

CRISPR/Cas9 in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia

Subjects: [Hematology](#)

Contributor: María Hernández-Sánchez

Genome-editing systems such as Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR)/Cas9 technology have uncovered new opportunities to model diseases such as chronic lymphocytic leukemia.

CRISPR/Cas9 is an important means of advancing functional studies of Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL) through the incorporation, elimination and modification of somatic mutations in CLL models.

leukemia

CRISPR/Cas9

editing

B-cell lymphoproliferative disorders are a clonal expansion of the various stages of B lymphocytes in bone marrow, blood, or other tissues. The World Health Organization (WHO) has described more than 30 different entities in the category of mature B-cell neoplasms ^[1]. Both lymphomas and lymphoid leukemias are included in this classification in which you could find Burkitt Lymphoma, Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma, Follicular Lymphoma, and Mantle Cell Lymphoma, as well as Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia or Hairy Cell Leukemia.

Among the leukemias, **Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia** (CLL) is the most common adult leukemia in Western countries, with an incidence of 4.2 cases per 100,000 people per year ^[2]. Its median age at diagnosis ranges from 70 to 72 years, being more frequent in men (2:1). This hematological malignancy is characterized by the presence of mature clonal B lymphocytes that accumulate in the blood, bone marrow, and other lymphoid tissues ^{[1][3][4]}. The diagnostics is mainly based on laboratory techniques, namely blood count, morphology, and immunophenotyping ^{[2][3]}. Specifically, the diagnosis of CLL is mainly defined by the presence of more than $5 \times 10^9/L$ B lymphocytes in peripheral blood for at least three months. The clonality of the circulating B-lymphocytes needs to be confirmed by flow cytometry ^{[2][3][4]}. The immunophenotype of CLL distinguishes it from other B hematological malignancies by the expression of B cell markers such as CD19, CD23, and weak CD20, along with CD5, a T cell antigen, and low expression levels of surface membrane immunoglobulin ^[5]. In terms of morphology, the CLL cells found in the blood smear are characteristically small and mature lymphocytes with a narrow border of cytoplasm, and a dense nucleus lacking discernible nucleoli and having partially aggregated chromatin. Large atypical cells, cleaved cells, or prolymphocytic cells, which may be up to 55% of the blood lymphocytes, could also be observed ^[6]. Gumprecht nuclear shadows, or smudge cells, found as cell debris are other characteristic morphologic features in CLL.

The natural course of CLL is highly heterogeneous, ranging from asymptomatic with no need for therapy to an aggressive disease associated with therapeutic resistance and short overall survival ^[7]. CLL patients are generally diagnosed with an asymptomatic disease by blood tests performed during a routine physical exam. However, other patients showed symptoms such as fatigue, fever, lymphadenopathy, hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, bone marrow failure, recurrent infections, and/or weight loss ^{[8][9]}. In recent decades, the improved understanding of CLL

pathogenesis has resulted in the identification of a great number of prognostic markers (clinical systems, serum markers, genetic alterations, et.), significantly improving patient stratification [10]. Prognostication in CLL remains an active research field in order to define not only the prognostic markers able to predict the clinical course at diagnosis but also the predictive markers able to predict the response to treatment in the era of targeted therapies [11].

Numerous studies have demonstrated that the clinical variability is a clear consequence of marked biological diversity [12][13]. During the last decades, Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) technologies have uncovered a great number of genetic alterations in CLL [14][15], with a long tail of hundreds of genes mutated only in a short fraction of CLL patients [16]. The biological impact of some of CLL genetic abnormalities has been partially understood thanks to CLL models [17][18][19][20]. Since CLL disease is the result of a complex interaction of different lesions, novel models are required to study the biological effects of single and multiple genetic lesions to gain insight into the mechanisms underlying the clonal evolution as well as the treatment response. In this line, models applying genomic engineering will serve as valuable tools to study the effects of CLL drivers on cellular fitness.

The development of genome-editing technologies has broadened new possibilities to model diseases such as CLL. The development of genome editing technologies has opened up the possibility of directly targeting and modifying genomic sequences in almost all eukaryotic cells. The first approaches used in the field of genome-editing were based on the zinc-finger nucleases (ZNFs) and transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALENs) [21][22]. The discovery of Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) systems as genome-editing technologies has overcome many of the limitations of the earlier strategies, allowing us to create disease models in a rapid, efficient, and cheap way [23]. Since 2013, when it was first applied in mammalian cells as a tool to edit the genome [24][25], the versatile CRISPR/Cas9 technology has been rapidly expanding its use in modulating gene expression, ranging from genome sequence changes to epigenetic and transcriptional modifications.

CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology is based on inducing DNA double-strand-breaks (DSBs) that stimulate the cellular DNA mechanisms: error-prone non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) and homologous recombination (HR). This system—derived from a bacterial adaptative immune system—relies on two key components: the nuclease Cas9 and the single-guide RNA (sgRNA) [24][25]. The sgRNA molecule is complementary to the target region of interest and directs Cas9 to the genomic region of interest, leading to the generation of DSBs [26]. Consequently, on one hand, NHEJ is an error prone repair process that joins broken ends, generally resulting in the introduction of small indels (insertions and deletions), and therefore, the presence of frameshift mutations which generate premature stop codons and mimic loss-of-function (LoF) mutations, which can be useful to generate knock-out models. On the other hand, HR happens in the presence of a donor DNA template, allowing specific DNA edition such as gain-of-function (GoF) mutations, being the ideal strategy for generating knock-in models [27][28].

Besides the application of the generation of isogenic models with LoF or GoF mutations, CRISPR/Cas9 technology allows us to generate chromosomal rearrangements by the introduction of two distant DSBs within the same

chromosome to produce chromosomal inversion or deletion, or the induction of two DSBs in different chromosomes leading to a chromosomal translocation [\[29\]](#)[\[30\]](#)[\[31\]](#)[\[32\]](#).

CRISPR-gene editing system also provides a powerful way to switch gene expression on or off at the transcription level [\[33\]](#)[\[34\]](#). In this line, a nuclease-deactivated form of Cas9 termed deadCas9 (dCas9), which is unable to cleave DNA, is necessary. A fused dCas9 with silencer agents or transcriptional activators can bind to the promoter region to efficiently repress or activate gene expression, respectively [\[35\]](#)[\[36\]](#).

The possibility to perform multiplex mutagenesis by CRISPR has opened a range of functional genome-screening approaches. These approaches could reveal key genes associated with drug resistance or identify vulnerable target genes for the development of targeted drugs [\[37\]](#)[\[38\]](#).

References

1. Swerdlow, S.H.; Campo, E.; Pileri, S.A.; Harris, N.L.; Stein, H.; Siebert, R.; Advani, R.; Ghielmini, M.; Salles, G.A.; Zelenetz, A.D.; et al. The 2016 Revision of the World Health Organization Classification of Lymphoid Neoplasms. *Blood* 2016, 127, 2375–2390.
2. Hallek, M. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: 2020 Update on Diagnosis, Risk Stratification and Treatment. *Am. J. Hematol.* 2019, 94, 1266–1287.
3. Hallek, M.; Cheson, B.D.; Catovsky, D.; Caligaris-Cappio, F.; Dighiero, G.; Döhner, H.; Hillmen, P.; Keating, M.J.; Montserrat, E.; Rai, K.R.; et al. Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: A Report from the International Workshop on Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia Updating the National Cancer Institute-Working Group 1996 Guidelines. *Blood* 2008, 111, 5446–5456.
4. Eichhorst, B.; Robak, T.; Montserrat, E.; Ghia, P.; Niemann, C.U.; Kater, A.P.; Gregor, M.; Cymbalista, F.; Buske, C.; Hillmen, P.; et al. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for Diagnosis, Treatment and Follow-Up. *Ann. Oncol.* 2021, 32, 23–33.
5. Matutes, E.; Owusu-Ankomah, K.; Morilla, R.; Garcia Marco, J.; Houlihan, A.; Que, T.H.; Catovsky, D. The Immunological Profile of B-Cell Disorders and Proposal of a Scoring System for the Diagnosis of CLL. *Leukemia* 1994, 8, 1640–1645.
6. Melo, J.V.; Catovsky, D.; Galton, D.A. The Relationship between Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia and Prolymphocytic Leukaemia. II. Patterns of Evolution of “prolymphocytoid” Transformation. *Br. J. Haematol.* 1986, 64, 77–86.
7. Kipps, T.J.; Stevenson, F.K.; Wu, C.J.; Croce, C.M.; Packham, G.; Wierda, W.G.; O’Brien, S.; Gribben, J.; Rai, K. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 2017, 3, 16096.

8. Chiorazzi, N.; Rai, K.R.; Ferrarini, M. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2005, 352, 804–815.
9. Rozman, C.; Montserrat, E. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1995, 333, 1052–1057.
10. Rai, K.R.; Jain, P. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL)-Then and Now. *Am. J. Hematol.* 2016, 91, 330–340.
11. González-Gascón-y-Marín, I.; Muñoz-Novas, C.; Rodríguez-Vicente, A.-E.; Quijada-Álamo, M.; Hernández-Sánchez, M.; Pérez-Carretero, C.; Ramos-Ascanio, V.; Hernández-Rivas, J.-Á. From Biomarkers to Models in the Changing Landscape of Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: Evolve or Become Extinct. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 1782.
12. Guièze, R.; Wu, C.J. Genomic and Epigenomic Heterogeneity in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *Blood* 2015, 126, 445–453.
13. Rodríguez-Vicente, A.E.; Díaz, M.G.; Hernández-Rivas, J.M. Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: A Clinical and Molecular Heterogenous Disease. *Cancer Genet.* 2013, 206, 49–62.
14. Puente, X.S.; Beà, S.; Valdés-Mas, R.; Villamor, N.; Gutiérrez-Abril, J.; Martín-Subero, J.I.; Munar, M.; Rubio-Pérez, C.; Jares, P.; Aymerich, M.; et al. Non-Coding Recurrent Mutations in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia. *Nature* 2015, 526, 519–524.
15. Landau, D.A.; Tausch, E.; Taylor-Weiner, A.N.; Stewart, C.; Reiter, J.G.; Bahlo, J.; Kluth, S.; Bozic, I.; Lawrence, M.; Böttcher, S.; et al. Mutations Driving CLL and Their Evolution in Progression and Relapse. *Nature* 2015, 526, 525–530.
16. Rodríguez-Vicente, A.E.; Bikos, V.; Hernández-Sánchez, M.; Malcikova, J.; Hernández-Rivas, J.-M.; Pospisilova, S. Next-Generation Sequencing in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: Recent Findings and New Horizons. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8, 71234–71248.
17. Lanemo Myhrinder, A.; Hellqvist, E.; Bergh, A.-C.; Jansson, M.; Nilsson, K.; Hultman, P.; Jonasson, J.; Buhl, A.M.; Bredo Pedersen, L.; Jurlander, J.; et al. Molecular Characterization of Neoplastic and Normal “Sister” Lymphoblastoid B-Cell Lines from Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *Leuk. Lymphoma* 2013, 54, 1769–1779.
18. Bertilaccio, M.T.S.; Scielzo, C.; Simonetti, G.; Ten Hacken, E.; Apollonio, B.; Ghia, P.; Caligaris-Cappio, F. Xenograft Models of Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: Problems, Pitfalls and Future Directions. *Leukemia* 2013, 27, 534–540.
19. Simonetti, G.; Bertilaccio, M.T.S.; Ghia, P.; Klein, U. Mouse Models in the Study of Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia Pathogenesis and Therapy. *Blood* 2014, 124, 1010–1019.
20. Herman, S.E.M.; Wiestner, A. Preclinical Modeling of Novel Therapeutics in Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: The Tools of the Trade. *Semin. Oncol.* 2016, 43, 222–232.

21. Urnov, F.D.; Rebar, E.J.; Holmes, M.C.; Zhang, H.S.; Gregory, P.D. Genome Editing with Engineered Zinc Finger Nucleases. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2010, 11, 636–646.
22. Joung, J.K.; Sander, J.D. TALENs: A Widely Applicable Technology for Targeted Genome Editing. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2013, 14, 49–55.
23. Hsu, P.D.; Lander, E.S.; Zhang, F. Development and Applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for Genome Engineering. *Cell* 2014, 157, 1262–1278.
24. Cong, L.; Ran, F.A.; Cox, D.; Lin, S.; Barretto, R.; Habib, N.; Hsu, P.D.; Wu, X.; Jiang, W.; Marraffini, L.A.; et al. Multiplex Genome Engineering Using CRISPR/Cas Systems. *Science* 2013, 339, 819–823.
25. Mali, P.; Yang, L.; Esvelt, K.M.; Aach, J.; Guell, M.; DiCarlo, J.E.; Norville, J.E.; Church, G.M. RNA-Guided Human Genome Engineering via Cas9. *Science* 2013, 339, 823–826.
26. Doudna, J.A.; Charpentier, E. Genome Editing. The New Frontier of Genome Engineering with CRISPR-Cas9. *Science* 2014, 346, 1258096.
27. Sánchez-Rivera, F.J.; Jacks, T. Applications of the CRISPR-Cas9 System in Cancer Biology. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2015, 15, 387–395.
28. Xiong, X.; Chen, M.; Lim, W.A.; Zhao, D.; Qi, L.S. CRISPR/Cas9 for Human Genome Engineering and Disease Research. *Annu. Rev. Genom. Hum. Genet.* 2016, 17, 131–154.
29. Choi, P.S.; Meyerson, M. Targeted Genomic Rearrangements Using CRISPR/Cas Technology. *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 3728.
30. Torres, R.; Martin, M.C.; Garcia, A.; Cigudosa, J.C.; Ramirez, J.C.; Rodriguez-Perales, S. Engineering Human Tumour-Associated Chromosomal Translocations with the RNA-Guided CRISPR-Cas9 System. *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 3964.
31. Xiao, A.; Wang, Z.; Hu, Y.; Wu, Y.; Luo, Z.; Yang, Z.; Zu, Y.; Li, W.; Huang, P.; Tong, X.; et al. Chromosomal Deletions and Inversions Mediated by TALENs and CRISPR/Cas in Zebrafish. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013, 41, e141.
32. Essletzbichler, P.; Konopka, T.; Santoro, F.; Chen, D.; Gapp, B.V.; Kralovics, R.; Brummelkamp, T.R.; Nijman, S.M.B.; Bürckstümmer, T. Megabase-Scale Deletion Using CRISPR/Cas9 to Generate a Fully Haploid Human Cell Line. *Genome Res.* 2014, 24, 2059–2065.
33. Qi, L.S.; Larson, M.H.; Gilbert, L.A.; Doudna, J.A.; Weissman, J.S.; Arkin, A.P.; Lim, W.A. Repurposing CRISPR as an RNA-Guided Platform for Sequence-Specific Control of Gene Expression. *Cell* 2013, 152, 1173–1183.
34. Gilbert, L.A.; Larson, M.H.; Morsut, L.; Liu, Z.; Brar, G.A.; Torres, S.E.; Stern-Ginossar, N.; Brandman, O.; Whitehead, E.H.; Doudna, J.A.; et al. CRISPR-Mediated Modular RNA-Guided Regulation of Transcription in Eukaryotes. *Cell* 2013, 154, 442–451.

35. Pickar-Oliver, A.; Gersbach, C.A. The next Generation of CRISPR-Cas Technologies and Applications. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2019, 20, 490–507.
36. Gilbert, L.A.; Horlbeck, M.A.; Adamson, B.; Villalta, J.E.; Chen, Y.; Whitehead, E.H.; Guimaraes, C.; Panning, B.; Ploegh, H.L.; Bassik, M.C.; et al. Genome-Scale CRISPR-Mediated Control of Gene Repression and Activation. *Cell* 2014, 159, 647–661.
37. Shalem, O.; Sanjana, N.E.; Hartenian, E.; Shi, X.; Scott, D.A.; Mikkelsen, T.; Heckl, D.; Ebert, B.L.; Root, D.E.; Doench, J.G.; et al. Genome-Scale CRISPR-Cas9 Knockout Screening in Human Cells. *Science* 2014, 343, 84–87.
38. Tzelepis, K.; Koike-Yusa, H.; De Braekeleer, E.; Li, Y.; Metzakopian, E.; Dovey, O.M.; Mupo, A.; Grinkevich, V.; Li, M.; Mazan, M.; et al. A CRISPR Dropout Screen Identifies Genetic Vulnerabilities and Therapeutic Targets in Acute Myeloid Leukemia. *Cell Rep.* 2016, 17, 1193–1205.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/54751>