Heat Stress

Subjects: Plant Sciences Contributor: Miura Kenji , Islam Abdellatif , , Takuya Suzaki

Heat stress (HS) is a prevalent negative factor affecting plant growth and development, as it is predominant worldwide and threatens agriculture on a large scale. PHYTOCHROMES (PHYs) are photoreceptors that control plant growth and development, and the stress signaling response partially interferes with their activity.

tomato PHYTOCHROME A PHYTOCHROME B1B2

1. Introduction

Abiotic stress caused by environmental changes negatively affects plant growth and development ^[1]. Global warming has greatly impacted agriculture ^[2], and in many areas of the world, heat stress (HS) is one of the most crucial threats to plant growth and development, as it leads to a severe reduction in economic yield by causing morpho-anatomical, physiological, and biochemical changes in plants ^[3]. From 2050 to 2100, HS will likely negatively affect tomato growth and productivity in the open field and decrease the optimal areas for cultivation ^[4]. An approach to mitigate the adverse effects of HS is to develop crop plants with improved plant stress tolerance using various genetic methods ^[3].

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) is an economically important crop worldwide that is sensitive to a series of abiotic stresses, particularly extreme temperatures ^[5]. The optimum temperature for tomato growth, fruit set, and yield ranges between 21 and 29.5 °C during the day and between 18.5 and 21 °C during the night ^[6].

PHYTOCHROMES (PHYs), which absorb red and far-red light, are the most characterized photoreceptors in plants ^[2]. Plant growth and development (from seed germination to flowering) can be controlled by PHYs. PHYs regulate both biotic stress and stress induced by abiotic factors, such as high and low temperatures, salinity, drought, toxic metals, ultraviolet B radiation, and herbivory ^[8], by changing a wide range of biochemical and molecular responses ^[2]. The number and types of *PHYs* vary among plant species. Tomato and Arabidopsis contain five PHYs in their genomes. Tomato plants have *PHYA*, *PHYB1*, *PHYB2*, *PHYE*, and *PHYF* ^[9], whereas *Arabidopsis* contains *PHYA*–*PHYE* ^[10]. In rice, plants have only three *PHYs*, *PHYA*–*PHYC* ^[11]. Bioinformatics analysis of microarrays has clarified the performance of *Arabidopsis* PHYA and PHYB photoreceptors in plant HS responses ^[12] and revealed that PHYB also functions as a photoreceptor and temperature sensor in *Arabidopsis* plants ^[13].

2. Functional Characterization of Tomato Phytochrome A and B1B2 Mutants in Response to Heat Stress

Tomato *phyA* and *phyB1B2* exhibited tolerance to HS during seed germination and the vegetative growth phase and for a short time of HS during the flowering stage. A long period of HS during the flowering or fruiting stage returned a response similar to that of the WT, which showed a sensitive response under all growth phases.

For the seed germination stage, both *phy* mutants showed nonsignificant differences in seed germination rate under normal and HS conditions, whereas the WT germination rate was negatively affected by HS, which indicates that both phy mutants can grow in hot seasons.

During the vegetative growth stage, *phyA* and *phyB1B2* exhibited high tolerance to HS by enhancing the plant mechanism to cope with high-temperature stress. Inducing damage to plasma membranes in plants is an important side effect of HS ^[14]. Tomato *phyA* and *phyB1B2* exhibited lower electrolyte leakage compared to WT under HS, even though the tomato 'Moneymaker' is classified as having moderate heat tolerance ^[15], indicating that tomato *phyA* and *phyB1B2* are highly tolerant mutants of HS that enhance membrane thermostability. Increased leaf temperature is a result of HS, which in turn inhibits the activity of enzymatic antioxidants and considerably increases the malondialdehyde (MDA) level in the leaves ^{[16][17]}. Tomato *phyA* inhibited the production of MDA under HS, which could be an indicator of the inhibition of polyunsaturated fatty acid peroxidation in the cells and the reduction in oxidative damage to membrane lipids, which then enhance heat tolerance. The accumulation of osmoprotectants is an adaptive mechanism in plants against environmental stresses such as heat tolerance ^[18] and increases plant survival by protecting the cellular structure ^[19]. Under high temperatures, plants accumulate different osmolytes, such as proline ^[20]. Tomato *phyA* enhanced proline accumulation under HS conditions via enhancing the expression of *P5CS* and *P5CR* genes that control proline biosynthesis, suggesting that proline accumulation is involved in the heat tolerance of *phyA*.

In addition, under the vegetative growth stage, both *phy* mutants exhibited a reduced number of stomata compared to the WT under HS, and *phyA* exhibited a decrease in stomatal aperture. In Arabidopsis, *spch* mutant did not produce stomata or lineages ^[21]. Tomato *phyA* and *phyB1B2* mutants showed a downregulation in the expression level of *SPCH* gene compared to WT plants, which may be one of the reasons for the stomata number reduction in *phy* mutants. It is plausible that water preservation also enhanced the heat tolerance of *phyA* and *phyB1B2*. Decreased stomatal closure and accelerated water loss speed enhanced HS sensitivity in transgenic OsMDHAR4-overexpressing rice ^[22]. Moreover, ABA is a key molecule that activates plant reactions to stress conditions, such as HS. It induces the accumulation of different proteins involved in stress acclimation and regulates stomatal closure under HS conditions ^[23].

Furthermore, the basic network among HSFA1a, HSFA2, and HSFB1 activity controls the HS response in tomatoes ^[24]. In addition, HSP accumulation protects the cell system from HS and enhances several functions and mechanisms to cope with HS ^[25]. In tomato *phyB1B2*, the upregulation of *HSFA2, HSFB1, HSFA4a*, and *HSP90* expression levels enhanced thermotolerance. The results showed that the expression levels of HSFA2 and HSFB1 were upregulated in phyA. HSFA2 is an ideal transcriptional activator during the HS response ^[26]. Meanwhile, *HSFA4a* is involved in the regulation of abiotic stress tolerance, such as salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis* ^[27] and cadmium tolerance in wheat and rice ^[28]. GRP is involved in the defense system of plants

under biotic and abiotic stresses and has a high glycine content ^[29]. Tomato *phyA* showed a high expression level of GRP under HS, which might play a role in heat tolerance.

HS negatively affects pollen development and fertility, which reduces fruit setting ^[30]. Over a short period (less than 14 days) under fluctuating high temperature in the GH, phyA exhibited a significantly enhanced percentage of developed flowers compared to WT and phyB1B2. However, phyB1B2 produced fertile pollen for a longer time under HS, followed by phyA, in comparison with WT pollen, which quickly reacted to high temperatures. Stigma exertion and antheridia cone splitting are floral characteristics used to evaluate HS tolerance [31]. PhyA achieved a significantly lower percentage of stigma-exerted and abnormal flowers compared to WT within 2 weeks under fluctuating high temperature; at the same time, no marked difference occurred when compared to phyB1B2. Although phyA showed heat tolerance by floral characteristics during the early exposure period to HS, its abnormal floral structure was enhanced by long HS application during the flowering phase. In tomato, the homozygous mutation in TAP3 showed a classic B-class gene loss-of-function phenotype, which formed a complete transformation of the petals into sepaloid structures and the stamens into carpel-like organs ^[32]. This might explain the conversion in the flower structure of phyA due to the downregulation of TAP3 by showing a lower expression level compared to WT and phyB1B2 after exposure to HS for 35 days. The long sepals were confirmed by the results of fruit calyx, which significantly increased in phyA compared to WT and phyB1B2. In addition, in phyA, the enhanced heat-responsive genes and GRP that were upregulated during the vegetative growth stage did not show a marked difference compared to WT under long periods of HS during the flowering stage. The phyB1B2 showed a nonsignificant variance in the expression level of all studied heat-responsive genes during the long exposure to HS during the flowering stage). These results confirm that phyA and phyB1B2 did not enhance HS tolerance during the flowering stage when exposed to a long period of high-temperature stress, as did the WT.

In tomatoes, small parthenocarpic fruit is one of the side effects of high temperature, which leads to a decrease in yield ^[33]. All observed fruit in WT plants and phy mutants were parthenocarpic, and the average FW of the fruits was low, indicating that *phyA* and *phyB1B2* did not enhance HS tolerance during the fruiting stage as observed with WT.

Taken together, tomato *phy* mutants have a different response to high-temperature stress, as determined by the growth stage. The vegetative growth stage had the greatest growth, showing a high HS tolerance. Therefore, tomato *phyA* is a thermotolerant mutant that enhances membrane stability, proline accumulation, and water preservation by reducing stomata number and stomatal aperture and upregulating the expression levels of *HSFA2*, *HSFB1*, and *GRP*. In addition, it inhibited MDA accumulation in the leaves (**Figure 1**A). Tomato *phyB1B2* is a heat-tolerant mutant that enhances membrane stability and expression levels of *HSFA2*, *HSFB1*, *HSFA4a*, and *HSP90*. In addition, it inhibited water loss by decreasing the number of stomata (**Figure 1**B).



Figure 1. The model shows the response of *phyA* (**A**) and *phyB1B2* (**B**) mutations at different growth stages under heat stress (HS). The gray rectangles show the factors inhibited while the green rectangles illustrate the factors enhanced by stress application. The growth stages that were shortened in S, V, and FI were the seed germination, vegetative growth, and flowering stages, respectively. The *phy* mutants exhibited tolerance to HS during S, V, and FI stages. In S stage, the seed germination rates of both *phy* mutants were not significantly affected by HS compared to control conditions (A, B). In V stage, *phyA* enhanced the proline production, *HSFs, GRP*, and plant growth (A), while *phyB1B2* enhanced the upregulation of *HSFs* and *HSPs* as well as plant growth (B). In addition, both *phy* mutants inhibited cell membrane injuries (A, B). In FI stage, *phy* mutants enhanced pollen fertility for a longer time compared to WT (A, B). Moreover, *phyA* exhibited an increase in the percentage of developed flowers and an inhibition in the percentage of abnormal and stigma-exerted flowers compared to WT. During a long HS application in the FI stage, *phyA* exhibited enhanced abnormal flower formation via downregulation of *TAP3* which enhanced sepal and petal conversion (A).

Finally, using plant genome editing on *PHYA* or B genes in other plant crops could be a good method of enhancing crop tolerance to HS. The genome editing method is a powerful technique for producing varieties; thus, several

genome-edited plants are currently being produced ^{[34][35]}. Mutations in *HSFA6a* and *HSFA6b*, created by CRISPR/Cas9, are more tolerant to abiotic stresses such as ABA, mannitol, and sodium chloride ^[36]. Researchers have developed several mutants, such as the tomato ead1 mutant and soybean hsp90A2 mutant, using CRISPR/Cas9. The tomato *ead1* mutant exhibited short roots and increased sensitivity to ABA ^[37]. The soybean *hsp90A2* mutant is sensitive to HS, has decreased chlorophyll content, and has high levels of lipid peroxidation ^[38]. Generally, genome editing techniques introduce mutations in the targeted genes. Mutations in negative regulators enhance tolerance to abiotic stress. Thus, *PHYA* and *PHYB1B2* are good target genes for enhancing abiotic stress tolerance.

References

- Zhou, R.; Yu, X.; Ottosen, C.-O.; Rosenqvist, E.; Zhao, L.; Wang, Y.; Yu, W.; Zhao, T.; Wu, Z. Drought Stress Had a Predominant Effect over Heat Stress on Three Tomato Cultivars Subjected to Combined Stress. BMC Plant Biol. 2017, 17, 1–13.
- 2. Wang, D.; Heckathorn, S.A.; Mainali, K.; Tripathee, R. Timing Effects of Heat-Stress on Plant Ecophysiological Characteristics and Growth. Front. Plant Sci. 2016, 7, 1629.
- 3. Wahid, A.; Gelani, S.; Ashraf, M.; Foolad, M.R. Heat Tolerance in Plants: An Overview. Environ. Exp. Bot. 2007, 61, 199–223.
- Silva, R.S.; Kumar, L.; Shabani, F.; Picanço, M.C. Assessing the Impact of Global Warming on Worldwide Open Field Tomato Cultivation through CSIRO-Mk3·0 Global Climate Model. J. Agric. Sci. 2017, 155, 407–420.
- Zhou, R.; Yu, X.; Zhao, T.; Ottosen, C.-O.; Rosenqvist, E.; Wu, Z. Physiological Analysis and Transcriptome Sequencing Reveal the Effects of Combined Cold and Drought on Tomato Leaf. BMC Plant Biol. 2019, 19, 1–14.
- 6. Jones, J.B., Jr. Tomato Plant Culture: In the Field, Greenhouse, and Home Garden, Second Edition, 2nd ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2007; ISBN 978-0-8493-7395-4.
- Carvalho, R.F.; Campos, M.L.; Azevedo, R.A. The Role of Phytochromes in Stress Tolerance. In Salt Stress in Plants: Signalling, Omics and Adaptations; Ahmad, P., Azooz, M.M., Prasad, M.N.V., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2013; pp. 283–299. ISBN 9781461461081.
- 8. Carvalho, R.F.; Campos, M.L.; Azevedo, R.A. The Role of Phytochrome in Stress Tolerance. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 2011, 53, 920–929.
- Alba, R.; Kelmenson, P.M.; Cordonnier-Pratt, M.M.; Pratt, L.H. The Phytochrome Gene Family in Tomato and the Rapid Differential Evolution of This Family in Angiosperms. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2000, 17, 362–373.

- 10. Whitelam, G.C.; Devlin, P.F. Roles of Different Phytochromes in Arabidopsis Photomorphogenesis. Plant Cell Environ. 1997, 20, 752–758.
- Sun, W.; Hui Xu, X.; Lu, X.; Xie, L.; Bai, B.; Zheng, C.; Sun, H.; He, Y.; Xie, X. The Rice Phytochrome Genes, PHYA and PHYB, Have Synergistic Effects on Anther Development and Pollen Viability. Sci. Rep. 2017, 7, 6439.
- 12. Song, J.; Liu, Q.; Hu, B.; Wu, W. Photoreceptor PhyB Involved in Arabidopsis Temperature Perception and Heat-Tolerance Formation. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2017, 18, 1194.
- Legris, M.; Klose, C.; Burgie, E.S.; Rojas, C.C.R.; Neme, M.; Hiltbrunner, A.; Wigge, P.A.; Schäfer, E.; Vierstra, R.D.; Casal, J.J. Phytochrome B Integrates Light and Temperature Signals in Arabidopsis. Science 2016, 354, 897–900.
- 14. Dhanda, S.S.; Munjal, R. Cell Membrane Stability: Combining Ability and Gene Effects under Heat Stress Conditions. Cereal Res. Commun. 2009, 37, 409–417.
- 15. Alsadon, A.; Wahb-Allah, M.; Khalil, S. In Vitro Evaluation of Heat Stress Tolerance in Some Tomato Cultivars. J. King Saud Univ. 2006, 19, 13–24.
- Hurkman, W.J.; Vensel, W.H.; Tanaka, C.K.; Whitehand, L.; Altenbach, S.B. Effect of High Temperature on Albumin and Globulin Accumulation in the Endosperm Proteome of the Developing Wheat Grain. J. Cereal Sci. 2009, 49, 12–23.
- 17. Mohammed, A.R.; Tarpley, L. Effects of High Night Temperature and Spikelet Position on Yield-Related Parameters of Rice (Oryza Sativa L.) Plants. Eur. J. Agron. 2010, 33, 117–123.
- 18. Asthir, B. Mechanisms of Heat Tolerance in Crop Plants. J. Plant Interact. 2015, 10, 1–21.
- 19. Hare, P.D.; Cress, W.A.; Staden, J.V. Dissecting the Roles of Osmolyte Accumulation during Stress. Plant Cell Environ. 1998, 21, 535–553.
- 20. Sairam, R.K.; Tyagi, A. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Salinity Stress Tolerance in Plants. Curr. Sci. 2004, 86, 407–421.
- De Marcos, A.; Houbaert, A.; Triviño, M.; Delgado, D.; Martín-Trillo, M.; Russinova, E.; Fenoll, C.; Mena, M. A Mutation in the BHLH Domain of the SPCH Transcription Factor Uncovers a BR-Dependent Mechanism for Stomatal Development1. Plant Physiol. 2017, 174, 823–842.
- Liu, J.; Sun, X.; Xu, F.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Miao, R.; Zhang, J.; Liang, J.; Xu, W. Suppression of OsMDHAR4 Enhances Heat Tolerance by Mediating H2O2-Induced Stomatal Closure in Rice Plants. Rice 2018, 11, 1–12.
- Balfagón, D.; Zandalinas, S.I.; Gómez-Cadenas, A. High Temperatures Change the Perspective: Integrating Hormonal Responses in Citrus Plants under Co-Occurring Abiotic Stress Conditions. Physiol. Plant 2018, 165, 183–197.

- 24. Hahn, A.; Bublak, D.; Schleiff, E.; Scharf, K.-D. Crosstalk between Hsp90 and Hsp70 Chaperones and Heat Stress Transcription Factors in Tomato. Plant Cell 2011, 23, 741–755.
- Hassan, M.U.; Chattha, M.U.; Khan, I.; Chattha, M.B.; Barbanti, L.; Aamer, M.; Iqbal, M.M.; Nawaz, M.; Mahmood, A.; Ali, A.; et al. Heat Stress in Cultivated Plants: Nature, Impact, Mechanisms, and Mitigation Strategies—A Review. Plant Biosyst.—Int. J. Deal. All Asp. Plant Biol. 2020, 155, 211–234.
- 26. Baniwal, S.K.; Bharti, K.; Chan, K.Y.; Fauth, M.; Ganguli, A.; Kotak, S.; Mishra, S.K.; Nover, L.; Port, M.; Scharf, K.-D.; et al. Heat Stress Response in Plants: A Complex Game with Chaperones and More than Twenty Heat Stress Transcription Factors. J. Biosci. 2004, 29, 471–487.
- Pérez-Salamó, I.; Papdi, C.; Rigó, G.; Zsigmond, L.; Vilela, B.; Lumbreras, V.; Nagy, I.; Horváth, B.; Domoki, M.; Darula, Z.; et al. The Heat Shock Factor A4A Confers Salt Tolerance and Is Regulated by Oxidative Stress and the Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases MPK3 and MPK61. Plant Physiol. 2014, 165, 319–334.
- 28. Shim, D.; Hwang, J.-U.; Lee, J.; Lee, S.; Choi, Y.; An, G.; Martinoia, E.; Lee, Y. Orthologs of the Class A4 Heat Shock Transcription Factor HsfA4a Confer Cadmium Tolerance in Wheat and Rice. Plant Cell 2009, 21, 4031–4043.
- Ortega-Amaro, M.A.; Rodríguez-Hernández, A.A.; Rodríguez-Kessler, M.; Hernández-Lucero, E.; Rosales-Mendoza, S.; Ibáñez-Salazar, A.; Delgado-Sánchez, P.; Jiménez-Bremont, J.F. Overexpression of AtGRDP2, a Novel Glycine-Rich Domain Protein, Accelerates Plant Growth and Improves Stress Tolerance. Front. Plant Sci. 2015, 5, 782.
- 30. Pham, D.; Hoshikawa, K.; Fujita, S.; Fukumoto, S.; Hirai, T.; Shinozaki, Y.; Ezura, H. A Tomato Heat-Tolerant Mutant Shows Improved Pollen Fertility and Fruit-Setting under Long-Term Ambient High Temperature. Environ. Exp. Bot. 2020, 178, 104150.
- 31. Alsamir, M.; Mahmood, T.; Trethowan, R.; Ahmad, N. An Overview of Heat Stress in Tomato (Solanum Lycopersicum L.). Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 2021, 28, 1654–1663.
- 32. De Martino, G.; Pan, I.; Emmanuel, E.; Levy, A.; Irish, V.F. Functional Analyses of Two Tomato APETALA3 Genes Demonstrate Diversification in Their Roles in Regulating Floral Development. Plant Cell 2006, 18, 1833–1845.
- Driedonks, N.J.W. From Flower to Fruit in the Heat—Reproductive Thermotolerance in Tomato and Its Wild Relatives. Ph.D.Thesis. Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 2018; p. 193.
- Yamamoto, T.; Kashojiya, S.; Kamimura, S.; Kameyama, T.; Ariizumi, T.; Ezura, H.; Miura, K. Application and Development of Genome Editing Technologies to the Solanaceae Plants. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 2018, 131, 37–46.

- 35. Bhat, M.A.; Bhat, M.A.; Kumar, V.; Wani, I.A.; Bashir, H.; Shah, A.A.; Rahman, S.; Jan, A.T. The Era of Editing Plant Genomes Using CRISPR/Cas: A Critical Appraisal. J. Biotechnol. 2020, 324, 34–60.
- 36. Wenjing, W.; Chen, Q.; Singh, P.K.; Huang, Y.; Pei, D. CRISPR/Cas9 Edited HSFA6a and HSFA6b of Arabidopsis Thaliana Offers ABA and Osmotic Stress Insensitivity by Modulation of ROS Homeostasis. Plant Signal. Behav. 2020, 15, 1816321.
- Wang, W.; Wang, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, G.; Wang, C.; Hussain, S.; Adnan; Lin, R.; Wang, T.; Wang, S. SIEAD1, an EAR Motif-Containing ABA down-Regulated Novel Transcription Repressor Regulates ABA Response in Tomato. GM Crops Food 2020, 11, 275–289.
- 38. Huang, Y.; Xuan, H.; Yang, C.; Guo, N.; Wang, H.; Zhao, J.; Xing, H. GmHsp90A2 Is Involved in Soybean Heat Stress as a Positive Regulator. Plant Sci. 2019, 285, 26–33.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/52921