

# Benefits of Agrivoltaics System

Subjects: Engineering, Environmental

Contributor: Meagan Reasoner, Aritra Ghosh

As more nations move towards net-zero emission goals by 2050, research into the coupling of photovoltaics (PV) and agriculture has increased into a new sector of agrivoltaics (AV). Measurement of the Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) has allowed researchers to develop methods for optimizing the agrivoltaic system. While AVs are seen as a method to reduce the land loss for traditional PV farms, research has shown that they can be both beneficial to crop growth and PV efficiency. The levels of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) under the solar modules impact crop species in different ways. Understanding the PAR can be used to determine the most suitable crop to plant for each season. Factors such as micro-climates and water requirements are also changed due to the set-up of each AV system.

Keywords: agriculture ; agrivoltaic ; photovoltaic ; renewable energy ; land-use

## 1. Land Use Optimization

While the advancements in photovoltaics (PV) technologies have improved the overall power density <sup>[1]</sup>, land requirements are still a major concern for utility-scale PV power plants. The recent study conducted by <sup>[1]</sup> determined that the power density  $\frac{MW_{DC}}{Acre}$

was 52% higher than the 2011 calculations for fixed-tilt plants and 42% higher for tracking plants. However, even with the vast improvements in power density, a small utility-scale solar system would require ~14.25 acres of land. This land requirement has helped drive the increase in agrivoltaics (AV) research <sup>[2]</sup>. In a PV system, optimization is dependent on the tilt angle ( $\theta$ ), maximizing the solar irradiation on the panels <sup>[3]</sup>, whereas the coupled system needs to account for inter-row shading to minimize the loss of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) on the crops. This has led the way for optimization factors such as the land equivalent ratio (LER), which according to <sup>[4]</sup>, is defined as

$$LER = \frac{Yield\ of\ Plants_{AV}}{Yield\ of\ Plants_{no\ AV}} + \frac{Electricity\ Yield_{AV}}{Electricity\ Yield_{no\ AV}}$$

to use as an economic parameter that considers both biomass and electricity yields. The Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) compares the land utilization individually and combined in an AV system. The initial works of <sup>[5]</sup> considered module spacing as a key parameter in optimizing the AV system. Early works <sup>[6]</sup>, based in Montpellier, France, analyzed the LER of a full-density and half-density AV set-up and compared the results to determine the impact of module shading on the overall system efficiency.

In addition to module spacing, modeling of different PV technologies, such as vertical bifacial solar modules <sup>[7][8]</sup> and single-axis tracking <sup>[9]</sup>, were used as methods to optimize electricity generation. Experiments run by the University of Oregon <sup>[10][11]</sup> and by <sup>[12]</sup> examined in situ data on the effects of crops on the efficiency of PV modules. Several feasibility studies examined land availability <sup>[13]</sup> and different climates throughout Europe <sup>[14]</sup> to determine where an AV system would be most suitable. Studies looked into the impact of crops on the ambient temperature surrounding the solar system. Herein, both numerical models and field tests were used to determine how AV systems contribute to the overall efficiency of the PV.

## 2. Mutually Beneficial Relationship

The optimization of an AV system requires an understanding of both the crops PAR, which is considered to be the entire visible light spectrum (400–700 nm) <sup>[15]</sup>, and PV efficiency. Earlier studies analyzed the impacts of shading on crop yields (lettuce) <sup>[16]</sup> and the benefits of micro-climates created by under-panel crops <sup>[17]</sup>. These initial studies were limited in the variety of crop types and locations. However, with the growing need to move towards dual-land use options, numerous experimental and modeled studies were conducted in this region of interest. A substantial amount of current research revolves around identifying crops that would benefit from growing in an AV system. The determination of AV-compatible

crops is vital to the success of a coupled system. Studies such as those conducted by [18][19] analyzed the irradiation under the PV modules and the overall effects on crop yields. Along with in situ experiments, researchers made use of simulation software for both PV electricity generation (PVSYST) and for crop modeling (STICS) to run optimization studies [3]. A comprehensive list of crops that were studied recently (post-2016) and how the shading from an AV system impacts crop yield is provided.

### 3. Crop Production

As installations of AV systems have increased in the last five years, the field of research has expanded into determining crops that are best suited for the coupled system. Herein, 23 studies were covered and it was focused on the impact of PVs on the growth of different crops. The most common plants studied were lettuce, tomatoes, and wheat, covering four different countries and three states. The different studies were designed to make observations on different aspects of the AV systems. **Figure 1** shows the set-up for a study on lettuce growth under two types of AV arrangements [18]. Some studies, such as those conducted by [20], investigated the relationship to crop production to the profitability of the AV farm, while other studies, such as the one conducted by [21], focused on crop yield.



**Figure 1.** Lettuce experiment conducted by [18].

#### Non-Commercial Crops

The studies reviewed also considered the use of AV for grazing grounds for different animals. An in situ study conducted in Oregon, USA, [22] determined that despite a reduction in herbage (38%), the AV produced higher quality; thus, spring lamb growth was not affected. In a modeled study regarding rabbits, Ref. [23] described how AV could also be used as a protective fence against predators while allowing the rabbits to graze on the grass under the panel, which has the potential to increase the revenue of a PV farm. The conclusion was reached by a reduction in operation and maintenance costs associated with grass mowing. Another new area of research for non-commercial crops is based on what is referred to as pollinators. Ref. [24] investigated the impact the solar canopy had on the bloom time of habitats for pollinating insects. They showed that the AV system increased the floral yield and delayed bloom time, which can help late-season pollinators.

### 4. Micro-Climates

The effects of a PV on the shaded area underneath tend to create a micro-climate. These micro-climates generally have lower soil and crop temperatures [17] and impact water requirements and PV efficiency. The panel layout, also impacts the micro-climate. Ref. [25] evaluated a Python model using a checkerboard panel placement. The method was not able to increase soil temperatures enough to prevent frost.



#### 4.1. Water Usage

Several studies were conducted on the micro-climate that is created under AV systems. As indicated<sup>[21]</sup>, monitored both crop yield but also water efficiency. The transpiration rate is slower under the PV due to the shading. This both lowers the soil temperature<sup>[26]</sup> and increases soil moisture<sup>[21]</sup>, leading to a reduction in water usage. It was concluded by both<sup>[21][27]</sup> that hot arid climates would benefit from the creation of these AV micro-climates. The study by<sup>[28]</sup> saw a reduction in crop yield but also observed an increase in irrigation savings for all crops ranging from 9 to 14% savings. Another study in Oregon by<sup>[29]</sup> found a staggering 328% increase in water efficiency at their Rabbit Hills site (**Figure 2**) for areas observed under the AV system. This savings in water is yet another benefit that farmers would gain with an AV system.



**Figure 2.** Rabbit Hill site set-up for micro-climate observation Source: <sup>[29]</sup>.

#### 4.2. Increased PV Efficiency

There is a correlation between increasing temperatures and decreasing efficiencies of PV panels<sup>[30]</sup>. The micro-climates of the AV systems were shown to reduce ambient temperatures<sup>[31]</sup>. In a study conducted on Bok Choy in Thailand, it was demonstrated that while plant growth was reduced due to shading, the panel efficiency increased by ~1%<sup>[32]</sup>. While this increase is not a significant improvement over the life-cycle of the panels depending on the size of the array could lead to a surmountable amount of energy production.

---

#### References

1. Bolinger, M.; Bolinger, G. Land Requirements for Utility-Scale PV: An Empirical Update on Power and Energy Density. *IEEE J. Photovolt.* 2022, 12, 589–594.
2. Dias, L.; Gouveia, J.P.; Lourenço, P.; Seixas, J. Interplay between the potential of photovoltaic systems and agricultural land use. *Land Use Policy* 2018, 81, 725–735.
3. Dinesh, H.; Pearce, J.M. The Potential of Agrivoltaic Systems. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2016, 54, 299–308.
4. Beck, M.; Bopp, G.; Goetzberger, A.; Obergfell, T.; Reise, C.; Schindele, S. Combining PV and Food Crops to Agrophotovoltaic? Optimization of Orientation and Harvest. In *Proceedings of the 27th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition, EU PVSEC, Frankfurt, Germany, 24–28 September 2012*.
5. Goetzberger, A.; Zastrow, A. On the Coexistence of Solar-Energy Conversion and Plant Cultivation. *Int. J. Sol. Energy* 1982, 1, 55–69.
6. Dupraz, C.; Marrou, H.; Talbot, G.; Dufour, L.; Nogier, A.; Ferard, Y. Combining solar photovoltaic panels and food crops for optimising land use: Towards new agrivoltaic schemes. *Renew. Energy* 2011, 36, 2725–2732.
7. Riaz, M.H.; Imran, H.; Younas, R.; Butt, N.Z. The optimization of vertical bifacial photovoltaic farms for efficient agrivoltaic systems. *Sol. Energy* 2021, 230, 1004–1012.

8. Imran, H.; Riaz, M.H. Investigating the potential of east/west vertical bifacial photovoltaic farm for agrivoltaic systems. *J. Renew. Sustain. Energy* 2021, 13, 033502.
9. Imran, H.; Riaz, M.H.; Butt, N.Z. Optimization of Single-Axis Tracking of Photovoltaic Modules for Agrivoltaic Systems. In *Proceedings of the 2020 47th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference (PVSC)*, Calgary, AB, Canada, 15 June–21 August 2020; IEEE: New York, NY, USA, 2020; pp. 1353–1356.
10. Adeg, E.H.; Good, S.P.; Calaf, M.; Higgins, C.W. Solar PV Power Potential is Greatest Over Croplands. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 1–6.
11. Al-Agele, H.A.; Nackley, L.; Higgins, C.W. A pathway for sustainable agriculture. *Sustainability* 2021, 13, 4328.
12. Weselek, A.; Bauerle, A.; Zikeli, S.; Lewandowski, I.; Högy, P. Effects on Crop Development, Yields and Chemical Composition of Celeriac (*Apium graveolens* L. var. *rapaceum*) Cultivated Underneath an Agrivoltaic System. *Agronomy* 2021, 11, 733.
13. Cosgun, A.E. The potential of Agrivoltaic systems in TURKEY. *Energy Rep.* 2021, 7, 105–111.
14. Willockx, B.; Herteleer, B.; Cappelle, J. Theoretical potential of agrovoltaic systems in Europe: A preliminary study with winter wheat. In *Proceedings of the Conference Record of the IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference*, Calgary, AB, Canada, 15 June–21 August 2020.
15. Apostoleris, H.; Chiesa, M. High-concentration photovoltaics for dual-use with agriculture. In *Proceedings of the AIP Conference Proceedings*, Fes, Morocco, 25–27 March 2019; American Institute of Physics Inc.: College Park, MD, USA, 2019; Volume 2149.
16. Marrou, H.; Wery, J.; Dufour, L.; Dupraz, C. Productivity and radiation use efficiency of lettuces grown in the partial shade of photovoltaic panels. *Eur. J. Agron.* 2013, 44, 54–66.
17. Marrou, H.; Guillioni, L.; Dufour, L.; Dupraz, C.; Wery, J. Microclimate under agrivoltaic systems: Is crop growth rate affected in the partial shade of solar panels? *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 2013, 177, 117–132.
18. Carreño-Ortega, A.; do Paço, T.A.; Díaz-Pérez, M.; Gómez-Galán, M. Lettuce Production under Mini-PV Modules Arranged in Patterned Designs. *Agronomy* 2021, 11, 2554.
19. Kwon, O.H.; Lee, K.S. Agrophotovoltaic Designs: Irradiation Analysis on and under PV Modules. *J. Korean Sol. Energy Soc.* 2021, 41, 9–23.
20. Cuppari, R.I.; Higgins, C.W.; Characklis, G.W. Agrivoltaics and weather risk: A diversification strategy for landowners. *Appl. Energy* 2021, 291, 116809.
21. Barron-Gafford, G.A.; Pavao-Zuckerman, M.A.; Minor, R.L.; Sutter, L.F.; Barnett-Moreno, I.; Blackett, D.T.; Thompson, M.; Dimond, K.; Gerlak, A.K.; Nabhan, G.P.; et al. Agrivoltaics provide mutual benefits across the food-energy-water nexus in drylands. *Nat. Sustain.* 2019, 2, 848–855.
22. Andrew, A.C.; Higgins, C.W.; Smallman, M.A.; Graham, M.; Ates, S. Herbage Yield, Lamb Growth and Foraging Behavior in Agrivoltaic Production System. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 2021, 5, 659175.
23. Lytle, W.; Meyer, T.K.; Tanikella, N.G.; Burnham, L.; Engel, J.; Schelly, C.; Pearce, J.M. Conceptual Design and Rationale for a New Agrivoltaics Concept: Pasture-Raised Rabbits and Solar Farming. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2020, 282, 124476.
24. Graham, M.; Ates, S.; Melathopoulos, A.P.; Moldenke, A.R.; DeBano, S.J.; Best, L.R.; Higgins, C.W. Partial shading by solar panels delays bloom, increases floral abundance during the late-season for pollinators in a dryland, agrivoltaic ecosystem. *Sci. Rep.* 2021, 11, 1–13.
25. Willockx, B.; Herteleer, B.; Cappelle, J. Combining photovoltaic modules and food crops: First agrovoltaic prototype in belgium. *Renew. Energy Power Qual. J.* 2020, 18, 266–271.
26. Weselek, A.; Bauerle, A.; Zikeli, S.; Lewandowski, I.; Högy, P. Agrivoltaic system impacts on microclimate and yield of different crops within an organic crop rotation in a temperate climate. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 2021, 41, 59.
27. Weselek, A.; Ehmann, A.; Zikeli, S.; Lewandowski, I.; Schindele, S.; Högy, P. Agrophotovoltaic systems: Applications, challenges, and opportunities. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 2019, 39, 35.
28. Moreda, G.P.; Muñoz-García, M.A.; Alonso-García, M.C.; Hernández-Callejo, L. Techno-economic viability of agro-photovoltaic irrigated arable lands in the eu-med region: A case-study in southwestern spain. *Agronomy* 2021, 11, 593.
29. Hassanpour Adeg, E.; Selker, J.S.; Higgins, C.W. Remarkable agrivoltaic influence on soil moisture, micrometeorology and water-use efficiency. *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, e0203256.
30. Dubey, S.; Sarvaiya, J.N.; Seshadri, B. Temperature Dependent Photovoltaic (PV) Efficiency and Its Effect on PV Production in the World—A Review. *Energy Procedia* 2013, 33, 311–321.



31. Othman, N.F.; Yap, S.; Ya'Acob, M.E.; Hizam, H.; Su, A.S.; Iskandar, N. Performance evaluation for agrovoltaic DC generation in tropical climatic conditions. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 2019, 2129, 020006.
32. Kumpanalaisatit, M.; Setthapun, W.; Sintuya, H.; Jansri, S.N. Efficiency improvement of ground-mounted solar power generation in agrivoltaic system by cultivation of bok choy (*Brassica rapa* subsp. *chinensis* L.) under the panels. *Int. J. Renew. Energy Dev.* 2021, 11, 103–110.

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/65674>