Additive Manufacturing (3D/4D Printing) Technologies

Subjects: Materials Science, Characterization & Testing Contributor: Ayyaz Mahmood, Tehmina Akram, Sheng-Gui Chen, Tayyaba Akram

The scientific community is and has constantly been working to innovate and improve the available technologies in our use. In that effort, three-dimensional (3D) printing was developed that can construct 3D objects from a digital file. Three-dimensional printing, also known as additive manufacturing (AM), has seen tremendous growth over the last three decades, and in the last five years, its application has widened significantly. Three-dimensional printing technology has the potential to fill the gaps left by the limitations of the current manufacturing technologies, and it has further become exciting with the addition of a time dimension giving rise to the concept of four-dimensional (4D) printing, which essentially means that the structures created by 4D printing undergo a transformation over time under the influence of internal or external stimuli.

Keywords: 3D printing ; additive manufacturing ; 4D printing ; polymers

1. Introduction

Three-dimensional printing, also termed additive manufacturing, is a process of creating three-dimensional objects using a 3D printer guided by a computer or digital file. The best description of 3D printing technology was given by Prof. DeSimone during a TED talk in 2015, that is, "3D printing is actually 2D printing over and over again" [1]. The term stereolithography or 3D printing was first introduced by Prof. Charles Hull in 1983 ^[2]. Rapid prototyping was a more accurate word for 3D printing in the 1980s and the technology was considered to be only useful for producing functional or aesthetically pleasing prototypes. As of 2022, the term additive manufacturing can be used interchangeably with 3D printing as the accuracy, repeatability, and material variety of the technology have improved to the point where some 3D printing processes are considered viable as industrial production technology. Since its introduction and commercialization, 3D printing has been used extensively in the engineering and manufacturing, healthcare, and aerospace industries, particularly for prototyping and creating lightweight complex shapes and structures [3][4][5][6][7][8][9][10][11][12][13] which are difficult to produce using the traditional methods. Thus, 3D printing is recognized as one of the most revolutionary innovations in contemporary manufacturing. It has significantly impacted the way industrial parts/components and equipment are designed and developed. Manufacturers and researchers may now produce intricate shapes and structures that were previously considered to be impossible to create using the standard manufacturing methods. Threedimensional printing technology has seen constant improvements and has evolved substantially over the last three decades [5][10][13][14][15][16][17][18][19][20][21][22][23]

A 3D printer moves in three dimensions to create a 3D structures. The term 4D printing refers to the addition of a 4th dimension, time, which essentially means that these 3D printed objects transform and change shape over time under the influence of external stimuli such as water ^{[24][25]}, light ^{[26][27]}, heat ^{[28][29]}, pH ^[30], electricity, magnetic fields, and so on ^[31] ^{[32][33][34]}. The resulting object would be referred to as 4D printed objects which had been printed with a 3D printer, but with using 4D printing materials. Thus, a 4D printing material is essentially a material that can transform or change its shape over time upon exposure to external stimuli. Such a transformation in shape can be achieved by using multiple materials in the printer, each of which shows a different response to the external stimuli. For instance, upon exposure to water, adsorption will occur, resulting in the contraction or expansion of different components. Owing to the correct alignment of the components, the contraction or expansion will give rise to the folding or bending of the whole structure.

The printing process for a 4D object is the same as that of a 3D one. The main difference is that the materials used in 4D printing technology are programmable and undergo a transformation when they come into contact with moisture, light, or heat. Such materials which are responses to external or internal stimuli are referred to as smart materials. Thus, 4D printing essentially uses a 3D printer to print smart materials into the desired objects which then undergo a transformation in structure or property when exposed to external or internal stimuli. The development of multi-material 3D and 4D printing is a current endeavor in the development of AM technologies. It is possible to improve the quality of items using multi-material 3D and 4D printing by changing the composition or kind of materials inside the layers; this is difficult to achieve

using traditional production methods. Polymers, metals, ceramics, and biomaterials have all been utilized in various AM processes to create multi-material products. **Figure 1** illustrates the evolution line of 1D to 4D printing technology over time.



Figure 1. Illustration of the evolution of 1D to 4D printing with time. 4D printing is the addition of time dimension to 3D printing.

Despite being a promising technology, 3D and 4D printing have several limitations that prevent them from reaching their full potential. The inability to create complex structures, the unavailability of multi-material printers, the high cost of smart materials and printers, and the lengthy print times are only a few of the industry's significant obstacles. The major difficulties, limitations, and developments in overcoming those challenges are also addressed. Because several reviews and publications are describing the methods for 3D/4D printing ^{[9][35][36][37][38][39]}.

Since 2010, the number of papers studying the additive manufacturing of structures and objects using materials of several types has significantly grown. **Figure 2** shows a significant increase in the number of publications on 3D and 4D printing in a diverse selection of journals over the years, which indicates the popularity of this field. According to a feature article that was published in Nature in the year 2020, researchers are working on inventing new methods of printing that are faster and can be used on a larger scale ^[40]. The journal Science published a number of interesting papers on additive manufacturing in the year 2019, including three investigations on the ultrafast 3D printing of multiscale structures ^{[41][42][43]} and two studies on the 3D bioprinting of tissues or organs ^{[44][45]}. A variety of materials, including polymers ^[46], metals ^[47], ceramics ^{[20][47]}, glasses ^[48], biomaterials ^[49], and multi-material systems ^{[37][50]}, have been developed for use in AM technologies.



Figure 2. Research published on 3D and 4D printing, in the form of articles, reviews, patents, and conference papers per year as of September 2022. Data extracted from the Web of Science database. The keywords "additive manufacturing" and/or "3D printing" and "4D printing" were used for exact title searches for 3D and 4D printing documents, respectively.

2. Three-Dimensional/Four-Dimensional Printing Process and Materials

2.1. General Process

The main printing process is often the same regardless of the method that a 3D/4D printer employs to create objects. The main steps involved in any AM printing technology are briefly described below ^[15]. The steps are as follows:

- Computer-aided Design (CAD): CAD software creates a three-dimensional model of the object. Using scientific data on
 the particular materials, the program may produce virtual simulations of how the thing would perform under various
 circumstances. The CAD model is next converted into Standard Tessellation Language (STL) conversion. STL is a file
 format that was designed for 3D systems in 1987 by their stereolithography apparatus (SLA) machines. STL files are
 supported by almost all 3D printers.
- STL file manipulation and the transfer to machines: the STL file is then copied by the user to the computer that manages the 3D printer. There, the user may choose the printing's size and direction. This is comparable to setting up a usual paper printer to print on both sides or in landscape rather than the portrait orientation.
- The next step is to set up the machine. Each machine has specific guidelines for getting ready for a fresh print job. This entails restocking the printer's polymers, binders, and other consumables.
- Building process: at this point, you may sit back and relax, as the majority of the building process is handled automatically. Although it may be considerably thinner or thicker, each layer is typically 0.1 mm thick. This procedure might take hours or even days to complete, depending on the size of the item, the machine, and the materials utilized.
- Post-processing: the printed object from many 3D printers will need some post-processing finishing. This can include washing the printed item to get rid of any residual powder or brushing off any water-soluble supports. Since certain materials require time to cure at this stage, the printed structure could be fragile, thus care may be needed to prevent it from breaking or disintegrating. Applications are the next steps, where printed structures/objects are installed and put to use.

The need to print intricate structures at a high resolution has fueled the development of additive manufacturing (AM) techniques. One of the main reasons for the development of AM technologies is the capacity to print massive structures, reduce printing flaws, and improve mechanical qualities. Fused deposition modeling (FDM) is the most popular 3D printing technique that primarily makes use of polymer filaments. The primary techniques for additive manufacturing (AM) include inkjet printing (IP), contour crafting, stereolithography (SLA), direct energy deposition (DED), laminated object manufacturing (LOM), selective laser sintering (SLS), selective laser melting (SLM), and liquid binding in 3D printing. These techniques are briefly defined, their uses and appropriate materials, and their advantages and disadvantages are presented in **Table 1**. In Bhushan and Caspers book ^[51], these techniques are covered in great detail. Mao et al. ^[52] presented the novel developing techniques for particular applications, such as two-photon polymerization (TPP), projection micro stereolithography (PSLA), and electrohydrodynamic printing (EHDP), while Changhai et al. ^[53] described the non-contact micro- and nano-printing techniques.

Table 1. A comparison of additive manufacturing printing processes, materials used, applications, and pros and cons.Table adapted from [54][55].

Fabrication Process	Methods	Materials	Applications	Surface Finish	Merits	Limitations
Extrusion	FDM/FFF	Thermoplastics filaments, e.g., PLA, ABS, Nylon	Rapid prototyping Concept parts Advanced composite parts	Standard	Low cost Versatile Simplicity High speed	Weak mechanical properties Limited materials
	DIW	Plastics, Ceramics, Composites, Living cells	Packaging Scaffolds for bone regeneration	Standard	Flexible	Requires post processing

Fabrication Process	Methods	Materials	Applications	Surface Finish	Merits	Limitations
Powder-bed fusion	SLS	Fine powders of polymers, alloys, composites, and ceramics	Aerospace components Light-weight structures Electronics	Standard	Fine resolution High quality Best mechanical properties	Low resolution High cost High porosity
	SLM	Fine powders of metals, alloys, and ceramics	Aerospace components Light-weight structures Electronics	Good	Good mechanical properties Wide range of materials	Slow process
Photopolymerization	SLA	Photopolymers UV curable resins	Biomedical Prototyping	Excellent	High precision Smooth surface finish Low cost	Limited materials Weak mechanical properties Expensive
	DLP	Elastomers, Photopolymers UV curable resins	Biomedical Prototyping	Good	High resolution High printing speeds	Requires post processing

To create consistently high-quality products, 3D printing requires high-quality materials that adhere to strict requirements, much like any other manufacturing process. The procedures, requirements, and agreements about material controls are established between the suppliers, buyers, and end-users of the material in order to achieve this. Using a variety of materials, such as ceramics, metals, polymers, and their mixtures to create hybrid, composite, or functionally graded materials, 3D printing technology may create completely functioning components (FGMs). A comparison of the different 3D/4D printing technologies is presented in **Table 1**. As described earlier, a 4D printed object undergoes a shape transformation or change in the property when it is subjected to external stimuli such as water ^{[24][25]}, light ^{[26][27]}, heat ^[28] ^[29], pH ^[30], electricity, magnetic fields, and so on ^{[31][32][33][34]}. The change in shape that may occur can be from 1/2/3D to 1/2/3D ^[56]. The examples of the shape transformations are shown in **Figure 3**.



Figure 3. Various examples of different kinds of shape responses 1D to 1D (expansion and contraction), 1D to 2D (folding and bending), 1D to 3D (folding), 2D to 2D (bending), 2D to 3D (bending), 2D to 3D (folding), 2D to 3D (twisting), 2D to 3D (surface curling), 2D to 3D (surface topographical change), 2D to 3D (bending and twisting), 3D to 3D bending, 3D to 3D linear deformation, 3D to 3D nonlinear deformation.

2.2. Materials for 3D/4D Printing

Materials for additive manufacturing fall into three categories: polymers, ceramics, and metals. Polymers are the most regularly used and available materials, however, as technology advances, additional materials are being produced. Typically, materials are manufactured as wire feedstock or powders, however, this is diversifying over time. Due to the simplicity of producing and handling polymeric materials, 3D printing has traditionally concentrated on polymers for printing. However, the process has swiftly progressed to print not only diverse polymers but also metals and ceramics, making 3D printing a flexible production alternative. The primary uses, advantages, and difficulties of the primary materials for additive manufacturing are summarized in **Table 2**.

Table 2. A comparison of the materials used in 3D/4D printing, their applications, benefits, and challenges [55].

Materials	Main Applications	Benefits	Challenges
Metals and alloys	Aerospace and Automotive Military Biomedical	Multifunctional optimization Mass-customization Reduced material waste Fewer assembly components Possibility to repair damaged or worn metal parts	Limited selection of alloys Dimensional inaccuracy and poor surface finish Post-processing may be required (machining, heat treatment or chemical etching)
Polymers and composites	Aerospace and Automotive Sports Medical Architecture Toys Biomedical	Fast prototyping Cost-effective Complex structures Mass-customisation	Weak mechanical properties Limited selection of polymers and reinforcements Anisotropic mechanical properties (especially in fibre-reinforced composites)
Ceramics	Biomedical Aerospace and Automotive Chemical industries	Controlling porosity of lattices Printing complex structures and scaffolds for human body organs Reduced fabrication time A better control on composition and microstructure	Limited selection of 3D-printable ceramics Dimensional inaccuracy and poor surface finish Post-processing (e.g., sintering) may be required
Concrete	Infrastructure and construction	Mass-customization No need for formwork Less labour required especially useful in harsh environment and for space construction	Layer-by-layer appearance Anisotropic mechanical properties Poor inter-layer adhesion Difficulties in upscaling to larger buildings Limited number of printing methods and tailored concrete mixture design

2.2.1. Polymers

Due to their versatility and adaptability to various 3D printing techniques, polymers are regarded as the most widely used materials in the 3D printing industry. Thermoplastic filaments, reactive monomers, resin, and powder are the most common forms of polymers used in additive manufacturing. For many years, the 3D printing of polymers and composites has been investigated in a variety of industrial applications, including the aerospace, architectural, toy manufacturing, and medical industries.

In stereolithography 3D printing, photopolymer resins may polymerize when triggered by UV light. According to Wohlers Associates' annual industry study, photopolymer-generated prototypes account for close to 50% of the 3D printing market in the industrial sectors ^{[5][57]}. The thermomechanical characteristics of photopolymers need still be enhanced, however, for instance, due to the gradient in UV exposure and intensity, the molecular structure and alignment of 3D-printed polymers depend on the layer thickness ^{[58][59]}.

There are several ways to produce polymer composites, including stereolithography, ink-jet 3D printing, selective laser sintering, and deposition molding ^[60]. Other approaches are either currently being researched and developed or are being used by scientists. Each method has pros and cons when it comes to the creation of polymer composite goods. The requirements for raw materials, processing speed and accuracy, cost, and product performance standards may all have an impact on the manufacturing process. **Figure 4** displays the various available polymer 3D printing technologies.



Figure 4. Various 3D printing technologies for polymer materials.

Polydimethylsiloxane, also known as PDMS, is the most common kind of polymer used in silicone systems ^[61]. This is due to the material's superior mechanical flexibility and stretchability, chemical inertness, biocompatibility, and high thermal stability when compared to other types of elastomers. In addition, PDMS maintains a significant degree of chemical stability even when subjected to high temperatures and pressures ^[62].

Thermosetting polymers go through chemical reactions throughout the formation process to create cross-linked structures, which harden after curing and do not melt when heated again. The thermosetting polymers can no longer soften when heated a second time because of this irreversible alteration. Phenolic plastics, amino plastics, epoxy plastics, unsaturated polyester plastics, organosilicon plastics, and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) are the principal types of thermosetting plastics [63][64].

The combination of nanoparticles with polymer materials may result in the production of high-performance functional composites. Lin et al. ^[65] demonstrated that the graphene oxide/photopolymer composite that was generated by SLA has both high levels of strength and ductility.

Nylon, polycarbonate, ABS (acrylonitrile butadiene styrene), and PLA (polylactide) including soft PLA, as well as recyclable alternatives, are all common polymers that may be used in 3D printing. Polymers like these are commonly utilized in material extrusion printers and are spread in wire feedstock. A number of approaches involve combining powdered polymers in varied amounts to achieve distinct aesthetic and structural effects. Waxes and epoxy-based resins are also often utilized.

Polymer additive manufacturing (PAM) may be used to produce delicate and complicated structures for the aerospace industry, structural models for the building industry, art replicas that are not real, and tissue and organs for use in medicine. However, the majority of AM polymer products are still employed as samples rather than practical components since they lack the strength and essential functionality of pure polymer products. The widespread industrial use of polymer AM is constrained by these drawbacks. Polymer matrix composites have been created by adding particles, fibers, or nanomaterial reinforcements to the polymer to address these drawbacks.

2.2.2. Metallic Materials

Metals are employed in 3D printing complex electrical and circuitry components, as well as the structural and mechanical elements and integral functioning components. High-temperature processes can deposit them in liquid form, or they can be sintered or melted from powder. Steel, gold, silver, aluminum, cobalt-chrome alloy, titanium, and stainless steel are common metals used in 3D printing.

The process of 3D printing metals often begins with the melting of metallic feedstock, which can be either in the form of a powder or solid, with the use of an energy source such as a laser or an electron beam. The material that has been melted undergoes a transformation on a layer-by-layer basis to produce a solid component. Powder bed fusion (PBF) and direct energy deposition (DED) are the two methods that are most often used for the process of printing metals. PBF-based additive manufacturing procedures are capable of producing a wide variety of metallic materials, including stainless and tool steels, some aluminum alloys, titanium and its alloys, and nickel-based alloys, amongst others ^[66]. PBF technology can produce parts with excellent mechanical characteristics and intricate forms with a great precision.

AM has been optimized for titanium and its alloys, steel alloys, a few aluminum alloys, nickel alloys, and a few magnesium and cobalt-based alloys ^[66]. Particularly high-performance materials that are often utilized in a variety of sectors include titanium and its alloys ^{[67][68]}. They are distinguished by expensive machining and a lengthy lead time based on traditional production techniques. The ability of AM to produce very complex structures at reduced prices and with less waste may therefore result in major economic benefits. Materials such as austenitic stainless steels ^[69], maraging steels ^[70], precipitation-hardenable stainless steels ^[71], and tool steels ^[72] are often employed. In addition to the typical uses, these alloys may be utilized in situations requiring great strength and hardness, such as in the manufacturing of tools or molds.

For a variety of reasons, only a few Al alloys are presently utilized in AM. They are less expensive and easier to process than Ti alloys ^[73]. As a result, their usage in AM has drawn little commercial attention. This is mainly due to the reason that Al alone has a high reflectivity for the laser wavelengths often employed in AM ^[73], and certain high-performance Al alloys are rarely weldable because of the high volatility of some of its constituents, such as Zn ^[74].

Due to their randomly arranged atoms, metallic glasses (MGs) exhibit a wide range of intrinsic features, such as catalytic ^{[Z5][76][72][78]}, soft magnetic ^{[Z9][80]}, corrosion resistance ^[81], and excellent mechanical ^[82] capabilities. The majority of AM manufacturing processes include heating and cooling. The metallic glass starts to crystallize once it reaches the temperature for crystallization ^[83]. Both MGs and MG composites may be produced additively with a variety of characteristics for diverse purposes. Bulk metallic glasses (BMGs), in contrast to typical metals, exhibit a supercooled liquid zone and continuous softening upon heating, similar to thermoplastics. Gibson et al. ^[84] demonstrated that, by extending this analogy, BMGs may also be created via fused filament extrusion (FFF) in 3D printing. The supercooled liquid nature of the BMGs enables 3D printing under circumstances comparable to thermoplastics. In ambient environmental conditions, fully dense and amorphous BMG parts can be 3D printed which possess high strength as shown in **Figure 5**.



Figure 5. Example of metallic glass printing. The schematic and physical setup of the fused filament fabrication (FFF) process for direct-write extrusion of bulk metallic glasses (**a**–**d**, **left**): (**a**) schematic, (**b**) physical setup, (**c**) feed mechanism, (**d**) extrusion nozzle, (**e**) heated substrate stage, and (**f**) capacitor bank that generates the applied voltage. The printed bulk metallic glass products (**a**–**d**, **right**): (**a**) continuous, (**b**) start-stop printing of BMG, (**c**) printed fully dense and pore-free parts, and (**d**) zoom in view of (**c**).

The production of complicated components built using expensive materials, such as titanium and its alloys, which are crucial for the aerospace and healthcare sectors, is made easier by additive manufacturing of metals. Metal additive manufacturing is a constantly expanding field, with new techniques, alloys, and applications being revealed increasingly on a regular basis. This leads to notable quality gains and faster production times.

2.2.3. Ceramics

Typically, ceramics are utilized in powder-based additive manufacturing processes. Ceramic fibers are primarily made of silica and glass, porcelain, and silicon-carbide, and they can be coupled with polymer or metal substrate materials to boost their strength. A high mechanical strength and hardness, good thermal and chemical stability, and viable thermal, optical, electrical, and magnetic performance are some of the properties that make them such versatile materials for 3D printing. Ceramic components are typically formed into the desired shapes from a powder mixture with or without binders and other additives.

Ceramics printing based on powder/slurry. In comparison to polymers and metals, the AM of ceramics is difficult, owing to the exceptionally high melting temperatures of ceramic materials ^[85] and the difficult preparation of feedstocks ^[86]. **Figure 6** depicts several common ceramic 3D printing procedures. Various AM techniques, such as SLS ^[87], selective laser burnout ^[88], stereolithography (SLA) ^[89], projection micro-stereolithography (PµSL) ^[90], laminated object manufacturing (LOM) ^[91], DIW ^[92], IP ^[93], FDM ^[94], and digital light processing (DLP) ^[95], are commonly used to create ceramic structures from powders or slurries. Because of the significant heat gradients, many of these ceramic printing processes suffer from inescapable residual porosities and undesired fissures, resulting in poor mechanical behaviors of the manufactured ceramic structures.



Figure 6. Examples of some common 3D printing procedures for ceramics. Small-scale ceramics may be 3D printed using coating-film-based ceramic printing feedstocks. (\mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}): (\mathbf{a}) an Al₂O₃ nanolattice with hollow tubes. (\mathbf{b}) Al₂O₃ hollow-tube micro-lattice. (\mathbf{c} , \mathbf{d}) Large-scale ceramics printed in 3D: (\mathbf{c}) selective laser sintering and (\mathbf{d}) stereolithography (SLA)/self-propagating photopolymer wave-guide technique for ceramics generated from polymers.

Coating film-based ceramics. The invention of atomic layer deposition made it possible to cover TiN or Al_2O_3 on 3D printed polymer templates to create hollow ceramic nanolattices ^{[90][96][97]} or a ceramic composite microarchitecture ^[98]. After coating the ceramic sheets, the polymer template can be removed to reveal the delicate nano-/micro-structures. The microscale size of the created structures and the method's poor manufacturing speeds, however, significantly restrict its use.

Polymeric precursor-based ceramics. Significant advancements in ceramic processing have been made possible by the AM of preceramic polymers. With just a slight and consistent shrinkage, printed polymers may be transformed in situ to ceramics, yielding intricate and exact ceramic constructions. Additionally, because pyrolysis temperatures are substantially lower than sintering temperatures, this operation uses a lot less energy than traditional powder or slurry sintering processes.

A revolutionary technique for creating highly shaped ceramic structures is the AM of ceramic precursors. For the last 50 years, polymer-derived ceramics (PDCs), created by the in situ thermolysis of preceramic polymers, have permitted enormous scientific advancements in the field of ceramics ^{[86][99]}. For a variety of structural and functional applications, PDCs have shown to be promising material choices ^{[100][101]}.

One of the most promising preceramic precursors is silicon-containing polymers, which often include multinary ceramics like SiCNO ^{[102][103]} or ternary ceramics like SiOC ^{[104][105]} and SiCN ^{[105][106]}. PDC nanocomposites may be created by adding different kinds of nanofillers to preceramic polymers before machining, such as ceramics ^[104], metals ^[107], or polymers. These nanofillers can build jammed network structures in the preceramic polymer matrix to improve the mechanical integrity of the finished ceramics ^[108] and act as barriers to mass and heat transmission, reducing shrinkage during ceramization ^[99].

Liu et al. ^[109] developed the first 4D printing ceramic, i.e., elastomeric poly(dimethylsiloxane) matrix nanocomposites (NCs) that are capable of being printed, deformed, and then changed into silicon oxycarbide matrix NCs, hence allowing the creation of intricate ceramic origami and 4D-printed ceramic structures, achievable as seen in **Figure 7**. By releasing the elastic energy trapped in the pre-strained ceramic precursors, which could be stretched to over a 200% strain, a shape-morphing process could be accomplished ^[109]. The above-mentioned research on elastomer-derived ceramics (EDCs) may provide new methods for creating soft/rigid hybrid structural materials, which may lead to innovation for the use of ceramic precursor/ceramic hybrid systems in a variety of fields, such as bio-implants and bio-inspired structures ^[110].



Figure 7. Four-dimensional printing of elastomer-derived ceramics (EDCs) and origami. Two typical ceramic 4D printing processes (scalebars: 1 cm).

PDCs and EDCs will play an increasingly essential role in the additive manufacturing of ceramic structures in future research. Ceramic 3D printing is going to grow bigger and quicker as new printing material systems, printing methods, and post-processing techniques are developed as per a study presented in **Figure 8** ^[41]. Ceramic 4D printing will make use of novel material systems, such as those with shape-memory properties, in order to become more adaptable, precise, and applicable.



Figure 8. Examples of 3D printed polymer-based ceramics (PDCs). (a) High-area rapid printing (HARP) process diagram (b) HARP approach for the large-scale polymer-based SiC structures.

References

- 1. DeSimone, J. What If 3D Printing Was 100× Faster? | TED Talk. Available online: https://www.ted.com/talks/joseph_desimone_what_if_3d_printing_was_100x_faster (accessed on 15 October 2022).
- 2. Hull, C.W. Apparatus for Production of Three-Dimensional Objects by Stereolithography. US Patent 4575330A, 1986.
- 3. Yin, H.; Qu, M.; Zhang, H.; Lim, Y.C. 3D Printing and Buildings: A Technology Review and Future Outlook. Technol. Archit. Des. 2018, 2, 94–111.
- Wang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Aghda, N.H.; Pillai, A.R.; Thakkar, R.; Nokhodchi, A.; Maniruzzaman, M. Emerging 3D Printing Technologies for Drug Delivery Devices: Current Status and Future Perspective. Adv. Drug. Deliv. Rev. 2021, 174, 294– 316.
- 5. Ligon, S.C.; Liska, R.; Stampfl, J.; Gurr, M.; Mülhaupt, R. Polymers for 3D Printing and Customized Additive Manufacturing. Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 10212–10290.
- 6. Yan, Q.; Dong, H.; Su, J.; Han, J.; Song, B.; Wei, Q.; Shi, Y. A Review of 3D Printing Technology for Medical Applications. Engineering 2018, 4, 729–742.

- Lee, J.Y.; An, J.; Chua, C.K. Fundamentals and Applications of 3D Printing for Novel Materials. Appl. Mater. Today 2017, 7, 120–133.
- Mallakpour, S.; Azadi, E.; Hussain, C.M. State-of-the-Art of 3D Printing Technology of Alginate-Based Hydrogels—An Emerging Technique for Industrial Applications. Adv. Colloid. Interface Sci. 2021, 293, 102436.
- 9. Liu, G.; Zhang, X.; Chen, X.; He, Y.; Cheng, L.; Huo, M.; Yin, J.; Hao, F.; Chen, S.; Wang, P.; et al. Additive Manufacturing of Structural Materials. Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep. 2021, 145, 100596.
- González-Henríquez, C.M.; Sarabia-Vallejos, M.A.; Sanz-Horta, R.; Rodriguez-Hernandez, J. Additive Manufacturing of Polymers: 3D and 4D Printing, Methodologies, Type of Polymeric Materials, and Applications. Macromol. Eng. 2022, 1– 65.
- 11. Tamay, D.G.; Dursun Usal, T.; Alagoz, A.S.; Yucel, D.; Hasirci, N.; Hasirci, V. 3D and 4D Printing of Polymers for Tissue Engineering Applications. Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 2019, 7, 164.
- 12. Zhang, C.; Li, X.; Jiang, L.; Tang, D.; Xu, H.; Zhao, P.; Fu, J.; Zhou, Q.; Chen, Y. 3D Printing of Functional Magnetic Materials: From Design to Applications. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2021, 31, 2102777.
- 13. Joshi, S.C.; Sheikh, A.A. 3D Printing in Aerospace and Its Long-Term Sustainability. Virtual Phys. Prototyp. 2015, 10, 175–185.
- Gao, W.; Zhang, Y.; Ramanujan, D.; Ramani, K.; Chen, Y.; Williams, C.B.; Wang, C.C.L.; Shin, Y.C.; Zhang, S.; Zavattieri, P.D. The Status, Challenges, and Future of Additive Manufacturing in Engineering. Comput.-Aided Des. 2015, 69, 65–89.
- 15. Gibson, I.; Rosen, D.; Stucker, B. Additive Manufacturing Technologies: 3D Printing, Rapid Prototyping, and Direct Digital Manufacturing; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2015.
- 16. Melchels, F.P.W.; Domingos, M.A.N.; Klein, T.J.; Malda, J.; Bartolo, P.J.; Hutmacher, D.W. Additive Manufacturing of Tissues and Organs. Prog. Polym. Sci. 2012, 37, 1079–1104.
- 17. Marchelli, G.; Prabhakar, R.; Storti, D.; Ganter, M. The Guide to Glass 3D Printing: Developments, Methods, Diagnostics and Results. Rapid Prototyp. J. 2011, 17, 187–194.
- 18. Lu, B.H.; Lan, H.B.; Liu, H.Z. Additive Manufacturing Frontier: 3D Printing Electronics. Opto-Electron. Adv. 2018, 1, 17000401–17000410.
- 19. Bose, S.; Vahabzadeh, S.; Bandyopadhyay, A. Bone Tissue Engineering Using 3D Printing. Mater. Today 2013, 16, 496–504.
- 20. Chen, Z.; Li, Z.; Li, J.; Liu, C.; Lao, C.; Fu, Y.; Liu, C.; Li, Y.; Wang, P.; He, Y. 3D Printing of Ceramics: A Review. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 2019, 39, 661–687.
- 21. Zhang, F.; Wei, M.; Viswanathan, V.v.; Swart, B.; Shao, Y.; Wu, G.; Zhou, C. 3D Printing Technologies for Electrochemical Energy Storage. Nano Energy 2017, 40, 418–431.
- 22. Layani, M.; Wang, X.; Magdassi, S. Novel Materials for 3D Printing by Photopolymerization. Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, e1706344.
- 23. Bhattacharjee, N.; Urrios, A.; Kang, S.; Folch, A. The Upcoming 3D-Printing Revolution in Microfluidics. Lab Chip 2016, 16, 1720–1742.
- 24. Sydney Gladman, A.; Matsumoto, E.A.; Nuzzo, R.G.; Mahadevan, L.; Lewis, J.A. Biomimetic 4D Printing. Nat Mater. 2016, 15, 413–418.
- Raviv, D.; Zhao, W.; McKnelly, C.; Papadopoulou, A.; Kadambi, A.; Shi, B.; Hirsch, S.; Dikovsky, D.; Zyracki, M.; Olguin, C.; et al. Active Printed Materials for Complex Self-Evolving Deformations. Sci. Rep. 2014, 4, 7422.
- 26. Yang, H.; Leow, W.R.; Wang, T.; Wang, J.; Yu, J.; He, K.; Qi, D.; Wan, C.; Chen, X. 3D Printed Photoresponsive Devices Based on Shape Memory Composites. Adv. Mater. 2017, 29, 1701627.
- 27. Kuksenok, O.; Balazs, A.C. Stimuli-Responsive Behavior of Composites Integrating Thermo-Responsive Gels with Photo-Responsive Fibers. Mater. Horiz. 2015, 3, 53–62.
- 28. Ding, Z.; Yuan, C.; Peng, X.; Wang, T.; Qi, H.J.; Dunn, M.L. Direct 4D Printing via Active Composite Materials. Sci. Adv. 2017, 3, e1602890.
- 29. Kotikian, A.; Truby, R.L.; Boley, J.W.; White, T.J.; Lewis, J.A. 3D Printing of Liquid Crystal Elastomeric Actuators with Spatially Programed Nematic Order. Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1706164.
- Nadgorny, M.; Xiao, Z.; Chen, C.; Connal, L.A. Three-Dimensional Printing of PH-Responsive and Functional Polymers on an Affordable Desktop Printer. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 28946–28954.
- 31. Ge, Q.; Dunn, C.K.; Qi, H.J.; Dunn, M.L. Active Origami by 4D Printing. Smart Mater. Struct. 2014, 23, 094007.

- 32. Tanaka, T.; Ishikawa, A.; Kawata, S. Two-Photon-Induced Reduction of Metal Ions for Fabricating Three-Dimensional Electrically Conductive Metallic Microstructure. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2006, 88, 081107.
- Breger, J.C.; Yoon, C.; Xiao, R.; Kwag, H.R.; Wang, M.O.; Fisher, J.P.; Nguyen, T.D.; Gracias, D.H. Self-Folding Thermo-Magnetically Responsive Soft Microgrippers. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 3398–3405.
- 34. Lantean, S.; Roppolo, I.; Sangermano, M.; Hayoun, M.; Dammak, H.; Rizza, G. Programming the Microstructure of Magnetic Nanocomposites in DLP 3D Printing. Addit. Manuf. 2021, 47, 102343.
- 35. Vaezi, M.; Chianrabutra, S.; Mellor, B.; Yang, S. Multiple Material Additive Manufacturing—Part 1: A Review: This Review Paper Covers a Decade of Research on Multiple Material Additive Manufacturing Technologies Which Can Produce Complex Geometry Parts with Different Materials. Virtual Phys. Prototyp. 2013, 8, 19–50.
- 36. Khare, V.; Sonkaria, S.; Lee, G.Y.; Ahn, S.H.; Chu, W.S. From 3D to 4D Printing—Design, Material and Fabrication for Multi-Functional Multi-Materials. Int. J. Pre. Eng. Manu. GT 2017, 4, 291–299.
- Bandyopadhyay, A.; Heer, B. Additive Manufacturing of Multi-Material Structures. Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep. 2018, 129, 1– 16.
- 38. Pei, E.; Loh, G.H. Technological Considerations for 4D Printing: An Overview. Prog. Addit. Manuf. 2018, 3, 95–107.
- 39. Ryan, K.R.; Down, M.P.; Banks, C.E. Future of Additive Manufacturing: Overview of 4D and 3D Printed Smart and Advanced Materials and Their Applications. Chem. Eng. J. 2021, 403, 126162.
- 40. Zastrow, M. 3D Printing Gets Bigger, Faster and Stronger. Nature 2020, 578, 20-23.
- 41. Walker, D.A.; Hedrick, J.L.; Mirkin, C.A. Rapid, Large-Volume, Thermally Controlled 3D Printing Using a Mobile Liquid Interface. Science 2019, 366, 360–364.
- 42. Kelly, B.E.; Bhattacharya, I.; Heidari, H.; Shusteff, M.; Spadaccini, C.M.; Taylor, H.K. Volumetric Additive Manufacturing via Tomographic Reconstruction. Science 2019, 363, 1075–1079.
- 43. Saha, S.K.; Wang, D.; Nguyen, V.H.; Chang, Y.; Oakdale, J.S.; Chen, S.C. Scalable Submicrometer Additive Manufacturing. Science 2019, 366, 105–109.
- 44. Grigoryan, B.; Paulsen, S.J.; Corbett, D.C.; Sazer, D.W.; Fortin, C.L.; Zaita, A.J.; Greenfield, P.T.; Calafat, N.J.; Gounley, J.P.; Ta, A.H.; et al. Multivascular Networks and Functional Intravascular Topologies within Biocompatible Hydrogels. Science 2019, 364, 458–464.
- Lee, A.; Hudson, A.R.; Shiwarski, D.J.; Tashman, J.W.; Hinton, T.J.; Yerneni, S.; Bliley, J.M.; Campbell, P.G.; Feinberg, A.W. 3D Bioprinting of Collagen to Rebuild Components of the Human Heart. Science 2019, 365, 482–487.
- Tumbleston, J.R.; Shirvanyants, D.; Ermoshkin, N.; Janusziewicz, R.; Johnson, A.R.; Kelly, D.; Chen, K.; Pinschmidt, R.; Rolland, J.P.; Ermoshkin, A.; et al. Continuous Liquid Interface Production of 3D Objects. Science 2015, 347, 1349– 1352.
- 47. Zhang, D.; Qiu, D.; Gibson, M.A.; Zheng, Y.; Fraser, H.L.; StJohn, D.H.; Easton, M.A. Additive Manufacturing of Ultrafine-Grained High-Strength Titanium Alloys. Nature 2019, 576, 91–95.
- Kotz, F.; Arnold, K.; Bauer, W.; Schild, D.; Keller, N.; Sachsenheimer, K.; Nargang, T.M.; Richter, C.; Helmer, D.; Rapp, B.E. Three-Dimensional Printing of Transparent Fused Silica Glass. Nature 2017, 544, 337–339.
- 49. Sun, W.; Starly, B.; Daly, A.C.; Burdick, J.A.; Groll, J.; Skeldon, G.; Shu, W.; Sakai, Y.; Shinohara, M.; Nishikawa, M.; et al. The Bioprinting Roadmap. Biofabrication 2020, 12, 022002.
- 50. Skylar-Scott, M.A.; Mueller, J.; Visser, C.W.; Lewis, J.A. Voxelated Soft Matter via Multimaterial Multinozzle 3D Printing. Nature 2019, 575, 330–335.
- Bhushan, B.; Caspers, M. An Overview of Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing) for Microfabrication. Microsyst. Technol. 2017, 23, 1117–1124.
- 52. Mao, M.; He, J.; Li, X.; Zhang, B.; Lei, Q.; Liu, Y.; Li, D. The Emerging Frontiers and Applications of High-Resolution 3D Printing. Micromachines 2017, 8, 113.
- 53. Ru, C.; Luo, J.; Xie, S.; Sun, Y. A Review of Non-Contact Micro- and Nano-Printing Technologies. J. Micromech. Microeng. 2014, 24, 053001.
- Ambrosi, A.; Pumera, M. 3D-Printing Technologies for Electrochemical Applications. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 2740– 2755.
- Ngo, T.D.; Kashani, A.; Imbalzano, G.; Nguyen, K.T.Q.; Hui, D. Additive Manufacturing (3D Printing): A Review of Materials, Methods, Applications and Challenges. Compos. B Eng. 2018, 143, 172–196.
- 56. Momeni, F.; Mehdi, M.; Hassani, N.S.; Liu, X.; Ni, J. A Review of 4D Printing. Mater. Des. 2017, 122, 42–79.

- 57. Wohlers, T. Wohlers Report 2018: 3d Printing and Additive Manufacturing State of the Industry, Wohlers Associates; Wohlers Associates: Washington, DC, USA; Fort Collins, CO, USA, 2018.
- Gundrati, N.B.; Chakraborty, P.; Zhou, C.; Chung, D.D.L. Effects of Printing Conditions on the Molecular Alignment of Three-Dimensionally Printed Polymer. Compos. B Eng. 2018, 134, 164–168.
- 59. Gundrati, N.B.; Chakraborty, P.; Zhou, C.; Chung, D.D.L. First Observation of the Effect of the Layer Printing Sequence on the Molecular Structure of Three-Dimensionally Printed Polymer, as Shown by in-Plane Capacitance Measurement. Compos. B Eng. 2018, 140, 78–82.
- 60. Wang, X.; Jiang, M.; Zhou, Z.; Gou, J.; Hui, D. 3D Printing of Polymer Matrix Composites: A Review and Prospective. Compos. B Eng. 2017, 110, 442–458.
- Hammock, M.L.; Chortos, A.; Tee, B.C.K.; Tok, J.B.H.; Bao, Z. 25th Anniversary Article: The Evolution of Electronic Skin (E-Skin): A Brief History, Design Considerations, and Recent Progress. Adv. Mat. 2013, 25, 5997–6038.
- 62. Chenoweth, K.; Cheung, S.; van Duin, A.C.T.; Goddard, W.A.; Kober, E.M. Simulations on the Thermal Decomposition of a Poly(Dimethylsiloxane) Polymer Using the ReaxFF Reactive Force Field. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7192–7202.
- Bîrca, A.; Gherasim, O.; Grumezescu, V.; Grumezescu, A.M. Introduction in Thermoplastic and Thermosetting Polymers. Mater. Biomed. Eng. Thermoplast. Polym. 2019, 1–28.
- 64. Grumezescu, V.; Grumezescu, A. Materials for Biomedical Engineering: Thermoset and Thermoplastic Polymers; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; Volume 1.
- 65. Lin, D.; Jin, S.; Zhang, F.; Wang, C.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, C.; Cheng, G.J. 3D Stereolithography Printing of Graphene Oxide Reinforced Complex Architectures. Nanotechnology 2015, 26, 434003.
- 66. Herzog, D.; Seyda, V.; Wycisk, E.; Emmelmann, C. Additive Manufacturing of Metals. Acta Mater. 2016, 117, 371–392.
- 67. Hu, Y.; Cong, W.; Wang, X.; Li, Y.; Ning, F.; Wang, H. Laser Deposition-Additive Manufacturing of TiB-Ti Composites with Novel Three-Dimensional Quasi-Continuous Network Microstructure: Effects on Strengthening and Toughening. Compos. B Eng. 2018, 133, 91–100.
- 68. Sheydaeian, E.; Toyserkani, E. A New Approach for Fabrication of Titanium-Titanium Boride Periodic Composite via Additive Manufacturing and Pressure-Less Sintering. Compos. B Eng. 2018, 138, 140–148.
- 69. Carlton, H.D.; Haboub, A.; Gallegos, G.F.; Parkinson, D.Y.; MacDowell, A.A. Damage Evolution and Failure Mechanisms in Additively Manufactured Stainless Steel. Mat. Sci. Eng. A 2016, 651, 406–414.
- Casalino, G.; Campanelli, S.L.; Contuzzi, N.; Ludovico, A.D. Experimental Investigation and Statistical Optimisation of the Selective Laser Melting Process of a Maraging Steel. Opt. Laser Technol. 2015, 65, 151–158.
- 71. Murr, L.E.; Martinez, E.; Hernandez, J.; Collins, S.; Amato, K.N.; Gaytan, S.M.; Shindo, P.W. Microstructures and Properties of 17-4 PH Stainless Steel Fabricated by Selective Laser Melting. J. Mat. Res. Technol. 2012, 1, 167–177.
- 72. Mazumder, J.; Choi, J.; Nagarathnam, K.; Koch, J.; Hetzner, D. The Direct Metal Deposition of H13 Tool Steel for 3-D Components. JOM 1997, 49, 55–60.
- 73. Brice, C.; Shenoy, R.; Kral, M.; Buchannan, K. Precipitation Behavior of Aluminum Alloy 2139 Fabricated Using Additive Manufacturing. Mat. Sci. Eng. A 2015, 648, 9–14.
- 74. Bartkowiak, K.; Ullrich, S.; Frick, T.; Schmidt, M. New Developments of Laser Processing Aluminium Alloys via Additive Manufacturing Technique. Phys. Procedia 2011, 12, 393–401.
- 75. Liang, S.X.; Jia, Z.; Liu, Y.J.; Zhang, W.; Wang, W.; Lu, J.; Zhang, L.C. Compelling Rejuvenated Catalytic Performance in Metallic Glasses. Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1802764.
- 76. Jia, Z.; Duan, X.; Qin, P.; Zhang, W.; Wang, W.; Yang, C.; Sun, H.; Wang, S.; Zhang, L.C. Disordered Atomic Packing Structure of Metallic Glass: Toward Ultrafast Hydroxyl Radicals Production Rate and Strong Electron Transfer Ability in Catalytic Performance. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2017, 27, 1702258.
- 77. Jia, Z.; Wang, Q.; Sun, L.; Wang, Q.; Zhang, L.C.; Wu, G.; Luan, J.H.; Jiao, Z.B.; Wang, A.; Liang, S.X.; et al. Attractive In Situ Self-Reconstructed Hierarchical Gradient Structure of Metallic Glass for High Efficiency and Remarkable Stability in Catalytic Performance. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2019, 29, 1807857.
- Jia, Z.; Kang, J.; Zhang, W.C.; Wang, W.M.; Yang, C.; Sun, H.; Habibi, D.; Zhang, L.C. Surface Aging Behaviour of Fe-Based Amorphous Alloys as Catalysts during Heterogeneous Photo Fenton-like Process for Water Treatment. Appl. Catal. B 2017, 204, 537–547.
- 79. Nakahara, S.; Périgo, E.A.; Pittini-Yamada, Y.; de Hazan, Y.; Graule, T. Electric Insulation of a FeSiBC Soft Magnetic Amorphous Powder by a Wet Chemical Method: Identification of the Oxide Layer and Its Thickness Control. Acta Mater. 2010, 58, 5695–5703.

- Liu, D.; Wu, C.; Yan, M.; Wang, J. Correlating the Microstructure, Growth Mechanism and Magnetic Properties of FeSiAl Soft Magnetic Composites Fabricated via HNO3 Oxidation. Acta Mater. 2018, 146, 294–303.
- Farmer, J.; Choi, J.S.; Saw, C.; Haslam, J.; Day, D.; Hailey, P.; Lian, T.; Rebak, R.; Perepezko, J.; Payer, J.; et al. Iron-Based Amorphous Metals: High-Performance Corrosion-Resistant Material Development. Metall. Mater. Trans. A Phys. Metall. Mater. Sci. 2009, 40, 1289–1305.
- Ouyang, D.; Li, N.; Xing, W.; Zhang, J.; Liu, L. 3D Printing of Crack-Free High Strength Zr-Based Bulk Metallic Glass Composite by Selective Laser Melting. Intermetallics 2017, 90, 128–134.
- Li, H.X.; Lu, Z.C.; Wang, S.L.; Wu, Y.; Lu, Z.P. Fe-Based Bulk Metallic Glasses: Glass Formation, Fabrication, Properties and Applications. Prog. Mater. Sci. 2019, 103, 235–318.
- Gibson, M.A.; Mykulowycz, N.M.; Shim, J.; Fontana, R.; Schmitt, P.; Roberts, A.; Ketkaew, J.; Shao, L.; Chen, W.; Bordeenithikasem, P.; et al. 3D printing metals like thermoplastics: Fused filament fabrication of metallic glasses. Mater. Today 2018, 21, 697–702.
- 85. Eckel, Z.C.; Zhou, C.; Martin, J.H.; Jacobsen, A.J.; Carter, W.B.; Schaedler, T.A. Additive Manufacturing of Polymer-Derived Ceramics. Science 2016, 351, 58–62.
- Zocca, A.; Colombo, P.; Gomes, C.M.; Günster, J. Additive Manufacturing of Ceramics: Issues, Potentialities, and Opportunities. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2015, 98, 1983–2001.
- 87. Shahzad, K.; Deckers, J.; Zhang, Z.; Kruth, J.P.; Vleugels, J. Additive Manufacturing of Zirconia Parts by Indirect Selective Laser Sintering. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 2014, 34, 81–89.
- Tang, H.H.; Yen, H.C. Slurry-Based Additive Manufacturing of Ceramic Parts by Selective Laser Burn-Out. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 2015, 35, 981–987.
- Chartier, T.; Duterte, C.; Delhote, N.; Baillargeat, D.; Verdeyme, S.; Delage, C.; Chaput, C. Fabrication of Millimeter Wave Components Via Ceramic Stereo- and Microstereolithography Processes. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2008, 91, 2469– 2474.
- Zheng, X.; Lee, H.; Weisgraber, T.H.; Shusteff, M.; DeOtte, J.; Duoss, E.B.; Kuntz, J.D.; Biener, M.M.; Ge, Q.; Jackson, J.A.; et al. Ultralight, Ultrastiff Mechanical Metamaterials. Science 2014, 344, 1373–1377.
- Windsheimer, H.; Travitzky, N.; Hofenauer, A.; Greil, P. Laminated Object Manufacturing of Preceramic-Paper-Derived Si-SiC Composites. Adv. Mater. 2007, 19, 4515–4519.
- Fu, Q.; Saiz, E.; Tomsia, A.P. Bioinspired Strong and Highly Porous Glass Scaffolds. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2011, 21, 1058–1063.
- Özkol, E.; Wätjen, A.M.; Bermejo, R.; Deluca, M.; Ebert, J.; Danzer, R.; Telle, R. Mechanical Characterisation of Miniaturised Direct Inkjet Printed 3Y-TZP Specimens for Microelectronic Applications. J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 2010, 30, 3145–3152.
- Jafari, M.A.; Han, W.; Mohammadi, F.; Safari, A.; Danforth, S.C.; Langrana, N. A Novel System for Fused Deposition of Advanced Multiple Ceramics. Rapid Prototyp. J. 2000, 6, 161–174.
- 95. Liu, Y.; Chen, Z.; Li, J.; Gong, B.; Wang, L.; Lao, C.; Wang, P.; Liu, C.; Feng, Y.; Wang, X. 3D Printing of Ceramic Cellular Structures for Potential Nuclear Fusion Application. Addit. Manuf. 2020, 35, 101348.
- 96. Meza, L.R.; Das, S.; Greer, J.R. Strong, Lightweight, and Recoverable Three-Dimensional Ceramic Nanolattices. Science 2014, 345, 1322–1326.
- 97. Jang, D.; Meza, L.R.; Greer, F.; Greer, J.R. Fabrication and Deformation of Three-Dimensional Hollow Ceramic Nanostructures. Nat. Mater. 2013, 12, 893–898.
- Bauer, J.; Hengsbach, S.; Tesari, I.; Schwaiger, R.; Kraft, O. High-Strength Cellular Ceramic Composites with 3D Microarchitecture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2014, 111, 2453–2458.
- 99. Colombo, P.; Mera, G.; Riedel, R.; Sorarù, G.D. Polymer-Derived Ceramics: 40 Years of Research and Innovation in Advanced Ceramics. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2010, 93, 1805–1837.
- Ionescu, E.; Kleebe, H.J.; Riedel, R. Silicon-Containing Polymer-Derived Ceramic Nanocomposites (PDC-NCs): Preparative Approaches and Properties. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 5032–5052.
- 101. Minas, C.; Carnelli, D.; Tervoort, E.; Studart, A.R. 3D Printing of Emulsions and Foams into Hierarchical Porous Ceramics. Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 9993–9999.
- 102. Yu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Fang, J.; An, L. Formation of Novel Microstructured SiCNO Films from Block Copolymer Micellar-Templating Approaches. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2015, 98, 2894–2901.

- 103. Ionescu, E.; Linck, C.; Fasel, C.; Müller, M.; Kleebe, H.J.; Riedel, R. Polymer-Derived SiOC/ZrO2 Ceramic Nanocomposites with Excellent High-Temperature Stability. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2010, 93, 241–250.
- 104. Li, J.; Lu, K.; Lin, T.; Shen, F. Preparation of Micro-/Mesoporous SiOC Bulk Ceramics. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 2015, 98, 1753–1761.
- 105. Zanchetta, E.; Cattaldo, M.; Franchin, G.; Schwentenwein, M.; Homa, J.; Brusatin, G.; Colombo, P. Stereolithography of SiOC Ceramic Microcomponents. Adv. Mater. 2016, 28, 370–376.
- 106. Mera, G.; Navrotsky, A.; Sen, S.; Kleebe, H.J.; Riedel, R. Polymer-Derived SiCN and SiOC Ceramics-Structure and Energetics at the Nanoscale. J. Mater. Chem. A Mater. 2013, 1, 3826–3836.
- 107. Kaspar, J.; Terzioglu, C.; Ionescu, E.; Graczyk-Zajac, M.; Hapis, S.; Kleebe, H.J.; Riedel, R. Stable SiOC/Sn Nanocomposite Anodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries with Outstanding Cycling Stability. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2014, 24, 4097– 4104.
- 108. Kashiwagi, T.; Du, F.; Douglas, J.F.; Winey, K.I.; Harris, R.H.; Shields, J.R. Nanoparticle Networks Reduce the Flammability of Polymer Nanocomposites. Nat. Mater. 2005, 4, 928–933.
- 109. Liu, G.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, G.; Lu, J. Origami and 4D Printing of Elastomer-Derived Ceramic Structures. Sci. Adv. 2018, 4, eaat0641.
- 110. Studart, A.R. Additive Manufacturing of Biologically-Inspired Materials. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 359–376.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/121633