# **Plasmonic Biosensors**

#### Subjects: Optics

Contributor: Mohamed A. Swillam , Mohga Hamza , Muhammad Othman

Biosensors have globally been considered as biomedical diagnostic tools required in abundant areas including the development of diseases, detection of viruses, diagnosing ecological pollution, food monitoring, and a wide range of other diagnostic and therapeutic biomedical research. Recently, the broadly emerging and promising technique of plasmonic resonance has proven to provide label-free and highly sensitive real-time analysis when used in biosensing applications.

lab-on-chip

biosensors plasmonics

#### surface plasmon resonance

# 1. Introduction

Over the years, biosensors have been used as analytical tools that take a biological response as an input and translate it into an electrical signal. As per the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), biosensors are self-contained integrated devices that can offer quantitative results that are thoroughly analyzed via biological recognitions or receptors in contact with a transducer [1]. Overall, biosensors are designed to have high specificity, selectivity, independence of physical restrictions like pH and temperature, and several other advantages, making them high in demand [2][3][4][5][6].

Biosensors were used in several applications including environmental evaluation, medical diagnosis, metabolic engineering, and food analysis [2][8]. As highly accurate analytical devices, biosensors recognize and scrutinize biological analytes such as enzymes, antibodies, aptamers, lectins, or nucleic acids via bioreceptors as shown in **Figure 1**a <sup>[1]</sup>. A transducer then converts the analyzed results into signals which get amplified and detected by a physiochemical detector to form an optical signal or a digitized output as shown in **Figure 1**b <sup>[9]</sup>. Fabricating a biosensor requires materials that are characterized depending on their mechanism based on two main categories. The first category includes biocatalytic groups involving enzymes via immobilization methods. The second category would include bio-affinity groups having antibodies and nucleic acids which could be natural or artificial, singlestranded or double-stranded nucleic acids, RNA/DNA hybrids, and anti-sense oligonucleotides 4.



**Figure 1.** A schematic diagram of (**a**) recognition elements in plasmonic biosensors in (i) gene detection between electrochemical DNA and target DNA, (ii) small-molecule sensing for analyte-antibody conjugation, (iii) diagnostics between antibodies and analytes, (iv) bacterial detection between receptor biomolecule and bacteria, (v) targeting specific biomolecules and (**b**) the parts forming biosensors including a bioreceptor to capture the analyte connected to a transducer to convert the sample to be amplified and digitally presented.

Biosensors offer accurate analyte concentrations due to their direct and linear relationship to the intensity of the signal that requires detection. Hence, this helps in predicting the sensitivity of various biosensors. The most common pathogen detecting biosensors used are magnetic <sup>[10]</sup>, colorimetric <sup>[11]</sup>, electrochemical <sup>[12]</sup>, lateral-flow <sup>[13]</sup>, and optical biosensors <sup>[14]</sup>. Recently, research on optical biosensors, especially plasmonic and metamaterial-

based biosensors, has grown massively due to their wide range of advantages including being versatile, highlysensitive, reusable, affordable, label-free monitoring, ultra-low detection limits, and real-time sensing <sup>[15][16][17][18][19]</sup> <sup>[20]</sup>

### 1.1. Mechanism of Plasmonic Biosensors

Plasmonic optical biosensors are classified into two types of platforms; one which uses a thin metal-based film and another which uses nanostructure-based inorganic plasmon resonance <sup>[21]</sup>. The most common plasmonic biosensor used is the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) which is a metal-based film sensor, mostly made of gold, used to characterize biomolecular interactions <sup>[22][23][24]</sup>. The angle at which the SPR is formed as light is focused on the metal film and reflected onto a detector. The output collected is due to energized plasmonic electrons formed from the collective refractive index (RI) of the oscillations of the electrons in the conduction band and the oscillations of the electric field formed by the light. The angle at which the SPR is measured relies on the RI of the material attached to the metal film. Hence, any alteration in the angle of the incidence or the RI of the material affects the resonance measured while detecting the analytes <sup>[25][26]</sup>. Decreasing the size results in a blueshift which holds a high frequency, while increasing the surrounding dielectric constant results in a red shift which holds a low frequency <sup>[27][28][29]</sup>. As shown in **Figure 2**a, the targeted analytes in a sample bind to the biological receptors restrained on the film to form a different RI which is usually known and indicates the presence of the targeted analyte <sup>[30]</sup>. This SPR biosensor can detect viruses and then be reused after applying proper chemical treatment practices as shown in **Figure 2**b <sup>[31][32]</sup>.



**Figure 2.** (a) A schematic diagram of the mechanism of plasmonic optical biosensors, and (b) Stages of SPR sensor from detecting analytes to detaching to be reused.

The efficiency of the plasmonic optical biosensor could be further enhanced when combined with surfaceenhanced Raman scattering (SERS). SERS is used as a non-invasive, label-free diagnostic sensor that can attain abundant information from one measurement. Yet, due to the weak signal formed when detecting analytes at low concentrations, SERS is considered inappropriate <sup>[33]</sup>. Hence, by using plasmonic nanostructures for making the substrates for SERS, the signal becomes amplified by numerous orders of magnitude even though fabricating substrates for SERS is difficult <sup>[34]</sup>. This was proven in 2017 when Elsayed et al. fabricated a low-cost silicon substrate using silicon nanowires covered with silicon nanoparticles as substrates for SERS. Results showed detection levels reaching 10–11 M, which is a significant increase in the order of magnitude. The enhancement factor of silver NPs reaches  $6-8 \times 10^5$  but when deposited on the silicon nanowires, it reaches  $10^{11}$  <sup>[35]</sup>.

### **1.2.** Determining the Efficiency of a Plasmonic Biosensor

To determine the efficiency of a biosensor, its limit of detection (LOD) and specificity(s) should be measured and attuned for its required application. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) provides a guideline known as EP17 along with the protocols needed to determine the LOD <sup>[36]</sup> (p. 2).

## 2. Applications of Plasmonic Optical Biosensors

### 2.1. The Use of Plasmonic Biosensors for Viral Detection

In 2019, an unanticipated disease known as the coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2) was discovered in Wuhan, China, causing a global pandemic. In less than two years, this novel virus affected millions and resulted in the death of more than four million people worldwide as per the WHO <sup>[37]</sup>. Furthermore, the coronavirus has significantly affected the world's economy and resulted in a noticeable change in the social lifestyle of people to avoid getting infected with the fast-spreading, contagious virus. Hence, immediate detection methods of the virus with accurate, reliable, and instant results were needed to limit the virus from outspreading any further.

Infectious viruses have been detected over the years directly by targeting the virus itself or indirectly by targeting the secondary responses of the virus <sup>[38]</sup>. Targeting a virus directly involves targeting the entire virion, the antigens of the virus, or the single or double-stranded RNA or DNA of the virus. Indirect detection methods include serological testing for precise antibodies released due to a primary response to an antigen (IgM) or a secondary response due to previous exposure to the same type of antigen (IgG) <sup>[39]</sup>.

Some of the most common methods in detecting infectious viruses include immunofluorescence assays, hemagglutination assays, viral plaque assay, viral flow cytometry, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) <sup>[40]</sup>, chest computed tomography (CT) <sup>[41]</sup>, and nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) including polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) <sup>[42][43][44][45]</sup>. Although those methods have shown successful outcomes, they have also displayed substantial limitations that deferred their usage in future viral detection as shown in **Table 1**.

**Table 1.** Advantages and limitations of common viral detecting methods.

| Current Methods for Virus<br>Detection | Advantages  | Limitations  | Refs.         |
|--|---|--|---------------|
| Immunofluorescence<br>Assays           | Numerous, simultaneous samples<br>can be analyzed and stored for<br>some time.                                  | Fluorescent molecules bound to<br>primary antibody is limited.<br>Low sensitivity may result in false<br>negatives.  | [ <u>46</u> ] |
| Hemagglutination<br>Assays             | Low-cost instruments.<br>Results within hours.<br>Has standardization as it is<br>recognized in labs worldwide. | Little specificity.<br>Requires trained personnel. Analysis<br>needed by qualified individuals.<br>Difficult inter-laboratory comparison<br>of results due to the several<br>controlled variables. | [ <u>47</u> ] |
| Viral Plaque<br>Assay                  | Available in most labs.<br>Rapid results.   | Absence of standardization.<br>Involves costly repeat runs for<br>accurate results.  | [ <u>48]</u>  |
| Viral Flow<br>Cytometry                | Rapid results.<br>Numerous cells<br>analyzed instantly.   | Requires highly trained personnel.<br>Requires ongoing maintenance by<br>service engineers.  | [ <u>49</u> ] |
| ELISA                                  | Accurate/fast results.<br>Very sensitive process.<br>Easily automated.  | Expensive preparation method.<br>Requires trained personnel.   | [ <u>40</u> ] |
| СТ                                     | Combined assessment.<br>Short acquisition time.   | Expensive preparation method.<br>Requires trained personnel.   | [ <u>41</u> ] |

| Current Methods for Virus<br>Detection | Advantages                                       | Limitations  | Refs.                              |           |
|--|--|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
|  |  | Exposure to gamma rays.                              |                                    |           |
| NAAT                                   |  | Requires trained personnel.                          |                                    |           |
|  | Very sensitive process.<br>Accurate and reliable | Expensive detection kits.                            | (43)<br>(44)<br>(45) re<br>(50) ru | re bioble |
|  |  | Time-consuming (2–3 days). False-<br>positive cases. |                                    | ruses are |
|  |  | [ <u>31</u> ]  |                                    | h method  |

will be discussed later.



**Figure 3.** Common structures of plasmonic biosensors including (**a**) planar structure plasmonic biosensor where the base is a metal layer covered by a virus capturing layer to detect the viruses, (**b**) nanoparticle-based structure plasmonic biosensors bind to metal then a capturing molecule to capture viruses, (**c**) nanowire-based plasmonic

biosensors with nanowires to entrap the targeted viruses, (d) optofluidic structure plasmonic biosensors with a virus capturing layer bind to a capturing molecule for higher detection of viruses, and (e) quantum dot fluorescence-based plasmonic biosensors with the capturing molecule bind to quantum dots which show visible changes among binding with viruses.

### 2.2. The Use of Plasmonic Biosensors for Environmental Evaluation

Plasmonic biosensors are great candidates when analyzing environmental contaminants. The amount of pollution increasing at a fast pace requires fast, highly specific, and cost-effective analytical tools that can be used for monitoring pollutants in our environment. Providentially, great initiatives have been made for controlling environmental pollution and several scientific researches were conducted and are still in progress to satisfy the concern of society regarding the environment and the pollution overtaking it <sup>[51][52][53][54]</sup>. Biosensors are great analytical techniques that can use a biological mechanism to detect analytes in the environment using chemical sensors for environmental evaluation <sup>[52][53][54]</sup>. When detecting environmental analytes, biosensors usually include whole microorganisms, DNA, enzymes, and antibodies as recognition receptors <sup>[55]</sup>.

Environmental monitoring using biosensors rather than conventional analyzing tools is of great benefit since they are portable, miniatured, compact, and has high selectivity to different matrices using low input of sample preparation which can be used on an on-going basis for regular environmental analysis <sup>[56]</sup>. Hence, biosensors can be used as monitoring tools in the environment to assess the biological quality of ecological molecules including organic and inorganic pollutants <sup>[57]</sup>. In 2017, a MIR sensor was fabricated by using doped silicon structured as nanowires with 10 nm radius using a numerical analysis technique known as Finite-difference time-domain method (FDTD). The simulation done by the 2D FDTD showed a total-field scattered-field source with a 3 µm wavelength around the plasmonic resonance used for exciting the nanowire.

### 2.3. The Use of Plasmonic Biosensors for Food Analysis

Diseases and malnutrition occurring due to the quality of products made food safety of great priority to diminish the health risks <sup>[58]</sup>.

Conventional methods used to ensure food safety like PCR, ELISA, high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS) are accurate but costly, time-consuming, and laborious <sup>[59]</sup>. Hence, using automated optical biosensors is an optimum solution for analyzing food by using a highly sensitive and selective low-cost analytical tool <sup>[60]</sup>. SPR among different types of optical biosensors has undergone great development to enable the detection of various pathogens found in food. Plasmonic biosensors were further upgraded for better analysis via coupling of various methods (Raman, fluorescence, and luminescence) to have less LOD and higher sensitivity. Hence, as shown in **Table 2**, SPR biosensor is considered much more efficient than traditional methods in monitoring and analyzing food.

**Table 2.** SPR in comparison to other techniques for monitoring food.

| Component               | Other Methods   | SPR  | Refs.                         |
|-------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|
| Heavy metals            | Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy <ul> <li>Destructive technique and single sample analyzed</li> </ul> Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry <ul> <li>Costly and destructive technique</li> </ul> X-ray Fluorescence Spectrometry <ul> <li>Radioactive technique</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Low-cost</li> <li>Quick measurement</li> <li>Highly sensitive</li> <li>Non-destructive</li> </ul> | [ <u>61]</u><br>[ <u>62]</u>  |
| Food Allergens          | ELISA <ul> <li>Varying as per the type of kit with LOD is 2.5 mg/L</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Highly sensitive</li> <li>LOD of 57.8 ng mL<sup>-1</sup></li> </ul>                               | [ <u>61]</u><br>[ <u>62</u> ] |
| Citrinin<br>(Mycotoxin) | HPLC and LC-MS <ul> <li>Time-consuming and costly</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Highly effective and selective</li> <li>Simple, quick, and highly sensitive</li> </ul>            | [ <u>63]</u><br>[ <u>64]</u>  |
| Pesticides              | <ul><li>LC-MS/MS</li><li>Complicated</li><li>Requires sample pre-treatment prior to analysis</li></ul>  | <ul><li>Highly precise</li><li>Less response-time</li><li>Low-cost and low LOD</li></ul>                   | [ <u>65]</u><br>[ <u>66]</u>  |
| β-<br>Lactoglobulin     | ELISA and LC-MS <ul> <li>Inconsistency and costly</li> </ul>  | • Speedy and detects in real time  | [ <u>67]</u><br>[ <u>68]</u>  |

| Component    | Other Methods             | SPR                                    | Refs.              |         |
|--------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|---------|
|              |                           | Resistance to environmental<br>factors |                    |         |
| Tetrodotoxin | LC-MS/MS, ELISA, and HPLC | • Speedy                               | [ <u>69]</u>       |         |
| (Fish toxin) | Costly and time-consuming | Low LOD                                | [ <u>70</u> ]<br>ן | nucleic |

2. Jadhav, D.A.; Chendake, A.D.; Ghosal, D.; Mathuriya, A.S.; Kumar, S.S.; Pandit, S. Advanced

microbial fuel cell for biosensor applications to detect quality parameters of pollutants. In

### 3 introducing Metamaterials to Plasmonici Biosensons, D.M.,

Thakur, S., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; pp. 125–139.

With all the exquisite properties of plasmonic biosensors, the sensitivity has been further improved by introducing 3. Bollella, P.; Katz, E. Biosensors—Recent Advances and Future Challenges. Sensors 2020, 20, metamaterials. Metamaterial-based biosensors provide different geometric structures, each having its own sensing 6645. properties, which expands and improves the use of conventional plasmonic biosensors <sup>[68]</sup>. In the 1960s, a Aussignavelogist. Hantee Verolevestage entitiate Ca: Kinnetvical normabilized Enteriales with Biosetascenus negative perAitibication set Metability sv2@19 light, p120agates in an opposite direction to that of the flow of energy, giving an uncommon refraction of light. Materials that follow this concept are known as left-handed materials <sup>[69]</sup>. 5. Mauriz, E.; Lechuga, L.M. Plasmonic Biosensors for Single-Molecule Biomedical Analysis. Biosensors 2021, 11, 123. In 1999, a theory was made by Pendry et al. declaring that microstructures having extremely small nonmagnetic Consolution Canada and the second an effective ias/bicam ark fustorsing delibed free disptaymentabasised biogasse yo BiogaedityPtogethecovirter 12020a di 62; y con1100849.<sup>[70]</sup>. This substance was named in the same year by Rodger Walser as "metamaterials" which he defined as "macroscopic composites having a synthetic, three-dimensional, periodic cellular architecture designed 7. Sezginturk, M.K. Introduction to commercial biosensors. In Commercial Biosensors and Their to produce an optimized combination, not available in nature, of two or more responses to specific excitation" <sup>[71]</sup>. Applications; Sezginturk, M.K., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 1–28. The following year, Smith et al. confirmed the use of left-handed metamaterial using a microwave regime by &pMaheatrian Rith Inserspaces and that is a location of the shire and the solution of the state of the solution of the state of the solution of the state of the solution of t metanaterials have been used, manipulated, and geometrically enhanced to have tuned properties that can be [73][74][75][76][77][78][79] used in different applications including sensets biological imaging and spectroscopy [13] monitoring. Nat. Biotechnol. 2019, 37, 389–406. The use of metamaterials was further explored as biosensors as they were categorized based on their structure LiptoWhile Km Sight pours: 20, neta Kitch applysed, bidgersos for a rate rational based and sensitive ta-surfacebased abased sore Based Will a rande 24 thouse a Ratection Stratagizerias with and dwing eggel Briver Alosed the Apple San a Matter ap 20 we al 9569 58 Redding HIV, Zika virus, avian influenza virus, CPMV, and PRD1 [80][81]

- [82][83][84] 11. Zhao, V.X. T., Wong, T.I., Zheng, X.T., Tan, Y.N., Zhou, X. Colorimetric biosensors for point-of-care virus detections. Mater. Sci. Energy Technol. 2020, 3, 237–249.
- 12. Kaya, S.I.; Karadurmus, L.; Ozcelikay, G.; Bakirhan, N.K.; Ozkan, S.A. Electrochemical virus detections with nanobiosensors. Nanosens. Smart Cities 2020, 303–326.

- 13. Antidania, R. Paper-Based Biosensors: Frontiers in (1) int-of-Care Detection of COVID-19
- Disease. Bioscheore 2021, 11, 110. Metal Capacitance Virus 14. Maddali, 14. U.e., 2.E.: Kohu, J.: O'Garroll, D.M. Opulor Bioscheors for V/DS Detection: Prospecto for CARE CoV 2/COVID-19. Chembiochem 2022, 22, 176, 1983 - 3D Metamaterial Structure
- 15. Zanchena, G., Lannanco, R.; Giavazzi, F.; Bellini, T.; Buscaglia, M. Emerging applications of label-free optical biosensors. Nanophotonics 2017, 6, 627–645.
   2D Metamaterial-based Biosensors
   3D Metamaterial-based Biosensors
- 16. Liu, Y.; Zhang, X. Microfluidics-Based Plasmonic Biosensing System Based on Patterned Plasmonic Nanostructure Arra**(c)** Micromachines 2021, 12, 826.
- 17. Liu, L.; Ye, K.; Jia, Z.; Xue, T.; Nie, And Lang Arthu, C.; Wang, B.; Wen, F.; Zhai, K.; et al. Highsensitivity and versatile plasmorter buset of blased on grain boundaries in polycrystalline 1L WS2 films. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2011, 194, 113596.

Metal

 Li, X.; Soler, M.; Özdemir, C.I.; BMetakkifa, c.b. a set ilkiöşeris or Altug, H. Plasmonic nanohole array biosensor for label-free and real-time analysis of live cell secretion. Lab Chip 2017, 17, 2208– Figure 4. Common categories of metamaterials as (a) 2D metamaterial-based biosensors; (b) 3D metamaterial-

based biosensors; and (c) metasurface-based biosensors.

19. Armstrong, R.E.; Horáček, M.; Zijlstra, P. Plasmonic Assemblies for Real-Time Single-Molecule Biosensing, Small 2020, 16, 2003934

### Biosensing. Small 2020, 16, 2003934, 4. Lab-on-a-Chip (LoC) for Plasmonic Biosensors

20. Minopoli, A.; Della Ventura, B.; Lenyk, B.; Gentile, F.; Tanner, J.A.; Offenhäusser, A.; Mayer, D.;

Moldelutita, ra. selfutagerasiteser anisbuidizatuta ana and as patentico seaser, for matariarbian ar kester terction or e

reliable/biologholdenbettiotholdentor Commediante 2020 selan abjest and prevention of the spreading of pathogens and diseases

- is always pursued and high in demand <sup>[85]</sup>. LoC is considered the best device used for Point-of-Care testing 21. Mondal, B.; Zeng, S. Recent Advances in Surface Plasmon Resonance for Biosensing (POCT) as it is based on biosensors that are designed for their application and can be upgraded with the most Applications and Future Prospects. 2020. Available online: https://hal-unilim.archivesrecent advances using microfluidics <sup>[86][87][88]</sup> ouvertes.fr/hal-02915657 (accessed on 18 March 2022).
- 22. tDstanctQr, lplusMortChangerSorChenfolls@hendule Sumface Plasmondica Sensing in MacJeanisme plaanon Recently Applications. iS en soesp2021, t2chr 5269. to make an integrated photonic circuit that has good sensing capabilities [89][90][91][92][93]. This technology has a few unique proficiencies which integrates many different 23. Hill, R.T. Plasmonic Biosensors. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Nanomed. Nanobiotechnol. 2015, 7, 152– sensors on the same chip to detect different pathogens. In addition, the utilization of planar technology supports 168. the mass production need and provides cost-effective solutions. Hence, silicon-based photonics are known to be a 24 and 24 and 26 and 26

The integration of plasmonic materials such as gold, silver, and aluminum along with silicon photonics standard 25. Chlebus, R.; Chylek, J.; Ciprian, D.; Hlubina, P. Surface Plasmon Resonance Based technology has been a challenge with two folds: the first one is technology-related where metals may cause Measurement of the Dielectric Function of a Thin Metal Film. Sensors 2018, 18, 3693. contamination for the conventional standard silicon photonics technology, while the second is the ability to 26ffickenve touble the Might from delectric on a challenge with delectric of the second is the ability to 26ffickenve touble the Might from delectric on the second is the ability to 26ffickenve touble the Might from delectric on the second is the ability to 26ffickenve touble the Might from delectric on the second is the ability to and from the conventional standard silicon photonics technology while the second is the ability to and from the conventional standard silicon photonics technology and the second is the ability to and from the conventional standard silicon photonics technology and the second is the ability to and from the conventional standard silicon photonics technology and the second is the ability to and from the conventional standard silicon photonics technology and the second is the ability of the figure of the conventional standard silicon photonics technology and the second is the ability to a second standard silicon photonics technology and the second stechnology and the second stand 217mZabkariantiRiziZginbeldiathrNaAoM.tecenologyT.CnoRosingRmaRenadis, Matt.;Haaeuboch.Waasadegherferrisi, and conhparesitigrationeofilConferrentResonancel(CPP)1991448S2- and WS2-Protected Titanium Side-

Polished Optical Fiber as a Humidity Sensor. Micromachines 2019, 10, 465.

- Good coupling can be achieved between a silicon waveguide and the plasmonic slot mode over a wide range of 28. Derkachova, A.; Kolwas, K.; Demchenko, I. Dielectric Function for Gold in Plasmonics wavelengths using an orthogonal coupling scheme similar to Otto configuration be. In 2015, the ability of doped Applications: Size Dependence of Plasmon Resonance Frequencies and Damping Rates for silicoh to support plasmonic mode in the mid-infrared wavelength range was introduced for the first time be. Nanospheres. Plasmonic s 2016, 11, 941–951. main mechanism was to control the doping level of the silicon to achieve a plasmonic wavelength within the mid-20fr&adaasge Stedgeing ViorKetidisho flaz advetines, a Fitschrer, ranging Koste sloat, Ng Jauho, Acrift, Baurawaye Ve.; a plaswobs, behamort makeng, florA.-Buteshtithot thes surfacet iptas roomer disonance consultorer relations such that the onchip detector can be fabricated using a compatible material from the same material group. The MIR range also has 30. Zhu, X.; Gao, T. Spectrometry. In Nano-Inspired Blosensors for Protein Assay with Clinical the advantage of providing unique absorption peaks for sensing gases or liquids for biomedical applications. This Applications; Li, G.; Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019, pp. 237–264. added advantage can help increase the selectivity of the proposed sensing system. Hence, a plasmonic biosensor 31. Algebra distribute fraction and the same relation of the singer applications. This Applications; Li, G.; Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019, pp. 237–264. added advantage can help increase the selectivity of the proposed sensing system. Hence, a plasmonic biosensor 31. Algebra distribute fraction of the selective of the proposed sensing system. Hence, a plasmonic biosensor
- Zhou, X.; Chen, K.; Li, L.; Peng, W.; Yu, Q. Angle modulated surface plasmon resonance spectrometer for refractive index sensing with enhanced detection resolution. Opt. Commun. 2017, 382, 610–614.
- 33. Xu, K.; Zhou, R.; Takei, K.; Hong, M. Toward Flexible Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering (SERS) Sensors for Point-of-Care Diagnostics. Adv. Sci. 2019, 6, 1900925.
- 34. Park, S.-G.; Mun, C.; Xiao, X.; Braun, A.; Kim, S.; Giannini, V.; Maier, S.A.; Kim, D.-H. Surface Energy-Controlled SERS Substrates for Molecular Concentration at Plasmonic Nanogaps. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2017, 27, 1703376.
- 35. Elsayed, M.; Gouda, A.; Ismail, Y.; Swillam, M. Silicon-Based SERS Substrates Fabricated by Electroless Etching. J. Lightwave Technol. 2017, 35, 3075–3081.
- 36. EP17A2|Evaluation of Detection Capability for Clinical Laboratory Measurement Procedures, 2nd ed. Available online: https://www.clsi.orghttps://clsi.org/standards/products/method-evaluation/documents/ep17/ (accessed on 18 March 2022).
- 37. WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. Available online: https://covid19.who.int (accessed on 22 July 2021).
- 38. Louten, J. Detection and Diagnosis of Viral Infections. Essent. Hum. Virol. 2016, 111–132.
- 39. Burrell, C.J.; Howard, C.R.; Murphy, F.A. Laboratory Diagnosis of Virus Diseases. Fenner White's Med. Virol. 2017, 135–154.
- 40. Zhao, X.; Lin, C.-W.; Wang, J.; Oh, D.H. Advances in Rapid Detection Methods for Foodborne Pathogens. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2014, 24, 297–312.

- 41. Bağcı, U.; Bray, M.; Caban, J.; Yao, J.; Mollura, D.J. Computer-Assisted Detection of Infectious Lung Diseases: A Review. Comput. Med. Imaging Graph. 2012, 36, 72–84.
- 42. Kumar, P. Methods for Rapid Virus Identification and Quantification. Mater. Methods 2013, 3, 13070.
- 43. Yamamoto, K.; Ohmagari, N. Microbiological Testing for Coronavirus Disease 2019. JMA J. 2021, 4, 67–75.
- Bruce, E.A.; Mills, M.G.; Sampoleo, R.; Perchetti, G.A.; Huang, M.-L.; Despres, H.W.; Schmidt, M.M.; Roychoudhury, P.; Shirley, D.J.; Jerome, K.R.; et al. Predicting infectivity: Comparing four PCR-based assays to detect culturable SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples. EMBO Mol. Med. 2022, 14, e15290.
- 45. Ahmed, W.; Simpson, S.L.; Bertsch, P.M.; Bibby, K.; Bivins, A.; Blackall, L.L.; Bofill-Mas, S.; Bosch, A.; Brandão, J.; Choi, P.M.; et al. Minimizing errors in RT-PCR detection and quantification of SARS-CoV-2 RNA for wastewater surveillance. Sci. Total Environ. 2022, 805, 149877.
- Toya, M.; Iida, Y.; Sugimoto, A. Imaging of Mitotic Spindle Dynamics in Caenorhabditis elegans Embryos. In Methods in Cell Biology; Cassimeris, L., Tran, P., Eds.; Microtubules: In vivo; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2010; Volume 97, pp. 359–372.
- 47. Noah, D.L.; Hill, H.; Hines, D.; White, E.L.; Wolff, M.C. Qualification of the Hemagglutination Inhibition Assay in Support of Pandemic Influenza Vaccine Licensure. Clin. Vaccine Immunol. 2009, 16, 558–566.
- 48. Mendoza, E.J.; Manguiat, K.; Wood, H.; Drebot, M. Two Detailed Plaque Assay Protocols for the Quantification of Infectious SARS-CoV-2. Curr. Protoc. Microbiol. 2020, 57, ecpmc105.
- 49. Cossarizza, A.; Chang, H.-D.; Radbruch, A.; Acs, A.; Adam, D.; Adam-Klages, S.; Agace, W.W.; Aghaeepour, N.; Akdis, M.; Allez, M.; et al. Guidelines for the use of flow cytometry and cell sorting in immunological studies (second edition). Eur. J. Immunol. 2019, 49, 1457–1973.
- 50. Tymm, C.; Zhou, J.; Tadimety, A.; Burklund, A.; Zhang, J.X.J. Scalable COVID-19 Detection Enabled by Lab-on-Chip Biosensors. Cell. Mol. Bioeng. 2020, 13, 313–329.
- 51. Rodriguez-Mozaz, S.; Marco, M.-P.; de Alda, M.J.L.; Barceló, D. Biosensors for environmental applications: Future development trends. Pure Appl. Chem. 2004, 76, 723–752.
- 52. Rodriguez-Mozaz, S.; de Alda, M.J.L.; Marco, M.-P.; Barceló, D. Biosensors for environmental monitoring A global perspective. Talanta 2005, 65, 291–297.
- 53. Rogers, K.R. Recent advances in biosensor techniques for environmental monitoring. Anal. Chim. Acta 2006, 568, 222–231.
- 54. Rogers, K.R.; Gerlach, C.L. Peer Reviewed: Environmental Biosensors: A Status Report. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1996, 30, 486A–491A.

- 55. Ju, H.; Kandimalla, V.B. Biosensors for pesticides. In Electrochemical Sensors, Biosensors and Their Biomedical Applications; Zhang, X., Ju, H., Wang, J., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 2008; pp. 31–56.
- 56. Suryan, S.K. Biosensors: A Tool for Environmental Monitoring and Analysis. In Advances in Environmental Biotechnology; Kumar, R., Sharma, A.K., Ahluwalia, S.S., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2017; pp. 265–288.
- 57. Gieva, E.; Nikolov, G.; Nikolova, B. Biosensors for Environmental Monitoring. In Proceedings of the Conference on Challenges in Higher Education & Research, Proceedings of the 12th Conference, Sozopol, Bulgaria, 17–19 November 2014; Volume 12.
- 58. Food Safety. Available online: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/food-safety (accessed on 30 December 2021).
- Ravindran, N.; Kumar, S.; Yashini, M.; Rajeshwari, S.; Mamathi, C.A.; Nirmal Thirunavookarasu, S.N.; Sunil, C.K. Recent advances in Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) biosensors for food analysis: A review. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 2021, 1–23.
- 60. Narsaiah, K.; Jha, S.N.; Bhardwaj, R.; Sharma, R.; Kumar, R. Optical biosensors for food quality and safety assurance—A review. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2012, 49, 383.
- 61. Wing Fen, Y.; Mahmood Mat Yunus, W. Surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy as an alternative for sensing heavy metal ions: A review. Sens. Rev. 2013, 33, 305–314.
- Verma, R.; Gupta, B.D. Detection of heavy metal ions in contaminated water by surface plasmon resonance based optical fibre sensor using conducting polymer and chitosan. Food Chem. 2015, 166, 568–575.
- Ashley, J.; Shahbazi, M.-A.; Kant, K.; Chidambara, V.A.; Wolff, A.; Bang, D.D.; Sun, Y. Molecularly imprinted polymers for sample preparation and biosensing in food analysis: Progress and perspectives. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2017, 91, 606–615.
- 64. Atar, N.; Eren, T.; Yola, M.L. A molecular imprinted SPR biosensor for sensitive determination of citrinin in red yeast rice. Food Chem. 2015, 184, 7–11.
- 65. Zhou, J.; Qi, Q.; Wang, C.; Qian, Y.; Liu, G.; Wang, Y.; Fu, L. Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) biosensors for food allergen detection in food matrices. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2019, 142, 111449.
- 66. Çakır, O.; Baysal, Z. Pesticide analysis with molecularly imprinted nanofilms using surface plasmon resonance sensor and LC-MS/MS: Comparative study for environmental water samples. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2019, 297, 126764.
- 67. D'Aurelio, R.; Ashley, J.; Rodgers, T.L.; Trinh, L.; Temblay, J.; Pleasants, M.; Tothill, I.E. Development of a NanoMIPs-SPR-Based Sensor for β-Lactoglobulin Detection. Chemosensors 2020, 8, 94.

- Ashley, J.; D'Aurelio, R.; Piekarska, M.; Temblay, J.; Pleasants, M.; Trinh, L.; Rodgers, T.L.; Tothill,
   I.E. Development of a β-Lactoglobulin Sensor Based on SPR for Milk Allergens Detection.
   Biosensors 2018, 8, 32.
- Yakes, B.J.; Deeds, J.; White, K.; Degrasse, S.L. Evaluation of surface plasmon resonance biosensors for detection of tetrodotoxin in food matrices and comparison to analytical methods. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2011, 59, 839–846.
- 70. Hodnik, V.; Anderluh, G. Toxin detection by surface plasmon resonance. Sensors 2009, 9, 1339– 1354.
- 71. Chalyan, T.; Potrich, C.; Schreuder, E.; Falke, F.; Pasquardini, L.; Pederzolli, C.; Heideman, R.; Pavesi, L. AFM1 Detection in Milk by Fab' Functionalized Si3N4 Asymmetric Mach-Zehnder Interferometric Biosensors. Toxins 2019, 11, 409.
- 72. Smith, D.R.; Padilla, W.J.; Vier, D.C.; Nemat-Nasser, S.C.; Schultz, S. Composite Medium with Simultaneously Negative Permeability and Permittivity. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2000, 84, 4184–4187.
- 73. Beruete, M.; Jáuregui-López, I. Terahertz Sensing Based on Metasurfaces. Adv. Opt. Mater. 2020, 8, 1900721.
- 74. Chen, T.; Li, S.; Sun, H. Metamaterials application in sensing. Sensors 2012, 12, 2742–2765.
- 75. Zhou, R.; Wang, C.; Xu, W.; Xie, L. Biological applications of terahertz technology based on nanomaterials and nanostructures. Nanoscale 2019, 11, 3445–3457.
- 76. Ahmadivand, A.; Gerislioglu, B.; Tomitaka, A.; Manickam, P.; Kaushik, A.; Bhansali, S.; Nair, M.; Pala, N. Extreme sensitive metasensor for targeted biomarkers identification using colloidal nanoparticles-integrated plasmonic unit cells. Biomed. Opt. Express 2018, 9, 373–386.
- 77. Lee, D.-K.; Kang, J.-H.; Kwon, J.; Lee, J.-S.; Lee, S.; Woo, D.H.; Kim, J.H.; Song, C.-S.; Park, Q.-H.; Seo, M. Nano metamaterials for ultrasensitive Terahertz biosensing. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 8146.
- 78. Ahmed, R.; Ozen, M.O.; Karaaslan, M.G.; Prator, C.A.; Thanh, C.; Kumar, S.; Torres, L.; Iyer, N.; Munter, S.; Southern, S.; et al. Tunable Fano-Resonant Metasurfaces on a Disposable Plastic-Template for Multimodal and Multiplex Biosensing. Adv. Mater. 2020, 32, e1907160.
- 79. Park, S.J.; Cha, S.H.; Shin, G.A.; Ahn, Y.H. Sensing viruses using terahertz nano-gap metamaterials. Biomed. Opt. Express 2017, 8, 3551–3558.
- Sreekanth, K.V.; El Kabbash, M.; Alapan, Y.; Ilker, E.I.; Hinczewski, M.; Gurkan, U.A.; Strangi, G. Hyperbolic metamaterials-based plasmonic biosensor for fluid biopsy with single molecule sensitivity. EPJ Appl. Metamater. 2017, 4, 1.
- 81. Aristov, A.I.; Manousidaki, M.; Danilov, A.; Terzaki, K.; Fotakis, C.; Farsari, M.; Kabashin, A.V. 3D plasmonic crystal metamaterials for ultra-sensitive biosensing. Sci. Rep. 2016, 6, 25380.

- Cheng, D.; He, X.; Huang, X.; Zhang, B.; Liu, G.; Shu, G.; Fang, C.; Wang, J.; Luo, Y. Terahertz biosensing metamaterial absorber for virus detection based on spoof surface plasmon polaritons. Int. J. RF Microw. Comput.-Aided Eng. 2018, 28, e21448.
- Ahmadivand, A.; Gerislioglu, B.; Manickam, P.; Kaushik, A.; Bhansali, S.; Nair, M.; Pala, N. Rapid Detection of Infectious Envelope Proteins by Magnetoplasmonic Toroidal Metasensors. ACS Sens. 2017, 2, 1359–1368.
- Alam, M.M.; Malik, H.; Khan, M.I.; Pardy, T.; Kuusik, A.; Le Moullec, Y. A Survey on the Roles of Communication Technologies in IoT-Based Personalized Healthcare Applications. IEEE Access 2018, 6, 36611–36631.
- 85. Kotb, R.; Ismail, Y.; Swillam, M. Integrated metal-insulator-metal plasmonic nano resonator: An analytical approach. Prog. Electromagn. Res. Lett. 2013, 43, 83–94.
- El-Zohary, S.E.; Azzazi, A.A.; Okamoto, H.; Okamoto, T.; Haraguchi, M.; Swillam, M.A. Resonance-based integrated plasmonic nanosensor for lab-on-chip applications. JNP 2013, 7, 073077.
- 87. Swillam, M.; Helmy, A. Analysis and applications of 3D rectangular metallic waveguides. Opt. Express 2010, 18, 19831–19843.
- 88. Sherif, S.M.; Zografopoulos, D.C.; Shahada, L.A.; Beccherelli, R.; Swillam, M. Integrated plasmonic refractometric sensor using Fano resonance. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 2017, 50, 055104.
- 89. Gamal, R.; Ismail, Y.; Swillam, M.A. Optical biosensor based on a silicon nanowire ridge waveguide for lab on chip applications. J. Opt. 2015, 17, 045802.
- 90. Elsayed, M.Y.; Sherif, S.M.; Aljaber, A.S.; Swillam, M.A. Integrated Lab-on-a-Chip Optical Biosensor Using Ultrathin Silicon Waveguide SOI MMI Device. Sensors 2020, 20, 4955.
- 91. Luan, E.; Shoman, H.; Ratner, D.M.; Cheung, K.C.; Chrostowski, L. Silicon Photonic Biosensors Using Label-Free Detection. Sensors 2018, 18, 3519.
- 92. Zaki, A.O.; Kirah, K.; Swillam, M.A. Integrated optical sensor using hybrid plasmonics for lab on chip applications. J. Opt. 2016, 18, 085803.
- 93. Khalifa, A.E.; Swillam, M.A. Plasmonic silicon solar cells using titanium nitride: A comparative study. JNP 2014, 8, 084098.
- 94. Naik, G.V.; Shalaev, V.M.; Boltasseva, A. Alternative Plasmonic Materials: Beyond Gold and Silver. Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 3264–3294.
- 95. Naik, G.V.; Kim, J.; Boltasseva, A. Oxides and nitrides as alternative plasmonic materials in the optical range . Opt. Mater. Express OME 2011, 1, 1090–1099.

- 96. Lau, B.; Swillam, M.A.; Helmy, A.S. Hybrid orthogonal junctions: Wideband plasmonic slot-silicon waveguide couplers. Opt. Express OE 2010, 18, 27048–27059.
- 97. Ayoub, A.B.; Ji, D.; Gan, Q.; Swillam, M.A. Silicon plasmonic integrated interferometer sensor for lab on chip applications. Opt. Commun. 2018, 427, 319–325.
- 98. Gamal, R.; Ismail, Y.; Swillam, M.A. Silicon Waveguides at the Mid-Infrared. J. Lightwave Technol. JLT 2015, 33, 3207–3214.
- 99. Elsayed, M.Y.; Ismail, Y.; Swillam, M.A. Semiconductor plasmonic gas sensor using on-chip infrared spectroscopy. Appl. Phys. A 2017, 123, 113.
- 100. Sherif, S.M.; Swillam, M.A. Metal-less silicon plasmonic mid-infrared gas sensor. JNP 2016, 10, 026025.
- 101. Ayoub, A.B.; Swillam, M.A. Silicon Plasmonics On-Chip Mid-IR Gas Sensor. IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett. 2018, 30, 931–934.
- 102. Gamal, R.; Shafaay, S.; Ismail, Y.; Swillam, M.A. Silicon plasmonics at midinfrared using siliconinsulator-silicon platform. JNP 2017, 11, 016006.
- 103. El Shamy, R.S.; Khalil, D.; Swillam, M.A. Mid Infrared Optical Gas Sensor Using Plasmonic Mach-Zehnder Interferometer. Sci. Rep. 2020, 10, 1293.
- 104. Schwarz, B.; Reininger, P.; Ristanić, D.; Detz, H.; Andrews, A.M.; Schrenk, W.; Strasser, G. Monolithically integrated mid-infrared lab-on-a-chip using plasmonics and quantum cascade structures. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4085.
- 105. Moon, G.; Choi, J.-R.; Lee, C.; Oh, Y.; Kim, K.H.; Kim, D. Machine learning-based design of metaplasmonic biosensors with negative index metamaterials. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2020, 164, 112335.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/53681