

# Competitive Golf and Energy Calculation

Subjects: **Others**

Contributor: Matthew Zoffer ,

Nutritional guidance for competitive golfers to improve performance is limited. A novel nutritional approach that incorporates carbohydrate supplementation to support aerobic fitness without sacrificing the ability to build strength is presented since longer courses require more stamina. Strategies for training, competition, and recovery are outlined based on different skill levels. American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) guidelines for carbohydrates, protein, and hydration intake are tailored specifically for competitive golf based on this approach. Putting requires precise movement and can be affected by fatigue. Nutritional studies in golf and similar sports that require focused movements are presented, exhibiting an improvement with adequate hydration and carbohydrate status and caffeine use.

sports nutrition

golf

distance insight report

PGA

## 1. General Considerations

The cornerstone of performance nutrition is an evaluation of energy expenditure. There are three areas of analysis while playing golf: Club transportation, walking the course, and hitting the golf ball.

## 2. Club Transportation

The United States Golf Association (USGA) allows players 14 clubs in their bag including water, rangefinder, balls, and tees. Older studies measured the weight of golf bags from 8–30 kg (18–30 lbs), but this was prior to synthetic bags or did not include other necessary supplies <sup>[1][2]</sup>. According to Shipstix which transports golf clubs, “A golf bag equipped with clubs, balls, and accessories averages 20 lbs (9 kg)” <sup>[3]</sup>.

In 2009, the USGA allowed the use of pushcarts as an alternative to carrying the golf bag in high school and collegiate golf tournaments for men and women athletes <sup>[4]</sup>. In 2019, 67% of all high school golfers used a pushcart in competition (94% girls/52% boys). At the college level, 2014 National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) champion Cameron Wilson and most of his teammates at Stanford, and 50% of the men’s team at UNC used a cart <sup>[5]</sup>. The energy expenditure comparing the two forms of club transportation are similar. In both the Crowell and Dear studies, carrying clubs for 9 holes was 411kcal and 511 kcal, respectively. While using a pushcart expended 411 kcal in Crowell et al. <sup>[1][6]</sup>.

Professional Golf Association (PGA) and Ladies PGA (LPGA) players use a caddy and club carriage should not be part of the energy calculation.



A 2017 article on physical activity accrued while playing golf in the British Medical Journal by Luscombe et al. reviewed 19 studies. Five studies had both energy expenditure and distance walked during the round of golf with using either a cart or carrying the clubs as an endpoint [6][12][13][14][15]. The articles were reviewed for course yardage and a google search was used to find the current course length. **Table 2** is a summary from the BMJ article with the Energy Expenditure (EE), original course distance, distance walked during the round, and the currently published distance.

**Table 2.** Luscombe et al. summary table of distances and energy expenditure.

| Study      | Year Published | Gender | Holes | Club Transportation | Mean Distance Walked (Km) | TEE (kcal) | Article Course Distance (m) | Current Published Distances (m) |
|------------|----------------|--------|-------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Crowell    | 1970           | M      | 9     | Pull cart           | 4.58 +/- 0.44             | 411        | 2982 *                      | 3108–3550 **                    |
|            |                |        | 9     | Carry               | 4.02 +/- 0.52             | 450        | 2982 *                      | 3108–3550 **                    |
| Gabellieri | 2011           | M      | 18    | Carry               | 8.7 +/- 0.6               | 1202       | 6067 *                      | 6217–7100                       |
| Dear       | 2010           | M      | 9     | Carry               | 4.4 +/- 0.36              | 511        | 2504                        | 3108–3550 **                    |
| Zunzer     | 2013           | M      | 18    | Mixed               | 10.5 +/- 0.94             | 926        | 5525–5919                   | 6217–7100                       |
|            |                | F      | 18    |                     | 9.89 +/- 0.81             | 556        | 4871–5307                   | 5669–6035                       |
|            |                | M      | 9     |                     | 5.32 +/- 0.48             | 520        | 2762–2959                   | 3108–3550 **                    |
|            |                | F      | 9     |                     | 5.25 +/- 0.16             | 273        | 2435–2653                   | 2834–3017 **                    |

## 4. Hitting the Golf Ball

\* Listed course yardages converted to meters and divided by 2 when 9 holes played. \*\* PGA published yardages converted to meters and divided by 2 for 9 holes played. M: male, F: female.  
The energy required to hit a golf ball can be divided into the energy to swing the club and the athlete's contribution to torque their body through the swing plane.

Golf Laboratories manufactures robots to conduct independent testing of golf equipment for the United States Golf Association (USGA). In **Table 3**, the required amperages for the robot to swing the golf club at various velocities and subsequent conversion to energy in kcal/hour are listed (Personal Communication).

**Table 3.** Golf laboratories club velocity data.

| Club Velocity (mph) | Power (amps) | Energy (kcal/hour) |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 70                  | 12           | 10.3               |
| 75                  | 15           | 12.9               |
| 80                  | 19           | 16.3               |
| 85                  | 22           | 18.9               |
| 90                  | 25           | 21.5               |
| 95                  | 28           | 24.1               |
| 100                 | 31           | 26.7               |
| 105                 | 34           | 29.2               |
| 110                 | 37           | 31.9               |
| 115                 | 40           | 34.4               |

Conversion to kilocalories/hour by multiplying by 0.8598.  
Using a gyroscope or magnetometer attached to the body known as Inertia Measurement Units (IMU) Kim and Park measured the average golf swing to be approximately 2 s <sup>[16]</sup>. At 115 mph, the work for the robot to swing the club is approximately 0.02 kcal.

The athlete's contribution was measured by two studies varying either club choice or the athlete's experience. Outram and Wheat, using similar IMU technology to measure the total work required to swing the club, varied between 223 to 269 joules depending on the club used <sup>[17]</sup>. Nesbit and Serrano used a computer model of 4 different golfers with varying handicaps and work varied between 235 and 355 Nm with the higher work observed with the scratch golfer <sup>[18]</sup>.

Nesbit and Serrano showed that a scratch golfer produced 355 Nm during a golf swing <sup>[18]</sup>. If the 355 Nm work produced is converted to kcal (multiplied by 0.00024) the golfer produced only 0.08 kcal per swing. If the athlete used 80 strokes to complete the round that's only 6.4 kcal. The majority of energy utilized during a round of golf is walking the course independent of the method of club transportation.

## References

1. Crowell, B.G. Energy Cost of Participation in Golf as Determined by Telemetry; Oklahoma State University: Stillwater, Oklahoma, USA, 1970.
2. Wallace, P.; Reilly, T. Spinal and metabolic loading during simulations of golf play. J. Sports Sci. 1993, 11, 511–515.

3. Shipping Golf Clubs—How Much Does It Cost to Ship Golf Clubs? Ship Sticks. Available online: <https://www.shipsticks.com/blog/shipping-golf-clubs-cost/> (accessed on 13 July 2021).
4. Royal and Ancient. Appendices. Rules of Golf 2004. Available online: <http://www.ruleshistory.com/appendices.html#2004appl> (accessed on 29 February 2020).
5. Nichols, B.A. #AGoodWalk: Once Cringey, Push Carts Are Now Cool. Golfweek. Available online: <https://golfweek.usatoday.com/2020/08/12/agoodwalk-once-cringey-push-carts-are-now-cool/amp/> (accessed on 9 January 2021).
6. Dear, J.B.; Porter, M.M.; Ready, A.E. Energy Expenditure During Golfing and Lawn Mowing in Older Adult Men. *J. Aging Phys. Act.* 2010, 18, 185–200.
7. FAQ; LPGA. Ladies Professional Golf Association 2021. Available online: <https://www.lpga.com/contact-us/faq> (accessed on 11 February 2021).
8. United States Specialty Sports Association. USSSA Golf Junior Tour Rules. USSSA Golf Junior Tour Rules. 2015. Available online: [https://usssa.com/docs/2015/GolfTourRules\\_2015.pdf](https://usssa.com/docs/2015/GolfTourRules_2015.pdf) (accessed on 3 March 2022).
9. Broadie, M. Assessing Golfer Performance Using Golfmetrics. In *Proceedings of the 2008 World Scientific Congress of Golf*, New York, NY, USA, April 2012; Crews, D., Columbia University, Eds.; Energy in Motion Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 2008; pp. 253–262. Available online: [http://www.columbia.edu/~mn2/broadie/Assets/broadie\\_wscg\\_v\\_200804.pdf](http://www.columbia.edu/~mn2/broadie/Assets/broadie_wscg_v_200804.pdf) (accessed on 3 March 2022).
10. Royal and Ancient & United States Golf Association. Distance Insights Report. Available online: <https://www.randa.org/theranda/distance-insights> (accessed on 29 February 2020).
11. USGA & Royal and Ancient. How Golf Courses Change (No. R33). February 2018. Available online: <https://www.usga.org/content/usga/home-page/distance-insights-library.html> (accessed on 3 March 2022).
12. Gabellieri, J.M. *The Physiological Demands of Walking during Golf*; University of Rhode Island: Kingston, RI, USA, 2011.
13. Holland, C.J.; Godwin, M.S. The metabolic demand of external load carriage in golfers: A comparison of a single versus double-strap golf bag. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fit.* 2019, 59, 1963–1967.
14. Luscombe, J.; Murray, A.D.; Jenkins, E.; Archibald, D. A rapid review to identify physical activity accrued while playing golf. *BMJ Open* 2017, 7, e018993.
15. Zunzer, S.C.; von Duvillard, S.P.; Tschakert, G.; Mangus, B.; Hofmann, P. Energy expenditure and sex differences of golf playing. *J. Sports Sci.* 2013, 31, 1045–1053.

16. Kim, M.; Park, S. Golf Swing Segmentation from a Single IMU Using Machine Learning. *Sensors* 2020, 20, 4466.
17. Outram, T.; Wheat, J. Test-retest reliability of segment kinetic energy measures in the golf swing. *Sports Biomech.* 2021, 20, 344–359.
18. Nesbit, S.M.; Serrano, M. Work and power analysis of the golf swing. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* 2005, 4, 520–533.

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/55371>