

MSCs in Cell and Cell-Free Therapies

Subjects: Geriatrics & Gerontology | Cell & Tissue Engineering

Contributor: Clara I Rodriguez

The progressive loss of the regenerative potential of tissues is one of the most obvious consequences of aging, driven by altered intercellular communication, cell senescence and niche-specific stem cell exhaustion, among other drivers. Mesenchymal tissues, such as bone, cartilage and fat, which originate from mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) differentiation, are especially affected by aging. Senescent MSCs show limited proliferative capacity and impairment in key defining features: their multipotent differentiation and secretory abilities, leading to diminished function and deleterious consequences for tissue homeostasis.

Keywords: MSCs ; cell therapies ; cell-free therapies

1. Introduction

Aging, an inexorable consequence of life, can be defined by a time-dependent loss of cellular and molecular homeostasis, leading to impaired function of tissues and organs that are unable to activate proper compensatory mechanisms to restore the lost functionality ^[1]. Affecting nearly all tissues, organs and systems in an organism, aging itself is the stronger risk for the development of age-related pathologies ^[2]. The consequences of aging are especially visible in tissues of mesenchymal origin, such as connective tissues (bone, cartilage and fat) and the cardiovascular system. Thus, musculoskeletal disorders, such as osteoporosis and osteoarthritis and body fat redistribution and loss, in addition to cardiovascular pathologies, are among the most common pathological features shared by the elderly, instigating chronic disability, and in consequence, long-term healthcare needs ^[3].

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) are adult stem cells with the abilities of self-renewal and differentiation to more specialized mesenchymal lineages such as osteoblasts, chondrocytes and adipocytes ^[4]. Senescent MSCs accumulate in vivo gradually with aging, and in vitro, upon prolonged culture expansion. Among the main characteristics of senescent MSCs are halted proliferation and migration, impaired differentiation and the acquisition of the senescence associated secretory phenotype (SASP), which is mainly composed of proinflammatory cytokines, matrix remodeling enzymes, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and chemotactic molecules ^[5]. SASP is responsible for a local proinflammatory microenvironment that affects the behavior of neighboring cells, inducing their senescence, via autocrine/paracrine mechanisms ^{[6][7][8]}. In vivo, the dysfunctional status of senescent MSCs leads to “stem cell exhaustion”, a hallmark of aging, which negatively impacts on tissue homeostasis and the regeneration capacity of the organism in response to injury ^[1].

The potential use of MSCs as a therapy has been under extensive investigation over the last two decades, showing encouraging results in certain pathologies, including age-related ones, by restoring tissue functionality. Damaged tissue regeneration, immunomodulation and secretion of paracrine mediators are the three pillars of MSC therapeutic mechanism of action ^[9]. Although MSCs can migrate to the injured tissue and differentiate into specific cell types, their main therapeutic effect is thought to be paracrine, by secreting bioactive factors depending on the microenvironment they face, known as a hit-and-run mechanism ^{[4][9][10]}. Along these lines, the first studies using MSCs as a therapy in pediatric patients affected by the rare bone disorder, osteogenesis imperfecta, showed that after in vivo administration, the engraftment of MSCs in target tissues such as bone was anecdotal, although beneficial effects were found ^[11]. A growing body of evidence points to the secretory properties of MSCs as the underlying mechanisms behind the beneficial effects of MSCs-based therapies, rather than the initial cell replacement that MSCs were supposed to exert ^{[11][12][13]}. This assumption is supported by the fact that the active components secreted by MSCs, mainly exosomes, are themselves demonstrating efficacy as cells to treat certain pathologies ^[14].

The considerable increase in life expectancy over the past century is an undeniable observation ^[15]. However, this gained “extra” time lived at the end of people's lives, in contrast to what is desirable, escalates the years that individuals suffer from the so-called age-related diseases. Thence, therapeutic interventions for aging are likely more helpful, from both health and economic points of view, than eradicating individual diseases, basically because with this approach, multiple

diseases will be targeted [2][16]. Thus, treatments to target aging are particularly valuable, and constitute a goal that is under intensive research nowadays.

2. MSCs as a Therapy for Aging

In the past few years, there have been important advances in developing strategies aimed at treat aging consequences in animal models by directly targeting senescent cells or by transferring young factors to old mice. In fact, some detrimental consequences of aging have been shown to be, to a certain extent, recoverable by these approaches. On one hand, the direct clearance of the senescent cells has become a real possibility by the use of senostatic or senolytic compounds, which specifically inhibit the SASP of senescent cells, or directly kill them. Thus, when tested in mice models of aging, these drugs have demonstrated being able to improve the healthspan and thereby ameliorate a wide range of age-associated pathologies [17][18][19]. Along these lines, the in vitro treatment of aged human MSCs with senolytics has been recently shown to selectively target a certain subset of MSCs (20–30% of total cells), which leads to the increased proliferation and osteogenic potential of the surviving ones [20]. These findings open new avenues of treatments based on senolytics, not only to eliminate senescent cells, but also to improve the functions of the remaining ones, among which are MSCs, in elderly populations [20]. In fact, the in vivo effectiveness of senolytics in humans are currently under extensive clinical research. Preliminary results from a clinical trial treating elderly individuals affected by kidney disease with senolytics show a decrease in tissue-specific senescent cells, thus confirming the mechanism of action of these drugs in vivo [21]. Moreover, the first clinical trial in humans, a pilot study testing the feasibility and potential impact of senolytics treatment in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, an age-related disease, reported physical improvements in patients [22].

On the other hand, young blood factors, administered directly or by heterochronic parabiosis experiments, have been able to restore the age-related decline of certain tissues and organs, and vice versa, establishing the concept of the existence of a systemic regulation of aging [23][24][25][26]. Moreover, a recent study reported that even the benefits coming from external interventions in elderly mice, such as exercise, which is considered to be a geroprotector, can be transferred to sedentary aged animals through the administration of blood components [27].

It is therefore not surprising that aging factors from blood serum have been shown to negatively impact on MSCs. Thus, heterochronic experiments culturing young MSCs in the presence of sera isolated from middle-age mice induced a cell cycle arrest and an increase in the expression of senescence markers and SASP, pointing to a direct effect of systemic aging factors on MSCs [28].

Considering this evidence, it seems reasonable to propose young MSCs or their secreted factors (cell-free therapies) as another strategy to counteract aging, where their beneficial regenerative and paracrine effects should be noticeable in tissues and organs of mesenchymal origin—those especially affected by age. Moreover, low-grade inflammation, known as inflammaging, is a feature of physiological aging [29]. Elderly individuals, progeroid mice models, as well as human HGPS cells show increased expression of the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin-6 (IL-6). Interestingly, recent preclinical evidence has shown improvements to aging features in progeroid mice treated with tocilizumab, a neutralizing antibody raised against IL-6 [30]. Thus, beyond tissue regeneration, the immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory properties of MSCs could be undoubtedly quite appealing for counteracting this age-related inflammation.

2.1. MSCs Therapies to Counteract Physiological Aging

The aim of using MSCs to treat physiological aging is to recover the functionality of specific tissues or organs affected by age; for instance, knee dysfunctionality and heart failure, or to recover from frailty, a holistic concept defined as the cumulative age-related deterioration of physiological systems that show reduced capacity to the face of environmental stressors. The indicators for frailty involve exhaustion, weight loss, weak grip strength, slow walking speed and low energy expenditure and cognitive status, all characteristic of elderly individuals [3].

2.1.1. Knee Osteoarthritis

Osteoarthritis (OA), a common chronic disease in older adults, is becoming a remarkable health and socio-economic burden due to its increased prevalence as aging and obesity are escalating in developed populations. The knee is the joint most frequently affected by OA, characterized by cartilage deterioration and subchondral bone alterations, both tissues of mesenchymal origin, along with a high degree of synovial membrane inflammation, considered a hallmark of this disease [31][32][33].

Phase I clinical trials have demonstrated the safety of autologous or allogeneic intra-articular administration of MSCs in OA, showing a reduction of pain and inflammation and functional improvements [34][35][36][37][38][39]. Interestingly, when

evaluating single autologous versus allogeneic MSC administration for OA, evidence from clinical trials point to the use of autologous MSCs as not being as efficient as allogeneic MSCs, probably due to the effects of aging in autologous MSCs. Thus, it has been reported that when using a single administration of autologous MSCs, higher doses of MSCs are needed to obtain beneficial outcomes ($40\text{--}100 \times 10^6$ cells) [37][39]. In contrast, a lower amount of allogeneic MSCs is reported to be able to obtain similar clinical improvements (25×10^6 MSCs) [36][38]. Importantly, a randomized phase I/II clinical trial addressed the intra-articular injection of two repeated doses, six months apart, of 20×10^6 allogeneic umbilical cord MSCs, along with a visco-supplementation treatment (hyaluronic acid), and demonstrated these as being safe and clinically superior to a single MSC dose [36]. These findings, together with the fact that single doses of MSCs have transient beneficial effects, likely as a result of low MSC retention and survival at the injection site, point to paracrine action of MSCs.

2.1.2. Cardiovascular Disease

Cardiovascular diseases are among the most prevalent conditions in the elderly, encompassing almost half of all deaths in Europe [40]. The main rationale for using MSCs to treat cardiovascular diseases such as heart failure is based on their ability to exert paracrine effects that enhance cardiovascular regeneration and reduce fibrosis of scarred cardiac tissue [41][42][43]. Preclinical studies with MSCs administration in animal models of heart disease have also revealed improvements in the cardiac function of animals [44]. Accordingly, several phase I and II clinical trials have been conducted to treat heart failure, with encouraging results [45][46][47][48]. Thus, both autologous and allogeneic MSCs have been shown to be safe and to achieve clinical improvements in patients with cardiac disease. The mechanism of action points to MSCs' secretory abilities. Improvements in quality of life and reductions in both major adverse cardiac effects and scar size have been detected in MSC-treated patients, attributed mainly to the paracrine action of MSCs [46][48]. Interestingly, a clinical trial comparing the efficacy of allogeneic versus autologous MSCs for non-ischemic dilated cardiomyopathy revealed increased improvements in the group of patients receiving allogeneic MSCs [49]. Thus, patients receiving a dose of 100×10^6 allogeneic MSCs showed better outcomes in endothelial function and in the reduction of levels of the proinflammatory cytokine, tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), usually increased in heart disease. Of note, greater clinical benefit has been obtained when administering a high dose of allogeneic MSCs (100×10^6) to patients [48][50]. Again, the age of the MSC donors, which were in their 20s, was proposed as a major causal factor for the better outcomes in the group receiving allogeneic MSCs [49].

2.1.3. Aging Frailty

Frailty, a nonspecific syndrome prevalent in advanced age populations, is characterized by reduced resilience leading to frail elderly individuals taking longer to recover after any type of stress (disease, traumatism), a situation strongly associated with adverse and serious outcomes. Women, who have a longer life expectancy, are more at risk for developing frailty [51][52]. Chronic inflammation and stem cell depletion are the key hallmarks of aging that most likely contribute to frailty [1]. Accordingly, MSC-based therapies have been proposed to ameliorate the signs and symptoms of this syndrome, thanks to their known immunomodulatory and paracrine actions [53]. Two successive Phase I/II trials (CRATUS study) have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of allogeneic bone marrow MSCs (age of the donors: 20–45 years in the Phase I trial and 19–27 years in the Phase II trial) for aging frailty [54][55]. In the Phase I clinical trial, the authors performed a nonrandomized MSCs dose-escalation study, and intravenously administered one infusion of 20, 100 or 200×10^6 allogeneic MSCs (five subjects in each group; median age of 78.4 years). Outcomes were measured at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months post-infusion. In general, MSC therapy was safe and well-tolerated by the elderly. Moreover, there were improvements in some predictors of morbidity and mortality in aging frailty; all cell-dose groups showed increase scores in the 6 min walk distance, a validated test that assesses functional exercise capacity, three and six months post-infusion. Moreover, the group receiving 100×10^6 cell-dose exhibited the most improvement in the physical component of the questionnaires on quality of life since the first month of infusion. Noticeably, patients receiving 100 and 200×10^6 MSCs showed a significant decrease in serum levels of the proinflammatory cytokine TNF- α at six months post-infusion. Based on the outcomes of this study, the same authors performed a double-blind, placebo-controlled Phase II clinical trial, which consisted of a single dose of 100 or 200×10^6 allogeneic MSCs (10 subjects in each group; mean age of 75.5 years). Of note, the outcomes of this latest phase II trial were quite similar to those observed in the Phase I trial, with the 100×10^6 cells dose achieving the better outcomes. Although preliminary, these encouraging results deserve deeper examination to not only elucidate the underlying mechanisms of MSC therapy, but to delve into their efficacy, considering younger MSCs such as those from umbilical cord tissue, as ideal tools for treating aging frailty.

The hyperinflammation status triggered by COVID-19 disease has revealed the vulnerability of frail elderly individuals to this disease. In fact, frailty and older age have been proposed as determinants in the prognosis of COVID-19 disease, presenting these patients as being at an increased risk of severe clinical disease, with serious adverse and even lethal outcomes [56]. These facts that have been especially noticeable and dramatic in geriatric patients living in nursing homes

[57]. MSC therapy was proposed at the very beginning of the pandemic in an attempt to find an effective treatment to fight the most severe cases of this emerging disease. In this unprecedented situation, the rationale for using MSCs as an efficient therapy was based on their known immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory properties, as well as their tropism for lung tissue, where they are retained due to hemodynamic reasons [58][59]. Moreover, molecular studies reported that MSCs barely express ACE2 and TMPRSS2, two key plasmatic membrane proteins for SARS-CoV-2 entry, and therefore were free from infection upon transplantation in COVID-19 patients [60][61]. Both open-label, non-controlled, as well as randomized double-blind phase 1/2a trials, using MSCs from different sources, revealed no serious adverse events, and positive results in decreasing inflammatory parameters and improving patients' survival and time to recovery [60][62][63]. While phase III trials are needed to further characterize the MSCs' mechanisms of action, and outcomes in diminishing mortality and lung effects in COVID-19 patients, it is worth to mention that in April of 2020, the United States Food and Drug Administration approved MSC treatments for "expanded access compassionate use" for seriously ill COVID-19 patients.

2.2. MSCs to Counteract Premature Aging Syndromes

As previously mentioned, HGPS, also known as progeria, is a devastating progeroid laminopathy, with no current curative treatment. Although never carried out, the possibility of administering MSC therapy to treat HGPS patients that show MSC functional impairment as mentioned above, has long been considered in light of the encouraging regenerative and paracrine properties of these cells. The immunomodulatory properties of MSCs could also justify using them as a therapy to counteract premature aging syndromes. Thus, an excess of proinflammatory signals, such as high levels of IL-6 expression and the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome, have been described in both human HGPS cells and in progeria animal models [30][64]. Moreover, the specific inhibition of these mediators of inflammation ameliorate progeroid features and improved lifespans in progeroid mice models point to a causal relationship between progerin expression, inflammation and premature aging [30][64]. Interestingly, a recent case report addressed the potential benefits of a cell therapy based on allogeneic haploidentical adipose stromal vascular fraction (SVF) for HGPS, with quite encouraging results [65]. In this study, the authors isolated and administered two infusions (one week apart) of SVF isolated from the patient's mother and composed of a heterogeneous cell population (including MSCs) to a 12.8-year-old HGPS patient. It is important to mention that this patient was previously (during ≈ 7 years) under lonafarnib treatment, but this medication was discontinued due to side effects. The patient's weight and height as well as serum markers were analyzed before and after the treatment (0.5, 1, 2 and 4 months). Among the markers analyzed, the authors only found, two months after the treatment, a rise in the serum levels of insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1), a molecule which peaks in puberty and correlates with height gain [66]. Interestingly, decreased IGF-1 levels have been shown in progeroid mice accumulating prelamin A, in which supplementation with this factor was able to ameliorate premature aging features [67]. Consistently, with these findings, the body height and weight of the HGPS pediatric patient also showed an appreciable increase four months after the therapy (5 cm and +1.2 kg respectively), which are considerably higher than those showed by HGPS patients per year (an average of 3.5 cm and 0.5 kg respectively). Considering the observed clinical benefits, even when using cell therapy coming from a non-young donor, this positive result opens new avenues in treatments for HGPS patients by exploring the clinical potential of allogeneic young MSCs or their derivatives to treat this devastating disease.

3. MSC-Based Cell-Free Therapy for Aging

Emerging data from bench, basic studies to preclinical and clinical evidence, support the idea that the mechanism of action of MSCs administered to human patients and animal models mainly lies in their ability to secrete bio-active factors [68]. Thus, via paracrine action, MSCs exert short or long intercellular communication by releasing soluble mediators (growth factors and cytokines) and membrane-based EVs. The latter can be sorted by size in microvesicles (100–1000 μm) and exosomes (40–200 μm), both of them containing high concentrations of mRNA, miRNA, proteins and lipids.

As mentioned above, heterochronic parabiosis experiments showed that healthy blood components (young or exercised), may benefit age-related deficits, thus pointing to specific factors, such as EVs, functioning as intercellular messengers to counteract aging consequences. Supporting this observation, EVs produced by different cell types, such as fibroblasts and stem cells, ameliorate physiological and premature age-related deterioration when tested, in both in vitro and in murine models of aging [69][70][71][72]. Interestingly, EV production by stem cells increases depending on the stemness of the cells. Thus, iPSCs have been shown to produce significantly more EVs than neonatal or adult MSCs, and in turn, neonatal MSCs produce more EVs than adult MSCs do [72]. Moreover, the internalization efficiency of EVs derived from iPSCs in target cells is higher than that of EVs derived from tissue MSCs [72]. Consistently, EVs coming from young MSCs show superior functional abilities, mainly attributed to their different cargo. Thus, EVs derived from young MSCs exhibit superior immunomodulatory ability when compared to EVs coming from MSCs isolated from older donors, mainly due to different levels of specific miRNAs in the young EVs [73]. The high concentration of antioxidant enzymes in EVs isolated

from fibroblasts and MSCs has also been pointed to as a mechanism for overcoming oxidative stress of senescent cells treated with EVs [74][72].

In view of these outcomes, it is clear that the source origin of MSCs and the inherent age of that source also define the properties of secreted EVs. Thus, EVs coming from neonatal MSCs (isolated from umbilical cords) are also richer in anti-aging signals than the EVs derived from adult MSCs [69][73]. Treatment of primary elderly human or HGPS fibroblasts with EVs derived from young, but not old, primary fibroblasts, reduced classical in vitro markers of senescence in these cells, such as β -galactosidase staining and impaired cell proliferation. Injection of these young EVs also reduced senescence markers in a number of tissues of elderly mice [74]. This is consistent with a recent study comparing blood EV features from different age donors. Thus, whereas blood EV size, concentration and total protein content remains constant across young, middle-aged and elderly subjects, the EVs' protein composition did change with age. Interestingly, in silico analysis of EV proteomics suggest that this age-dependent difference in protein cargo could probably be due to different activities of certain cell types over time, with circulating EVs mainly coming from blood cells, bone marrow and the pancreas in aged individuals [70]. Considering this evidence, intense research efforts are being addressed to develop effective cell-free therapies in the context of aging and age-related diseases, based on EVs derived from young stem cells, especially umbilical cord-derived MSCs (UCEVs) and iPSCs. Thus, the striking findings reported by two recent works based on UC-EV treatment in aged mice models point to the fact that a therapy based on UC-EVs to counteract human aged-related diseases is a step closer to being established [69][74]. Lei and coworkers showed that UC-EVs contain more anti-aging signals, in particular transcripts for genes related to cell cycle and DNA replication and repair, than EVs derived from adult human bone marrow MSCs (>40 years donors). Consistently, UC-EVs were shown to partially rejuvenate adult MSCs by transferring mRNA transcripts of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA). These rejuvenated adult MSCs showed superior regenerative capacities both in vitro and in vivo (animal models) in terms of osteogenic differentiation, wound repair and angiogenesis [69]. Finally, the authors studied the short-term effects of UC-EV therapy by intravenously injecting UC-EVs in elderly mice during four weeks. Interestingly, the production of proinflammatory cytokines in elderly mice was diminished after UC-EV treatment, and regeneration in tissues affected by age, such as bone and kidney, was also observed. Almost simultaneously, another work showed similar in vitro results regarding the potential of young bone marrow MSCs-EVs to rejuvenate senescent BM-MSCs. Moreover, the authors showed that just two injections of EVs derived from young MSCs were able to extend the life and healthspan in both natural and progeroid mouse models of aging [74].

Also noteworthy is a recent non-randomized clinical trial exploring the safety and efficacy of exosomes derived from allogeneic bone marrow MSCs to treat severe COVID-19 patients showing moderate to severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ExoFlo™) [75]. Remarkably, a single intravenous dose of exosomes was demonstrated as safe and improved clinical parameters such as oxygenation and inflammatory markers, especially within the first three to four days after EV infusion.

Collectively, this evidence points to young EVs derived from MSCs as being a key tool for counteracting both systemic aging and age-related pathologies, as a result of their healthy or youthful cargo. In fact, a cell-free therapy based on EVs seems to be more appealing than a cell therapy: it could be a well-characterized off-the shelf product without the safety concerns of using a "living" product such as MSCs. However, before EV-based therapies enter the clinic, further basic and preclinical research is needed in order to obtain a well-defined clinical product. Knowledge about specific aspects of EV biology, such as appropriate EV characterization, the specific molecules driving the rejuvenation process and the cell populations' target of EVs, will be fundamental to unravelling the underlying mechanisms of EV therapy in the aging process.

4. Harnessing the Efficacy of MSC-Based Therapies

A striking feature of MSCs is that they show dynamic paracrine profiles and functional capabilities, depending on the environment they face [76]. This ability of MSCs to mount an adaptive response is being explored in vitro to enhance their therapeutic potential, by mimicking the microenvironment the MSCs are going to face in vivo. For this purpose, the cell culture conditions are modulated in a process known as "preconditioning", "licensing" or "priming". To date, the most-studied priming strategies enhancing MSC properties are hypoxia, resembling the low oxygen concentrations of the disease site, and the stimulation of MSCs with molecules that are abundant in the target injured site, such as pro-inflammatory cytokines in immune diseases, or even the bacterial wall component LPS in skin wounds [76][77][78]. Thus, the immunosuppressive capacity of MSCs is enhanced by exposure to the proinflammatory cytokine interferon gamma (IFN- γ), even in the case of senescent MSCs, and by the up-regulation of the proteins indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) and programmed cell death ligand 1 (PD-L1) [79][80][81]. These priming strategies are currently going a step forward in an attempt to mimic more closely the disease microenvironment milieu that MSCs are going to encounter upon

administration. Thus, the in vitro stimulation of MSCs with disease-specific plasma, such as stroke or graft versus host disease, has also been proposed as an effective priming strategy [81][82]. In our opinion, MSC priming strategies will be of paramount importance for enhancing the clinical potential of these cells or their derivatives in age-related pathologies, a currently unexplored option. Further research in this exciting area of knowledge will shed light on the mechanisms driving in vitro and in vivo improvements by cell priming approaches.

References

1. López-Otín, C.; Blasco, M.A.; Partridge, L.; Serrano, M.; Kroemer, G. The hallmarks of aging. *Cell* 2013, 153, 1194–1217.
2. Campisi, J.; Kapahi, P.; Lithgow, G.J.; Melov, S.; Newman, J.C.; Verdin, E. From discoveries in ageing research to therapeutics for healthy ageing. *Nature* 2019, 571, 183–192.
3. Prince, M.J.; Wu, F.; Guo, Y.; Gutierrez Robledo, L.M.; O'Donnell, M.; Sullivan, R.; Yusuf, S. The burden of disease in older people and implications for health policy and practice. *Lancet* 2015, 385, 549–562.
4. Pittenger, M.F.; Mackay, A.M.; Beck, S.C.; Jaiswal, R.K.; Douglas, R.; Mosca, J.D.; Moorman, M.A.; Simonetti, D.W.; Craig, S.; Marshak, D.R. Multilineage potential of adult human mesenchymal stem cells. *Science* 1999, 284, 143–147.
5. Zhang, Y.; Ravikumar, M.; Ling, L.; Nurcombe, V.; Cool, S.M. Age-Related Changes in the Inflammatory Status of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells: Implications for Cell Therapy. *Stem Cell Rep.* 2021, 16, 694–707.
6. Baxter, M.A.; Wynn, R.F.; Jowitt, S.N.; Wraith, J.E.; Fairbairn, L.J.; Bellantuono, I. Study of telomere length reveals rapid aging of human marrow stromal cells following in vitro expansion. *Stem Cells* 2004, 22, 675–682.
7. Choudhery, M.S.; Badowski, M.; Muise, A.; Pierce, J.; Harris, D.T. Donor age negatively impacts adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cell expansion and differentiation. *J. Transl. Med.* 2014, 12, 8.
8. Lee, J.Y.; Yu, K.R.; Lee, B.C.; Kang, I.; Kim, J.J.; Jung, E.J.; Kim, H.S.; Seo, Y.; Choi, S.W.; Kang, K.S. GATA4-dependent regulation of the secretory phenotype via MCP-1 underlies lamin A-mediated human mesenchymal stem cell aging. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 2018, 50, 1–12.
9. Levy, O.; Kuai, R.; Siren, E.M.J.; Bhare, D.; Milton, Y.; Nissar, N.; De Biasio, M.; Heinelt, M.; Reeve, B.; Abdi, R.; et al. Shattering barriers toward clinically meaningful MSC therapies. *Sci. Adv.* 2020, 6, eaba6884.
10. Pittenger, M.F.; Discher, D.E.; Péault, B.M.; Phinney, D.G.; Hare, J.M.; Caplan, A.I. Mesenchymal stem cell perspective: Cell biology to clinical progress. *NPJ Regen. Med.* 2019, 4, 22.
11. Horwitz, E.M.; Gordon, P.L.; Koo, W.K.; Marx, J.C.; Neel, M.D.; McNall, R.Y.; Muul, L.; Hofmann, T. Isolated allogeneic bone marrow-derived mesenchymal cells engraft and stimulate growth in children with osteogenesis imperfecta: Implications for cell therapy of bone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002, 99, 8932–8937.
12. Otsuru, S.; Desbordes, L.; Guess, A.J.; Hofmann, T.J.; Relation, T.; Kaito, T.; Dominici, M.; Iwamoto, M.; Horwitz, E.M. Extracellular vesicles released from mesenchymal stromal cells stimulate bone growth in osteogenesis imperfecta. *Cytotherapy* 2018, 20, 62–73.
13. Infante, A.; Gener, B.; Vázquez, M.; Olivares, N.; Arrieta, A.; Grau, G.; Llano, I.; Madero, L.; Bueno, A.M.; Sagastizabal, B.; et al. Reiterative infusions of MSCs improve pediatric osteogenesis imperfecta eliciting a pro-osteogenic paracrine response: TERCELOI clinical trial. *Clin. Transl. Med.* 2021, 11, e265.
14. Moghadas, S.; Elveny, M.; Rahman, H.S.; Suksatan, W.; Jalil, A.T.; Abdelbasset, W.K.; Yumashev, A.V.; Shariatzadeh, S.; Motavalli, R.; Behzad, F.; et al. A paradigm shift in cell-free approach: The emerging role of MSCs-derived exosomes in regenerative medicine. *J. Transl. Med.* 2021, 19, 302.
15. Oeppen, J.; Vaupel, J.W. Demography. Broken limits to life expectancy. *Science* 2002, 296, 1029–1031.
16. Scott, A.J.; Ellison, M.; Sinclair, D.A. The economic value of targeting aging. *Nat. Aging* 2021, 1, 616–623.
17. Farr, J.N.; Xu, M.; Weivoda, M.M.; Monroe, D.G.; Fraser, D.G.; Onken, J.L.; Negley, B.A.; Sfeir, J.G.; Ogrodnik, M.B.; Hachfeld, C.M.; et al. Targeting cellular senescence prevents age-related bone loss in mice. *Nat. Med.* 2017, 23, 1072–1079.
18. Xu, M.; Palmer, A.K.; Ding, H.; Weivoda, M.M.; Pirtskhalava, T.; White, T.A.; Sepe, A.; Johnson, K.O.; Stout, M.B.; Giorgadze, N.; et al. Targeting senescent cells enhances adipogenesis and metabolic function in old age. *Elife* 2015, 4, e12997.
19. Martin-Montalvo, A.; Mercken, E.M.; Mitchell, S.J.; Palacios, H.H.; Mote, P.L.; Scheibye-Knudsen, M.; Gomes, A.P.; Ward, T.M.; Minor, R.K.; Blouin, M.J.; et al. Metformin improves healthspan and lifespan in mice. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, 4, 2192.

20. Zhou, Y.; Xin, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, B.; Chen, L.; Liu, O.; Rowe, D.W.; Xu, M. Senolytics improve bone forming potential of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells from aged mice. *NPJ Regen. Med.* 2021, 6, 34.
21. Hickson, L.J.; Langhi Prata, L.G.P.; Bobart, S.A.; Evans, T.K.; Giorgadze, N.; Hashmi, S.K.; Herrmann, S.M.; Jensen, M.D.; Jia, Q.; Jordan, K.L.; et al. Senolytics decrease senescent cells in humans: Preliminary report from a clinical trial of Dasatinib plus Quercetin in individuals with diabetic kidney disease. *EBioMedicine* 2019, 47, 446–456.
22. Justice, J.N.; Nambiar, A.M.; Tchkonja, T.; LeBrasseur, N.K.; Pascual, R.; Hashmi, S.K.; Prata, L.; Masternak, M.M.; Kritchevsky, S.B.; Musi, N.; et al. Senolytics in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: Results from a first-in-human, open-label, pilot study. *EBioMedicine* 2019, 40, 554–563.
23. Conboy, I.M.; Conboy, M.J.; Wagers, A.J.; Girma, E.R.; Weissman, I.L.; Rando, T.A. Rejuvenation of aged progenitor cells by exposure to a young systemic environment. *Nature* 2005, 433, 760–764.
24. Villeda, S.A.; Plambeck, K.E.; Middeldorp, J.; Castellano, J.M.; Mosher, K.I.; Luo, J.; Smith, L.K.; Bieri, G.; Lin, K.; Berdnik, D.; et al. Young blood reverses age-related impairments in cognitive function and synaptic plasticity in mice. *Nat. Med.* 2014, 20, 659–663.
25. Castellano, J.M.; Mosher, K.I.; Abbey, R.J.; McBride, A.A.; James, M.L.; Berdnik, D.; Shen, J.C.; Zou, B.; Xie, X.S.; Tingle, M.; et al. Human umbilical cord plasma proteins revitalize hippocampal function in aged mice. *Nature* 2017, 544, 488–492.
26. Villeda, S.A.; Luo, J.; Mosher, K.I.; Zou, B.; Britschgi, M.; Bieri, G.; Stan, T.M.; Fainberg, N.; Ding, Z.; Eggel, A.; et al. The ageing systemic milieu negatively regulates neurogenesis and cognitive function. *Nature* 2011, 477, 90–94.
27. Horowitz, A.M.; Fan, X.; Bieri, G.; Smith, L.K.; Sanchez-Diaz, C.I.; Schroer, A.B.; Gontier, G.; Casaletto, K.B.; Kramer, J.H.; Williams, K.E.; et al. Blood factors transfer beneficial effects of exercise on neurogenesis and cognition to the aged brain. *Science* 2020, 369, 167–173.
28. Josephson, A.M.; Bradaschia-Correa, V.; Lee, S.; Leclerc, K.; Patel, K.S.; Muinos Lopez, E.; Litwa, H.P.; Neibart, S.S.; Kadiyala, M.; Wong, M.Z.; et al. Age-related inflammation triggers skeletal stem/progenitor cell dysfunction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2019, 116, 6995–7004.
29. Franceschi, C.; Campisi, J. Chronic inflammation (inflammaging) and its potential contribution to age-associated diseases. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2014, 69 (Suppl. S1), S4–S9.
30. Squarizoni, S.; Schena, E.; Sabatelli, P.; Mattioli, E.; Capanni, C.; Cenni, V.; D'Apice, M.R.; Andrenacci, D.; Sarli, G.; Pellegrino, V.; et al. Interleukin-6 neutralization ameliorates symptoms in prematurely aged mice. *Aging Cell* 2021, 20, e13285.
31. Hunter, D.J.; Bierma-Zeinstra, S. Osteoarthritis. *Lancet* 2019, 393, 1745–1759.
32. Pelletier, J.P.; Martel-Pelletier, J.; Abramson, S.B. Osteoarthritis, an inflammatory disease: Potential implication for the selection of new therapeutic targets. *Arthritis Rheum.* 2001, 44, 1237–1247.
33. O'Brien, K.; Tailor, P.; Leonard, C.; DiFrancesco, L.M.; Hart, D.A.; Matyas, J.R.; Frank, C.B.; Krawetz, R.J. Enumeration and Localization of Mesenchymal Progenitor Cells and Macrophages in Synovium from Normal Individuals and Patients with Pre-Osteoarthritis or Clinically Diagnosed Osteoarthritis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 774.
34. Vega, A.; Martín-Ferrero, M.A.; Del Canto, F.; Alberca, M.; García, V.; Munar, A.; Orozco, L.; Soler, R.; Fuertes, J.J.; Huguet, M.; et al. Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis With Allogeneic Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Transplantation* 2015, 99, 1681–1690.
35. Chahal, J.; Gómez-Aristizábal, A.; Shestopaloff, K.; Bhatt, S.; Chaboureau, A.; Fazio, A.; Chisholm, J.; Weston, A.; Chiovitti, J.; Keating, A.; et al. Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stromal Cell Treatment in Patients with Osteoarthritis Results in Overall Improvement in Pain and Symptoms and Reduces Synovial Inflammation. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 2019, 8, 746–757.
36. Matas, J.; Orrego, M.; Amenabar, D.; Infante, C.; Tapia-Limonchi, R.; Cadiz, M.I.; Alcayaga-Miranda, F.; González, P.L.; Muse, E.; Khoury, M.; et al. Umbilical Cord-Derived Mesenchymal Stromal Cells (MSCs) for Knee Osteoarthritis: Repeated MSC Dosing Is Superior to a Single MSC Dose and to Hyaluronic Acid in a Controlled Randomized Phase I/II Trial. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 2019, 8, 215–224.
37. Jo, C.H.; Lee, Y.G.; Shin, W.H.; Kim, H.; Chai, J.W.; Jeong, E.C.; Kim, J.E.; Shim, H.; Shin, J.S.; Shin, I.S.; et al. Intra-articular injection of mesenchymal stem cells for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee: A proof-of-concept clinical trial. *Stem Cells* 2014, 32, 1254–1266.
38. Gupta, P.K.; Chullikana, A.; Rengasamy, M.; Shetty, N.; Pandey, V.; Agarwal, V.; Wagh, S.Y.; Vellotare, P.K.; Damodaran, D.; Viswanathan, P.; et al. Efficacy and safety of adult human bone marrow-derived, cultured, pooled, allogeneic mesenchymal stromal cells (Stempeucel®): Preclinical and clinical trial in osteoarthritis of the knee joint. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 2016, 18, 301.

39. Lamo-Espinosa, J.M.; Mora, G.; Blanco, J.F.; Granero-Moltó, F.; Nuñez-Córdoba, J.M.; Sánchez-Echenique, C.; Bondía, J.M.; Aquerreta, J.D.; Andreu, E.J.; Ornilla, E.; et al. Intra-articular injection of two different doses of autologous bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells versus hyaluronic acid in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: Multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial (phase I/II). *J. Transl. Med.* 2016, *14*, 246.
40. Townsend, N.; Wilson, L.; Bhatnagar, P.; Wickramasinghe, K.; Rayner, M.; Nichols, M. Cardiovascular disease in Europe: Epidemiological update 2016. *Eur. Heart J.* 2016, *37*, 3232–3245.
41. Pei, Z.; Zeng, J.; Song, Y.; Gao, Y.; Wu, R.; Chen, Y.; Li, F.; Li, W.; Zhou, H.; Yang, Y. In vivo imaging to monitor differentiation and therapeutic effects of transplanted mesenchymal stem cells in myocardial infarction. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, *7*, 6296.
42. Hatzistergos, K.E.; Saur, D.; Seidler, B.; Balkan, W.; Breton, M.; Valasaki, K.; Takeuchi, L.M.; Landin, A.M.; Khan, A.; Hare, J.M. Stimulatory Effects of Mesenchymal Stem Cells on cKit+ Cardiac Stem Cells Are Mediated by SDF1/CXCR4 and SCF/cKit Signaling Pathways. *Circ. Res.* 2016, *119*, 921–930.
43. Ohnishi, S.; Sumiyoshi, H.; Kitamura, S.; Nagaya, N. Mesenchymal stem cells attenuate cardiac fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis through paracrine actions. *FEBS Lett.* 2007, *581*, 3961–3966.
44. Tompkins, B.A.; Balkan, W.; Winkler, J.; Gyöngyösi, M.; Goliasch, G.; Fernández-Avilés, F.; Hare, J.M. Preclinical Studies of Stem Cell Therapy for Heart Disease. *Circ. Res.* 2018, *122*, 1006–1020.
45. Perin, E.C.; Borow, K.M.; Silva, G.V.; DeMaria, A.N.; Marroquin, O.C.; Huang, P.P.; Traverse, J.H.; Krum, H.; Skerrett, D.; Zheng, Y.; et al. A Phase II Dose-Escalation Study of Allogeneic Mesenchymal Precursor Cells in Patients With Ischemic or Nonischemic Heart Failure. *Circ. Res.* 2015, *117*, 576–584.
46. Heldman, A.W.; DiFede, D.L.; Fishman, J.E.; Zambrano, J.P.; Trachtenberg, B.H.; Karantalis, V.; Mushtaq, M.; Williams, A.R.; Suncion, V.Y.; McNiece, I.K.; et al. Transendocardial mesenchymal stem cells and mononuclear bone marrow cells for ischemic cardiomyopathy: The TAC-HFT randomized trial. *JAMA* 2014, *311*, 62–73.
47. Bartolucci, J.; Verdugo, F.J.; González, P.L.; Larrea, R.E.; Abarzua, E.; Goset, C.; Rojo, P.; Palma, I.; Lamich, R.; Pedreros, P.A.; et al. Safety and Efficacy of the Intravenous Infusion of Umbilical Cord Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Patients With Heart Failure: A Phase 1/2 Randomized Controlled Trial (RIMECARD Trial). *Circ. Res.* 2017, *121*, 1192–1204.
48. Bolli, R.; Mitrani, R.D.; Hare, J.M.; Pepine, C.J.; Perin, E.C.; Willerson, J.T.; Traverse, J.H.; Henry, T.D.; Yang, P.C.; Murphy, M.P.; et al. A Phase II study of autologous mesenchymal stromal cells and c-kit positive cardiac cells, alone or in combination, in patients with ischaemic heart failure: The CCTRN CONCERT-HF trial. *Eur. J. Heart Fail.* 2021, *23*, 661–674.
49. Hare, J.M.; DiFede, D.L.; Rieger, A.C.; Florea, V.; Landin, A.M.; El-Khorazaty, J.; Khan, A.; Mushtaq, M.; Lowery, M.H.; Byrnes, J.J.; et al. Randomized Comparison of Allogeneic Versus Autologous Mesenchymal Stem Cells for Nonischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy: POSEIDON-DCM Trial. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 2017, *69*, 526–537.
50. Florea, V.; Rieger, A.C.; DiFede, D.L.; El-Khorazaty, J.; Natsumeda, M.; Banerjee, M.N.; Tompkins, B.A.; Khan, A.; Schulman, I.H.; Landin, A.M.; et al. Dose Comparison Study of Allogeneic Mesenchymal Stem Cells in Patients With Ischemic Cardiomyopathy (The TRIDENT Study). *Circ. Res.* 2017, *121*, 1279–1290.
51. Ding, S.; Feng, L.; Wu, J.; Zhu, F.; Tan, Z.e.; Yao, R. Bioprinting of Stem Cells: Interplay of Bioprinting Process, Bioinks, and Stem Cell Properties. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.* 2018, *4*, 3108–3124.
52. Gordon, E.H.; Hubbard, R.E. Differences in frailty in older men and women. *Med. J. Aust.* 2020, *212*, 183–188.
53. Zhu, Y.; Ge, J.; Huang, C.; Liu, H.; Jiang, H. Application of mesenchymal stem cell therapy for aging frailty: From mechanisms to therapeutics. *Theranostics* 2021, *11*, 5675–5685.
54. Golpanian, S.; DiFede, D.L.; Khan, A.; Schulman, I.H.; Landin, A.M.; Tompkins, B.A.; Heldman, A.W.; Miki, R.; Goldstein, B.J.; Mushtaq, M.; et al. Allogeneic Human Mesenchymal Stem Cell Infusions for Aging Frailty. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2017, *72*, 1505–1512.
55. Tompkins, B.A.; DiFede, D.L.; Khan, A.; Landin, A.M.; Schulman, I.H.; Pujol, M.V.; Heldman, A.W.; Miki, R.; Goldschmidt-Clermont, P.J.; Goldstein, B.J.; et al. Allogeneic Mesenchymal Stem Cells Ameliorate Aging Frailty: A Phase II Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 2017, *72*, 1513–1522.
56. Chinnadurai, R.; Ogedengbe, O.; Agarwal, P.; Money-Coomes, S.; Abdurrahman, A.Z.; Mohammed, S.; Kalra, P.A.; Rothwell, N.; Pradhan, S. Older age and frailty are the chief predictors of mortality in COVID-19 patients admitted to an acute medical unit in a secondary care setting- a cohort study. *BMC Geriatr.* 2020, *20*, 409.
57. Bielza, R.; Sanz, J.; Zambrana, F.; Arias, E.; Malmierca, E.; Portillo, L.; Thuissard, I.J.; Lung, A.; Neira, M.; Moral, M.; et al. Clinical Characteristics, Frailty, and Mortality of Residents With COVID-19 in Nursing Homes of a Region of Madrid.

58. Zaki, M.M.; Lesha, E.; Said, K.; Kiaee, K.; Robinson-McCarthy, L.; George, H.; Hanna, A.; Appleton, E.; Liu, S.; Ng, A.H.M.; et al. Cell therapy strategies for COVID-19: Current approaches and potential applications. *Sci. Adv.* 2021, 7.
59. Wang, W.; Lei, W.; Jiang, L.; Gao, S.; Hu, S.; Zhao, Z.G.; Niu, C.Y.; Zhao, Z.A. Therapeutic mechanisms of mesenchymal stem cells in acute respiratory distress syndrome reveal potentials for Covid-19 treatment. *J. Transl. Med.* 2021, 19, 198.
60. Leng, Z.; Zhu, R.; Hou, W.; Feng, Y.; Yang, Y.; Han, Q.; Shan, G.; Meng, F.; Du, D.; Wang, S.; et al. Transplantation of ACE2. *Aging Dis.* 2020, 11, 216–228.
61. Schäfer, R.; Spohn, G.; Bechtel, M.; Bojkova, D.; Baer, P.C.; Kuçi, S.; Seifried, E.; Ciesek, S.; Cinatl, J. Human Mesenchymal Stromal Cells Are Resistant to SARS-CoV-2 Infection under Steady-State, Inflammatory Conditions and in the Presence of SARS-CoV-2-Infected Cells. *Stem Cell Rep.* 2021, 16, 419–427.
62. Sánchez-Guijo, F.; García-Arranz, M.; López-Parra, M.; Monedero, P.; Mata-Martínez, C.; Santos, A.; Sagredo, V.; Álvarez-Avello, J.M.; Guerrero, J.E.; Pérez-Calvo, C.; et al. Adipose-derived mesenchymal stromal cells for the treatment of patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia requiring mechanical ventilation. A proof of concept study. *EClinicalMedicine* 2020, 25, 100454.
63. Lanzoni, G.; Linetsky, E.; Correa, D.; Messinger Cayetano, S.; Alvarez, R.A.; Kouroupis, D.; Alvarez Gil, A.; Poggioli, R.; Ruiz, P.; Marttos, A.C.; et al. Umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells for COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome: A double-blind, phase 1/2a, randomized controlled trial. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* 2021, 10, 660–673.
64. González-Dominguez, A.; Montañez, R.; Castejón-Vega, B.; Nuñez-Vasco, J.; Lendines-Cordero, D.; Wang, C.; Mbalaviele, G.; Navarro-Pando, J.M.; Alcocer-Gómez, E.; Cordero, M.D. Inhibition of the NLRP3 inflammasome improves lifespan in animal murine model of Hutchinson-Gilford Progeria. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2021, 13, e14012.
65. Pak, J.; Lee, J.H.; Jeon, J.H.; Kim, Y.B.; Jeong, B.C.; Lee, S.H. Potential Benefits of Allogeneic Haploidentical Adipose Tissue-Derived Stromal Vascular Fraction in a Hutchinson-Gilford Progeria Syndrome Patient. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2020, 8, 574010.
66. Lundberg, E.; Kriström, B.; Jonsson, B.; Albertsson-Wikland, K.; group, s. Growth hormone (GH) dose-dependent IGF-I response relates to pubertal height gain. *BMC Endocr. Disord.* 2015, 15, 84.
67. Mariño, G.; Ugalde, A.P.; Fernández, A.F.; Osorio, F.G.; Fueyo, A.; Freije, J.M.; López-Otín, C. Insulin-like growth factor 1 treatment extends longevity in a mouse model of human premature aging by restoring somatotroph axis function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 16268–16273.
68. Phinney, D.G.; Pittenger, M.F. Concise Review: MSC-Derived Exosomes for Cell-Free Therapy. *Stem Cells* 2017, 35, 851–858.
69. Lei, Q.; Gao, F.; Liu, T.; Ren, W.; Chen, L.; Cao, Y.; Chen, W.; Guo, S.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, H.; et al. Extracellular vesicles deposit. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2021, 13.
70. Grenier-Pleau, I.; Tyryshkin, K.; Le, T.D.; Rudan, J.; Bonneil, E.; Thibault, P.; Zeng, K.; Lässer, C.; Mallinson, D.; Lamprou, D.; et al. Blood extracellular vesicles from healthy individuals regulate hematopoietic stem cells as humans age. *Aging Cell* 2020, 19, e13245.
71. Fafián-Labora, J.A.; Rodríguez-Navarro, J.A.; O’Loghlen, A. Small Extracellular Vesicles Have GST Activity and Ameliorate Senescence-Related Tissue Damage. *Cell Metab.* 2020, 32, 71–86.e75.
72. Liu, S.; Mahairaki, V.; Bai, H.; Ding, Z.; Li, J.; Witwer, K.W.; Cheng, L. Highly Purified Human Extracellular Vesicles Produced by Stem Cells Alleviate Aging Cellular Phenotypes of Senescent Human Cells. *Stem Cells* 2019, 37, 779–790.
73. Huang, R.; Qin, C.; Wang, J.; Hu, Y.; Zheng, G.; Qiu, G.; Ge, M.; Tao, H.; Shu, Q.; Xu, J. Differential effects of extracellular vesicles from aging and young mesenchymal stem cells in acute lung injury. *Aging* 2019, 11, 7996–8014.
74. Dorronsoro, A.; Santiago, F.E.; Grassi, D.; Zhang, T.; Lai, R.C.; McGowan, S.J.; Angelini, L.; Lavasani, M.; Corbo, L.; Lu, A.; et al. Mesenchymal stem cell-derived extracellular vesicles reduce senescence and extend health span in mouse models of aging. *Aging Cell* 2021, 20, e13337.
75. Sengupta, V.; Sengupta, S.; Lazo, A.; Woods, P.; Nolan, A.; Bremer, N. Exosomes Derived from Bone Marrow Mesenchymal Stem Cells as Treatment for Severe COVID-19. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2020, 29, 747–754.
76. Munir, S.; Basu, A.; Maity, P.; Krug, L.; Haas, P.; Jiang, D.; Strauss, G.; Wlaschek, M.; Geiger, H.; Singh, K.; et al. TLR4-dependent shaping of the wound site by MSCs accelerates wound healing. *EMBO Rep.* 2020, 21, e48777.
77. Peterson, K.M.; Aly, A.; Lerman, A.; Lerman, L.O.; Rodriguez-Porcel, M. Improved survival of mesenchymal stromal cell after hypoxia preconditioning: Role of oxidative stress. *Life Sci.* 2011, 88, 65–73.

78. Kim, D.S.; Jang, I.K.; Lee, M.W.; Ko, Y.J.; Lee, D.H.; Lee, J.W.; Sung, K.W.; Koo, H.H.; Yoo, K.H. Enhanced Immunosuppressive Properties of Human Mesenchymal Stem Cells Primed by Interferon- γ . *EBioMedicine* 2018, 28, 261–273.
79. Krampera, M.; Cosmi, L.; Angeli, R.; Pasini, A.; Liotta, F.; Andreini, A.; Santarlasci, V.; Mazzinghi, B.; Pizzolo, G.; Vinante, F.; et al. Role for interferon-gamma in the immunomodulatory activity of human bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cells* 2006, 24, 386–398.
80. Chinnadurai, R.; Rajan, D.; Ng, S.; McCullough, K.; Arafat, D.; Waller, E.K.; Anderson, L.J.; Gibson, G.; Galipeau, J. Immune dysfunctionality of replicative senescent mesenchymal stromal cells is corrected by IFN γ priming. *Blood Adv.* 2017, 1, 628–643.
81. Kim, E.H.; Kim, D.H.; Kim, H.R.; Kim, S.Y.; Kim, H.H.; Bang, O.Y. Stroke Serum Priming Modulates Characteristics of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells by Controlling the Expression miRNA-20a. *Cell Transplant.* 2016, 25, 1489–1499.
82. Silva-Carvalho, A.; Rodrigues, L.P.; Schiavinato, J.L.; Alborghetti, M.R.; Bettarello, G.; Simões, B.P.; Neves, F.A.R.; Panepucci, R.A.; de Carvalho, J.L.; Saldanha-Araujo, F. GVHD-derived plasma as a priming strategy of mesenchymal stem cells. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.* 2020, 11, 156.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/36483>