Soil Component Affect Antibiotic Resistance Genes

Subjects: Environmental Sciences

Contributor: Hongyu Shi , Xinyi Hu , Wenxuan Li , Jin Zhang , Baolan Hu , Liping Lou

Antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) and antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB) in soil have become research hotspots in the fields of public health and environmental ecosystem. The soil environment is an important acceptor of many pollutants, including antibiotics. The external pressure of antibiotics and other pollutants can promote the proliferation and occurrence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB) and antibiotic-resistance genes (ARGs) in soil. Soil ARGs can spread in various ways, such as horizontal gene transfer (HGT) between soil microorganisms and vertical gene transfer (VGT) between parent and offspring, while soil components have important influence on the occurance and spread of ARGs.

soil component

antibiotic resistance genes

horizontal gene transfer

1. The Occurrence and Spread of ARGs in Soil

1.1. The Pollution Status of ARB and ARGs in Soil

Soil is the largest reservoir of ARBs and ARGs ^{[1][2]}. The abundance of ARGs in soil has increased substantially since the beginning of the antibiotic era ^{[3][4]}. Similar to antibiotics, wastewater irrigation and manure application are two main routes for ARBs and ARGs entering the soil ^{[2][5]}.

ARBs and ARGs have been widely detected in different types of soils around the world ^[6]. Taking *E. coli*, which has been widely studied, as an example, the existence of *E. coli* has been found in farmland soil, non-farmland soil, and even plant microbial communities ^{[Z][8]}. Many *E. coli* strains isolated from soil carry ARGs, and most of them have multidrug resistance. Furlan et al. ^[9] isolated a total of 60 strains of *E. coli* from soil samples on Brazilian farms, of which 68.3% of them exhibited multidrug resistance profiles. Liu et al. ^[8] found that all soil *E. coli* isolated from Washington State (n = 1905) were resistant to at least four different antibiotics. Graves et al. ^[10] analyzed 616 strains of *E. coli* collected from swine manure, swine lagoon effluent, and soils that received lagoon effluent and found that these strains usually carried ARGs coding for streptomycin, spectinomycin, tetracycline, and sulfonamide.

At the same time, the relative abundance of various ARGs in soil has increased significantly in recent years, and the increase in tetracycline resistance gene levels was significantly more frequent than for other ARGs ^[11]. Tetracyclines ARGs are present in soils worldwide, and the relative abundance is between 10⁻⁹ and 10⁻²

copies/16S rRNA gene (**Table 1**). Tetracyclines ARGs are also the main types of ARGs carried by phages in the soil environment ^[12].

Place	Soil Type	ARGs	Relative Abundance
China ^[13]	Feedlot vicinity	tetM, tetO, tetQ, tetW	10 ⁻⁵ -10 ⁻²
China ^[<u>14</u>]	Feedlot vicinity	tetB(P), tetM, tetO, tetW	$10^{-3} - 10^{-6}$
China ^[15]	Feedlots	tetA(P), tetG, tetC, tetL, tetX, tetM, tetA	10 ⁻² -10 ⁻⁴
China ^[16]	Feedlots	tetA, tetB, tetM	$10^{-6} - 10^{-1}$
China ^[17]	Farmland	tetB(P), tetM, tetO, tetQ, tetT, tetW	$10^{-8} - 10^{-2}$
China ^[18]	Farmland	tetG, tetY, tetZ	10 ⁻⁷ -10 ⁻⁴
China ^[19]	Farmland	tetB(P), tetC, tetG, tetL, tetO, tetS, tetW, tetZ	10 ⁻⁶ -10 ⁻¹
Italy [20]	Feedlots	tetQ, tetW	$10^{-9} - 10^{-5}$
India ^[21]	Feedlots	tetA, tetW	10 ^{-1 a}
America ^[22]	Farmland	tetO, tetW	10 ⁻⁷ -10 ⁻⁴
Austria ^[23]	Farmland	tetW	10 ⁻⁵ -10 ⁻⁴
The Netherlands [<u>11</u>]	Typical sites	tetM, tetO, tetQ, tetW	10 ⁻⁴ -10 ⁻²
Scotland [3]	Typical sites	tetM, tetQ, tetW	10 ⁻⁵ -10 ⁻²
Scotland ^[24]	Farm	tetA, tetB, tetC, tetG, tetW	$10^{-6} - 10^{-5}$
Australia ^[25]	Residential area	tetM, tet₩	10 ⁻⁹ -10 ⁻²

Table 1. Antibiotic-resistance genes (ARGs) contamination in soil (copies/16S rRNA gene).

The causes, inheritance, and transmission mechanisms of antibiotic resistance are very complex and can be divided into genetic resistance and non-inherited resistance [26] (**Figure 1**). Non-inherited resistance refers to a The ratio of phages carrying ARGs to the total number of phages. resistance that is not acquired through horizontal or vertical transfer of ARGs but through behaviors such as collaboration between groups ^[27]. Cooperative resistance, a population-based survival strategy that adapts to high antibiotic stress through the cooperation of multiple ARBs, is a typical non-inherited resistance ^[28].



Figure 1. Transmission routes of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs: antibiotic resistance genes, eARGs: extracellular ARGs, iARGs: intracellular ARGs).

Genetic resistance can be divided into intrinsic resistance and acquired resistance. Intrinsic resistance refers to the natural existence of certain genes in bacterial genomes that could generate a resistance phenotype ^[29]. It is an ancient, natural, and widespread environmental phenomenon that predates the selective pressures caused by modern human use of antibiotics, and multiple ARGs have been detected in Arctic permafrost unaffected by human activities ^{[6][30]}. Acquired resistance is a consequence of spontaneous chromosomal mutations or ARGs gained through HGT ^[31], which refers to the exchange of genetic material between individuals of different organisms and is the key reason for the widespread existence of ARB in clinical systems ^[32]. After obtaining ARGs through HGT, ARGs will achieve the amplification and continuation of these genes through reproduction between parent and child generations in VGT ^[33].

HGT mainly includes three pathways mediated by mobile genetic elements (MGEs), namely extracellular DNAmediated transformation, plasmid-mediated conjugation, and phage-mediated transduction ^[32]. A large number of research results have shown that HGT can widely occur in the soil environment ^{[34][35][36]}.

Transformation refers to the process by which competent bacteria take up DNA from outside. Unlike conjugation, transformation does not require physical contact between the donor and recipient cells, and free DNA released by cell lysis can serve as the donor for transformation ^[37]. Only competent bacteria can obtain extracellular DNA, and

the competence can be naturally or artificially induced ^[38]. Most naturally transformable bacteria can develop into competent cells under specific circumstances (e.g., nutrient conditions, changes in bacterial density ^[39]). Johann et al. ^[40] listed 87 species of bacteria that can absorb extracellular free DNA through natural transformation, including *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter*, which are commonly found in soil. The key steps of ARGs transformation are as follows: (1) bacteria actively or passively discharge ARGs into the environment; (2) extracellular ARGs become stable and ingestible in the environment; (3) extracellular ARGs are ingested into the bacterial cytoplasm; (4) exogenous ARGs integrate into bacterial chromosomes by homologous recombination or replicate autonomously as episomes ^[37] ^[38] ^[39] ^[41]. Chen et al. ^[42] found that the plasmid pK5 carrying ARGs had a strong migration ability in soil, which confirmed the widespread occurrence of the transformation process in soil.

Conjugation refers to the process by which the plasmid or chromosome carrying ARGs enters the recipient bacteria through the conjugative fimbriae produced by the donor bacteria [43][44]. Conjugation is considered to provide better protection from the surrounding environment and a more efficient means of genetic material entering the host cell than transformation, while often having a broader host range than bacteriophage transduction [32]. Integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs) and plasmids are the main vectors for the delivery of ARGs in conjugation [45][46][47]. Plasmids, as important mediators of conjugation, can still persist among bacterial populations without antibiotic stress and invade new strains with high frequency [48][49]. It can be classified into three categories according to mobility: conjugative, mobilizable, and non-mobilizable [45]. A conjugative plasmid codes for its own set of mating pair formation (MPF) genes; if it uses an MPF of another genetic element present in the cell, it is called mobilizable; other plasmids are called non-mobilizable because they are neither conjugative nor mobilizable and usually spread through transformation and transduction ^{[45][47]}. In addition, non-mobilizable plasmids can also be transferred by physical association with conjugative plasmids ^[50]. Plasmid-mediated conjugation includes multiple processes such as mating pair formation and relaxosome formation [47][51]. In soil, this process is susceptible to a variety of factors, such as soil bacterial population structure ^[52], nutrient composition ^[53], selective pressure of antibiotics and heavy metals [54], etc. However, the understanding of the plasmid-mediated conjugative transfer process of the complex bacterial community in soil is still limited ^[55]. ICEs have the properties of transposons, plasmids, and phages: both ICEs and transposons can jump on chromosomes, but transposons cannot undergo HGT; both ICEs and plasmids can transfer DNA between cells in the form of conjugation, but most ICEs cannot self-replicate as plasmids do; ICEs and phages both can detach, integrate, and replicate with host chromosomes, the difference being that ICEs transfer DNA in the form of conjugation rather than transduction ^[56]. The study by Gonçalves et al. ^[57] confirmed the role of ICEs in the soil microbial HGT process.

In transduction, ARGs are transferred from one bacterium to another by means of phages (bacteriovirus) and can be integrated into the chromosome of the recipient cell ^[58]. The phage-mediated transduction progress does not need contact between the donor and recipient, or even the simultaneous appearance of them ^[59]. Soil is one of the important habitats for phages and their hosts ^{[60][61]}. It is estimated that the number of soil virus particles (mainly phages) accounts for 10% of the total number of viruses in the world, about 4.8×10^{30} ^[62]. The special protein capsid structure of phages can effectively protect nucleic acids, and the soil is highly heterogeneous and rich in biodiversity, providing a variety of parasitic environments for phages ^[36]. The opaque environment of soil protects the phages from sun damage ^[63], which is more conducive to their long-term survival and reproduction. Therefore,

compared with free ARGs and bacteria in soil, phages are more resistant to adverse environmental factors ^[64] and persist longer, providing a material basis for their interactions with bacteria and gene transfer. Related studies have shown that the contribution of phages to HGT was likely underestimated ^{[65][66]}. Olatz et al. ^[67] found a large number of free and replicable phages containing ARGs in farmland soil, which may lead to the production and enrichment of ARB.

Although HGT is regarded as the major pathway of ARGs spreading, there is a significant involvement of VGT. Firstly, VGT raises the possibility of spontaneous mutation of bacterial DNA ^[41]. Secondly, VGT promotes HGT among the bacterial community: Li et al. ^[68] found that VGT can significantly promote the formation of conjugants and accelerate the spread of ARGs.

2. Effects of Soil Components on the HGT of ARGs from the Micro Perspective

2.1. The Effects of Soil Components on the HGT of ARGs in Pure Bacterial System

Another part of the studies discussed the effect of soil components on HGT processes such as ARGs transformation and conjugation using the pure bacterial system (**Table 2** and **Table 3**).

Table 2. Research on the	e effects of soil components	on transformation of	antibiotic resistance	genes (ARGs).

Modium	Important Conclusions		
Meulum	Results	Reasons	
Kaolinite, illite, and montmorillonite ^[69]	Plasmids adsorbed on minerals could resist higher concentrations of nucleases and form more transformants than free plasmids.	The adsorption of the nuclease on minerals protected the plasmids, but it can still be involved in transformation.	
Kaolinite, Goethite, and montmorillonite ^[70]	Low concentrations (1–2 g/L) have little effect; high concentration (10 g/L) of kaolinite and montmorillonite inhibited transformation; high concentration (10 g/L) of goethite promoted transformation.	Kaolinite and montmorillonite: strong adsorption to competence stimulating factor, decrease the expression level of competent genes (<i>phrC</i> , <i>comS</i>); goethite: increase cell membrane damage.	
Montmorillonite ^[71]	Low concentration (about 0–0.025 g/L) promoted transformation; high concentration (about 0.025–2 g/L) inhibited transformation.	Low concentration: increase the contact between plasmids and cells; forming holes on cell membrane; High concentration: plasmids were adsorbed; heavy metals released from montmorillonite cause the aggregation of the plasmids.	
Biochar ^[72]	Significantly inhibited the transformation of extracellular antibiotic resistance genes (eARGs)	Biochar dissolutions: Induce intramolecular condensation and agglomeration of plasmids; decrease the cell membrane permeability;	

Medium	Important Conclusions		
	Results	Reasons	
		biochar solids: Adsorb plasmids and deactivate <i>E. coli</i> .	
Soil microcosm ^[73]	DNA adsorbed on soil particles still transformed competent cells	Minerals did not inhibit the transformation, but blocked DNA contact with the recipient.	
Soil microcosm ^[74]	Plasmid adsorbed on sand transformed significantly less efficient than did plasmid in solution; the transformation by sand-adsorbed chromosomal was as high as that by plasmid in solution.	Transformation occurred by direct uptake of DNA from the mineral surfaces; transformation requires multiple plasmids, and the probability of multiple free plasmids meeting bacteria at the same time is higher than that on mineral surfaces; the chances of bacteria taking up DNA on the mineral surface are proportional to the size of the DNA, and chromosomes of the same mass are larger and easier to take up.	
Activated sludge EPS ^[75]	The transformation ability of free ARGs was higher than that in activated sludge extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) when calculated per ng DNA, and lower when calculated per g volatile suspended solids.	Activated sludge EPS is rich in ARGs.	
Sediment ^[76]	The transformation efficiency of adsorbed eARG was higher than that of free eARGs.	Sand adsorbed bacteria and plasmids at the same time, facilitating contact between the two, and was related to the conformation of the plasmid.	

and recipient bacteria in soil microcosms, EPS, sediments, or other media but also include studies on the addition of single components to simulate soil conditions [70][71][72][76]. Relevant research (**Table 2**) has shown that plasmids ^[69] and chromosomes ^[73] adsorbed by soil components can still participate in transformation; Chamier et al. ^[74] found that the plasmid adsorbed on sand transformed significantly less efficiently than the plasmid in solution; but Dong et al. ^[76] considered that sediment-adsorbed plasmids had higher transformation efficiency than episomal plasmids. Montmorillonite at low concentrations (0–0.025 g/L) ^[71] and goethite at high concentrations (10 g/L) ^[70] promote transformation, while high concentrations of kaolinite (10 g/L), montmorillonite (0.025–2 g/L and 10 g/L), and biochar (2, 4, and 8 g/L) inhibit it ^{[70][71][72]}.

The research on conjugation (**Table 3**) showed that birnessite and low concentrations of goethite (0–0.5 g/L) promoted conjugation; the effects of kaolinite and montmorillonite were irregular; goethite at high concentration (5 g/L) inhibited conjugative transfer ^[77]. Liu et al. ^[78] found that biochar can weaken the promoting effect of heavy metals on conjugation, while Zheng et al. ^[79] reported that pyroligneous acid and its three fractions at different temperatures had inhibitory effects on conjugative transfer. Some studies illustrated the mechanisms of soil components affecting the process of conjugation by detecting the expression of related genes ^[77][^{78][80]}, but most of

them are speculation based on transcriptome results, and the understanding of related pathways and mechanisms is still unclear, which is worth exploring in depth.

Table 3. Research on the effects of soil components on conjugation of antibiotic	resistance genes (ARGs).
---	--------------------------

Medium	Results	Reasons
Kaolinite, goethite, birnessite, and montmorillonite ^[77]	Birnessite promoted conjugation. The effects of kaolinite and montmorillonite were irregular. Goethite promoted conjugation at low concentration (0–0.5 g/L) and inhibited it at high concentration (5 g/L).	 Birnessite promoted the production of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS); increased the expression levels of oxidative stress-regulated genes (<i>rpoS</i>) and outer membrane protein genes (<i>ompA</i>, <i>omp</i>F, <i>ompC</i>). Birnessite altered the expression levels of conjugation-related genes (globally regulation genes (<i>korA</i>, <i>korB</i>, <i>trbA</i>); mating pair formation (MPF) system genes (<i>trbB</i>p, <i>traF</i>); DNA transfer and replication (DTR) system genes (<i>trfA</i>p, <i>traJ</i>)).
Dissolved biochar [<u>81</u>]	The effects on conjugation were related to the concentration and source of biochar.	Humic acid-like substance in dissolved biochar improved the conjugative efficiency. The inhibitory effects of small-molecule matters dominated, decreasing conjugative transfer frequency.
Pyroligneous acid and its three fractions ^[79]	Reduced the abundance of ARGs and MGEs in soil.	High content of organic acids inhibited the bacterial growth.
Dissolved biochar [<u>78</u>]	Attenuated the promotion effect of Cu (II) to conjugation.	Dissolved biochar affected intracellular ROS production level, cell membrane permeability, and the expression level of global regulatory genes (<i>korA</i> , <i>korB</i> , <i>trbA</i>), pore formation and membrane trafficking genes (<i>ompA</i> , <i>ompC</i>), MPF system gene (<i>trbB</i>), DTR system gene (<i>trfA</i>), etc.
CeO2 nanoparticle [80] (soil pollutant)	Inhibited conjugation at low concentration (1, 5 mg/L), while promoted it at high concentration (25, 50 mg/L).	CeO ₂ nanoparticle affected many aspects, such as intracellular ROS production, polysaccharide synthesis in EPS, cell-to-cell contact, ATP supply, and the expression level of conjugation-related genes (MPF system gene (<i>trb</i> Bp), DTR system gene (<i>trf</i> Ap), putative transmembrane ATPase gene (<i>tra</i> G)), etc.
Gut of <i>C. elegans</i> [82] (soil animal)	The conjugation efficiency in gut was higher than soil, and increased with time and temperature.	The abundance of MPF system gene (<i>trb</i> Bp) and DTR system gene (<i>trf</i> Ap) was increased.

2.2. Influence Mechanisms of Soil Components on HGT of ARGs

Although transformation, conjugation, and transduction are three independent HGT mechanisms, there are some commonalities between them when soil components are present. Soil components mostly affect the HGT process of ARGs through similar pathways: from the perspective of intracellular changes and responses, including

regulation of intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, SOS response, and the expression levels of related genes, etc. ^{[77][80][83]}; from the point of view of intercellular contact and communication, it includes the influence of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) ^[80] and quorum sensing ^{[84][85]}, etc.; in addition, it also includes affecting the activity of plasmids or bacterial concentration ^{[86][87][88]}.

2.2.1. Intracellular Changes and Responses

Intracellular ROS Production

ROS are generated via successive single-electron reductions, including superoxide $(O_2, -)$, hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , and hydroxyl radical $(OH \cdot)$ ^[89]. Intracellular ROS generation can cause oxidative stress, which affects a series of macromolecules of bacteria (DNA, lipids, and proteins) ^[90]. Intracellular ROS can be scavenged by the antioxidant system, which is an intracellular defense mechanism ^[91]. Antioxidant enzymes (such as catalase (CAT) and superoxide dismutase (SOD)) catalyze the conversion and detoxification of corresponding oxidative groups and, finally, relieve oxidative stress ^[92]. Moderately generated ROS after treatment with soil components may stimulate a series of protective responses that favor the promotion of HGT. Birnessite can initiate the formation of intracellular ROS and induce oxidative stress, which is one of the important mechanisms for birnessite-promoting ARGs conjugation ^[77]. However, excessive production of intracellular ROS will exceed the capacity of antioxidant enzymes, resulting in severe cellular damage or death of cells, ultimately inhibiting conjugation ^[93].

SOS Response

SOS response is a global regulatory response to protect cells from severe DNA damage by ROS ^[94], which has been shown to promote the HGT of ARGs ^[83]. However, there are few studies on the induction of bacterial SOS responses by soil. It is speculated that the natural components in soil have limited influence on the bacteria, while the nanoscale components or other pollutants in soil may cause the excessive accumulation of ROS and induce the SOS response. For example, high concentration of nano-CeO₂ (50 mg/L) caused the up-regulation of both SOS response activation genes (*lexA*, *recA*) and DNA repair genes (*umuC*, *umuD*, *uvrA*, *uvrB*) ^[80], which promoted the conjugative transfer of ARGs.

Cell Membrane Permeability

Cell membrane permeability changes with the stimulation of environmental stress, and such changes are potentially related to the spread of genetic materials ^[72]. The increase in cell membrane permeability, which can be divided into active improvement and passive damage, may contribute to the transfer of ARGs to a certain extent ^[39].

On the one hand, under the action of soil components, bacteria can autonomously up-regulate the related gene expression of membrane proteins, that is, active improvement. For example, Wu et al. ^[77] found that birnessite up-regulated the expression level of several outer membrane protein genes (*ompA*, *ompF*, *ompC*), thus promoting the conjugative transfer of ARGs. On the other hand, bacteria may be physically damaged by external perturbations,

resulting in the formation of pores on the cell membrane (e.g., collisions with bacteria during material mixing ^[95], it is also possible that some soil components, especially nanoscale soil components (e.g., high-temperature black carbon), allow the excessive production of intracellular ROS and then damage the integrity of cell membranes; another possibility shows that the high concentration of heavy metals released from the process of interaction between soil components and bacteria indirectly promotes lipid peroxidation and induces cell membrane damage ^{[97][98]}; all of the above are passive damage. Both goethite ^[70] and montmorillonite ^[71] were found to promote the transformation of ARGs by causing cell membrane damage.

When the integrity of the bacterial cell membrane is excessively damaged, the bacteria will die, which inhibits the conjugation of ARGs. But the ARGs released from damaged or dead bacteria are free from the soil and have the opportunity to become donors of transformation. Ma et al. ^[99] and Ouyang et al. ^[100] reported that soil minerals, such as kaolinite, goethite, and hematite, can induce bacterial death by disrupting cell membranes. In addition, bacteria can also initiate protective responses by reducing cell membrane permeability, thereby reducing the uptake of toxic substances ^{[101][102]}, while also hindering the occurrence of HGT. For example, biochar dissolutions caused a decrease in cell membrane permeability, thus inhibiting the transformation of ARGs ^[72].

ATP Synthesis Capacity

The construction of conjugative transfer apparatus, replication of plasmids, and transport across cell membranes all depend on adenosine triphosphate (ATP) ^[103]. Soil components can affect the frequency of conjugation and transformation by regulating ATP synthesis. For example, CeO_2 caused an insufficient ATP supply, which in turn inhibited the process of conjugation of ARGs ^[80].

Conjugation Activity of Intracellular Plasmids

The conjugation of plasmids requires the participation of a series of conjugation-related genes and regulatory genes, such as global regulatory genes (*kor*A, *kor*B, etc.), DNA transfer and replication (DTR) system genes (*trf*Ap, etc.), and MPF system genes (*trb*Bp, etc.) ^[82]. Among them, the MPF system is crucial for the formation of fimbriae ^[104]. In Gram-negative bacteria, sexual fimbriae act as channels for DNA conjugative transfer, and both their length and flexibility affect the efficiency of bacterial contact, including collision, attachment, and detachment ^[105]. As to transformation, the adherence of high concentrations of mineral particles to bacteria may damage fimbriae, while its absence will greatly reduce the expression of competent genes and the formation of competent bacteria, thus affecting the transformation process of ARGs ^{[70][106]}.

2.2.2. Cell-Cell Contact and Quorum Sensing

The EPS consists of exopolysaccharides, nucleic acids, proteins, lipids, and other biomolecules, which determine the surface properties of bacteria (e.g., surface charge) and are critical for inter-bacterial adhesion and communication ^[107]. It was concluded by Tsuneda et al. ^[108] that, if the EPS amount is relatively small, cell adhesion onto solid surfaces is inhibited by electrostatic interaction, and cell adhesion is enhanced by polymeric interaction when it is relatively large. This process may affect the contact behavior between bacteria (e.g.,

conjugation) and may also enrich the plasmid or block the contact between the plasmid and bacteria, thereby affecting transformation. However, few studies have paid attention to the effects of soil components on bacterial EPS production and the transfer of ARGs, while relatively many studies have focused on soil pollutants. For example, Yu et al. ^[80] found that CeO₂, a typical nanoparticle pollutant in soil, weakened inter-bacterial contact by inhibiting the synthesis of polysaccharides in EPS.

EPS can also act as a permeability barrier to limit the increase in cell membrane permeability and hinder the transformation of ARGs ^[109]. Wang et al. ^[75] found that the transformation ability of free ARGs was higher than that of activated sludge EPS when calculated by per ng DNA, and lower when calculated by per g volatile suspended solids (VSS). This phenomenon proved that although activated sludge EPS had a certain inhibitory effect on gene transfer. Due to the large amount of ARGs contained in EPS, it has a significant enrichment effect on ARGs, and may be an important environmental source of extracellular ARGs for bacteria.

Bacterial quorum sensing is a form of bacterial cell-to-cell communication that enables bacteria to sense the presence and number of other bacteria within their surrounding environment and to rapidly respond to changes in population density ^[110]. Autoinducers such as acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs) are common signaling molecules for quorum sensing ^[111]. Zhang et al. ^[85] found that six AHLs could promote the conjugation frequency to varying degrees between the same bacteria genera during the advanced treatment of drinking water using biologically activated carbon.

2.2.3. Bacterial Uptake of Extracellular ARGs

The Competent State of Bacteria

Bacterial cells must first develop a regulated physiological state of competence for natural transformation, which allows the occurrence of stable uptake, integration, and functional expression of extracellular DNA ^[39]. Taking *Bacillus subtilis* (*B. subtilis*) as an example, the formation of its competence requires the competency stimulating factor (CSF) ^[112], while the strong adsorption of CSF by kaolinite and montmorillonite reduced the transformation of ARGs ^[70]. In addition, the development of a competent state is also affected by various environmental stresses, such as population density, starvation, and DNA damage ^{[113][114][115]}. Soil bacterial communities normally live under conditions of starvation ^[116]. Inaoka et al. ^[113] noticed that the competent genes of *B. subtilis* 168 were upregulated under these conditions and tended to be competent. Transformation is entirely directed by the recipient cell, and all required proteins are encoded in the core genome ^[117], so we should pay more attention to the gene expression of the recipient cell. Mineral-cell adhesion may influence the expression of competent genes in bacteria, thereby interfering with the development of a competent state ^[70].

Availability of Extracellular ARGs

As early as around 2000, the adsorption of DNA by soil components and the transformation activity of the adsorbed DNA have been thoroughly studied, not only for plasmids but also for chromosomes ^[74]. Some important components in soil can protect DNA from being degraded through the adsorption of nucleases so that it can be

retained in the environment for a long time ^[69], and the adsorbed DNA still has transformation activity ^[73]. The interface that adsorbs DNA and the ion species or concentrations in the surrounding environment will affect the desorption and configuration of DNA ^[118]. Hu et al. ^[71] believed that the adsorption and desorption processes of ARGs by montmorillonite would cause a locally high concentration of ARGs around the montmorillonite particles, which was beneficial to the uptake of free ARGs by competent bacteria.

2.2.4. Bacterial Concentration

The HGT process and the proliferation of ARB and ARGs in soil are intrinsically dependent on bacterial growth and concentration ^{[87][88]}, especially for conjugation ^[119], but there are few studies on soil components that affect the concentration of bacteria and then influence the HGT of ARGs. The production of conjugants will be inhibited when the donor-to-recipient concentration ratio ($R_{D/R}$) is too high ^{[119][120][121]}. Dahlberg et al. ^[120] found that the lowest concentration of donor bacteria created the highest conjugation frequency of plasmids.

References

- 1. Nesme, J.; Simonet, P. The soil resistome: A critical review on antibiotic resistance origins, ecology and dissemination potential in telluric bacteria. Environ. Microbiol. 2015, 17, 913–930.
- Ondon, B.S.; Li, S.N.; Zhou, Q.X.; Li, F. Sources of antibiotic resistant bacteria (ARB) and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in the soil: A review of the spreading mechanism and human health risks. In Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology; de Voogt, P., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2021; Volume 256, pp. 121–153.
- 3. Knapp, C.W.; McCluskey, S.M.; Singh, B.K.; Campbell, C.D.; Hudson, G.; Graham, D.W. Antibiotic resistance gene abundances correlate with metal and geochemical conditions in archived Scottish soils. PLoS ONE 2011, 6, e27300.
- Knapp, C.W.; Zhang, W.; Sturm, B.S.M.; Graham, D.W. Differential fate of erythromycin and betalactam resistance genes from swine lagoon waste under different aquatic conditions. Environ. Pollut. 2010, 158, 1506–1512.
- 5. He, J.Z.; Yan, Z.Z.; Chen, Q.L. Transmission of antibiotic resistance genes in agroecosystems: An overview. Front. Agric. Sci. Eng. 2020, 7, 329–332.
- 6. D'Costa, V.M.; King, C.E.; Kalan, L.; Morar, M.; Sung, W.W.L.; Schwarz, C.; Froese, D.; Zazula, G.; Calmels, F.; Debruyne, R.; et al. Antibiotic resistance is ancient. Nature 2011, 477, 457–461.
- Xu, H.; Chen, Z.Y.; Huang, R.Y.; Cui, Y.; Li, Q.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, X.; Mao, D.; Luo, Y.; Ren, H.Q. Antibiotic resistance gene-carrying plasmid spreads into the plant endophytic bacteria using soil bacteria as carriers. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2021, 55, 10462–10470.

- 8. Liu, J.X.; Zhao, Z.; Avillan, J.J.; Call, D.R.; Davis, M.; Sischo, W.M.; Zhang, A. Dairy farm soil presents distinct microbiota and varied prevalence of antibiotic resistance across housing areas. Environ. Pollut. 2019, 254, 113058.
- 9. Furlan, J.P.R.; Stehling, E.G. Multiple sequence types, virulence determinants and antimicrobial resistance genes in multidrug- and colistin-resistant Escherichia coli from agricultural and non-agricultural soils. Environ. Pollut. 2021, 288, 117804.
- Graves, A.K.; Liwimbi, L.; Israel, D.W.; van Heugten, E.; Robinson, B.; Cahoon, C.W.; Lubbers, J.F. Distribution of ten antibiotic resistance genes in E. coli isolates from swine manure, lagoon effluent and soil collected from a lagoon waste application field. Folia Microbiol. 2011, 56, 131– 137.
- 11. Knapp, C.W.; Dolfing, J.; Ehlert, P.A.I.; Graham, D.W. Evidence of increasing antibiotic resistance gene abundances in archived soils since 1940. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2010, 44, 580–587.
- 12. Zhang, Y.; Hu, X.; Wang, X. Recent advances on antibiotic resistance genes encoded by bacteriophages. China Environ. Sci. 2022, 42, 2315–2320.
- Wu, N.; Qiao, M.; Zhang, B.; Cheng, W.-D.; Zhu, Y.-G. Abundance and diversity of tetracycline resistance genes in soils adjacent to representative swine feedlots in China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2010, 44, 6933–6939.
- Ji, X.L.; Shen, Q.H.; Liu, F.; Ma, J.; Xu, G.; Wang, Y.; Wu, M. Antibiotic resistance gene abundances associated with antibiotics and heavy metals in animal manures and agricultural soils adjacent to feedlots in Shanghai; China. J. Hazard. Mater. 2012, 235, 178–185.
- 15. Wang, F.H.; Qiao, M.; Chen, Z.; Su, J.-Q.; Zhu, Y.-G. Antibiotic resistance genes in manureamended soil and vegetables at harvest. J. Hazard. Mater. 2015, 299, 215–221.
- 16. Liu, Z.B.; Klümper, U.; Shi, L.; Ye, L.; Li, M. From pig breeding environment to subsequently produced pork: Comparative analysis of antibiotic resistance genes and bacterial community composition. Front. Microbiol. 2019, 10, 43.
- Zhou, Y.; Niu, L.; Zhu, S.; Lu, H.; Liu, W. Occurrence, abundance, and distribution of sulfonamide and tetracycline resistance genes in agricultural soils across China. Sci. Total Environ. 2017, 599, 1977–1983.
- Liu, L.; Huang, W.; Lyu, X.; He, X.; Chen, L.; Song, Y. Effect of Long-term Biogas Slurry Fertilization on Distribution of Tetracycline and Sulfonamide Resistance Genes in Soil. Fujian J. Agric. Sci. 2021, 36, 699–705.
- Peng, S.; Wang, Y.; Lin, X. Abundance of the tetracycline resistance genes in a paddy soil after continuous application of composted swine manure for 6 years. China Environ. Sci. 2015, 35, 1173–1180.

- Chessa, L.; Jechalke, S.; Ding, G.C.; Pusino, A.; Mangia, N.P.; Smalla, K. The presence of tetracycline in cow manure changes the impact of repeated manure application on soil bacterial communities. Biol. Fertil. Soils 2016, 52, 1121–1134.
- Anand, T.; Bera, B.C.; Vaid, R.K.; Barua, S.; Riyesh, T.; Virmani, N.; Hussain, M.; Singh, R.K.; Tripathi, B.N. Abundance of antibiotic resistance genes in environmental bacteriophages. J. Gen. Virol. 2016, 97, 3458–3466.
- 22. Munir, M.; Xagoraraki, I. Levels of antibiotic resistance genes in manure, biosolids, and fertilized soil. J. Environ. Qual. 2011, 40, 248–255.
- Radu, E.; Woegerbauer, M.; Rab, G.; Oismüller, M.; Strauss, P.; Hufnagl, P.; Gottsberger, R.A.; Krampe, J.; Weyermair, K.; Kreuzinger, N. Resilience of agricultural soils to antibiotic resistance genes introduced by agricultural management practices. Sci. Total Environ. 2021, 756, 143699.
- 24. Lin, H.; Chapman, S.J.; Freitag, T.E.; Kyle, C.; Ma, J.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Z. Fate of tetracycline and sulfonamide resistance genes in a grassland soil amended with different organic fertilizers. Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 2019, 170, 39–46.
- Knapp, C.W.; Callan, A.C.; Aitken, B.; Shearn, R.; Koenders, A.; Hinwood, A. Relationship between antibiotic resistance genes and metals in residential soil samples from western Australia. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2017, 24, 2484–2494.
- 26. Corona, F.; Martinez, J.L. Phenotypic Resistance to Antibiotics. Antibiotics 2013, 2, 237–255.
- Frost, I.; Smith, W.P.J.; Mitri, S.; San Millan, A.; Davit, Y.; Osborne, J.M.; Pitt-Francis, J.M.; MacLean, R.C.; Foster, K.R. Cooperation, competition and antibiotic resistance in bacterial colonies. ISME J. 2018, 12, 1582–1593.
- 28. Sorg, R.A.; Lin, L.; van Doorn, G.S.; Sorg, M.; Olson, J.; Nizet, V.; Veening, J.-W. Collective resistance in microbial communities by intracellular antibiotic deactivation. PLoS Biol. 2016, 14, e2000631.
- 29. Davies, J.; Davies, D. Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2010, 74, 417–433.
- Perron, G.G.; Whyte, L.; Turnbaugh, P.J.; Goordial, J.; Hanage, W.P.; Dantas, G.; Desai, M.M. Functional characterization of bacteria isolated from ancient Arctic soil exposes diverse resistance mechanisms to modern antibiotics. PLoS ONE 2015, 10, e0069533.
- Pontes, D.S.; Aquino de Araujo, R.S.; Dantas, N.; Scotti, L.; Scotti, M.T.; de Moura, R.O.; Bezerra Mendonca-Junior, F.J. Genetic mechanisms of antibiotic resistance and the role of antibiotic adjuvants. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2018, 18, 42–74.
- 32. von Wintersdorff, C.J.H.; Penders, J.; van Niekerk, J.M.; Mills, N.D.; Majumder, S.; van Alphen, L.B.; Savelkoul, P.H.M.; Wolffs, P.F.G. Dissemination of antimicrobial resistance in microbial

ecosystems through horizontal gene transfer. Front. Microbiol. 2016, 7, 173.

- 33. Seoane, J.; Yankelevich, T.; Dechesne, A.; Merkey, B.; Sternberg, C.; Smets, B.F. An individualbased approach to explain plasmid invasion in bacterial populations. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 2011, 75, 17–27.
- 34. Meng, M.; Li, Y.; Yao, H. Plasmid-mediated transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in soil. Antibiotics 2022, 11, 525.
- 35. Virolle, C.; Goldlust, K.; Djermoun, S.; Bigot, S.; Lesterlin, C. Plasmid transfer by conjugation in gram-negative bacteria: From the cellular to the community Level. Genes 2020, 11, 1239.
- 36. Chen, M.-I.; An, X.-I.; Yang, K.; Zhu, Y.-g. Soil phage and their mediation on the horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes: A review. Yingyong Shengtai Xuebao 2021, 32, 2267–2274.
- 37. Abe, K.; Nomura, N.; Suzuki, S. Biofilms: Hot spots of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) in aquatic environments, with a focus on a new HGT mechanism. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 2020, 96, fiaa031.
- Getino, M.; Cruz, F.D.L.; Baquero, F.; Bouza, E.; Gutiérrez-Fuentes, J.A.; Coque, T.M. Natural and artificial strategies to control the conjugative transmission of plasmids. Microbiol. Spectr. 2018, 6, MTBP-0015-2016.
- 39. Thomas, C.M.; Nielsen, K.M. Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer between bacteria. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2005, 3, 711–721.
- 40. de Vries, J.; Wackernagel, W. Microbial horizontal gene transfer and the DNA release from transgenic crop plants. Plant Soil 2004, 266, 91–104.
- 41. Li, S.N.; Zhang, C.F.; Li, F.X.; Hua, T.; Zhou, Q.X.; Ho, S.H. Technologies towards antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) removal from aquatic environment: A critical review. J. Hazard. Mater. 2021, 411, 125148.
- 42. Chen, P.; Chen, C.; Li, X. Transport of antibiotic resistance plasmids in porous media and the influence of surfactants. Front. Environ. Sci. Eng. 2018, 12, 5.
- 43. Ochman, H.; Lawrence, J.G.; Groisman, E.A. Lateral gene transfer and the nature of bacterial innovation. Nature 2000, 405, 299–304.
- 44. Partridge, S.R.; Kwong, S.M.; Firth, N.; Jensen, S.O. Mobile genetic elements associated with antimicrobial resistance. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2018, 31, e00088-00017.
- 45. Smillie, C.; Pilar Garcillan-Barcia, M.; Victoria Francia, M.; Rocha, E.P.C.; de la Cruz, F. Mobility of plasmids. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2010, 74, 434–452.
- 46. Waters, V.L. Conjugative transfer in the dissemination of beta-lactam and aminoglycoside resistance. Front. Biosci. 1999, 4, 433–456.

- 47. Norman, A.; Hansen, L.H.; Sorensen, S.J. Conjugative plasmids: Vessels of the communal gene pool. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci. 2009, 364, 2275–2289.
- 48. Dionisio, F.; Conceicao, I.C.; Marques, A.C.R.; Fernandes, L.; Gordo, I. The evolution of a conjugative plasmid and its ability to increase bacterial fitness. Biol. Lett. 2005, 1, 250–252.
- 49. Hall, J.P.J.; Williams, D.; Paterson, S.; Harrison, E.; Brockhurst, M.A. Positive selection inhibits gene mobilization and transfer in soil bacterial communities. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 2017, 1, 1348–1353.
- 50. Clark, A.J.; Adelberg, E.A. Bacterial conjugation. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 1962, 16, 289–319.
- 51. Llosa, M.; Gomis-Ruth, F.X.; Coll, M.; de la Cruz, F. Bacterial conjugation: A two-step mechanism for DNA transport. Plasmid 2002, 48, 243.
- 52. Fox, R.E.; Zhong, X.; Krone, S.M.; Top, E.M. Spatial structure and nutrients promote invasion of IncP-1 plasmids in bacterial populations. ISME J. 2008, 2, 1024–1039.
- 53. Nielsen, K.M.; van Elsas, J.D. Stimulatory effects of compounds present in the rhizosphere on natural transformation of Acinetobacter sp BD413 in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2001, 33, 345–357.
- 54. Klumper, U.; Dechesne, A.; Riber, L.; Brandt, K.K.; Gulay, A.; Sorensen, S.J.; Smets, B.F. Metal stressors consistently modulate bacterial conjugal plasmid uptake potential in a phylogenetically conserved manner. Isme J. 2017, 11, 152–165.
- 55. Fan, X.-T.; Li, H.; Chen, Q.-L.; Zhang, Y.-S.; Ye, J.; Zhu, Y.-G.; Su, J.-Q. Fate of Antibiotic Resistant Pseudomonas putida and Broad Host Range Plasmid in Natural Soil Microcosms. Front. Microbiol. 2019, 10, 194.
- Colombi, E.; Straub, C.; Kuenzel, S.; Templeton, M.D.; McCann, H.C.; Rainey, P.B. Evolution of copper resistance in the kiwifruit pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae through acquisition of integrative conjugative elements and plasmids. Environ. Microbiol. 2017, 19, 819– 832.
- 57. Goncalves, O.S.; Santana, M.F. The coexistence of monopartite integrative and conjugative elements in the genomes of Acidobacteria. Gene 2021, 777, 145476.
- 58. Furuya, E.Y.; Lowy, F.D. Antimicrobial-resistant bacteria in the community setting. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2006, 4, 36–45.
- 59. Muniesa, M.; Colomer-Lluch, M.; Jofre, J. Potential impact of environmental bacteriophages in spreading antibiotic resistance genes. Future Microbiol. 2013, 8, 739–751.
- 60. Pantasticocaldas, M.; Duncan, K.E.; Istock, C.A.; Bell, J.A. Population-Dynamics of Bacteriophage and Bacillus-Subtilis in Soil. Ecology 1992, 73, 1888–1902.
- 61. Liao, H.; Li, H.; Duan, C.S.; Zhou, X.Y.; An, X.L.; Zhu, Y.G.; Su, J.Q. Metagenomic and viromic analysis reveal the anthropogenic impacts on the plasmid and phage borne transferable

resistome in soil. Environ. Int. 2022, 170, 107595.

- 62. Guemes, A.G.C.; Youle, M.; Cantu, V.A.; Felts, B.; Nulton, J.; Rohwer, F. Viruses as Winners in the Game of Life. Annu. Rev. Virol. 2016, 3, 197–214.
- 63. Wilhelm, S.W.; Weinbauer, M.G.; Suttle, C.A.; Jeffrey, W.H. The role of sunlight in the removal and repair of viruses in the sea. Limnol. Oceanogr. 1998, 43, 586–592.
- 64. Williamson, K.E.; Fuhrmann, J.J.; Wommack, K.E.; Radosevich, M. Viruses in soil ecosystems: An unknown quantity within an unexplored territory. Annu. Rev. Virol. 2017, 4, 201–219.
- 65. Kenzaka, T.; Tani, K.; Sakotani, A.; Yamaguchi, N.; Nasu, M. High-frequency phage-mediated gene transfer among Escherichia coli cells, determined at the single-cell level. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2007, 73, 3291–3299.
- Subirats, J.; Sanchez-Melsio, A.; Borrego, C.M.; Luis Balcazar, J.; Simonet, P. Metagenomic analysis reveals that bacteriophages are reservoirs of antibiotic resistance genes. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 2016, 48, 163–167.
- 67. Larranaga, O.; Brown-Jaque, M.; Quiros, P.; Gomez-Gomez, C.; Blanch, A.R.; Rodriguez-Rubio, L.; Muniesa, M. Phage particles harboring antibiotic resistance genes in fresh-cut vegetables and agricultural soil. Environ. Int. 2018, 115, 133–141.
- Li, B.; Qiu, Y.; Song, Y.Q.; Lin, H.; Yin, H. Dissecting horizontal and vertical gene transfer of antibiotic resistance plasmid in bacterial community using microfluidics. Environ. Int. 2019, 131, 105007.
- 69. Demaneche, S.; Jocteur-Monrozier, L.; Quiquampoix, H.; Simonet, P. Evaluation of biological and physical protection against nuclease degradation of clay-bound plasmid DNA. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2001, 67, 293–299.
- 70. Huang, Q.; Chen, J.X.; Zhu, J.J.; Hao, X.; Dao, G.; Chen, W.; Cai, P.; Huang, Q. Divergent bacterial transformation exerted by soil minerals. Sci. Total Environ. 2021, 784, 147173.
- Hu, X.J.; Sheng, X.; Zhang, W.; Lin, Z.; Gao, Y. Nonmonotonic effect of montmorillonites on the horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes to bacteria. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 2020, 7, 421–427.
- 72. Fang, J.; Jin, L.; Meng, Q.K.; Shan, S.; Wang, D.; Lin, D.H. Biochar effectively inhibits the horizontal transfer of antibiotic resistance genes via transformation. J. Hazard. Mater. 2022, 423, 127150.
- Paget, E.; Simonet, P. Development of engineered genomic DNA to monitor the natural transformation of Pseudomonas stutzeri in soil-like microcosms. Can. J. Microbiol. 1997, 43, 78– 84.

- 74. Chamier, B.; Lorenz, M.G.; Wackernagel, W. Natural transformation of Acinetobacter calcoaceticus by plasmid DNA adsorbed on sand and groundwater aquifer material. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1993, 59, 1662–1667.
- 75. Wang, L.; Yuan, L.; Li, Z.H.; Zhang, X.; Sheng, G.-P. Quantifying the occurrence and transformation potential of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS)-associated antibiotic resistance genes in activated sludge. J. Hazard. Mater. 2021, 408, 124428.
- 76. Dong, P.Y.; Wang, H.; Fang, T.T.; Wang, Y.; Ye, Q.H. Assessment of extracellular antibiotic resistance genes (eARGs) in typical environmental samples and the transforming ability of eARG. Environ. Int. 2019, 125, 90–96.
- 77. Wu, S.; Wu, Y.C.; Huang, Q.Y.; Cai, P. Insights into conjugative transfer of antibiotic resistance genes affected by soil minerals. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 2020, 72, 1143–1153.
- 78. Liu, X.M.; Wang, D.; Wang, L.; Tang, J.C. Dissolved biochar eliminates the effect of Cu(II) on the transfer of antibiotic resistance genes between bacteria. J. Hazard. Mater. 2022, 424, 127251.
- 79. Zheng, H.; Wang, R.R.; Zhang, Q.; Zhao, J.; Li, F.; Luo, X.; Xing, B.S. Pyroligneous acid mitigated dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes in soil. Environ. Int. 2020, 145, 106158.
- 80. Yu, K.Q.; Chen, F.R.; Yue, L.; Luo, Y.; Wang, Z.; Xing, B. CeO2 nanoparticles regulate the propagation of antibiotic resistance genes by altering cellular contact and plasmid transfer. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2020, 54, 10012–10021.
- 81. Liu, X.M.; Wang, D.; Tang, J.C.; Liu, F.; Wang, L. Effect of dissolved biochar on the transfer of antibiotic resistance genes between bacteria. Environ. Pollut. 2021, 288, 117718.
- Zhou, G.W.; Zheng, F.; Fan, X.T.; Li, M.-J.; Sun, Q.-Y.; Zhu, Y.-G.; Yang, X.R. Host age increased conjugal plasmid transfer in gut microbiota of the soil invertebrate Caenorhabditis elegans. J. Hazard. Mater. 2022, 424, 127525.
- 83. Beaber, J.W.; Hochhut, B.; Waldor, M.K. SOS response promotes horizontal dissemination of antibiotic resistance genes. Nature 2004, 427, 72–74.
- 84. Lu, Y.; Zeng, J.M.; Wu, B.N.; Shunmei, E.; Wang, L.; Cai, R.; Zhang, N.; Li, Y.; Huang, X.; Huang, B.; et al. Quorum sensing N-acyl homoserine lactones-SdiA suppresses Escherichia coli-Pseudomonas aeruginosa conjugation through inhibiting tral expression. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. 2017, 7, 7.
- Zheng, J.; Chen, T.; Chen, H. Antibiotic resistome promotion in drinking water during biological activated carbon treatment: Is it influenced by quorum sensing? Sci. Total Environ. 2018, 612, 1– 8.
- 86. Lu, W.W.; Wang, M.; Wu, J.Q.; Jiang, Q.Y.; Jin, J.R.; Jin, Q.; Yang, W.W.; Chen, J.; Wang, Y.J.; Xiao, M. Spread of chloramphenicol and tetracycline resistance genes by plasmid mobilization in

agricultural soil. Environ. Pollut. 2020, 260, 113998.

- van Elsas, J.D.; Chiurazzi, M.; Mallon, C.A.; Elhottova, D.; Kristufek, V.; Salles, J.F. Microbial diversity determines the invasion of soil by a bacterial pathogen. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2012, 109, 1159–1164.
- Chen, Q.L.; An, X.L.; Li, H.; Zhu, Y.G.; Su, J.Q.; Cui, L. Do manure-borne or indigenous soil microorganisms influence the spread of antibiotic resistance genes in manured soil? Soil Biol. Biochem. 2017, 114, 229–237.
- 89. Van Acker, H.; Coenye, T. The role of reactive oxygen species in antibiotic-mediated killing of bacteria. Trends Microbiol. 2017, 25, 456–466.
- 90. Cabiscol, E.; Tamarit, J.; Ros, J. Oxidative stress in bacteria and protein damage by reactive oxygen species. Int. Microbiol. 2000, 3, 3–8.
- 91. Reniere, M.L. Reduce, Induce, Thrive: Bacterial Redox Sensing during Pathogenesis. J. Bacteriol. 2018, 200, e00128-18.
- 92. Chen, X.F.; Yin, H.L.; Li, G.Y.; Wang, W.; Wong, P.K.; Zhao, H.; An, T. Antibiotic-resistance gene transfer in antibiotic-resistance bacteria under different light irradiation: Implications from oxidative stress and gene expression. Water Res. 2019, 149, 282–291.
- 93. Han, X.; Lv, P.; Wang, L.G.; Long, F.; Ma, X.-L.; Liu, C.; Feng, Y.-J.; Yang, M.-F.; Xiao, X. Impact of nano-TiO2 on horizontal transfer of resistance genes mediated by filamentous phage transduction. Environ. Sci.-Nano 2020, 7, 1214–1224.
- 94. Sagar, S.; Kumar, R. Role of SOS response in bacterial drug resistance. Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Rev. Res. 2014, 25, 102–105.
- 95. Ding, C.S.; Pan, J.; Jin, M.; Yang, D.; Shen, Z.; Wang, J.; Zhang, B.; Liu, W.; Fu, J.; Guo, X.; et al. Enhanced uptake of antibiotic resistance genes in the presence of nanoalumina. Nanotoxicology 2016, 10, 1051–1060.
- 96. Tan, H.D.; Fu, L.; Seno, M. Optimization of bacterial plasmid transformation using nanomaterials based on the yoshida effect. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2010, 11, 4962–4973.
- 97. Hernandez, G.; Bollini, A.; Huarte, M.; Bazzoni, G.; Piehl, L.; Chiarotto, M.; de Celis, E.R.; Rasia,
 M. In vitro effect of aluminium upon erythrocyte membrane properties. Clin. Hemorheol. Microcirc. 2008, 40, 191–205.
- 98. Yamamoto, Y.; Hachiya, A.; Matsumoto, H. Oxidative damage to membranes by a combination of aluminum and iron in suspension-cultured tobacco cells. Plant Cell Physiol. 1997, 38, 1333–1339.
- Ma, W.T.; Peng, D.H.; Walker, S.L.; Cao, B.; Gao, C.-H.; Huang, Q.; Cai, P. Bacillus subtilis biofilm development in the presence of soil clay minerals and iron oxides. Npj Biofilms Microbiomes 2017, 3, 4.

- 100. Ouyang, K.; Walker, S.L.; Yu, X.Y.; Gao, C.-H.; Huang, Q.; Cai, P. Metabolism, survival, and gene expression of Pseudomonas putida to hematite nanoparticles mediated by surface-bound humic acid. Environ. Sci.-Nano 2018, 5, 682–695.
- 101. Wang, Q.; Liu, L.; Hou, Z.L.; Wang, L.; Ma, D.; Yang, G.; Guo, S.; Luo, J.; Qi, L.; Luo, Y. Heavy metal copper accelerates the conjugative transfer of antibiotic resistance genes in freshwater microcosms. Sci. Total Environ. 2020, 717, 137055.
- 102. Li, M.Z.; He, Y.N.; Sun, J.; Li, J.; Bai, J.; Zhang, C. Chronic exposure to an environmentally relevant triclosan concentration induces persistent triclosan resistance but reversible antibiotic tolerance in Escherichia coli. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2019, 53, 3277–3286.
- 103. Chen, I.; Christie, P.J.; Dubnau, D. The ins and outs of DNA transfer in bacteria. Science 2005, 310, 1456–1460.
- 104. Samuels, A.L.; Lanka, E.; Davies, J.E. Conjugative junctions in RP4-mediated mating of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 2000, 182, 2709–2715.
- 105. Zhong, X.; Krol, J.E.; Top, E.M.; Krone, S.M. Accounting for mating pair formation in plasmid population dynamics. J. Theor. Biol. 2010, 262, 711–719.
- 106. Diethmaier, C.; Chawla, R.; Canzoneri, A.; Kearns, D.B.; Lele, P.P.; Dubnau, D. Viscous drag on the flagellum activates Bacillus subtilis entry into the K-state. Mol. Microbiol. 2017, 106, 367–380.
- 107. Karygianni, L.; Ren, Z.; Koo, H.; Thurnheer, T. Biofilm matrixome: Extracellular components in structured microbial communities. Trends Microbiol. 2020, 28, 668–681.
- 108. Tsuneda, S.; Aikawa, H.; Hayashi, H.; Yuasa, A.; Hirata, A. Extracellular polymeric substances responsible for bacterial adhesion onto solid surface. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2003, 223, 287–292.
- 109. Hu, X.J.; Kang, F.X.; Yang, B.; Zhang, W.; Qin, C.; Gao, Y. Extracellular polymeric substances acting as a permeable barrier hinder the lateral transfer of antibiotic resistance genes. Front. Microbiol. 2019, 10, 736.
- 110. Xayarath, B.; Freitag, N.E. When being alone is enough: Noncanonical functions of canonical bacterial quorum-sensing systems. Future Microbiol. 2016, 11, 1447–1459.
- 111. Raju Maddela, N.; Sheng, B.; Yuan, S.; Zhou, Z.; Villamar-Torres, R.; Meng, F. Roles of quorum sensing in biological wastewater treatment: A critical review. Chemosphere 2019, 221, 616–629.
- 112. Shank, E.A.; Kolter, R. Extracellular signaling and multicellularity in Bacillus subtilis. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2011, 14, 741–747.
- 113. Inaoka, T.; Ochi, K. Re1A protein is involved in induction of genetic competence in certain Bacillus subtilis strains by moderating the level of intracellular GTP. J. Bacteriol. 2002, 184, 3923–3930.

- 114. Lopez, D.; Vlamakis, H.; Kolter, R. Generation of multiple cell types in Bacillus subtilis. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2009, 33, 152–163.
- 115. Seitz, P.; Blokesch, M. Cues and regulatory pathways involved in natural competence and transformation in pathogenic and environmental gram-negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 2013, 37, 336–363.
- 116. Vestergard, M.; Ekelund, F.; Winding, A.; Jacobsen, C.S.; Christensen, S. Starved bacteria retain their size but lose culturability-Lessons from a 5000 years old undisturbed A-horizon. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2011, 43, 1379–1382.
- 117. Johnston, C.; Martin, B.; Fichant, G.; Polard, P.; Claverys, J.-P. Bacterial transformation: Distribution, shared mechanisms and divergent control. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2014, 12, 181–196.
- 118. Lu, N.; Zilles, J.L.; Nguyen, T.H. Adsorption of extracellular chromosomal DNA and its effects on natural transformation of Azotobacter vinelandii. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2010, 76, 4179.
- 119. Pei, R.T.; Gunsch, C.K. Plasmid conjugation in an activated sludge microbial community. Environ. Eng. Sci. 2009, 26, 825–831.
- 120. Dahlberg, C.; Bergstrom, M.; Hermansson, M. In situ detection of high levels of horizontal plasmid transfer in marine bacterial communities. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 1998, 64, 2670–2675.
- Bandyopadhyay, A.; O'Brien, S.; Frank, K.L.; Dunny, G.M.; Hu, W.S. Antagonistic donor density effect conserved in multiple Enterococcal conjugative plasmids. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2016, 82, 4537–4545.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/99000