# **Sensors for Hydrogen Peroxide Detection**

Subjects: Chemistry, Analytical | Engineering, Biomedical Contributor: Vinay Patel

Hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) is a key molecule in numerous physiological, industrial, and environmental processes. H2O2 is monitored using various methods like colorimetry, luminescence, fluorescence, and electrochemical methods. Here, we aim to provide a comprehensive review of solid state sensors to monitor H2O2. The review covers three categories of sensors: chemiresistive, conductometric, and field effect transistors. A brief description of the sensing mechanisms of these sensors has been provided. All three sensor types are evaluated based on the sensing parameters like sensitivity, limit of detection, measuring range and response time. We highlight those sensors which have advanced the field by using innovative materials or sensor fabrication techniques. Finally, we discuss the limitations of current solid state sensors and the future directions for research and development in this exciting area.



# 1. Introduction

 $H_2O_2$  plays an important role in various applications such as medical diagnostics, clinical research, and industrial sectors like food processing, paper, textile, pharmaceuticals as well as cleaning and disinfection products (Figure 1) <sup>[1]</sup>.  $H_2O_2$  is also important physiologically and is involved in metabolic activities, apoptosis, and immune cell activation <sup>[2][3]</sup>. It plays an important role as an oxidative stress marker, defense agent, and aging <sup>[2][4]</sup>. It is a crucial biomarker in monitoring various diseases and disorders including diabetes <sup>[5]</sup>, cancer <sup>[6]</sup>, Parkinson's <sup>[7]</sup>, cardiovascular, Alzheimer's <sup>[7]</sup>, and neurodegenerative disorders <sup>[7][8]</sup>. Moreover,  $H_2O_2$  is the intermediate molecule formed in reactions involving numerous oxidases such as glucose oxidase, alcohol oxidase, cholesterol oxidase, lactate oxidase, and glutamate oxidase <sup>[9]</sup>. Further,  $H_2O_2$  is used for sterilizing various medical equipment and residual  $H_2O_2$  levels need to be monitored to ensure that the equipment is safe to use <sup>[10]</sup>.



**Figure 1.** Overview of  $H_2O_2$  detection with inner circle containing the two common detection principles: optical and electrochemical; and outer circle with few applications areas of  $H_2O_2$  detection.

 $H_2O_2$  measurement and quantification is performed in a variety of sample matrices including environmental samples like water and soil, human fluids like sweat, blood, cell and tissue cultures.  $H_2O_2$  is measured using diverse range of methods such as optical <sup>[11][12]</sup> including colorimetry, chemiluminescence, and fluorescence; and electrochemical <sup>[13][14][15][16]</sup> including potentiometry, voltammetry and amperometry (Figure 1). Optical techniques are limited by high cost, complex testing processes, the requirement of sophisticated and bulky instrumentation, need for trained personnel to operate, and interference from sample matrices. On the other hand, electrochemical sensors offer low-cost, simple instrumentation and fast detection <sup>[13]</sup>. Nevertheless, electrochemical sensors also suffer from a few limitations such as the requirement for a reference electrode, larger working area, etc. The potentiometric method requires a reference electrode for reliable potential measurement. For potentiometric sensors, a stable response strongly depends on the stability of the reference electrode. However, a miniaturized solid-state reference electrode with long term stability is yet to be realized <sup>[17]</sup>. For amperometric sensors, a high working electrode potential results in increased interference from interfering molecules <sup>[18]</sup>.

More recently, solid-state sensors such as chemiresistors <sup>[19][20][21]</sup>, conductometric sensors <sup>[21][22][23]</sup> and field effect transistors (FET) <sup>[24][25][26]</sup> have been used to measure  $H_2O_2$  while avoiding the aforementioned challenges. Chemiresistors consist of a single sensing layer which measures the change in analyte concentration through alteration in resistance of the layer using two contact electrodes. A small potential bias is applied to the substrate film and the change in current is measured. Advantages of chemiresistors are: high sensitivity, because the resistance changes can occur due to modification at any position of the network unlike techniques like colorimetric which is based on volume modifications; ease of fabrication of sensor arrays due to simple sensor structure; suitability for miniaturization; simple instrumentation setup for measurement and elimination of the need for reference electrodes unlike electrochemical methods <sup>[27]</sup>. FET based solid state sensors are attractive due to their ability to detect analytes with ultrahigh sensitivity. In addition, FETs can be manufactured easily using the established manufacturing process for metal oxide semiconductor FETs (MOSFET) <sup>[9]</sup>.

Previous reviews on sensors for  $H_2O_2$  detection have typically focused on electrochemical and colorimetric sensors. Several papers have been published on enzymatic [1][13][14][16][28][29] and non-enzymatic sensing [1][15][30] <sup>[31]</sup> using those principles and the readers are referred to them for an in-depth analysis in these areas. An in-depth review of the emerging class of solid state  $H_2O_2$  sensors is not currently available. This review is focused exclusively on chemiresistive, conductometric and FET based  $H_2O_2$  sensors which have significant potential for field deployment. A critical analysis of the sensing methods with emphasis on the sensing mechanisms and important parameters like measuring range, limit of detection (LOD), and response time have been provided. The diverse range of functional materials used for sensing and to fabricate these sensors have also been discussed.

## 2. Sensing Mechanism

#### 2.1. Chemiresistive Sensors

Chemiresistive sensors are a group of sensors which transduces the chemical changes to resistance change. The sensor response is attributed to surface reactions or adsorption of analyte molecules on the sensing film <sup>[32]</sup>. This type of sensor was originally developed for gas sensing by monitoring resistance changes with adsorption of gas molecules on the sensor surfaces <sup>[32][33]</sup>. Typically, a sensor is placed under a small potential bias and the change in current is measured as output and converted into a change in resistance. A general chemiresistor consists of four components: the sensitive or active thin film substrate, contact electrodes, passivation layer and substrate (Figure 2a,b). Although for gas sensing, the contacts may be exposed to the environment, they are typically covered with an insulating film to avoid electrical shorting, especially when used in conducting liquids. The equivalent electrical circuit for a chemiresistive sensor can be represented as shown in Figure 2c, where both contacts are represented by parallel RC circuit depicting both Faradaic and non-Faradaic processes. The sensing layer which remains in contact with the solution is divided into three parallel RC circuits representing surface, bulk, and interface processes. When chemiresistive sensors are operated in DC mode, all capacitance can be neglected from the equivalent circuit (Figure 2c).



**Figure 2.** (a) Top view of a chemiresistive sensor with 4 main components: active material (dark grey), connectors or contacts (gold), dielectric to insulate the contacts (grey) and substrate (light grey) (b) A transverse section of a chemiresistive sensor with two connecting outputs (c) An electrical circuit analog for the chemiresistive sensor with  $R_{c1}$  and  $R_{c2}$ , the contact resistance for the first and second contacts, while  $R_S$ ,  $R_B$  and  $R_I$  are the solution, bulk and interfacial resistance. Similar to resistance, capacitance of all the surfaces are labelled accordingly.

During measurement, the sensor is exposed to the analyte, and adsorption of analyte to the active thin film results in a change in resistance. For instance, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are generally p-doped when the films are coated using water based CNT dispersions and if analyte adsorption results in the release of electrons, the hole concentration in the active surface is reduced, which results in a decrease in resistance [34]. On the other hand, if the analyte extracts electrons from the CNT film, this will lead to an increase in dominant carriers resulting in an increase in conductivity. Further, this change in resistance due to analyte interactions can occur from factors like increasing the CNT-CNT junction resistance modulation of the Schottky barrier at the CNT-metal contact junction and charge transfer between analyte and CNT. These processes have been described in detail in other reviews [35] <sup>[36]</sup>. These sensors have some limitations such as irreversible changes introduced onto the substrate due to application of a potential bias, a high dependence of the sensitivity of the sensor on the substrate thickness, and high contact resistance which can further reduce the sensitivity of the sensor. For instance, in the case when conducting polymers are used as the functional sensing layer, the potential bias can induce an irreversible change in the polymer film resulting in a change to the baseline resistance of the sensor. The analyte can also cause irreversible changes to the sensors surface [19]. Thinner films generally have higher sensitivity as compared to thicker films [33]. For two point measurements, the resistance change has two components: change due to the analyte binding and change in contact resistance between the substrate and the metal contacts [37].

#### 2.2. Conductometric Sensors

Conductometric sensors are devices which detect the change in conductivity of the analyte solution due to consumption or generation of ions due to chemical reactions using two conducting electrodes <sup>[38]</sup>. This method was originally developed to study chemical kinetics of reactions and later exploited by researchers to detect enzyme catalyzed reactions. Conductometric measurements are non-specific as conductivity changes can occur due to the migration of all ions present in the solution. This non-specificity is circumvented by coating enzyme on top of the electrode and doing the measurements in a defined measuring cell. Conventionally, the conductivity measurements are performed in AC mode. Unlike chemiresistive sensors, these sensors offer information through the frequency of the measurement, an important experimental variable to determine non-Faradaic processes. An alternating bias has several advantages such as minimized contact polarization, double layer charging and electrode polarization [39].

Typically, conductometric measurements are done using a pair of identical electrodes (generally interdigitated electrodes) dipped in a solution container with a constant volume. One of the interdigitated electrodes (IDE-1) is coated with the enzyme film and the other does not have any enzyme layer (IDE-2) (Figure 3a). The IDE-2 determines the base conductivity response from other ions and molecules present in the solution. The measurement of both the sensors are done with respect to a counter and/or reference electrode (Figure 3b). The final sensor response is determined by subtracting the signal of IDE-1 from the signal of IDE-2. Here, the impedance is measured perpendicular to the electrode surface. The equivalent circuit of the electrochemical cell is shown in Figure 3c where  $R_{ct1}$  and  $R_{ct2}$  are the charge transfer resistances for IDE and CE respectively, W is the Warburg impedance for the IDE which models the diffusional resistance due to both interfaces,  $C_{dl1}$  and  $C_{dl2}$  are double layer capacitances of IDE and CE respectively and  $R_s$  is the solution resistance. Enzymatic conductometric sensors are versatile sensors which are low-cost, need a smaller potential bias and require simple instrumentation to generate reliable signals. However, the sensing signal can be affected by temperature variations <sup>[39]</sup> and changes in the ionic strength of the solution.



**Figure 3.** (a) A pair of interdigitated electrodes (IDE): IDE-1 represents the active membrane coated electrode and IDE-2 is the electrode coated with membrane without any active material (b) A schematic representing the experimental setup of a conductometric sensor with IDE, a counter electrode and a reference electrode. The zoomed in picture shows a transverse section of IDE and counter electrode (c) An equivalent circuit for the conductometric sensor with R<sub>C1</sub>, W and C<sub>dl1</sub> representing the charge transfer resistance, Warburg impedance and double layer capacitance, respectively for the IDE;  $R_S$  is the solution resistance; and  $R_{C2}$  and  $C_{dl2}$  representing the charge transfer resistance and double layer capacitance and double layer capacitance for the counter electrode respectively.

#### 2.3. FET

Metal oxide field effect transistors (MOSFETs) are used in electronic circuits as switches, gates, amplifiers etc. MOSFETs can be three or four terminals depending on the presence or absence of back gate (base substrate): source, drain, gate and base substrate. Insulated gate FET (IGFET) is the most common type of MOSFET used currently for chemical sensing. The gate terminal of the IGFET is insulated using a dielectric layer (like SiO<sub>2</sub>). A typical n-channel FET is constructed using a p-type substrate with heavily doped n-type source and drain (Figure <u>4</u>a). The operation of the FET depends on the potential bias applied to the gate. Under zero bias, the FET channel is non-conducting. For n-channel FET, the conduction begins after a critical threshold potential is applied to the gate. This threshold potential will induce an inversion layer.



**Figure 4.** Schematics of a (**a**) MOSFET with p-type silicon as a base substrate (pink) and n-doped source and drain region (green) (**b**) ISFET with a pH sensitive film (orange) (**c**) Back gated FET with analyte solution and RE on the top side and all the terminal connections are done from the back side (**d**) Extended gate FET with a regular MOSFET and an extended sensing region connected with gate terminal of the MOSFET. S, G, D and B are source, drain, gate and base substrate terminals (all shown in black). RE is the reference electrode.

Early  $H_2O_2$  (and glucose) FET sensors had pH sensitive material coated on the gate insulator that made it sensitive to changes in local pH due to generation or consumption of hydrogen ions by an enzyme that catalyzes  $H_2O_2$  (Reaction 1) <sup>[40][41][42]</sup>. In this reaction, the reduction of  $H_2O_2$  was catalyzed in presence of horseradish peroxidase (HRP), with the iodide ion acting as a reducing agent <sup>[43]</sup>.

$$\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{2} + 2\mathrm{I}^{-} + 2\mathrm{H}^{+} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{HRP}} \mathrm{I}_{2} + 2\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \tag{1}$$

In such FETs, the gate dielectric is converted into a hydrogen sensitive film which can generate similar potential change in presence of the analyte (Figure 4b). Then the channel conduction can be influenced by changes in the hydrogen ion concentration. These devices are known as ion selective FETs (ISFETs). Similar to MOSFETs, ISFETs can also be n-channel or p-channel ISFET depending on the doping of the silicon substrate used to fabricate the FET. The drain current depends on the resistance of inversion layer and, the potential applied between source and drain. Mathematically, the drain current ( $I_d$ ) of ISFET is given by <sup>[9]</sup>:

$$I_{d} = \mu C_{i} \left(\frac{W}{L}\right) V_{d} \left[V_{g} - \left(E_{ref} - \phi + \chi_{sol} - \left(\frac{\phi_{Si}}{q}\right) - \frac{Q_{i} + Q_{ss}}{C_{i}} - \left(\frac{Q_{b}}{C_{i}}\right) + 2\phi_{f}\right) - 0.5V_{ds}\right]$$

$$(2)$$
ferences

where  $\mu$  is mobility of electrons in the channel: L and W are length and width of the channel, respectively: q is the 1. Chen, S.; Yuan, R.; Chai, Y.; Hu, F. Electrochemical sensing of hydrogen peroxide using metal elementary charge,  $O_h$ ,  $O_i$ ,  $O_s$ , are the charges located in depletion region, insulator region, and surface and nanoparticles: A review. Mi-crochim. Acta 2013, 180, 15–32, doi:10.1007/S00604-012-0904-4. interface states, respectively,  $\chi_{sol}$  is solution's surface dipole potential,  $E_{ref}$  is the reference electrode's potential,  $\phi_{Si}$  is the reference electrode's potential,  $\phi_{Si}$  is the reference electrode's potential,  $\phi_{Si}$  is the reference dipole potential,  $E_{ref}$  is the reference electrode's potential,  $\phi_{Si}$  is the reference electrode is potential,  $\phi_{Si}$  is the reference dipole potential,  $\phi_{Si}$  is the reference electrode's potential,  $\phi_{Si}$  is the reference electrode is potential,  $\phi_{Si}$  is the potential of membrane-electrolyte interface.

3. Miller, E.W.; Dickinson, B.C.; Chang, C.J. Aquaporin-3 mediates hydrogen peroxide uptake to Complete downstream intracel-lular signaling. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 15681–15686, oxide (Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) [2][40][41][42][43][44][45][46] pH sensitivity is a surface phenomenon where the surface hydroxyl groups ooi:10.1073/pnas.1005776107. interact with the protons. The consequent changes in the surface charge or potential of the gate material leads to a curseins file. Hydrogena here yiels as a service charge or potential of the gate material leads to a curseins file. Hydrogena here yiels as a service charge or potential of the gate material leads to a curseins file. Hydrogena here yiels as a service charge or potential of the gate material leads to a curseins file. Hydrogena here yiels as a service charge of potential of the gate material leads to a curseins file. Hydrogena here yiels as a service charge of potential of the gate material leads to a cursein service service as a file of the service of the serv

Insulin Secretion. Diabetes 2007, 56, 1783–1791, doi:10.2337/db06-1601. Based on its design, the ISFETs can be front side and back side connected. Front side ISFETs are widely used due to date of abrication, but Regarding of the side of the side

<sup>i7</sup>PBash and, Karturing difficulty. Lo; BCISA, Karp Redwity interfactive diseases and extended gate FET was from the back Bids of 19604, i8, (<u>Figure 4</u>4), doi:1001038/monfiguration known as an extended gate FET was proposed in 1983 <sup>[47]</sup> (<u>Figure 4</u>d). The device has two components: a MOSFET with electrical connections and an extended gate with a pH sensitive film. This device has advantages such as low manufacturing cost due to simpler

Re

fab Rado A avid Badaachiag, daam Jaag Rede en Waxinhaative I Statests an the Antioxidan terrest on the Biosectores. Nutr. Neurosci. 2002, 5, 291–309, doi:10.1080/1028415021000033767.

9. Schöning, M.J.; Poghossian, A. Recent advances in biologically sensitive field-effect transistors **3**Bi**Ghemikesistive: Sensors**, doi:10.1039/b204444g.

10h Andersene Bellsor & Weigh, initially of Weight is the neteric gases wir vapor B. bEr end tike plast two decades several chemicesistive bells in the sensor of the sens

15. but any wet; of the second wet; of the second wet and the second advantices included advantices included advantices included advantices included advantices and the second advantices and the second advantices advantices and the second advantices advantices

H2S and NO inside cells or released by cells. Microchim. Acta 2017, 184, 1267–1283,

1	Substrate	Target analyte	Ligand/ Enzyme	LOD (mM)	Measuring range (mM)	Voltage bias (mV)	Response time (s)	Buffer/working pH	Comments	Interference tested	Ref	nical
1	Carbon nan	otube base	ed									ents in
1	PPy- MWCNT	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / Glucose	Dodecyl benzene sulfonate	NR	0–20	1	NR	NR	Investigated the sensitivity of temperature humidity etc.	No	[ <u>48</u> ]	chim. Iox
1	CNT	Glucose	EGCG- GOD	8.7 nM	10 nM–1 μM	100	<400 (est.)	Working pH 7.4 Buffer: PBS	Sensor responds to all reactive	Yes	[ <u>49]</u>	งsed าan

serum. Arui. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol. 2013, 41, 332–338, doi:10.3109/21691401.2012.744994.

 Soylemez, S.; Yoon, B.; Toppare, L.; Swager, T.M. Quaternized Polymer–Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Scaffolds for a Chemiresistive Glucose Sensor. ACS Sens. 2017, 2, 1123–1127, doi:10.1021/acssensors.7b00323.

2									oxidative species			iline   2014,
2	SWCNT- PVP	Glucose	GOD	0.08	0.02–2	100	3	Working pH 5.5 Buffer: Acetate	Tested in juice & iced tea Stable for 5 consecutive tests	Yes	[ <u>19</u> ]	al ets. on
	Conducting	polymer ba	ased									ory.
2	Au-PANI nanowires	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	AgNPs	5	5–40	20	25	Working pH 5 Buffer: Phosphate (200 mM)	Stable response for 36 h Reusable sensor	Yes	[ <u>20</u> ]	rative Mater.
2	MWCNT- PANI nanowires	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	AgNPs	1	1–20	NR	180	NR	Inkjet printed sensors	No	[ <u>51</u> ]	itive drogen
2	MWCNT- PANI nanowire	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> Glucose	PtNPs	2	2–10	500	240	NR	Inkjet printed	No	[ <u>50]</u>	ect
2	Others											
2	Alumina	Glucose	SnO <sub>2</sub> - GOD	0.5 (est.)	0.5–20	NR	50	Working pH 7.2 Buffer: Phosphate	Sensor sensitivity increases with deposition	No	[53]	ป .9-017-

- 29. Zhang, R.; Chen, W. Recent advances in graphene-based nanomaterials for fabricating electrochemical hydrogen peroxide sensors. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2017, 89, 249–268, doi:10.1016/j.bios.2016.01.080.
- 30. Olenin, A.Y. Methods of nonenzymatic determination of hydrogen peroxide and related reactive oxygen species. J. Anal. Chem. 2017, 72, 243–255, doi:10.1134/S1061934817030108.
- 31. Thatikayala, D.; Ponnamma, D.; Sadasivuni, K.K.; Cabibihan, J.J.; Al-Ali, A.K.; Malik, R.A.; Min, B. Progress of Advanced Nanomaterials in the Non-Enzymatic Electrochemical Sensing of Glucose and H2O2. Biosensors 2020, 10, 151, doi:10.3390/bios10110151.

temperature of SnO<sub>2</sub> Chem

33. Kruse, P. Review on water quality sensors. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 2018, 51, 203002, doi:10.1088/1361-6463/aabb93.

34.40 Consequence of Carbon Nanotube Doping in Water

and Aqueous Media. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 1572–1577, doi:10.1021/ja906820n. One of the earliest conductometric sensors for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was developed in 1999<sup>[43]</sup>. The sensor was constructed using 35trE49MBltyJ.Eoppid. ShthalozzanAlli, (threw Keisodten: BROChatrogitalic cale Krode Baynabearamic Bsubstrate (<u>Fighreach</u>). The hanovirance han was here is a fight for the sensor of the sensor was constructed using based grows was developed in 1999<sup>[43]</sup>.

36. Tarig, R., Shi, Y., Hou, Z., Wei, C. Carbon Nanotube Based Cheminesistive Sensors. Sensors interfering species, in the sample was suppressed using a hydrophybic gas permeable membrane. HRP was immobilized on top of the gas permeable membrane. The sensor attained a steady state response in ~10 min which was due to 37. Lange, U.: Mirsky, V.M. Chemiresistors based on conducting polymers: A review on measurement slow conductivity changes. In the printalocyanine nim. The highest senser response was obtained within a pH range of 5.0–6.5. The measuring range was 0.005 to 0.3 min with a sensitivity of 0.042 µS/µM of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The sensor 380/taffrezneirReview upper vas 0.005 to 0.3 min with a sensitivity of 0.042 µS/µM of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The sensor attained at 4M6nitoring. Sensors 2008, 8, 2569–2588, doi:10.3390/s8042569.

- 39. Watson, L.D. Maynard, P.; Cullen, D.C.; Sethi, R.S.; Brettle, J.; Lowe, C.R. A microelectronic (b) conductimetric biosensor. Top Catal. 1987, 3, 101–115, doi:10.1016/sc265-928x(87)80003-2.
- 40. Hwa-II, S.; Choose Sock Builder, S.; Terence, Y.; Som when Tak, MMUSFET glucose sensor based on a new prinicple using the electrolysis of hydrogen percence. Sense Actuators B Chem. 1997, 40, 1–5.
- 41. Shul'Ga, A.A., <u>Cibson, T.D. An alternative microbiosensor for hydrogen peroxide based on an enzyme field effect transistor with a fast response. Anal Chint? Acta 1994, 296, 168 170, doi:10.1016/000982070(94)80260-2.
  </u>
- 42. Sohn, B.-K.; Cooper-W.; Kin, C.-S.; Kiron, D.-H. HET glucose and sucrose sensors by using platinum electronic and pro-the crosslinkable polymers. Sens. Actuary S B Chem. 997, 41, 7–11, doi:10.1016/s0925-4005(9780271-7.
- 43. Sergeyeva, T.A.; Lavrik, N.V.; Rachkov, A.E.; Kazantseva, Z.I.; Piletsky, S.A.; El'Skaya, A.V.

Hydrogen peroxide—Sensitive enzyme sensor based on phthalocyanine thin film, Anal. Chim. Figure 5. (a) A HRP conductometric sensor for enzymatic detection of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. The sensor was fabricated using HRP Acta 1999, 391, 289–297, doi:10,1016/s0003-2670(99)00203-2. as the enzyme and ttb-CUPc as the active/sensitive film to detect the released iodine molecule. Reprinted from 4<sup>29</sup> Datmpe/mAssTgnOithmuiElstwipEetbyAebtlePzyBergerAsore(AldemolOatatyticahtlydatagerePterdatet alcohol using condectomptoisitoratoratpeenvoEleiperdatdefroThe<sup>129</sup>SthwithtepattiseiahCtonferEnzevion Stolid(iStatehSenaiscers.pf a condectomptoisitoratoratpeenvoEleiperdatdefroThe<sup>129</sup>SthwithtepattiseiahCtonferEnzevion Stolid(iStatehSenaiscers.pf a conductatoriscanahteliaresystemsa2005mDigresteosoTe(i)hAicahtepattiseiahSote(2005.1nte):458.Hepatitis-B surface

3

4555116jemş. (Reprised, fridmi 1,42) odth Queringissobrin from KEllyliviatu (d) editle Fatilits gott accede time outbin in the second at the providence of the second at the providence of the second at the second

Singo in the literature. These sensors can be classified

based on electrode material used for the sensors such as metal <sup>[43][54][55][56]</sup>, metal nanoparticles <sup>[21][22][23][57]</sup>, and 46, Diallo, A.K.; Djeghlaf, L.; Mazenq, L.; Launay, J.; Sant, W.; Temple-Boyer, P. Development of pHothers <sup>[36]</sup>. The sensors are compared based on crucial parameters like sensitivity, measuring range, LOD, based ElecFET biosensors for lactate ion detection. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2013, 40, 291–296, potential bias, and response time. A summary of conductometric sensors is given in <u>Table 2</u>. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2012.07.063.

4	Substrate	Target Analyte	Ligand/ Enzyme	LOD (µM)	Measuring Range (mM)	Voltage Bias (mV) (Frequency)	Response Time (Minutes)	Buffer/Working pH	Comments	Interference Tested	Ref	posite: –2027,
4	Metal interd	igitated elec	trodes									se
5	Ceramic- Au	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Pthalocyanine	NR	0.005–0.3	60	10	Working pH 6.0 Buffer: Phosphate (20 mM)	Storage stability for 90 days at 4 °C	No	[ <u>43</u> ]	rinting.
5	Silicon-Au	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / Cyanide	PVA-Catalase	6	0–100	10 (100 kHz)	5	Working pH 7.2 Buffer: Phosphate (5 mM)	Inhibitory assay for cyanide detection	No	[ <u>55</u> ]	anowire 2015, ∋r
5	Au	Methanol Ethanol Propanol	AOX- Catalase	0.5 1 3	<0.075 <0.070 <0.065	10 (100 kHz)	<10	Working pH 7.2 Buffer: Phosphate (5 mM)	Alcoholic beverages	Yes	[ <u>54]</u>	ed on

- 54. Hnaien, M.; Lagarde, F.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N. A rapid and sensitive alcohol oxidase/catalase conductometric biosensor for alcohol determination. Talanta 2010, 81, 222–227, doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2009.11.061.
- 55. Bouyahia, N.; Hamlaoui, M.L.; Hnaien, M.; Lagarde, F.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N. Impedance spectroscopy and conductometric biosensing for probing catalase reaction with cyanide as ligand and inhibitor. Bioelectrochemistry 2011, 80, 155–161, doi:10.1016/j.bioelechem.2010.07.006.
- 56. Nguyen-Boisse, T.T.; Saulnier, J.; Jaffrezic-Renault, N.; Lagarde, F. Highly sensitive conductometric biosensors for total lactate, d- and l-lactate determination in dairy products. Sens.

5	Ceramic- Au	Lactate	LOD-HRP	0.05	0-0.21	10 (100 kHz)	~20 (est.)	Working pH 6 Buffer: Phosphate (5 mM)	Diluted yogurt samples Storage stability for 40 days at 4 °C	Yes	[ <u>56</u> ]	B , 45,
5	Metal nanop	particles										ide
5	AuNPs	Hepatitis B (HB)	HRP/Anti- HBs	0.01 ng/mL	0.1–600 ng/mL	10 (100 kHz)	>30	Working pH 7.0 Buffer: Phosphate (10 mM)	Tested with serum samples Assay stable for 16 days when stored at 4 °C	Yes	[ <u>57</u> ]	iosens. r
6	Ceramic- Au & magnetic NPs	Glucose	GOD	3	0.04–3	10 (100 kHz)	<10	Working pH 7.3 Buffer: Phosphate (5 mM)	Stable for 12 days when stored at 4 °C	No	[ <u>23</u> ]	tivity
6	g-C <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub>	AFP/H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Pt NPs	0.01 ng/mL	0.01–100 ng/mL	10 (100 kHz)	5–6	Working pH 6.5 Buffer: PBS (10 mM)	Tested with human serum Inhibitory Immunoassay	Yes	[ <u>21</u> ]	–120, using
6	Cellulose-	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / Glucose	GOD	500	0.5–12	0–3 V (dc)	NR	Working pH 7.2 Buffer: Phosphate	Storage stability > 10 days	No	[ <u>58]</u>	

doi:10.1021/jp800567h.

- 65. Park, J.W.; Park, S.J.; Kwon, O.S.; Lee, C.; Jang, J. Polypyrrole Nanotube Embedded Reduced Graphene Oxide Transducer for Field-Effect Transistor-Type H2O2 Biosensor. Anal. Chem. 2014, 86, 1822–1828, doi:10.1021/ac403770x.
- 66. Park, J.W.; Lee, C.; Jang, J. High-performance field-effect transistor-type glucose biosensor based on nanohybrids of carbox-ylated polypyrrole nanotube wrapped graphene sheet transducer. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2015, 208, 532–537, doi:10.1016/j.snb.2014.11.085.
- Yin, L.T.; Chou, J.C.; Chung, W.Y.; Sun, T.P.; Hsiung, K.P.; Hsiung, S.K. Glucose ENFET doped with MnO2 powder. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2001, 76, 187–192, doi:10.1016/s0925-4005(01)00629-3.

Flexible onetime use sensor

65. LIII, J.J., VVU, T.L., ISU, P.T. IVOVEI DIY-TYPE GIUCUSE SENSUL DASEU UN A IVIELAI-OXIUE-

Semiconductor Capacitor Structure with Horseradish Peroxidase + Glucose Oxidase Catalyzing

Layer. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. Part 1 Regul. Pap. Short Notes Rev. Pap. 2007, 46, 6871-6874,

### 5.0iFET4Sensors1.

6

70. Ozasa, K.; Nemoto, S.; Li, Y.; Hara, M.; Maeda, M.; Mochitate, K. Contact angle and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is not an ideal molecule to detect using electrochemical reactions due to its slow reaction kinetics. Diocompatibility of sol-gel prepared TiO2 thin films for their use as semiconductor-based cell-Amperometric sensors overcome this by applying a suitable potential to accelerate the reaction kinetics. However, Viability sensors. Surf. Interface Anal. 2008, 40, 579–583, doi:10.1002/sia.2729.
this overpotential limits the detection at low concentrations because of a high signal to noise ratio. Similar detection
71. The sensor been worked and the sense of the sense o

Table 3. The selective detection of glucose, cholesterol and urea. Chem. Commun.

2015, 51, 11968–11971, doi:10.1039/c5cc03656a.

76. Huang, Y.: Dong, X.: Shi, Y.: Li, C.M.: Li, L.J.: Chen, P. Nanoelectronic biosensors based on CVD

7	Substrate	Target Analyte	Ligand/ Enzyme	LOD (µM)	Sensitivity	Measuring Range (mM)	oc	Response Time (s)	Working pH & Buffer	Comments	Ref	Seo, S.
	Silicon nitrio	de FET										
7	Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	HRP	5	~15 mV/mM (est.)	<2	I <sub>s</sub> : 300 μΑ V <sub>ds</sub> : 2	30–90	Buffer: Phosphate (10 mM)	<10% reduction in enzyme activity after 1000 measurements	[ <u>41</u> ]	g silk 02,
7	,	,	- , - ,	- , -	ر <b>بر</b> ر	- ,,	V ,		Working pH: 6	r		

transistor for glucose sensing at neutral pH. Chem. Commun. 2004, 13, 1556–1557.

80. Kim, Y.; Do, J.; Kim, J.; Yang, S.Y.; Malliaras, G.G.; Ober, C.K.; Kim, E. A Glucose Sensor Based on an Organic Electrochemi-cal Transistor Structure Using a Vapor Polymerized Poly(3,4-

8	Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> - FET/Pt electrode	Glucose	GOD	NR	~40 mV/mM	<5	V <sub>bias</sub> : 0.64 V	~480	Buffer: Phosphate (5–20 mM) Working pH: 7.4	Baseline established by removing the potential bias	[ <u>40</u> ]	sed on ns. ole
8	Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> - FET/Pt electrode	Glucose & sucrose	GOD & Invertase- mutarotase- GOD	~50 (est)	NR	1.67– 16.67	V <sub>bias</sub> : 0.7 V	180–300	Buffer: Phosphate (10 mM) Working pH: 7.4	Greater Pt area, increases sensitivity	[42]	m. Sci. ucose . 2018,
8	Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> - FET/Pt electrode	Glucose	GOD	1000 (est.)	~11 mV/mM (est.)	1–10	V <sub>bias</sub> : 0.7 V	~60	Buffer: Phosphate (10 mM) Working pH: 7.4	Ladder shape Pt electrode was used for potential bias	[45]	ors ater. 3 based
	Si <sub>3</sub> N4-FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Pt	10000	5 mV/mM	10–100	I <sub>ds</sub> : 0.1 mA V <sub>ds</sub> : IV	300	Buffer: Phosphate (100 mM) Working pH: 7.2	Used for glucose and lactate	[ <u>46</u> ]	
	Conducting	polymers										
	Carbon	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	PANI- pDAB-HRP	100	NR	<0.5	Vg: 200 mV	~100 s	Buffer: citrate- phosphate- Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	HRP inhibition at H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> concentration > 0.5 mM.	[ <u>74</u> ]	

						V <sub>d</sub> : 20 mV		Working pH: 5		
Kapton- Carbon	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	PSPANI- HRP	25	0.126 μA/s	0.025–1	V <sub>g</sub> : 0 V V <sub>d</sub> : 20 mV	100–300 s	Buffer: HEPES- KNO <sub>3</sub> (100 mM) Working pH: 7	Sultonation improves the PANI conductivity at pH 7	[ <u>75</u> ]
Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -FET	Glucose	PANI-PAA- GOD	NR	1 nA/mM	0–9	Vg: 20 mV Vds: 10 mV	<1 s	Buffer: McIlvaine Working pH: 5	PANI-PAA film was deposition by electropolymerization	[ <u>60]</u>
PEDOT- TFT	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> & Glucose	GOD	100	NR	0.1–1	V <sub>d</sub> : 0.2 V V <sub>g</sub> : 0– 0.6 V	~60 s	Buffer: PBS Working pH: 7.14	pH independent response from pH 5 to 9	[ <u>79</u> ]
PEDOT- TFT	Glucose	GOD	1	0.1 V/decade	<1	V <sub>ds</sub> : -0.2 V	NR	Buffer: PBS (15 mM) Working pH: 6.8	Sensitivity can be improved by increasing Vg	[ <u>62]</u>
PEDOT- TFT	Glucose	GOD	<1000	1.65 μA/mM	1.1 to 16.5	V <sub>ds</sub> : -1.5 V V <sub>g</sub> : 0.0 V	10–20 s	NR	Sensor was encapsulated in cellulose acetate membrane	[ <u>63]</u>

Liquid gate-FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> & Glucose	PPyNT- GOD	500 (est.)	3.75%/mM (est.)	2–20	V <sub>ds</sub> : -0.01 V V <sub>g</sub> : 0.01 V	5–10 s	Buffer: PBS (10 mM) Working pH: 7.0	High enzyme loading was achieved	[ <u>64]</u>
TFT	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> & Glucose	PEDOT- GOD	1	0.79–3 μA/mM	0.001–5	V <sub>ds</sub> : -0.4 V V <sub>g</sub> : 0.4 V	<20 s	Buffer: PBS Working pH: 7.4	Used as both optical and electrochemical	[ <u>80]</u>
TFT	Glucose	PEDOT- GOD	10	NR	0.01–100	V <sub>ds</sub> : -0.7 V V <sub>g</sub> : 0.7 V	~360 s	Buffer: PBS (120 mM)	Stable for 100 days with covalently immobilized GOD	[ <u>81]</u>
TFT	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> & Glucose	PEDOT- TiO <sub>2</sub> -GOD	1	0.126%/decade	0.001–5	V <sub>ds</sub> : -0.1 V V <sub>g</sub> : 0.4 V	~1000 s (est.)	Buffer: PBS (10 mM) Working pH: 7.0	Stable for 10 days with intermittent testing	[ <u>82]</u>
Liquid gate FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	rGO-PPy NTs	0.1 nM	2%/decade	0.1–100 nM	Vg: 0.1 V Vds: -0.01 V	<1 s	Buffer: PBS Working pH: 7.4	Stable up to 1 month, when stored in air	[ <u>65]</u> [ <u>66]</u>
Metal oxide	25									

Glass- ITO-SnO <sub>2</sub>	Glucose	GOD-MnO <sub>2</sub>	2700	2.35 mV/mM	<20	No bias	720	Buffer: Phosphate- KOH (5 mM) Working pH: 8.1	Dynamic range strongly depends on pH value	[ <u>67</u> ]
Si <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> -FET	Glucose	GOD-MnO <sub>2</sub> NPs	20	NR	0.025–1.9	No bias	~140 s	Buffer: Tris (10 mM) Working pH: 7.4	Repeatability: 1.9% (RSD) for 7 measurements	[ <u>61]</u>
		Iridium oxide	100	400 mV/dec	0.1–10	I <sub>bias</sub> : 25 nA		Working pH: 3.5–9		
FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Prussian blue	10	290 mV/dec	0.01-1	I <sub>bias</sub> : 50 nA	NR	Working pH: 4.5–6	-	[ <u>68]</u>
		Os-PVP- HRP	0.1	700 mV/dec	10 <sup>-7-</sup> 10 <sup>-5</sup> M	I <sub>bias</sub> : 25 nA		Working pH: 4.5–6		
Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> - FET-Pt	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Perovskite oxide	4	35 mV/dec	0.005–0.2	I <sub>bias</sub> : 25 nA	1800	Buffer: Phosphate Working pH: 7	Change in stoichiometry of oxide can result in lower detection limit	[ <u>44]</u>
FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	TiO <sub>2</sub>	NR	4.5 mV/µM (DMEM media)	NR	I <sub>ds</sub> : 0.1 mA V <sub>ds</sub> : 1 V	300 (est.)	Buffer: Phosphate	DMEM media	[ <u>70]</u>

FET	Glucose	ZnO-NiO quantum dots	26	13.14 μA mM <sup>-1</sup> (0.001– 10 mM)	0.001–50	V <sub>g</sub> : 1.2–2 V V <sub>ds</sub> : 0.0 V	NR	Buffer: PBS (10 mM) Working pH: 7.4	Tested in whole blood and serum	[ <u>83</u> ]
Liquid	Glucose	ZnO rod- GOD	0.07	32.27 μA mM <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	0.05–70	Vg: 0- 2 V	NR	Buffer: PBS (50 mM)	Mice blood, serum	[ <u>73</u> ]
gate FET	Cholesterol	ZnO rod- COD	0.04	17.1 μA mM <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	0.01–45	Vg: 2– 3 V	NR	Working pH: 7.4		
Carbon nan	omaterials									
Graphene- FET	Glucose	GOD	100	∼1 µA/mM (est.)	<10	V <sub>ds</sub> : 0.1 V V <sub>g</sub> : 0 V	<200 s (est.)	Buffer: PBS (10 mM) Working pH: 7.2	Glutamate was also detected using the sensor with GluD	[ <u>76</u> ]
OTFT	Glucose	Graphene- Chitosan- GOD	0.01	370 mV/dec	0.01–1µM	V <sub>g</sub> : 0.4 V V <sub>ds</sub> : 0.05 V	~500 s	Buffer: PBS Working pH: 7.4	Investigated the effect of interference of UA and AA	[ <u>84]</u>
Graphene- FET	Glucose	Silk fibroin- GOD	100	2.5 μA/mM	0.1–10	V <sub>g</sub> : 0 V V <sub>ds</sub> :0.1 V	~100 s	Buffer: PBS (10 mM) Working pH: 7.4	Stable for 10 months at room temperature	[ <u>78</u> ]

FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> & Glucose	Graphene- Chitosan- PtNPs- GOD	0.03	91.7 mV/dec	30 nM–1 mM	V <sub>g</sub> : 0.7 V V <sub>ds</sub> : 0.05 V	~100 s (est.)	Buffer: PBS Working pH: 7.2	No interference was observed from AA and UA	[ <u>85]</u>
rGO-FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	MoS <sub>2</sub>	1 pM	0.46%/dec	1 pM–100 nM	V <sub>g</sub> : 0.1 V V <sub>ds</sub> : 0.01 V	~1 s	Buffer: PBS Working pH: 7.4	HeLa Cells	[25]
FET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	Graphene- Cyt-c	0.1 pM	14%/dec	0.1–100 pM	V <sub>g</sub> : 1.75 V V <sub>ds</sub> : 0.001 V	<1 s	Buffer: PBS Working pH: 7.4	No interference from UA, AA, dopamine, and glutamate	[ <u>24]</u>
Others										
SiO <sub>2</sub> - MOSC	Glucose	HRP-GOD	5000	1.76 nA/cm <sup>2</sup> M	<2 M	V <sub>g</sub> : 5 V	1200	Dry sensor so no need for a buffer solution	-	[ <u>69]</u>
Polysilicon wire- ISFET	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> & Glucose	APTES- SiNPs-UV treatment	32 pM	12 AmM <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup>	10 <sup>-10</sup> -10 <sup>-3</sup> M	V <sub>ds</sub> : 5 V	NR	Tested solution volume: 0.03 pL (Dry sensor)	Serum	[ <u>72</u> ]