Fashion Recommendation Systems

Subjects: Computer Science, Information Systems

Contributor: SAMIT CHAKRABORTY, Md. Saiful Hoque, Naimur Rahman Jeem, Manik Chandra Biswas

Image-based fashion recommendation systems (FRSs) have attracted a huge amount of attention from fast fashion retailers as they provide a personalized shopping experience to consumers. With the technological advancements, this branch of artificial intelligence exhibits a tremendous amount of potential in image processing, parsing, classification, and segmentation.

Keywords: fashion recommendation system ; e-commerce ; filtering techniques ; algorithmic models ; performance

1. Introduction

Clothing is a kind of symbol that represents people's internal perceptions through their outer appearance. It conveys information about their choices, faith, personality, profession, social status, and attitude towards life. Therefore, clothing is believed to be a nonverbal way of communicating and a major part of people's outer appearance [1]. Recent technological advancements have enabled consumers to track current fashion trends around the globe, which influence their choices [2] ^[3]. The fashion choices of consumers depend on many factors, such as demographics, geographic location, individual preferences, interpersonal influences, age, gender, season, and culture [4][5][6][7][8]. Moreover, previous fashion recommendation research shows that fashion preferences vary not only from country to country but also from city to city ^[9]. The combination of fashion preferences and the abovementioned factors associated with clothing choices could transmit the image features for a better understanding of consumers' preferences [2]. Therefore, analyzing consumers' choices and recommendations is valuable to fashion designers and retailers [9][10][11]. Additionally, consumers' clothing choices and product preference data have become available on the Internet in the form of text or opinions and images or pictures. Since these images contain information about people from all around the world, both online and offline fashion retailers are using these platforms to reach billions of users who are active on the Internet [10][12][13]. Therefore, ecommerce has become the predominant channel for shopping in recent years. The ability of recommendation systems to provide personalized recommendations and respond quickly to the consumer's choices has contributed significantly to the expansion of e-commerce sales [14].

According to different studies, e-commerce retailers, such as Amazon, eBay, and Shopstyle, and social networking sites, such as Pinterest, Snapchat, Instagram, Facebook, Chictopia, and Lookbook, are now regarded as the most popular media for fashion advice and recommendations [15][16][17][18][19][20][21][22]. Research on textual content, such as posts and comments [23], emotion and information diffusion [24], and images has attracted the attention of modern-day researchers, as it can help to predict fashion trends and facilitate the development of effective recommendation systems [5][25][26][27]. An effective recommendation system is a crucial tool for successfully conducting an e-commerce business. Fashion recommendation systems (FRSs) generally provide specific recommendations to the consumer based on their browsing and previous purchase history. Social-network-based FRSs consider the user's social circle, fashion product attributes, image parsing, fashion trends, and consistency in fashion styles as important factors since they impact upon the user's purchasing decisions [28][29][30][31][32][33][34][35][36][37][38]. FRSs have the ability to reduce transaction costs for consumers and increase revenue for retailers. With the exception of a single study from 2016 that focuses only on apparel recommendation systems [10], no current research presents recent advances in research on fashion recommendation systems. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to present an integrative review of the research related to fashion recommendation systems. Moreover, Guan et al. cited research published until 2015. Therefore, the first objective of this paper is to review the most recent research published on this topic from 2010 to 2020. The previous study did not provide an in-depth analysis of the computational methods or algorithms corresponding to the fashion recommendation systems. This review study aims to fulfill this research gap and rigorously study the principles underlying, the methods used by, and the performance of the state-of-the-art fashion recommendation systems. To the best of our knowledge, this in-depth study is first of its kind. It includes research articles related to image parsing, clothing and body shape identification, and fashion attribute recognition, which are critical parts of fashion recommendation systems (FRSs). This review paper also provides a guideline for a research methodology to be used by future researchers in this field. The first section of this

review discusses the history and background of FRSs. The second section presents a concise history and overview of recommendation systems. The third section aims to integrate the scholarly articles related to FRSs published in the last decade. The fourth section defines the metrics that are used by researchers to present and discuss recommendation results. The fifth section forms the major part of this review and focuses on various FRSs followed by different computational algorithmic models and recommendation filtering techniques used in fashion recommendation research. It will help researchers to understand these crucial parts of a FRS. The final section highlighted the existing challenges of using state-of-the-art recommendation systems followed by providing recommendations to overcome them and proposing a novel FRS based on the research findings discussed in section five. The study of the existing literature revealed that fashion recommendation systems have a huge impact on consumers' buying decisions. Hence, fashion retailers and researchers are exploring and developing state-of-the-art recommendation models to improve the accessibility, navigability and consumers' overall purchasing experience. One of the prime elements that has been continuously researched in these articles was the improvement of existing and the development of new algorithms relevant to the filtering techniques [4][15][33][39][40][41][42][43][44][45][46][47][48][49][50][51] (Figure 1).





2. History and Overview of Recommendation System

The era of recommendation systems originally started in the 1990s based on the widespread research progress in Collective Intelligence. During this period, recommendations were generally provided to consumers based on their rating structure [52]. The first consumer-focused recommendation system was developed and commercialized by Goldberg, Nichols, Oki and Terry in 1992. Tapestry, an electronic messaging system was developed to allow users only to rate messages as either a good or bad product and service [53]. However, now there are plenty of methods to obtain information about the consumer's liking for a product through the Internet. These data can be retrieved in the forms of voting, tagging, reviewing and the number of likes or dislikes the user provides. It may also include reviews written in blogs, videos uploaded on YouTube or messages about a product. Regardless of communication and presentation, medium preferences are expressed in the form of numerical values [52][54]. **Table 1** presents the history of the progress of fashion recommendation systems over the last few decades.

Table 1. History of recommendation systems; produced by the authors based on [52][55][56].

Year	Recommendation System Approach	Properties
Before 1992	Mafia, developed in 1990	 Content filtering. Mail filtering agent for providing a cognitive intelligence-based service for document processing.

Year	Recommendation System Approach	Properties
1992 to 1998	Tapestry, developed in 1992	 Collaborative filtering. Developed by Palo Alto. Allowed users only to rate messages as either good or bad product and service.
	Grouplens, first used in 1994	Rate data to form the recommendation.
	Movielens, proposed in 1997	 Useful to construct a well-known dataset.
1999 to 2005	PLSA (Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis), proposed in 1999	Developed by Thomas Hofmann.Collaborative filtering.
2005 to 2009	Several Latent Factor Models such as Singular Value Decompositions (SVD), Robust Singular Value Decomposition (RSVD), Normalized Singular Value Deviation (NSVD).	Collaborative filtering approach.Find out factors from rating patterns.
2010 to onwards	Context-aware-based, instant-personalization-based	Combined techniques of content and collaborative approach.

E-commerce retailers started implementing fashion recommendation systems in the early 2000s. However, implementation was mostly in the development stage until 2007–2008 ^{[10][52][55][57][58][59]}. As with other products such as electronics and books, fashion products were also recommended based on the user's previous purchase history. With the continuous progress in computer vision algorithms, personalized recommendations utilizing personal factors and user reviews have become more popular today ^{[10][58][60]}.

3. Channels of Scholarly Dissemination Related to Fashion Recommendation System (FRS)

Articles published from January 2010 to June 2020 have been considered for the review purpose of this article. Various online literature resources or databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Science Direct, and Design and Applied Arts Index (DAAI) have been used to find the literature. Boolean operator techniques i.e., "AND" or "OR" strategies were used to search articles from these sources. Keywords grouped in three categories as listed below were used to conduct the final search.

Group 1: Fashion OR Style OR Apparel OR Clothing.

Group 2: Recommend*.

Group 3: Filtering Technique OR Algorithm OR Model OR Artificial Intelligence OR Neural Network OR Deep Learning OR Meta-Learning OR Fuzzy Techniques OR Model OR Image Processing OR Image Retrieval OR Image Feature extraction.

Final Search = Group 1 AND Group 2, Group 1 AND Group 2 AND Group 3.

Overall, 230 scholarly articles and 9 web sources have been reviewed. Among these, 214 scholarly articles were found containing the required keywords when using the search strategy mentioned above. Among these, 132 articles are indexed in Scopus, 26 in Web of Science, 3 in Science Direct and 1 in the Design and Applied Arts Index (DAAI)

database. In addition, 50 articles and 2 patents were found in Google Scholar, published in different peer-reviewed journals and conferences.

4. Metrics Used in Fashion Recommendation System Evaluation

The performance of a recommendation algorithm is evaluated by using some specific metrics that indicate the accuracy of the system. The type of metric used depends on the type of filtering technique. Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC), Area Under Cover (AUC), Precision, Recall and F1 score is generally used to evaluate the performance or accuracy of the recommendation algorithms.

Root-mean square error (RMSE). RMSE is widely used in evaluating and comparing the performance of a recommendation system model compared to other models. A lower RMSE value indicates higher performance by the recommendation model. RMSE, as mentioned by ^[61], can be as represented as follows:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_p} \sum_{u,i} (p_{ui} - r_{ui})^2}$$
(1)

where, N_p is the total number of predictions, p_{ui} is the predicted rating that a user u will select an item i and r_{ui} is the real rating.

Precision. Precision can be defined as the fraction of correct recommendations or predictions (known as True Positive) to the total number of recommendations provided, which can be as represented as follows:

$$Precision = \frac{True \ Positive \ (TP)}{True \ Positive \ (TP) + False \ Positive \ (FP)}$$
(2)

It is also defined as the ratio of the number of relevant recommended items to the number of recommended items expressed as percentages.

Recall. Recall can be defined as the fraction of correct recommendations or predictions (known as True Positive) to the total number of correct relevant recommendations provided, which can be as represented as follows:

$$Recall = \frac{True \ Positive \ (TP)}{True \ Positive \ (TP) + False \ Negative \ (FN)}$$
(3)

It is also defined as the ratio of the number of relevant recommended items to the total number of relevant items expressed as percentages.

F1 Score. F1 score is an indicator of the accuracy of the model and ranges from 0 to 1, where a value close to 1 represents higher recommendation or prediction accuracy. It represents precision and recall as a single metric and can be as represented as follows:

$$F1 \ score = 2 \times \frac{Precision * Recall}{Precision + Recall}$$
⁽⁴⁾

Coverage. Coverage is used to measure the percentage of items which are recommended by the algorithm among all of the items.

Accuracy. Accuracy can be defined as the ratio of the number of total correct recommendations to the total recommendations provided, which can be as represented as follows:

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + FN}{TP + FN + TN + FP}$$
⁽⁵⁾

Intersection over union (IoU). It represents the accuracy of an object detector used on a specific dataset [62].

$$IoU = \frac{TP}{TP + FN + FP} \tag{6}$$

ROC. ROC curve is used to conduct a comprehensive assessment of the algorithm's performance [57].

AUC. AUC measures the performance of recommendation and its baselines as well as the quality of the ranking based on pairwise comparisons ^[5].

Rank aware top-N metrics. The rank aware top-N recommendation metric finds some of the interesting and unknown items that are presumed to be most attractive to a user ^[63]. Mean reciprocal rank (MRR), mean average precision (MAP) and normalized discounted cumulative gain (NDCG) are three most popular rank aware metrics.

MRR. MRR is calculated as a mean of the reciprocal of the position or rank of first relevant recommendation $\frac{[64][65]}{100}$. MRR as mentioned by $\frac{[64][65]}{100}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$MRR = \frac{1}{N_u} \sum_{u \in N_u} \frac{1}{L_u^n [k] \in R_u}$$
⁽⁷⁾

where u, N_u and R_u indicate specific user, total number of users and the set of items rated by the user, respectively. L indicates list of ranking length (n) for user (u) and k represents the position of the item found in the he lists L.

MAP: MAP is calculated by determining the mean of average precision at the points where relevant products or items are found. MAP as mentioned by ^[65] can be expressed as follows.

$$MAP = \frac{1}{N_u |R_u|} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{1} \left(L_u^n [k] \in R_u \right) P_u @k$$
(8)

where P_{u} represents precision in selecting relevant item for the user.

NDCG: NDCG is calculated by determining the graded relevance and positional information of the recommended items, which can be expressed as follows ^[65].

$$NDCG_{u} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} G(u, n, k) D(k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} G^{*}(u, n, k) D(k)}$$
(9)

where *D* (*k*) is a discounting function, *G* (*u*, *n*, *k*) is the gain obtained recommending an item found at *k*-th position from the list L and $G^*(u, n, k)$ is the gain related to *k*-th item in the ideal ranking of *n* size for u user.

5. Fashion Recommendation System (FRS), Algorithmic Models and Filtering Techniques

FRS can be defined as a means of feature matching between fashion products and users or consumers under specific matching criteria. Different research addressed apparel attributes such as the formulation of colors, clothing shapes, outfit or styles, patterns or prints and fabric structures or textures ^{[10][58][66][67]}. Guan et al. studied these features using image recognition, product attribute extraction and feature encoding. Researchers have also considered user features such as facial features, body shapes, personal choice or preference, locations and wearing occasions in predicting users' fashion interests ^{[31][67][68][69][70]}. A well-defined user profile can differentiate a more personalized or customized recommendation system from a conventional system ^{[28][71]}. Various research projects on apparel recommendation systems with personalized styling guideline and intelligent recommendation engines have been conducted based on similarity recommendation and expert advisor recommendation systems ^{[10][58][72]}. Image processing, image parsing, sensory engineering, computational algorithms, and computer vision techniques have been extensively employed to support these systems ^{[32][73][74][75][76][77]}.

References

- 1. Barnard, M. Fashion as Communication, 2nd ed.; Routledge: London, UK, 2008.
- Chakraborty, S.; Hoque, S.M.A.; Kabir, S.M.F. Predicting fashion trend using runway images: Application of logistic regression in trend forecasting. Int. J. Fash. Des. Technol. Educ. 2020, 13, 376–386.

- Karmaker Santu, S.K.; Sondhi, P.; Zhai, C. On application of learning to rank for e-commerce search. In Proceedings of the 40th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan, 7–11 August 2017; pp. 475–484.
- 4. Garude, D.; Khopkar, A.; Dhake, M.; Laghane, S.; Maktum, T. Skin-tone and occasion oriented outfit recommendation system. SSRN Electron. J. 2019.
- Kang, W.-C.; Fang, C.; Wang, Z.; McAuley, J. Visually-aware fashion recommendation and design with generative image models. In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), New Orleans, LA, USA, 18–21 November 2017; pp. 207–216.
- Sachdeva, H.; Pandey, S. Interactive Systems for Fashion Clothing Recommendation. In Emerging Technology in Modelling and Graphics; Mandal, J.K., Bhattacharya, D., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2020; Volume 937, pp. 287–294.
- 7. Sun, G.-L.; Wu, X.; Peng, Q. Part-based clothing image annotation by visual neighbor retrieval. Neurocomputing 2016, 213, 115–124.
- Zhang, Y.; Caverlee, J. Instagrammers, Fashionistas, and Me: Recurrent Fashion Recommendation with Implicit Visual Influence. In Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, Beijing, China, 3–7 November 2019; pp. 1583–1592.
- 9. Matzen, K.; Bala, K.; Snavely, N. StreetStyle: Exploring world-wide clothing styles from millions of photos. arXiv 2017, arXiv:1706.01869.
- Guan, C.; Qin, S.; Ling, W.; Ding, G. Apparel recommendation system evolution: An empirical review. Int. J. Cloth. Sci. Technol. 2016, 28, 854–879.
- 11. Hu, Y.; Manikonda, L.; Kambhampati, S. What We Instagram: A First Analysis of Instagram Photo Content and User Types. Available online: http://www.aaai.org (accessed on 1 May 2014).
- 12. Gao, G.; Liu, L.; Wang, L.; Zhang, Y. Fashion clothes matching scheme based on Siamese Network and AutoEncoder. Multimed. Syst. 2019, 25, 593–602.
- Liu, Y.; Gao, Y.; Feng, S.; Li, Z. Weather-to-garment: Weather-oriented clothing recommendation. In Proceedings of the 2017 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo. (ICME), Hong Kong, China, 31 August 2017; pp. 181– 186.
- 14. Chakraborty, S.; Hoque, M.S.; Surid, S.M. A comprehensive review on image based style prediction and online fashion recommendation. J. Mod. Tech. Eng. 2020, 5, 212–233.
- 15. Chen, W.; Huang, P.; Xu, J.; Guo, X.; Guo, C.; Sun, F.; Li, C.; Pfadler, A.; Zhao, H.; Zhao, B. POG: Personalized outfit generation for fashion recommendation at Alibaba iFashion. In Proceedings of the 25th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, Anchorage, AK, USA, 4–8 August 2019; Association for Computing Machinery: New York, NY, USA, 2019; pp. 2662–2670.
- 16. Street Style Fashion. Available online: http://www.chictopia.com/browse/people (accessed on 12 July 2021).
- Lindig, S. Outfit Recommendation Algorithm for Better Instagram Photos—Fashion Algorithm for Instagram. Available online: https://www.harpersbazaar.com/fashion/trends/a11271/fashion-algorithm-suggests-outfits-for-better-instagramphotos/ (accessed on 13 July 2021).
- 18. Lookbook. Available online: https://lookbook.nu/ (accessed on 13 July 2021).
- Park, J.; Ciampaglia, G.L.; Ferrara, E. Style in the age of Instagram: Predicting success within the fashion industry using social media. In Proceedings of the 19th ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work & Social Computing—CSCW '16, San Francisco, CA, USA, 27 February–2 March 2016; pp. 64–73.
- 20. Shopstyle: Search and Find the Latest in Fashion. Available online: https://www.shopstyle.com/ (accessed on 13 July 2021).
- 21. Spiller, L.; Tuten, T. Integrating Metrics Across the Marketing Curriculum: The digital and social media opportunity. J. Mark. Educ. 2015, 37, 114–126.
- Tsujita, H.; Tsukada, K.; Kambara, K.; Siio, I. Complete fashion coordinator: A support system for capturing and selecting daily clothes with social networks. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces—AVI '10, Rome, Italy, 26–28 May 2010; p. 127.
- Lakkaraju, H.; Ajmera, J. Attention prediction on social media brand pages. In Proceedings of the 20th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management–CIKM '11, Glasgow, UK, 24–28 October 2011; p. 2157.
- Stieglitz, S.; Dang-Xuan, L. Emotions and Information Diffusion in Social Media—Sentiment of Microblogs and Sharing Behavior. J. Manag. Inf. Syst. 2013, 29, 217–248.

- Jagadeesh, V.; Piramuthu, R.; Bhardwaj, A.; Di, W.; Sundaresan, N. Large scale visual recommendations from street fashion images. In Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining—KDD '14, New York, NY, USA, 24–27 August 2014; pp. 1925–1934.
- Ma, Y.; Yang, X.; Liao, L.; Cao, Y.; Chua, T.-S. Who, where, and what to wear?: Extracting Fashion knowledge from social media. In Proceedings of the 27th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, Nice, France, 21–25 October 2019; pp. 257–265.
- Yamaguchi, K.; Kiapour, M.H.; Ortiz, L.E.; Berg, T.L. Parsing clothing in fashion photographs. In Proceedings of the 2012 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, Providence, RI, USA, 16–21 June 2012; pp. 3570–3577.
- 28. An, H.; Kwon, S.; Park, M. A case study on the recommendation services for customized fashion styles based on artificial intelligence. J. Korean Soc. Cloth. Text. 2019, 43, 349–360.
- 29. Jain, G.; Rakesh, S.; Nabi, M.K.; Chaturvedi, K. Hyper-personalization–fashion sustainability through digital clienteling. Res. J. Text. Appar. 2018, 22, 320–334.
- Yin, R.; Li, K.; Lu, J.; Zhang, G. Enhancing Fashion Recommendation with Visual Compatibility Relationship. In Proceedings of the The World Wide Web Conference on—WWW '19, San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–17 May 2019; pp. 3434–3440.
- 31. Jo, J.; Lee, S.; Lee, C.; Lee, D.; Lim, H. Development of fashion product retrieval and recommendations model based on deep learning. Electronics 2020, 9, 508.
- 32. Cui, P.; Wang, F.; Liu, S.; Ou, M.; Yang, S.; Sun, L. Who should share what?: Item-level social influence prediction for users and posts ranking. In Proceedings of the 34th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information-SIGIR '11, Beijing, China, 24–28 July 2011; p. 185.
- 33. Lu, H.; Chen, Y.; Dai, H.Q. Clothing recommendation based on fuzzy mathematics. Int. J. Adv. Oper. Manag. 2013, 5, 14.
- 34. Mohammed Abdulla, G.; Singh, S.; Borar, S. Shop your right size: A system for recommending sizes for fashion products. In Proceedings of the Companion Proceedings of the 2019 World Wide Web Conference on—WWW '19, San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–17 May 2019; pp. 327–334.
- Polania, L.F.; Gupte, S. Learning Fashion Compatibility Across Apparel Categories for Outfit Recommendation. In Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), Taipei, Taiwan, 22–25 September 2019; pp. 4489–4493.
- Sonie, O.; Chelliah, M.; Sural, S. Concept to code: Deep learning for fashion recommendation. In Proceedings of the Companion Proceedings of The 2019 World Wide Web Conference on—WWW '19, San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–17 May 2019; pp. 1319–1320.
- Stefani, M.A.; Stefanis, V.; Garofalakis, J. CFRS: A trends-driven collaborative fashion recommendation system. In Proceedings of the 2019 10th International Conference on Information, Intelligence, Systems and Applications (IISA), Patras, Greece, 15–17 July 2019; pp. 1–4.
- 38. Sun, G.-L.; Cheng, Z.-Q.; Wu, X.; Peng, Q. Personalized clothing recommendation combining user social circle and fashion style consistency. Multimed. Tools Appl. 2017, 77, 17731–17754.
- Kwon, Y.-B.; Ogier, J.-M. (Eds.) Graphics recognition. new trends and challenges: 9th international workshop. In GREC 2011, Seoul, Korea, 15–16 September 2011; Revised Selected Papers; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011; Volume 7423.
- Lakshmi Pavani, M.; Bhanu Prakash, A.V.; Shwetha Koushik, M.S.; Amudha, J.; Jyotsna, C. Navigation through eyetracking for human–computer interface. In Information and Communication Technology for Intelligent Systems; Satapathy, S.C., Joshi, A., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2019; Volume 107, pp. 575–586.
- 41. Li, J.; Li, Y. Cognitive model based fashion style decision making. Expert Syst. Appl. 2012, 39, 4972–4977.
- 42. Li, J.; Zhong, X.; Li, Y. A Psychological Decision Making Model Based Personal Fashion Style Recommendation System. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Human-centric Computing 2011 and Embedded and Multimedia Computing 2011; Park, J.J., Jin, H., Liao, X., Zheng, R., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2011; Volume 102, pp. 57–64.
- 43. Li, R.; Zhou, Y.; Mok, P.Y.; Zhu, S. Intelligent clothing size and fit recommendations based on human model customisation technology. In Proceedings of the WSCG '2017: Short Communications Proceedings: The 25th International Conference in Central Europe on Computer Graphics, Visualization and Computer Vision 2016 in Co-Operation with EUROGRAPHICS, Plzen, Czech Republic, 29 May–2 June 2017; pp. 25–32.

- 44. Lin, Y.; Ren, P.; Chen, Z.; Ren, Z.; Ma, J.; de Rijke, M. Improving Outfit Recommendation with Co-supervision of Fashion Generation. In Proceedings of the The World Wide Web Conference on—WWW '19, San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–17 May 2019; pp. 1095–1105.
- Akabane, T.; Kosugi, S.; Kimura, S.; Arai, M. Method to consider familiarity in clothing coordination recommender systems. In Proceedings of the 2011 3rd International Conference on Computer Research and Development, Shanghai, China, 11–13 March 2011; Volume 1, pp. 22–26.
- Chae, Y.; Xu, J.; Stenger, B.; Masuko, S. Color navigation by qualitative attributes for fashion recommendation. In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics (ICCE), Las Vegas, NV, USA, 12–15 January 2018; pp. 1–3.
- 47. Chung, W.; Shin, C.S. (Eds.) Advances in affective and pleasurable design. In Proceedings of the AHFE 2017 International Conference on Affective and Pleasurable Design, Los Angeles, CA, USA, 17–21 July 2017; Springer International Publishing: New York, NY, USA, 2018; Volume 585.
- Faria, A.P.; Cunha, J.; Providência, B. Fashion communication in the digital age: Findings from interviews with industry professionals and design recommendations. Procedia CIRP 2019, 84, 930–935.
- Gu, X.; Wong, Y.; Peng, P.; Shou, L.; Chen, G.; Kankanhalli, M.S. Understanding fashion trends from street photos via neighbor-constrained embedding learning. In Proceedings of the MM 2017-Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Multimedia Conference, Mountain View, CA, USA, 23–27 October 2017; pp. 190–198.
- 50. Heinz, X.S.; Bracher, C.; Vollgraf, R. An LSTM-Based Dynamic Customer Model for Fashion Recommendation. Available online: https://arxiv.org/abs/1708.07347v1 (accessed on 12 July 2021).
- 51. Hu, Z.-H.; Li, X.; Wei, C.; Zhou, H.-L. Examining collaborative filtering algorithms for clothing recommendation in ecommerce. Text. Res. J. 2018, 89, 2821–2835.
- 52. Suganeshwari, G.; Syed Ibrahim, S.P.A. Survey on collaborative filtering based recommendation system. In Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Big Data and Cloud Computing Challenges (ISBCC–16'); Vijayakumar, V., Neelanarayanan, V., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: New York, NY, USA, 2016; Volume 49, pp. 503–518.
- 53. Rana, M.K.C. Survey paper on recommendation system. Int. J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol. 2012, 3, 3460–3462.
- 54. Alag, S. Collective Intelligence in Action; Manning: Greenwich, CT, USA, 2009.
- 55. Bhatnagar, V. (Ed.) Collaborative Filtering Using Data Mining and Analysis; IGI Global: Hershey, PE, USA, 2016.
- 56. Bobadilla, J.; Ortega, F.; Hernando, A.; Gutiérrez, A. Recommender systems survey. Knowl. Based Syst. 2013, 46, 109–132.
- 57. Isinkaye, F.; Folajimi, Y.; Ojokoh, B. Recommendation systems: Principles, methods and evaluation. Egypt. Inform. J. 2015, 16, 261–273.
- Plumbaum, T.; Kille, B. Personalized Fashion Advice. In Smart Information Systems; Hopfgartner, F., Ed.; Springer International Publishing: New York, NY, USA, 2015; pp. 213–237.
- Schafer, J.B.; Konstan, J.; Riedl, J. (Eds.) Recommender Systems in E-Commerce. In Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce, Denver, CO, USA, 3–5 November 1999; ACM Press: New York, NY, USA, 1999.
- 60. Dalgleish, A.R. An Item Recommendation System. U.S. Patent No. US20110184831A1, 28 July 2011.
- Wei, Z.; Yan, Y.; Huang, L.; Nie, J. Inferring intrinsic correlation between clothing style and wearers' personality. Multimed. Tools Appl. 2017, 76, 20273–20285.
- Rosebrock, A. Intersection over Union (IoU) for Object Detection; Pyimagesearch, 2016. Available online: https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2016/11/07/intersection-over-union-iou-for-object-detection/ (accessed on 13 July 2021).
- 63. Cremonesi, P.; Koren, Y.; Turrin, R. Performance of recommender algorithms on top-n recommendation tasks. In Proceedings of the Fourth ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Barcelona, Spain, 26–30 September 2010; Association for Computing Machinery: New York, NY, USA, 2010; pp. 39–46.
- 64. Taifi, M. MRR vs MAP vs NDCG: Rank-Aware Evaluation Metrics and when to Use Them. 2019. Available online: https://medium.com/swlh/rank-aware-recsys-evaluation-metrics-5191bba1683221 (accessed on 13 July 2021).
- 65. Valcarce, D.; Bellogín, A.; Parapar, J.; Castells, P. Assessing ranking metrics in top-N recommendation. Inf. Retr. 2020, 23, 411–448.
- Guan, C.; Qin, S.; Long, Y. Apparel-based deep learning system design for apparel style recommendation. Int. J. Cloth. Sci. Technol. 2019, 31, 376–389.

- Zempo, K.; Sumita, U. Identifying Colors of Products and Associated Personalized Recommendation Engine in e-Fashion Business. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Social Modeling and Simulation, Plus Econophysics Colloquium 2014; Takayasu, H., Ito, N., Noda, I., Takayasu, M., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: New York, NY, USA, 2015; pp. 335–346.
- Hidayati, S.C.; Hsu, C.-C.; Chang, Y.-T.; Hua, K.-L.; Fu, J.; Cheng, W.-H. What dress fits me best?: Fashion recommendation on the clothing style for personal body shape. In Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Multimedia Conference on Multimedia Conference—MM '18, Yokohama, Japan, 11–14 June 2018; pp. 438–446.
- 69. Piazza, A.; Kröckel, P.; Bodendorf, F. Emotions and fashion recommendations: Evaluating the predictive power of affective information for the prediction of fashion product preferences in cold-start scenarios. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Web Intelligence, Amantea, Italy, 19–22 June 2017; pp. 1234–1240.
- 70. Vecchi, A. (Ed.) Advanced Fashion Technology and Operations Management; IGI Global: Hershey, PE, USA, 2017.
- Sharma, S.; Koehl, L.; Bruniaux, P.; Zeng, X. Garment fashion recommendation system for customized garment. In Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Systems Management (IESM), Shanghai, China, 25–27 September 2019; pp. 1–6.
- 72. Rashid, A.M.; Albert, I.; Cosley, D.; Lam, S.K.; McNee, S.M.; Konstan, J.A.; Riedl, J. Getting to know you: Learning new user preferences in recommender systems. In Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces—IUI '02, San Francisco, CA, USA, 13–16 January 2002; p. 127.
- Guigourès, R.; Ho, Y.K.; Koriagin, E.; Sheikh, A.-S.; Bergmann, U.; Shirvany, R. A hierarchical bayesian model for size recommendation in fashion. In Proceedings of the 12th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems, Columbia, BC, Canada, 2 October 2018; pp. 392–396.
- 74. Hou, M.; Wu, L.; Chen, E.; Li, Z.; Zheng, V.W.; Liu, Q. Explainable fashion recommendation: A semantic attribute region guided approach. arXiv 2019, arXiv:1905.12862.
- Tuinhof, H.; Pirker, C.; Haltmeier, M. Image-based fashion product recommendation with deep learning. In Machine Learning, Optimization, and Data Scienc; Nicosia, G., Pardalos, P., Giuffrida, G., Umeton, R., Sciacca, V., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: New York, NY, USA, 2019; Volume 11331, pp. 472–481.
- Verma, S.; Anand, S.; Arora, C.; Rai, A. Diversity in Fashion Recommendation Using Semantic Parsing. In Proceedings of the 2018 25th IEEE International Conference on Image Processing (ICIP), Athens, Greece, 7–10 October 2018; pp. 500–504.
- 77. Cardoso, Â.; Daolio, F.; Vargas, S. Product characterisation towards personalisation: Learning attributes from unstructured data to recommend fashion products. In Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, London, UK, 19–23 August 2018; Association for Computing Machinery: New York, NY, USA, 2018; pp. 80–89.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/30724