Effect of Facial Skin Temperature

Subjects: Nursing | Education & Educational Research | Behavioral Sciences Contributor: Elba Mauriz

The presence of stress and anxiety during simulation-based learning may affect the performance outcomes. This study takes advantage of infrared thermal imaging to study the relationship between differences in facial skin temperature and the perception of anxiety throughout a cardiac arrest simulated scenario. The analysis of facial temperature variations showed good correlations with either the anxiety scale or standard quality resuscitation parameters, showing consistent thermographic profiles for the forehead, maxillary and periorbital areas.

Keywords: facial temperature ; stress ; anxiety

1. Background

The extent of anxiety and psychological stress can impact upon the optimal performance of simulation-based practices. The current entry describes that the association between differences in skin temperature and perceived anxiety by under-(n = 21) and post-graduate (n = 19) nursing students undertaking a cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) training. Thermal facial gradients from selected facial regions were correlated with the scores assessed by the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) and the chest compression quality parameters measured using mannequin-integrated accelerometer sensors. A specific temperature profile was obtained depending on thermal facial variations before and after the simulation event. Statistically significant correlations were found between STAI scale scores and the temperature facial recordings in the forehead (r = 0.579; p < 0.000), periorbital (r = 0.394; p < 0.006), maxillary (r = 0.328; p < 0.019) and neck areas (r = 0.284; p < 0.038). Significant associations were also observed by correlating CPR performance parameters with the facial temperature values in the forehead (r = 0.447; p < 0.002), periorbital (r = 0.446; p < 0.002) and maxillary areas (r = 0.422; p < 0.003). These preliminary findings suggest that higher anxiety levels result in poorer clinical performance and can be correlated to temperature variations in certain facial regions.

Clinical simulation training enables students to put into practice classroom knowledge. By reducing the gap between theoretical knowledge and real practice, healthcare practitioners prepare to manage real demands during direct patient care while minimizing the risks derived from an inexperienced practice ^{[1][2]}. Simulation-based learning carried out in highly realistic scenarios also promotes the development of technical and non-technical skills, such as critical thinking, self-confidence and emotion control ^{[3][4][5][6]}.

In spite of these inherent advantages, the number of tools for the objective quantification of the competences acquired throughout simulation training is still scarce. Most of the research in this field is aimed at developing medical simulators capable of integrating digital measurements ^[Z]. However, the performance assessment of simulation-based practices still remains a challenge since clinical outcomes may be affected by the feelings and emotions of participants ^{[B][9]}. In particular, increased stress and anxiety levels may impair the simulation performance by negatively affecting attention and decision making ^{[10][11][12][13][14]}. Thus, anxiety can be associated with attention deficits and memory impairments, thereby diminishing the cognitive capacity during executive functions, especially among young adults under antidepressant therapy ^[15]. Psychological stress and anxiety may also interfere with critical thinking and self-efficacy, resulting in poor clinical performance, especially in vital emergency situations ^{[16][17]}. Therefore, measuring psychological stress and anxiety throughout valid and reliable instruments is essential for assessing the simulation performance ^{[3][5][18]}.

To overcome this problem, a variety of methods including stress and anxiety scales, pre- and post-simulation self-reports, vital signs monitoring and analysis of cortisol levels have been already employed ^{[3][5][17]}. However, the extent of anxiety and physiological stress has been rarely measured through straightforward robust methods. Only a few studies, involving non-conventional techniques, have been used for identifying the influence of these domains on the learning outcomes ^[3] ^{[18][19][20]}. Amongst them, eye tracking and thermal imaging technologies have been recently applied to assess optimal performance during simulation ^[11].

In particular, infrared thermography (IRT) has proved its usefulness as a non-invasive technique for monitoring biomedical events such as face thermoregulation ^{[21][22]}. IRT can take advantage of the infrared fraction of electromagnetic radiation emitted by the human skin for detecting human emotion and cognitive load perception. The physiological activation of a specific facial area yields an increase in temperature due to the rise in blood perfusion, whereas diminished temperatures corresponding to low physiological activation indicate less facial irrigation ^[23]. The variation in skin temperature can be measured by thermographic cameras capable of providing thermograms of facial heat distribution that can be associated with emotions and physio-psychological states. When monitoring stress and anxiety through thermal facial variations, the most critical areas to take into consideration are the nose, mouth, cheeks, forehead, periorbital and maxillary regions ^[19]. Therefore, it is of interest to examine whether the temperature pattern obtained by combining temperature changes from the facial regions of interest may be correlated with feelings and emotions in stressful situations, such as simulation training environments ^{[19][25]}.

2. Current Insight into Effect of Facial Skin Temperature on the Perception of Anxiety

A sample of 40 participants was included in the study (BS, n = 21; MS, n = 19). Participants were mostly female (34 females: 85%) with a similar mean age, 21.0 (SD = 4.0) and 23.85 (SD = 1.61) for the BS and MS groups, respectively (**Table 1**). No significant differences were found between the BS and MS groups in gender and duration of CPR training, although most of the MS participants reported experience in training on advanced CPR advanced life support (χ^2 = 4.912, p < 0.027).

Sociodemographic Characteristics		Undergraduate Bachelor Students (BS)		Postgraduate Master Students (MS)	Statistic Values	p Value
		N = 21 mean ± SD (%)		N = 19 mean ± SD (%)	χ²/t	
Sov	Female	18 (85.7)		16 (84.2)	0.018 ^b	0 804 b
Sex	Male	3 (14.3)		3 (15.8)		0.094
Age		21.0 (4)		23.85 (1.61)	-2.890 ^a	0.006 *,a
	Baccalaureate	17 (81)		0		
Educational level	Professional training	3 (14.3)		0	36.190 ^b	0.000 *,b
	Other Bachelor of Science	1 (4.8)		19 (100)		
Practicum in special health	Yes	0		19 (100)		0.000 *,b
services	No	21 (100)		0	40.0 ^b	
Number of special health services in practicum		0		1.84 (0.83)	-9.625 ^a	0.000 ^a
Work in special health services	Yes	0		10 (52.6)	14 727 b	0.000
work in special health services	Νο	21 (100)		9 (47.4)	14.737	*,b
Number of special health services working	0	0		0.84 (1.05)	-3.618 ^a	0.002 *,a
	No.	Last two years	1 (4.8)	6 (31.6)	c coc b	
Training on basic CPR (basic life support)	165	More than two years	2 (9.5)	2 (10.5)	6.686 ~	0.010 *,b
	No		18 (85.7)	9 (47.4)		
Duration basic CPR training		37.67 (46.11)		56.33 (37.67)	6.750 ^b	0.455 ^a

Table 1. Comparison of demographics for the undergraduate group versus the postgraduate group.

Sociodemographic Characteristics		Undergraduate Bachelor Students (BS) N = 21 mean ± SD (%)	Postgraduate Master Students (MS) N = 19 mean ±	Statistic Values χ ² /t	p Value
			SD (%)		
Training on advanced CPR	Yes	0	4 (21.1)	4.912 ^b	0.027
(advanced life support)	No	21 (100)	15 (78.9)	-1012	*,D

* p < 0.05; ^a t-Student independent samples; ^b chi-squared Pearson; SD: Standard Deviation.

Regarding the BLS questionnaire, there were significance differences in the number of correct answers between the groups (t = 2.334, p < 0.026). Likewise, CPR performance parameters were significantly higher in the MS group in comparison with the BS students (t = -2.307, p < 0.027), as shown in <u>Table S1</u>. There were no statistically significant differences in the mean scores of stress and anxiety levels within and between the two groups, although a significant increment was observed in both scales' values after simulation (<u>Table S1</u>).

Table 2 shows the minimum, maximum and average temperature recordings as well as temperature increments obtained from the regions of interest in pre-test and post-test measurements. A characteristic thermographic profile represented by lower temperatures values in most of the facial regions was obtained following the simulation of all subjects (**Figure 1**). The analysis of pre-test–post-test temperature average values for the whole group showed statistically significant differences for all the selected facial regions: nose (t = 2.205, p < 0.033); forehead (t = 2.863, p < 0.007); periorbital (t = 2.420, p < 0.020); maxillary (t = 2.811, p < 0.008); and neck/upper chest (t = 2.953, p < 0.005).



(c)

Figure 1. Infrared thermograms showing maximum (red triangles) and minimum temperature (blue triangles) gradients of the selected regions of interest: (a) prior and (b) following the simulation event. (c) Representation of pre-test–post-test average temperatures depending on the facial area for the total of participants.

Table 2. Temperature values of the regions of interest for the undergraduate group versus the postgraduate group.

Facial Region	Temperature Value	Moment	Temperature Mean (SD)	Undergraduate Bachelor Students (BS)		Temperature Mean (SD)	Postgraduate Master Students (MS)		t	p Value
				t- Paired	Significance		t- Paired	Significance		Groups
		Pre-test	27.87 (2.56)	-1.014	0.323	28.76 (3.20)	4.095	0.001 **	-0.972	0.337
Nose	Average	Post-test	28.17 (2.31)			27.06 (2.21)			-0.961	0.129
		Difference	0.30 (1.36)		-	-1.70 (1.81)		-	1.553	0.000 *
		Pre-test	35.79 (0.76)	0.982	0.338	35.79 (0.76)	2.362	0.030 *	1.557	0.072
	Maximum	Post-test	35.59 (0.89)		-	34.95 (0.66)			3.980	0.014 *
		Difference	-0.20 (0.91)		-	-0.39 (0.72)		-	3.923	0.462
		Pre-test	34.90 (0.77)	1.291	0.211	34.13 (1.34)	2.939	0.009 **	1.851	0.031 *
Forehead	Average	Post-test	34.60 (1.10)			33.52 (1.26)			1.849	0.006 *
		Difference	-0.30 (-0.30)			-0.61 (0.91)			2.578	0.317
		Pre-test	32.62 (1.64)	-0.432	0.671	30.40 (2.75)	0.789	0.440	2.617	0.005 *
	Minimum	Post-test	32.77 (1.03)			30.09 (2.54)			0.743	0.000 *
		Difference	0.15 (1.57)			-0.31 (1.72)			0.752	0.383
		Pre-test	35.91 (0.63)	1.142	0.267	35.93 (0.70)	2.560	0.020 *	2.247	0.935
	Maximum	Post-test	35.67 (0.64)			35.57 (0.67)			2.190	0.346
		Difference	-0.15 (0.59)			-0.36 (0.62)			2.906	0.267
		Pre-test	34.01 (0.90)	0.294	0.771	33.93 (0.82)	3.670	0.002 **	2.886	0.764
Periorbital	Average	Post-test	33.96 (0.85)			33.35 (0.59)			1.013	0.012 *
		Difference	-0.05 (0.81)			-0.58 (.69)			1.021	0.033 *
		Pre-test	28.84 (2.10)	0.366	0.718	29.28 (2.07)	3.596	0.002 **	3.137	0.509
	Minimum	Post-test	28.73 (1.77)			28.18 (1.66)			3.062	0.323
		Difference	-0.11 (1.43)			-1.10 (1.33)			4.443	0.030 *
		Pre-test	35.29 (0.99)	0.579	0.569	35.11 (0.94)	2.109	0.049 *	4.282	0.548
	Maximum	Post-test	35.177 (0.90)			34.70 (0.73)			0.883	0.074
		Difference	-0.11 (0.90)			-0.41 (0.85)			0.879	0.294
		Pre-test	33.27 (1.30)	1.285	0.214	33.10 (1.25)	2.872	0.010 *	-0.082	0.681
Maxillary	Average	Post-test	32.93 (1.08)			32.42 (1.12)			-0.082	0.153
		Difference	-0.34 (1.21)			-0.68 (1.03)			0.953	0.345
		Pre-test	27.59 (2.25)	0.287	0.777	28.20 (2.55)	4.011	0.001 **	0.951	0.424
	Minimum	Post-test	27.46 (1.74)			26.57 (2.08)			1.126	0.148
		Difference	-0.12 (1.98)			-1.63 (1.77)			1.123	0.016 *

The correlation analysis between the pre-test STAI scores and the facial temperature recordings showed positive and significant associations in the forehead area for both groups (maximum, BS, r = 0.627, p < 0.002; MS, r = 0.499, p < 0.03) and for the periorbital (maximum, r = 0.473, p < 0.042; average, r = 0.509, p < 0.026) and maxillary area (maximum, r = 0.537, p < 0.018; average, r = 0.534, p < 0.019) in the MS group (<u>Table S2</u>). A statistically significant association was also observed between the post-test STAI scores and the temperature values in the BS group (neck and upper chest average, r = 0.559, p < 0.008). Regarding the entire group, positive and significant associations were observed for pre-test STAI scores with regard to both maximum and average temperature values for the following regions: forehead (maximum, r = 0.579, p < 0.000; average, r = 0.415, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p < 0.006; average, r = 0.318, p < 0.004; periorbital (maximum, r = 0.394, p <

0.023); maxillary (maximum, r = 0.328, p < 0.019; a) Facial Temperature Moment and Temperature	Wheten Gread Liate 0.330, p	< 0.019) and; Temperature	; neck area (maximu Postgraduate Master	ım, r = 0).284, p <
0 Regimentaverage line = 0.299, 0.299, 0.030). Mean (SD) (E	BS)	Mean (SD)	Students (MS)	t	p Value Groups
By correlating CPR performance parameters with the	e facial temperature	values, a sig	hificant association	was obs	erved for
the number of compressions in the following region	ns: periorbital area (te	emperature in	crement, $r = 0.514$,	p < 0.02	17) in the
BS group; nose (average, Pre-16:524, 36.0500921) in2	²tħぞMS gfotfp*and;	n ñā &fil@ry 1) egi	ଔମଶ୍ ୱିr both ି ଶ୍ରୀଶି ର୍ଦ୍ଧନ୍ତ (ุ่ m¶ก3ิคิ 7ิur	n B.ჭ 39 =
0.435, p < 0.049ximinimum-b&&test= 035765210.999.001	1). At the same time	, 35167901749 1atio	on between the tem	pe ratu re	: g rædi ænt
and the adequate compression rate showed a pos	sitive and significan	t association $-0.38(0.75)$	in the forehead are	ea (mini 2.635	$mum_{0.934} r =$
0.445, $p < 0.043$) for the BS group, whilst the numb	ber of compressions	with adequat	e depth and the me	an comp	pressions
in 1, min were positively associated with the temper	1.711 0.103 ratures measured in	34.23 (0.62) the nose (r =	2 547 0.469, p < 0.043) a	2,681 and the	0.279 nečk (r =
0.597947 < 0.01289 mythe Messfreesp (Tables (083) and	<u>S4</u>). For the total of	f 33a114i (1) 16a) nts,	the correlation of p	r e≥t2€9St r	na %#5% im
and average temperature similar the number of com	npressions was stati	istically signif	icant in the forehea	d <u>(ma</u> xii	m yŋŋoś =
0.372, p < 0.009; average, r = 0.447, p < 0.002),	periorbital (maximu	$m_{r} = 0.460,$	p < 0.001; average	e, r = 0.	.446, p <
0.002), and maxillary areas (maximum, $r = 0.434$, p	< 0.003; average, r :	= 0.422, p < 0	0.003).	-0.007	0.407
Minimum Post-test 31.23 (1.65)		30.17 (1.93)		-0.668	0.069
Multiple regression analysis showed a relationship t	between the pre-test	maxingung ter	mperature recording	s forall	the facial
regions and STAI pre-test scores ($R^2 = 0.395$; F (5,	34) = 4.440; p < 0.06	03; d = 2.167)), explaining 39.5%	of the va	ariance of
STAI pre-test (Table 3). Significant regression equa	ations were also obt	ained for the	CPR global score (R ² = 0.3	378; F (5,
34) = 4.130; p <0.005; d = 1.890), number of complex to $complex = 1.890$, number of complex in the student of the studento s	pressions (R ² = 0.412 ndene samples	1; F (5, 34) = •= 2.170).	4.751; p < 0.002; d	= 2.087) and the

Table 3. Multiple linear regression analysis to model the relationship between the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) pretest scores and maximum temperature recordings in the selected facial regions before simulation.

	Unstandardiz	ed Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		
Dependent variable: STAT Pre-Test	в	Standard Error	Beta		
Constant	-63.284	37.913			
Nose temperature	0.182	0.278	0.107		
Forehead temperature	6.555	1.826	1.056		
Periorbital temperature	-2.310	2.096	-0.309		
Maxillary temperature	-0.806	1.175	-0.157		
Neck/upper chest temperature	-1.117	1.098	-0.187		

B is the unstandardized coefficient beta.

3. Summary

The presence of stress and anxiety during simulation-based learning may affect the performance outcomes. Taking advantage of infrared thermal imaging to study the relationship between differences in facial skin temperature and the perception of anxiety throughout a cardiac arrest simulated scenario. The analysis of facial temperature variations showed good correlations with either the anxiety scale or standard quality resuscitation parameters, showing consistent thermographic profiles for the forehead, maxillary and periorbital areas. Consequently, the utilization of facial temperature values should be taken into consideration to predict the influence of anxiety during simulation training. Despite being a pilot experiment, the results are expected to improve assessment performance prior to a simulation practice by providing valuable information on the anxiety traits of simulation participants. Further research is needed to examine the reliability of infrared imaging technology as a valid screening tool for the objective quantification and diagnosis of emotional and cognitive load in simulation training practices.

References

- 1. Gamble, A.S. Simulation in undergraduate paediatric nursing curriculum: Evaluation of a complex 'ward for a day' education program. Nurse Educ. Pract. 2017, 23, 40–47.
- 2. Kim, J.; Park, J.-H.; Shin, S. Effectiveness of simulation-based nursing education depending on fidelity: A metaanalysis. BMC Med. Educ. 2016, 16, 152.

- 3. Cabrera-Mino, C.; Shinnick, M.A.; Moye, S. Task-Evoked Pupillary Responses in Nursing Simulation as an Indicator of Stress and Cognitive Load. Clin. Simul. Nurs. 2019, 31, 21–27.
- Bhanji, F.; Donoghue, A.J.; Wolff, M.S.; Flores, G.E.; Halamek, L.P.; Berman, J.M.; Sinz, E.H.; Cheng, A. Part 14: Education: 2015 American Heart Association Guidelines Update for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. Circulation 2015, 132, S561–S573.
- 5. Judd, B.K.; Currie, J.; Dodds, K.L.; Fethney, J.; Gordon, C.J. Registered nurses psychophysiological stress and confidence during high-fidelity emergency simulation: Effects on performance. Nurse Educ. Today 2019, 78, 44–49.
- 6. Plemmons, C.; Clark, M.; Feng, D. Comparing student clinical self-efficacy and team process outcomes for a DEU, blended, and traditional clinical setting: A quasi-experimental research study. Nurse Educ. Today 2018, 62, 107–111.
- Davey, P.; Whatman, C.; Dicker, B. Comparison of Chest Compressions Metrics Measured Using the Laerdal Skill Reporter and Q-CPR: A Simulation Study. Simul. Healthc. 2015, 10, 257–262.
- Beltrán-Velasco, A.I.; Ruisoto-Palomera, P.; Bellido-Esteban, A.; García-Mateos, M.; Clemente-Suárez, V.J. Analysis of Psychophysiological Stress Response in Higher Education Students Undergoing Clinical Practice Evaluation. J. Med. Syst. 2019, 43, 68.
- 9. Ayuso-Murillo, D.; Colomer-Sánchez, A.; Santiago-Magdalena, C.R.; Lendínez-Mesa, A.; Gracia, E.B.D.; López-Peláez, A.; Herrera-Peco, I. Effect of Anxiety on Empathy: An Observational Study Among Nurses. Healthcare 2020, 8, 140.
- Brugnera, A.; Zarbo, C.; Adorni, R.; Tasca, G.A.; Rabboni, M.; Bondi, E.; Compare, A.; Sakatani, K. Cortical and cardiovascular responses to acute stressors and their relations with psychological distress. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 2017, 114, 38–46.
- 11. Judd, B.K.; Alison, J.A.; Waters, D.; Gordon, C.J. Comparison of Psychophysiological Stress in Physiotherapy Students Undertaking Simulation and Hospital-Based Clinical Education. Simul. Healthc. 2016, 11, 271–277.
- Mills, B.; Carter, O.; Rudd, C.; Claxton, L.; O'Brien, R. An experimental investigation into the extent social evaluation anxiety impairs performance in simulation-based learning environments amongst final-year undergraduate nursing students. Nurse Educ. Today 2016, 45, 9–15.
- 13. Rudland, J.R.; Golding, C.; Wilkinson, T.J. The stress paradox: How stress can be good for learning. Med. Educ. 2020, 54, 40–45.
- Wearne, T.A.; Lucien, A.; Trimmer, E.M.; Logan, J.A.; Rushby, J.; Wilson, E.; Filipčíková, M.; McDonald, S. Anxiety sensitivity moderates the subjective experience but not the physiological response to psychosocial stress. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 2019, 141, 76–83.
- Tempesta, D.; Mazza, M.; Serroni, N.; Moschetta, F.S.; Di Giannantonio, M.; Ferrara, M.; De Berardis, D. Neuropsychological functioning in young subjects with generalized anxiety disorder with and without pharmacotherapy. Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry 2013, 45, 236–241.
- Bjørshol, C.A.; Myklebust, H.; Nilsen, K.L.; Hoff, T.; Bjørkli, C.; Illguth, E.; Søreide, E.; Sunde, K. Effect of socioemotional stress on the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation during advanced life support in a randomized manikin study. Crit. Care Med. 2011, 39, 300–304.
- Fernández-Ayuso, D.; Fernández-Ayuso, R.; Del-Campo-Cazallas, C.; Pérez-Olmo, J.L.; Matías-Pompa, B.; Fernández-Carnero, J.; Calvo-Lobo, C. The Modification of Vital Signs According to Nursing Students' Experiences Undergoing Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Training via High-Fidelity Simulation: Quasi-Experimental Study. JMIR Serious Games 2018, 6, e11061.
- Shinnick, M.A. Validating Eye Tracking as an Objective Assessment Tool in Simulation. Clin. Simul. Nurs. 2016, 12, 438–446.
- 19. Cruz-Albarran, I.A.; Benitez-Rangel, J.P.; Osornio-Rios, R.A.; Morales-Hernandez, L.A. Human emotions detection based on a smart-thermal system of thermographic images. Infrared Phys. Technol. 2017, 81, 250–261.
- 20. Mauriz, E.; Ferradal-Villa, P.; Caloca-Amber, S.; Sánchez-Valdeón, L.; Vázquez-Casares, A.M. Effectiveness of infrared thermography in monitoring ventilation performance during cardiopulmonary resuscitation training: A cross-sectional simulation study in nursing students. In Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Technological Ecosystems for Enhancing Multiculturality, Salamanca, Spain, 24–26 October 2018; pp. 361–367.
- 21. Ghahramani, A.; Castro, G.; Becerik-Gerber, B.; Yu, X. Infrared thermography of human face for monitoring thermoregulation performance and estimating personal thermal comfort. Build. Environ. 2016, 109, 1–11.
- 22. Haddad, D.S.; Brioschi, M.L.; Baladi, M.G.; Arita, E.S. A new evaluation of heat distribution on facial skin surface by infrared thermography. Dentomaxillofacial Radiol. 2016, 45, 20150264.

- 23. Ioannou, S.; Gallese, V.; Merla, A. Thermal infrared imaging in psychophysiology: Potentialities and limits: Thermal infrared imaging in psychophysiology. Psychophysiology 2014, 51, 951–963.
- 24. Koprowski, R.; Wilczyński, S.; Martowska, K.; Gołuch, D.; Wrocławska-Warchala, E. Dedicated tool to assess the impact of a rhetorical task on human body temperature. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 2017, 120, 69–77.
- 25. Moliné, A.; Gálvez-García, G.; Fernández-Gómez, J.; De la Fuente, J.; Iborra, O.; Tornay, F.; Mata Martín, J.L.; Puertollano, M.; Gómez Milán, E. The Pinocchio effect and the Cold Stress Test: Lies and thermography. Psychophysiology 2017, 54, 1621–1631.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/40056