# Exercise-Induced Hypoalgesia of Low-Intensity Blood Flow Restriction Exercises

Subjects: Rehabilitation

Contributor: Stefanos Karanasios, Ioannis Lignos, Kosmas Kouvaras, Maria Moutzouri, George Gioftsos

Low-intensity exercise with blood flow restriction (LIE-BFR) has been proposed as an effective intervention to induce hypoalgesia in both healthy individuals and patients with knee pain.

Keywords: KAATSU training ; exercise ; occlusion training ; pain threshold

#### 1. Introduction

Physical exercise is considered a beneficial intervention to reduce pain sensitivity in healthy individuals and patients with chronic pain conditions <sup>[1]</sup>. Clinically important changes in pain reduction are reported during or after a single bout of exercise, a phenomenon widely known as exercise-induced hypoalgesia (EIH) <sup>[2][3]</sup>. Based on experimental studies, the magnitude of EIH varies according to different factors, such as exercise parameters (i.e., type, dose, duration, and intensity), the type of noxious stimulus used for assessment (pressure, thermal, or electrical), the site of measurement (local or remote, muscle, or bone), and the timing of assessment (during or after exercise) <sup>[3][4][5][6][7]</sup>. Evidence suggests that EIH increases when the intensity of exercise is higher and over the exercising limb compared to remote sites in individuals with or without chronic pain <sup>[2][6]</sup>.

During the last two decades, a new training method using low-intensity exercise with blood flow restriction (LIE-BFR) has been suggested to produce significant improvements in muscle strength, hypertrophy, and endurance in healthy individuals <sup>[B][9][10][11]</sup>. LIE-BFR is used during voluntary resistance exercises (using 20–40% of one repetition maximum [RM]) or aerobic exercises (using 50% of heart rate or VO<sub>2</sub>max) <sup>[12]</sup>. BFR training involves partial restriction of arterial blood flow, applying 40% to 80% of limb occlusive pressure using elastic or inflatable air cuffs of different diameters <sup>[12][13]</sup>. When air cuffs are used, external pressure is achieved with pneumatic tourniquet systems or a manual pump system <sup>[14]</sup> <sup>[15]</sup>. Recently, the effectiveness of LIE-BFR has been investigated in various musculoskeletal pathologies, suggesting comparable improvements in muscle strength, hypertrophy, and function compared to traditional exercise programs <sup>[16][17]</sup> <sup>[18][19]</sup>. Hence, the method has been proposed as a useful alternative in rehabilitation when high-intensity conventional exercises are contraindicated or should be avoided <sup>[20][21][22][23][24]</sup>.

In addition to the positive effects on skeletal muscles, LIE-BFR has shown significant reductions in pain intensity in patients with knee problems or lateral elbow tendinopathy <sup>[16][18][23][25]</sup>. As a result, it has been suggested that the BFR component may trigger a hypoalgesic response similar to high-load resistance exercise <sup>[26][27]</sup>. Further investigations have demonstrated that LIE-BFR induces greater reductions in pressure pain thresholds compared to conventional training <sup>[28]</sup> <sup>[29]</sup>. These hypoalgesic responses were partially explained by endogenous opioid and endocannabinoid system pain-modulation mechanisms <sup>[28][29]</sup>. However, other studies have supported that the addition of BFR to LIE did not provide an additional hypoalgesic response when the exercise was performed to failure <sup>[30][31]</sup>. Additionally, based on a recent randomized controlled trial (RCT), elbow flexion LIE-BFR produced a similar reduction in pain perception compared to HIE only in the exercising limb <sup>[32]</sup>. Despite growing research evidence in the current field, it remains unclear whether BFR exercises induce a significant hypoalgesic effect compared to other interventions or how different types of applications may influence the hypoalgesic response.

#### 2. Participants

A total of 189 healthy participants were included with a mean age of 24.1 years. Of the participants, 44% were women and 56% were men, and the sample sizes ranged from 12 to 60 healthy individuals. All subjects were asked to maintain normal dietary habits during participation in the experimental protocols and refrain from caffeine, alcohol, and intense exercise the day before the experimental trials. All eligible studies described some common exclusion criteria, such as

serious cardiovascular diseases, venous deficiency, lymphoedema, history of heart surgery, pulmonary embolism, cancer, or thrombosis [28][29][30][31][32]. **Table 1** shows the characteristics and main results of the included trials.

Total Sample Size Study Follow-Outcome Design N (Mean Interventions Equipment Results (Year) Up Measures Age ± SD, Sex) Unilateral isokinetic elbow flexion (120° s) 25 healthy (1) Ecc LIEindividuals **BFR(40%** (25 women) Inflatable AOP) at 30% Ecc LIEcuffs with a There was no of eccentric BFR (n = manual pump Between PPTs at the significant group × Hill et al. Parallel peak torque 12; 21.7 (KAATSU 7 testina biceps brachii testing day (2019) [33] design (30-15-15-15 years ± 1.0) Master, Sato days muscle interaction (p =reps) Sports Plaza, 0.682) Con LIE-(2) Con LIE-BFR (n = Tokyo, Japan) **BFR(40%** 13; 22.0 AOP) at 30% years ± 1.6) of concentric peak torque (30-15-15-15 reps) LIE-BFR (80% AOP) showed significantly Unilateral leg higher PPTs press compared to all (1) LIE using trials (p < 0.05) at at 30% 1RM all measurements (30-15-15-15 sites 5 min post-PPTs at the reps) exercise Personalized dominant and (2) LIE-12 healthy 5 min LIE-BFR (40% **BFR(40%** Tourniquet nondominant individuals post-AOP) showed Hughes et Cross-AOP) at 30% system quadriceps, (29 ± 6 exercise significantly al. (2020) [29] over 1RM (30-15-15-(Delfi Medical dominant years; 10 24 h higher PPT design 15 reps) Inc. biceps brachii, men and 2 postcompared to LIE (p (3) LIE-Vancouver, nondominant women) exercise < 0.05) at all **BFR(80%** BC, Canada) upper trapezius measurements AOP) at 30% muscles sites 5 min post-1RM (30-15-15exercise 15 reps) **HIE showed higher** (4) HIE at 70% PPTs compared to 1RM (4 sets × LIE (p < 0.05) at all 10 reps) measurements sites 5 min postexercise **PPTs were** significantly increased following BFR Static bicycle (40% AOP) and X 20 min BFR (80% AOP) (1) LIE PPTs at the compared with LIE (2) LIE-Personalized dominant and 5 min (p < 0.05). 12 healthy BFR(40% tourniquet nondominant post-BFR (80% AOP) Hughes et Crossindividuals AOP) at 40% system quadriceps, exercise presented higher al. (2021) [28] over (27 ± 6 VO<sub>2</sub>max (Delfi Medical dominant 24 h increase in PPTs design years; 12 (3) LIE-Inc, biceps brachii, compared to BFR postmen) **BFR(80%** Vancouver, nondominant exercise (40% AOP) (p < AOP) at 40% BC, Canada) upper trapezius 0.05). VO<sub>2</sub>max muscles BFR (80% AOP) (4) HIE at 70% and HI-AE VO<sub>2</sub>max presented increased PPTs in remote areas of the body.

Table 1. Included studies, demographics and results.

Study (Year)	Design	Total Sample Size N (Mean Age ± SD, Sex)	Interventions	Equipment	Follow- Up	Outcome Measures	Results
Karanasios et al. (2022) [32]	Parallel design	40 healthy individuals (26.6 years ± 6.8; 17 women and 23 men)	Elbow flexion with dumbbells (1) LIE- BFR(40% AOP) at 30% RM (30-15-15- 15 reps) (2) HIE at 70% RM (4 sets × 10 reps)	Personalized tourniquet system (Mad-Up Pro, France)	5 min post- exercise	PPTs at the dominant and nondominant quadriceps, biceps brachii and upper trapezius muscles	Non-significant between-group changes in PPTs at all measurement sites Statistically significant reductions between pre- and post-exercise in LIE-BFR and HIE at dominant biceps brachii
Song et al. (2022) <sup>[31]</sup>	Cross- over design	60 healthy individuals (21.8 years ± 3.2; 21 men, 39 women)	Isometric handgrip contraction (1) LIE-BFR (50% AOP) at 30% of max strength (4 sets × 2 min contraction) (2) LIE at 30% of max strength (4 sets × 2 min contraction) (3) control	Inflatable cuffs with a manual pump (E20, Hokanson Inc., Bellevue, WA, USA)	5 min post- exercise	PPTs at the dominant forearm and ipsilateral tibialis anterior	PPTs increased similarly in both exercise groups compared to control at a local and non-local site. Non-significant differences between exercise conditions.
Song et al. (2022) <sup>[30]</sup>	Cross- over design	40 healthy individuals (23.7 years ± 4.3; 18 men, 22 women)	Unilateral knee extension (1) LIE-BFR (80% AOP) at 30% RM (to failure) (2) LIE at 70% RM (to failure) (3) control	Inflatable cuffs with a manual pump (E20, Hokanson Inc., Bellevue, WA, USA)	5 min post- exercise	PPTs at the dominant forearm and ipsilateral tibialis anterior	Both exercise conditions presented greater changes in PPTs compared to control ( <i>p</i> > 0.05) Non-significant differences between exercise conditions

### 3. Interventions

Five studies evaluated the effectiveness of LIE-BFR alone compared to other exercise interventions <sup>[28][29][30][31][32]</sup>, while only two of them included a control group <sup>[30][31]</sup>. One study compared the effectiveness of LIE-BFR between concentric and eccentric isokinetic contractions <sup>[33]</sup>. Four of the eligible studies investigated the effect of dynamic resistance exercises with BFR and one investigated the effect of low-intensity aerobic exercise with BFR <sup>[28][29][30][31][32]</sup>. Three studies used lower-limb exercises with BFR, including a leg press <sup>[29]</sup>, knee extension <sup>[30]</sup>, and cycling <sup>[28]</sup>. Three studies included upper-limb exercises, of which two used a dynamic elbow flexion resistance exercise <sup>[32][33]</sup> and one used an isometric handgrip contraction <sup>[31]</sup>.

Three of the eligible studies used a pneumatic tourniquet system to apply the BFR condition  $^{[28][29][32]}$ . The rest of the studies used inflatable air cuffs and determined arterial occlusive pressure with the help of a hand-held Doppler ultrasound  $^{[30][31][33]}$ . Three studies used LIE-BFR with low occlusive pressure (40–50% AOP)  $^{[31][32][33]}$ , two studies included exercise with both low and high occlusive pressures (40% and 80% AOP, respectively)  $^{[28][29]}$ , and one trial included only high occlusive pressure (80% AOP)  $^{[30]}$ .

#### 4. Outcome Measures

Pain sensitivity was assessed using pressure pain thresholds across all studies. Hand-held pressure algometers with a stimulation area of 1 cm diameter were used across all trials. Five studies included measurements at the exercising limb and remote sites <sup>[28][29][30][31][32]</sup> and one trial investigated the effects of exercise with BFR only at a local site (biceps brachii muscle) <sup>[33]</sup>. Five studies <sup>[28][29][30][31][32]</sup> included a follow-up measurement 5 min after exercise trials, while two studies added a follow-up assessment 24 h post-intervention <sup>[28][29]</sup>. One study examined PPTs throughout a 2-week isokinetic training program <sup>[33]</sup>.

## 5. Methodological Quality

**Table 2** shows the results of the methodological assessment based on the PEDro criteria. Out of six studies, two were rated as 'high', one was rated as 'moderate' and one was rated as 'low quality'. Blinding therapists was not feasible in all trials due to the nature of the interventions. Four out of six studies did not ensure the blinding of the participants. One study included the blinding of outcome assessors. There was a low to no drop-out rate among the trials.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Total Score
Hill et al. (2019) <sup>[33]</sup>	+	+	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	+	+	4/10
Hughes et al. (2020) <sup>[29]</sup>	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	7/10
Hughes et al. (2021) <sup>[28]</sup>	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	7/10
Karanasios et al. (2022) [32]	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	9/10
Song et al. (2022) <sup>[31]</sup>	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	6/10
Song et al. (2022) <sup>[30]</sup>	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	8/10

Table 2. Methodological quality assessment using the PEDro scale.

### 6. Effects on Pain Perception

Five studies reported significant within-group increases in PPTs after a single bout of LIE-BFR at a short-term follow-up (5 min post-intervention) <sup>[28][29][30][31][32]</sup>. Pain sensitivity was significantly decreased after a LIE-BFR using knee extension, leg press, isometric handgrip, or 20 min of cycling at both the exercising limb and distal areas of the body <sup>[28][29][30][31][32]</sup>. Based on the results of a single RCT, an elbow flexion isotonic LIE-BFR resulted in a significant increase in PPTs only at the brachialis brachii muscle and without significant changes at remote areas <sup>[32]</sup>. One RCT using elbow flexion isokinetic LIE-BFR reported no changes in PPTs at a local site of measurement throughout a 2-week training program <sup>[33]</sup>.

Significantly greater increases in PPTs at local and distal sites of the body were found in favor of lower-limb LIE-BFR with 80% arterial occlusive pressure (AOP) compared to LIE-BFR with 40% AOP, HIE, and LIE alone <sup>[28][29]</sup>. Based on the same studies, LIE-BFR with 40% AOP had better results in reducing pain sensitivity than LIE alone <sup>[28][29]</sup>. Notably, the differences did not remain statistically different at the 24 h follow-up.

In contrast to previous findings, two cross-over trials found comparable decreases in PPTs between LIE-BFR and LIE, using either a resistance leg extension or a handgrip isometric exercise <sup>[30][31]</sup>. A similar hypoalgesic effect between elbow flexion LIE-BFR and HIE was found only at the biceps brachii muscle immediately after intervention <sup>[32]</sup>. No differences were found in PPTs between concentric LIE-BFR and eccentric LIE-BFR at the biceps brachii muscle using elbow flexion isokinetic exercises during a two-week training program <sup>[33]</sup>.

#### References

- 1. Rice, D.; Nijs, J.; Kosek, E.; Wideman, T.; Hasenbring, M.I.; Koltyn, K.; Graven-Nielsen, T.; Polli, A. Exercise-Induced H ypoalgesia in Pain-Free and Chronic Pain Populations: State of the Art and Future Directions. J. Pain 2019, 20, 1249–1 266.
- 2. Vaegter, H.B.; Jones, M.D. Exercise-induced hypoalgesia after acute and regular exercise: Experimental and clinical m anifestations and possible mechanisms in individuals with and without pain. Pain Rep. 2020, 5, e823.
- 3. Hoeger Bement, M.K.; Dicapo, J.; Rasiarmos, R.; Hunter, S.K. Dose response of isometric contractions on pain percept ion in healthy adults. Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc. 2008, 40, 1880–1889.
- 4. Hoffman, M.D.; Shepanski, M.A.; Ruble, S.B.; Valic, Z.; Buckwalter, J.B.; Clifford, P.S. Intensity and duration threshold f or aerobic exercise-induced analgesia to pressure pain. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 2004, 85, 1183–1187.
- 5. Hviid, J.T.; Thorlund, J.B.; Vaegter, H.B. Walking increases pain tolerance in humans: An experimental cross-over stud y. Scand. J. Pain 2019, 19, 813–822.
- 6. Vaegter, H.B.; Handberg, G.; Graven-Nielsen, T. Hypoalgesia After Exercise and the Cold Pressor Test is Reduced in C hronic Musculoskeletal Pain Patients With High Pain Sensitivity. Clin. J. Pain 2016, 32, 58–69.

- Naugle, K.M.; Fillingim, R.B.; Riley, J.L., 3rd. A meta-analytic review of the hypoalgesic effects of exercise. J. Pain 201 2, 13, 1139–1150.
- Early, K.S.; Rockhill, M.; Bryan, A.; Tyo, B.; Buuck, D.; McGinty, J. Effect of blood flow restriction training on muscular p erformance, pain and vascular function. Int. J. Sport. Phys. Ther. 2020, 15, 892–900.
- 9. Takarada, Y.; Takazawa, H.; Sato, Y.; Takebayashi, S.; Tanaka, Y.; Ishii, N. Effects of resistance exercise combined with moderate vascular occlusion on muscular function in humans. J. Appl. Physiol. (1985) 2000, 88, 2097–2106.
- Cook, S.B.; Clark, B.C.; Ploutz-Snyder, L.L. Effects of exercise load and blood-flow restriction on skeletal muscle functi on. Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc. 2007, 39, 1708–1713.
- Cook, C.J.; Kilduff, L.P.; Beaven, C.M. Improving strength and power in trained athletes with 3 weeks of occlusion traini ng. Int. J. Sport. Physiol Perform. 2014, 9, 166–172.
- Patterson, S.D.; Hughes, L.; Warmington, S.; Burr, J.; Scott, B.R.; Owens, J.; Abe, T.; Nielsen, J.L.; Libardi, C.A.; Laure ntino, G.; et al. Blood Flow Restriction Exercise: Considerations of Methodology, Application, and Safety. Front. Physiol. 2019, 10, 533.
- Stray-Gundersen, S.; Wooten, S.; Tanaka, H. Walking With Leg Blood Flow Restriction: Wide-Rigid Cuffs vs. Narrow-El astic Bands. Front. Physiol. 2020, 11, 568.
- 14. Loenneke, J.P.; Abe, T.; Wilson, J.M.; Ugrinowitsch, C.; Bemben, M.G. Blood flow restriction: How does it work? Front. Physiol. 2012, 3, 392.
- 15. Karanasios, S.; Koutri, C.; Moutzouri, M.; Xergia, S.A.; Sakellari, V.; Gioftsos, G. The Effect of Body Position and the R eliability of Upper Limb Arterial Occlusion Pressure Using a Handheld Doppler Ultrasound for Blood Flow Restriction Tr aining. Sport. Health 2022, 14, 717–724.
- 16. Giles, L.; Webster, K.E.; McClelland, J.; Cook, J.L. Quadriceps strengthening with and without blood flow restriction in t he treatment of patellofemoral pain: A double-blind randomised trial. Br. J. Sport. Med. 2017, 51, 1688–1694.
- Hughes, L.; Rosenblatt, B.; Haddad, F.; Gissane, C.; McCarthy, D.; Clarke, T.; Ferris, G.; Dawes, J.; Paton, B.; Patterso n, S.D. Comparing the Effectiveness of Blood Flow Restriction and Traditional Heavy Load Resistance Training in the P ost-Surgery Rehabilitation of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction Patients: A UK National Health Service Rando mised Controlled Trial. Sport. Med. 2019, 49, 1787–1805.
- Korakakis, V.; Whiteley, R.; Giakas, G. Low load resistance training with blood flow restriction decreases anterior knee pain more than resistance training alone. A pilot randomised controlled trial. Phys. Ther. Sport Off. J. Assoc. Chart. Phy siother. Sport. Med. 2018, 34, 121–128.
- Ferraz, R.B.; Gualano, B.; Rodrigues, R.; Kurimori, C.O.; Fuller, R.; Lima, F.R.; De SÁ-Pinto, A.N.A.L.; Roschel, H. Ben efits of Resistance Training with Blood Flow Restriction in Knee Osteoarthritis. Med. Sci. Sport. Exerc. 2018, 50, 897–9 05.
- 20. Charles, D.; White, R.; Reyes, C.; Palmer, D. A systematic review of the effects of blood flow restriction training on qua driceps muscle atrophy and circumference post acl reconstruction. Int. J. Sport. Phys. Ther. 2020, 15, 882–891.
- Cuyul-Vásquez, I.; Leiva-Sepúlveda, A.; Catalán-Medalla, O.; Araya-Quintanilla, F.; Gutiérrez-Espinoza, H. The addition of blood flow restriction to resistance exercise in individuals with knee pain: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Bra z. J. Phys. Ther. 2020, 24, 465–478.
- 22. Ferlito, J.V.; Pecce, S.A.P.; Oselame, L.; De Marchi, T. The blood flow restriction training effect in knee osteoarthritis pe ople: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin. Rehabil. 2020, 34, 1378–1390.
- Karanasios, S.; Korakakis, V.; Moutzouri, M.; Xergia, S.A.; Tsepis, E.; Gioftsos, G. Low-load resistance training with blo od flow restriction is effective for managing lateral elbow tendinopathy: A randomized, sham-controlled trial. J. Orthop. Sport. Phys. Ther. 2022, 12, 803–825.
- 24. Hughes, L.; Paton, B.; Rosenblatt, B.; Gissane, C.; Patterson, S.D. Blood flow restriction training in clinical musculoskel etal rehabilitation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Br. J. Sport. Med. 2017, 51, 1003–1011.
- 25. Hughes, L.; Paton, B.; Haddad, F.; Rosenblatt, B.; Gissane, C.; Patterson, S.D. Comparison of the acute perceptual an d blood pressure response to heavy load and light load blood flow restriction resistance exercise in anterior cruciate lig ament reconstruction patients and non-injured populations. Phys. Ther. Sport 2018, 33, 54–61.
- 26. Hughes, L.; Patterson, S.D. Low intensity blood flow restriction exercise: Rationale for a hypoalgesia effect. Med. Hypot heses 2019, 132, 109370.
- 27. Song, J.S.; Spitz, R.W.; Yamada, Y.; Bell, Z.W.; Wong, V.; Abe, T.; Loenneke, J.P. Exercise-induced hypoalgesia and pa in reduction following blood flow restriction: A brief review. Phys. Ther. Sport 2021, 50, 89–96.

- 28. Hughes, L.; Grant, I.; Patterson, S.D. Aerobic exercise with blood flow restriction causes local and systemic hypoalgesi a and increases circulating opioid and endocannabinoid levels. J. Appl. Physiol. 2021, 131, 1460–1468.
- 29. Hughes, L.; Patterson, S.D. The effect of blood flow restriction exercise on exercise-induced hypoalgesia and endogen ous opioid and endocannabinoid mechanisms of pain modulation. J. Appl. Physiol. 2020, 128, 914–924.
- 30. Song, J.S.; Kataoka, R.; Yamada, Y.; Wong, V.; Spitz, R.W.; Bell, Z.W.; Loenneke, J.P. The Hypoalgesic Effect of Low-L oad Exercise to Failure Is Not Augmented by Blood Flow Restriction. Res. Q. Exerc. Sport 2022, 1, 1–10.
- Song, J.S.; Yamada, Y.; Wong, V.; Bell, Z.W.; Spitz, R.W.; Abe, T.; Loenneke, J.P. Hypoalgesia following isometric hand grip exercise with and without blood flow restriction is not mediated by discomfort nor changes in systolic blood pressur e. J. Sport. Sci. 2022, 40, 518–526.
- 32. Karanasios, S.; Sozeri, A.; Koumantakis, G.A.; Gioftsos, G. Exercised-Induced Hypoalgesia following An Elbow Flexion Low-Load Resistance Exercise with Blood Flow Restriction: A Sham-Controlled Randomized Trial in Healthy Adults. He althcare 2022, 10, 2557.
- Hill, E.C.; Housh, T.J.; Smith, C.M.; Keller, J.L.; Schmidt, R.J.; Johnson, G.O. Eccentric and concentric blood flow restri ction resistance training on indices of delayed onset muscle soreness in untrained women. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 2019, 119, 2363–2373.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/94981