

Social Networks in Crisis Management: A Literature Review to Address the Criticality of the Challenge

Subjects: Communication

Contributor: Bashar Abboodi, Salvatore Flavio Pileggi, Gnana Bharathy

This review proposes a concise literature review aimed at identifying the current body of knowledge on the adoption of Social Networks in crisis management. The major input is a structured research question based on the initial reading about the topic. Before the recent pandemic, most literature focused on local crises, with relatively few exceptions. Additionally, self-organising systems are spontaneously established between people who are affected by a crisis. The fundamental assumption underlying this study is the huge potential of Social Networks in the field of crisis management. That is supported, directly or indirectly, by a number of previous studies, which emphasise how effective adoption leads to better decision-making for crisis managers and local communities. Among the identified challenges is the need to integrate official communication by emergency agencies with citizen-generated content in a contest for credibility and trustworthiness. In certain cases, it has been reported that there is a lack of specific competence, knowledge, and expertise, as well as a lack of sufficient policies and guidelines for the use of Social Networks. Those challenges need to be framed by considering the classic difficulties of providing timely and accurate information to deal with fake news, unverified or misleading information, and information overload. Bridging major gaps through advanced analytics and AI-based technology is expected to provide a key contribution to establishing and safely enabling the practice of effective and efficient communication. This technology can help contrast dissonant mental models, which are often fostered by Social Networks, and enable shared situational awareness. Future research may take a closer look at AI technology and its impact on the role of Social Networks in managing crises.

Keywords: social media ; social networks ; crisis management ; mental model ; situational awareness ; disinformation ; misinformation and fake news ; infodemic ; COVID-19

Despite several different definitions, there is currently no unique universally accepted definition for crisis, which may refer, among others, to disaster, emergency, and catastrophe ^{[1][2]}. One definition characterises a defined crisis as an event that has been observed in time and space where societies/communities face physical losses/damages/disruption of their functioning routine. Ref. ^[3] has classified crises into natural and human-made crises.

Crisis management is commonly understood as the process by which an organisation and/or government deal with an emergency ^{[4][5]}. Crisis management is typically approached in four different phases: mitigation, preparedness (prior to a crisis event), response, and recovery ^{[4][5]}. The mitigation phase aims to prevent the occurrence of a crisis event as well as mitigate known vulnerabilities inherent within the socioeconomic system. The preparedness phase explicitly targets enabling crisis managers and responders to act effectively ^[6]. In the response phase, responders act to prevent any further damage from ongoing issues, while during recovery, the focus is on restoring the pre-crisis state ^[6].

There is a tangible and increasing adoption of Social Media within the specific context of crisis management ^{[7][8]}. Indeed, Social Media has become an integral part of communication during crisis events, enabling the effective real-time dissemination of relevant information ^{[9][10][11]}.

Social Media has become an important communication channel for emergency agencies ^{[12][13]} to manage crises ^[14]. Social Networks are used during crises for information gathering ^[15], situation awareness ^{[16][17]}, maintaining relationships ^[18], emotional support ^[19], volunteer coordination ^{[20][21]}, disseminating relevant information, and providing advice and guidance ^[22]. For instance, Social Media was widely beneficial during the flood crisis in Queensland in 2011 ^[23].

In this research, Social Media is defined within the specific context of crisis management as a socio-technical system that provides real-time information on the crisis and assists in protecting lives and properties ^{[24][25]}. Situational awareness is defined as being aware of what events are unfolding around people and gaining an understanding of what potentially relevant information means to them in that specific moment and in the future ^{[26][27]}. A significant aspect of situation awareness is the gathering of data from a wide number of sources by crisis managers ^{[28][29]}.

A mental model is defined as a cognitive model that people use to understand the world ^{[30][31]}. A mental model is shaped by various factors, including cultural, environmental, and social factors, as well as people's experiences ^{[31][32][33]}. For instance, people use their personal experiences to develop their own models of understanding the world, which influence their responses ^{[34][35]}. A shared mental model is useful in a multi-stakeholder context ^[35]. Shared mental models are about sharing information, knowledge, concepts, and word usage among individuals to achieve sufficient agreement among stakeholders ^[36].

In a complex environment, mental models are related to systematic understanding and normally affect decision-making as a determinant of situational awareness [26]. Situation awareness is described as goal-oriented, and a goal–task analysis was used to decide which data the users needed to be aware of. This analysis helps in understanding how the dataset needs to be used in relation to the goal and what projections need to be established to reach these goals [26][37]. Further, situation awareness contributed to 88% of human error as people misunderstood the situation. To avoid these errors, there is a need to develop a higher level of situational awareness [26][37].

The majority of the studies focused on the use of Social Media in crisis management in the United States [38][39], while there are a relatively limited number of studies in other countries or with a more generic focus [40].

Many organisations have invested in incorporating Social Media into their crisis response strategies [41]. It is important to observe how crisis management agencies currently leverage Social Networks to enhance both situational awareness and decision-making. Furthermore, Social Networks are a critical component of any emergency response and preparedness [42]. Government officials have turned to Social Media for various purposes, including information sharing and direct connection with citizens [42].

The Virtual Social Media Working Group (VSMWG) has been established by the US Department of Homeland Security Science and Technology Directorate [42][43], which focuses on providing guidance to emergency agencies on safe practices in using Social Media technologies [42]. There is a general interest in understanding the relationship between Social Media and situational awareness in public safety [42][44]. Many authors emphasise that several organisations have not yet fully understood how to use Social Media to effectively communicate during a crisis [45][46][47][48][49][50].

Crisis management is a relevant topic that is often the object of review as well as holistic and more specific discussion. This review aims to provide a concise literature review on the adoption of Social Networks in the specific field of crisis management. In this context, Social Networks and Social Media are used indistinctly [51].

Such an analysis aims to frame the challenges within the context of the current body of knowledge. It pointed out a fundamental need for integrating official communication by emergency agencies with citizen-generated content in a context of credibility and trustworthiness. It becomes especially challenging as several sources report a lack of specific competence, knowledge, and expertise, as well as sufficient policies and guidelines. Advanced analytics and AI-based technology provide unprecedented opportunities to establish effective and efficient communication, contrast dissonant mental models, and enable shared situational awareness. It should contribute to the classic difficulties and barriers to providing timely and accurate information, dealing with fake news, unverified or misleading information, and information overload.

References

1. Hiltz, S.R.; Van de Walle, B.; Turoff, M. The domain of emergency management information. In *Information Systems for Emergency Management*; Routledge: London, UK, 2014; pp. 15–32.
2. Kreps, G.A. Sociological inquiry and disaster research. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* 1984, 10, 309–330.
3. Eshghi, K.; Larson, R.C. Disasters: Lessons from the past 105 years. *Disaster Prev. Manag. Int. J.* 2008, 17, 62–82.
4. Petak, W.J. Emergency management: A challenge for public administration. *Public Adm. Rev.* 1985, 45, 3–7.
5. Lettieri, E.; Masella, C.; Radaelli, G. Disaster management: Findings from a systematic review. *Disaster Prev. Manag. Int. J.* 2009, 18, 117–136.
6. McLoughlin, D. A framework for integrated emergency management. *Public Adm. Rev.* 1985, 45, 165–172.
7. Acar, A.; Muraki, Y. Twitter for crisis communication: Lessons learned from Japan's tsunami disaster. *Int. J. Web Based Communities* 2011, 7, 392–402.
8. Laudy, C.; Ruini, F.; Zanasi, A.; Przybyszewski, M.; Stachowicz, A. Using social media in crisis management: SOTERIA fusion center for managing information gaps. In *Proceedings of the 2017 20th International Conference on Information Fusion (Fusion)*, Xi'an, China, 10–13 July 2017; pp. 1–8.
9. Raue, S.; Johnson, C.W.; Storer, T. (SMA)2—A Social Media Audience Sharing Model for Authorities to support effective crisis communication. In *Proceedings of the 7th IET International Conference on System Safety, Incorporating the Cyber Security Conference 2012*, Edinburgh, UK, 15–18 October 2012; pp. 1–6.
10. Zhao, D.; Rosson, M.B. How and Why People Twitter: The Role that Micro-Blogging Plays in Informal Communication at Work. In *Proceedings of the 2009 ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work*, Sanibel Island, FL, USA, 10–13 May 2009.
11. Nalluru, G.; Pandey, R.; Purohit, H. Relevancy classification of multimodal social media streams for emergency services. In *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Conference on Smart Computing (SMARTCOMP)*, Washington, DC, USA, 12–15 June 2019; pp. 121–125.

12. Fischer, D.; Posegga, O.; Fischbach, K. Communication Barriers in Crisis Management: A literature Review. In Proceedings of the ECIS, Istanbul, Turkey, 12–15 June 2016. Research Paper 168.
13. Shahbazi, M.; Ehnis, C.; Shahbazi, M.; Bunker, D. Tweeting from the shadows: Social media convergence behaviour during the 2017 Iran-Iraq earthquake. In Proceedings of the ISCRAM Asia Pacific 2018, Wellington, New Zealand, 4–7 November 2018.
14. Ahmed, A. Use of Social Media in Disaster Management. In Proceedings of the Thirty Second International Conference on Information Systems, Shanghai, China, 4–7 December 2011; Volume 5. Available online: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/icsis2011/proceedings/generaltopics/16/> (accessed on 27 June 2023).
15. Fraustino, J.D.; Liu, B.; Jin, Y. Social Media Use during Disasters: A Review of the Knowledge Base and Gaps; Science and Technology Directorate, US Department of Homeland Security: College Park, MD, USA, 2012.
16. Stieglitz, S.; Mirbabaie, M.; Schwenner, L.; Marx, J.; Lehr, J.; Brünker, F. Sensemaking and Communication Roles in Social Media Crisis Communication. In Proceedings of the WI 2017, the 13th International Conference on Wirtschaftsinformatik, St. Gallen, Switzerland, 12–15 February 2017; Available online: <https://aisel.aisnet.org/wi2017/track14/paper/1/> (accessed on 27 June 2023).
17. Mirbabaie, M.; Youn, S. Exploring sense-making activities in crisis situations. In Proceedings of the 10th Multikonferenz Wirtschaftsinformatik (MKWI), Lüneburg, Germany, 6–9 March 2018; pp. 1656–1667.
18. Procopio, C.H.; Procopio, S.T. Do you know what it means to miss New Orleans? Internet communication, geographic community, and social capital in crisis. *J. Appl. Commun. Res.* 2007, 35, 67–87.
19. Imran, M.; Castillo, C.; Diaz, F.; Vieweg, S. Processing social media messages in mass emergency: A survey. *ACM Comput. Surv. CSUR* 2015, 47, 1–38.
20. Bunker, D.; Ehnis, C.; Seltsikas, P.; Levine, L. Crisis management and social media: Assuring effective information governance for long term social sustainability. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE International Conference on Technologies for Homeland Security (HST), Waltham, MA, USA, 12–14 November 2013; pp. 246–251.
21. Reuter, C.; Heger, O.; Pipek, V. Combining real and virtual volunteers through social media. In Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Information Systems for Crisis Response and Management, Baden-Baden, Germany, 12–15 May 2013.
22. Mirbabaie, M.; Ehnis, C.; Stieglitz, S.; Bunker, D.; Rose, T. Digital nudging in social media disaster communication. *Inf. Syst. Front.* 2021, 23, 1097–1113.
23. Bruns, A.; Burgess, J. #qldfloods and @ QPSMedia: Crisis Communication on Twitter in the 2011 South East Queensland Floods; ARC Centre of Excellence for Creative Industries and Innovation: Brisbane, QC, Australia, 2012.
24. Plotnick, L.; Hiltz, S.R. Barriers to use of social media by emergency managers. *J. Homel. Secur. Emerg. Manag.* 2016, 13, 247–277.
25. Brajawidagda, U. Public Value Creation through Social Media Networks: Mixed Methods Research on Indonesia's Disaster Management Agencies. Doctoral Thesis, School of Computing and Information Technology, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia, 2016.
26. Endsley, M.R. Designing for Situation Awareness: An Approach to User-Centered Design; CRC Press: New York, NY, USA, 2016.
27. Steinberger, F. Risky Gadgets to the Rescue: Reframing In-Car Technology Use as Task Engagement. Doctoral Dissertation, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QC, Australia, 2018.
28. U.S. Congress. "Homeland Security Act 2002" 107th Congress. Section 515 (6 U.S.C321d(b)(1)). 2002. Available online: <https://www.google.com.hk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwisgvjhpKSBaxWU1GEKHdyhCskQFnoECBoQAQ&url=https%3OalE7EDph5&opi=89978449> (accessed on 27 June 2023).
29. Akhgar, B.; Staniforth, A.; Waddington, D. Application of Social Media in Crisis Management; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017.
30. Bower, G.H.; Morrow, D.G. Mental models in narrative comprehension. *Science* 1990, 247, 44–48.
31. Sutherland, W.J.; Gardner, T.A.; Haider, L.J.; Dicks, L.V. How can local and traditional knowledge be effectively incorporated into international assessments? *Oryx* 2014, 48, 1–2.
32. Norman, D.; Gentner, D.; Stevens, A. Some Observations on Mental Models. In *Human-Computer Interaction*; The MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1983; pp. 7–14.
33. Senge, P.M. Mental models. *Plan. Rev.* 1992, 20, 4–44.
34. Kelly, G. The Psychology of Personal Constructs: Volume Two: Clinical Diagnosis and Psychotherapy; Routledge: London, UK, 2003.
35. Biggs, D.; Abel, N.; Knight, A.T.; Leitch, A.; Langston, A.; Ban, N.C. The implementation crisis in conservation planning: Could "mental models" help? *Conserv. Lett.* 2011, 4, 169–183.
36. Alliance, R. A Preliminary Exploration of Two Approaches for Documenting 'Mental Models' Held by Stakeholders in the Crocodile Catchment, South Africa. 2008. Available online: <https://www.google.com.hk/url?>

37. Fromm, J.; Eyilmez, K.; Baßfeld, M.; Majchrzak, T.A.; Stieglitz, S. Social media data in an augmented reality system for situation awareness support in emergency control rooms. *Inf. Syst. Front.* 2021, 25, 303–326.
38. Reuter, C.; Schröter, J. Microblogging during the European floods 2013: What Twitter may contribute in German emergencies. *Int. J. Inf. Syst. Crisis Response Manag. IJISCRAM* 2015, 7, 22–41.
39. Haataja, M.; Laajalahti, A.; Hyvärinen, J. Expert views on current and future use of social media among crisis and emergency management organizations: Incentives and barriers. *Hum. Technol.* 2016, 12, 135.
40. Alabdali, S.A.; Pileggi, S.F.; Cetindamar, D. Influential Factors, Enablers, and Barriers to Adopting Smart Technology in Rural Regions: A Literature Review. *Sustainability* 2023, 15, 7908.
41. Graham, M.W.; Avery, E.J.; Park, S. The role of social media in local government crisis communications. *Public Relat. Rev.* 2015, 41, 386–394.
42. US Department of Homeland Security. Using Social Media for Enhanced Situation Awareness and Decision Support. 2014. Available online: <https://www.google.com.hk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwi8sfCpqqSBAXVRCYgKHV5UAWkQFnoECB4QAQ&url=https%3A%2F%2Fdocument.usg=AOvVaw0BchmsoMEZMrn3vslBuLEL&opi=89978449> (accessed on 27 June 2023).
43. Bout, D.L. Digital Volunteers in Emergency Management. Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, CA, USA, 2019.
44. Doolin, B.; Lamprou, E.; Mitev, N.; McLeod, L. Information Systems and Global Assemblages: (Re)configuring Actors, Artefacts, Organizations. In *Proceedings of the IFIP WG 8.2 Working Conference, IS&O 2014, Auckland, New Zealand, 11–12 December 2014*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2014; Volume 446.
45. Veil, S.R.; Buehner, T.; Palenchar, M.J. A work-in-process literature review: Incorporating social media in risk and crisis communication. *J. Contingencies Crisis Manag.* 2011, 19, 110–122.
46. Eriksson, M. On-line strategic crisis communication: In search of a descriptive model approach. *Int. J. Strateg. Commun.* 2012, 6, 309–327.
47. Floredu, P.B.; Cabiddu, F.; Evaristo, R. Inside your social media ring: How to optimize online corporate reputation. *Bus. Horiz.* 2014, 57, 737–745.
48. Jin, Y.; Liu, B.F.; Austin, L.L. Examining the role of social media in effective crisis management: The effects of crisis origin, information form, and source on publics' crisis responses. *Commun. Res.* 2014, 41, 74–94.
49. Ki, E.-J.; Nekmat, E. Situational crisis communication and interactivity: Usage and effectiveness of Facebook for crisis management by Fortune 500 companies. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 2014, 35, 140–147.
50. Bakar, U.A.; Sidi, F.; Jabar, M.A.; Nor, R.N.H.; Abdullah, S.; Ishak, I.; Alabadla, M.; Alkhalifah, A. How Advanced Technological Approaches Are Reshaping Sustainable Social Media Crisis Management and Communication: A Systematic Review. *Sustainability* 2022, 14, 5854.
51. Bakar, U.A.; Jabar, M.A.; Sidi, F.; Nor, R.N.H.B.; Abdullah, S.; Othman, M. Crisis informatics in the context of social media crisis communication: Theoretical models, taxonomy, and open issues. *IEEE Access* 2020, 8, 185842–185869.