Immunotherapy in Lung Cancer

Subjects: Immunology | Oncology Contributor: Sonia Molina Pinelo

Immuno-oncology has redefined the treatment of lung cancer, with the ultimate goal being the reactivation of the antitumor immune response. However, a high percentage of lung cancer patients do not respond to these therapies or their responses are transient. Here, we summarized the impact of immunotherapy on lung cancer patients in the latest clinical trials conducted on this disease. As well as the mechanisms of primary and acquired resistance to immunotherapy in this disease.

Keywords: lung cancer ; immunotherapy ; resistance mechanisms ; PD-1/PD-L1 ; immune checkpoint inhibitors ; monoclonal antibodies ; NSCLC ; SCLC

1. Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cancer, contributing 11.6% of the total case number, and is responsible for 18.4% of cancer-related deaths around the world ^{[1][2]}. Despite the social effort to identify high-risk populations by lung cancer screening and the development of prediction tools, as well as prevention campaigns, the incidence of lung cancer is expected to increase by 71.4% by 2040 worldwide ^[3]. It has been estimated that approximately 1 in 15 people will develop lung cancer throughout their lives ^[4]. In addition, according to sex, it is the most prevalent tumor in men and the third most prevalent tumor in women. Although lung cancer causes more deaths every year than all breast, prostate and colorectal tumors combined according to the American Cancer Society, the good news is that the decline in lung cancer mortality has been accelerating by 2% in the last decade for both men and women ^[4].

Tobacco smoking is the leading risk factor associated with this disease, with 80% of cases attributed to it in Western countries. Nevertheless, this pattern shows up to 20-fold variation in lung cancer rates from one country to another, especially according to the level of development and socioeconomic status of the region, where the rates of pollution also play an important role ^[5]. Thus, it is more common in developed countries, especially in the USA and Europe, and less frequent in less developed countries, such as Africa and South America ^[6]. Moreover, exposure to secondhand smoke, radon gas, asbestos, infections and genetic susceptibility are other common risk factors for lung cancer ^[2]. Individual susceptibility, which derives from different human polymorphisms present in the human population, affects the balance between metabolic activation, detoxification, and reparation of DNA adducts differently ^{[Z][8]}.

This heterogeneity among patients has led to the establishment of different subgroups according to morphological, immunohistochemical and genetic characteristics. Thus, lung cancer is classified into two main groups, each ranging from stage I to IV depending on tumor progression: small cell lung cancer (SCLC) (15% of patients) and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (80% of patients). The latter is, in turn, subdivided into three subtypes: large cell carcinoma (LCC, 10% of all cases), squamous cell carcinoma (SCC, 25%), and lung adenocarcinoma (ADC, 40%). Between the main histological subtypes of NSCLC, studies have shown that SCC tends to arise centrally within the main or lobar bronchus, showing slow growth, and its prevalence is highly associated with tobacco smoking, which increases the mutational burden by 10 times [9]. In these tumors, the proposed actionable genes with clinical efficacy are limited. On the other hand, ADC appears more peripheral, mainly affecting distal bronchioli and alveoli, with glandular and mucin differentiation. ADC is the most common subtype among never smokers and women ^[10], and although it generally has a worse prognosis, it is frequently associated with druggable driver mutations such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutation and echinoderm microtubule associated protein-like 4 (EML4)-anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) fusion protein, among others, for which there are targeted therapies with good clinical results ^[2]. In turn, SCLC is frequently located centrally in the lung and presents a poor prognosis. Consequently, the implementation of genetic characteristics for the histological classification of cancer opens the possibility of developing novel targeted therapies and therefore optimizing precision medicine [11].

2. Clinical Management of Patients with Lung Cancer

The treatment of lung cancer depends on several factors, such as the condition of the patients, histological features, and tumor TNM staging. Classical treatment options include surgical resection, platinum-based chemotherapy and radiation therapy, in monotherapy or in combination, as well as sequential therapeutic strategies, among others. Surgery is the standard option for early-stage lung cancer, when the cancer is confined to the lung and therefore considered to be curable [12]. These stages involve potentially resectable lesions, macroscopically or microscopically, offering more guarantees of control or cure of the disease [13]. In intermediate stages, surgery is only for diagnostic purposes because at this point, the disease becomes difficult to control [14]. Despite surgery, the recurrence rate remains high in the early stages of NSCLC, and 30–55% of patients with curative resection develop recurrences that occur mainly at a distance [15]. In very advanced cases, that is, stages associated with distant metastases, surgery only has indication with palliative or diagnostic effects, and systemic anticancer treatments are applied in an attempt to slow tumor growth and improve overall survival (OS) and the quality of life of the patient and minimize symptoms [16]. Regarding systemic treatments, chemotherapy (CT) is the first option in patients with SCLC. However, in patients with NSCLC, it is used as a complementary strategy to surgery, where it can be given before (neoadjuvant) or after (adjuvant) surgery for curative or palliative purposes. In early-stage NSCLC, radiotherapy (RT) can be used instead of surgery with curative effects. After surgery in stage II and IIIA patients, adjuvant CT has proven to prevent recurrence ^[17], while unresectable stage III lung tumors are recommended to be treated with CT-RT. In the case of metastatic cancer, treatment with CT has been established, but RT is also used for palliative care of symptoms [18][19]. In the case of SCLC, treatment consists of a combination of platinum-based CT with etoposide. In addition, RT will be used in cases of localized disease and for the prevention and treatment of brain metastases.

However, despite the results obtained with CT and RT in lung cancer, they have many undesirable side effects, and the mortality and morbidity data are still very high, with 5-year OS rates of approximately 10-15%, making it the most lethal neoplasm [1]. Approximately 25% of lung cancer cases harbor genetic abnormalities amenable to treatment with targeted therapies, improving the survival time of patients. This has allowed targeted therapies to appear in clinical oncology practice, improving the survival rates and quality of life of patients. These therapies are treatments that selectively target cancer-specific genes, proteins, or the tissue environment that contribute to cancer growth and survival, blocking them and minimizing damage to healthy cells ^[20]. Many of these targeted therapies focus on various tyrosine kinase receptors (RTKs) that are involved in cell growth and survival, whose alterations amplify the signals that lead to tumorigenesis. Thus, RTK inhibitors (TKIs) are used to interrupt these signaling pathways, but their use tends to produce acquired resistance [21]. Successful biomarkers with therapeutic purposes in patients with ADC have been mainly EGFR mutations, ALK- and RET- (rearranged during transfection) gene rearrangements, ROS1 (receptor tyrosine kinase ROS protooncogene 1) fusions; V600E-specific mutation of BRAF (v-Raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog B) gene; MET (hepatocyte growth factor receptor) factor amplification, KRAS and HER2 (human epidermal growth factor receptor 2) gene mutations, among others [16][21][22][23]. In contrast, patients with SCC show few alterations for therapeutic purposes. FGFR1 (fibroblast growth factor receptor 1) amplification or PI3K (phosphoinositol 3-kinase) mutations are challenging targets but with no effective inhibitors yet [24]. However, the limited understanding of SCLC molecular biology has led to completely nonexistent targeted treatment today. In addition, it is important to highlight that targeted therapies in patients with lung cancer may fail or have little or no efficacy when treatments are carried out in populations that are not molecularly selected.

The greatest challenge for lung cancer clinical management has become markedly evident with the use of immunotherapy (IT). There is increasing evidence of the role of the host immune system in immunosurveillance and tumor rejection in which every known innate and adaptive immune effector mechanism participates. Moreover, may be transitory or long-lasting a crucial role so that local immunosuppression in a tumor context caused by chronic inflammation has protumor effects, whereas enhancing T-cell function and dendritic cell maturation derived from acute inflammation has the opposite (antitumor) effect ^[25]. Thus, the immune system has been recognized as another important cancer hallmark ^[26]. This has led to the development of therapeutic strategies focused in this direction, such as molecules for reactivating the host immune response as cancer vaccines or checkpoint inhibitors to emphasize intrinsic antitumor immunity ^[27]. However, a high percentage of patients with lung cancer do not respond to these treatments, or their responses may be transitory or long-lasting. Here, we review the relevance of immunotherapy in lung cancer, with a focus on the underlying resistance mechanisms of the disease.

3. Immunotherapy for Lung Cancer

Immuno-oncology has emerged as a promising field that seeks to reinforce the host's own immune system to avoid immune evasion of the tumor by recognition of tumor-specific antigens (neoantigens) and tumor-associated antigens (TAAs) that trigger an immune reaction that causes tumor remission ^[28]. Therefore, attacking tumor cells directly is no longer the target of the therapy, but the strategy is redirected towards the immune system. This makes it work for any tumor histology or driver mutation, and the side effects are different from other therapies ^[29]. Accordingly, cancer immunotherapy has been developed based on several approaches, ranging from stimulating effector mechanisms to counteracting inhibitory and suppressive mechanisms. Vaccines are among the strategies to activate immune effector cells, while additional stimulation strategies include cytokines, adoptive cell therapy and oncolytic viruses. On the other hand, the use of antibodies against immune checkpoint molecules stands out as one of the strategies to neutralize immunosuppressive mechanisms ^[30] (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Different strategies and immunotherapeutic agents with clinical application in lung cancer. Created with Biorander.com.

Thus, since the activation of the immune checkpoint pathways is a very frequent tumor evasion mechanism, the use of inhibitors against immune checkpoints (ICIs) arises as IT, stimulating antitumor responses towards tumor-specific antigens within the TME, which is composed of stromal cells, immune cells, and extracellular matrix, all of which are closely related to tumor cells. The rate of tumor-infiltrating immune cells in the TME can be classified as immunodesert (noninfiltrated or also called 'immunologically cold'), immunoexcluded (peripheral immune infiltration around tumor cells) and immunoinflammed (infiltrated or also called 'immunologically hot'). The latter expresses immune checkpoint molecules and is correlated with a more favorable response to ICI therapy ^[31].

Because IT has promising results in terms of survival and quality of life, more treatments of this type for lung cancer are trying to be developed, since it is frequently diagnosed in advanced stages, when other classical therapies such as surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy are minimally effective. Nonetheless, IT can be combined with any of the therapeutic modalities already described. While the synergistic effects of combining these drugs are being evaluated, they do not produce additional toxicities or death ^[29].

3.1. Nonspecific Immunotherapies

Nonspecific immunotherapies, also known as immunomodulatory therapies, are not directed against a specific antigen but rather aim to bolster the antitumor immune response. They usually involve both the innate and adaptive responses of the immune system, and their mechanisms of action can be direct antitumor effects, reversing immunosuppression, activating innate immunity, and activating antigen-nonspecific T-cells ^[32]. These treatments include cytokines (interleukins and interferons) as the most frequently used compounds, although there are others, such as immune-stimulatory agents (CpG oligonucleotides or *Bacille Calmette-Guérin*, BCG), antibodies and enzyme inhibitors ^[32]. The two Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved cytokines for the treatment of severe malignancies are IL-2 and IFN- α ; however, neither of them has an indication in lung cancer.

3.2. Oncolytic Viruses (OVs)

Tumors create an immunosuppressed microenvironment that allows them to escape from the immune system, but in turn, this makes tumors more sensitive to viral infections. Oncolytic virotherapy, which uses attenuated and genetically modified viruses capable of selectively infecting tumor cells, is based on this premise, taking advantage of the deregulated pathways to produce cell lysis. The second mechanism of action of OVs is the induction of antitumor immunity, which

takes place thanks to the antigens released during oncolysis. The third is the ability to produce acute vascular-disrupting effects that lead to tumor reduction ^[33]. Thus, in OV therapy, the virus is the active agent itself and not a carrier, as occurs in gene therapy ^[34]. The advantages of oncoviral immunotherapy are its specificity targeting tumor cells, its independence of specific receptor expression patterns and therefore of the associated resistances, and its ability to enhance the antitumor immune response or induce a novel nonself antigen response ^[35].

Some of the viruses that are being considered for this type of immunotherapy are from the herpes simplex family, such as fowlpox virus, Newcastle disease virus, reovirus and measles virus (MV), but some adenoviruses, picornaviruses (including coxsackie), reovirus, maraba, vaccinia virus, retroviruses and mumps are also considered ^{[35][36][37]}. In 2015, the FDA approved talimogene laherparepvec (T-VEC or Imlygic), a second-generation oncolytic herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) armed with Granulocyte Macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) ^[34], for the treatment of metastatic melanoma, which was the first approved oncolytic viral immunotherapy, although there are several clinical trials of oncolytic viruses that cover almost all solid tumors, including lung cancer.

Today, there are some OVs garnering intense interest for use in lung cancer clinical practice. This is the case for TG4010, which is a modified vaccinia virus Ankara designed to express MUC-1 and IL-2 that is being evaluated in a phase III clinical trial for advanced NSCLC. In addition, it has been reported that TG4010 in combination with CT improves the progression-free survival of patients and increases durable responses and long-term survival. Furthermore, there is evidence pointing to a synergistic effect when combined with anti-PD-1/PD-L1 (programmed cell death protein 1 and programmed death ligand 1, respectively) ICIs [37][38][39]. On the other hand, the oncolytic vaccine MAGE-A3 is also being evaluated in two phase I/II clinical trials. One of them uses the MG1 Maraba/MAGE-A3 (MG1MA3) virus alone or in combination with the adenovirus/MAGE-A3 (AdMA3) virus in patients with incurable advanced MAGE-A3-expressing solid tumors (NCT02285816; https://clinicaltrials.gov). Another clinical trial evaluated the combination of MG1MA3 + AdMA3 + pembrolizumab in previously treated patients with metastatic NSCLC (NCT02879760; https://clinicaltrials.gov). Inoculation of the antigen-expressing adenovirus that is also encoded within the OV results in T-cell-mediated tumor clearing and protection from relapses in vivo, avoiding an antiviral response [40]. Additionally, coxsackievirus A21 (CVA21, CAVATAK), which targets ICAM-1 naturally and in combination with pembrolizumab, is well tolerated and appears to increase the number of PD-L1+ tumor cells in a phase lb clinical trial [41]. Another coxsackievirus, type B3 (CV-B3), is a nonenveloped, human-pathogenic enterovirus that produces a significant reduction in cell survival in KRAS-mutant NSCLC cell lines [42]. Adenovirus AVID-317 has shown effectiveness in 70% of tested human NSCLC-derived cell lines and an increase in the median survival in murine models [43]. Similarly, infection of NSCLC cells by MV induces tumor apoptosis in vitro and reduces tumor size in mice [44]. In SCLC, there are also OV studies, specifically with a modified oncolytic myxoma virus (MYXV), in murine models. This strategy produces tumor-specific cytotoxicity, necrosis mediated by immune cell infiltration and increased survival [45]. Despite these promising results, further studies are needed to optimize the delivery of vectors, as well as to obtain OVs with precise coordination with the immune system to effectively eradicate the tumor.

3.3. Adoptive T-Cell Immunotherapy

In adoptive cell transfer, tumor-reactive lymphocytes from the patient are collected, cultured ex vivo and reinfused, often along with growth factors, into the patient as therapy with the goal of recognizing, targeting, and destroying tumor cells. The cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs) that can be used for this are tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), T-cell receptor (TCR)-modified T-cells and chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-modified T-cells [46][47][48]. In the latter, the cells are genetically engineered to target tumor-specific surface antigens. The advantages of this strategy [48] are that it is highly specific towards tumor cells; has a robust clonal expansion ability; presents tropism towards the antigen, so it can migrate towards metastasis; generates memory, maintaining long-term effectiveness; and under the right therapeutic conditions, adoptive cell therapy can eradicate tumors.

The FDA approved the use of CAR-T-cells, making them the first genetically engineered modified cell therapy with this approval. However, despite the good results in hematological tumors, their application in solid tumors has been largely limited. This is believed to be due to difficulties in finding specific targetable antigens, T-cell homing, infiltration and survival in the TME ^[47]. Nevertheless, strategies are already being developed to overcome these obstacles, such as the split, universal, and programmable (SUPRA) CAR system, which uses universal receptors that allow target multiplexing and implements multiple advanced logic and control features ^[49]. The application of this technology has not been very extensive in the treatment of patients with NSCLC, caused among other reasons by the fact that choosing an appropriate target is a challenge. Notwithstanding, there are currently 5 ongoing clinical trials (NCT04153799, NCT03525782, NCT03029273, NCT04025216 and NCT02706392; <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov</u>) trying to validate these new treatment options. However, despite being a powerful tool in nonresponsive patients and immunologically cold tumors, it is a high-cost individualized medication, which is currently limiting its use in the general population.

3.4. Cancer Vaccines

The idea of using cancer vaccines emerged in the late 19th century from the observation that some tumors remitted spontaneously after the patient suffered an infectious disease. Thus, vaccines are designed as an active specific immunotherapy that stimulates the immune response by presenting a pathogen or TAAs and producing an adaptive antitumor response. This boosts tumor antibodies and T-cells in vivo in a similar way to passive immunotherapy (i.e., tumor-specific antibodies or T-cells) ^[50]. In addition, they can be used for both treatment and prevention and may or may not be combined with other therapies.

Several types of tumor vaccines have been investigated, such as (*i*) allogeneic vaccines in which antigens come from non-self-cancer cells to stimulate the cytotoxic immune response; (*ii*) antigens or protein-based vaccines; (*iii*) autologous dendritic cell vaccines in which self-dendritic cells (DCs) are activated with tumor antigens; iv) DNA vaccines in which an expression plasmid harbors the target antigen; and (*v*) vector-based vaccines in which antigens are administered through special viruses, bacteria, yeast cells or other structures. The most widely used vaccines are peptide-based vaccines with immunogenic epitopes, usually from tumor-specific or tumor-associated antigens. This strategy typically uses synthetic peptides, DNA or RNA to encode the neoantigen; however, the fact that these neoantigens are not universal for a type of tumor or a group of patients limits their widespread use and leads to the development of tailored and even polyneoantigen vaccines $\frac{[47]}{2}$.

Despite efforts, only one vaccine has been approved by the FDA, namely, the therapeutic DC-based cancer vaccine Sipuleucel-T (Provenge[™]), for the treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer ^[51]. However, in some Latin American countries, the CIMAvax- Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF) and Racotumomab vaccines have been approved for advanced NSCLC ^[46]. Despite the fact that the use of CIMAvax-EGF in NSCLC seems to have a tendency towards clinical benefit and to be immunogenic, the phase III randomized trials carried out have not been able to demonstrate sufficient efficacy and survival impact to include it in the protocols of NSCLC treatment ^[52]. In the case of racotumomab, approximately 20–25% of patients who received this therapeutic vaccine in a phase II/III study did appear to have great clinical benefit with longer progression-free survival (PFS) and OS ^{[53][54]}. However, these strategies are not supported as clinical approaches to patients with lung cancer.

3.5. Monoclonal Antibodies (mAbs)

Monoclonal antibodies recognize a single epitope region by a pair of variable domains (fragment of antigen binding, Fab) ^[55]. Antibodies are involved in cell lysis, which is caused by antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) carried out by immune effector cells such as natural killer cells, neutrophils, mononuclear phagocytes and DCs. In addition, antibodies are also key in complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) and the induction of adaptive immune responses, which intervene in the long-term benefit of these treatments through the presentation of tumor-derived peptides on MHC class II molecules (activating CD4⁺ T-cells) and on MHC class I molecules (activating CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-cells).

mAbs are produced as chimeric, humanized or human antibodies by recombinant DNA hybridomas. This is done to avoid immunogenicity of the original murine antibodies, which decrease the efficacy due to the human anti-mouse antibody response ^[51]. Depending on the type of antibody, a different suffix will be added to the name of the treatment. Thus, murine mAbs will use the suffix -omab, chimeric mAbs will end in -ximab, humanized mAbs in -zumab and human mAbs in -umab ^[56]. In turn, mAbs can be self-acting nacked (the most frequent in nonleukemic cancers), having a therapeutic function by targeting growth factor receptors, or conjugated, when they are combined with chemotherapeutic drugs or radioactive isotopes. Nacked mAbs work either by labeling tumor cells, by targeting immune system checkpoints or by blocking tumor antigens involved in cell growth and spread. Meanwhile, the conjugated mAbs act as a specific delivery system. On the other hand, there are bispecific mAbs capable of recognizing two different epitopes, normally one in tumor cells and the other in immune effector cells, which bring them closer together. To overcome its clinical limitations due to their short half-life and toxicity, a modification known as BiTE (bispecific T-cell engager) molecules has been developed, as well as engineered protein scaffolds with antitumor activity ^[55].

Different mechanisms of action have been attributed to mAbs with antitumor effects (<u>Table 1</u> and <u>Table 2</u>). First, they can function as targeted therapy when designed against a specific tumor molecular target. In this case, when the type and location of the tumor do not define the treatment but depend only on the molecular target, it is called tumor-agnostic treatment. The first drug approved by the FDA with this indication was pembrolizumab, an anti-PD-1 antibody used to treat patients with unresectable metastatic solid tumors with microsatellite instability-high (MSI-H) or DNA mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR). Second, mAbs can activate the patient's immune system to destroy tumor cells. This usually consists of unblocking certain pathways that are altered as a tumor evasion mechanism by targeting immunoregulatory coreceptors, reversing tumor immunosuppression and modulating the constant fragment (Fc) domain of mAbs.

Ipilimumab, a cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4)-specific mAb that enhances the effector functions of T-cells while inhibiting Regulatory T cells (Tregs), stands out among these immune cell-targeting therapeutic strategies in NSCLC. However, there are also other approaches for different tumors, including antibodies targeting CD40, CD25, CD134, CD137, etc. (Table 1 and Table 2). Fc domain modifications are accomplished by mutations resulting in improved ADCC, such as in ocrelizumab (anti-CD20), or by modification of the oligosaccharide content (defucosylation) ^[55]. Finally, blocking ligand binding to growth factor receptors and/or their signaling pathways, as well as targeting the tumor microenvironment, through the inhibition of angiogenesis, cytokines, and growth factors, are other therapeutic strategies with increasing chances of success ^[55]. Examples of these latter types of antibodies are nintedanib, a triple angiokinase inhibitor used as a second-line therapy in combination with taxotere, and bevacizumab (a humanized VEGF-specific mAb), which was the first approved agent against tumor angiogenesis ^[57].

Table 1. NSCLC tumor-associated antigens targeted by monoclonal antibodies that are currently being tested in clinical trials.

Antigen Target	Monoclonal Antibody Name	Clinical Trial ID (<u>https://clinicaltrials.gov</u>)
B7-H3	Enoblituzumab (MGA271)	NCT02475213
BTLA	TAB004	NCT04137900
CD137	BMS-663513	NCT00461110
0540	APX005M	NCT02482168
CD40	SEA-CD40	NCT02376699
CD44 v6	Bivatuzumab	NCT02204059
0071	CPI-006	NCT03454451
CD/3	Oleclumab	NCT04262388
	Yttrium Y 90 anti-CEA monoclonal antibody cT84.66	NCT00738452
CEA	Yttrium Y 90 anti-CEA monoclonal antibody MN-14 (90Y-hMN- 14), indium In 111 anti-CEA monoclonal antibody MN-14	NCT00006458
CEACAM1	CM-24	NCT02346955
c-MET	Sym015	NCT02648724
CSF1R	Cabiralizumab	NCT03502330
	Ipilimumab *	NCT03001882, NCT02350764
	ONC-392	NCT04140526
CILA-4	REGN4659	NCT03580694
	Tremelimumab	NCT02542293, NCT02000947
DLL3	Rovalpituzumab tesirine	NCT03000257
DLL4	Demcizumab	NCT01189968
EpCAM	Tucotuzumab celmoleukin	NCT00016237
	Cetuximab	NCT00986674
	Futuximab/modotuximab (Sym004)	NCT02924233
	Necitumumab *	NCT02496663
	Nimotuzumab	NCT01393080
ErbB1/EGFR	Matuzumab	NCT00111839
	Panitumumab (ABX-EGF)	NCT00034346
	Pertuzumab	NCT03845270
	SCT200	NCT03808701

Antigen Target	Monoclonal Antibody Name	Clinical Trial ID (<u>https://clinicaltrials.gov</u>)
ErbB2/HER2	Trastuzumab (Herceptin)	NCT04285671, NCT03505710, NCT03845270
ErbB3/HER3	Seribantumab (MM-121)	NCT02387216, NCT00994123
GDF15	NGM120	NCT04068896
GM2 Ganglioside	BIW-8962	NCT01898156
HGF	Ficlatuzumab (AV-299)	NCT01039948
	GSK3359609	NCT03693612
ICOS	KY1044	NCT03829501
	Vopratelimab	NCT03989362
IGF-1, IGF-2	Xentuzumab	NCT02191891
	Cixutumumab	NCT00778167, NCT00986674
ICE 10	Dalotuzumab	NCT00951444
IGF-IK	Figitumumab (CP-751,871)	NCT00560573
	Ganitumab (AMG 479)	NCT00807612
IL1RAP	Nidanilimab (CAN 04)	NCT03267316
LAG-3	TSR-033	NCT02817633
LIF	MSC-1	NCT03490669
	Amatuximab	NCT00325494
Mesothelin	Anetumab Ravtansine	NCT03455556
	LMB-100	NCT04027946
CD134	INCAGN01949	NCT02923349
	BCD-100	NCT03288870
	Budigalimab (ABBV-181)	NCT03000257
	Camrelizumab (SHR-1210)	NCT03527251
	Cemiplimab	NCT03580694
	Dostarlimab	NCT02715284
	Nivolumab *	NCT04043195, NCT04023617
	Pembrolizumab *	NCT04393883, NCT03053856
	Retifanlimab (MGA012)	NCT02475213
PD-1	Sasanlimab (PF-06801591)	NCT04181788
	SCT-I10A	NCT04171284
	Serplulimab (HLX10)	NCT04033354
	Sintilimab	NCT03812549
	Spartalizumab	NCT04323436, NCT04000529
	Tislelizumab	NCT03358875
	Toripalimab	NCT04158440, NCT04304248
	Zimberelimab (AB122)	NCT04262856, NCT03629756
PDGF-R α	Olaratumab	NCT00918203

Antigen Target	Monoclonal Antibody Name	Clinical Trial ID (<u>https://clinicaltrials.gov</u>)
PD-L1	Adebrelimab (SHR-1316)	NCT04316364
	Atezolizumab *	NCT03977467, NCT03645330
	Avelumab	NCT03158883
	Cosibelimab	NCT03212404
	Durvalumab *	NCT02000947, NCT03694236
	Sugemalimab (CS1001)	NCT03789604
	TQB2450	NCT03910127
Phosphatidylserine (Ptd- L-Ser or PS)	Bavituximab	NCT01160601, NCT01138163
PSMA	177Lu-J591	NCT00967577
RAAG12	RAV12	NCT00101972
sCLU	AB-16B5	NCT04364620
SEMA4D	Pepinemab	NCT03268057
TF	MORAb-066	NCT01761240
TGFB	Fresolimumab	NCT02581787
TIM-3	Cobolimab (TSR-022)	NCT02817633
	INCAGN02390	NCT00994123
	MBG453	NCT02608268
TRAIL-R1	TRM-1 (HGS-ETR1)	NCT00092924
TRAIL-R2	Conatumumab (AMG 655)	NCT00534027
VEGF	Bevacizumab *	NCT03836066, NCT03779191
	GB222	NCT04175158
	LY01008	NCT03533127
	QL1101	NCT03195569
VECED2	Alacizumab pegol (CDP791)	NCT00152477
VEGFKZ	Ramucirumab (IMC-1121B) *	NCT01160744
α5β1 integrin	Volociximab	NCT00666692

 * Monoclonal antibodies approved by the FDA for NSCLC.

Table 2. SCLC tumor-associated antigens targeted by monoclonal antibodies that are currently being tested in clinical trials.

Antigen Target	Monoclonal Antibody Name	Clinical Trial ID (<u>https://clinicaltrials.gov</u>)
BEC-2	Mitumomab	NCT00037713
CD56	Lorvotuzumab mertansine	NCT00346385
CEA	Yttrium Y 90 anti-CEA monoclonal antibody MN-14 (90Y-hMN-14), indium In 111 anti-CEA monoclonal antibody MN-14	NCT00006347
CTLA-4	Ipilimumab	NCT03575793
	Tremelimumab	NCT02701400

Antigen Target	Monoclonal Antibody Name	Clinical Trial ID (<u>https://clinicaltrials.gov</u>)
DLL3	89Zr-DFO-SC16.56	NCT04199741
	Rovalpituzumab Tesirine	NCT03000257
ЕрСАМ	Tucotuzumab celmoleukin	NCT00016237
ErbB1/EGFR	Cetuximab	NCT00104910
ErbB2/HER2	Trastuzumab (Herceptin)	NCT00028535
GD2	Dinutuximab	NCT03098030
ganglioside	MOAB 3F8	NCT00003022
GD3 ganglioside	Mitumomab	NCT00006352
GM2 Ganglioside	BIW-8962	NCT01898156
105.10	Cixutumumab	NCT00887159
IGF-1R	Dalotuzumab	NCT00869752
Lewis-Y	Hu3S193	NCT00084799
- PD-1 -	Budigalimab (ABBV-181)	NCT03000257
	Camrelizumab (SHR1210)	NCT03755115, NCT03417895
	Nivolumab *	NCT03382561
	Pembrolizumab	NCT03319940
	Serplulimab (HLX10)	NCT04063163
PD-L1	Atezolizumab *	NCT03262454
	Durvalumab *	NCT02701400
	TQB2450	NCT04234607
	ZKAB001	NCT04346914
ТАА	Bevacizumab	NCT00079040
TIM-3	INCAGN02390	NCT03652077
VEGF	SC-002	NCT02500914

* Monoclonal antibodies approved by the FDA for SCLC.

Currently, neutralizing monoclonal antibodies targeting immune checkpoints such as CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1 have shown significant efficacy against various types of cancer, including NSCLC. Anti-CTLA-4 was the first immune checkpoint antagonist available for NSCLC; however, it has shown higher toxicity and less effectiveness than anti-PD-1/PD-L1 treatments, the latter being the most successful to date. Currently, four of these ICIs have been approved for NSCLC: nivolumab and pembrolizumab (both anti-PD-1) and atezolizumab and durvalumab (both anti-PD-L1) ^[28] (Table 1).

In the case of SCLC, ICIs appear to be a promising therapy, and numerous clinical trials are underway to test them (<u>Table</u> <u>2</u>). Thanks to the results of the CheckMate-032 study, the FDA approved the use of nivolumab as a third-line therapy for metastatic SCLC in 2018. The following year, atezolizumab with carboplatin and etoposide as first-line therapy and durvalumab in combination with CT in 2020 were approved for extensive-stage SCLC by the FDA [58].

Despite the great advances achieved through the use of ICIs, not all patients with lung cancer respond to this treatment due to primary resistance and the development of secondary resistance. As a result, long-lasting clinical remission only represents a small percentage of outcomes. For this reason, great effort is being made to find predictive and monitoring biomarkers ^[28].

References

- Bray, F.; Ferlay, J.; Soerjomataram, I.; Siegel, R.L.; Torre, L.A.; Jemal, A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN es timates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2018, 68, 394–424. [G oogle Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. De Groot, P.M.; Wu, C.C.; Carter, B.W.; Munden, R.F. The epidemiology of lung cancer. Transl. Lung Cancer Res. 201 8, 7, 220–233. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Global Cancer Observatory. Available online: http://gco.iarc.fr/ (accessed on 11 March 2020).
- 4. Siegel, R.L.; Miller, K.D.; Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J. Clin. 2020, 70, 7–30. [Google Scholar] [Cross Ref] [PubMed]
- 5. Barta, J.A.; Powell, C.A.; Wisnivesky, J.P. Global epidemiology of lung cancer. Ann. Glob. Health 2019, 85, 8. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Gabrielson, E. Worldwide trends in lung cancer pathology. Respirology 2006, 11, 533–538. [Google Scholar] [CrossRe f] [PubMed]
- Perera, F.P.; Mooney, L.A.; Stampfer, M.; Phillips, D.H.; Bell, D.A.; Rundle, A.; Cho, S.; Tsai, W.-Y.; Ma, J.; Blackwood, A.; et al. Associations between carcinogen-DNA damage, glutathione S-transferase genotypes, and risk of lung cancer in the prospective Physicians' Health Cohort Study. Carcinogenesis 2002, 23, 1641–1646. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 8. Tang, D.; Phillips, D.H.; Stampfer, M.; Mooney, L.A.; Hsu, Y.; Cho, S.; Tsai, W.Y.; Ma, J.; Cole, K.J.; Shé, M.N.; et al. As sociation between carcinogen-DNA adducts in white blood cells and lung cancer risk in the physicians health study. Ca ncer Res. 2001, 61, 6708–6712. [Google Scholar]
- Govindan, R.; Ding, L.; Griffith, M.; Subramanian, J.; Dees, N.D.; Kanchi, K.L.; Maher, C.A.; Fulton, R.; Fulton, L.; Walli s, J.; et al. Genomic landscape of non-small cell lung cancer in smokers and never-smokers. Cell 2012, 150, 1121–113
 [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Pesch, B.; Kendzia, B.; Gustavsson, P.; Jöckel, K.H.; Johnen, G.; Pohlabeln, H.; Olsson, A.; Ahrens, W.; Gross, I.M.; Br üske, I.; et al. Cigarette smoking and lung cancer—Relative risk estimates for the major histological types from a poole d analysis of case—Control studies. Int. J. Cancer 2012, 131, 1210–1219. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Travis, W.D.; Brambilla, E.; Nicholson, A.G.; Yatabe, Y.; Austin, J.H.M.; Beasley, M.B.; Chirieac, L.R.; Dacic, S.; Duhig, E.; Flieder, D.B.; et al. The 2015 World Health Organization Classification of Lung Tumors: Impact of Genetic, Clinical a nd Radiologic Advances since the 2004 Classification. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2015, 10, 1243–1260. [Google Scholar] [Cross Ref]
- Postmus, P.E.; Kerr, K.M.; Oudkerk, M.; Senan, S.; Waller, D.A.; Vansteenkiste, J.; Escriu, C.; Peters, S.; ESMO Guidel ines Committee. Early and locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines fo r diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol. 2017, 28, iv1–iv21. [Google Scholar] [Cr ossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Z.; Yu, Y.; Lu, J.; Luo, Q.; Wu, C.; Liao, M.; Zheng, Y.; Ai, X.; Gu, L.; Lu, S. Analysis of the T descriptors and other pr ognosis factors in pathologic stage I non-small cell lung cancer in China. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2009, 4, 702–709. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Eberhardt, W.E.E.; De Ruysscher, D.; Weder, W.; Le Péchoux, C.; De Leyn, P.; Hoffmann, H.; Westeel, V.; Stahel, R.; F elip, E.; Peters, S.; et al. 2nd ESMO Consensus Conference in Lung Cancer: Locally advanced stage III non-small-cell I ung cancer. Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol. 2015, 26, 1573–1588. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Uramoto, H.; Tanaka, F. Recurrence after surgery in patients with NSCLC. Transl. Lung Cancer Res. 2014, 3, 242–249. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Planchard, D.; Popat, S.; Kerr, K.; Novello, S.; Smit, E.F.; Faivre-Finn, C.; Mok, T.S.; Reck, M.; Van Schil, P.E.; Hellman n, M.D.; et al. Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and foll ow-up. Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol. 2018, 29 (Suppl. 4), iv192–iv237. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 17. Stewart, L.A.; Pignon, J.P. Chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer: A meta-analysis using updated data on individu al patients from 52 randomised clinical trials. Br. Med. J. 1995, 311, 899–909. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Amini, A.; Yeh, N.; Gaspar, L.E.; Kavanagh, B.; Karam, S.D. Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) for lung cancer patients previously treated with conventional radiotherapy: A review. Radiat. Oncol. 2014, 9, 210. [Google Scholar] [Cro ssRef]
- 19. Ramalingam, S.; Belani, C. Systemic Chemotherapy for Advanced Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Recent Advances and Future Directions. Oncologist 2008, 13, 5–13. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]

- 20. Zugazagoitia, J.; Molina-Pinelo, S.; Lopez-Rios, F.; Paz-Ares, L. Biological therapies in non small cell lung cancer. Eur. Respir. J. 2017, 49. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 21. Schrank, Z.; Chhabra, G.; Lin, L.; Iderzorig, T.; Osude, C.; Khan, N.; Kuckovic, A.; Singh, S.; Miller, R.J.; Puri, N. Curren t molecular-targeted therapies in NSCLC and their mechanism of resistance. Cancers 2018, 10, 224. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 22. Liu, W.J.; Du, Y.; Wen, R.; Yang, M.; Xu, J. Drug resistance to targeted therapeutic strategies in non-small cell lung can cer. Pharmacol. Ther. 2020, 206, 107438. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rotow, J.; Bivona, T.G. Understanding and targeting resistance mechanisms in NSCLC. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2017, 17, 63 7–658. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Gandara, D.R.; Hammerman, P.S.; Sos, M.L.; Lara, P.N.; Hirsch, F.R. Squamous cell lung cancer: From tumor genomic s to cancer therapeutics. Clin. Cancer Res. 2015, 21, 2236–2243. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shalapour, S.; Karin, M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer: An eternal fight between good and evil. J. Clin. Investig. 2 015, 125, 3347–3355. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Hanahan, D.; Weinberg, R.A. Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. Cell 2011, 144, 646–674. [Google Scholar] [Cr ossRef]
- 27. Schuster, M.; Nechansky, A.; Loibner, H.; Kircheis, R. Cancer immunotherapy. Biotechnol. J. 2006, 1, 138–147. [Googl e Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Michaelidou, K.; Agelaki, S.; Mavridis, K. Molecular markers related to immunosurveillance as predictive and monitoring tools in non-small cell lung cancer: Recent accomplishments and future promises. Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn. 2020, 20, 33 5–344. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Emens, L.A.; Ascierto, P.A.; Darcy, P.K.; Demaria, S.; Eggermont, A.M.M.; Redmond, W.L.; Seliger, B.; Marincola, F.M. Cancer immunotherapy: Opportunities and challenges in the rapidly evolving clinical landscape. Eur. J. Cancer 2017, 8 1, 116–129. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Farkona, S.; Diamandis, E.P.; Blasutig, I.M. Cancer immunotherapy: The beginning of the end of cancer? BMC Med. 20 16, 14, 1–18. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Tran, L.; Theodorescu, D. Determinants of resistance to checkpoint inhibitors. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 1594. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 32. Monjazeb, A.M.; Hsiao, H.-H.; Sckisel, G.D.; Murphy, W.J. The role of antigen-specific and non-specific immunotherapy in the treatment of cancer. J. Immunotoxicol. 2012, 9, 248–258. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 33. Lichty, B.D.; Breitbach, C.J.; Stojdl, D.F.; Bell, J.C. Going viral with cancer immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2014, 14, 559–567. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fukuhara, H.; Ino, Y.; Todo, T. Oncolytic virus therapy: A new era of cancer treatment at dawn. Cancer Sci. 2016, 107, 1 373–1379. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Raja, J.; Ludwig, J.M.; Gettinger, S.N.; Schalper, K.A.; Kim, H.S. Oncolytic virus immunotherapy: Future prospects for o ncology. J. Immunother. Cancer 2018, 6, 140. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Goldufsky, J.; Sivendran, S.; Harcharik, S.; Pan, M.; Bernardo, S.; Stern, R.H.; Friedlander, P.; Ruby, C.; Saenger, Y.; K aufman, H. Oncolytic virus therapy for cancer. Oncolytic Virother. 2013, 2, 31. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 37. Saab, S.; Zalzale, H.; Rahal, Z.; Khalifeh, Y.; Sinjab, A.; Kadara, H. Insights Into Lung Cancer Immune-Based Biology, Prevention, and Treatment. Front. Immunol. 2020, 11, 159. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Quoix, E.; Lena, H.; Losonczy, G.; Forget, F.; Chouaid, C.; Papai, Z.; Gervais, R.; Ottensmeier, C.; Szczesna, A.; Kazar nowicz, A.; et al. TG4010 immunotherapy and first-line chemotherapy for advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (TIME): Results from the phase 2b part of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2b/3 trial. Lancet Oncol. 201 6, 17, 212–223. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 39. Remy-Ziller, C.; Thioudellet, C.; Hortelano, J.; Gantzer, M.; Nourtier, V.; Claudepierre, M.C.; Sansas, B.; Préville, X.; Be ndjama, K.; Quemeneur, E.; et al. Sequential administration of MVA-based vaccines and PD-1/PD-L1-blocking antibodi es confers measurable benefits on tumor growth and survival: Preclinical studies with MVA-βGal and MVA-MUC1 (TG4 010) in a murine tumor model. Hum. Vaccines Immunother. 2018, 14, 140–145. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Peters, C.; Grandi, P.; Nigim, F. Updates on Oncolytic Virus Immunotherapy for Cancers. Mol. Ther. Oncolytics. 2019, 1 2, 259–262. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 41. Phase Ib KEYNOTE-200: A Study of an Intravenously Delivered Oncolytic Virus, Coxsackievirus A21 in Combination wi th Pembrolizumab in Advanced NSCLC and Bladder Cancer Patients—Annals of Oncology. Available online: https://ww w.annalsofoncology.org/article/S0923-7534(19)50441-0/fulltext (accessed on 13 May 2020).

- 42. Deng, H.; Liu, H.; de Silva, T.; Xue, Y.C.; Mohamud, Y.; Ng, C.S.; Qu, J.; Zhang, J.; Jia, W.W.G.; Lockwood, W.W.; et al. Coxsackievirus Type B3 Is a Potent Oncolytic Virus against KRAS-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma. Mol. Ther. Oncolytics 2019, 14, 266–278. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Atasheva, S.; Yao, J.; Young, C.; Paolo, N.C.D.; Wyche, H.; Shayakhmetov, D.M. Abstract 4781: Preclinical assessmen t of efficacy and safety of novel oncolytic adenovirus for therapy of disseminated lung cancer. Cancer Res. 2019, 79, 47 81. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- Patel, M.R.; Jacobson, B.A.; Belgum, H.; Raza, A.; Sadiq, A.; Drees, J.; Wang, H.; Jay-Dixon, J.; Etchison, R.; Federspi el, M.J.; et al. Measles vaccine strains for virotherapy of non-small-cell lung carcinoma. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2014, 9, 1101 –1110. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kellish, P.; Shabashvili, D.; Rahman, M.M.; Nawab, A.; Guijarro, M.V.; Zhang, M.; Cao, C.; Moussatche, N.; Boyle, T.; A ntonia, S.; et al. Oncolytic virotherapy for small-cell lung cancer induces immune infiltration and prolongs survival. J. Cli n. Investig. 2019, 129, 2279–2292. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Oliveres, H.; Caglevic, C.; Passiglia, F.; Taverna, S.; Smits, E.; Rolfo, C. Vaccine and immune cell therapy in non-small cell lung cancer. J. Thorac. Dis. 2018, 10, S1602–S1614. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 47. Sanghera, C.; Sanghera, R. Immunotherapy—Strategies for Expanding Its Role in the Treatment of All Major Tumor Sit es. Cureus 2019, 11, e5938. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 48. Perica, K.; Varela, J.C.; Oelke, M.; Schneck, J. Adoptive T Cell Immunotherapy for Cancer. Rambam Maimonides Med. J. 2015, 6, e0004. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 49. Cho, J.H.; Collins, J.J.; Wong, W.W. Universal Chimeric Antigen Receptors for Multiplexed and Logical Control of T Cell Responses. Cell 2018, 173, 1426–1438.e11. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 50. Finn, O.J. Molecular origins of cancer: Cancer immunology. N. Engl. J. Med. 2008, 358, 2704–2715. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 51. Kimiz-Gebologlu, I.; Gulce-Iz, S.; Biray-Avci, C. Monoclonal antibodies in cancer immunotherapy. Mol. Biol. Rep. 2018, 45, 2935–2940. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 52. Tagliamento, M.; Rijavec, E.; Barletta, G.; Biello, F.; Rossi, G.; Grossi, F.; Genova, C. CIMAvax-EGF, a therapeutic nonsmall cell lung cancer vaccine. Expert Opin. Biol. Ther. 2018, 18, 829–835. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 53. Gabri, M.R.; Cacciavillano, W.; Chantada, G.L.; Alonso, D.F. Racotumomab for treating lung cancer and pediatric refrac tory malignancies. Expert Opin. Biol. Ther. 2016, 16, 573–578. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alfonso, S.; Valdés-Zayas, A.; Santiesteban, E.R.; Flores, Y.I.; Areces, F.; Hernández, M.; Viada, C.E.; Mendoza, I.C.; Guerra, P.P.; García, E.; et al. A randomized, multicenter, placebo-controlled clinical trial of racotumomab-alum vaccine as switch maintenance therapy in advanced non-small cell lung cancer patients. Clin. Cancer Res. 2014, 20, 3660–367
 [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Weiner, L.M.; Surana, R.; Wang, S. Monoclonal antibodies: Versatile platforms for cancer immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Im munol. 2010, 10, 317–327. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Mayrhofer, P.; Kunert, R. Nomenclature of humanized mAbs: Early concepts, current challenges and future perspective s. Hum. Antibodies 2018, 27, 37–51. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Silva, A.P.S.; Coelho, P.V.; Anazetti, M.; Simioni, P.U. Targeted therapies for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer: Monoclonal antibodies and biological inhibitors. Hum. Vaccines Immunother. 2017, 13, 843–853. [Google Scholar] [CrossRef]
- 58. Regzedmaa, O.; Zhang, H.; Liu, H.; Chen, J. Immune checkpoint inhibitors for small cell lung cancer: Opportunities and challenges. OncoTargets. Ther. 2019, 12, 4605–4620.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/13247