

Benefits of Insect Pollination in Brassicaceae

Subjects: [Entomology](#) | [Plant Sciences](#) | [Agriculture, Dairy & Animal Science](#)

Contributor: Francisco Rubén Badenes-Pérez

Cultivated Brassicaceae attract a wide variety of pollinators. In both self-compatible and self-incompatible crop species, meta-analysis indicates that seed yield (Y), siliques set (SQS), number of siliques/plant (NSQ), and the number of seeds/silique (NSSQ) increase when plants are insect-pollinated compared to when there is no insect pollination. The weight of seeds (WS), however, increased in self-incompatible species but not in self-compatible ones as a result of insect pollination. Overall, the percentage of studies showing a positive effect of insect pollination on yield parameters was higher in self-incompatible than in self-compatible species. It was shown that the ability of self-compatible species to reproduce does not fully compensate for the loss of yield benefits in the absence of insect pollination.

[breeding system](#)

[insect pollination](#)

[pollinators](#)

[yield](#)

1. Introduction

Pollinators are essential in food production and plant biodiversity conservation [\[1\]](#)[\[2\]](#)[\[3\]](#). More than 78% of angiosperm species are pollinator-dependent [\[4\]](#). This obligatory and facultative cross-pollination makes insect pollination essential, or at least a positive factor, in maximizing fertilization. Brassicaceae, as most angiosperms, are xenogamous and either require cross-pollination or can be facultatively cross-pollinated [\[5\]](#)[\[6\]](#)[\[7\]](#)[\[8\]](#). With a few exceptions, flowers in the family Brassicaceae have four sepals, four petals diagonally disposed as a cruciform corolla, two carpels, and six stamens arranged in a tetradynamous pattern (four longer inner ones and two shorter outer ones) [\[9\]](#)[\[10\]](#)[\[11\]](#). Except for one species in the genus *Lepidium* [\[12\]](#), plants in the family Brassicaceae have hermaphrodite flowers [\[13\]](#).

Plants in the family Brassicaceae attract a broad diversity of pollinators, including honeybees such as *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae), solitary bees, such as *Andrena* spp. (Hymenoptera: Andrenidae), and hoverflies, such as *Eristalis tenax* L. (Diptera: Syrphidae) [\[8\]](#)[\[14\]](#)[\[15\]](#). The family Brassicaceae includes many economically important species, some of which are widely used as vegetables, oils, condiments, or ornamental plants [\[16\]](#)[\[17\]](#). For example, oilseed rape *Brassica napus* L. subsp. *napus*, which is one of the most cultivated oilseed Brassicaceae, has seen the price of its seeds rise by more than 30% in the last three years [\[18\]](#). To increase crop yield and gross margins in *B. napus*, bee pollination can be more beneficial than pesticide applications [\[19\]](#). The potential benefit of pollination is most important in cruciferous crops in which the harvest consists of seeds and fruits (i.e., siliques). Among these are all oilseed Brassicaceae, the most important of which is rapeseed, also known as canola, *B. napus* [\[20\]](#). Other cruciferous oilseed crops include field mustard *Brassica rapa* L. subsp. *oleifera*, synonymous with *Brassica campestris*; Indian mustard *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern.; Ethiopian mustard

Brassica carinata A. Braun; camelina *Camelina sativa* L. (Crantz); radish *Raphanus sativus* (L.) Domin; and white mustard *Sinapis alba* L. These oilseed crops can be used for oil, biofuel, and/or lubricant production [21][22][23][24][25][26][27][28]. The seeds of *S. alba* are used for mustard elaboration, and the siliquae of *R. sativus* can be used as a vegetable (**Table 1**). Yield parameters in the family Brassicaceae are often measured by seed yield, but other yield parameters such as the number of siliquae/plant and seed oil content are also used [29][30][31].

Table 1. Most common use and breeding system in the cultivated crops of the family Brassicaceae included here. In self-compatible plants, both outcrossing and selfing occurs, while in self-incompatible ones, the main breeding system is outcrossing.

Plant	Most Common Names	Most Common Use	Main Breeding System	References on Breeding System
<i>Brassica carinata</i> A. Braun	Ethiopian mustard	Leaves, seeds for oil	Outcrossing and selfing	[32][33]
<i>Brassica juncea</i> (L.) Czern.	Brown mustard, Indian mustard	Leaves, seeds for oil	Outcrossing and selfing	[32][34]
<i>Brassica napus</i> L.	Rapeseed, canola	Seeds for oil	Outcrossing and selfing	[32][35]
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> L.	Cabbage, broccoli, cauliflower	Leaves, inflorescences	Outcrossing, self-incompatible	[33]
<i>Brassica rapa</i> L.	Turnip, field mustard	Leaves, root, seeds for oil	Outcrossing, self-incompatible	[32][34]
<i>Camelina sativa</i> L. (Crantz)	Camelina, German sesame	Seeds for oil, leaves	Outcrossing and selfing	[32][36]
<i>Eruca sativa</i> Mill.	Arugula, rucola	Leaves	Outcrossing, self-incompatible	[29]
<i>Raphanus sativus</i> (L.) Domin	Radish	Roots, seeds oil	Outcrossing, self-incompatible	[33]
<i>Sinapis alba</i> L.	White mustard	Seeds for table mustard, oil [37]	Outcrossing and selfing	[32][34]

examine the effect of insect pollination in yield parameters across the broad spectrum of cruciferous crops, nor have there been meta-analyses examining the effects of insect pollination on yield parameters separately for self-compatible and self-incompatible species. Self-incompatible Brassicaceae species typically have larger flowers than self-compatible ones in order to attract pollinators, with a significantly reduced seed set in the absence of pollinating agents [32][38]. Given the evolutionary advantage of selfing as a reproductive assurance when there is a paucity of pollinators [39], insect pollination is likely to have more marked positive effects on yield parameters in self-incompatible Brassicaceae species than in self-compatible ones.

2. Insect Pollination Effect on Yield Parameters in Cultivated Brassicaceae

Table 2 shows the crops for which the effect (increase, decrease, non-significant) of insect pollination on seed yield parameters was studied in the family Brassicaceae (reports from at least seven studies).

Table 2. Publications were consulted for the main yield parameters (a total of seven or more studies found) which were: seed yield measured as seed weight/(plant, area, or open flower) (Y); weight of seeds (1, 100, or 1000 seeds) (WS); number of siliquae/(plant or area) (SQS); number of seeds/(silique or open flower) (NSQ); siliques set (SQS); siliques length (SQL); number of seeds/(area, plant, or branch) (NSP); seed germination (G); and oil content of seeds (O). An increase, decrease, or neutral effect of insect pollination on yield parameters is shown in red, blue, or green, respectively. Studies included in the meta-analysis for at least one yield parameter are marked with two asterisks (**) in the Note column.



Plant Species	Yield Parameter								References	Note
	Y	WS	SQS	NSQ	NSSQ	SQL	NSP	G		
									[52]	Male-sterile line
									[53]	
									[54]	
									[55]	
									[56]	**
									[57]	**
									[58]	**
									[59]	
									[31]	
									[60]	
									[61]	**
									[62]	**
									[63]	Hybrid
									[63]	Non-hybrid
									[64]	**
	*	*							[65]	**
			*						[66]	
									[67]	
				*					[68]	**
					*				[69]	Hybrid
									[69]	Non-hybrid
									[70]	
									[71]	
									[72]	
									[73]	Hybrid

Plant Species	Yield Parameter								References	Note
	Y	WS	SQS	NSQ	NSSQ	SQL	NSP	G		
<i>B. oleracea</i>	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	Green	[73]	Non-hybrid
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[74]	
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[75]	
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[76]	**
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[77]	
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[78]	
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[79]	
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[80]	
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[81]	
	Red	White	Green	Red	Red	Red	White	White	[82]	
<i>B. rapa</i>	Red	White	[29]	**						
	Red	White	[83]							
	Red	White	[84]	Cabbage **						
	Red	White	[84]	Cauliflower **						
	Red	White	[85]							
	Red	White	[29]	**						
	Red	White	[86]	**						
	Red	White	[87]	**						
	Red	White	[88]							
	Red	White	[89]							
<i>B. napus</i>	Red	White	[90]							
	Red	White	[91]							
	Red	White	[92]							
	Red	White	[93]							
	Red	White	[94]	**						

Brassicaceae crops account for ~10% of world agricultural production, contributing to an estimated 15% of the value of the world agriculture production devoted to human food [1][139]. Other studies conducting meta-analysis have also shown the benefits of insect pollination for plant reproduction and yield in crops in general [140][141][142], in the plant species of particular natural habitats [143], and in particular crops, such as fava bean [144], oilseed rape [37], and

Plant Species	Yield Parameter								References	Note	
	Y	WS	SQS	NSQ	NSSQ	SQL	NSP	G	O		
<i>C. sativa</i>	[95]									**	from insect and self- compatible
<i>E. sativa</i>	[96]										and self- individual
<i>R. sativus</i>	[36]									**	advantage lost yield cies such urding the mpensate NSP and when the NSP can ttening of turity can
	[29]									**	
	[29]									**	
	[39]										
	[97]										
	[98]										
	[99]										
	[53][146]										
	[100]										
	[101]										
	[102]										
	[150]										
<i>S. alba</i>	[29]										variety of
	[103]										
	[140][151][152][153]										

pollinators attracted to flowers of cultivated Brassicaceae, honeybees, *A. mellifera* and other *Apis* spp., seem to be the dominant reported species. However, other Apidae, such as bumblebees, mining bees (Andrenidae), sweat bees (Halictidae) and hoverflies (Syrphidae) are also commonly reported as pollinators of these crops. Since *A. mellifera* is often the most common floral visitor, the higher frequency of visits can make it a more effective pollinator than other less abundant pollinators that visit flowers from [150][151][152][153].

4. Insect Pollinators of Crops of the Family Brassicaceae

such as Lepidoptera, were not among the most abundant pollinators found in the studies reviewed. However, The main pollinators reported for these crops are shown in Table 3, with the top pollinators for all of them being lepidopterans such as *Plebs* spp. (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) were sometimes reported among less common honeybees (*Apis* spp.) such as *A. mellifera*, *A. cerana*, *A. dorsata* and *A. florea* and mining bees (Andrenidae). Additional pollinators often reported for these crops are other Apidae (other than *Apis* spp.), such as bumblebees (*Bombus* spp.), sweat bees (Halictidae) and hoverflies (Syrphidae) for *Brassica napus* other Apidae and Syrphidae for *Brassica oleracea*; halictids and syrphids for *Brassica rapa*; Syrphidae, Halictidae and other Apidae for *Brassica oleracea* and *Brassica rapa*; pollination by other Apidae and Syrphidae for *Fagopyrum* and [67][141][171][154][155] Syrphidae, *Apa* [94][106] and *Apis* spp. for *Brassica oleracea*; single visit pollination has been shown to be the highest for *Bombus* spp. and *Andrenidae*, and *Apis mellifera* with median pollen grain depositions of 341, 335, and 202 respectively [104][99][156]. Single visit efficiency, in terms of the number of seeds/silique produced was highest for *Halictus* and *Apis* spp. [57]. Applications of pesticides have been shown to reduce the number of visits between conventional pollinators and hybrid varieties [105], but bee abundance [160][161][162] could also be implicated in the very low yield of Brassicaceae crops compared to genetically modified Roundup Ready plants [106]. The pollen of *Brassica napus* is also given by commercial pollen grain deposit [163][164]. A single site of trap inserted a flower was highest for *Bombus terrestris* [15][107]. Additionally, *Apis mellifera* [165] the abundance and numbers of nests makes some insects more effective pollinators than others.

Because this is a *Adamic life* for visitors [96][107][120], the most compatible flowers visit by bees are considered so there is no effect on pollination rates because of the pollen loss that occurs [121]. Flowers less often [15]. However, one or two bee flower visits may be sufficient to achieve a full seed set in *B. rapa* flowers [108][109].

In conclusion, it is shown that insect pollination has a positive effect on Y, SQS, NSQ, and NSSQ in both self-incompatible and self-compatible species of the family Brassicaceae. Abbreviations for pollinators follow: Apidae (A), other families (OA), *Andrenidae* (An), *Colletidae* (C), *Corynuridae* (Co), *Colletidae* (Coll), *Halictidae* (H), *Homoptera* (Mu), *Leptochilidae* (L), *Microtergidae* (M), *Osmiidae* (O), *Phytolaccidae* (P), *Psithyrididae* (Ps), *Rufidae* (R), *Syrphidae* (Sy), *Tachinidae* (T), *Thysanidae* (Th), *Trichogrammatidae* (Tr), *Apidae* (B), *Colletidae* (F), *Halictidae* (St), *Leptochilidae* (Se), *Microtergidae* (S), *Osmiidae* (M), *Psithyrididae* (V), *Rufidae* (I), *Syrphidae* (T), *Thysanidae* (D), *Trichogrammatidae* (G). These abbreviations are used in the tables and figures. A review of insect pollinators in Brassicaceae from Australia (A), Bangladesh (B), Belgium (B), Brazil (Br), China (C), Denmark (D), Germany (G), Ireland (Ir), Nepal (N), New Zealand (NZ), Pakistan (P), Sweden (S), United Kingdom (UK), and United States of America (US).

Plant	Number of studies reporting main pollinators in a given family															Countries		References			
	A	OA	An	B	C	Co	Coll	E	F	H	M	Mu	P	S	Se	St	Sy	T	V		
<i>B. carinata</i>	3	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I, US	[29][33][40]		
<i>B. juncea</i>	14	4	4	-	-	1	-	-	1	3	1	2	-	-	1	-	3	-	B, I	[29][33][43] [45][47][49] [110][111] [112][113] [114][115] [116][117] [118]	
<i>B. napus</i>	12	8	4	-	1	-	-	1	-	2	1	1	2	-	-	-	4	-	Be, Br, C, F, G, I, Ir, UK, P, S	[60][29][31] [33][57][58] [119][120] [121][122] [123][124] [125]	
<i>B. oleracea</i>	8	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	I	[29][83][85] [126][127] [128][129] [130]		
<i>B. rapa</i>	11	5	3	-	-	1	2	-	-	3	1	-	-	2	-	1	7	1	-	A, I, N, NZ, P	[15][29][33] [89][95][96] [107][108] [109][112] [131][132] [133][134]
<i>C. sativa</i>	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	Be, G, US	[36][135][136] [137][138]		

8. Abrol, D.P. Pollination Biology: Biodiversity Conservation and Agricultural Production; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2012; ISBN 978-94-007-1941-5.
9. Hall, J.C.; Sytsma, K.J.; Iltis, H.H. Phylogeny of Capparaceae and Brassicaceae Based on Chloroplast Sequence Data. Am. J. Bot. 2002, 89, 1826–1842.

1	Plant	Number of studies reporting main pollinators in a given family															Countries	References	a			
		A	OA	An	B	C	Co	Coll	E	F	H	M	Mu	P	S	Se	St	Sy	T	V		
	<i>E. sativa</i>	3	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	I, P	[29][33][133]	
1	<i>R. sativus</i>	4	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	I, P	[29][33][99] [100]	
1	<i>S. alba</i>	2	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I	[29][33]	
1	Total	59	19	22	1	1	2	2	1	1	13	3	3	2	2	1	1	20	1	1		

13. Rea, A.C.; Nasrallah, J.B. Self-Incompatibility Systems: Barriers to Self-Fertilization in Flowering Plants. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* 2008, 52, 627–636.
14. Badenes-Pérez, F.R.; Bhardwaj, T.; Thakur, R.K. Integrated Pest Management and Pollination Services in Brassica Oilseed Crops. In Integrated Management of Insect Pests on Canola and Other Brassica Oilseed Crops; Reddy, G.V.P., Ed.; CABI: Wallingford, UK, 2017; pp. 341–349. ISBN 978-1-78064-820-0.
15. Rader, R.; Howlett, B.G.; Cunningham, S.A.; Westcott, D.A.; Newstrom-Lloyd, L.E.; Walker, M.K.; Teulon, D.A.J.; Edwards, W. Alternative Pollinator Taxa Are Equally Efficient but Not as Effective as the Honeybee in a Mass Flowering Crop. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2009, 46, 1080–1087.
16. Al-Shehbaz, I.A. Brassicaceae (Mustard Family). In eLS; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2011; pp. 482–486.
17. Warwick, S.I. Brassicaceae in Agriculture. In Genetics and Genomics of the Brassicaceae; Schmidt, R., Bancroft, I., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2011; pp. 33–65. ISBN 978-1-4419-7118-0.
18. Wilson, C.; Golden, D.; Hubbs, T. Oil Crops Outlook: March 2021. 2021. Available online: <https://downloads.usda.library.cornell.edu/usda-esmis/files/j098zb08p/t722j539s/g445d881s/OCS21e.pdf> (accessed on 1 March 2022).
19. Catarino, R.; Bretagnolle, V.; Perrot, T.; Vialoux, F.; Gaba, S. Bee Pollination Outperforms Pesticides for Oilseed Crop Production and Profitability. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2019, 286, 20191550.
20. Wittkop, B.; Snowdon, R.J.; Friedt, W. Status and Perspectives of Breeding for Enhanced Yield and Quality of Oilseed Crops for Europe. *Euphytica* 2009, 170, 131.
21. Moser, B.R.; Winkler-Moser, J.K.; Shah, S.N.; Vaughn, S.F. Composition and Physical Properties of Arugula, Shepherd's Purse, and Upland Cress Oils. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2010, 112, 734–740.
22. Shonnard, D.R.; Williams, L.; Kalnes, T.N. Camelina-Derived Jet Fuel and Diesel: Sustainable Advanced Biofuels. *Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy* 2010, 29, 382–392.

23. Chammoun, N.; Geller, D.P.; Das, K.C. Fuel Properties, Performance Testing and Economic Feasibility of *Raphanus Sativus* (Oilseed Radish) Biodiesel. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2013, 45, 155–159.
24. Del Gatto, A.; Melilli, M.G.; Raccuia, S.A.; Pieri, S.; Mangoni, L.; Pacifico, D.; Signor, M.; Duca, D.; Pedretti, E.F.; Mengarelli, C. A Comparative Study of Oilseed Crops (*Brassica Napus* L. Subsp. *Oleifera* and *Brassica Carinata* A. Braun) in the Biodiesel Production Chain and Their Adaptability to Different Italian Areas. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2015, 75, 98–107.
25. McVetty, P.B.E.; Duncan, R.W. Canola, Rapeseed, and Mustard: For Biofuels and Bioproducts. In *Industrial Crops: Breeding for BioEnergy and Bioproducts*; Cruz, V.M.V., Dierig, D.A., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2015; pp. 133–156. ISBN 978-1-4939-1447-0.
26. Hossain, Z.; Johnson, E.N.; Wang, L.; Blackshaw, R.E.; Gan, Y. Comparative Analysis of Oil and Protein Content and Seed Yield of Five Brassicaceae Oilseeds on the Canadian Prairie. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2019, 136, 77–86.
27. Gesch, R.W.; Long, D.S.; Palmquist, D.; Allen, B.L.; Archer, D.W.; Brown, J.; Davis, J.B.; Hatfield, J.L.; Jabro, J.D.; Kiniry, J.R.; et al. Agronomic Performance of Brassicaceae Oilseeds in Multiple Environments across the Western USA. *Bioenerg. Res.* 2019, 12, 509–523.
28. Mitrović, P.M.; Stamenković, O.S.; Banković-Ilić, I.; Djalović, I.G.; Nježić, Z.B.; Farooq, M.; Siddique, K.H.M.; Veljković, V.B. White Mustard (*Sinapis Alba* L.) Oil in Biodiesel Production: A Review. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2020, 11, 299.
29. Sihag, R.C. Insect Pollination Increases Seed Production in Cruciferous and Umbelliferous Crops. *J. Apic. Res.* 1986, 25, 121–126.
30. Abrol, D.P. Honeybees and Rapeseed: A Pollinator–Plant Interaction. In *Advances in Botanical Research*; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2007; Volume 45, pp. 337–367. ISBN 0065-2296.
31. Bommarco, R.; Marini, L.; Vaissière, B.E. Insect Pollination Enhances Seed Yield, Quality, and Market Value in Oilseed Rape. *Oecologia* 2012, 169, 1025–1032.
32. Salisbury, P.A.; Fripp, Y.J.; Gurung, A.M.; Williams, W.M. Is Floral Structure a Reliable Indicator of Breeding System in the Brassicaceae? *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0174176.
33. Sihag, R.C. Characterization of the Pollinators of Cultivated Cruciferous and Leguminous Crops of Sub-Tropical Hissar, India. *Bee World* 1988, 69, 153–158.
34. Snell, R.; Aarsen, L.W. Life History Traits in Selfing versus Outcrossing Annuals: Exploring the “time-Limitation” Hypothesis for the Fitness Benefit of Self-Pollination. *BMC Ecol.* 2005, 5, 2.
35. Williams, I.H.; Martin, A.P.; White, R.P. The Pollination Requirements of Oil-Seed Rape (*Brassica Napus* L.). *J. Agric. Sci.* 1986, 106, 27–30.

36. Groeneveld, J.H.; Klein, A.-M. Pollination of Two Oil-Producing Plant Species: *Camelina* (*Camelina Sativa* L. Crantz) and *Pennycress* (*Thlaspi Arvense* L.) Double-Cropping in Germany. *GCB Bioenergy* 2014, 6, 242–251.
37. Woodcock, B.A.; Garratt, M.P.D.; Powney, G.D.; Shaw, R.F.; Osborne, J.L.; Soroka, J.; Lindström, S.A.M.; Stanley, D.; Ouvrard, P.; Edwards, M.E.; et al. Meta-Analysis Reveals That Pollinator Functional Diversity and Abundance Enhance Crop Pollination and Yield. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, 10, 1481.
38. Bateman, A.J. Self-Incompatibility Systems in Angiosperms. 3. Cruciferae. *Heredity* 1955, 9, 53–68.
39. Holsinger, K.E.; Steinbachs, J.E. Mating Systems and Evolution in Flowering Plants. In *Evolution and Diversification of Land Plants*; Iwatsuki, K., Raven, P.H., Eds.; Springer: Tokyo, Japan, 1997; pp. 223–248. ISBN 978-4-431-65918-1.
40. Stiles, S.; Lundgren, J.G.; Fenster, C.B.; Nottebrock, H. Maximizing Ecosystem Services to the Oil Crop *Brassica Carinata* through Landscape Heterogeneity and Arthropod Diversity. *Ecosphere* 2021, 12, e03624.
41. Prasad, D.; Hameed, S.F.; Singh, R.; Yazdani, S.S.; Singh, B. Effect of Bee Pollination on the Quantity and Quality of Rai Crop (*Brassica Juncea* Coss). *Indian Bee J.* 1989, 51, 45–47.
42. Chand, H.; Singh, B. Effect of Pollination by *Apis Cerana* Fabr. on Yield of Mustard, *Brassica Juncea*. *Indian Bee J.* 1995, 57, 173–174.
43. Mahindru, N.; Singh, G.; Grewal, G.S. Comparative Abundance and Foraging Behaviour of Insect Pollinators of Raya, *Brassica Juncea* L. and Role of *Apis Mellifera* L. in Crop Pollination. *J. Insect Sci.* 1998, 11, 34–37.
44. Goswami, V.; Khan, M.S. Impact of Honey Bee Pollination on Pod Set of Mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.: Cruciferae) at Pan Nagar. *Bioscan* 2014, 9, 75–78.
45. Maity, A.; Chakrabarty, S.K.; Yadav, J.B. Foraging Behaviour of Honeybees (*Apis* Spp.) (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in Hybrid Seed Production of Indian Mustard (*Brassica Juncea*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 2014, 84, 1389–1394.
46. Nagpal, K.; Yadav, S.; Kumar, Y.; Singh, R. Effect of Pollination Modes on Yield Components in Indian Mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.). *J. Oilseed Brassica* 2017, 8, 187–194.
47. Devi, M.; Sharma, H.; Thakur, R.K.; Bhardwaj, S.; Rana, K.; Thakur, M.; Ram, B. Diversity of Insect Pollinators in Reference to Seed Set of Mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.). *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 2017, 6, 2131–2144.
48. Devi, M.; Sharma, H.K. Effect of Different Modes of Pollination on Seed Set of Mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.) Sown on Different Sowing Dates. *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* 2018, 6, 1889–1893.

49. Mandal, E.; Amin, M.R.; Rahman, H.; Akanda, A.M. Abundance and Foraging Behavior of Native Insect Pollinators and Their Effect on Mustard (*Brassica Juncea L.*). *Bangladesh J. Zool.* 2018, 46, 117–123.
50. Mahadik, P.B.; Kulkarni, S.R.; Manchare, R.R. Impact of Honey Bees as a Pollinators on Seed Production of Mustard (*Brassica Juncea L.*). *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* 2019, 7, 1380–1383.
51. Mussury, R.M.; Fernandes, W.D. Studies of the Floral Biology and Reproductive System of *Brassica Napus L.* (Cruciferae). *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* 2000, 43, 111–117.
52. Steffan-Dewenter, I. Seed Set of Male-Sterile and Male-Fertile Oilseed Rape (*Brassica Napus*) in Relation to Pollinator Density. *Apidologie* 2003, 34, 227–235.
53. Manning, R.; Wallis, I.R. Seed Yields in Canola (*Brassica Napus Cv. Karoo*) Depend on the Distance of Plants from Honeybee Apiaries. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 2005, 45, 1307–1313.
54. Sabbahi, R.; De Oliveira, D.; Marceau, J. Influence of Honey Bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Density on the Production of Canola (Crucifera: Brassicaceae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 2005, 98, 367–372.
55. Jauker, F.; Wolters, V. Hover Flies Are Efficient Pollinators of Oilseed Rape. *Oecologia* 2008, 156, 819–823.
56. Araneda Durán, X.; Breve Ulloa, R.; Aguilera Carrillo, J.; Lavín Contreras, J.; Toneatti Bastidas, M. Evaluation of Yield Component Traits of Honeybee-Pollinated (*Apis Mellifera L.*) Rapeseed Canola (*Brassica Napus L.*). *Chil. J. Agric. Res.* 2010, 70, 309–314.
57. Ali, M.; Saeed, S.; Sajjad, A.; Whittington, A. In Search of the Best Pollinators for Canola (*Brassica Napus L.*) Production in Pakistan. *Appl. Entomol. Zool.* 2011, 46, 353–361.
58. De Souza Rosa, A.; Blochtein, B.; Lima, D.K. Honey Bee Contribution to Canola Pollination in Southern Brazil. *Sci. Agric.* 2011, 68, 255–259.
59. Jauker, F.; Bondarenko, B.; Becker, H.C.; Steffan-Dewenter, I. Pollination Efficiency of Wild Bees and Hoverflies Provided to Oilseed Rape. *Agric. For. Entomol.* 2012, 14, 81–87.
60. Stanley, D.; Gunning, D.; Stout, J. Pollinators and Pollination of Oilseed Rape Crops (*Brassica Napus L.*) in Ireland: Ecological and Economic Incentives for Pollinator Conservation. *J. Insect. Conserv.* 2013, 17, 1181–1189.
61. Shakeel, M.; Inayatullah, M. Impact of Insect Pollinators on the Yield of Canola (*Brassica Napus*) in Peshawar, Pakistan. *J. Agric. Urban Entomol.* 2013, 29, 1–5.
62. Nedić, N.; Mačukanović-Jocić, M.; Rančić, D.; Rørslett, B.; Šoštarić, I.; Stevanović, Z.D.; Mladenović, M. Melliferous Potential of *Brassica Napus L.* Subsp. *Napus* (Cruciferae). *Arthropod-Plant Interact.* 2013, 7, 323–333.

63. Hudewenz, A.; Pufal, G.; Bogeholz, A.L.; Klein, A.M. Cross-Pollination Benefits Differ among Oilseed Rape Varieties. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2014, 152, 770–778.
64. Garratt, M.P.D.; Coston, D.J.; Truslove, C.L.; Lappage, M.G.; Polce, C.; Dean, R.; Biesmeijer, J.C.; Potts, S.G. The Identity of Crop Pollinators Helps Target Conservation for Improved Ecosystem Services. *Biol. Conserv.* 2014, 169, 128–135.
65. Chambó, E.D.; De Oliveira, N.T.E.; Garcia, R.C.; Duarte-Júnior, J.B.; Ruvolo-Takasusuki, M.C.C.; Toledo, V.A. Pollination of Rapeseed (*Brassica Napus*) by Africanized Honeybees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on Two Sowing Dates. *An. Da Acad. Bras. De Cienc.* 2014, 86, 2087–2100.
66. Blochtein, B.; Nunes-Silva, P.; Halinski, R.; Lopes, L.; Witter, S. Comparative Study of the Floral Biology and of the Response of Productivity to Insect Visitation in Two Rapeseed Cultivars (*Brassica Napus* L.) in Rio Grande Do Sul. *Braz. J. Biol.* 2014, 74, 787–794.
67. Bartomeus, I.; Gagic, V.; Bommarco, R. Pollinators, Pests and Soil Properties Interactively Shape Oilseed Rape Yield. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 2015, 16, 737–745.
68. Witter, S.; Nunes-Silva, P.; Lisboa, B.B.; Tirelli, F.P.; Sattler, A.; Hilgert-Moreira, S.B.; Blochtein, B. Stingless Bees as Alternative Pollinators of Canola. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 2015, 108, 880–886.
69. Marini, L.; Tamburini, G.; Petrucco-Toffolo, E.; Lindström, S.A.M.; Zanetti, F.; Mosca, G.; Bommarco, R. Crop Management Modifies the Benefits of Insect Pollination in Oilseed Rape. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2015, 207, 61–66.
70. Kamel, S.M.; Mahfouz, H.M.; Blal, A.E.-F.H.; Said, M.; Mahmoud, M.F. Diversity of Insect Pollinators with Reference to Their Impact on Yield Production of Canola (*Brassica Napus* L.) in Ismailia, Egypt. *Pestic. I Fitomedicina* 2015, 30, 161–168.
71. Sutter, L.; Albrecht, M. Synergistic Interactions of Ecosystem Services: Florivorous Pest Control Boosts Crop Yield Increase through Insect Pollination. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2016, 283, 1–8.
72. Samnegård, U.; Hambäck, P.A.; Lemessa, D.; Nemomissa, S.; Hylander, K. A Heterogeneous Landscape Does Not Guarantee High Crop Pollination. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2016, 283, 20161472.
73. Lindström, S.A.M.; Herbertsson, L.; Rundlöf, M.; Smith, H.G.; Bommarco, R. Large-Scale Pollination Experiment Demonstrates the Importance of Insect Pollination in Winter Oilseed Rape. *Oecologia* 2016, 180, 759–769.
74. van Gils, S.; van der Putten, W.H.; Kleijn, D. Can Above-Ground Ecosystem Services Compensate for Reduced Fertilizer Input and Soil Organic Matter in Annual Crops? *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2016, 53, 1186–1194.
75. Zou, Y.; Xiao, H.; Bianchi, F.J.J.A.; Jauker, F.; Luo, S.; van der Werf, W. Wild Pollinators Enhance Oilseed Rape Yield in Small-Holder Farming Systems in China. *BMC Ecol.* 2017, 17, 6.

76. Fuzaro, L.; Xavier, N.L.; Carvalho, F.J.; Silva, F.A.N.; Carvalho, S.M.; Andaló, V. Influence of Pollination on Canola Seed Production in the Cerrado of Uberlândia, Minas Gerais State, Brazil. *Acta Scientiarum. Agron.* 2018, 40, e39315.
77. Garratt, M.P.D.; Bishop, J.; Degani, E.; Potts, S.G.; Shaw, R.F.; Shi, A.; Roy, S. Insect Pollination as an Agronomic Input: Strategies for Oilseed Rape Production. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2018, 55, 2834–2842.
78. Perrot, T.; Gaba, S.; Roncoroni, M.; Gautier, J.-L.; Bretagnolle, V. Bees Increase Oilseed Rape Yield under Real Field Conditions. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2018, 266, 39–48.
79. Adamidis, G.C.; Cartar, R.V.; Melathopoulos, A.P.; Pernal, S.F.; Hoover, S.E. Pollinators Enhance Crop Yield and Shorten the Growing Season by Modulating Plant Functional Characteristics: A Comparison of 23 Canola Varieties. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 14208.
80. Mazzilli, S.R.; Abbate, S.; Silva, H.; Mendoza, Y. Apis Mellifera Visitation Enhances Productivity in Rapeseed. *J. Apic. Res.* 2020, 1–9.
81. Varma, S.K.; Joshi, N.K. Studies on the Role of Honey Bees in the Pollination of Cauliflower (*Brassica Oleracea* Var. *Botrytis*). *Indian Bee J.* 1983, 45, 52–53.
82. Tewari, G.N.; Singh, K. Studies on Insect Pollinators in Relation to Seed Production in Cauliflower (*Brassica Oleracea* Var. *Botrytis* L.). *Indian Bee J.* 1983, 54–55.
83. Kumar, J.; Gupta, J.K.; Mishra, R.C.; Dogra, G.S. Pollination Studies in Some Cultivars of Cauliflower (*Brassica Oleracea* Var. *Botrytis* L.). *Indian Bee J.* 1988, 50, 93–95.
84. Verma, L.R.; Partap, U. Foraging Behaviour of *Apis Cerana* on Cauliflower and Cabbage and Its Impact on Seed Production. *J. Apic. Res.* 1994, 33, 231–236.
85. Sharma, D.; Abrol, D.P.; Kumar, M.; Singh, S.K.; Singh, P.K. Pollinator Diversity and Its Impact on Cauliflower (*Brassica Campestris* Var. *Botrytis*) Pollination. *Ann. Agri Bio Res.* 2013, 18, 383–385.
86. Mishra, R.C.; Kumar, J.; Gupta, J.K. The Effect of Mode of Pollination on Yield and Oil Potential of *Brassica Campestris* L. Var. Sarson with Observations on Insect Pollinators. *J. Apic. Res.* 1988, 27, 186–189.
87. Singh, R.P.; Singh, P.N. Impact of Bee Pollination on Seed Yield, Carbohydrate Composition and Lipid Composition of Mustard Seed. *J. Apic. Res.* 1992, 31, 128–133.
88. Khan, B.M.; Chaudhry, M.I. Comparative Assessment of Honeybees and Other Insects with Self-Pollination of Sarson (*Brassica Campestris*) in Peshawar. In *The Asiatic Hive Bee: Apiculture, Biology and Role in Sustainable Development in Tropical and Subtropical Asia*; Kevan, P.G., Ed.; Enviroquest Ltd.: Dresden, ON, Canada, 1995; pp. 147–150.
89. Atmowidi, T.; Buchori, D.; Manuwoto, S.; Suryobroto, B.; Hidayat, P. Diversity of Pollinator Insects in Relation to Seed Set of Mustard (*Brassica Rapa* L.: Cruciferae). *HAYATI J. Biosci.* 2007, 14,

- 155–161.
90. Tara, J.S.; Sharma, P. Role of Honeybees and Other Insects in Enhancing the Yield of *Brassica Campestris* Var. Sarson. *Halteres* 2010, 1, 35–37.
91. Pudasaini, R.; Thapa, R.; Poudel, P. Effect of Pollination on Qualitative Characteristics of Rapeseed (*Brassica Campestris* L. Var. Toria) Seed in Chitwan, Nepal. *Int. J. Biol. Food Vet. Agric. Eng.* 2014, 8, 1278–1281.
92. Pudasaini, R.; Thapa, R.B. Effect of Pollination on Rapeseed (*Brassica Campestris* L. Var. Toria) Production in Chitwan, Nepal. *J. Agric. Environ.* 2014, 15, 41–45.
93. Sharma, D.; Abrol, D.P. Effect of Insecticides on Foraging Behaviour and Pollination Role of *Apis Mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on Toria (*Brassica Campestris* Var. Toria) Crop. *Egypt. J. Biol.* 2014, 16, 79–86.
94. Toivonen, M.; Herzon, I.; Rajanen, H.; Toikkanen, J.; Kuussaari, M. Late Flowering Time Enhances Insect Pollination of Turnip Rape. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2019, 56, 1164–1175.
95. Subedi, N.; Subedi, I.P. Pollinator Insects and Their Impact on Crop Yield of Mustard in Kusma, Parbat, Nepal. *J. Inst. Sci. Technol.* 2019, 24, 68–75.
96. Devkota, K.; dos Santos, C.F.; Blochtein, B. Higher Richness and Abundance of Flower-Visiting Insects Close to Natural Vegetation Provide Contrasting Effects on Mustard Yields. *J. Insect. Conserv.* 2021, 25, 1–11.
97. Partap, U.; Verma, L.R. Pollination of Radish by *Apis Cerana*. *J. Apic. Res.* 1994, 33, 237–241.
98. Verma, S.K.; Phogat, K.P.S. Impact of Pollination by Honeybee (*Apis Cerana Indica*) on the Yield Gain of Radish under Valley Conditions of Himalayan Hills of U. P. (India). *Indian Bee J.* 1994, 56, 183–186.
99. Priti; Mishra, R.C.; Sihag, R.C. Role of Insect Pollination in Seed Production of Radish (*Raphanus Sativus* L.). *Seed Res.* 2001, 29, 231–234.
100. Kapila, R.K.; Singh, H.B.; Sharma, J.K.; Lata, S.; Thakur, S.P. Effect of Insect Pollinators on Seed Yield and Its Quality in Radish (*Raphanus Sativus* L.). *Seed Res.* 2002, 30, 142–145.
101. Chandrashekhar, G.S.; Sattigi, H.N. Influence of Bee Attractants on Bee Pollination on Seed Quality and Yield in Radish. *Karnataka J. Agric. Sci.* 2009, 22, 777–780.
102. Jakhar, P.; Kumar, Y.; Ombir; Janu, A.; Kaushik, P. Effect of Different Modes of Pollination on Quantitative and Qualitative Parameters of Radish Seed Crop. *Trends Biosci.* 2014, 7, 4041–4044.
103. Gibson-Forty, E.V.J.; Tielbörger, K.; Seifan, M. Equivocal Evidence for a Change in Balance between Selfing and Pollinator-Mediated Reproduction in Annual Brassicaceae Growing along a

- Rainfall Gradient. *J. Syst. Evol.* 2022, 60, 196–207.
104. Phillips, B.B.; Williams, A.; Osborne, J.L.; Shaw, R.F. Shared Traits Make Flies and Bees Effective Pollinators of Oilseed Rape (*Brassica Napus L.*). *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 2018, 32, 66–76.
105. Kazda, J.; Bokšová, A.; Stejskalová, M.; Šubrt, T.; Bartoška, J.; Vlažný, P. The Factors Influencing the Pollinators Visitation of the Oilseed Rape Cultivars. *Plant Soil Environ.* 2019, 65, 574–580.
106. Morandin, L.A.; Winston, M.L. Wild Bee Abundance and Seed Production in Conventional, Organic, and Genetically Modified Canola. *Ecol. Appl.* 2005, 15, 871–881.
107. Chatterjee, A.; Chatterjee, S.; Smith, B.; Cresswell, J.E.; Basu, P. Predicted Thresholds for Natural Vegetation Cover to Safeguard Pollinator Services in Agricultural Landscapes. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2020, 290, 106785.
108. Stanley, J.; Sah, K.; Subbanna, A.R.N.S. How Efficient Is the Asian Honey Bee, *Apis Cerana* in Pollinating Mustard, *Brassica Campestris* Var. *Toria*? Pollination Behavior, Pollinator Efficiency, Pollinator Requirements and Impact of Pollination. *J. Apic. Res.* 2017, 56, 439–451.
109. Sihag, R.C. Some Unresolved Issues of Measuring the Efficiency of Pollinators: Experimental Testing and Assessing the Predictive Power of Different Methods. *Int. J. Ecol.* 2018, 2018, 3904973.
110. Prasad, D.; Hameed, S.P.; Singh, R.; Singh, B. Foraging Behaviour of Insect Pollinators on Brown Mustard, *Brassica Juncea* in Bihar, India. *Indian Bee J.* 1989, 51, 131–133.
111. Chand, H.; Singh, R.; Hameed, S.F. Population Dynamics of Honeybees and Insect Pollinators on Indian Mustard, *Brassica Juncea* L. *J. Entomol. Res.* 1994, 18, 233–239.
112. Chaudhary, O.P. Abundance of Wild Pollinators on Rapeseed and Mustard. *Insect Environ.* 2001, 7, 141–142.
113. Bhowmik, K.B.; Mitra, B.; Bhadra, K. Diversity of Insect Pollinators and Their Effect on the Crop Yield of *Brassica Juncea* L., NPJ-93, from Southern West Bengal. *Int. J. Recent Sci. Res.* 2014, 5, 1207–1213.
114. Goswami, V.; Khan, M.S.; Srivastava, P. Association of Different Insect Pollinators and Their Relative Abundance on Blossoms of Mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.). *Environ. Ecol.* 2014, 32, 368–371.
115. Kunjwal, N.; Kumar, Y.; Khan, M.S. Flower-Visiting Insect Pollinators of Brown Mustard, *Brassica Juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss and Their Foraging Behaviour under Caged and Open Pollination. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 2014, 9, 1278–1286.
116. Kumari, S.; Chhuneja, P.K.; Singh, J.; Choudhary, A. Relative Abundance and Diversity of Insects on *Brassica Juncea* L. Czern under North-Western Plains of India. *J. Exp. Zool. India* 2015, 18, 165–171.

117. Das, R.; Jha, S. Record of Insect Pollinators and Their Abundance on Indian Mustard (*Brassica Juncea L.*) in New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal. *Int. J. Pure Appl. Biosci.* 2018, 6, 848–853.
118. Giri, S.; Chandra, U.; Jaiswal, R.; Singh, G.; Gautam, M.P. Study the Abundance of Insect Pollinators/Visitors in Rapeseed-Mustard (*Brassica Juncea L.*). *J. Entomol. Zool. Stud.* 2018, 6, 2563–2567.
119. Woodcock, B.A.; Edwards, M.; Redhead, J.; Meek, W.R.; Nuttall, P.; Falk, S.; Nowakowski, M.; Pywell, R.F. Crop Flower Visitation by Honeybees, Bumblebees and Solitary Bees: Behavioural Differences and Diversity Responses to Landscape. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2013, 171, 1–8.
120. Bailey, S.; Requier, F.; Nusillard, B.; Roberts, S.P.M.; Potts, S.G.; Bouget, C. Distance from Forest Edge Affects Bee Pollinators in Oilseed Rape Fields. *Ecol. Evol.* 2014, 4, 370–380.
121. Riedinger, V.; Mitesser, O.; Hovestadt, T.; Steffan-Dewenter, I.; Holzschuh, A. Annual Dynamics of Wild Bee Densities: Attractiveness and Productivity Effects of Oilseed Rape. *Ecology* 2015, 96, 1351–1360.
122. Ouvrard, P.; Quinet, M.; Jacquemart, A.-L. Breeding System and Pollination Biology of Belgian Oilseed Rape Cultivars (*Brassica Napus*). *Crop Sci.* 2017, 57, 1455–1463.
123. Zou, Y.; Bianchi, F.; Jauker, F.; Xiao, H.J.; Chen, J.H.; Cresswell, J.; Luo, S.D.; Huang, J.K.; Deng, X.Z.; Hou, L.L.; et al. Landscape Effects on Pollinator Communities and Pollination Services in Small-Holder Agroecosystems. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2017, 246, 109–116.
124. Akhtar, T.; Aziz, M.A.; Naeem, M.; Ahmed, M.S.; Bodlah, I. Diversity and Relative Abundance of Pollinator Fauna of Canola (*Brassica Napus L. Var Chakwal Sarsoon*) with Managed *Apis Mellifera L.* in Pothwar Region, Gujar Khan, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Zool.* 2018, 50, 567–573.
125. Fuzaro, L.; Andaló, V.; Carvalho, S.M.; Silva, F.A.N.; Carvalho, F.J.; Rabelo, L.S. Floral Visitors of Canola (*Brassica Napus L.*) Hybrids in Cerrado Mineiro Region, Brazil. *Arq. Do Inst. Biológico* 2019, 86, e1312018.
126. Sinha, S.N.; Chakrabarty, A.K. Studies on Pollination by Honeybees on Early Cauliflower and Its Effects on Seed Yield and Quality. *Seed Res.* 1985, 13, 115–119.
127. Priti; Sihag, R.C. Diversity, Visitation Frequency, Foraging Behaviour and Pollinating Efficiency of Insect Pollinators Visiting Cauliflower (*Brassica Oleracea L. Var. Botrytis Cv. Hazipur Local*) Blossoms. *Indian Bee J.* 1997, 59, 230–237.
128. Rana, V.K.; Kapoor, K.S.; Raj, D. Comparative Pollinating Activities of *Apis Cerana Indica F.* and *Apis Mellifera L.* on Cauliflower (*Brassica Oleracea Var. Botrytis*). *J. Entomol. Res.* 1999, 23, 141–148.
129. Selvakumar, P.; Sinha, S.N.; Pandita, V.K. Abundance and Diurnal Rhythm of Honeybees Visiting Hybrid Seed Production Plots of Cauliflower (*Brassica Oleracea Var. Botrytis L.*). *J. Apic. Res.*

2006, 45, 7–15.

130. Srivastava, K.; Sharma, D.; Singh, S.; Ahmad, H. Foraging Behaviour of Honeybees in Seed Production of *Brassica Oleracea* Var. *Italica* Plenck. *Bangladesh J. Bot.* 2017, 46, 675–681.
131. Rader, R.; Howlett, B.G.; Cunningham, S.A.; Westcott, D.A.; Edwards, W. Spatial and Temporal Variation in Pollinator Effectiveness: Do Unmanaged Insects Provide Consistent Pollination Services to Mass Flowering Crops? *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2012, 49, 126–134.
132. Mesa, L.A.; Howlett, B.G.; Grant, J.E.; Didham, R.K. Changes in the Relative Abundance and Movement of Insect Pollinators during the Flowering Cycle of *Brassica Rapa* Crops: Implications for Gene Flow. *J. Insect Sci.* 2013, 13, 13.
133. Shakeel, M.; Ali, H.; Ahmad, S.; Said, F.; Khan, K.A.; Bashir, M.A.; Anjum, S.I.; Islam, W.; Ghramh, H.A.; Ansari, M.J.; et al. Insect Pollinators Diversity and Abundance in *Eruca Sativa* Mill. (Arugula) and *Brassica Rapa* L. (Field Mustard) Crops. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 2019, 26, 1704–1709.
134. Tasker, P.; Reid, C.; Young, A.D.; Threlfall, C.G.; Latty, T. If You Plant It, They Will Come: Quantifying Attractiveness of Exotic Plants for Winter-Active Flower Visitors in Community Gardens. *Urban Ecosyst.* 2020, 23, 345–354.
135. Eberle, C.A.; Thom, M.D.; Nemec, K.T.; Forcella, F.; Lundgren, J.G.; Gesch, R.W.; Riedell, W.E.; Papiernik, S.K.; Wagner, A.; Peterson, D.H.; et al. Using Pennycress, Camelina, and Canola Cash Cover Crops to Provision Pollinators. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 2015, 75, 20–25.
136. Thom, M.D.; Eberle, C.A.; Forcella, F.; Gesch, R.; Weyers, S.; Lundgren, J.G. Nectar Production in Oilseeds: Food for Pollinators in an Agricultural Landscape. *Crop Sci.* 2016, 56, 727–739.
137. Thom, M.D.; Eberle, C.A.; Forcella, F.; Gesch, R.; Weyers, S. Specialty Oilseed Crops Provide an Abundant Source of Pollen for Pollinators and Beneficial Insects. *J. Appl. Entomol.* 2018, 142, 211–222.
138. Amy, C.; Noël, G.; Hatt, S.; Uyttenbroeck, R.; Van De Meutter, F.; Genoud, D.; Francis, F. Flower Strips in Wheat Intercropping System: Effect on Pollinator Abundance and Diversity in Belgium. *Insects* 2018, 9, 114.
139. Gallai, N.; Salles, J.-M.; Settele, J.; Vaissière, B.E. Economic Valuation of the Vulnerability of World Agriculture Confronted with Pollinator Decline. *Ecol. Econ.* 2009, 68, 810–821.
140. Junqueira, C.N.; Pereira, R.A.S.; da Silva, R.C.; Alves Cardoso Kobal, R.O.; Araújo, T.N.; Prato, A.; Pedrosa, J.; Martínez-Martínez, C.A.; Castrillon, K.P.; Felício, D.T.; et al. Do *Apis* and Non-*Apis* Bees Provide a Similar Contribution to Crop Production with Different Levels of Pollination Dependency? A Review Using Meta-Analysis. *Ecol. Entomol.* 2021, 47, 76–83.
141. Rollin, O.; Garibaldi, L.A. Impacts of Honeybee Density on Crop Yield: A Meta-Analysis. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2019, 56, 1152–1163.

142. Page, M.L.; Nicholson, C.C.; Brennan, R.M.; Britzman, A.T.; Greer, J.; Hemberger, J.; Kahl, H.; Müller, U.; Peng, Y.; Rosenberger, N.M.; et al. A Meta-Analysis of Single Visit Pollination Effectiveness Comparing Honeybees and Other Floral Visitors. *Am. J. Bot.* 2021, 108, 2196–2207.
143. Wolowski, M.; Ashman, T.-L.; Freitas, L. Meta-Analysis of Pollen Limitation Reveals the Relevance of Pollination Generalization in the Atlantic Forest of Brazil. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e89498.
144. Bishop, J.; Nakagawa, S. Quantifying Crop Pollinator Dependence and Its Heterogeneity Using Multi-Level Meta-Analysis. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2021, 58, 1030–1042.
145. Cooley, H.; Vallejo-Marín, M. Buzz-Pollinated Crops: A Global Review and Meta-Analysis of the Effects of Supplemental Bee Pollination in Tomato. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 2021, 114, 505–519.
146. Westcott, L.; Nelson, D. Canola Pollination: An Update. *Bee World* 2001, 82, 115–129.
147. Adegas, J.E.B.; Nogueira Couto, R.H. Entomophilous Pollination in Rape (*Brassica Napus L* Var *Oleifera*) in Brazil. *Apidologie* 1992, 23, 203–209.
148. Sabbahi, R.; de Oliveira, D.; Marceau, J. Does the Honeybee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) Reduce the Blooming Period of Canola? *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 2006, 192, 233–237.
149. Mesquida, J.; Renard, M.; Pierre, J.-S. Rapeseed (*Brassica Napus*) Productivity: The Effect of Honeybees (*Apis Mellifera L.*) and Different Polination Conditions in Cage and Field Tests. *Apidologie* 1988, 19, 51–72.
150. Habekotté, B. Options for Increasing Seed Yield of Winter Oilseed Rape (*Brassica Napus L.*): A Simulation Study. *Field Crops Res.* 1997, 54, 109–126.
151. Garibaldi, L.A.; Steffan-Dewenter, I.; Winfree, R.; Aizen, M.A.; Bommarco, R.; Cunningham, S.A.; Kremen, C.; Carvalheiro, L.G.; Harder, L.D.; Afik, O.; et al. Wild Pollinators Enhance Fruit Set of Crops Regardless of Honey Bee Abundance. *Science* 2013, 339, 1608–1611.
152. Rader, R.; Bartomeus, I.; Garibaldi, L.A.; Garratt, M.P.D.; Howlett, B.G.; Winfree, R.; Cunningham, S.A.; Mayfield, M.M.; Arthur, A.D.; Andersson, G.K.S.; et al. Non-Bee Insects Are Important Contributors to Global Crop Pollination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2016, 113, 146–151.
153. Földesi, R.; Howlett, B.G.; Grass, I.; Batáry, P. Larger Pollinators Deposit More Pollen on Stigmas across Multiple Plant Species—A Meta-Analysis. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2021, 58, 699–707.
154. Sutter, L.; Albrecht, M.; Jeanneret, P. Landscape Greening and Local Creation of Wildflower Strips and Hedgerows Promote Multiple Ecosystem Services. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 2018, 55, 612–620.
155. Ouvrard, P.; Jacquemart, A.-L. Review of Methods to Investigate Pollinator Dependency in Oilseed Rape (*Brassica Napus*). *Field Crops Res.* 2019, 231, 18–29.

156. Mänd, M.; Williams, I.H.; Viik, E.; Karise, R. Oilseed Rape, Bees and Integrated Pest Management. In *Biocontrol-Based Integrated Management of Oilseed Rape Pests*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2010; pp. 357–379.
157. Abrol, D.P.; Shankar, U. Pollination in Oil Crops: Recent Advances and Future Strategies. In *Technological Innovations in Major World Oil Crops*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2012; Volume 2, pp. 221–267.
158. Badenes-Pérez, F.R.; Shelton, A.M. Pest Management and Other Agricultural Practices among Farmers Growing Cruciferous Crops in the Central and Western Highlands of Kenya and the Western Himalayas of India. *Int. J. Pest Manag.* 2006, 52, 303–315.
159. Pudasaini, R.; Thapa, R.B.; Tiwari, S. Farmers Perception on Effect of Pesticide on Insect Pollinators at Padampur and Jutpani Vdcs, Chitwan, Nepal. *Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol.* 2016, 4, 64–66.
160. Venturini, E.M.; Drummond, F.A.; Hoshide, A.K.; Dibble, A.C.; Stack, L.B. Pollination Reservoirs for Wild Bee Habitat Enhancement in Cropping Systems: A Review. *Agroecol. Sustain. Food Syst.* 2017, 41, 101–142.
161. Phillips, B.B.; Wallace, C.; Roberts, B.R.; Whitehouse, A.T.; Gaston, K.J.; Bullock, J.M.; Dicks, L.V.; Osborne, J.L. Enhancing Road Verges to Aid Pollinator Conservation: A Review. *Biol. Conserv.* 2020, 250, 108687.
162. Williams, N.M.; Ward, K.L.; Pope, N.; Isaacs, R.; Wilson, J.; May, E.A.; Ellis, J.; Daniels, J.; Pence, A.; Ullmann, K.; et al. Native Wildflower Plantings Support Wild Bee Abundance and Diversity in Agricultural Landscapes across the United States. *Ecol. Appl.* 2015, 25, 2119–2131.
163. Wratten, S.D.; Gillespie, M.; Decourtey, A.; Mader, E.; Desneux, N. Pollinator Habitat Enhancement: Benefits to Other Ecosystem Services. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2012, 159, 112–122.
164. Martin, E.A.; Dainese, M.; Clough, Y.; Báldi, A.; Bommarco, R.; Gagic, V.; Garratt, M.P.D.; Holzschuh, A.; Kleijn, D.; Kovács-Hostyánszki, A.; et al. The Interplay of Landscape Composition and Configuration: New Pathways to Manage Functional Biodiversity and Agroecosystem Services across Europe. *Ecol. Lett.* 2019, 22, 1083–1094.
165. Badenes-Pérez, F.R. Trap Crops and Insectary Plants in the Order Brassicales. *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 2019, 112, 318–329.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/50750>