

Sweetness Perception of Food/Beverages

Subjects: Food Science & Technology

Contributor: Qian Wang

When it comes to eating and drinking, multiple factors from diverse sensory modalities have been shown to influence multisensory flavour perception and liking. These factors have heretofore been strictly divided into either those that are intrinsic to the food itself (e.g., food colour, aroma, texture), or those that are extrinsic to it (e.g., related to the packaging, receptacle or external environment).

Keywords: sugar reduction ; multisensory integration ; intrinsic factors ; extrinsic factors ; sweetness perception

1. Introduction

Eating and drinking are amongst the most multisensory of the experiences that we have. When people think about the consumption of food and drink, the senses of taste and smell usually come to mind first. However, a growing body of research conducted over the last decade or two has increasingly demonstrated that *all* of our senses play a role in influencing flavour perception (see References ^{[1][2][3]} for reviews). For instance, recalling the experience of eating an apple will usually evoke not just taste and smell, but also its colour, weight, shape, its firmness, crunchiness, juiciness and even the sound of chewing and perhaps its provenance (e.g., supermarket, organic, local, or the tree in the backyard).

A large body of research now supports the view that both *food-intrinsic* sensory factors (e.g., product colour, aroma, texture, viscosity, etc.) as well as *food-extrinsic* factors (e.g., visual, olfactory, and tactile properties of product packaging or servingware, background music, ambient lighting, temperature and aroma, etc.) play a role in determining whether we accept and how we perceive food and beverages (e.g., for intrinsic factors ^{[2][4][5]} and for extrinsic factors ^{[6][7][8][9][10][11][12]}). What is less clear, however, is how these different factors interact and the relative importance of intrinsic and extrinsic factors to our perception of, not to mention our behaviour towards, food and drink.

In this review, we focus on how intrinsic and extrinsic factors can enhance the perception of sweetness in foods and beverages and address the question of how (and if) they can be combined in order to deliver an enhanced perception of sweetness. The decision to target the perception of sweetness is informed by the growing public health concern over excessive sugar consumption. The consumption of sweet foods has been argued to be one of the major contributors to the current obesity epidemic, with more than 3 million deaths globally each year ^{[13][14][15][16]}. Moreover, sugar reduction is of critical concern to major food and beverage companies such as PepsiCo, Givaudan, and Arla, who have been engaging in a number of major initiatives in order to reduce added sugars and develop naturally resourced sweeteners ^{[17][18][19]}. Therefore, a multisensory, psychological model of sweetness perception is especially important when it comes to the design of sugar-reduced/replaced foods and beverages.

Hutchings et al. ^[20] recently outlined four general strategies for sugar reduction. Sugar substitution, altering food structure (e.g., heterogeneously distributing sucrose, modifying tastant release, or reducing particle size), gradual long-term sugar reduction, and using the principles of multisensory integration. However, Hutchings et al. ^[20] do not address the role of product-extrinsic factors in sweetness perception.

2. Food-Intrinsic versus Food-Extrinsic Influences on Sweetness Perception

In the following section, we will target each sensory modality in turn and review the literature on the intrinsic and/or extrinsic cues regarding their influence on sweetness perception. Table 1 provides a representative summary of studies demonstrating sweetness enhancement effects from the influence of different sensory modalities.

Table 1. A representative selection of studies demonstrating sweetness enhancement via food-intrinsic and extrinsic sensory cues.

Study	Sense	Intrinsic or Extrinsic	Sweet Enhancing Stimuli	Control/Comparison Stimuli	Taste Stimuli	Scale	% Difference
Crisinel et al. (2012) ^[7]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Sweet soundtrack	Bitter soundtrack	Cinder toffee	1–9 rating (bitter–sweet)	15%
Höchenberger et al. (2018) ^[21]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Sweet soundtrack	Bitter soundtrack	Toffee	0–100 rating (bitter–sweet)	8%
Höchenberger et al. (2018) ^[21]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Sweet soundtrack	Bitter soundtrack	Toffee	0–100 rating (sweet, bitter, salt, sour)	No significant difference
Reinoso Carvalho et al. (2016) ^[9]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Sweet soundtrack	Bitter soundtrack	Belgian beer	1–7 rating sweetness	20%
Reinoso Carvalho et al. (2016) ^[9]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Sweet soundtrack	Sour soundtrack	Belgian beer	1–7 rating sweetness	20%
Reinoso Carvalho et al. (2017) ^[22]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Legato soundtrack	Staccato soundtrack	Dark chocolate	1–7 rating sweetness	11%
Wang and Spence, (2016) ^[23]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Consonant soundtrack	Dissonant soundtrack	Fruit juice (apple, orange, grapefruit)	1–10 rating (sour–sweet)	19%
Wang and Spence (2017) ^[24]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Consonant soundtrack	Dissonant soundtrack	Fruit juice (apple, orange, grapefruit)	0–10 rating (sour–sweet)	17%
Wang and Spence, (2017) ^[25]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Sweet soundtrack	Sour soundtrack	Off-dry white wine	0–10 rating sweetness	19%
Wang et al. (2019) ^[26]	Hearing	Extrinsic	Sweet soundtrack	Bitter soundtrack	Apple elderflower juice	1–9 rating sweetness	8%
Carvalho and Spence (2019) ^[27]	Sight	Extrinsic	Pink coffee cup	White coffee cup	Espresso	0–10 rating (sweetness)	30%

Study	Sense	Intrinsic or Extrinsic	Sweet Enhancing Stimuli	Control/Comparison Stimuli	Taste Stimuli	Scale	% Difference
Clydesdale et al. (1992) ^[28]	Sight	Intrinsic	More red colouring	Less red colouring	Dry beverage base and sugar solution	1–7 rating sweetness	14%
Fairhurst et al. (2015) ^[29]	Sight	Both	Round plate and round food presentation	Angular plate and angular food presentation	Beetroot salad	0–10 rating sweetness	17%
Frank et al. (1989) ^[30]	Sight	Intrinsic	Red colouring	No colour	Sucrose solution	Rating sweetness	No effect
Hidaka and Shimoda (2014) ^[31]	Sight	Intrinsic	Pink solution	No colouring	Sucrose solution 4% and 6%	10 cm visual analogue scale (VAS) less-sweeter	40%
Johnson and Clydesdale (1982) ^[32]	Sight	Intrinsic	Darker red coloured solution	Lighter red reference solution	Sucrose solutions 2.7–5.3%	Magnitude estimation sweetness	2–10%
Lavin and Lawless (1998) ^[33]	Sight	Intrinsic	Darker red solution	Lighter red solution	Fruit beverage + aspartame to 9% sucrose level	1–9 category scale sweetness	10%
Lavin and Lawless (1998) ^[33]	Sight	Intrinsic	Lighter green solution	Darker green solution	Fruit beverage + aspartame to 9% sucrose level	1–9 category scale sweetness	8%
Maga (1974) ^[34]	Sight	Intrinsic	Red colouring	Green, yellow, uncoloured solutions	Sucrose solution	Recognition threshold	No effect
Pangborn and Hansen (1963) ^[35]	Sight	Intrinsic	Red solution	Green, yellow, uncoloured solutions	Pear nectar	Rating sweetness	No effect
Pangborn et al. (1963) ^[36]	Sight	Intrinsic	Pink colouring	Yellow, brown, light red, dark red colouring	White wine	Rating sweetness	Rose sweetest

Study	Sense	Intrinsic or Extrinsic	Sweet Enhancing Stimuli	Control/Comparison Stimuli	Taste Stimuli	Scale	% Difference
Pangborn (1960) [37]	Sight	Intrinsic	Red colouring	Green, yellow, uncoloured solutions	Sucrose solution	2-AFC (alternative forced choice) which one sweeter	No effect
Pangborn (1960) [37]	Sight	Intrinsic	Red colouring	Green, yellow, uncoloured solutions	Pear nectar	2-AFC which one sweeter	No effect
Piqueras–Fiszman et al. (2012) [8]	Sight	Extrinsic	White plate	Black plate	Strawberry mousse	10 cm sweetness scale	15%
Stewart and Goss (2013) [38]	Sight	Extrinsic	White plate	Black plate	Cheesecake	10 cm sweetness scale	28%
Wang and Spence (2017) [24]	Sight	Extrinsic	Image of happy child	Image of sad child	Fruit juice (apple, orange, grapefruit)	0–10 rating (sour–sweet)	20%
Wang et al. (2017) [39]	Sight	Intrinsic	Round shape	Angular shape	Dark chocolate	1–9 rating expected sweetness	30%
Dalton et al. (2000) [40]	Smell	Extrinsic (Orthonasal)	Benzaldehyde odour (cherry almond aroma)	No odour	Saccharin solution	Threshold test	29% increase in benzaldehyde threshold in benz + saccharin condition
Delwiche and Heffelfinger (2005) [41]	Smell	Intrinsic (Retronasal)	Pineapple odour, high concentration	Pineapple odour, lower concentration	Aspartame/acesulfame potassium solution	2-AFC threshold detection	Additive taste-odour
Frank and Byram (1988) [42]	Smell	Intrinsic (Retronasal)	Strawberry odour	No odour	Sweetened whipped cream	0–20 rating sweetness	13% at 0.6 M and 1.2 M; 40% at 0.25 M
Frank et al., 1989 [30]	Smell	Intrinsic (Retronasal)	Strawberry odour	No odour	Sucrose solution	0–20 rating sweetness	–18% at 0.3 M, 7% at 0.5 M concentration

References

1. Auvray, M.; Spence, C. The multisensory perception of flavor. *Conscious Cog.* 2018, 17, 1016–1031.
2. Delwiche, J. The impact of perceptual interactions on perceived flavor. *Food Qual. Pref.* 2004, 15, 137–146.
3. Stevenson, R.J. Attention and flavor binding. In *Multisensory Flavor Perception: From Fundamental Neuroscience through to the Marketplace*; Piqueras-Fiszman, B., Spence, C., Eds.; Elsevier: Duxford, UK, 2016; pp. 15–35.
4. Mielby, L.H.; Andersen, B.V.; Jensen, S.; Kildegaard, H.; Kuznetsova, A.; Eggers, N.; Brockhoff, P.B.; Byrne, D.V. Changes in sensory characteristics and their relation with consumers' liking, wanting and sensory satisfaction: Using

dietary fibre and lime flavour in Stevia rebaudiana sweetened fruit beverages. *Food Res. Int.* 2016, 82, 14–21.

5. Schifferstein, H.N.J. Intrinsic or Sweet Control/Comparison Taste Stimuli Scale % Difference
 Study 5. Schifferstein, H.N.J. The drinking experience. Cup or content? *Food Qual. Pref.* 2009, 20, 268–276.

6. Ares, G.; Deliza, R. Studying the influence of package shape and colour on consumer expectations of milk desserts using word association and conjoint analysis. *Food Qual. Pref.* 2010, 21, 930–937.

7. Ordóñez, A.-S.; Oros, S.; King, S.; Jones, L.; Petrie, J.; Spence, C. A bitter-sweet symphony: Systematically 25%
 (1996) [43] (1996) [43] Intrinsic (Retronasal) Strawberry 150 mm
 7. Ordóñez, A.-S.; Oros, S.; King, S.; Jones, L.; Petrie, J.; Spence, C. A bitter-sweet symphony: Systematically 25%
 (1996) [43] (1996) [43] Intrinsic (Retronasal) Strawberry 150 mm
 7. Ordóñez, A.-S.; Oros, S.; King, S.; Jones, L.; Petrie, J.; Spence, C. A bitter-sweet symphony: Systematically 25%
 (1996) [43] (1996) [43] Intrinsic (Retronasal) Strawberry 150 mm

8. Piquerás-Fiszman, B.; Alcázar, J.; Roura, E.; Spence, C. Cadeit the plate Apple the food? Assessing the influence of the 1–9 rating
 (2019) [29] (2019) [29] Pomegranate aroma 1–9 rating sweetness
 8. Piquerás-Fiszman, B.; Alcázar, J.; Roura, E.; Spence, C. Cadeit the plate Apple the food? Assessing the influence of the 1–9 rating
 (2019) [29] (2019) [29] Pomegranate aroma 1–9 rating sweetness

9. Carvalho, F.R.; Wang, Q.J.; Van Ee, R.; Spence, C. The influence of soundscapes on the perception and evaluation of Biscuits in
 beers. *Food Qual. Pref.* 2016, 52, 32–41. smooth plate

10. Spence, C. *Gastrophysics: The New Science of Eating*; Viking Penguin: London, UK, 2017. How did the likely to be
 (2016) [44] Touch Extrinsic Rough plate Smooth plate Biscuits biscuits rated as

11. Velasco, C.; Spence, C. The role of typeface in packaging design. In *Multisensory Packaging: Designing New Product compared to
 Experiences*; Velasco, C., Spence, C., Eds.; Palgrave MacMillan: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 79–101. those in
 a rough plate

12. Wang, Q.J.; Keller, S.; Spence, C. The sound of spiciness: Enhancing the evaluation of piquancy by means of a
 customized crossmodally congruent soundtrack. *Food Qual. Pref.* 2017, 58, 1–9.

13. Johnson, R.J.; Segal, M.S.; Sautin, Y.; Nakagawa, T.; Feig, D.I.; Kang, D.; Gersch, M.S.; Benner, S.; Sánchez-Lozada, 1–7 rating
 van Rompay et al. (2016) [45] Potential role of sugar (fructose) in the epidemic of hypertension, obesity and the metabolic syndrome, diabetes, 20%
 kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2007, 86, 899–906. Rounded cup Angular cup surface pattern Hot coffee and chocolate sweetness

14. Malik, V.S.; Schulze, M.B.; Hu, F.B. Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: A systematic review. *Am. J. 1–9 rating
 Nutr.* 2006, 84, 274–288. Off-dry white wine (10 1–9 rating 13%
 Spence Touch Extrinsic Velvet swatch Sandpaper swatch 0/L) sweetness

15. Maier, L.K.; Wachowiak, M.; Katz, D.B. Chemosensory convergence on primary olfactory cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 2012, 32, 17037–17047.

16. Varnaman, L.R.; Schwartz, M.B.; Brownell, K.D. Effects of soft drink consumption on nutrition and health: A systematic 1–7 rating
 review and meta-analysis. *Am. J. Public Health* 2009, 99, 667–675. Fortified red dessert 1–7 rating 14%
 (2018) [46] wine (110 g/L) sweetness

17. Sugar Reduction: Blend the Trends with Functional Formulations. Available online: (accessed on 21 May 2019).

18. Why Sugar Is on Everyone’s Lips. Available online: (accessed on 21 May 2019).

19. Khan, M. PepsiCo R&D: A catalyst for change in the food and beverage industry. *New Food* 2015, 16, 10–13.

20. Hutchings, S.C.; Low, J.Y.Q.; Keast, S.J. Sugar reduction without compromising sensory perception. An impossible dream? *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2018.

21. Höchenberger, R.; Ohla, K. A bittersweet symphony: Evidence for taste-sound correspondences without effects on

3. A Neuroscientific Perspective on Sensory Interactions

22. Carvalho, F.R.; Wang, Q.J.; Van Ee, R.; Persoone, D.; Spence, C. “Smooth operator”: Music modulates the perceived 3.1. The Role of Multisensory Flavour Perception
 creaminess, sweetness, and bitterness of chocolate. *Appetite* 2017, 108, 383–390.

23. Wary, O.J.; Spence, C. Striking a soul note: Assessing the influence of consonant and dissonant music on taste perception. *Multisens. Res.* 2016, 29, 193–208.

24. Wang, Q.J.; Spence, C. “A sweet smile”: The modulatory role of emotion in how extrinsic factors influence taste system that controls ingestion, with the goal of picking up all available information about the food that is about to enter the evaluation. *Cogn. Emot.* 2017, 32, 1052–1061.

25. Wang, Q.J.; Spence, C. Assessing the influence of music on wine perception amongst wine professionals. *Food Sci. Nutr.* 2017, 52, 211–217.

26. Warr, Q. Orthogonal Factorial and Analysis of Variance. In *Statistical Quality Control*; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2003; pp. 1–12.

27. Carvalho, F.; Spence, C. Cup colour influences consumers’ expectations and experience on tasting specialty coffee: ingestion as a way of mitigating and curtailing ingestion (e.g., [51]). Finally, learned associations are formed between

different sensory stimuli as a result of the eating process (e.g., many red-coloured fruits are ripe and sweet [52]).

28. Clydesdale, F.M.; Gover, R.; Philipsen, D.H.; Fugardi, C. The effect of color on thirst quenching, sweetness, acceptability and flavour intensity in fruit punch flavored beverages. *J. Food Qual.* 1992, 15, 19–38.

29. Caporaso, M.; Barchiesi, D.; Spina, D.; Pappalardo, S. The plate-combination system: Small-bore experiences to change flavour perception. *Flavour* 2015, 4, 22.

Just as the tactile system combines disparate information from various parts of the body and various different classes of

30. Frank, P.A. Dumbbells, ketchup, and the brain: multisensory perception, extrinsic factors, and the brain. *Food Qual. Psychol.* 2014, 15, 27–37.

31. Hidaoka, S.; Shimoda, K. Investigation of the effects of color on judgments of sweetness using a taste adaptation method. *Multisens. Res.* 2014, 27, 189–209.

32. Johnson, J.; Clydesdale, F.M. Perceived sweetness and redness in colored sucrose solutions. *J. Food Sci.* 1982, 47, 747–752.

order to help form taste/flavour evaluations.

33. Lavin, J.G.; Lawless, H.T. Effects of color and odor on judgments of sweetness among children and adults. *Food Qual. Psychol.* 1990, 9, 203–209.

3.2 Evidence of Multisensory Flavour Perception in the Brain

34. Maganz, A. Multisensory perception of taste and texture. *Chem. Senses* 1974, 1, 151–159.

35. Pangborn, R.M.; Hansen, B. The influence of color on discrimination of sweetness and sourness in pear-nectar. *Am. J. Psychol.* 1963, 76, 315.

4. A Framework for How Intrinsic and Extrinsic Factors Influence Multisensory Flavour Perception

36. Pangborn, R.M.; Berg, H.W.; Hansen, B. The influence of color on discrimination of sweetness in dry table-wine. *Am. J. Psychol.* 1963, 76, 492.

37. Pangborn, R.M.; Berg, H.W.; Hansen, B. The influence of color on discrimination of sweetness. *Am. J. Psychol.* 1960, 73, 229–238.

38. Stewart, R.C.; Goss, E. Plate shape and colour interact to influence taste and quality judgments. *Flavour* 2013, 2, 27–30.

39. Wang, Q.J.; Remond-Claville, F.; Perschon, D.; Spence, C. Assessing the effect of shape on expected and actual (and sometimes during) the consumption of food, and the interoceptive senses (retronasal olfaction, oral-somatosensation and gustation) are those that are stimulated during eating [60]. In the latter case, the relevant senses are taste, retronasal

40. Dalton, P.; Doolittle, N.; Nagata, H.; Breslin, P.A.S. The merging of the senses: Integration of subthreshold taste and smell, oral-somatosensation and the sounds associated with the consumption of food. Different brain mechanisms may be involved in these two cases. *Small et al.* [61] found different and overlapping neurological representations of anticipatory

41. Delwiche, J.; Jeffelinger, A.L. Cross-modal additivity of taste and smell. *J. Sens. Stud.* 2005, 20, 137–146.

42. Ouzia, S.; Graybiel, J. Taste and smell: the left insula/operculum responds preferentially to the taste of the drink itself. The right insula/operculum and left OFC responded preferentially to both anticipatory and consumptive

43. Schifferstein, H.N.J.; Verlegh, P.W.J. The role of congruency and pleasantness in odor-induced taste enhancement. *Acta Psychol.* 1996, 94, 87–105.

44. Biggs, L.; Juravle, G.; Spence, C. Haptic exploration of plateware alters the perceived texture and taste of food. *Food Qual. Psychol.* 2016, 50, 129–134.

45. Van Rompay, T.; Jijun, F.; Saakes, D.; Pank, A. The role of congruency and pleasantness in odor-induced taste enhancement. *Acta Psychol.* 1996, 94, 87–105.

46. Wang, Q.J.; Spence, C. A smooth wine? Haptic influences on wine evaluation. *Int. J. Gastron. Food Sci.* 2018, 14, 9–13.

Human neuroimaging and animal electrophysiology has shown that expectations can modulate sensory processing at

47. Gibson, J.J. *The Senses: Considered as Perceptual Systems*; Houghton Mifflin: Boston, MA, USA, 1966.

48. Stevenson, R.J. *The Psychology of Flavour*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2009.

49. Chalé-Rush, A.; Burgess, J.R.; Mattes, R.D. Multiple routes of chemosensitivity to free fatty acids in humans. *Am. J. Physiol.-Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2007, 292, G1206–G1212.

50. Green, B.G.; Lawless, H.T. The psychophysics of somatosensory chemoreception in the nose and mouth. In *Smell and Taste in Health and Disease*; Getchell, T.V., Bartshuk, L.M., Doty, R.L., Snow, J.B., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, NY, USA, 1991; pp. 235–253.

51. Green, B.G.; Lawless, H.T. The psychophysics of somatosensory chemoreception in the nose and mouth. In *Smell and Taste in Health and Disease*; Getchell, T.V., Bartshuk, L.M., Doty, R.L., Snow, J.B., Eds.; Raven Press: New York, NY, USA, 1991; pp. 235–253.

52. Spence, C.; Levitan, E.; Shankar, M.U.; Zampini, M. Does food color influence taste and flavor perception in humans? *Chemosens. Percept.* 2010, 3, 68–84.

Alternatively, however, it may well be that people simply attend more to the stimuli within their bodies as compared to those stimuli that are situated externally [55], and that this influence biased the pattern of sensory dominance that was

53. Ernst, M.O. Learning to integrate arbitrary signals from vision and touch. *J. Vis.* 2007, 7, 7.

54. Parise, C.V.; Spence, C. “When birds of a feather flock together”: Synesthetic correspondences modulate audiovisual given that above considerations, the same divide, it may be more appropriate, with

55. Spence, C. Crossmodal correspondences: A tutorial review. *Atten. Percept. Psychophys.* 2011, 73, 971–995.

56. Small, D.M. Taste representation in the human insula. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 2010, 214, 551–561.

57. Small, D.M.; Zald, D.H.; Jones-Gotman, M.; Zatorre, R.J.; Pardo, J.V.; Frey, S.; Petrides, M. Human cortical gustatory areas: A review of functional neuroimaging data. *NeuroReport* 1999, 10, 7–14.

58. Ervilha, J.C.; et al. The representation of the human olfactory bulb. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 2004, 209, 249–260.

olfactory stimuli are detected in the nose (e.g., [74][75][76], see Reference [77] for a review), the

59. Gunes, S.; Grabenhorst, F.; Essick, G.C.; Oberdorfer, M.; Nowly, M.; McGlone, F. Oral and Olfactory Referral: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Physiol. Behav.* 2007, **92**, 975–984.
60. Spence, C.; Piqueras-Fiszman, B. *The Perfect Meal: The Multisensory Science of Food and Dining*; Wiley-Blackwell: Oxford, UK, 2014.
61. Small, D.M.; Veldhuizen, M.G.; Felsted, J.; Mak, Y.E.; McGlone, F. Separable substrates for anticipatory and consummatory food chemosensation. *Neuron* 2008, **57**, 786–797.
62. Stevenson, R.J. Flavor binding: Its nature and cause. *Psychol. Bull.* 2014, **140**, 487–510.
63. Van der Klaauw, N.J.; Frank, R.A. Scaling component intensities of complex stimuli: The influence of response alternatives. *Environ. Int.* 1996, **22**, 21–31.
64. Deliza, R.; MacFie, H.J.H. The generation of sensory expectation by external cues and its effect on sensory perception and hedonic ratings: A review. *J. Sens. Stud.* 1996, **11**, 103–128.
65. Hutchings, J.P. *Expectations and the Food Industry: The Impact of Color and Appearance*; Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 2003.
66. Cardello, A.V.; Sawyer, F. Effects of consumer expectations on consumer acceptance of food acceptability. *Sens. Stud.* 1992, **7**, 253–277.
67. Cardello, A.V. Measuring consumer expectations to improve food product development. In *Consumer-Led Food Product Development*; MacFie, H.J.H., Ed.; Woodhead Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2007; pp. 223–261.
68. Shankar, M.U.; Levitan, C.; Spence, C. Grape expectations: The role of cognitive influences in color-flavor interactions. *Conscious Cogn.* 2010, **19**, 380–390.

69. De Lange, F.P.; Heilbrunn, M.; Kok, P. How do expectations shape perception? *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 2018, **1811**, 1–16.

7.5. Combining Intrinsic and Extrinsic Influences

70. Piqueras-Fiszman, B.; Spence, C. Sensory expectations based on product-extrinsic food cues: An interdisciplinary review of the empirical evidence and theoretical accounts. *Food Qual. Pref.* 2015, **40**, 165–179.
71. Koza, B.J.; Cilmi, A.; Dolese, M.; Zellner, D.A. Color enhances orthonasal olfactory intensity and reduces retronasal olfactory intensity. *Chem. Senses* 2005, **30**, 643–649.
72. Christensen, C.M. Effects of solution viscosity on perceived saltiness and sweetness. *Psychophys.* 1980, **28**, 347–353.
73. Zellner, D.A.; Kautz, M.A. Color affects perceived odor intensity. *J. Exp. Psychol. Hum. Percept. Perform.* 1990, **16**, 391–397.
74. Hollingworth, H.L.; Poffenberger, A.T. *The Sense of Taste*; Moffat Yard: New York, NY, USA, 1917.
75. Rozin, P. “Taste-smell confusions” and the duality of the olfactory sense. *Percept. Psychophys.* 1982, **31**, 397–401.
76. Stevenson, R.J.; Oaten, M.J.; Mahmut, M.K. The role of attention in the localization of odors to the mouth. *Atten. Percept. Psychophys.* 2011, **73**, 247–258.
77. Spence, C. Oral referral: On the mislocalization of odours to the mouth. *Food Qual. Pref.* 2016, **50**, 117–128.
78. Spence, C.; Smith, B.; Auvray, M. Confusing tastes and flavours. In *Perception and Its Modalities*; Stokes, D., Matthen, M., Biggs, S., Eds.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 2015; pp. 247–274.
79. Ashkenazi, A.; Marks, L.E. Effect of endogenous attention on detection of weak gustatory and olfactory flavors. *Percept. Psychophys.* 2004, **66**, 596–608.
80. Lim, J.; Johnson, M.B. Potential mechanisms of retronasal odor referral to the mouth. *Chem. Senses* 2011, **36**, 283–289.
81. Lim, J.; Johnson, M. The role of congruency in retronasal odor referral to the mouth. *Chem. Senses* 2012, **37**, 515–521.
82. Lim, J.; Fujimaru, T.; Linscott, T.D. The role of congruency in taste-odor interactions. *Food Qual. Pref.* 2014, **34**, 5–13.
83. Linscott, T.D.; Lim, J. Retronasal odor enhancement by salty and umami tastes. *Food Qual. Pref.* 2016, **48**, 1–10.
84. Meredith, M.A.; Stein, B.E. Visual, auditory, and somatosensory convergence on cells in superior colliculus results in multisensory integration. *J. Neurophysiol.* 1986, **56**, 640–662.
85. Spence, C.; Velasco, C.; Knoeferle, K. A large sample study on the influence of the multisensory environment on the wine drinking experience. *Flavour* 2014, **3**, 8.

