

Theory of Entropicity (ToE): Path To Unification of Physics

Subjects: [Physics](#), [Particles & Fields](#)

Contributor: John Onimisi Obidi

This paper presents the **Theory of Entropicity (ToE)** by **John Onimisi Obidi**, a groundbreaking framework that redefines entropy not as a byproduct of disorder, but as the fundamental field of existence—the dynamic fabric from which space, time, motion, information, and matter arise. **Just as Einstein elevated the speed of light (c) to a universal constant, Obidi's audacious and radical Theory of Entropicity (ToE) elevates entropy (S) to a universal field that governs all physical processes and phenomena (interactions, observations, and measurements).**

[Quantum Mechanics](#)[General Relativity](#)[Entropy](#)[Information Geometry](#)[Unification of Physics](#)[Space and Time](#)[Theory of Entropicity \(ToE\)](#)[Field Equations](#)[Iteration](#)[Artificial Intelligence](#)

1. Introduction: Standing Where Einstein Once Stood

Every so often, **physics** takes a decisive step that changes the way we see reality. In 1905, Albert Einstein abandoned the ether and declared the speed of light to be universal. That single move reshaped mechanics, gravitation, and our understanding of space and time. Today, the **Theory of Entropicity (ToE)**,^{[1][2][3][4][5][6]} as first formulated and further developed by **John Onimisi Obidi**,^{[7][8]} proposes a step of similar magnitude: to abandon the view of entropy as a secondary, statistical byproduct and instead elevate it to the status of the fundamental field of reality.

In this worldview, entropy is not a measure of disorder. It is the heartbeat of existence itself, the universal rhythm that Einstein uncovered in the constancy of light, now reinterpreted as the finite rate at which the universe can rearrange information.

Thanks for reading! Subscribe for free to receive new posts and support my work.

This paper explores the conceptual and **mathematical foundations of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE)**, its parallels with **Einstein's revolution**, and its **implications for physics, cosmology, computation, biology, and philosophy**.

From Disorder to Foundation: Rethinking Entropy

For more than a century, entropy has been treated as a statistical measure of disorder. In thermodynamics, it quantified the irreversibility of processes. In information theory, it measured uncertainty. In cosmology, it was invoked

to explain the arrow of time. But in all these contexts, entropy was seen as secondary—a consequence of deeper laws.

The Theory of Entropicity (ToE) flips this hierarchy. It proposes that entropy is not a byproduct but the substrate. It is the field from which motion, gravitation, time, and information flow emerge. **Just as Einstein elevated the speed of light to a universal postulate, ToE elevates entropy to the universal field.**

The Decisive Step: A Parallel with Einstein

Einstein's decisive step was simple yet radical:

- **Einstein's step:** Abandon the ether, elevate the constancy of the speed of light, and rebuild mechanics and gravitation around that invariant.

The Theory of Entropicity mirrors this structure:

- **ToE's step:** Abandon the treatment of **entropy** as secondary, **elevate it to the status of a universal, dynamical field**, and rebuild mechanics, gravitation, and quantum theory around its dynamics.

Both moves are decisive because they change what is considered primary. **Einstein redefined space and time. Obidi's Theory of Entropicity (ToE) redefines energy, causality, and coherence.**

Time, Space, and Motion in the Entropic Worldview

In the entropic worldview, the familiar categories of physics are reinterpreted:

- **Time** emerges from entropy flow. The past and future are simply the directions of maximal and minimal redistribution of entropy.
- **Space** is not a container but a map of entropic gradients. It is the geometry of how entropy is distributed.
- **Motion** is what happens when the entropic field reconfigures these gradients toward equilibrium.

This reframing is not metaphorical. It is a literal claim: **The Theory of Entropicity (ToE) declares that the geometry of space, the flow of time, and the dynamics of motion are all manifestations of the entropic field.**

2. The Obidi Action and the Master Entropic Equation of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE)

At the heart of ToE lies the **Obidi Action**, a variational principle that encodes the dynamics of the entropy field. From this action emerges the Master Entropic Equation (MEE) — also known as the **Obidi Field Equations (OFE)**, which plays the same role in ToE that Einstein's field equations play in General Relativity.

The **MEE [Obidi Field Equations (OFE)]** governs how entropy gradients evolve and couple to geometry, matter, and information. From it follow secondary structures: Entropic Geodesics, which describe the natural paths of systems in the entropic manifold, and the Entropy Potential Equation, which governs how entropic forces manifest.

Unlike **Einstein's equations**, which are notoriously difficult to solve but yield explicit solutions in special cases, the field equations of ToE are generally approached through non-explicit iterative methods. This reflects the inherently probabilistic and information-theoretic nature of entropy. The solutions are not closed-form expressions but iterative refinements, echoing the way information itself is updated in Bayesian inference.

3. Understanding the Nature of the Field Equations of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE)

In Einstein's general relativity, the equations of the gravitational field are rigid, geometric relationships between the curvature of spacetime and the distribution of matter and energy. They are famously difficult to solve, but when specific symmetries are assumed—such as spherical symmetry for stars or cosmological symmetry for the universe—exact, closed-form solutions can be obtained. These solutions are static, deterministic, and geometrically well-defined once the boundary conditions are fixed.

The situation in the Theory of Entropicity (ToE) is profoundly different. The field equations of ToE (the **Obidi Field Equations—OFE**) do not describe the curvature of a static geometry but rather the continuous evolution of entropy as an active field that governs how reality reorganizes itself. Entropy here is not a passive measure of disorder; it is the generative principle that shapes motion, energy flow, and even the experience of time. Consequently, the equations governing it cannot be solved in the same way that one solves traditional geometric or force-field equations.

Instead of producing a single, fixed “shape” of spacetime, the ToE field equations describe how information, probability, and physical states continuously refine one another. Solving them involves an iterative process, in which one starts with an initial informational configuration and allows it to evolve step by step through successive entropy updates. Each iteration represents a more accurate or stable informational structure, much like how **Bayesian reasoning** progressively updates beliefs in light of new data. In this sense, every “solution” of the ToE equations is not a frozen state of the universe but a snapshot of an ongoing computation being performed by nature itself.

This iterative nature reflects a deeper truth: entropy governs transitions, not static outcomes. The universe, according to ToE, does not “arrive” at a configuration—it continuously computes and reconfigures itself through local exchanges of entropy. *Hence, the ToE field equations are inherently dynamic, self-referential, and probabilistic.* Their solutions emerge only through refinement, approximation, and convergence, mirroring the way physical reality itself stabilizes into what we perceive as consistent patterns.

In practice, this means that while Einstein's equations yield precise metrics for particular idealized situations, the ToE equations (**Obidi Field Equations—OFE**) function more like adaptive algorithms—generating solutions that evolve with context. Each solution represents the best possible configuration of the entropy field at a given level of informational resolution. The process is open-ended: just as new information can always modify a Bayesian inference, new entropic interactions can always shift the field's equilibrium.

Thus, the complexity of the ToE field equations lies not in their algebraic form but in their conceptual depth. They represent a universe that never stops calculating itself—one where physical laws are not fixed constraints but

emergent equilibria of continuous entropic computation.

4. Connections of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE) to Path Integrals and Information Geometry

The iterative character of the field equations in the Theory of Entropicity (ToE) can be understood more deeply by relating it to the mathematical languages of path integrals and information geometry. In conventional quantum mechanics, the path integral represents a sum over all possible histories of a system, where each history contributes an oscillatory weight determined by its classical action. The result is a probability amplitude—a measure of how all possible trajectories interfere to produce the physical outcome we observe.

In ToE, this idea is reinterpreted through the lens of entropy. Instead of summing over mechanical trajectories in spacetime, the ToE formalism—embodied in the **Vuli-Ndlela Integral**—sums over entropic configurations of the universe's informational state. Each configuration represents a possible way the entropy field could flow, and the weighting of these configurations depends not only on reversible physical dynamics but also on the irreversible growth or redistribution of entropy. Thus, every “path” in ToE carries both a causal phase (as in quantum theory) and an entropic attenuation, which together determine the system's evolution. The result is an inherently self-updating process: nature continuously re-evaluates the relative likelihood of all informational trajectories, selecting those that maximize the consistency and coherence of the entropy field.

This is where information geometry provides the natural mathematical setting for ToE. In **information geometry**, the structure of probability distributions is treated as a curved manifold—an abstract space where distance measures how distinguishable two informational states are. The **Amari-Čencov framework** defines the geometric connections that describe how information changes when one updates a probability model. Within ToE, these geometric connections are promoted to physical entities: they describe how **entropy, as an autonomous field, bends and reshapes the informational manifold** that underlies all physical reality. The curvature of this manifold is not metaphorical—it is the true generator of what we perceive as gravitational, electromagnetic, and quantum phenomena.

Because the geometry of entropy is inherently adaptive, the field equations of ToE (the **Obidi Field Equations—OFE**) cannot be solved once and for all; they must be continuously integrated in a way that mirrors the dynamics of learning and inference. Each **iteration** refines the entropy manifold's geometry, much as Bayesian updating refines the probability distribution of knowledge when new data arrive. In this view, spacetime itself becomes a kind of evolving statistical fabric, its curvature reflecting the information content and entropic structure of the universe at any given moment.

Hence, **ToE stands at the intersection of physics and information theory**: it extends the path-integral vision of summing over possibilities into a higher-dimensional informational domain and unites it with the geometric principles of statistical inference. The **Obidi Field Equations (OFE)**, therefore, express not static laws but recursive relationships—an **unending dialogue between entropy, information, and geometry**. Solving [the Obidi Field Equations—OFE] therefore means following the universe as it teaches itself what it must be.

5. Relation to Einstein's Field Equations: The Geometric Limit of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE)

In the limit where entropic fluctuations become negligible and the informational manifold stabilizes, the field equations of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE) reduce smoothly to the geometric form of **Einstein's general relativity**. In this low-entropy regime, the entropic connections that describe the flow of information—those defined by the **Amari-Čencov structure**—collapse into the classical **Levi-Civita connection** of differential geometry. What was once a **dynamic web of information exchange** becomes a static curvature of spacetime, and the probabilistic manifold of entropy resolves into the **smooth continuum Einstein described**.

In other words, Einstein's equations appear as a frozen snapshot of a much deeper process described by the **Obidi Field Equations (OFE)** of the **Theory of Entropicity (ToE)**. They represent the equilibrium condition of the entropic field when all local information flows have balanced out. The **spacetime curvature in relativity** is thus an **emergent residue of the underlying entropic dynamics**—the visible geometry left behind when the **invisible informational currents** reach momentary symmetry. When entropy gradients vanish, the **ToE's iterative refinement** halts, and the resulting steady-state geometry satisfies the same structural constraints that Einstein encoded in his field equations.

This correspondence makes **Einstein's theory not a competitor but a special case within the broader entropic framework of Obidi's Theory of Entropicity (ToE)**. General relativity is what the universe looks like when its information field ceases to evolve, when the iterative updating of entropy becomes infinitesimally slow. **The Theory of Entropicity (ToE) therefore extends Einstein's insight rather than replacing it**: it restores dynamism to geometry by **revealing that curvature itself is an expression of information flow**, and that the **"fabric" of spacetime is, at its most fundamental level, the continuously self-adjusting and self-adapting field of entropy**.

6. Solving the Field Equations of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE): Iterative, Not Explicit (Computational Complexity Relative to the Einstein Field Equations)

Einstein's field equations are famously difficult but yield explicit solutions in special cases: black holes, cosmological models, gravitational waves. The field equations of ToE, by contrast, are generally not solvable in closed form.

Instead, they are approached through non-explicit iterative methods. This reflects the probabilistic nature of entropy: the field evolves through successive refinements, much like iterative algorithms in computation.

This difference is not a weakness but a strength. It aligns the mathematics of ToE with the realities of information processing, where iterative methods dominate. It also suggests deep connections between physics and computation.

Einstein's field equations, though formidable, belong to a class of deterministic systems. They relate the curvature of spacetime directly to the distribution of matter and energy, forming a closed, geometric relationship. Once the sources and symmetries are specified, these equations can, in principle, be solved exactly. Solutions like the Schwarzschild metric for black holes, the Friedmann–Lemaître models for cosmology, or the linearized approximations describing

gravitational waves all emerge as clean mathematical structures—self-contained and expressible in explicit form. In Einstein's world, even if the equations are difficult, they are conceptually finite: they describe a universe governed by continuous geometry and smooth symmetry.

The field equations of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE) are of a wholly different nature. They are not geometric in the classical sense but informational in their foundation. Where Einstein's equations tie matter to curvature, the ToE equations tie information to existence. They describe how the entropy field—the underlying field of reality—flows, redistributes, and reorganizes itself. *Every point in space and moment in time becomes a node of entropic computation, participating in an immense network of informational exchanges. As a result, the ToE field equations cannot be solved by direct substitution or simplification; they must be evolved, step by step, through processes of refinement.*

At the heart of this lies a fundamental asymmetry: the ToE field equations have two sides, not in the algebraic sense of left and right, but in the conceptual sense of cause and emergence. One side governs the entropic potential—how entropy seeks to maximize itself globally—while the other governs the entropic resistance—the local constraints that resist or delay this maximization. The balance between these two tendencies defines the observable universe. When the entropic drive dominates, systems evolve, diversify, and dissipate energy; when the entropic resistance dominates, systems stabilize into recognizable forms such as atoms, stars, and biological organisms.

Solving the ToE equations therefore means navigating the tension between these dual aspects: global drive and local constraint, order and flux, information gain and structural inertia. Unlike Einstein's equations, which yield a single continuous curvature field once the matter distribution is known, the ToE equations must self-consistently resolve how information flows reshape both the field and the “metric” of entropy itself. Each solution iteration recalculates not only the outcome but also the framework through which that outcome is evaluated. The field redefines its own geometry as it evolves. This recursive self-reference makes the equations non-explicit—their results can be approached only through iterative refinement, never written once and solved forever.

This iterative quality is not a limitation but a reflection of the living nature of entropy. Entropy does not settle instantly; it progresses through gradients, feedbacks, and information exchanges that unfold over time. *Just as no intelligent system can learn everything in one step, the universe cannot “compute itself” in a single operation.* Each iteration of the ToE equations corresponds to a new equilibrium of informational exchange—a temporary compromise between maximum entropy flow and local structural coherence. As the computation continues, these equilibria shift, converge, or branch, giving rise to the unfolding complexity of physical phenomena. *The field does not simply produce a number; it generates a sequence of self-correcting informational states, much like a neural network training toward a stable configuration.*

In practical terms, solving the ToE equations resembles running an adaptive algorithm rather than performing an analytic integration. One begins with an initial informational distribution—an estimate of the entropy field's configuration—and lets the iterative dynamics refine it through successive updates. Each cycle incorporates new information, recalibrates the entropy gradients, and adjusts the coupling between entropy, energy, and structure. The process continues until convergence: a state in which further iterations yield only marginal changes, signifying that the

field has achieved a locally consistent pattern of entropic flow. Even then, this “solution” is contextual and provisional, for any new input—any new entropy source—can restart the iteration and reshape the solution landscape entirely.

This stands in contrast to Einstein’s framework, where solutions, once found, remain valid for all time under fixed conditions. The ToE framework embodies self-updating physics. The field does not just evolve within a spacetime geometry—it evolves the geometry itself. Each iteration redefines what space, time, and causality mean within that region of the entropy field. The mathematics of ToE therefore operates closer to the realities of computation, information theory, and artificial intelligence than to the classical calculus of differential geometry. It functions not as a static map but as an algorithmic process—a continuous dialogue between entropy and its constraints.

This correspondence between physical law and computation is profound. In the digital realm, iterative algorithms dominate because they mirror the logic of learning: they refine approximations through feedback. The ToE equations capture this same principle at the foundation of nature. *The universe is not merely a set of equations waiting to be solved—it is an active, self-correcting computation that refines its informational structure moment by moment.* The iterative nature of ToE is thus not a concession to mathematical complexity; it is a recognition of physical reality’s deepest truth:

that existence itself unfolds as an ongoing entropic computation, always approaching balance, never entirely reaching it.

7. Modeling the Iterative Solutions of ToE: Entropic Computation and the Limits of Analytic Physics

The iterative nature of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE) is not just a philosophical statement—it defines how the equations must actually be approached in practice. Unlike Einstein’s general relativity, which allows one to specify matter distributions, apply symmetry constraints, and then solve for a spacetime metric, the ToE equations cannot be separated into such neat layers. The entropic field does not evolve within spacetime; it creates spacetime as part of its own ongoing recalibration. This means that the geometry, the energy flow, and the informational content are all updated together in each computational cycle.

To approximate a ToE solution numerically, one would begin with an initial configuration of the entropy field—an informational landscape representing the current distribution of entropy density and its gradients. The equations then evolve this landscape by enforcing two simultaneous tendencies: the drive toward maximum entropy (the global imperative) and the local constraints that delay or resist that drive (the entropic resistance). These two sides of the field equations act like competing neural signals: one pushes the system toward greater disorder and equilibrium, while the other preserves structure, coherence, and identifiable physical forms. The true evolution of the field emerges only through the balance between them.

This requires computational methods far beyond what is used for solving Einstein’s equations. Whereas relativity can often be handled with differential geometry, tensor algebra, and boundary-value integration, the ToE field must be handled with iterative information-geometric flows. Each iteration adjusts the local entropy gradients, recalculates how

information is redistributed, and redefines the coupling between entropy and observable quantities like energy, momentum, and curvature. Because each iteration changes the very geometry of the field, the problem cannot be linearized or decoupled. There is no fixed background metric to calculate against—the “metric” itself is an emergent output of the iteration.

This recursive structure places the ToE equations in the same conceptual category as self-learning algorithms or complex adaptive systems. Each iteration is both a solution and a new problem, because it alters the informational context that generated it. The process continues until a quasi-stationary state is reached—a point where additional iterations yield diminishing returns, suggesting that the field has reached a local equilibrium. Even then, that equilibrium is provisional; any perturbation, any new source of entropy or information, will reignite the iteration process. The field, like the universe it represents, is never finished calculating itself.

From a computational point of view, this is a monumental challenge. Einstein’s equations, despite their difficulty, remain comparatively static systems of nonlinear partial differential equations. They are self-consistent once initial and boundary conditions are defined. By contrast, the ToE equations are dynamic self-referential systems, combining the properties of stochastic processes, thermodynamic feedback, and probabilistic geometry. They behave less like algebraic statements to be solved and more like adaptive learning algorithms that refine themselves through feedback. The computational cost grows not just with spatial resolution, but with informational depth—the number of entropic refinements required to capture the evolving field’s internal consistency.

In practical modeling, this would demand hybrid numerical architectures: iterative relaxation algorithms, entropy-constrained Monte Carlo methods, and information-geometric gradient flows that converge only probabilistically. Each computational step resembles a Bayesian update, where the field refines its internal state based on newly computed entropy exchanges. Even on a conceptual level, such a process implies that ToE cannot be “solved” in the traditional mathematical sense; it can only be simulated and approximated as the universe itself does—through continuous, iterative computation of its own informational balance.

This recursive, algorithmic nature makes ToE far more complex, comprehensive and profound than Einstein’s framework. In relativity, geometry is the stage upon which physical processes unfold; in ToE, the geometry is itself a living participant—a mutable consequence of entropy flow. Where Einstein’s equations describe how matter tells spacetime how to curve, the ToE equations describe how entropy tells information, matter, and geometry how to co-create one another. The difference is not merely technical—it is ontological. Solving Einstein’s equations maps how the universe behaves; solving the ToE equations reveals how the universe thinks.

8. The Speed of Light as the Rate of Entropic Rearrangement

One of the most striking reinterpretations in ToE is the role of the speed of light. In Einstein’s theory, the speed c is a universal constant, the maximum speed at which information and matter can travel. In ToE, this constancy is explained:

The speed of light is the maximum rate at which the entropic field can redistribute information.

When two particles interact, the entropic field surrounding them must rearrange to accommodate new information about their states. This rearrangement takes time. If it could happen instantaneously, the structure of reality would collapse—causality, coherence, and conservation laws would be violated. The finite rate of redistribution, embodied in c , ensures that the universe remains consistent.

Thus, the constancy of light is no longer a postulate but a consequence of the entropic field.

The No-Rush Theorem and the Vuli–Ndlela Integral

Two key constructs illustrate the originality of ToE:

- The No-Rush Theorem establishes that no interaction can occur faster than the entropic field can rearrange. This universal time-limit is the foundation of causality.
- The Vuli–Ndlela Integral reformulates Feynman's path integral in entropic terms. By weighting paths according to entropy, it introduces irreversibility and temporal asymmetry into quantum mechanics.

Together, these constructs unify thermodynamics, relativity, and quantum theory within a single entropic continuum.

Generalized Entropies and the Geometry of Information

ToE extends beyond classical entropy by incorporating Rényi and Tsallis generalized entropies. These generalized measures introduce deformation parameters, often denoted as α (alpha) and q , which act as indices linking geometry, information, and entropy.

In this framework of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE), the geometry of space, the curvature of information, and the flow of entropy are not separate. They are different manifestations of the same underlying field. The deformation parameters are not incidental; they are integral to the structure of reality.

Comparisons with Other Entropic Theories

It is important to distinguish ToE from other entropic approaches:

- Entropic Gravity (**Verlinde**): Treats gravity as an emergent entropic force but does not elevate entropy to a field.
- Gravity from Entropy (**Bianconi**): Introduces an entropic action and a G -field but still treats entropy as a derived measure.
- Entropic Dynamics (**Caticha**): Derives dynamics from entropic inference but does not posit a physical entropy field.

The **Theory of Entropicity (ToE)** is thus unique in literally elevating entropy to a continuous, dynamical field with its own action, kinetics and field equations rigorously built from the sophisticated mathematical tools of information geometry, generalized entropies, Araki Relative Entropy, Kullback-Leibler (Umegaki) Divergence, and of course Spectral Operators.

A Radical Reflection of Einstein's Insight

Einstein once said that the most incomprehensible thing about the universe is that it is comprehensible. His decisive step was to abandon the ether and elevate the constancy of light. The Theory of Entropicity takes a parallel step: to abandon the view of entropy as secondary and elevate it to the universal field.

In this light, the constant of light is not merely the velocity of photons. It is the heartbeat of existence itself—the universal rhythm Einstein uncovered, now interpreted and explained by the Theory of Entropicity.

The Architecture of Elegance in the Theory of Entropicity (ToE): Why Iterative Solutions Matter

One of the most intriguing aspects of the Theory of Entropicity is not just what it claims, but how its equations behave. Einstein's field equations in General Relativity are famously nonlinear and difficult, but they can be solved explicitly in certain cases: black holes, cosmological models, gravitational waves. These explicit solutions have become iconic landmarks in physics.

The field equations of ToE, by contrast, resist such closed-form solutions. They are inherently iterative. This is not a flaw but a feature. It reflects the very nature of entropy: information is never updated in one leap, but through successive refinements. Just as Bayesian inference proceeds step by step, so too does the entropic field evolve through iterative adjustments.

This means that ToE is naturally aligned with the computational age. Its mathematics mirrors the algorithms that drive machine learning, optimization, and simulation. In a sense, the universe itself is revealed as an iterative computer, updating its entropic field in real time.

Why This Step of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE) Is Decisive

ToE's decisive step is not just a technical maneuver. It is a philosophical re-anchoring of physics. By declaring entropy the universal field, ToE does what Einstein did with light: it takes something once seen as derivative and elevates it to the foundation.

1. Einstein said: the speed of light is not a property of photons, but the invariant structure of spacetime.
2. ToE says: entropy is not a measure of disorder, but the invariant field of existence.

This shift has consequences that ripple across every domain of science. It reframes causality, coherence, and conservation as consequences of entropic flow. It explains why time has a direction. It unifies thermodynamics, relativity, and quantum mechanics under one principle.

Beyond Physics: The Wider Implications of Obidi's Theory of Entropicity (ToE)

The Theory of Entropicity is not just a new physics. It is a new ontology—a new way of thinking about reality itself. Its implications extend far beyond physics and the laboratory.

1. Cosmology: The cosmological constant emerges naturally as a feature of the entropic field, not as an arbitrary parameter.
2. The cosmological constant, long a puzzle in physics, emerges naturally from the entropic field. It is not an arbitrary parameter but a feature of entropy's geometry. The accelerating expansion of the universe is not a mystery but a manifestation of entropic flow.
3. Computation: The finite rate of entropy redistribution sets ultimate limits on computation and information processing. No algorithm, no matter how clever, can outrun the heartbeat of the entropic field. This reframes the theory of computation in physical terms: information processing is bounded by entropy flow.
4. Biology: Life itself can be seen as a local strategy for managing entropy. Organisms are entropic engines, channeling gradients to maintain coherence. Evolution is the story of increasingly sophisticated ways of surfing the entropic field.
5. Neuroscience and Cognition: Consciousness may emerge as a pattern of entropic coherence in neural systems. The brain is not just a network of neurons but a dynamic entropic manifold, constantly reconfiguring gradients of information.
6. Philosophy: ToE offers a new ontology: ToE offers a new ontology in which geometry, force, and information are not separate entities but projections of a single entropic reality. This collapses traditional dualisms—matter and mind, physics and information, being and becoming—into one entropic continuum.

The Poetry of Physics: A New Language for Reality Offered by the Theory of Entropicity (ToE)

Science is not only about equations. It is also about metaphors, images, and stories that help us grasp the invisible. In this respect, ToE thus gives us a new language:

1. Time is the flow of entropy.
2. Space is the map of entropic gradients.
3. Motion is the reconfiguration of those gradients.
4. Light is the maximum rate of entropic rearrangement.
5. Reality itself is the heartbeat of entropy.

This language is not just poetic. It is rigorous. It translates the abstractions of mathematics into intuitions we can feel. It allows us to see the universe not as a machine but as a living field of entropic flow.

Challenges and the Road Ahead

No new theory is complete at birth. ToE faces its own challenges, and we enumerate some of its challenges below:

1. Mathematical development: The Master Entropic Equation must be explored in detail, with iterative methods refined and tested.
2. Empirical validation: Predictions must be compared with experiment. Does ToE reproduce known results of relativity and quantum mechanics? Does it predict new phenomena?
3. Conceptual integration: ToE must be situated within the broader landscape of physics, showing how it relates to string theory, loop quantum gravity, and other unification attempts.

These challenges are real. But they are also opportunities. They are the work of a generation, just as Einstein's profound and beautiful insights required decades to unfold.

A Universe Rewritten from the Vantage Point of Obidi's Theory of Entropicity (ToE)

The Theory of Entropicity invites us to see the universe anew. It tells us that entropy is not the shadow of order but the light itself. It tells us that time, space, and motion are not givens but emergent features of an entropic field. It tells us that the speed of light is not just a number but the heartbeat of existence.

In doing so, it takes a decisive step in the lineage of Einstein. Where Einstein abandoned the ether and elevated light, ToE abandons the secondary status of entropy and elevates it to the universal field.

This is not just a new theory. It is a new story of reality. A story in which the universe is not a machine but a living field of entropy, iteratively updating itself, forever unfolding, forever coherent.

The Chronos of Time and the Pyros of Light: A New Philosophy of Life, Experience, and Existence from the Theory of Entropicity (ToE)

From the dawn of thought, humanity has sought to understand two of nature's deepest mysteries: time and light. The ancient Greeks spoke of Chronos, the relentless flow of time, and Pyros, the divine essence of fire—the light that illuminates both the heavens and the human soul. In their myths, these were not separate phenomena but twin aspects of existence itself. The Theory of Entropicity (ToE) resurrects this ancient intuition within a scientific framework, revealing that Chronos and Pyros are not mere poetic abstractions, but the dual manifestation of a single universal principle—Entropy.

Chronos: The Flow of Entropy and the Direction of Existence

Time, in the language of ToE, is not a background coordinate but an emergent property of entropic flow. Chronos is the directional unfolding of entropy—the irreversible drift through states of increasing informational richness. Every moment is not simply a tick of the clock but an act of reconfiguration, a quantum of change in the universe's information architecture.

In Einstein's relativity, time bends and stretches with motion and gravity, but it remains geometrically defined—a measure inscribed in spacetime. ToE replaces this geometric understanding with an entropic one: time is the memory of entropy's rearrangement. What we perceive as “past” is the record of entropy that has already been integrated; what we call “future” is the potential entropy still awaiting realization. The present is the razor's edge of computation, the infinitesimal instant where entropy flows through the universe's informational network to update reality itself.

Chronos, then, is not a passive current carrying us along—it is the very engine of becoming. It is entropy that drives the arrow of time forward, ensuring that no two configurations of the universe are ever truly identical. This entropic Chronos is both creative and dissolutive: it builds order by dissolving constraints, and it gives meaning to existence by

ensuring that each state of being is unique and unrepeatable. Time is thus not the shadow of motion, as Aristotle once believed, but the shadow of transformation—the measure of how deeply the universe has restructured itself.

Pyros: The Light of Entropy and the Illumination of Reality by the Theory of Entropicity (ToE)

If Chronos is the current of existence, then Pyros—the principle of light—is the manifestation of entropy's speed. Light is not merely a wave or a photon; it is the outer expression of the maximum rate at which entropy can reorganize reality. In this sense, ToE reveals that the speed of light is not a property of electromagnetism but a property of the entropic field itself—the upper bound on how fast the universe can compute change.

Pyros is entropy in motion at its natural limit. It is the rhythm of universal transformation made visible. Every photon is an emissary of the entropic field, carrying information from one configuration of the universe to another. Where relativity says that light defines the causal structure of spacetime, ToE says that light is the causal structure—the outward sign of entropy's inner logic.

In the cosmic furnace (of Entropy), *the fire of Pyros and the flow of Chronos are inseparable*. Light gives birth to time by establishing the maximum rate of transformation, while time sustains light by ensuring the continuity of entropy's expansion. The two together form a sacred duet—the cosmic pulse of change and illumination, the twin signatures of a universe that is both alive and self-aware.

From Fire (Pyros) and Time (Chronos) to Life and Consciousness

The ancient philosophers understood fire not only as a physical element but as the principle of animation. Heraclitus (the Fire Philosopher of antiquity) spoke of a world ever-living, ignited by fire and ruled by flux. *The Theory of Entropicity (ToE) brings scientific precision to this intuition: entropy is not decay but vitality—the breath of transformation that gives rise to complexity, consciousness, and creation itself.*

Every living system is a local resistance to entropy's flow, yet it exists only because of that flow. Life is an island of order maintained by exporting entropy outward, a self-organizing loop sustained by the irreversible passage of time. ToE shows that the same field that drives galaxies into rotation also drives neurons into thought. Chronos and Pyros thus reappear in every heartbeat, every synaptic pulse, every flicker of awareness: *time's unfolding and light's transmission combine to produce the continuity of experience.*

Consciousness, in this entropic cosmology (entropology), becomes the highest expression of the universe's self-organization. It is not an anomaly within physics but a continuation of the same entropic process that shapes stars and atoms. The act of awareness—the moment when perception becomes meaning—is the local convergence of Chronos and Pyros: *the entropy of experience flowing at the speed of understanding.*

Toward a New Philosophy of Being from ToE's Pyros and Chronos

What emerges from the Theory of Entropicity is not just a new physics but a new philosophy of life. It restores unity to domains long thought separate—science and spirit, matter and meaning, light and time. Chronos teaches us that existence is not a static condition but a journey of perpetual transformation. Pyros teaches us that this transformation is radiant, illuminating, and creative. Together they reveal that the universe is not an object to be observed but a story being written—a vast, entropic poem authored by the flow of time and the fire of light.

In this view, every act of perception, every moment of change, and every flicker of awareness is a spark of Pyros tracing the contour of Chronos. To exist is to participate in the entropic computation of reality—to be both a product and a process of universal transformation. The Theory of Entropicity therefore gives philosophical voice to what physics has long intuited but never named: that life itself is the geometry of entropy in motion.

The ancients intuited this unity in myth; ToE restores it through science. Chronos and Pyros, time and light, fire and matter—all are expressions of the same eternal principle: the will of entropy to become aware of itself. The flow of time is the rhythm of that awareness; the light that fills the cosmos is its visible signature. To live, then, is to burn—to transform entropy into meaning, to be consumed by the fire of existence while illuminating the infinite night that dawns into the Eternal!

Closing Reflection and Conclusion: Toward a New Synthesis of Natural Laws and Phenomena

Einstein once remarked that “the distinction between past, present, and future is only a stubbornly persistent illusion.” The Theory of Entropicity (ToE) gives that remark a new resonance:

Time is not a container we move through but the flow of entropy itself. Past and future are directions of redistribution. The present is the pulse of the entropic field.

In this light, physics is not just about particles and forces. It is about the rhythm of existence. And ToE is our attempt to hear that rhythm clearly, to write it down, and to follow where it leads.

The Theory of Entropicity is still young. Its equations are complex, its methods iterative, and its implications vast. But its central claim is simple: entropy is not a shadow cast by deeper laws. It is the law.

By elevating entropy to the fundamental field, ToE offers a new synthesis of thermodynamics, relativity, and quantum theory. It reframes time, space, and motion as manifestations of entropy flow. It explains the constancy of light as the finite rate of entropic rearrangement. It unifies Relativity, Thermodynamics, Quantum Mechanics, and Information.

References

- Obidi, John Onimisi. 2025. The Theory of Entropicity (ToE): A New Path Toward the Unification of Physics: From Einstein's Special and General Theory of Relativity and Schrödinger-Heisenberg's Quantum Mechanics to Obidi's Theory of Entropicity (ToE). Substack. Accessed October 23, 2025.

https://open.substack.com/pub/johnobidi/p/the-theory-of-entropicity-toe-a-new?r=1yk33z&utm_campaign=post&utm_medium=web&showWelcomeOnShare=false

2. Obidi, John Onimisi. 2025. On the Conceptual and Mathematical Foundations of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE): An Alternative Path toward Quantum Gravity and the Unification of Physics. Cambridge University. Published October 17, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2025-1dsrv>
3. Obidi, John Onimisi. 2025. A Simple Explanation of the Unifying Mathematical Architecture of the Theory of Entropicity (ToE): Crucial Elements of ToE as a Field Theory. Cambridge University. Published October 20, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2025-bpvf3>
4. Obidi, John Onimisi. 2025. Transformational Unification through the Theory of Entropicity (ToE): A Reformulation of Quantum–Gravitational Correspondence via the Obidi Action and the Vuli-Ndlela Integral. Cambridge University. Published October 20, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2025-626cj>
5. Obidi, John Onimisi. On the Discovery of New Laws of Conservation and Uncertainty, Probability and CPT-Theorem Symmetry-Breaking in the Standard Model of Particle Physics: More Revolutionary Insights from the Theory of Entropicity (ToE). Cambridge University; 14 June 2025. <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2025-n4n45>
6. Physics:HandWiki Master Index of Source Papers on Theory of Entropicity(ToE). (2025, September 9). HandWiki, . Retrieved 17:33, September 9, 2025 from [https://handwiki.org/wiki/index.php?title=Physics:HandWiki_Master_Index_of_Source_Papers_on_Theory_of_Entropicity\(ToE\)&oldid=3743060](https://handwiki.org/wiki/index.php?title=Physics:HandWiki_Master_Index_of_Source_Papers_on_Theory_of_Entropicity(ToE)&oldid=3743060)
7. Obidi, John Onimisi. "Einstein and Bohr Finally Reconciled on Quantum Theory: The Theory of Entropicity (ToE) as the Unifying Resolution to the Problem of Quantum Measurement and Wave Function Collapse". Cambridge University. (14 April 2025). <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2025-vrfrx>
8. Philosophy:Obidi's Agile Manifesto in Publishing of Revolutionary Ideas. (2025, September 9). HandWiki, . Retrieved 17:37, September 9, 2025 from https://handwiki.org/wiki/index.php?title=Philosophy:Obidi%27s_Agile_Manifesto_in_Publishing_of_Revolutionary_Ideas&oldid=3743065

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/132433>