Froth Washing in Flotation

Subjects: Mining & Mineral Processing

Contributor: Tawona Martin Jera , Clayton Bhondayi

Froth flotation is a mineral processing technique that is popular when processing low-grade ores. It involves introducing chemically treated, finely ground ore in the form of a slurry into a flotation cell where the air is also added in the form of bubbles. The cell is agitated resulting in the air bubbles rising to the top and creating a froth, as bubbles rise, hydrophilic particles attach to the rising bubbles creating a froth which is collected in the weir or using launders for further treatment. The froth is washed using various froth-washing techniques to reduce entrainment and improve the grade of the froth. Froth washing can be achieved using froth washing jets or froth washing trays, this can be achieved internally/externally with regards to the position in the froth layer.

froth washing

entrainment

wash water froth stability

1. Introduction

Froth flotation is a mineral processing technique that is popular when processing low-grade ores. It involves introducing chemically treated, finely ground ore in the form of a slurry into a flotation cell where air is also added in the form of bubbles. The chemicals added to the slurry manipulate the surface of valuable minerals by rendering them hydrophobic, while gangue particles remain hydrophilic or vice versa in reverse flotation where the gangue is floated and the valuable mineral is rendered hydrophilic ^[1]. In the pulp phase of the flotation cell, air in the form of bubbles of a specific size pick up the hydrophobic particles and rise to the top, where they form a froth that increases in height as more bubbles impinge at the bottom; it eventually overflows the concentrate weir and is collected in launders.

The performance of the froth phase is governed by sub-processes, namely liquid drainage, particle detachment or attachment, and bubble coalescence and break-up. These sub-processes dictate froth recovery and grade, which are typically used as performance measures of the froth ^[2]. Froth recovery is defined as the fraction of particles that enter the froth phase, survive its cleaning action and are recovered as the concentrate ^[3]. Grade refers to the content of the marketable product in the concentrate expressed as a percentage of the total concentrate ^[3]. The grade of the concentrate is lowered by entrainment, which is a non-selective process responsible for carrying fine particles from the pulp into the froth. Particles are entrained into the froth by the liquid that is pushed into the froth in the bubble wake or by mechanical means through turbulence and slime coatings ^{[4][5]}. Mechanisms to explain entrainment that have been established in the literature include: the Moys ^[6] theory that states that unattached particles are carried upwards in bubble lamella; the Yianatos et al. ^[7] model that indicates that particles are transported to the froth in the wake of ascending air bubbles. Smith and Warren ^[8] used the bubble swarm theory to suggest that water in the pulp phase is mechanically pushed into the froth phase by a rising swarm of bubbles.

The water carries with it fine particles, the bulk of which are gangue minerals, because there is a high concentration in the pulp. Gangue minerals can also be recovered through entrapment ^[9].

1.1. Froth Washing

This is another method that can be used to "clean" the froth off entrained particles. Froth washing is effective in reducing the number of entrained particles in the froth ^{[10][11][12][13][14][15][16]}. The process of froth washing involves spraying water onto the froth. The added water helps the drainage of the entrained/loosely held particles back into the pulp phase by displacing the entrained liquid that transmits gangue particles. Froth washing increases grade, flotation recovery, froth stability and mobility, and thus enhances the performance of a flotation cell ^[11]. Despite the success achieved with froth washing, especially in column flotation cells, the Jameson cell (particularly in the coal processing industry), there are factors that lead to sub-optimal performance if they are not optimised. These include wash-water flowrate ^{[10][11][12][13][16][19][20][21][22][23]}; area covered by wash water ^{[10][11][12][13][16][19][20][21][22][23]}; area covered by wash water ^{[10][11][12][13][24][25]}. Maldistribution of wash water ^{[11][25][26]} affects the degree of froth mixing, causes channeling and short-circuiting in froth ^[27], and can result in suboptimal metallurgical performance of a flotation cell. An excessive number of fine particles in the pulp may increases entrainment, and froth washing has been used to counter this extra entrainment ^{[5][10][11][12][13][14][15][16][14][15][16]].}

2. Froth Phase Sub-Processes

In froth flotation, the particles of interest are physically separated from the gangue minerals by taking advantage of their ability to adhere to the surface of bubbles, due to particle surface hydrophobicity. The hydrophobic particles attach to the air bubbles and are carried to the surface, where they form a froth, while hydrophilic particles remain in the pulp to be drained as tails [28]. Initially, the loaded bubbles entering the froth are mostly spherical, with a liquid film surrounding them. However, as more bubbles impinge at the base of the froth, the layer of froth increases in height and slurry drains back into the froth. The liquid around the bubbles (bubble lamella) drains and becomes increasingly thinner, which encourages bubble coalescence. The bubbles thus become larger and polyhedral in shape, with plateau borders forming at the junction of three bubbles ^[29], i.e., tubular conduits in which water and detached particles flow. Detached particles may reattach to the available surface of rising bubbles or drop and drain back to the pulp phase. Thus, the froth phase sub-processes, bubble coalescence, particle detachment and reattachment, and froth drainage significantly influence the overall grade and recovery of the froth [1][30]. Froth phase sub-processes dictate the type and composition of particles in the lamella and plateau borders at any given time ^[29]. When two bubbles collide and merge, oscillations due to this collision result in the loss of particles [31][32][33]. Therefore, coalescence results in the detachment of particles. The detached particles can reattach to the rising bubbles via a selective process, based on hydrophobicity and particle size [1][6][34], which influences overall flotation performance.

2.1. Brief Overview of Froth Behavior

The major function of the froth is to transport the valuable minerals to the concentrate weir or froth discharge launders. Therefore, the froth should be able to resist bubble coalescence and bursting events, a property that is defined as froth stability. Several factors influence froth stability, including particle size and hydrophobicity ^{[2][35]}, quality of process water, gas dispersion characteristics, particle contact angle, temperature, salt concentration, etc. ^[36]. Farrokhpay ^[36] reported that froth stability plays an important role in determining grade and mineral recovery. The froth should be stable enough to allow drainage of gangue particles and recovery of mineral values. A froth that is too stable is difficult to manage and leads to difficulties in mineral recovery because it has a high mineral content, which retards drainage of hydrophobic particles to the launder ^[37]. If the froth is unstable (i.e., breaks continuously as the liquid drains from between bubbles) ^[37], it results in low recovery because mineral-laden bubbles collapse before they are transported over the concentrate weir. Unstable froths are runny and consist of loosely packed spherical bubbles with little valuable minerals. A very stable froth i.e., metastable froth is sticky with a high froth load due to closely packed ellipsoidal bubbles. Very stable froths are too viscous and have large bubbles entraining a large number of gangue minerals, which consequently leads to a poor grade of concentrate ^[37].

Very stable froths become dry in the upper layers ^{[38][39]} as a result of particles dropping back and liquid drainage. Wash water sprayed compensates for the water lost in the upper layers of the froth preventing bubbles from bursting events, thus increasing froth stability and improving the recovery of particles ^{[11][38][39]}.

In addition to being of acceptable stability, the froth should also be mobile, in order for it to flow to the concentrate launder. A froth property called froth mobility refers to the flow streamlines that occur in the froth between the pulp-froth interface and the froth discharge ^{[40][41]}. Froth mobility has been linked to the froth structure. Moolman et al. ^[37] stated that large elliptical bubbles with high froth loading are a result of an excessively stable, sticky froth. The characteristics of a sticky froth are high viscosity with lower mobility compared to that of an ideal froth. A runny froth is too watery, has low mineralisation and is excessively mobile ^[37]. An ideal froth is not too runny or too viscous.

Froth rheology is an important froth property in flotation because it has the possibility of affecting both froth mobility and froth stability ^[42]. One of the terms associated with froth rheology is viscosity. Experienced plant operators have often used their fingers to test whether the froth is viscous so that operating variables can be adjusted promptly. Shi and Zheng ^[42] reported that the froth becomes drier and more viscous when it stays longer in the flotation cell on its way to the launders. This can only be attributed to water draining from the froth. As a result, water and entrained particles per unit volume of froth decrease. In the process, froth mobility is lowered. Froth washing has the benefit of compensating for the water lost in the upper layers of the froth, which improves froth mobility, stability and recovery ^{[11][38][39]}. Therefore, froth washing influences froth rheology. Shi and Zheng ^[42] reported that the froth becomes less viscous as the water hold-up in the froth increases. No other research has been conducted on the impact of froth washing on froth rheology. Kaya ^[11] reported that wash water reduces bubble coalescence, which leads to improved recovery in flotation. After introducing wash water, the bubble lamella thickens. The number of large particles held in the froth increases. In addition, the amount of water draining from the froth also increases and effectively "washes' down entrained particles; this is effectively a secondary concentration process which leads to an improved froth grade. The increase in the recovery of coarse particles and the secondary concentration process results in an increase in recovery and grade ^[11].

2.2. Transporting Gangue Minerals into the Froth

Three main mechanisms have been identified as being responsible for transporting gangue minerals into the froth and these are entrainment, recovery as composite particles using their hydrophobic portion attached to bubbles and entrapment by aggregates attached to bubbles ^[8]. Entrainment is known to be the biggest contributor to recovering gangue minerals in the froth ^{[8][9][43][44][45][46][47][48][49][50]}. It is a process that transfers fine particles into the froth through mechanical means ^{[51][52]}. The process is non-selective; therefore, fine particles of both valuable minerals and gangue minerals are transported into the froth. However, because gangue minerals are typically abundant compared to valuable minerals, a reduction in concentrate grade is observed. Therefore, entrainment must be managed actively.

Entrainment is known to take place in two steps. Step 1 involves moving particles from the top of the pulp, across the pulp-froth interface and into the froth. Step 2 involves transferring these particles from the froth phase to the concentrate ^{[47][48][50]}. Generally, three mechanisms are considered responsible for Step 1. These are: (i) unattached particles being carried upwards in bubble lamella, which is known as the boundary layer theory ^{[6][9][44]} ^{[45][53]}; (ii) the bubble swarm theory ^{[8][49]}, which posits that swarms of bubbles below the pulp-froth interface mechanically push water and suspended particles across the pulp-froth interface; (iii) the bubble wake theory ^[46] ^[53], which posits that the bubble wake transfers particles into the froth. Water in bubble lamella, or in the wake of a bubble or water being mechanically pushed by swarms of bubbles is central to explaining entrainment. Thus, several relationships between water recovery and particle recovery by entrainment have been suggested, including the dominant linear relationship, as observed by several researchers ^{[4][6][8][21][39][53][54][55][56][57][58][59][60].}

Another mechanism for transporting gangue into the froth was suggested by Gaudin ^[9] as reported by Smith and Warren ^[8], i.e., entrapment. Entrapment takes place when non-floatable particles (gangue minerals) are trapped between valuable particles that are attached to adjoining/clustered bubbles and which are recovered to the froth product ^{[9][11]}. This lowers the grade of the froth. Zheng et al. ^[55] further reported that entrapment occurs when the thickness of the froth lamellae and plateau borders reduces to a value similar to or less than the particle size, hindering the free drainage of particles. Zheng et al. ^[55] further stated that entrapment can be responsible for the disproportionately higher recovery of larger particles of gangue minerals relative to fine particles. Kaya ^[11] further reported that entrapment becomes more dominant with low water recovery or when the froth becomes dry. Introducing froth washing was found to reduce entrapment.

2.3. Managing Entrainment Recovery

Several factors are known to influence entrainment, including feed properties, mainly particle size and density ^{[8][53]} ^{[61][62][63][64]}; operational parameters, such as pulp density ^{[55][64]}, impeller speed ^{[53][65]}, gas rate ^{[53][55]} and froth height ^{[6][53][54][55][66][67][68][69]}. Controlling and manipulating these factors is one way of managing entrainment. Fundamentally, the reduction in particles recovered by entrainment targets the two-step process that results in the entrainment of particles. For instance, Zheng et al. ^[55] observed that the degree of entrainment increases with an increase in the air rate and decreases with an increase in the froth height. An increase in air rate leads to an increase in the rising velocity of the froth and shortens froth-retention time. Therefore, a lower proportion of particles per unit mass of water drains back into the pulp from the froth phase. Increasing the froth height prolongs the froth-retention time, which allows more water and unwanted fine particles to drop back into the pulp. This produces a cleaner froth ^[55]. In this case, manipulating the gas rate or froth height means targeting Step 2 of the entrainment process, by providing conditions that reduce the recovery or transport of entrained particles to the concentrate launder. Cilek ^[70] noted that, in mechanical cells, the impeller speed can be regulated to a range within which the recovery of gangue minerals by entrainment would be reduced but true flotation would be promoted. Akdemir and Sönmez ^[55] investigated the effect of the impeller speed on coal and ash recovery and entrainment. The results indicated an increase in recovery by entrainment as a result of increased impeller speed. In general, excessive agitation leads to an increase in the recovery of fine gangue particles. Control of agitation speed is primarily aimed at reducing Step 1 of the entrainment process, i.e., reducing transportation of unattached particles across the pulp-froth interface.

Froth-flow modifiers can also be used to control entrainment recovery by targeting the second step of entrainment. This is achieved through manipulating the froth-retention time. Altering the froth retention impacts the froth drainage and thus the entrainment recovery. Moys ^[43] and Bhondayi ^[71] studied the impact of a froth baffle on froth performance. In general, they found that a froth baffle leads to a reduction in entrainment, as the froth baffle elongates the path taken by the bubbles, thus increasing the time for draining the gangue particles. Therefore, baffles increase the froth-retention time for bubbles that enter the froth phase close to the concentrate weir, which improves the concentrate grade. Other froth-flow modifiers, e.g., crowders and launders, can also be used to manipulate the froth-retention time, and result in varying degrees of entrainment control.

Industrially, entrainment has traditionally been minimised by using multiple stages of cleaner flotation cells ^[72]. Column flotation became the preferred alternative to multi-stage cleaning for the coal industry ^[12]. Column flotation cells utilise froth washing. Froth washing involves introducing clean wash water within the froth or externally on top of the froth. The added clean wash water creates a net downward flow of water in the froth, which flushes out gangue particles and reduces the fraction of gangue minerals ^{[10][11][12][13][15][16]}. Thus, froth washing targets the second step of entrainment.

2.4. Froth Washing

According to Klassen and Mokrousov ^[24], froth washing was first applied in the flotation of coal and resulted in improvements in coal recovery. Wash water is uniformly sprinkled on the whole froth surface in all flotation columns or at the overflow lip in mechanical cells. Kaya ^[11] suggested that wash-water flow rates should be maintained between 7% and 12% of water in the flotation cell feed. Frothing agents at the same concentration as in the flotation cell feed may be added to the wash water to stabilise the froth ^{[10][13][73]}.

Column flotation cells are common in coal flotation or applications where a deeper froth is required ^[15]. Wash water is also sometimes applied in mechanical flotation cells, although this is not very common; however, the water requirement is lower, mainly because these cells are operated at a lower froth height compared to column flotation cells. Compared to mechanical cells, huge success has been realised using froth washing in both column flotation ^[74] and Jameson cells ^[75], lowering entrainment and improving the grade of the concentrate. Column and Jameson cells have froth-washing systems to ensure that the entire froth surface is washed, while mechanical cells utilise washing at the cell lip ^[15]. Froth washing is also widely used in cleaner stages of flotation cells ^{[12][76][77]}. However, little application has been reported in scavenger cells, which contain the largest amounts of gangue particles compared to floatable material ^[15]. Scavenger cells are susceptible to entrainment due to shallow froth depth and less stable froth, which contains slow floatable minerals ^[12].

References

- Wills, B.A.; Napier-Munn, T.J. An introduction to the practical aspects of ore treatment and mineral recovery. In Wills' Mineral Processing Technology; Elsevier Science & Technology Books: London, UK, 2006; pp. 267–352.
- 2. Finch, J. Column Flotation; Pergamon Press plc: South Croydon, UK, 1991; p. 180.
- 3. Ata, S. Phenomena in the froth phase of flotation—A review. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2012, 102, 1– 12.
- 4. Jowett, A. Flotation kinetics. Gangue mineral contamination of froth. Brit. Chem. Eng. 1966, 11, 330–333.
- 5. Pease, J.D.; Curry, D.C.; Young, M.F. Designing flotation circuits for high fines recovery. Miner. Eng. 2006, 19, 831–840.
- Moys, M.H. A study of a plug-flow model for flotation froth behaviour. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1978, 5, 21–38.
- 7. Yianatos, J.B.; Finch, J.A.; Laplante, A.R. Apparent hindered settling in a gas-liquid-solid counter current column. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1986, 18, 155–165.
- 8. Smith, P.G.; Warren, L.J. Entrainment of particles into flotation froths. Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev. 1989, 5, 123–145.
- 9. Gaudin, A.M. Flotation; McGraw-Hill: New York, NY, USA, 1957.
- 10. Finch, J.A.; Yianatos, J.; Dobby, G. Column froths. Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev. 1989, 5, 281–305.
- 11. Kaya, M. Froth Washing in Mechanical Flotation Cells. Ph.D. Thesis, McGill University, Montréal, QC, Canada, 1989.

- 12. McKeon, T.J. An In-Plant Evaluation of Froth Washing on Conventional Flotation Cells for Coal. Ph.D. Thesis, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA, 2001.
- 13. Jameson, G.J. Method and Apparatus for Froth Washing in Flotation. U.S. Patent 7,770,736, 10 August 2010.
- 14. Ata, S. The role of frother on the detachment of particles from bubbles. Miner. Eng. 2011, 24, 476–478.
- 15. Bennie, D.I. An Investigation of Froth Effects in Scavenging Flotation of Platinum from UG-2 ore. Ph.D. Thesis, The University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa, 2013.
- 16. Dobby, G.S.; Kosick, A. Underfroth Washing. U.S. Patent US 2017/0215756A1, 24 May 2017.
- 17. Evans, G.M.; Atkinson, B. The Jameson Cell. In Flotation Science and Engineering; Matis, K.A., Ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 1995; pp. 331–363.
- 18. Laskowski, J. Flotation machines. Dev. Miner. Process. 2001, 14, 225–262.
- Sripada, S.R.; Ahmed, N.; Jameson, G.J. Froth washing in flotation. In Proceedings of the Chemeca 89, 17th Australian Conference on Chemical Engineering, Gold Coast, QLD, Australia, 1 January 1989.
- Mao, W.P.; Sripada, S.R.; Ahmed, N.; Jameson, G.J. Froth washing in the flotation of coal. In Proceedings of the 19th Australasian Chemical Engineering Conference (Chemeca 91), Newcastle, Australia, 18–20 September 1991.
- 21. Ireland, P.; Cunningham, R.; Jameson, G.J. The behaviour of wash water injected into a froth. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2007, 84, 99–107.
- 22. Parga, J.R.; Valenzuela, J.L.; Aguayo, S. Bacís flotation cell for gold-and silver-beard pyrite recovery. Min. Metall. Explor. 2009, 26, 25–29.
- 23. Clean Process Technologies. CleanProTech. 2014. Available online: http://www.cleanprotech.com.au/washwater.html (accessed on 22 September 2021).
- 24. Klassen, V.I.; Mokrousov, V.A. An Introduction to the Theory of Flotation; Butterworth: London, UK, 1963.
- Cilliers, J. Understanding froth behaviour with CFD. In Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on CFD in the Process Industries, CSIRO, Melbourne, Australia, 13 December 2006; pp. 13–15.
- 26. Kennedy, D.L. Redesign of Industrial Column Flotation Circuits Based on a Simple Residence Time Distribution Model. Ph.D. Thesis, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA, 2008.
- 27. Yianatos, J.B.; Bergh, L.G. Troubleshooting industrial flotation columns. Miner. Eng. 1995, 8, 1593–1605.

- 28. Crawford, C.B.; Quinn, B. Microplastic Pollutants; Elsevier Limited: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016.
- 29. King, R.P. Modeling and Simulation of Mineral Processing Systems; Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford, UK, 2001.
- 30. Waters, K.E.; Rowson, N.A.; Fan, X.; Parker, D.J.; Cilliers, J.J. Positron emission particle tracking as a method to map the movement of particles in the pulp and froth phases. Miner. Eng. 2008, 21, 877–882.
- 31. Ata, S.; Ahmed, N.; Jameson, G.J. A study of bubble coalescence in flotation froths. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2003, 72, 255–266.
- 32. Ata, S. The detachment of particles from coalescing bubble pairs. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2009, 338, 558–565.
- 33. Moreno, Y.S.; Ata, S. On the detachment of hydrophobic particles from the froth phase. Miner. Eng. 2016, 95, 113–115.
- 34. Espinosa-Gomez, R.; Finch, J.A.; Yianatos, J.B.; Dobby, G.S. Flotation column carrying capacity: Particle size and density effects. Miner. Eng. 1988, 1, 77–79.
- 35. Johansson, G.; Pugh, R.J. The influence of particle size and hydrophobicity on the stability of mineralized froths. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1992, 34, 1–21.
- 36. Farrokhpay, S. The significance of froth stability in mineral flotation—A review. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 2011, 166, 1–7.
- 37. Moolman, D.W.; Eksteen, J.J.; Aldrich, C.; Van Deventer, J.S. The significance of flotation froth appearance for machine vision control. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1996, 48, 135–158.
- 38. Wright, B.A. The Development of a Vision-Based Flotation Froth Analysis System. Master's Thesis, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, 1999.
- 39. Neethling, S.J.; Cilliers, J.J. The entrainment of gangue into a flotation froth. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2002, 64, 123–134.
- 40. Cutting, G.W.; Barber, S.P.; Newton, S. Effects of froth structure and mobility on the performance and simulation of continuously operated flotation cells. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1986, 16, 43–61.
- 41. Farrokhpay, S. The importance of rheology in mineral flotation: A review. Miner. Eng. 2012, 36, 272–278.
- 42. Shi, F.N.; Zheng, X.F. The rheology of flotation froths. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2003, 69, 115–128.
- 43. Moys, M.H. A Study of Processes Occurring in Flotation Froths. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Natal, Durban, South Africa, 1979.

- 44. Hemmings, C.E. On the Significance of Flotation Froth Liquid Lamella Thickness; Institution of Mining and Metallurgy Transactions: Leeds, UK, 1981; p. 90.
- 45. Bascur, O.A.; Herbst, J.A. Dynamic modelling of a flotation cell with a view toward automatic control. In CIM Bulletin; Canadian Inst. Mining Metallurgy Petroleum: Toronto, ON, Canada, 1982; Volume 75, p. 76.
- 46. Yianatos, J.B.; Finch, J.A.; Laplante, A.R. Selectivity in column flotation froths. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1988, 23, 279–292.
- 47. Gorain, B.K.; Harris, M.C.; Franzidis, J.P.; Manlapig, E.V. The effect of froth residence time on the kinetics of flotation. Miner. Eng. 1988, 11, 627–638.
- 48. Seaman, D.R.; Manlapig, E.V.; Franzidis, J.P. Selective transport of attached particles across the pulp–froth interface. Miner. Eng. 2006, 19, 841–851.
- 49. Gong, J.; Peng, Y.; Bouajila, A.; Ourriban, M.; Yeung, A.; Liu, Q. Reducing quartz gangue entrainment in sulphide ore flotation by high molecular weight polyethylene oxide. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2010, 97, 44–51.
- 50. Wang, L.; Peng, Y.; Runge, K. Entrainment in froth flotation: The degree of entrainment and its contributing factors. Powder Technol. 2016, 288, 202–211.
- 51. Valenta, M.M.; Mapheto, H. Application of fundamentals in optimizing platinum concentrator performance. J. South. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall. 2011, 111, 93–99.
- 52. Popli, K.; Afacan, A.; Liu, Q.; Prasad, V. Real-time monitoring of entrainment using fundamental models and froth images. Miner. Eng. 2018, 124, 44–62.
- 53. Wang, L. Entrainment of Fine Particles in Froth Flotation. Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Queensland, Sustainable Mineral Institute, St. Lucia, Australia, 2017.
- 54. Engelbrecht, J.A.; Woodburn, E.T. The effect of froth height, aeration rate and gas precipitation on flotation. S. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall. 1975, 76, 125–132.
- 55. Zheng, X.; Johnson, N.W.; Franzidis, J.P. Modelling of entrainment in industrial flotation cells: Water recovery and degree of entrainment. Miner. Eng. 2006, 19, 1191–1203.
- 56. Trahar, W.J. A rational interpretation of the role of particle size in flotation. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1981, 8, 289–327.
- 57. Laplante, A.R.; Kaya, M.; Smith, H.W. The effect of froth on flotation kinetics—A mass transfer approach. Miner. Process. Extr. Metall. Rev. 1989, 5, 147–168.
- 58. Ross, V.E. Particle-bubble attachment in flotation froths. Miner. Eng. 1997, 10, 695–706.
- 59. Savassi, O.N.; Alexander, D.J.; Franzidis, J.P.; Manlapig, E.V. An empirical model for entrainment in industrial flotation plants. Miner. Eng. 1998, 11, 243–256.

- 60. Savassi, O.N. A compartment model for the mass transfer inside a conventional flotation cell. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2005, 77, 65–79.
- 61. Lynch, A.J.; Johnson, N.W.; Manlapig, E.V.; Thorne, C.G. Mineral and coal flotation circuits. In Their Simulation and Control; Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company: New York, NY, USA, 1981.
- 62. Kirjavainen, V.M. Application of a probability model for the entrainment of hydrophilic particles in froth flotation. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1989, 27, 63–74.
- 63. Maachar, A.; Dobby, G.S. Measurement of feed water recovery and entrainment solids recovery in flotation columns. Can. Metall. Q. 1992, 31, 167–172.
- 64. Johnson, B.N.W. A review of the entrainment mechanism and its modelling in industrial flotation processes. In Proceedings of the Conference Proceedings: Centenary of Flotation Symposium, Brisbane, Australia, 6–9 June 2005; Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AusIMM): Brisbane, Australia, 2005.
- 65. Akdemir, Ü.; Sönmez, İ. Investigation of coal and ash recovery and entrainment in flotation. Fuel Process. Technol. 2003, 82, 1–9.
- 66. Cutting, G.W.; Devenish, M. A steady-state model of froth flotation structures. In Proceedings of the AIME Annual Meeting, New York, NY, USA, 24 February 1975; Volume 20.
- Cutting, G.W.; Watson, D.; Whitehead, A.; Barber, S.P. Froth structure in continuous flotation cells: Relation to the prediction of plant performance from laboratory data using process models. Int. J. Miner. Process. 1981, 7, 347–369.
- 68. Hanumanth, G.S.; Williams, D.J. An experimental study of the effects of froth height on the flotation of China clay. Powder Technol. 1990, 60, 131–144.
- 69. Harris, A.; Venkatesan, L.; Greyling, M. A practical approach to plant-scale flotation optimization. J. S. Afr. Inst. Min. Metall. 2013, 113, 263–272.
- 70. Cilek, E.C. The effect of hydrodynamic conditions on true flotation and entrainment in flotation of a complex sulphide ore. Int. J. Miner. Process. 2009, 90, 35–44.
- 71. Bhondayi, C. A Study of Flotation Froth Phase Behaviour. Ph.D. Thesis, University of the Witwatersrand, Faculty of Engineering and the Built Environment, School of Chemical and Metallurgical Engineering, Johannesburg, South Africa, 2014.
- 72. Miller, F.G. The effect of froth sprinkling on coal flotation efficiency. Trans. AIME 1969, 244, 158– 167.
- 73. Cole, K.E. Bubble Size, Coalescence and Particle Motion in Flowing Foams. Ph.D. Thesis, Imperial College London, London, UK, 2010.

- 74. Yianatos, J.B. Column Flotation Froths. Ph.D. Thesis, McGill University, Department of Mining and Metallurgical Engineering, Montréal, QC, Canada, 1987.
- 75. Young, M.F.; Barnes, K.E.; Anderson, G.S.; Pease, J.D.; Zinc, X. Jameson Cell: The "comeback" in base metals applications using improved design and flow sheets. In Proceedings of the 38th Annual Meeting of the Canadian Mineral Processors, Ottawa, ON, USA, 17 January 2006; pp. 311–322.
- Hacifazlioglu, H.; Sutcu, H. Optimization of some parameters in column flotation and a comparison of conventional cell and column cell in terms of flotation performance. J. Chin. Inst. Chem. Eng. 2007, 38, 287–293.

77. Kawatra, S.K. Froth flotation-fundamental principles flotation system. Miner. Eng. 1995, 1–30. Retrieved from https://www.encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/84089