# **Fructose and the Liver**

Subjects: Gastroenterology & Hepatology Contributor: Erika Ramos-Tovar

Fructose possesses an open-chain chemical conformation and is therefore much more reactive than glucose. Experimental studies have shown that a high fructose intake promotes oxidative stress, inflammation, higher serum uric acid levels, hypertriglyceridemia, higher systolic blood pressure, and insulin resistance(). In humans, the physiological impact depends on the formulation in which the fructose is consumed; consumption via solids and liquids differently affects microbiota composition, gut integrity, and liver toxicity.

liver fructose uric acid NLRP3 oxidative stress inflammation

### 1. Introduction

Chronic diseases represent a major challenge in world health. Metabolic syndrome is a constellation of disturbances that includes dyslipidemia, type II diabetes, insulin resistance, visceral obesity, microalbuminuria, and hypertension <sup>[1][2]</sup>. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome is difficult to establish because there is no consensus on its definition <sup>[1]</sup>, but estimations are 27.93% in North America, 27.65% in South America, 21.27% in Asia, 16.04% in Africa, and 10.47% in Europe <sup>[3]</sup>, affecting a quarter of the world's population <sup>[4]</sup>. The most important risk factors for developing metabolic syndrome are related to obesity, a complex disease associated with an imbalance between physical activity and calorie intake, and excessive consumption of fats and simple carbohydrates; the obesogenic environment also plays an important role <sup>[5]</sup>.

Metabolic syndrome affects several organs, and it has been proposed to be a liver-centered condition <sup>[6]</sup>. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a term widely used to describe excessive fat infiltration in the liver in the absence of alcohol, autoimmune disorders, and viral hepatitis <sup>[7]</sup>. Moreover, NASH is a risk factor for liver cancer development <sup>[2][8][9][10][11][12]</sup>. HCC is the dominant form of primary liver cancer, which represents 75–90% of the total liver cancer burden <sup>[13][14]</sup>.

A significant correlation between fructose intake and the degree of fibrosis has been found <sup>[15][16]</sup>. Its consumption has recently increased in many parts of the world because of the growing use of high-fructose corn syrup in beverages and processed food <sup>[17]</sup>. Studies on ancestral diets have shown that the average intake of fructose per capita was around 2 kg per year, while the current global average consumption of fructose per capita is 25 kg per year <sup>[18]</sup>. Furthermore, elevated consumption of fructose represents a great metabolic risk for not only obese but also lean individuals who have a high consumption of fructose-sweetened beverages

### 2. Deleterious Metabolic Effects of Fructose

Fructose possesses an open-chain chemical conformation and is therefore much more reactive than glucose <sup>[19]</sup>. Experimental studies have shown that a high fructose intake promotes oxidative stress, inflammation, higher serum uric acid levels, hypertriglyceridemia, higher systolic blood pressure, and insulin resistance <sup>[20][21]</sup> (**Figure 1**). In humans, the physiological impact depends on the formulation in which the fructose is consumed; consumption via solids and liquids differently affects microbiota composition, gut integrity, and liver toxicity <sup>[22][23]</sup>.



**Figure 1.** Systemic effects of overconsumption of fructose. Elevated fructose intake is implicated in increased oxidative stress, inflammation, higher uric acid levels, hypertriglyceridemia, higher systolic blood pressure, and insulin resistance, which are associated with the development or worsening of liver diseases.

Sensory stimulation is the adaptive response to food intake through rapid physiological processes, and one of the most studied is the cephalic-phase insulin response. Additionally, eating fructose, in contrast to glucose consumption, leads to increased hunger and desire to eat because fructose decreases leptin and glucagon-like peptide 1 and increases ghrelin levels in the serum <sup>[24]</sup>. Ghrelin activates the neuronal activity of neuropeptide Y, increasing food intake, and glucagon-like peptide 1 inhibition causes a decrease in insulin secretion <sup>[25]</sup>. Regarding

the hedonic value of fructose and the sum of all these events that affect appetite control, more studies are required to understand the role of fructose in the reward system.

The intestinal epithelium is the cell layer closest to the intestinal lumen and is composed by 70–80% of enterocytes, Paneth cells, goblet cells, and intestinal stem cells. Studies attribute the metabolic effects of fructose to enterocytes, cells specialized in absorption <sup>[26]</sup>.

In humans, fructose is converted to glucose when the intake is moderate ( $\leq 1$  g/kg of body weight), while high fructose consumption leads to the strong induction of Glut5 but not Glut2, thus increasing the fructose concentration and catabolism in the cytosol of intestinal epithelial cells <sup>[19][27][28][29]</sup>. In the cytoplasm of intestinal cells, the ketohexokinase (KHK) enzyme, also called fructokinase, which has a high affinity for fructose, phosphorylates fructose to fructose-1-phosphate, a toxic metabolite <sup>[30]</sup>. By contrast, KHK-C overexpression promotes intestinal fructose clearance and increases fructose-induced lipogenesis in the liver <sup>[30]</sup>. However, when the capacity for intestinal fructose clearance is exceeded, the increased activity of KHK-C exhausts adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and induces adenosine monophosphate deaminase activation, which results in marked ATP depletion, leading to the accumulation of adenosine monophosphate and uric acid production <sup>[31]</sup>.

Uric acid, a weak organic acid end-product of purine catabolism in humans, is an antioxidant molecule that plays an essential role in the cardiac, vascular, and central nervous systems because it can neutralize pro-oxidant free radicals, such as hydroxyl radicals, hydrogen peroxide, and peroxynitrite <sup>[31]</sup>. Uric acid is produced in the liver and gut and excreted through the urine and feces <sup>[31]</sup>. According to Yun et al., the duodenum plays an important role in the synthesis and elimination of uric acid; one-third of the total uric acid is excreted through the gut <sup>[32]</sup>. Thirty percent of uric acid is excreted via ATP-binding cassette subfamily 2 (breast cancer resistance protein) on the luminal surface of the intestine, but an imbalance in its production or excretion can increase uric acid levels, favoring nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADPH) oxidase (NOX) activation in the liver, acting as a damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) <sup>[33][34]</sup>.

ChREBP is a transcription factor activated by a high-fructose diet, improving the KHK and Glut5 capacity for fructose absorption <sup>[35]</sup>. SREBP is a family of transcription factors consisting of three isoforms that regulate the homeostasis of lipids. In enterocytes, apolipoprotein induces the transcription of SREBP1c, which improves the stability of ApoB-48, the structural protein for chylomicrons, enhances microsomal triglyceride transfer protein, and augments lipogenesis <sup>[36]</sup>. This uncontrolled lipid metabolism and lower clearance of chylomicrons in the intestinal cells, together with uric acid overproduction, is responsible for increased cardiometabolic risk and leads to the development of NASH <sup>[35][37][38]</sup>.

NASH models showed that cytochrome P450 2E1 activity is linked to increased intestinal inflammation during fructose consumption <sup>[39]</sup>. Furthermore, NASH patients have increased cytochrome P450-2E1 and inducible nitric oxide synthase, which cause the nitration of intestinal tight and adherent junction proteins <sup>[40]</sup>. The disruption of tight junction proteins and elevated apoptosis of enterocytes, evidenced by the upregulation of caspase 3 and p-JNK after fructose exposure, contributes to endoplasmic reticulum stress, the accumulation of unfolded or

misfolded proteins, and the dysfunction of the epithelial barrier, which result in increased gut permeability, allowing lipopolysaccharides (LPS) to translocate from the gut lumen to the portal tract, triggering an inflammatory response in the liver <sup>[40]</sup>. In animal models that use fructose-rich diets, the intestinal absorption of Ca2+is decreased, and Ca2+receptors are depleted, which leads to decreased antioxidant defenses (GPx, catalase, superoxide dismutase, etc., are exhausted), and endoplasmic reticulum stress occurs <sup>[41]</sup>.

Recent reports show that fructose consumption alters the gut microbiota and their bacterial metabolites, in a manner that promotes the development and progression of NASH <sup>[42]</sup>. As a consequence, increased blood ethanol concentrations and/or ethanol metabolites can alter the host's metabolism, generate reactive oxygen species, and active inflammatory pathways, suggesting that microbiota that produce alcohol can have important effects on the evolution of NAFLD <sup>[43][44][45]</sup>. Moreover, gut dysbiosis triggered by excessive fructose intake leads to intestinal bacterial overgrowth, a strong decrease in microbial diversity, and increased translocation of bacterial products and cytotoxins, stimulating inflammatory pathways in experimental and human NAFLD The dysregulated microbiota, disruption of intestinal tight junction proteins, elevated uric acid production, and toxic bacterial metabolites accelerate NASH progression.

A diet rich in fructose induces the hepatic de novo synthesis of fatty acids and triglyceride accumulation Once fructose exceeds the intestinal clearance capacity, it is driven to the portal vein, where a fructosemic state strongly and quickly induces mechanisms involved in its overflow to the liver, which is the principal organ for fructose metabolism <sup>[6][21]</sup>. However, the mechanisms of the hepatic cell types (hepatocytes, hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), and Kupffer cells) that are involved in the metabolism of fructose consumed in large quantities are poorly understood <sup>[36]</sup>. In particular, chronic high fructose consumption induces the aldolase B enzyme, which breaks down fructose to dihydroxyacetone phosphate and D-glyceraldehyde.

Similar to in mice, KHK expression is elevated in obese patients with advanced liver disease compared to in obese subjects without fatty liver In KHK-knockout mice, ATP citrate lyase (ACLY), acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC)-1, and fatty acid synthase (FASN) are decreased by fructose administration <sup>[46]</sup>. ACLY is an enzyme that links carbohydrate to lipid metabolism by converting citrate to acetyl-CoA for fatty acid and cholesterol biosynthesis. ACC-1 coordinates the synthesis of fatty acids in the liver and generates a pool of malonyl-CoA used by FASN to generate palmitate <sup>[47]</sup>.

Kupffer cells play a central role in liver damage induced by fructose. The elevated endotoxemia and oxidative stress produced by fructose intake promote hepatic Toll-like receptor (TLR)-4 activation. As previously mentioned, fructose causes gut-barrier deterioration through the disruption of tight-junction proteins. LPS and other bacterial toxins cross the gut barrier and bind to TLR-4 on the macrophages or Kupffer cells' plasma membranes, which activates the proinflammatory signaling pathway, with a consequent increase in the expression of proinflammatory cytokines including tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-1beta ( $\beta$ )

Studies performed in mice models have shown that fructose triggers the infiltration/activation of macrophages/Kupffer cells, causing increased levels of ROS, and induces the necrosis of hepatocytes through

TNF-α and IL-6 upregulation (90). Cytokine release causes hepatocyte death along with activation of HSCs and Kupffer cells. The NLRP3 inflammasome, upregulated by fructose overfeeding, is a sensor of danger signals, DAMPs, uric acid crystals, or derivatives that act like DAMP molecules and induce inflammation <sup>[48][49][50]</sup>. The activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome is a synchronized interaction between hepatocytes and Kupffer cells that results in dyslipidemia and lipid accumulation in hepatocytes <sup>[51]</sup>.

Wree et al. found that NLRP3 inflammasome activation results in severe liver inflammation and fibrosis via the pyroptotic signaling pathway in hepatocytes <sup>[52]</sup>. Pyroptosis is a unique form of programed cell death where a plasma membrane pore formed by gasdermin D allows the release of the cellular content, leading to the upregulation of proinflammatory cytokines and profibrogenic factors such as IL-1 $\beta$ , connective tissue growth factor, and TGF- $\beta$ , triggering the activation of HSCs, leading to the increased production and secretion of scar tissue proteins <sup>[52]</sup>; as a result, inflammation is exacerbated and liver fibrosis ensues <sup>[53][54]</sup>. Inflammasome activation by fructose could also be the result of increased Glut5 activity, which induces TXNIP to form the activated complex of ASC with NLRP3, consequently inducing dyslipidemia, hepatic inflammation, and lipid accumulation <sup>[55]</sup>. In addition, there is evidence indicating that TXNIP is upregulated in the liver by the master nutritional regulator ChREBP <sup>[56]</sup>.

Keap1 acts as a sensor for oxidative stress, and under stress conditions, the sequestration complex dissociates, allowing Nrf2 to translocate to the nucleus, where it binds to the antioxidant response element and induces the expression of a battery of antioxidant genes <sup>[54]</sup>. Transcriptomic analysis reveals that the excessive consumption of fructose induces mechanisms that increase oxidative stress, such as aryl hydrocarbon receptor downregulation. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor modulates the expression of various biotransformation enzymes classified as phase I and II enzymes; this receptor also has crosstalk with NF- Therefore, fructose intake, which causes the downregulation of xenobiotic-metabolizing enzymes and Nrf2 transcription, also leads to the upregulation of NF-κB

By contrast, ACC-1 inhibition was associated with a decrease in hepatic de novo lipogenesis and insulin resistance and increased fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation <sup>[47]</sup>. FGF21 activates lipolysis and increases fatty acid oxidation in the liver through the activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha (PPAR- $\alpha$ ). PPAR- $\alpha$ , which is mainly activated during the fasted state and regulates the metabolism of lipids and inflammation, is primarily found in hepatocytes, and fatty acids resulting from the metabolism of fructose are oxidized to produce acetyl-CoA by peroxisomes and mitochondria through PPAR- $\alpha$  <sup>[57]</sup>. By contrast, in FGF21-knockout mice, the activation of HSCs and fibrogenesis were increased, evidenced by increased levels of TGF- $\beta$ , matrix metalloproteinases, and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases <sup>[58]</sup>.

The respiratory chain of the mitochondria produces ROS, but ROS are decreased by antioxidant enzymes to prevent the deleterious effects of free radicals on important biological molecules. Fructose intake diminishes the antioxidant machinery of mitochondria, increasing oxidative stress, which causes the lipid peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids, and allows the attack of free radicals on mitochondrial DNA; as a result, mitochondrial biogenesis is also affected <sup>[59]</sup>. Mitochondrial dysfunction results in low fatty acid oxidation, decreased hepatic ATP levels, and increased hepatic oxidative stress <sup>[60][61]</sup>. On the other hand, fructose oxidation also produces carbonyl

compounds such as glycolaldehyde, a metabolite of glyceraldehyde, and glyoxal, the major product of glycolaldehyde oxidation, which is associated with cellular injury and dysfunction, including the inhibition of mitochondrial respiration and induction of mitochondrial permeability transition, leading to cell death <sup>[62][33][63]</sup>.

Additionally, the consumption of fructose but not glucose increases apolipoprotein CIII through the ChREBP pathway, increasing triglyceride and low-density lipoprotein levels upon fructose metabolism, and represents a significant contributor to cardiometabolic risk <sup>[64][65]</sup>. These observations suggest that ChREBP plays an important role in the pathogenesis of NASH; however, the suggested protective role of ChREBP deserves further investigation <sup>[66]</sup>.

The deleterious effects on lipid metabolism of excessive fructose consumption are fasting and postprandial hypertriglyceridemia, and increased hepatic synthesis of lipids, very-low-density lipoproteins (VLDLs), and cholesterol <sup>[64][65][67][68]</sup>. It has been shown that the elevated levels of plasma triacylglycerol during high fructose feeding may be due to the overproduction and impaired clearance of VLDL, and chronic oxidative stress potentiates the effects of high fructose on the export of newly synthesized VLDL <sup>[69]</sup>. Moreover, in humans diets high in fructose have been observed to reduce postprandial serum insulin concentration; therefore, there is less stimulation of lipoprotein lipase, which causes a greater accumulation of chylomicrons and VLDL because lipoprotein lipase is an enzyme that hydrolyzes triglycerides in plasma lipoproteins <sup>[70]</sup>. High fructose consumption induces the hepatic transcription of hepatocyte nuclear factor 1, which upregulates aldolase B and cholesterol esterification 2, triggering the assembly and secretion of VLDL, resulting in the overproduction of free fatty acids <sup>[71]</sup>.

In hepatocytes, cytoplasmic Ca2+is an important regulator of lipid metabolism. A high fructose intake induces lipid accumulation, leading to protein kinase C phosphorylation, stressing the endoplasmic reticulum <sup>[72]</sup>. A prolonged endoplasmic reticulum stress response activates SREBP1c and leads to insulin resistance <sup>[73][74]</sup>. Calcium signaling is also important for liver regeneration, and increased intracellular calcium homeostasis is known to be involved in tumor initiation, progression, and metastasis; therefore, the alteration of calcium homeostasis by high fructose consumption could be an important mechanism in the development of cancer <sup>[75][76]</sup>.

Furthermore, some reports indicate that fructose supplementation leads to insulin receptor downregulation because protein-tyrosine phosphatase 1B activity decreases the phosphorylation of the insulin receptor and induces protein phosphatase 2A, increasing SREBP1c, aggravating hepatic insulin resistance via intricate metabolic pathways <sup>[77]</sup>. Extensive reviews have been published on the lipogenic effect of fructose <sup>[35][60][78]</sup>; however, the deleterious effects of fructose in the liver go beyond the steatotic effect. Hepatic cholesterol accumulation is associated with inflammatory cell infiltration <sup>[79]</sup>. Dietary fructose induces strong SREBP1c activation, and the consequent palmitate production causes lipotoxicity in the endoplasmic reticulum; these events are the leading factors responsible for the greater Nrf2 inhibition and more intense hepatic inflammatory response driven by NLRP3 inflammasome activation <sup>[51][80]</sup>.

Some authors have proposed "multiple parallel hit" theories to explain the development of the metabolic disease NAFLD, the first hit being the accumulation of fat in the liver (mainly triglycerides), followed by multifactorial processes that involve oxidative stress, inflammation, and hyperuricemia as the main factors <sup>[81][82]</sup>. DNA methylation is an epigenetic mechanism that decreases gene expression. Accumulating evidence suggests that excessive fructose intake drives epigenetic alterations, including the hypermethylation of the carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1A and PPAR- $\alpha$  genes <sup>[83][84]</sup>. Increased malonyl-CoA, which is synthesized by the enzyme acetyl-CoA carboxylase, inhibits carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1A, which is the rate-limiting step of the oxidation of lipids in the mitochondria, leading to the disruption of  $\beta$ -oxidation and accumulation of hepatic lipids, particularly fatty acids such as diacylglycerols and ceramides, which inhibit the insulin signaling pathway through protein kinase C activation and the inhibition of the protein kinase AKT, respectively <sup>[85][84]</sup> (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Metabolism of fructose in the liver. Fructose enters hepatocytes and is phosphorylated to fructose 1-phosphate by ketohexokinase C (KHK-C). Fructose 1-phosphate is converted to glyceraldehyde-3 phosphate and is a substrate for the synthesis of triacylglycerols and very-low-density lipoproteins (VLDL). Fructose intake can upregulate the ATP citrate lyase (ACLY) enzyme, promoting citrate breakdown to acetyl-CoA. Acetyl-CoA is converted to malonyl-coenzyme A (malonyl-CoA) by acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC-1). Elevated levels of malonyl-CoA inhibit β-oxidation through limiting carnitine palmitoyl transferase 1A, which promotes the accumulation of diacylglycerols and ceramides, causing hepatic dyslipidemia. Moreover, increased KHK-C activity exhausts adenosine triphosphate (ATP), generating adenosine diphosphate (ADP), which is converted to adenosine monophosphate (AMP). In turn, AMP is transformed to inosine monophosphate (IMP), increasing purine production. Xanthine oxidoreductase (XO) produces oxygen reactive species (ROS), hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE), and xanthine. Then, xanthine is metabolized, resulting in the overproduction of uric acid and ROS, which induce oxidative stress. Uric acid activates nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), triggering inflammation.

This scenario can be worsened because high-glycemic diets induce the conversion of glucose to fructose by the aldose reductase enzyme. Fructose can be endogenously synthesized in the body via the polyol pathway, a two-

step conversion of glucose to fructose, which is relatively inactive under physiological conditions <sup>[86][87]</sup>. In addition, in high-glycemic diets, glucose is metabolized by fructose-3-phosphokinase to a highly reactive molecule, fructose-3-phosphate, causing the formation of advanced glycation end products, which can trigger inflammatory pathways through the activation of signaling pathways such as NF- $\kappa$ B and mitogen-activated protein kinases, aside from increasing lipogenesis and the disruption of  $\beta$ -oxidation, independently of caloric intake and weight gain <sup>[60][88][89]</sup>. On the other hand, fructose can be released from the liver to the systemic circulation and filtered and excreted by the kidneys, a decisive organ for fructose disposal, increasing metabolic abnormalities <sup>[90][91]</sup>.

Chronic fructose consumption stimulates purine nucleotide turnover, which culminates in the synthesis of uric acid from xanthine by XO, leading to uric acid accumulation within hepatic cells <sup>[92]</sup>. 4-Hydroxynonenal, which is formed by the attack of ROS on biological membranes, induces XO, a key enzyme in purine and free radical metabolism; in turn, high activity of XO may further promote oxidative stress in the liver <sup>[93][94]</sup>. Increased systemic oxidative stress is recognized as an essential cause of elevated uric acid and inflammation <sup>[95]</sup>. Uric acid directly inhibits endothelial nitric oxide synthase; the impairment of nitric oxide synthesis decreases vascular smooth muscle relaxation and increases systolic blood pressure, leading to hypertension <sup>[96]</sup>.

Oxidative stress and uric acid are amplifying actors that activate the nuclear factor of activated T cells, which plays a role in the regulation of inflammation and upregulates aldose reductase via the polyol pathway, leading to hepatic steatosis <sup>[87]</sup>. Oral and coworkers (2019) found a positive correlation between the degree of liver damage and uric acid concentration in non-obese and young patients with NAFLD, who had higher uric acid concentrations than the healthy control group <sup>[97]</sup>. Therefore, the activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome is associated with liver disease progression from simple fatty liver to NASH with inflammation and fibrosis <sup>[98]</sup>. These studies support the role of uric acid as a risk marker of liver damage via NLRP3 inflammasome activation; moreover, it represents a non-invasive marker and a possible predictor of NASH.

There is cumulative evidence that some miRNAs regulate several signaling pathways, leading to oxidative stress and inflammation in the liver. For example, in humans the elevation of miR-214 levels decreases glutathione reductase and cytochrome P450 activities; consequently, hepatic oxidative stress is augmented <sup>[99]</sup>. Mice with miRNA-29a overexpression show decreased DNA oxidative damage in an NAFLD model, suggesting its role in neutralizing oxidative stress <sup>[100]</sup>. MiRNA-149-5p is induced by uric acid in hepatocytes, causing lipid accumulation via the upregulation of FGF21, a protein implicated in lipid metabolism that is considered an anti-metabolic-syndrome hormone, therefore playing an important role in the prevention of NAFLD development <sup>[101]</sup>.

In 1924, Otto Warburg described that cancer cells could obtain energy by fermenting glucose into lactate, and this is called the "Warburg effect" <sup>[102]</sup>. Importantly, several investigators have suggested that high fructose intake not only promotes cancer development in various tissues but also proposed that endogenously produced fructose in cancer cells could potentially stimulate cancer growth <sup>[102]</sup>. The key enzyme that stimulates endogenous fructose production is aldose reductase in the polyol pathway. Notably, fructose can be utilized by cancer cells as an energy source and, subsequently, for the synthesis of nucleic acids through the pentose phosphate pathway.

## **3. Conclusions and Perspectives**

Plenty of evidence indicates that fructose and its metabolites play a significant role in the development of liver disease. Although direct extrapolation from animal findings to humans is not recommended, basic research has illuminated some of the cellular and molecular mechanisms that are involved in the deleterious effects of the overconsumption of fructose, including oxidative stress, inflammation, higher serum uric acid levels, hypertriglyceridemia, higher systolic blood pressure, insulin resistance, fibrosis, cirrhosis, and HCC. Free radical and uric acid overproduction induced by excessive fructose consumption also play pivotal roles in fatty liver, inflammation, fibrosis, and HCC progression through a variety of signaling pathways. However, more in-depth studies dealing with the involved molecular mechanisms of fructose-driven fibrogenesis are required to find new therapeutic targets for drug development to prevent hepatic fibrosis.

The alarming increase in metabolic syndrome and comorbidities can only be attenuated if the consumption of fructose, mainly in soft beverages, is significantly reduced worldwide. In addition, an active lifestyle incorporating the practice of sports seems to be useful for fighting the sedentarism associated with obesity. Patients suffering from hepatic maladies should be recommended to reduce fructose consumption to prevent aggravation of their condition because fructose may act as a conjoint pathological agent.

#### References

- 1. Eckel, R.H.; Alberti, K.; Grundy, S.M.; Zimmet, P.Z. The metabolic syndrome. Lancet 2010, 375, 181–183.
- 2. Streba, L.A.M. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, metabolic risk factors, and hepatocellular carcinoma: An open question. World J. Gastroenterol. 2015, 21, 4103.
- 3. Roomi, M.A.; Mohammadnezhad, M. Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome Among Apparently Healthy Workforce. J. Ayub Med. Coll. 2019, 31, 252–254.
- 4. Saklayen, M.G. The Global Epidemic of the Metabolic Syndrome. Curr. Hypertens. Rep. 2018, 20, 12.
- 5. Berthoud, H.-R.; Morrison, C.D.; Münzberg, H. The obesity epidemic in the face of homeostatic body weight regulation: What went wrong and how can it be fixed? Physiol. Behav. 2020, 222, 112959.
- Andres-Hernando, A.; Orlicky, D.J.; Kuwabara, M.; Ishimoto, T.; Nakagawa, T.; Johnson, R.J.; Lanaspa, M.A. Deletion of Fructokinase in the Liver or in the Intestine Reveals Differential Effects on Sugar-Induced Metabolic Dysfunction. Cell Metab. 2020.
- 7. Ng, M.; Fleming, T.; Robinson, M.; Thomson, B.; Graetz, N.; Margono, C.; Mullany, E.C.; Biryukov, S.; Abbafati, C.; Abera, S.F.; et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of overweight and

obesity in children and adults during 1980-2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet 2014.

- 8. Rahman, R. Primary hepatocellular carcinoma and metabolic syndrome: An update. World J. Gastrointest. Oncol. 2013, 5, 186.
- 9. Miller, W.C.; Niederpruem, M.G.; Wallace, J.P.; Lindeman, A.K. Dietary fat, sugar, and fiber predict body fat content. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 1994, 94, 612–615.
- Welzel, T.M.; Graubard, B.I.; Zeuzem, S.; El-Serag, H.B.; Davila, J.A.; McGlynn, K.A. Metabolic syndrome increases the risk of primary liver cancer in the United States: A study in the SEERmedicare database. Hepatology 2011, 54, 463–471.
- 11. Margini, C.; Dufour, J.F. The story of HCC in NAFLD: From epidemiology, across pathogenesis, to prevention and treatment. Liver Int. 2016, 36, 317–324.
- 12. Muhidin, S.O.; Magan, A.A.; Osman, K.A.; Syed, S.; Ahmed, M.H. The Relationship between Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease and Colorectal Cancer: The Future Challenges and Outcomes of the Metabolic Syndrome. J. Obes. 2012, 2012, 1–8.
- Wong, M.C.S.; Jiang, J.Y.; Goggins, W.B.; Liang, M.; Fang, Y.; Fung, F.D.H.; Leung, C.; Wang, H.H.X.; Wong, G.L.H.; Wong, V.W.S.; et al. International incidence and mortality trends of liver cancer: A global profile. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 45846.
- 14. Marengo, A.; Rosso, C.; Bugianesi, E. Liver Cancer: Connections with Obesity, Fatty Liver, and Cirrhosis. Annu. Rev. Med. 2016, 67, 103–117.
- 15. Jensen, T.; Abdelmalek, M.F.; Sullivan, S.; Nadeau, K.J.; Green, M.; Roncal, C.; Nakagawa, T.; Kuwabara, M.; Sato, Y.; Kang, D.-H.; et al. Fructose and sugar: A major mediator of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. J. Hepatol. 2018, 68, 1063–1075.
- 16. Vos, M.B.; Lavine, J.E. Dietary fructose in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2013, 57, 2525–2531.
- 17. Hussain, S.P.; Hofseth, L.J.; Harris, C.C. Radical causes of cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2003, 3, 276–285.
- 18. Kmietowicz, Z. Countries that use large amounts of high fructose corn syrup have higher rates of type 2 diabetes. BMJ 2012, 345, e7994.
- Jang, C.; Hui, S.; Lu, W.; Cowan, A.J.; Morscher, R.J.; Lee, G.; Liu, W.; Tesz, G.J.; Birnbaum, M.J.; Rabinowitz, J.D. The Small Intestine Converts Dietary Fructose into Glucose and Organic Acids. Cell Metab. 2018, 27, 351–361.e3.
- Nakagawa, T.; Hu, H.; Zharikov, S.; Tuttle, K.R.; Short, R.A.; Glushakova, O.; Ouyang, X.; Feig, D.I.; Block, E.R.; Herrera-Acosta, J.; et al. A causal role for uric acid in fructose-induced metabolic syndrome. Am. J. Physiol. Physiol. 2006, 290, F625–F631.

- 21. Tappy, L. Fructose-containing caloric sweeteners as a cause of obesity and metabolic disorders. J. Exp. Biol. 2018, 221, jeb164202.
- Mastrocola, R.; Ferrocino, I.; Liberto, E.; Chiazza, F.; Cento, A.S.; Collotta, D.; Querio, G.; Nigro, D.; Bitonto, V.; Cutrin, J.C.; et al. Fructose liquid and solid formulations differently affect gut integrity, microbiota composition and related liver toxicity: A comparative in vivo study. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2018, 55, 185–199.
- 23. Rebollo, A.; Roglans, N.; Baena, M.; Sánchez, R.M.; Merlos, M.; Alegret, M.; Laguna, J.C. Liquid fructose downregulates Sirt1 expression and activity and impairs the oxidation of fatty acids in rat and human liver cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids 2014, 1841, 514–524.
- 24. Bodnaruc, A.M.; Prud'homme, D.; Blanchet, R.; Giroux, I. Nutritional modulation of endogenous glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion: A review. Nutr. Metab. 2016, 13, 92.
- Koliaki, C.; Kokkinos, A.; Tentolouris, N.; Katsilambros, N. The Effect of Ingested Macronutrients on Postprandial Ghrelin Response: A Critical Review of Existing Literature Data. Int. J. Pept. 2010, 2010, 1–9.
- Kishida, K.; Pearce, S.C.; Yu, S.; Gao, N.; Ferraris, R.P. Nutrient sensing by absorptive and secretory progenies of small intestinal stem cells. Am. J. Physiol. Liver Physiol. 2017, 312, G592– G605.
- 27. Taskinen, M.R.; Packard, C.J.; Borén, J. Dietary Fructose and the Metabolic Syndrome. Nutrients 2019, 11, 1987.
- 28. Zwarts, I.; van Zutphen, T.; Kruit, J.K.; Liu, W.; Oosterveer, M.H.; Verkade, H.J.; Uhlenhaut, N.H.; Jonker, J.W. Identification of the fructose transporter GLUT5 (SLC2A5) as a novel target of nuclear receptor LXR. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 9299.
- 29. Jones, H.F.; Butler, R.N.; Brooks, D.A. Intestinal fructose transport and malabsorption in humans. Am. J. Physiol. Liver Physiol. 2011, 300, G202–G206.
- Jang, C.; Wada, S.; Yang, S.; Gosis, B.; Zeng, X.; Zhang, Z.; Shen, Y.; Lee, G.; Arany, Z.; Rabinowitz, J.D. The small intestine shields the liver from fructose-induced steatosis. Nat. Metab. 2020, 2, 586–593.
- 31. Afzali, A.; Weiss, N.S.; Boyko, E.J.; Ioannou, G.N. Association between serum uric acid level and chronic liver disease in the United States. Hepatology 2010, 52, 578–589.
- 32. Yun, Y.; Yin, H.; Gao, Z.; Li, Y.; Gao, T.; Duan, J.; Yang, R.; Dong, X.; Zhang, L.; Duan, W. Intestinal tract is an important organ for lowering serum uric acid in rats. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0190194.
- 33. Ndrepepa, G. Uric acid and cardiovascular disease. Clin. Chim. Acta 2018, 484, 150–163.

- Kaneko, C.; Ogura, J.; Sasaki, S.; Okamoto, K.; Kobayashi, M.; Kuwayama, K.; Narumi, K.; Iseki, K. Fructose suppresses uric acid excretion to the intestinal lumen as a result of the induction of oxidative stress by NADPH oxidase activation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gen. Subj. 2017, 1861, 559–566.
- 35. Lee, H.-J.; Cha, J.-Y. Recent insights into the role of ChREBP in intestinal fructose absorption and metabolism. BMB Rep. 2018, 51, 429–436.
- Al-Jawadi, A.; Patel, C.R.; Shiarella, R.J.; Romelus, E.; Auvinen, M.; Guardia, J.; Pearce, S.C.; Kishida, K.; Yu, S.; Gao, N.; et al. Cell-Type–Specific, Ketohexokinase-Dependent Induction by Fructose of Lipogenic Gene Expression in Mouse Small Intestine. J. Nutr. 2020, 150, 1722–1730.
- Kim, M.; Astapova, I.I.; Flier, S.N.; Hannou, S.A.; Doridot, L.; Sargsyan, A.; Kou, H.H.; Fowler, A.J.; Liang, G.; Herman, M.A. Intestinal, but not hepatic, ChREBP is required for fructose tolerance. JCI Insight 2017, 2, e96703.
- 38. Ballestri, S.; Nascimbeni, F.; Romagnoli, D.; Lonardo, A. The independent predictors of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis and its individual histological features. Hepatol. Res. 2016, 46, 1074– 1087.
- 39. Kennedy, L.; Francis, H.; Alpini, G. Fructose Promotion of Intestinal and Liver Injury: A Sugar by Any Other Name That Isn't So Sweet. Hepatology 2019, hep.30843.
- 40. Cho, Y.; Kim, D.; Seo, W.; Gao, B.; Yoo, S.; Song, B. Fructose Promotes Leaky Gut, Endotoxemia, and Liver Fibrosis Through Ethanol-Inducible Cytochrome P450-2E1–Mediated Oxidative and Nitrative Stress. Hepatology 2019, hep.30652.
- Moine, L.; Rivoira, M.; de Barboza, G.D.; Pérez, A.; de Talamoni, N.T. Glutathione depleting drugs, antioxidants and intestinal calcium absorption. World J. Gastroenterol. 2018, 24, 4979– 4988.
- 42. Lambertz, J.; Weiskirchen, S.; Landert, S.; Weiskirchen, R. Fructose: A Dietary Sugar in Crosstalk with Microbiota Contributing to the Development and Progression of Non-Alcoholic Liver Disease. Front. Immunol. 2017, 8.
- 43. Kolodziejczyk, A.A.; Zheng, D.; Shibolet, O.; Elinav, E. The role of the microbiome in NAFLD and NASH. EMBO Mol. Med. 2019, 11.
- 44. Zhu, L.; Baker, S.S.; Gill, C.; Liu, W.; Alkhouri, R.; Baker, R.D.; Gill, S.R. Characterization of gut microbiomes in nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) patients: A connection between endogenous alcohol and NASH. Hepatology 2013, 57, 601–609.
- Engstler, A.J.; Aumiller, T.; Degen, C.; Dürr, M.; Weiss, E.; Maier, I.B.; Schattenberg, J.M.; Jin, C.J.; Sellmann, C.; Bergheim, I. Insulin resistance alters hepatic ethanol metabolism: Studies in mice and children with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Gut 2016, 65, 1564–1571.

- Softic, S.; Gupta, M.K.; Wang, G.-X.; Fujisaka, S.; O'Neill, B.T.; Rao, T.N.; Willoughby, J.; Harbison, C.; Fitzgerald, K.; Ilkayeva, O.; et al. Divergent effects of glucose and fructose on hepatic lipogenesis and insulin signaling. J. Clin. Investig. 2017, 127, 4059–4074.
- Bates, J.; Vijayakumar, A.; Ghoshal, S.; Marchand, B.; Yi, S.; Kornyeyev, D.; Zagorska, A.; Hollenback, D.; Walker, K.; Liu, K.; et al. Acetyl-CoA carboxylase inhibition disrupts metabolic reprogramming during hepatic stellate cell activation. J. Hepatol. 2020, 73, 896–905.
- Braga, T.T.; Forni, M.F.; Correa-Costa, M.; Ramos, R.N.; Barbuto, J.A.; Branco, P.; Castoldi, A.; Hiyane, M.I.; Davanso, M.R.; Latz, E.; et al. Soluble Uric Acid Activates the NLRP3 Inflammasome. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 39884.
- 49. Paschos, P.; Athyros, V.G.; Tsimperidis, A.; Katsoula, A.; Grammatikos, N.; Giouleme, O. Can Serum Uric Acid Lowering Therapy Contribute to the Prevention or Treatment of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease? Curr. Vasc. Pharmacol. 2018, 16, 269–275.
- 50. Wan, X.; Xu, C.; Lin, Y.; Lu, C.; Li, D.; Sang, J.; He, H.; Liu, X.; Li, Y.; Yu, C. Uric acid regulates hepatic steatosis and insulin resistance through the NLRP3 inflammasome-dependent mechanism. J. Hepatol. 2016, 64, 925–932.
- 51. Nigro, D.; Menotti, F.; Cento, A.S.; Serpe, L.; Chiazza, F.; Dal Bello, F.; Romaniello, F.; Medana, C.; Collino, M.; Aragno, M.; et al. Chronic administration of saturated fats and fructose differently affect SREBP activity resulting in different modulation of Nrf2 and Nlrp3 inflammasome pathways in mice liver. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2017, 42, 160–171.
- 52. Wree, A.; Eguchi, A.; McGeough, M.D.; Pena, C.A.; Johnson, C.D.; Canbay, A.; Hoffman, H.M.; Feldstein, A.E. NLRP3 inflammasome activation results in hepatocyte pyroptosis, liver inflammation, and fibrosis in mice. Hepatology 2014, 59, 898–910.
- Zhang, X.; Zhang, J.-H.; Chen, X.-Y.; Hu, Q.-H.; Wang, M.-X.; Jin, R.; Zhang, Q.-Y.; Wang, W.; Wang, R.; Kang, L.-L.; et al. Reactive Oxygen Species-Induced TXNIP Drives Fructose-Mediated Hepatic Inflammation and Lipid Accumulation Through NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 2015, 22, 848–870.
- 54. Ramos-Tovar, E.; Muriel, P. Molecular Mechanisms That Link Oxidative Stress, Inflammation, and Fibrosis in the Liver. Antioxidants 2020, 9, 1279.
- 55. Zhou, R.; Tardivel, A.; Thorens, B.; Choi, I.; Tschopp, J. Thioredoxin-interacting protein links oxidative stress to inflammasome activation. Nat. Immunol. 2010, 11, 136–140.
- 56. Noblet, B.; Benhamed, F.; O-Sullivan, I.; Zhang, W.; Filhoulaud, G.; Montagner, A.; Polizzi, A.; Marmier, S.; Burnol, A.-F.; Guilmeau, S.; et al. Dual regulation of TxNIP by ChREBP and FoxO1 in liver. iScience 2021, 102218.
- 57. Arauz, J.; Ramos-Tovar, E.; Muriel, P. Redox state and methods to evaluate oxidative stress in liver damage: From bench to bedside. Ann. Hepatol. 2016, 15, 160–173.

- 58. Fisher, F.M.; Kim, M.; Doridot, L.; Cunniff, J.C.; Parker, T.S.; Levine, D.M.; Hellerstein, M.K.; Hudgins, L.C.; Maratos-Flier, E.; Herman, M.A. A critical role for ChREBP-mediated FGF21 secretion in hepatic fructose metabolism. Mol. Metab. 2017, 6, 14–21.
- 59. Cioffi, F.; Senese, R.; Lasala, P.; Ziello, A.; Mazzoli, A.; Crescenzo, R.; Liverini, G.; Lanni, A.; Goglia, F.; Iossa, S. Fructose-Rich Diet Affects Mitochondrial DNA Damage and Repair in Rats. Nutrients 2017, 9, 323.
- 60. Softic, S.; Stanhope, K.L.; Boucher, J.; Divanovic, S.; Lanaspa, M.A.; Johnson, R.J.; Kahn, C.R. Fructose and hepatic insulin resistance. Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci. 2020, 1–15.
- Softic, S.; Meyer, J.G.; Wang, G.-X.; Gupta, M.K.; Batista, T.M.; Lauritzen, H.P.M.M.; Fujisaka, S.; Serra, D.; Herrero, L.; Willoughby, J.; et al. Dietary Sugars Alter Hepatic Fatty Acid Oxidation via Transcriptional and Post-translational Modifications of Mitochondrial Proteins. Cell Metab. 2019, 30, 735–753.e4.
- 62. Santhekadur, P.K. The dark face of fructose as a tumor promoter. Genes Dis. 2020, 7, 163–165.
- 63. Lee, O.; Bruce, W.R.; Dong, Q.; Bruce, J.; Mehta, R.; O'Brien, P.J. Fructose and carbonyl metabolites as endogenous toxins. Chem. Biol. Interact. 2009, 178, 332–339.
- 64. Hieronimus, B.; Griffen, S.C.; Keim, N.L.; Bremer, A.A.; Berglund, L.; Nakajima, K.; Havel, P.J.; Stanhope, K.L. Effects of Fructose or Glucose on Circulating ApoCIII and Triglyceride and Cholesterol Content of Lipoprotein Subfractions in Humans. J. Clin. Med. 2019, 8, 913.
- Ichigo, Y.; Takeshita, A.; Hibino, M.; Nakagawa, T.; Hayakawa, T.; Patel, D.; Field, C.J.; Shimada, M. High-Fructose Diet-Induced Hypertriglyceridemia Is Associated with Enhanced Hepatic Expression of ACAT2 in Rats. Physiol. Res. 2019, 1021–1026.
- 66. Shi, J.-H.; Lu, J.-Y.; Chen, H.-Y.; Wei, C.-C.; Xu, X.; Li, H.; Bai, Q.; Xia, F.-Z.; Lam, S.M.; Zhang, H.; et al. Liver ChREBP Protects Against Fructose-Induced Glycogenic Hepatotoxicity by Regulating L-Type Pyruvate Kinase. Diabetes 2020, 69, 591–602.
- 67. Stanhope, K.L.; Schwarz, J.M.; Keim, N.L.; Griffen, S.C.; Bremer, A.A.; Graham, J.L.; Hatcher, B.; Cox, C.L.; Dyachenko, A.; Zhang, W.; et al. Consuming fructose-sweetened, not glucosesweetened, beverages increases visceral adiposity and lipids and decreases insulin sensitivity in overweight/obese humans. J. Clin. Investig. 2009, 119, 1322–1334.
- 68. Hieronimus, B.; Stanhope, K.L. Dietary fructose and dyslipidemia. Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 2020, 31, 20–26.
- Milutinović, D.V.; Brkljačić, J.; Teofilović, A.; Bursać, B.; Nikolić, M.; Gligorovska, L.; Kovačević, S.; Djordjevic, A.; Preitner, F.; Tappy, L.; et al. Chronic Stress Potentiates High Fructose–Induced Lipogenesis in Rat Liver and Kidney. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2020, 64, 1901141.

- 70. Steenson, S.; Shojaee-Moradie, F.; Whyte, M.B.; Jackson, K.G.; Lovegrove, J.A.; Fielding, B.A.; Umpleby, A.M. The Effect of Fructose Feeding on Intestinal Triacylglycerol Production and De Novo Fatty Acid Synthesis in Humans. Nutrients 2020, 12, 1781.
- 71. Jiang, J.X.; Török, N.J. NADPH Oxidases in Chronic Liver Diseases. Adv. Hepatol. 2014, 2014, 1–8.
- 72. Pereira, R.; Botezelli, J.; da Cruz Rodrigues, K.; Mekary, R.; Cintra, D.; Pauli, J.; da Silva, A.; Ropelle, E.; de Moura, L. Fructose Consumption in the Development of Obesity and the Effects of Different Protocols of Physical Exercise on the Hepatic Metabolism. Nutrients 2017, 9, 405.
- 73. Sekiya, M.; Hiraishi, A.; Touyama, M.; Sakamoto, K. Oxidative stress induced lipid accumulation via SREBP1c activation in HepG2 cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2008, 375, 602–607.
- 74. Ali, E.S.; Petrovsky, N. Calcium Signaling As a Therapeutic Target for Liver Steatosis. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. 2019, 30, 270–281.
- 75. Liang, J.Q.; Teoh, N.; Xu, L.; Pok, S.; Li, X.; Chu, E.S.H.; Chiu, J.; Dong, L.; Arfianti, E.; Haigh, W.G.; et al. Dietary cholesterol promotes steatohepatitis related hepatocellular carcinoma through dysregulated metabolism and calcium signaling. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 4490.
- 76. Mellouk, K.Z.; Louchami, E.; Hupkens, A.; Sener, D.A.; Yahia, W.J.M. The metabolic syndrome of fructose-fed rats: Effects of long-chain polyunsaturated ω3 and ω6 fatty acids. V. Post-mortem findings. Mol. Med. Rep. 2012, 6, 1399–1403.
- Bergheim, I.; Weber, S.; Vos, M.; Krämer, S.; Volynets, V.; Kaserouni, S.; McClain, C.J.; Bischoff, S.C. Antibiotics protect against fructose-induced hepatic lipid accumulation in mice: Role of endotoxin. J. Hepatol. 2008, 48, 983–992.
- 78. Jegatheesan, P.; De Bandt, J. Fructose and NAFLD: The Multifaceted Aspects of Fructose Metabolism. Nutrients 2017, 9, 230.
- 79. Farrell, G.C.; van Rooyen, D. Liver cholesterol: Is it playing possum in NASH? Am. J. Physiol. Liver Physiol. 2012, 303, G9–G11.
- 80. Arroyave-Ospina, J.C.; Wu, Z.; Geng, Y.; Moshage, H. Role of Oxidative Stress in the Pathogenesis of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Implications for Prevention and Therapy. Antioxidants 2021, 10, 174.
- 81. Day, C.P.; James, O.F.W. Steatohepatitis: A tale of two "hits"? Gastroenterology 1998, 114, 842– 845.
- 82. Sanyal, A.J.; Campbell–Sargent, C.; Mirshahi, F.; Rizzo, W.B.; Contos, M.J.; Sterling, R.K.; Luketic, V.A.; Shiffman, M.L.; Clore, J.N. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: Association of insulin resistance and mitochondrial abnormalities. Gastroenterology 2001, 120, 1183–1192.

- Yamazaki, M.; Munetsuna, E.; Yamada, H.; Ando, Y.; Mizuno, G.; Fujii, R.; Nouchi, Y.; Kageyama,
  I.; Teshigawara, A.; Ishikawa, H.; et al. Maternal fructose consumption down-regulates Lxra expression via miR-206-mediated regulation. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2020, 82, 108386.
- 84. Ohashi, K.; Munetsuna, E.; Yamada, H.; Ando, Y.; Yamazaki, M.; Taromaru, N.; Nagura, A.; Ishikawa, H.; Suzuki, K.; Teradaira, R.; et al. High fructose consumption induces DNA methylation at PPARα and CPT1A promoter regions in the rat liver. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2015, 468, 185–189.
- 85. Merino, B.; Fernández-Díaz, C.M.; Cózar-Castellano, I.; Perdomo, G. Intestinal Fructose and Glucose Metabolism in Health and Disease. Nutrients 2019, 12, 94.
- 86. Andres-Hernando, A.; Johnson, R.J.; Lanaspa, M.A. Endogenous fructose production. Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care 2019, 22, 289–294.
- Sanchez-Lozada, L.G.; Andres-Hernando, A.; Garcia-Arroyo, F.E.; Cicerchi, C.; Li, N.; Kuwabara, M.; Roncal-Jimenez, C.A.; Johnson, R.J.; Lanaspa, M.A. Uric acid activates aldose reductase and the polyol pathway for endogenous fructose and fat production causing development of fatty liver in rats. J. Biol. Chem. 2019, 294, 4272–4281.
- Lanaspa, M.A.; Ishimoto, T.; Li, N.; Cicerchi, C.; Orlicky, D.J.; Ruzycki, P.; Rivard, C.; Inaba, S.; Roncal-Jimenez, C.A.; Bales, E.S.; et al. Endogenous fructose production and metabolism in the liver contributes to the development of metabolic syndrome. Nat. Commun. 2013, 4, 2434.
- 89. Sergi, D.; Boulestin, H.; Campbell, F.M.; Williams, L.M. The Role of Dietary Advanced Glycation End Products in Metabolic Dysfunction. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2020, 1900934.
- 90. Zhang, C.; Li, L.; Zhang, Y.; Zeng, C. Recent advances in fructose intake and risk of hyperuricemia. Biomed. Pharmacother. 2020, 131, 110795.
- 91. Gonzalez-Vicente, A.; Cabral, P.D.; Hong, N.J.; Asirwatham, J.; Saez, F.; Garvin, J.L. Fructose reabsorption by rat proximal tubules: Role of Na + -linked cotransporters and the effect of dietary fructose. Am. J. Physiol. Physiol. 2019, 316, F473–F480.
- Bjornstad, P.; Lanaspa, M.A.; Ishimoto, T.; Kosugi, T.; Kume, S.; Jalal, D.; Maahs, D.M.; Snell-Bergeon, J.K.; Johnson, R.J.; Nakagawa, T. Fructose and uric acid in diabetic nephropathy. Diabetologia 2015, 58, 1993–2002.
- 93. Koek, G.H.; Liedorp, P.R.; Bast, A. The role of oxidative stress in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Clin. Chim. Acta 2011, 412, 1297–1305.
- 94. Aldaba-Muruato, L.R.; Moreno Gil, M.; Shibayama, M.; Tsutsumi, V.; Muriel, P. Protective effects of allopurinol against acute liver damage and cirrhosis induced by carbon tetrachloride: Modulation of NF-κB, cytokine production and oxidative stress. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2012, 1820, 65–75.

- 95. Albano, E.; Mottaran, G.; Occhino, E.; Reale, M.V. Review article: Role of oxidative stress in the progression of non-alcoholic steatosis. Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther. 2005, 22, 71–73.
- Khosla, U.M.; Zharikov, S.; Finch, J.L.; Nakagawa, T.; Roncal, C.; Mu, W.; Krotova, K.; Block, E.R.; Prabhakar, S.; Johnson, R.J. Hyperuricemia induces endothelial dysfunction. Kidney Int. 2005, 67, 1739–1742.
- 97. Oral; Sahin; Turker; Kocak Relationship Between Serum Uric Acid Levels and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Non-Obese Patients. Medicina 2019, 55, 600.
- 98. Wu, X.; Dong, L.; Lin, X.; Li, J. Relevance of the NLRP3 Inflammasome in the Pathogenesis of Chronic Liver Disease. Front. Immunol. 2017, 8, 1728.
- Dong, X.; Liu, H.; Chen, F.; Li, D.; Zhao, Y. MiR-214 Promotes the Alcohol-Induced Oxidative Stress via Down-Regulation of Glutathione Reductase and Cytochrome P450 Oxidoreductase in Liver Cells. Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res. 2014, 38, 68–77.
- 100. Lin, H.-Y.; Yang, Y.-L.; Wang, P.-W.; Wang, F.-S.; Huang, Y.-H. The Emerging Role of MicroRNAs in NAFLD: Highlight of MicroRNA-29a in Modulating Oxidative Stress, Inflammation, and Beyond. Cells 2020, 9, 1041.
- 101. Hannou, S.A.; Haslam, D.E.; McKeown, N.M.; Herman, M.A. Fructose metabolism and metabolic disease. J. Clin. Investig. 2018, 128, 545–555.
- 102. Nakagawa, T.; Lanaspa, M.A.; Millan, I.S.; Fini, M.; Rivard, C.J.; Sanchez-Lozada, L.G.; Andres-Hernando, A.; Tolan, D.R.; Johnson, R.J. Fructose contributes to the Warburg effect for cancer growth. Cancer Metab. 2020, 8, 16.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/35437