Surrogate-Based Optimisation

Subjects: Computer Science, Artificial Intelligence Contributor: Laurens Bliek

Surrogate-based optimisation (SBO) algorithms are a powerful technique that combine machine learning and optimisation to solve expensive optimisation problems. This type of problem appears when dealing with computationally expensive simulators or algorithms. By approximating the expensive part of the optimisation problem with a surrogate, the number of expensive function evaluations can be reduced.

surrogate-based optimisation surrogate model sequential model-based optimisation

Bayesian optimisation

Green Al

machine learning

sustainable AI

1. Introduction

While undergoing the climate crisis with no easy global solution in sight, some have turned their attention to Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a key technology in the pathway towards a sustainable future ^{[1][2]}, causing the rise of new AI initiatives such as Climate Change AI ^[3] and AI for Good ^[4]. Though it is unlikely that any one technology will be the solution to one of humanity's greatest challenges, Machine Learning (ML) in particular is seen as a technique with potentially a large positive impact on the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals ^[5] (SDGs), for example in forecasting extreme weather events, balancing supply and demand of renewable energy systems, designing zero-emission transportation systems, identifying woodlands from satellite data, and fault detection in wind turbines ^{[1][6][7][8][9]}. This does not come without cost, however, as it turns out ML is a technology with a substantial carbon footprint ^{[2][10]}. This has also been recognised in several sub-fields of ML such as natural language processing ^[11] and Automated ML ^[12] (AutoML). However, one sub-field of ML, namely, Surrogate-Based Optimisation (SBO), has not yet achieved similar positive or negative attention, even though it is particularly suitable for reducing energy consumption. In fact, SBO techniques are often especially designed to avoid having to run computationally expensive software. This is done by using an ML model as a surrogate of an expensive part of an optimisation problem.

2. Surrogate-Based Optimisation

Motivated by the need for efficiently solving expensive optimisation problems, SBO algorithms such as efficient global optimisation ^[13] and Bayesian optimisation ^[14] have been developed. These algorithms make use of ML to guide the search for good solutions. The expensive optimisation problems they are designed for can involve computationally demanding simulators, or problems that depend on the outcome of other ML or optimisation algorithms. The problems are also considered 'black-box', meaning that no exact mathematical formulation is

available that could be exploited. Examples are designing heat pump systems ^[15] and diabetes drug manufacturing ^[16]. The expensive part of the optimisation problem is approximated with a surrogate model in order to reduce the number of expensive computations. The surrogate model is obtained using ML on the available data of the expensive optimisation problem, and is typically updated during the optimisation process as more data becomes available; see **Figure 1**. The surrogate model is used inside the optimisation process, which makes SBO a powerful combination of ML and optimisation. A recent textbook introduction to SBO can be found in chapter 10 of ^[17].



Figure 1. Simplified framework of a typical SBO algorithm. Optimisation is applied to the surrogate model instead of the expensive simulator or algorithm, giving a candidate solution. This solution is evaluated by the simulator or algorithm. The resulting outcome is given to the surrogate model to be updated using machine learning, making it more accurate over time. This gives better candidate solutions and therefore better outcomes.

As there are many synonyms of 'surrogate model' to be found in the literature, such as 'response surface model' or 'metamodel', and many related terms as well, such as 'sequential model-based optimisation', 'Bayesian optimisation', or 'AutoML', it should come as no surprise that an exact definition of SBO is lacking. This work assumes the following broad definition:

Definition 1.

Surrogate-based optimisation (SBO) is an optimisation technique that makes use of a surrogate model obtained using machine learning, usually to replace an expensive part of the optimisation problem.

Note that this definition makes no distinction between iterative and non-iterative methods, or between surrogatebased and surrogate-assisted methods. The corresponding optimisation problem is given as

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{x\in X} f(x)$$
,

(1)

where $f: \mathbb{R}d \to \mathbb{R}m$ consists of m objectives that are the outcome of an expensive simulator or algorithm, $x \in \mathbb{R}d$ consists of the decision variables, and $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}d$ consists of the search space for the decision variables, including any constraints.

The expensive part of the optimisation Equation (<u>1</u>), which is usually *f* itself, is approximated with a machine learning model *g*, called the surrogate model. This is done using the outcomes obtained so far:

$$\min_{g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L\left(g\left(x_{i}\right) - f\left(x_{i}\right)\right), \qquad (2)$$

where *L* is a loss function such as the mean squared error or negative log-likelihood, and *n* is the current iteration of the SBO algorithm. Common surrogate models are Gaussian Processes ^[14] and random forests ^[18], among others. The surrogate model g is used to provide a candidate solution by finding the maximum of a so-called acquisition function α :

$$\underset{x \in X}{\operatorname{argmax}} \quad \alpha\left(g\left(x\right)\right). \tag{3}$$

This problem is much easier to solve than the original Equation (<u>1</u>) due to *g* having a closed form that is easy to evaluate; therefore, traditional optimisation methods such as derivative-based methods can be used. The acquisition function α is used to balance the trade-off between exploration and exploitation. Example acquisition functions are Expected Improvement, Upper Confidence Bound, Thompson sampling, and Entropy Search [14][19]. While the details of SBO algorithms can differ, they all contain a learning part as in (<u>2</u>) and an optimisation part as in (<u>3</u>).

3. Sustainability and Surrogate-Based Optimisation

This section proposes three definitions concerning the intersection of sustainability and SBO. Together, they are called Sustainable SBO (SSBO). Following the terminology of ^[2], where the distinction is made between AI for Sustainability, i.e., using AI as a tool to achieve sustainability, and Sustainability of AI, i.e., taking carbon footprints and energy consumption into account when developing AI methods, one can define similar notions for SSBO:

Definition 2.

SBO for sustainability is concerned with applying SBO to sustainable applications, for example those that work towards the United Nations SDGs.

Definition 3.

Sustainability of SBO is concerned with making sure the SBO algorithm itself is sustainable, e.g., does not significantly contribute to greenhouse gas emissions, has low energy consumption, is transparent about its computation costs, etc. This holds for both the ML part and the optimisation part of SBO.

However, unlike in AI or ML in general, SBO is concerned with another aspect related to sustainability. As SBO is often used to prevent the prohibitive costs of running computationally expensive simulators multiple times, these 'savings' can be considered part of SSBO as well. The following definition is used in this work, where the name Sustainability with SBO is chosen to stay in line with the existing terminology:

Definition 4.

Sustainability with SBO is concerned with the prevention of running computationally expensive software, such as simulators or algorithms, more times than necessary.

'More times than necessary' is ill-defined here, but a comparison can be made with any method that would be used if SBO algorithms did not exist, for example randomly searching for good outcomes of a simulator or algorithm, or applying other black-box optimisation techniques that do not make use of ML surrogates, e.g., metaheuristic algorithms.

It is this last aspect of SBO that sets it apart from other AI techniques, as the main goal of SBO is to reduce the number of expensive function evaluations for some objective function. In fact, Definition 4 is the actual purpose for which SBO has been developed in the first place, starting with algorithms such as EGO for expensive black-box optimisation ^[13]. At the same time, such types of 'energy savings' that are the result of using less expensive function evaluations, must be considered carefully when compared to the other SSBO definitions, so as to prevent falling into the trap of Jevons' paradox ^[20]. This paradox, when translated to the case of SSBO, could counter-intuitively result in using SBO with the same or an even higher number of function evaluations than any other algorithm because it is so efficient, which results in no savings of computational resources.

All in all, the three SSBO definitions must be carefully weighed against each other, similar to the weighing of sustainable AI notions according to Wynsberghe ^[2]: "to assess whether training or tuning of an AI model for a particular task is proportional to the carbon footprint, and general environmental impact, of that training and/or tuning". While such a 'proportionality framework' ^[2] is beyond the scope of this paper, Definition 2 is the main focus of this research, though the other SSBO definitions get some attention as well.

4. Surrogate-Based Optimisation for Sustainable Applications

4.1. Year

Due to the search terms used in this survey, all years are covered in full: the year 2022 is not over at the time of writing this paper, but this year was not included in the survey. The number of studies included in this survey increased from 2 in 2017, to 4 in 2018, 8 in 2019, 14 in 2020, and finally 17 in 2021. Even though there is room for other search queries than the one used in this work, and therefore quantitative results could be subject to bias, this does give the indication that interest in applying SBO to sustainable applications has increased over the surveyed time period. A potential explanation for this is that both sustainability and AI were popular topics in this time period, not only in public but also in private sectors. Looking at AI investments for example: "From 2015 to 2020, the total yearly corporate global investment in AI increased by 55 billion U.S. dollars" ^[21]. It is likely that research on SBO, as a subset of AI, has benefited from this popularity. At the same time, the surveyed period closely follows the adoption of the Paris Agreement ^[22] and the United Nations SDGs ^[5], which have likely had a significant impact on the research focus of the time period under consideration.

4.2. Framework

While SBO methods such as Bayesian optimisation typically use an iterative approach, where surrogate models are constantly updated and used to search for better candidate solutions, this was not the only framework in which surrogate models found here were used. Non-iterative or direct approaches were more common, probably due to not including terms like 'Bayesian optimisation' or 'sequential model-based optimisation' in the search query.

4.3. Surrogate Model

The type of surrogate model used in the included studies varied a lot, mostly depending on the framework. Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are very popular in PtO, while Gaussian Processes (GPs) or Radial Basis Functions (RBFs) are more common in other frameworks such as SMBO or BIO. As noted in one of the included studies ^[23], the popularity of ANNs can likely be explained by the success of deep learning in the last decade and by researchers having access to more powerful hardware that allows more complex models. Other surrogate models were Multivariate Adaptive Regression Spline (MARS), Support Vector Machine (SVM) or Support Vector Regression (SVR), linear and polynomial regression, piece-wise linear models, Random Forest (RF), Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), and ensembles of multiple models. A general explanation of how to use ML models such as ANNs or GPs in SBO can be found in, e.g., ^[17].

4.4. Application and Domain

The range of applications is quite broad, from groundwater management to electric vehicles. The terms in the 'application' column of **Table 1** were chosen manually after reading the studies, and might be subject to bias, especially considering the broad range of topics. Therefore, the domains of the application are also mentioned: these are retrieved from SCOPUS directly. A broad list of domains is covered, but engineering, environmental science, energy and computer science were the most common domains, covering over half of all included studies as seen in **Figure 2**. While the prominence of energy and environmental science is to be expected when searching for sustainability-related studies, the engineering domain is likely well-represented due to SBO being considered a subset of engineering optimisation ^[17]. Similarly, SBO is considered part of AI, which can be considered part of the computer science domain.



Figure 2. Domains of the studies encountered in this literature survey.

Table 1. Properties of the surveyed literature. SSBO = Sustainable Surrogate-Based Optimisation, SMBO = Sequential Model-Based Optimisation, PtO = Predict then Optimise, OtP = Optimise then Predict, PtI = Predict then Interact, BIO = Bi-level Optimisation, AutoML = Automated Machine Learning.

Study	Year	Framework Surrogate		Application Domain		SSBOOpen Questions	
[<u>24]</u>	2017	PtO	MARS	groundwater extraction	engineering	s	parallelisation
[<u>25</u>]	2017	PtO	ANN	aviation	engineering	J	dimension reduction
[<u>26</u>]	2018	PtO	ANN	food production	chemical engineering; computer science	-	assumptions
[27]	2018	BIO	unknown	land development	chemical engineering; computer science	-	-

Study Year F		Framework Surrogate		Application	Domain	SSBO	SSBOOpen Questions	
[28]	2018	SMBO	ANN	production systems	chemical engineering; chemistry	5	sustainability in objective	
[<u>29]</u>	2018	PtO	SVR	groundwater extraction	environmental science; earth and planetary sciences	-	hyperparameter optimization	
[<u>30]</u>	2019	PtO	SVR	groundwater extraction	environmental science; social sciences	-	-	
[<u>31</u>]	2019	OtP	polynomial, RBF, GP	electric vehicles	energy; engineering	-	high dimensionality	
[<u>32</u>]	2019	PtO	polynomial	outdoor thermal comfort	social sciences; engineering	-	many objectives	
[<u>33</u>]	2019	PtO	GP	thermal comfort	environmental science	-	-	
[34]	2019	review	multiple	building design	engineering	1	high dimensionality; smoothness; efficiency; interpretability	
[<u>35</u>]	2019	PtO	SVR	groundwater management	environmental science	-	multiple objectives	

Study	Year	Framework Surrogate		Application	Domain	SSBOOpen Questions	
[16]	2019	SMBO	RBF	drug manufacturing	chemical engineering; chemistry; energy; environmental science	-	multiple objectives
[<u>36]</u>	2019	OtP	ANN	building renovation	engineering	J	generalisation; efficient sampling
[<u>37</u>]	2020	BIO	ANN	water management	environmental science	-	multiple and fuzzy objectives
[<u>38]</u>	2020	PtO	ANN	solar heat system	energy; engineering; environmental science; business, management and accounting	-	-
[23]	2020	review	multiple	building design	engineering	-	incorporate behavioural data; reproducibility
[<u>39</u>]	2020	Ptl	RF; ensemble ANN	building design	engineering	-	multiple objectives; multiple users
[<u>40]</u>	2020	Ptl; PtO	RF	building design	social sciences; computer science; arts and humanities	1	multiple users
[<u>41</u>]	2020	SMBO	GP	sea transport	engineering;	-	parallelisation

Study Year		Framework Surrogate		Application	Domain	omain SSBOOpen Questi	
					computer science		
[<u>42</u>]	2020	SMBO	ANN	water management	environmental science; engineering	-	many objectives
[<u>43</u>]	2020	OtP	linear	cooling tower	engineering; environmental science	-	-
[<u>44]</u>	2020	PtO	ANN	building energy management	engineering	-	efficiency
[<u>45</u>]	2020	PtO	ANN	air conditioning	energy	-	transfer learning
[<u>46</u>]	2020	SMBO	GP	material discovery	chemical engineering; materials science	-	include historic data
[47]	2020	SMBO	polynomial	public transport	mathematics; computer science	-	complex variable interactions; visualisation
<u>[48]</u>	2020	review	multiple	hydro-cracking	energy; environmental science; social sciences	1	multidisciplinarity
[49]	2020	BIO	RBF; GP; polynomial	transportation networks	engineering; social sciences; decision sciences	-	hyperparameter optimisation; model selection

Study	Study Year Framework Surrogate		Application	Domain	SSBOOpen Questions		
[50]	2021	PtO	linear; SVM	product design	engineering; chemical engineering	-	robustness
[<u>51]</u>	2021	PtO	multiple	urban logistics	mathematics; computer science	J	robustness
[52]	2021	review; PtO	multiple	process design; material design	chemical engineering; computer science; energy; engineering; environmental science; materials science	V	high dimensionality; generalisation
[<u>53]</u> [<u>54]</u>	2021	PtO	ANN	building design	energy; materials science	\checkmark	high dimensionality and constraints
[55]	2021	SMBO	RBF	soil health	agriculture and biological sciences; computer science	~	variable reduction
[<u>56</u>]	2021	SMBO	ANN	hydropower reservoir	engineering; computer science	-	high dimensionality; parallelisation
[57]	2021	BIO	RBF	electric vehicles	business, management and accounting; engineering; social sciences	1	mixed variables and constraints
[<u>58</u>]	2021	SMBO	GP ensemble	chemical process	business, management and	1	high dimensionality; multimodality

Study Year		Framework	Surrogate	Application	Domain	SSBC		
					accounting; energy; engineering; environmental science			
[<u>59</u>]	2021	AutoML	RBF; GP	groundwater management	computer science; decision sciences; mathematics	√	generalisation	
[<u>60</u>]	2021	PtO	ANN	urban drainage systems	environmental science	1	divide problem into subproblems	
[<u>61</u>]	2021	PtO	RNN	bridge maintenance	business, management and accounting; engineering	-	-	
[<u>62</u>]	<mark>146</mark> 021	PtO	ANN	chemical process	chemical engineering; chemistry; engineering	-	robustness	hat all the by 7.6% BO. This requires ions. The
[<u>63]</u>	2021	PtO	RBF ensemble	concrete barriers	computer science; engineering; mathematics	_	-	ects were examples
[<u>64</u>]	2021	PtO	ANN	water management	energy	-	multiple objectives; accuracy	ms. Since unt wher determine ources o
[<u>15</u>]	2021	SMBO	RBF	heat pump system	energy	-	multiple objectives; constraints; robustness; discrete variables	e ML and he same is lead to

optimisation techniques that do not make use of ML. Similar savings in computation time were reported for

Study	Year	Framework Surrogate		Application	Doman	SSBO	SSBOOpen Questions		
[65]	2021	PtO	ANN	thermal comfort	engineering	\$	generalisation	putational on time or ^[25] , where	
[<u>66</u>]	2021	PtO	piece-wise linear	agricultural system	energy; chemistry; chemical engineering; environmental science	\$	high dimensionality; nonlinearity; nonconvexity	ating the than the k is taken ramework simulator timization	

frameworks transfers the computational cost of the aircraft optimization task to the ANN training process".

It should be noted that the above study was an exception: almost none of the studies included in this work mentioned this last aspect of SSBO, i.e., the computational resources of the SBO framework. What was usually mentioned is the number of samples used to train the surrogate model, but not the time used to train or optimise the surrogate. In some studies, computation times of the SBO framework were reported in the supplementary material, e.g., ^[53]. In others, such as ^[58], the ML and optimisation parts of the SBO framework were considered to be negligible, so only the total computation time of using the SBO framework and evaluating the expensive simulator together were mentioned. While it is indeed the case for many applications that the SBO framework has negligible computation time compared to the expensive simulator, this is certainly not the case for all applications, as seen with the study ^[25] earlier. Therefore, it is important to keep track of both the computation time of the SBO framework itself and the computation time spent on calling the expensive simulator, and to separate these.

References

- Vinuesa, R.; Azizpour, H.; Leite, I.; Balaam, M.; Dignum, V.; Domisch, S.; Felländer, A.; Langhans, S.D.; Tegmark, M.; Nerini, F.F. The role of artificial intelligence in achieving the Sustainable Development Goals. Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 1–10.
- 2. Wynsberghe, A.R.V. Sustainable AI: AI for sustainability and the sustainability of AI. AI Ethics 2021, 1, 213–218.
- 3. Climate Change AI. Available online: https://www.climatechange.ai/ (accessed on 17 February 2021).
- 4. AI for Good. Available online: https://ai4good.org/ (accessed on 17 February 2021).
- 5. Sustainable Development Goals. Available online: https://sdgs.un.org/goals (accessed on 17 February 2021).

- Rolnick, D.; Donti, P.L.; Kaack, L.H.; Kochanski, K.; Lacoste, A.; Sankaran, K.; Ross, A.S.; Milojevic-Dupont, N.; Jaques, N.; Waldman-Brown, A.; et al. Tackling Climate Change with Machine Learning. ACM Comput. Surv. 2022, 55, 1–96.
- 7. Wang, J.; Yang, Y.; Wang, T.; Sherratt, R.S.; Zhang, J. Big data service architecture: A survey. J. Internet Technol. 2020, 21, 393–405.
- 8. Wang, W.; Yang, Y.; Li, J.; Hu, Y.; Luo, Y.; Wang, X. Woodland labeling in Chenzhou, China, via deep learning approach. Int. J. Comput. Intell. Syst. 2020, 13, 1393.
- Maheswari, R.U.; Umamaheswari, R. Wind Turbine Drivetrain Expert Fault Detection System: Multivariate Empirical Mode Decomposition based Multi-sensor Fusion with Bayesian Learning Classification. Intell. Autom. Soft Comput. 2020, 26, 479–488.
- 10. Schwartz, R.; Dodge, J.; Smith, N.; Etzioni, O. Green AI. Commun. ACM 2020, 63, 54–63.
- Strubell, E.; Ganesh, A.; McCallum, A. Energy and Policy Considerations for Deep Learning in NLP. In Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, Florence, Italy, 28 July–2 August 2019; Association for Computational Linguistics: Florence, Italy, 2019; pp. 3645–3650.
- 12. Tornede, T.; Tornede, A.; Hanselle, J.; Wever, M.; Mohr, F.; Hullermeier, E. Towards Green Automated Machine Learning: Status Quo and Future Directions. arXiv 2021, arXiv:abs/2111.05850.
- 13. Jones, D.R.; Schonlau, M.; Welch, W.J. Efficient Global Optimization of Expensive Black-Box Functions. J. Glob. Optim. 1998, 13, 455–492.
- 14. Shahriari, B.; Swersky, K.; Wang, Z.; Adams, R.P.; de Freitas, N. Taking the Human Out of the Loop: A Review of Bayesian Optimization. Proc. IEEE 2016, 104, 148–175.
- 15. Vering, C.; Wüllhorst, F.; Mehrfeld, P.; Müller, D. Towards an integrated design of heat pump systems: Application of process intensification using two-stage optimization. Energy Convers. Manag. 2021, 250, 114888.
- Ho, C.H.; Yi, J.; Wang, X. Biocatalytic Continuous Manufacturing of Diabetes Drug: Plantwide Process Modeling, Optimization, and Environmental and Economic Analysis. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2019, 7, 1038–1051.
- 17. Martins, J.R.; Ning, A. Engineering Design Optimization; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2021.
- Lindauer, M.; Eggensperger, K.; Feurer, M.; Biedenkapp, A.; Deng, D.; Benjamins, C.; Ruhkopf, T.; Sass, R.; Hutter, F. SMAC3: A versatile Bayesian optimization package for hyperparameter optimization. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 2022, 23, 1–9.

- 19. Wang, Z.; Jegelka, S. Max-Value Entropy Search for Efficient Bayesian Optimization; ICML: Sydney, Australia, 2017; pp. 3627–3635.
- 20. Alcott, B. Jevons' paradox. Ecol. Econ. 2005, 54, 9-21.
- 21. Artificial Intelligence (AI) Worldwide—Statistics & Facts. Available online: https://www.statista.com/topics/3104/artificial-intelligence-ai-worldwide (accessed on 18 February 2021).
- 22. The Paris Agreement. Available online: https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement/the-paris-agreement (accessed on 18 February 2021).
- 23. Roman, N.D.; Bre, F.; Fachinotti, V.D.; Lamberts, R. Application and characterization of metamodels based on artificial neural networks for building performance simulation: A systematic review. Energy Build. 2020, 217, 109972.
- 24. Roy, D.K.; Datta, B. A surrogate based multi-objective management model to control saltwater intrusion in multi-layered coastal aquifer systems. Civ. Eng. Environ. Syst. 2017, 34, 238–263.
- 25. Secco, N.R.; De Mattos, B.S. Artificial neural networks to predict aerodynamic coefficients of transport airplanes. Aircr. Eng. Aerosp. Technol. 2017, 89, 211–230.
- 26. Woldesellasse, H.; Govindan, R.; Al-Ansari, T. Role of analytics within the energy, water and food nexus—An Alfalfa case study. Comput. Aided Chem. Eng. 2018, 44, 997–1002.
- 27. Avraamidou, S.; Beykal, B.; Pistikopoulos, I.P.; Pistikopoulos, E.N. A hierarchical Food-Energy-Water Nexus (FEW-N) decision-making approach for Land Use Optimization. Comput. Aided Chem. Eng. 2018, 44, 1885–1890.
- González-Garay, A.; Guillén-Gosálbez, G. SUSCAPE: A framework for the optimal design of SUStainable ChemicAl ProcEsses incorporating data envelopment analysis. Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 2018, 137, 246–264.
- Lal, A.; Datta, B. Modelling saltwater intrusion processes and development of a multi-objective strategy for management of coastal aquifers utilizing planned artificial freshwater recharge. Model. Earth Syst. Environ. 2018, 4, 111–126.
- 30. Lal, A.; Datta, B. Optimal Groundwater-Use Strategy for Saltwater Intrusion Management in a Pacific Island Country. J. Water Resour. Plan. Manag. 2019, 145, 4019032.
- 31. Chen, Y.; Dababneh, F.; Zhang, B.; Kassaee, S.; Smith, B.T.; Liu, X.; Momen, A.M. Surrogate Modeling for Capacity Planning of Charging Station Equipped With Photovoltaic Panel and Hydropneumatic Energy Storage. J. Energy Resour. Technol. 2019, 142, 50907.
- 32. Du, Y.; Mak, C.M.; Li, Y. A multi-stage optimization of pedestrian level wind environment and thermal comfort with lift-up design in ideal urban canyons. Sustain. Cities Soc. 2019, 46, 101424.

- 33. Xu, G.; Xing, X.; Nguyen, V.T.; Poh, H.J.; Lou, J. CFD-driven optimization of air supplies deployment in an air-conditioned office. IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 2019, 238, 12054.
- 34. Westermann, P.; Evins, R. Surrogate modelling for sustainable building design—A review. Energy Build. 2019, 198, 170–186.
- Lal, A.; Datta, B. Multi-objective groundwater management strategy under uncertainties for sustainable control of saltwater intrusion: Solution for an island country in the South Pacific. J. Environ. Manag. 2019, 234, 115–130.
- 36. Sharif, S.A.; Hammad, A. Developing surrogate ANN for selecting near-optimal building energy renovation methods considering energy consumption, LCC and LCA. J. Build. Eng. 2019, 25, 100790.
- 37. Hasanzadeh, S.K.; Saadatpour, M.; Afshar, A. A fuzzy equilibrium strategy for sustainable water quality management in river-reservoir system. J. Hydrol. 2020, 586, 124892.
- May Tzuc, O.; Bassam, A.; Ricalde, L.J.; Jaramillo, O.A.; Flota-Bañuelos, M.; Escalante Soberanis, M.A. Environmental-economic optimization for implementation of parabolic collectors in the industrial process heat generation: Case study of Mexico. J. Clean. Prod. 2020, 242, 118538.
- 39. Brown, N.C.; Jusiega, V.; Mueller, C.T. Implementing data-driven parametric building design with a flexible toolbox. Autom. Constr. 2020, 118, 103252.
- 40. Brown, N.C. Design performance and designer preference in an interactive, data-driven conceptual building design scenario. Des. Stud. 2020, 68, 1–33.
- 41. Pedrielli, G.; Xing, Y.; Peh, J.H.; Koh, K.W.; Ng, S.H. A real time simulation optimization framework for vessel collision avoidance and the case of singapore strait. IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst. 2020, 21, 1204–1215.
- Saadatpour, M. An Adaptive Surrogate Assisted CE-QUAL-W2 Model Embedded in Hybrid NSGA-II AMOSA Algorithm for Reservoir Water Quality and Quantity Management. Water Resour. Manag. 2020, 34, 1437–1451.
- 43. Guerras, L.S.; Martín, M. On the water footprint in power production: Sustainable design of wet cooling towers. Appl. Energy 2020, 263, 114620.
- Gonçalves, D.; Sheikhnejad, Y.; Oliveira, M.; Martins, N. One step forward toward smart city Utopia: Smart building energy management based on adaptive surrogate modelling. Energy Build. 2020, 223, 110146.
- 45. Saikia, P.; Gaurav; Rakshit, D. Designing a clean and efficient air conditioner with AI intervention to optimize energy-exergy interplay. Energy AI 2020, 2, 100029.

- Flores, R.A.; Paolucci, C.; Winther, K.T.; Jain, A.; Torres, J.A.G.; Aykol, M.; Montoya, J.; Nørskov, J.K.; Bajdich, M.; Bligaard, T. Active Learning Accelerated Discovery of Stable Iridium Oxide Polymorphs for the Oxygen Evolution Reaction. Chem. Mater. 2020, 32, 5854–5863.
- 47. Leprêtre, F.; Fonlupt, C.; Verel, S.; Marion, V. Combinatorial Surrogate-Assisted Optimization for Bus Stops Spacing Problem. Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 2020, 12052, 42–52.
- 48. Iplik, E.; Aslanidou, I.; Kyprianidis, K. Hydrocracking: A perspective towards digitalization. Sustainability 2020, 12, 7058.
- 49. Rodriguez-Roman, D.; Ritchie, S.G. Surrogate-based optimization for multi-objective toll design problems. Transp. Res. Part Policy Pract. 2020, 137, 485–503.
- 50. Mohajeri, M.J.; van den Bergh, A.J.; Jovanova, J.; Schott, D.L. Systematic design optimization of grabs considering bulk cargo variability. Adv. Powder Technol. 2021, 32, 1723–1734.
- 51. Yang, J.; Lau, H.C. A Learning and Optimization Framework for Collaborative Urban Delivery Problems with Alliances. Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 2021, 13004, 316–331.
- 52. Zhou, T.; Gani, R.; Sundmacher, K. Hybrid Data-Driven and Mechanistic Modeling Approaches for Multiscale Material and Process Design. Engineering 2021, 7, 1231–1238.
- Ekici, B.; Kazanasmaz, Z.T.; Turrin, M.; Taşgetiren, M.F.; Sariyildiz, I.S. Multi-zone optimisation of high-rise buildings using artificial intelligence for sustainable metropolises. Part 1: Background, methodology, setup, and machine learning results. Sol. Energy 2021, 224, 373–389.
- 54. Ekici, B.; Kazanasmaz, Z.T.; Turrin, M.; Taşgetiren, M.F.; Sariyildiz, I.S. Multi-zone optimisation of high-rise buildings using artificial intelligence for sustainable metropolises. Part 2: Optimisation problems, algorithms, results, and method validation. Sol. Energy 2021, 224, 309–326.
- Ramos-Castillo, M.; Orvain, M.; Naves-Maschietto, G.; de Faria, A.B.B.; Chenu, D.; Albuquerque, M. Optimal agricultural spreading scheduling through surrogate-based optimization and MINLP models. Inf. Process. Agric. 2021, 8, 159–172.
- 56. Saadatpour, M.; Javaheri, S.; Afshar, A.; Sandoval Solis, S. Optimization of selective withdrawal systems in hydropower reservoir considering water quality and quantity aspects. Expert Syst. Appl. 2021, 184, 115474.
- 57. Liu, H.; Zou, Y.; Chen, Y.; Long, J. Optimal locations and electricity prices for dynamic wireless charging links of electric vehicles for sustainable transportation. Transp. Res. Part Logist. Transp. Rev. 2021, 152, 102187.
- 58. Dai, M.; Yang, F.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, G.; Feng, X. Energetic, economic and environmental (3E) multiobjective optimization of the back-end separation of ethylene plant based on adaptive surrogate model. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 310, 127426.

- 59. Müller, J.; Park, J.; Sahu, R.; Varadharajan, C.; Arora, B.; Faybishenko, B.; Agarwal, D. Surrogate optimization of deep neural networks for groundwater predictions. J. Glob. Optim. 2021, 81, 203–231.
- 60. Seyedashraf, O.; Bottacin-Busolin, A.; Harou, J.J. A Disaggregation-Emulation Approach for Optimization of Large Urban Drainage Systems. Water Resour. Res. 2021, 57, e2020WR029098.
- Abdelkader, E.M.; Moselhi, O.; Marzouk, M.; Zayed, T. Integrative Evolutionary-Based Method for Modeling and Optimizing Budget Assignment of Bridge Maintenance Priorities. J. Constr. Eng. Manag. 2021, 147, 4021100.
- 62. Vázquez, D.; Guillén-Gosálbez, G. Process design within planetary boundaries: Application to CO2 based methanol production. Chem. Eng. Sci. 2021, 246, 116891.
- Ozcanan, S.; Atahan, A.O. Minimization of Accident Severity Index in concrete barrier designs using an ensemble of radial basis function metamodel-based optimization. Optim. Eng. 2021, 22, 485–519.
- Tariq, R.; Cetina-Quiñones, A.J.; Cardoso-Fernández, V.; Daniela-Abigail, H.L.; Soberanis, M.A.; Bassam, A.; De Lille, M.V. Artificial intelligence assisted technoeconomic optimization scenarios of hybrid energy systems for water management of an isolated community. Sustain. Energy Technol. Assess. 2021, 48, 101561.
- 65. Azevedo, L.; Gomes, R.; Silva, C. Influence of model calibration and optimization techniques on the evaluation of thermal comfort and retrofit measures of a Lisbon household using building energy simulation. Adv. Build. Energy Res. 2021, 15, 630–661.
- Wang, H.; Palys, M.; Daoutidis, P.; Zhang, Q. Optimal Design of Sustainable Ammonia-Based Food-Energy-Water Systems with Nitrogen Management. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 2021, 9, 2816–2834.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/50734