use	One week	129 (2 studies)	7.1 (4.2–12) [25]	0

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Studies Investigating Variable (Number of Participants)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance of Rating *
	Two weeks	NR (6 studies)	1.08 (0.6–1.96) ^[42]	+
		NR (1 study)	6.12 (3.18–11.76) ^[41]	
	One month	NR (6 studies)	1.38 (1.16–1.64) ^[42]	++
		93 (1 study)	1.8 (0.9–3.6) ^[25]	
		NR (1 study)	6.20 (2.14–15.96) ^[41]	
		NR (2 studies)	8.38 (2.84–24.77) ^[41]	
		1208 (3 studies)	11.21 (7.13–17.63) ^[32]	
	Two months	14,348 (5 studies)	2.5 (2.1–2.9) ^[26]	+
		NR (1 study)	5.08 (2.70–9.56) ^[42]	
	Three months	NR (6 studies)	1.65 (1.36–2.0) ^[42]	++
		NR (1 study)	3.38 (2.05–5.55) ^[41]	
		1208 (3 studies)	10.64 (3.79–29.92) ^[32]	
	Six months	NR (1 study)	3.16 (1.65–6.06) ^[41]	+++
		1208 (3 studies)	4.76 (1.52–14.90) ^[32]	
		NR (1 study)	13.23 (7.84–22.31) ^[41]	
	12 months 11, 51, 54, 59, 60	14,348 (5 studies)	1.33 (1.2–1.5) ^[26]	+
		NR (1 study)	0.94 (0.57–1.56) ^[41]	
		10,079 (13 studies)	1.84 (1.35–2.51)	

Variable	Subcategory	Number of Studies Investigating Variable (Number of Participants)	Magnitude of Association OR (95% CI)	Importance of Rating *
1. World Health Organization (WHO)			^[15]	
		NR (1 study)	1.89 (1.04–3.42) ^[41]	
	Over 12 months	NR (1 study)	0.94 (0.57–1.56) ^[41]	–
	Time after return from travel			
	Six weeks	290 (1 study)	16.4 (3.4–78.8) ^[23]	+
	Between six weeks and two years	290 (1 study)	2.2 (1.1–4.3) ^[23]	0

Environ. Evid. 2022, 11, 8.

4. Rahman, M.; Alen, M.H.; Saito, S.; Kamel, A.; Fendous, S.; Li, A.; Shorize, F.; Khan, R.; Rahman, Z.; Pavea, S.M. Contamination of Fresh Produce with Antibiotic-Resistant Bacteria and Associated Risks to Human Health: A Scoping Review. *J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 360.

- Acar, J.; Röstel, B. Antimicrobial resistance: An overview. *Rev. Sci. Et Tech. (Int. Off. Epizoot.)* 2002, 20, 797–810.
- O'Neill, C.B.J. Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations; Government of the United Kingdom: London, UK, 2016.
- Abbas, S.S.; Shorten, T.; Rushton, J. Meanings and Mechanisms of One Health Partnerships: Insights from a Critical Review of Literature on Cross-Government Collaborations. *Health Policy Plan.* 2022, 37, 385–399.
- Jang, J.; Hur, H.G.; Sadowsky, M.J.; Byappanahalli, M.N.; Yan, T.; Ishii, S. Environmental Escherichia coli: Ecology and public health implications—A review. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 2017, 123, 570–581.
- Pouwels, K.B.; Muller-Pebody, B.; Smieszek, T.; Hopkins, S.; Robotham, J.V. Selection and co-selection of antibiotic resistances among Escherichia coli by antibiotic use in primary care: An ecological analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0218134.
- World Health Organization (WHO). WHO Integrated Global Surveillance on ESBL-Producing E. coli Using a “One Health” Approach: Implementation and Opportunities. Available online: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240021402> (accessed on 3 March 2023).
- World Health Organization (WHO). Global Antimicrobial Resistance and Use Surveillance System (GLASS) Report 2022. 2022. Available online:

<https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240062702> (accessed on 3 March 2023).

12. Poirel, L.; Madec, J.-Y.; Lupo, A.; Schink, A.-K.; Kieffer, N.; Nordmann, P.; Schwarz, S. Antimicrobial Resistance in *Escherichia coli*. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2018, 6, 4.
13. Paitan, Y. Current Trends in Antimicrobial Resistance of *Escherichia coli*. In *Escherichia coli, a Versatile Pathogen*; Frankel, G., Ron, E.Z., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 181–211.
14. Murray, C.J.L.; Ikuta, K.S.; Sharara, F.; Swetschinski, L.; Robles Aguilar, G.; Gray, A.; Han, C.; Bisignano, C.; Rao, P.; Wool, E.; et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: A systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2022, 399, 629–655.
15. Hu, Y.; Matsui, Y.; Riley, L.W. Risk factors for fecal carriage of drug-resistant *Escherichia coli*: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 2020, 9, 31.
16. Terlizzi, M.E.; Gribaudo, G.; Maffei, M.E. UroPathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) Infections: Virulence Factors, Bladder Responses, Antibiotic, and Non-antibiotic Antimicrobial Strategies. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, 8, 1566.
17. Nicolle, L.E. Uncomplicated urinary tract infection in adults including uncomplicated pyelonephritis. *Urol. Clin. N. Am.* 2008, 35, 1–12.
18. Flores-Mireles, A.L.; Walker, J.N.; Caparon, M.; Hultgren, S.J. Urinary tract infections: Epidemiology, mechanisms of infection and treatment options. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2015, 13, 269–284.
19. Duan, Y.; Gao, H.; Zheng, L.; Liu, S.; Cao, Y.; Zhu, S.; Wu, Z.; Ren, H.; Mao, D.; Luo, Y. Antibiotic Resistance and Virulence of Extraintestinal Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (ExPEC) Vary According to Molecular Types. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, 11, 598305.
20. Rozwadowski, M.; Gawel, D. Molecular Factors and Mechanisms Driving Multidrug Resistance in Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli*—An Update. *Genes* 2022, 13, 1397.
21. Johnston, B.D.; Thuras, P.; Porter, S.B.; Anacker, M.; VonBank, B.; Vagnone, P.S.; Witwer, M.; Castanheira, M.; Johnson, J.R. Global molecular epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli* (2002–2017). *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2021, 1–13.
22. Dadashi, M.; Sameni, F.; Bostanshirin, N.; Yaslianifard, S.; Khosravi-Dehaghi, N.; Nasiri, M.J.; Goudarzi, M.; Hashemi, A.; Hajikhani, B. Global prevalence and molecular epidemiology of mcr-mediated colistin resistance in *Escherichia coli* clinical isolates: A systematic review. *J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist.* 2021, 29, 444–461.
23. Larramendy, S.; Deglaire, V.; Dusollier, P.; Fournier, J.P.; Caillon, J.; Beaudeau, F.; Moret, L. Risk factors of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases-producing *Escherichia coli* community acquired urinary tract infections: A systematic review. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2020, 13, 3945–3955.

24. Karanika, S.; Karantanos, T.; Arvanitis, M.; Grigoras, C.; Mylonakis, E. Fecal Colonization with Extended-spectrum Beta-lactamase–Producing Enterobacteriaceae and Risk Factors Among Healthy Individuals: A Systematic Review and Metaanalysis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2016, 63, 310–318.
25. Bakhit, M.; Hoffmann, T.; Scott, A.M.; Beller, E.; Rathbone, J.; Del Mar, C. Resistance decay in individuals after antibiotic exposure in primary care: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* 2018, 16, 126.
26. Costelloe, C.; Metcalfe, C.; Lovering, A.; Mant, D.; Hay, A.D. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2010, 340, c2096.
27. Ramblière, L.; Guillemot, D.; Delarocque-Astagneau, E.; Huynh, B.T. Impact of mass and systematic antibiotic administration on antibiotic resistance in low- and middle-income countries? A systematic review. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 2021, 58, 106364.
28. Bhate, K.; Lin, L.Y.; Barbieri, J.S.; Leyrat, C.; Hopkins, S.; Stabler, R.; Shallcross, L.; Smeeth, L.; Francis, N.; Mathur, R.; et al. Is there an association between long-term antibiotics for acne and subsequent infection sequelae and antimicrobial resistance? A systematic review. *BJGP Open* 2021, 5, BJGPO.2020.0181.
29. Truong, R.; Tang, V.; Grennan, T.; Tan, D.H.S. A systematic review of the impacts of oral tetracycline class antibiotics on antimicrobial resistance in normal human flora. *JAC Antimicrob. Resist.* 2022, 4, dlac009.
30. Bell, B.G.; Schellevis, F.; Stobberingh, E.; Goossens, H.; Pringle, M. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effects of antibiotic consumption on antibiotic resistance. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2014, 14, 13.
31. Chan, Y.Q.; Chen, K.; Chua, G.T.; Wu, P.; Tung, K.T.; Tsang, H.W.; Lung, D.; Ip, P.; Chui, C.S. Risk factors for carriage of antimicrobial-resistant bacteria in community dwelling-children in the Asia-Pacific region: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAC-Antimicrob. Resist.* 2022, 4, dlac036.
32. O'Brien, K.S.; Emerson, P.; Hooper, P.J.; Reingold, A.L.; Dennis, E.G.; Keenan, J.D.; Lietman, T.M.; Oldenburg, C.E. Antimicrobial resistance following mass azithromycin distribution for trachoma: A systematic review. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 2019, 19, e14–e25.
33. Willems, R.P.J.; van Dijk, K.; Ket, J.C.F.; Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C. Evaluation of the Association Between Gastric Acid Suppression and Risk of Intestinal Colonization with Multidrug-Resistant Microorganisms: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern. Med.* 2020, 180, 561–571.
34. Alividza, V.; Mariano, V.; Ahmad, R.; Charani, E.; Rawson, T.M.; Holmes, A.H.; Castro-Sanchez, E. Investigating the impact of poverty on colonization and infection with drug-resistant organisms

- in humans: A systematic review. *Infect. Dis. Poverty* 2018, 7, 76.
35. Voor In 't Holt, A.F.; Mourik, K.; Beishuizen, B.; van der Schoor, A.S.; Verbon, A.; Vos, M.C.; Severin, J.A. Acquisition of multidrug-resistant Enterobacterales during international travel: A systematic review of clinical and microbiological characteristics and meta-analyses of risk factors. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 2020, 9, 71.
 36. Hassing, R.J.; Alsmá, J.; Arcilla, M.S.; van Genderen, P.J.; Stricker, B.H.; Verbon, A. International travel and acquisition of multidrug-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: A systematic review. *Euro Surveill.* 2015, 20, 30074.
 37. Furuya-Kanamori, L.; Stone, J.; Yakob, L.; Kirk, M.; Collignon, P.; Mills, D.J.; Lau, C.L. Risk factors for acquisition of multidrug-resistant Enterobacterales among international travellers: A synthesis of cumulative evidence. *J. Travel. Med.* 2020, 27, taz083.
 38. Köck, R.; Daniels-Haardt, I.; Becker, K.; Mellmann, A.; Friedrich, A.W.; Mevius, D.; Schwarz, S.; Jurke, A. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in wildlife, food-producing, and companion animals: A systematic review. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2018, 24, 1241–1250.
 39. Lazarus, B.; Paterson, D.L.; Mollinger, J.L.; Rogers, B.A. Do human extraintestinal *Escherichia coli* infections resistant to expanded-spectrum cephalosporins originate from food-producing animals? A systematic review. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2015, 60, 439–452.
 40. Hackmann, C.; Gastmeier, P.; Schwarz, S.; Lübke-Becker, A.; Bischoff, P.; Leistner, R. Pet husbandry as a risk factor for colonization or infection with MDR organisms: A systematic meta-analysis—authors' response. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 2022, 77, 2043.
 41. Bryce, A.; Hay, A.D.; Lane, I.F.; Thornton, H.V.; Wootton, M.; Costelloe, C. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in paediatric urinary tract infections caused by *Escherichia coli* and association with routine use of antibiotics in primary care: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2016, 352, i939.
 42. Bryce, A.; Costelloe, C.; Hawcroft, C.; Wootton, M.; Hay, A.D. Faecal carriage of antibiotic resistant *Escherichia coli* in asymptomatic children and associations with primary care antibiotic prescribing: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2016, 16, 359.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/120514>