

# Urban Remote Sensing with Spatial Big Data

Subjects: **Remote Sensing**

Contributor: Danlin Yu , Chuanglin Fang

During the past decades, multiple remote sensing data sources, including nighttime light images, high spatial resolution multispectral satellite images, unmanned drone images, and hyperspectral images, among many others, have provided fresh opportunities to examine the dynamics of urban landscapes. In the meantime, the rapid development of telecommunications and mobile technology, alongside the emergence of online search engines and social media platforms with geotagging technology, has fundamentally changed how human activities and the urban landscape are recorded and depicted. The combination of these two types of data sources results in explosive and mind-blowing discoveries in contemporary urban studies, especially for the purposes of sustainable urban planning and development. Urban scholars are now equipped with abundant data to examine many theoretical arguments that often result from limited and indirect observations and less-than-ideal controlled experiments. For the first time, urban scholars can model, simulate, and predict changes in the urban landscape using real-time data to produce the most realistic results, providing invaluable information for urban planners and governments to aim for a sustainable and healthy urban future.

urban studies

remote sensing

spatial big data

## 1. Introduction

Remote sensing technologies have experienced unprecedented development over the past decades, thanks primarily to sensor advancements and continuously increasing information infrastructure <sup>[1]</sup>. One of the key advancements in remote sensing technology development, and closely related to urban science, is object detection from remote sensing images. After an intensive review of recent deep learning-based object detection progress, Li, et al. <sup>[2]</sup> proposed a large-scale, publicly available benchmark for object detection in optical remote (DIOR) sensing images, which contains 23,463 images and 192,472 instances, covering 20 object classes. The benchmark established the baseline for scholars to develop and validate their own study, which is particularly useful in urban science.

Clearly, while research in urban studies now primarily falls within the fields of environmental sciences and studies, and focuses mostly on sustainable development, agenda, approaches, action plans, and strategic operations, works that take advantage of the most recent developments in observational technology (remote sensing), geotagged data generating platforms (spatial big data), and advanced spatiotemporal data analysis techniques (such as spatial econometrics and Bayesian hierarchical spatiotemporal modeling, among many others) are only starting to take off.

## 2. Remote Sensing and the Advancement of Urban Science

In the early development stages of remote sensing technology, the term “big data” was not on the horizon. Back then, applications of remote sensing technology were primarily for observation, change detection, and information extraction, limited by the available spatial and temporal resolutions [3]. The rapid development of various sensors and the accumulation of remote sensing images in the recent decade, coupled with the recognition of us entering a “big data” era, however, has greatly changed the ways remote sensing images are stored, processed, analyzed, and utilized. In their study, Xu, et al. [4] regard remote sensing as a form of “big data” (remote sensing big data) and proposed a modular framework attempting to connect the data (remote sensing images) and computation (big data computation). This is especially effective with the advancement in computer science and computational capabilities of today’s networked hardware and software environment. Consequently, Xu, et al. [5] argue that cloud computing is an effective way to activate and mine large-scale heterogeneous data such as remote sensing big data. In addition, Zhang, et al. [6] study suggests that deep learning algorithms are effective and efficient ways to process and analyze remote sensing big data, including geometric and radiometric rectification and processing, cloud detection and removal, data fusion, object identification and extraction, land-use and cover classification, change evaluation, and multitemporal analysis. The coupling of remote sensing and big data starts off with a mutually supportive relationship. While accumulative remote sensing images are undoubtedly a form of spatial big data, spatial big data also extends its horizon to include data acquired from geotagged social sensing, in which the sensors are none other than the people who are also part of the dynamic urban space complex.

### 2.1. Remote Sensing and Its Application to Urban Studies

The term, “urban remote sensing,” or, rather, applying remote sensing technologies to study urban phenomena and urban environments, only appears in the late 1950s. Norman and colleagues started to explore the urban environments in the late 1950s using aerophotos to interpret the social structure, human geography, and human ecology of cities [7][8][9]. A report submitted to NASA and the Geological Survey [10] attempted to use color infrared aerial photos to analyze urban residential environments in the Los Angeles basin. As meticulously noted in their report, the authors stated that applications of remote sensing techniques in urban studies were slower than in other fields such as land use land cover change detection, water resource management, and forest management. They argued that this was because of the “great diversity of the urban environment,” and the “complex nature of the spatial relationships” among different urban elements. In addition, the remote sensing techniques at the time were also limited by the available spatial and temporal resolutions of the remote sensing products that were typically coarse for typical urban applications. Urban environments, unlike in other fields where remote sensing found lively applications, require much smaller spatial and much shorter temporal resolutions to produce meaningful and actionable study results.

Still, the sheer volume of information that is contained within the remote sensing products (even though most of such products are in physical paper formats, and often produced by airplane-borne unstable sensors for urban application), was very tempting for urban scholars, especially since such approaches provided timely and abundant information that traditional approaches fall short on, such as large area land use change detection [11][12][13][14][15]

[16][17][18], urban waterbody and green space extraction and mapping [19][20][21][22][23][24], urban environmental justice evaluation [22][25][26], and urban heat island detection and mechanism studies [27][28][29][30][31][32][33][34][35][36][37][38][39], among many others.

### 2.1.1. Extracting and Analyzing Physical Environments of Urban Areas

Using remote sensing images (be it aerophotos or satellite borne sensed images) to detect land use land cover change, detection of environmental condition changes, and monitor urban heat island phenomenon were among the most obvious choices due to the different reflectivity in both panchromatic and multispectral bands of different land use land cover types, and the thermal signatures under different temperatures. Common algorithms that classify land use land cover [19][40][41][42][43][44][45][46][47][48][49][50], detect and monitor the general urban environments [51][52][53][54][55][56][57][58][59], air quality assessment and pollution hotspot identification [60][61][62][63], and extract the percentage of impervious surfaces [32][64][65][66][67][68][69][70][71][72][73][74][75][76] are widely applied in this aspect of urban studies. This is understandable since the practices are a natural extension of applying remote sensing techniques to study natural environments. However, urban areas are more fragmented, more complex, and fluctuate more often and more irregularly than natural environments. Still, the newly developed machine learning algorithms, such as random forest [77][78], support vector machine [53][79], neural network [14][80][81][82][83], deep learning [6][84][85][86], and estimation techniques, including categorized and regression tree (CART) [87], geographically weighted regression [68][69][88][89], and Bayesian learning [90], among many others, provide an ever increasing arsenal for urban scholars to take advantage of the growing remote sensing datasets, be it regular 30 m spatial resolution multispectral images or sub-meter spatial resolution hyperspectral images. Undoubtedly, applying remote sensing techniques to study urban environments, air quality assessment, and urban land use land covers will continue to dominate the frontline of urban remote sensing scholarly activities.

### 2.1.2. Morphological Analysis of Urban Landscapes

Analyzing urban morphology and detecting urban spatial patterns from remote sensing data is straightforward, and of particular importance for urbanization assessments. As noted in the studies by Zhu, et al. [91], an accurate account of urban morphological features is “at the core of many international endeavors to address issues of urbanization, such as the United Nations’ call for Sustainable Cities and Communities” [91].

From the late 1980s onwards, urbanization has picked up its pace, especially in developing countries, due to increased globalization and industrialization worldwide. One of the major issues of rapid urbanization, as manifested in the developed world right after the Second World War, is the rapid and uncontrollable urban sprawl that caused the urban centers to decline and suburban and exurban areas to emerge with spider-web-like highway networks. Not only did the decline of urban centers exacerbate the deterioration of urban environments and socioeconomic prosperity in the urban centers and the entirety of urban areas as a whole, but also the natural environments that used to surround the cities fragmented. Natural habitats for many species, including endangered ones, were disrupted, and pristine forests, wetlands, and waterbodies were infringed upon and polluted [92][93][94][95][96][97][98][99]. Morphological analysis appears to be a powerful tool enabling urban scholars and practitioners to

understand, monitor, model, and predict the extent of urban sprawl and the change of urban spatial structures [\[88\]](#) [\[92\]](#)[\[100\]](#)[\[101\]](#).

### 2.1.3. Deducing Demographic, Social and Economic Characteristics of Cities

While a healthy urban environment and accurate account of urban morphology are surely critical for a sustainable urban future, the urban complex is a myriad of intermingling environmental, social, demographic, economic, and physical build-up, and unique land cover (impervious surface) elements, among many others. At the center of the urban environment are the urban residents and all of the activities that are caused by or occurring around them. A sustainable urban future only makes sense when there is a harmonic relationship and a virtuous relationship between the urban dwellers and the urban environment. Scholars, especially those in the social science and humanity fields of studies, also attempted to utilize remote sensing techniques in their respective domains. For instance, using remote sensing techniques to estimate the population in an urban area was an early attempt to capitalize on remote sensing images' convenient accessibility and cost-effectiveness compared to a full-scale census or even a 1% or 5% demographic survey (such as the American Community Survey conducted annually).

In recent years, other than the common multispectral remote sensing images, nighttime light images collected from the US Defense Meteorological Satellite Program's Operational Linescan System (OLS) sensor (from 1971–2011) and the later NASA launched Suomi National Polar-orbiting Partnership (NPP) satellite and NOAA-20 satellite (since 2018), which carried the visible infrared imaging radiometer suite (VIIRS) instrument and produced day/night band (DNB) data, are attracting much attention in socioeconomic, demographic, and building environmental fields of study. This is due to the fact that the intensity of various modern human activities in a place is closely related to the amount of energy consumed there. Nighttime light emission provides an immediate proxy for the intensity of energy consumption, hence a good proxy for a wide variety of human socioeconomic activities [\[102\]](#)[\[103\]](#)[\[104\]](#)[\[105\]](#). In addition, the new generation of nighttime light satellites with a much finer spatial resolution (130 m), like the luojia1-01, are also providing much needed data that might be more suitable for urban studies [\[102\]](#)[\[106\]](#). While nighttime light remote sensing data have been available since the early 1970s, early studies often focused on using nighttime light remote sensing data as a proxy to map the city [\[107\]](#)[\[108\]](#) due to the relatively coarse resolution (2.7 km in spatial resolution) and poor, inconsistent radiometric quality due to the lack of on-board calibration. The improved spatial resolution (375 and 750 m depending on the band, and 130 m for the luojia1-01) and onboard radiometric calibration for the VIIRS instrument greatly enhanced the application scope of nighttime light images in urban studies. It was soon found that nighttime light data was a very promising data source in urban studies to estimate population size [\[106\]](#)[\[109\]](#)[\[110\]](#)[\[111\]](#)[\[112\]](#), explore the urban socioeconomic landscape [\[113\]](#)[\[114\]](#), estimate poverty [\[102\]](#), model urban morphology, expansion, and growth [\[115\]](#)[\[116\]](#)[\[117\]](#)[\[118\]](#)[\[119\]](#), and investigate urban energy exchange with the environment [\[39\]](#)[\[117\]](#)[\[120\]](#)[\[121\]](#)[\[122\]](#)[\[123\]](#), among many other things. This booming application of nighttime light data in urban studies is understandable. While it is true that there are many sources of illumination during nighttime, most notably moonlight and surface albedo, the light produced from various anthropogenic activities is the most obvious and consistent information. The intensity and density of light distribution are directly related to the intensity and density of human activities. For instance, Chen and Nordhaus [\[124\]](#) examined the usefulness of the VIIRS data in the estimation of economic activity with both US states and metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs). Not

surprisingly, with enhanced spatial resolution and wider coverage, their results suggested that high-resolution VIIRS light data provides a better prediction for an MSA's GDP than for state GDP. This suggests that lights may be more closely related to urban sectors than rural sectors, hence better suited for urban-related studies.

## 2.2. Social Sensing—A New Frontier of Remote Sensing and Interface with “Big Data” Semantics

The term “social sensing” refers primarily to people’s ability to perceive and make inferences about what others think and do in their own environments [\[125\]](#). In their edited seminal book, *Social Sensing*, Wang, et al. [\[126\]](#) define social sensing to be a set of sensing and data collection paradigms where data are collected from humans or devices on their behalf. In this definition, society as a whole (humans, or devices on their behalf) is the context and object for sensing and sensing the means of data acquirement. This is viewed as a direct result of the proliferation of social media and social network platforms such as Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn, Sina Weibo, Google Search, and Baidu Search, among others. The recent outbreak of the COVID-19 disease has further accelerated the use of these social media platforms to facilitate data acquisition and database construction, which in turn provides powerful means to fight back against the spread of the disease [\[127\]](#)[\[128\]](#). Aggarwal and Abdelzaher [\[129\]](#) presented a broad overview of social sensing and suggested that the growing availability of such socially sensed data provide a natural way to predict and monitor individual as well as societal behaviors, trends, and patterns. The rise of social sensing, coupled with the embedded geotag capabilities via embedded GPS of ever-increasingly available smart devices, and the internet-enabled data sharing mechanism, enabled the arrival of a context-aware computing environment, which proves to be particularly useful and relevant in urban studies [\[130\]](#).

It remains debatable whether social sensing is a type of remote sensing since remote sensing has traditionally referred to information acquired from electromagnetic energy sensors that collect information generated by electromagnetic energy. Social sensing, however, relies more on individual perceptions and observations of their environments and is facilitated by the rapidly developed telecommunication technology and widely available personal mobile devices with geotagged social medial platforms. In their research, Liu, et al. [\[131\]](#) regarded each individual who supplied information via social media platforms as playing a “role of a sensor,” which might be analogous to the electromagnetic energy sensors as in traditional remote sensing. This analogy bridges social sensing with remote sensing, if not regarding social sensing as a form of remote sensing. In addition, they also argued that social sensing information captures socioeconomic features well, while traditional remote sensing information might need complex algorithms and conversions (such as using nighttime light data, high-resolution images for impervious surfaces identification, etc.) to do so [\[131\]](#).

## 2.3. Limitations and Challenges of Remote Sensing in Urban Science

While applauding the integration of remote sensing data sources as a great jump in urban studies/science, it is also acutely recognized in especially the urban scientific scholarly community that there exist significant challenges in this new frontier. As pointed out in the early studies by Mullens Jr and Senger [\[10\]](#), spatial resolution is a big hurdle in applying remote sensing technologies to urban science. The spatial resolution of remote sensing data

determines the level of detail that can be obtained from an image. For example, satellite images with a low spatial resolution may not be able to capture small-scale features, such as individual buildings or small patches of vegetation. This limitation can be particularly challenging when studying urban areas, where high spatial resolution is often needed to accurately capture the complex and heterogeneous urban environment. Admittedly, more recent remote sensing sensors and equipment, including satellites and unmanned drones, are able to provide sufficient spatial resolution for urban areas. However, the conundrum of cost, availability, and added noise with finer spatial resolution could quickly amount to a grave challenge for urban scholars to effectively take advantage of this new data source [\[132\]](#)[\[133\]](#).

## **3. The Emergence of Big Data Thinking, and How Big Data Supports Urban Studies/Science**

### **3.1. The Big Data Era**

While applying remote sensing information in urban studies has proven to be a long road to trek, the recent buzzword, “Big Data,” seems to be naturally suited to studying urban phenomena from the onset. The essence of big data is not necessarily a new concept, though the term was initially used in the early 1990s. From a broad perspective, big data is only relative to the analytical approaches and means (hardware)—collectively the computational capability. When the computing power was low, a dataset that could not be adequately analyzed by the then computational capability was legitimately considered “big data” in the sense that it was too “big” to be processed.

In the precomputer and premodern transportation and telecommunication era, data accumulation and analytical power often went hand in hand in a parallel fashion. While we understood data could be potentially big, the data that concerned us often was within an analytically manageable level. Alternatively, statistical approaches that “sampled” the population satisfied the need to explore and understand the story behind the data. Such an analytical paradigm changed dramatically during the globalization and high-speed, high-powered computational era when clustered computation became increasingly popular for data management and analysis [\[134\]](#)[\[135\]](#). Accumulation of information was explosive, and, while the computational power and analytical power were also growing, it was in no way parallel to the increased amount of information. As a matter of fact, the renowned urban geographer, Batty [\[136\]](#) cited an anonymous source defining “big data” being “any data that cannot fit into an Excel spreadsheet.” This is particularly true in urban science since the highly dynamic everyday urban events are now able to be recorded, layered, assessed, analyzed, and incorporated into real-time decision making for a more livable and sustainable urban environment [\[137\]](#)[\[138\]](#). The development of the general idea of “big data” also originated from constantly arising urban development and planning problems that could not be adequately handled by conventional means [\[139\]](#)[\[140\]](#), as noted in the seminal book by Mayer-Schönberger and Cukier [\[141\]](#).

### **3.2. Big Data Thinking**



It is generally agreed that there are roughly three phases of the concept and understanding of “big data” <sup>[142]</sup>, based on how data is accumulated, stored, and analyzed. The first phase concerns primarily the structured content of information, roughly covering the period from 1970–2000. It was directly linked to the long-standing domain of database management. During this phase, data storage, extraction, and optimization techniques were the foci. The prominent development in this phase was the transition from flat-file data storage to hierarchical data storage, to the development of relational database management systems (RDBMS) which is still used today as a standard data storage format to facilitate fundamental data analytics. Data warehousing, data mining through traditional statistical analysis, and dynamic near-real time information updating via online dashboards and scorecards were the primary activities in this phase of big data development.

The second phase of big data development started from the early 2000s to around 2010 when the internet and relevant web applications produced enormous amounts of data. In addition, search engines including Yahoo<sup>®</sup>, Google<sup>®</sup>, and Baidu<sup>®</sup>, among others, had also produced enormous amounts of web-based unstructured content. Big data development in this phase, therefore, is concerned with primarily extracting regularities from the seemingly irregular, unstructured data. For instance, many big internet commerce companies, such as Amazon<sup>®</sup>, eBay<sup>®</sup>, and major online news agencies often analyzed customer behaviors through their click rate, content-viewing trends, search logs, and even IP-address associated geographic locations to generate highly targeted, specific content and recommendations for their customers. The massive increase of data resulting from fast-growing web traffic and the wide reach of the internet globally during this phase demanded more advanced data analytical techniques. Coupled with increased computational power, new network analysis, web mining, and spatiotemporal analysis methods emerged rapidly during this phase.

The third phase of big data development was from 2010 until now. This is the phase when mobile devices (mobile phones, tablets, and mobile workstations, among many others) dominated the consumer electronics market. In 2020, it was estimated that there were 10 billion devices that were connected to the internet <sup>[143]</sup>. The emergence of social media and mobile browsing and mobile devices’ constant connection to the internet, coupled with the embedded GPS tracking device, enables us to collect enormous amounts of data regarding individual behaviors, and movements, and even deduce individual health status, shopping preferences, and detailed daily activity patterns. Not only are the numbers of mobile devices increasing, sensor-based and internet-enabled devices, such as smart TVs, internet-enabled thermostats, smartwatches, and household appliances, all belonging to this so-called “Internet of Things” (IoT), are also increasing in numbers rapidly. These devices generate huge amounts of data almost constantly as well.

### 3.3. Big Data Supported Urban Studies/Science

Through a meta-analysis of 48 urban big data studies, Wang and Yin <sup>[144]</sup> identified the essential qualities of urban big data. In a nutshell, urban big data focuses on refined spatiotemporal features and individual attributes at very fine levels (a street block, a building, etc.), and also has the capacity and impact to depict, predict, and manage cities through the complex interactions among individual data points and the collective trend such interactions demonstrate. This investigation agrees well with Batty <sup>[145]</sup> insightful observation that “cities are complex systems

that mainly grow from the bottom up, their size and shape following well-defined scaling laws that result from intense competition for space.” The emergence of urban big data provides a much-needed means to support the investigation of cities from the “bottom-up,” and supplies a pathway to evaluate and investigate the scaling laws. An integrated urban theory is being gradually developed based on centuries of investigations of urban economics, urban land use, urban spatial and social structures, and urban transportation systems. Understanding the urban landscape and inherent urban growth dynamics requires indepth investigation facilitated by modern network science, allometric growth theory, and fractal geometry. With the arrival of mobile devices, the IoT stirred “urban big data” and infuses enormous information to facilitate the theoretical breakthrough of urban science as well as the socioeconomic environments of cities <sup>[131]</sup>. In the forum *Dialogues in Human Geography*, Batty <sup>[136]</sup> argues that the arrival of urban big data represents a sea change in understanding what happens where and when in cities. This is especially true with new methodological advancements for analyzing social sensing data for urban studies, such as temporal signature analysis, text analysis, and image analysis <sup>[146]</sup>. In addition, due to the dynamic characteristics of urban big data, it is shifting the emphasis of urban studies from longer term strategic planning to short-term thinking about how cities function and can be managed. This is evident in recently published big-data driven urban studies; see <sup>[147][148][149][150][151][152]</sup> for a few examples.

Long-term planning, missions, and visions for urban development are critical for sustainable urban development, in both socioeconomic and environmental aspects. Long-term perspectives, however, are an averaged accumulation of short-term dynamics. The advent of urban big data and available means to acquire the data enable the in-depth exploration and understanding of short-term dynamics of the everyday urban landscape. Studies of urban vibrancy have recently seen booming growth as a response to this change, which provides a chance for long-term planning to set a more practical goal based on everyday dynamics. In a recent study, Jia, Liu, Du, Huang and Fei <sup>[150]</sup> argue that urban vibrancy plays an important role in evaluating the quality of urban areas and guiding urban construction. The concept of urban vibrancy was proposed in 1961 by an American writer and urban activist, Jane Jacobs <sup>[153]</sup>, in an attempt to oppose the then modernist urban planning efforts that overlooked and oversimplified the complexity of human lives in diverse communities within cities. In her mind, cities are prosperous, healthy, and sustainable only when their neighborhoods are vibrant and lively. Instead of intensive, large-scale, city-wide “renewal” or formulated planning practices, she valued urban vibrancy that originated from individual urban communities as an integrated part of a truly sustainable city. Her advocacy for dense mixed-use development and walkable streets has influenced later urban sustainable planning practices that focus on walkability and compact city spatial development in the US. The purpose of the vibrant planning idea is to bring “people” together instead of structured and formulated, grey, and impervious land uses that signify what cities used to be.

### 3.4. Big Data Facilitated Urban and Rural Integrated Development

In the recent trend of urban development, urban agglomeration <sup>[154][155][156]</sup> becomes a focus for many urban scholars. One of the key features within an urban agglomeration is the integrated development of urban centers and peripheral areas, including the rural area within the urban agglomeration <sup>[155]</sup>. In this trend of study, spatial big data plays an increasingly important role in facilitating integrated development in both urban and rural areas. For instance, in 2010, Wang and Kilmartin <sup>[157]</sup> analyzed the call detail record data generated by mobile networks to



reflect the dynamic behavior of humans across a range of temporal and spatial scales in Uganda. They examined the responses of subscribers to an economic incentive program regarding the mobile calling rate and identified distinctive patterns of rural and urban areas. More importantly, the analysis of the call detail record also reveals heightened economic activities in both urban and rural regions in Uganda. The approach reflects an objective spatial pattern that was naturally reflected in people's daily activities based on their economic status. In another study, Fang, Yu, Zhang, Fang and Liu <sup>[156]</sup> designed a web crawler to acquire 500,000 sets of geotagged Sina Weibo data in the Greater Beijing area (Beijing–Tianjin–Heibei) to study the spatial linkage between various places within the urban agglomeration. The results from analyzing the Sina Weibo data suggest a strong hierarchical structure existed within the urban agglomeration with the three cities (Beijing, Tianjin, and Shijiazhuang). The strongest linkage presents at the centers, however, the rural areas are loosely connected, even to the urban centers. They contended that the application of spatial big data reveals the need for more strategies to integrate urban and rural development for the healthy construction of vibrant urban agglomerations.

### 3.5. Limitations and Challenges of Applying Spatial Big Data in Urban Studies/Science

Conceptually, the availability and understandability of spatial big data, especially the ones acquired from social media platforms and global search engines, are easy to grasp. The meaning of such data and what it poses for urban science is also intriguing and informative. The hurdle is how to dig the stories out of the massive amount of information. With the increasing availability of spatial data from various sources, such as satellites, vehicle-bound sensors, social media, and search engines, the amount of data that needs to be processed and analyzed has grown exponentially. This requires significant computational resources and expertise, which can be a challenge for researchers with limited access to these resources or limited training in processing the data <sup>[158][159][160]</sup>. In addition, with the increased amount of data, the need for appropriate data management and quality control is also increasing. Spatial data can be complex and often requires pre-processing and cleaning before it can be analyzed. This is a time-consuming and challenging task, particularly when dealing with data from multiple sources or when integrating data from different spatial scales as is often required in urban studies <sup>[151][161][162][163]</sup>.

## References

1. Toth, C.; Jóźków, G. Remote sensing platforms and sensors: A survey. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 2016, 115, 22–36.
2. Li, K.; Wan, G.; Cheng, G.; Meng, L.; Han, J. Object detection in optical remote sensing images: A survey and a new benchmark. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 2020, 159, 296–307.
3. Jensen, J.R. *Introductory Digital Image Processing: A Remote Sensing Perspective*, 3rd ed.; Prentice-Hall Inc.: Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2005; p. 526.

4. Xu, C.; Du, X.P.; Fan, X.T.; Yan, Z.Z.; Kang, X.J.; Zhu, J.J.; Hu, Z.Y. A Modular Remote Sensing Big Data Framework. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2022, 60, 3000301.
5. Xu, C.; Du, X.P.; Fan, X.T.; Giuliani, G.; Hu, Z.Y.; Wang, W.; Liu, J.; Wang, T.; Yan, Z.Z.; Zhu, J.J.; et al. Cloud-based storage and computing for remote sensing big data: A technical review. *Int. J. Digit. Earth* 2022, 15, 1417–1445.
6. Zhang, X.; Zhou, Y.N.; Luo, J.C. Deep learning for processing and analysis of remote sensing big data: A technical review. *Big Earth Data* 2022, 6, 527–560.
7. Green, N.E. *Aerial Photographic Interpretation and the Social Structure of the City*; American Society of Photogrammetry: Bethesda, MD, USA, 1957.
8. Monier, R.B.; Green, N.E. *Aerial Photographic Interpretation and the Human Geography of the City*. *Prof. Geogr.* 1957, 9, 2–5.
9. Green, N.E.; Monier, R.B. Aerial photographic interpretation of the human ecology of the city. *Photogramm. Eng.* 1959, 25, 770–773.
10. Mullens Jr, R.H.; Senger, L.W. *Analysis of Urban Residential Environments Using Color Infrared Aerial Photography: An Examination of Socioeconomic Variables and Physical Characteristics of Selected Areas in the Los Angeles Basin, with Addendum: An Application of the Concepts of the Los Angeles Residential Environment Study to the Ontario-Upland region of California*; USGS Publications Warehouse: Washington, DC, USA, 1969.
11. Tapiador, F.J.; Casanova, J.L. Land use mapping methodology using remote sensing for the regional planning directives in Segovia, Spain. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2003, 62, 103–115.
12. Imhoff, M.L.; Lawrence, W.T.; Elvidge, C.D.; Paul, T.; Levine, E.; Privalsky, M.V.; Brown, V. Using nighttime DMSP/OLS images of city lights to estimate the impact of urban land use on soil resources in the United States. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 1997, 59, 105–117.
13. Kontoes, C.C.; Raptis, V. The potential of kernel classification techniques for land use mapping in urban areas using 5m-spatial resolution IRS-1C imagery. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2000, 21, 3145–3151.
14. Liu, X.; Lathrop, R.G. Urban change detection based on an artificial neural network. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2002, 23, 2513–2518.
15. Stow, D.A.; Chen, D.M. Sensitivity of multitemporal NOAA AVHRR data of an urbanizing region to land-use/land-cover changes and misregistration. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2002, 80, 297–307.
16. Zhang, Q.F.; Wang, J.F. A rule-based urban land use inferring method for fine-resolution multispectral imagery. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* 2003, 29, 1–13.
17. Greenhill, D.R.; Ripke, L.T.; Hitchman, A.P.; Jones, G.A.; Wilkinson, G.G. Characterization of suburban areas for land use planning using landscape ecological indicators derived from Ikonos-2

- multispectral imagery. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2003, 41, 2015–2021.
18. Sugumaran, R.; Pavuluri, M.K.; Zerr, D. The use of high-resolution imagery for identification of urban climax forest species using traditional and rule-based classification approach. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2003, 41, 1933–1939.
  19. Ma, Y.; Kuang, Y.Q.; Huang, N.S. Coupling urbanization analyses for studying urban thermal environment and its interplay with biophysical parameters based on TM/ETM plus imagery. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 2010, 12, 110–118.
  20. Gong, C.F.; Yu, S.X.; Joesting, H.; Chen, J.Q. Determining socioeconomic drivers of urban forest fragmentation with historical remote sensing images. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2013, 117, 57–65.
  21. Zhang, Y.; Li, Q.Z.; Wang, H.Y.; Du, X.; Huang, H.P. Community scale livability evaluation integrating remote sensing, surface observation and geospatial big data. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 2019, 80, 173–186.
  22. Song, Y.M.; Huang, B.; Cai, J.X.; Chen, B. Dynamic assessments of population exposure to urban greenspace using multi-source big data. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 634, 1315–1325.
  23. Zhang, Y. A method for continuous extraction of multispectrally classified urban rivers. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 2000, 66, 991–999.
  24. Petchprayoon, P.; Blanken, P.D.; Ekkawatpanit, C.; Hussein, K. Hydrological impacts of land use/land cover change in a large river basin in central-northern Thailand. *Int. J. Climatol.* 2010, 30, 1917–1930.
  25. Song, Y.M.; Chen, B.; Ho, H.C.; Kwan, M.P.; Liu, D.; Wang, F.; Wang, J.H.; Cai, J.X.; Li, X.J.; Xu, Y.; et al. Observed inequality in urban greenspace exposure in China. *Environ. Int.* 2021, 156, 106778.
  26. Song, Y.M.; Chen, B.; Kwan, M.P. How does urban expansion impact people's exposure to green environments? A comparative study of 290 Chinese cities. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2020, 246, 119018.
  27. Dousset, B.; Gourmelon, F. Satellite multi-sensor data analysis of urban surface temperatures and landcover. *Isprs J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 2003, 58, 43–54.
  28. Weng, Q.; Lu, D.; Schubring, J. Estimation of land surface temperature-vegetation abundance relationship for urban heat island studies. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2004, 89, 467–483.
  29. Chen, X.-L.; Zhao, H.-M.; Li, P.-X.; Yin, Z.-Y. Remote sensing image-based analysis of the relationship between urban heat island and land use/cover changes. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2006, 104, 133–146.
  30. Gluch, R.; Quattrochi, D.A.; Luvall, J.C. A multi-scale approach to urban thermal analysis. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2006, 104, 123–132.

31. Hartz, D.A.; Prashad, L.; Hedquist, B.C.; Golden, J.; Brazel, A.J. Linking satellite images and hand-held infrared thermography to observed neighborhood climate conditions. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2006, 104, 190–200.
32. Yuan, F.; Bauer, M.E. Comparison of impervious surface area and normalized difference vegetation index as indicators of surface urban heat island effects in Landsat imagery. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2007, 106, 375–386.
33. Stathopoulou, M.; Cartalis, C. Downscaling AVHRR land surface temperatures for improved surface urban heat island intensity estimation. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2009, 113, 2592–2605.
34. Rotem-Mindali, O.; Michael, Y.; Helman, D.; Lensky, I.M. The role of local land-use on the urban heat island effect of Tel Aviv as assessed from satellite remote sensing. *Appl. Geogr.* 2015, 56, 145–153.
35. Wang, J.; Huang, B.; Fu, D.J.; Atkinson, P.M.; Zhang, X.Z. Response of urban heat island to future urban expansion over the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei metropolitan area. *Appl. Geogr.* 2016, 70, 26–36.
36. Estoque, R.C.; Murayama, Y. Monitoring surface urban heat island formation in a tropical mountain city using Landsat data (1987–2015). *Isprs J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 2017, 133, 18–29.
37. Ravanelli, R.; Nascetti, A.; Cirigliano, R.V.; Di Rico, C.; Leuzzi, G.; Monti, P.; Crespi, M. Monitoring the Impact of Land Cover Change on Surface Urban Heat Island through Google Earth Engine: Proposal of a Global Methodology, First Applications and Problems. *Remote Sens.* 2018, 10, 1488.
38. Zhou, D.C.; Bonafoni, S.; Zhang, L.X.; Wang, R.H. Remote sensing of the urban heat island effect in a highly populated urban agglomeration area in East China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 628–629, 415–429.
39. Yang, Q.Q.; Huang, X.; Tang, Q.H. The footprint of urban heat island effect in 302 Chinese cities: Temporal trends and associated factors. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 655, 652–662.
40. Tong, X.H.; Zhang, X.; Liu, M.L. Urban Land Use Change Detection Based on High Accuracy Classification of Multispectral Remote Sensing Imagery. *Spectrosc. Spectr. Anal.* 2009, 29, 2131–2135.
41. Ban, Y.F.; Hu, H.T.; Rangel, I.M. Fusion of Quickbird MS and RADARSAT SAR data for urban land-cover mapping: Object-based and knowledge-based approach. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2010, 31, 1391–1410.
42. Lu, D.S.; Hetrick, S.; Moran, E. Land Cover Classification in a Complex Urban-Rural Landscape with QuickBird Imagery. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 2010, 76, 1159–1168.

43. Zhou, Q.M.; Sun, B. Analysis of spatio-temporal pattern and driving force of land cover change using multi-temporal remote sensing images. *Sci. China-Technol. Sci.* 2010, 53, 111–119.
44. Malinverni, E.S. Change Detection Applying Landscape Metrics on High Remote Sensing Images. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 2011, 77, 1045–1056.
45. Tian, H.Q.; Banger, K.; Bo, T.; Dadhwal, V.K. History of land use in India during 1880-2010: Large-scale land transformations reconstructed from satellite data and historical archives. *Glob. Planet. Chang.* 2014, 121, 78–88.
46. Deng, X.Z.; Huang, J.K.; Rozelle, S.; Zhang, J.P.; Li, Z.H. Impact of urbanization on cultivated land changes in China. *Land Use Pol.* 2015, 45, 1–7.
47. Feng, Y.Y.; Lu, D.S.; Moran, E.F.; Dutra, L.V.; Calvi, M.F.; de Oliveira, M.A.F. Examining Spatial Distribution and Dynamic Change of Urban Land Covers in the Brazilian Amazon Using Multitemporal Multisensor High Spatial Resolution Satellite Imagery. *Remote Sens.* 2017, 9, 381.
48. Sun, J.; Wang, H.; Song, Z.L.; Lu, J.B.; Meng, P.Y.; Qin, S.H. Mapping Essential Urban Land Use Categories in Nanjing by Integrating Multi-Source Big Data. *Remote Sens.* 2020, 12, 2386.
49. Kong, X.S.; Fu, M.X.; Zhao, X.; Wang, J.; Jiang, P. Ecological effects of land-use change on two sides of the Hu Huanyong Line in China. *Land Use Pol.* 2022, 113, 105895.
50. Guo, J.; Gong, P.; Dronova, I.; Zhu, Z.L. Forest cover change in China from 2000 to 2016. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2022, 43, 593–606.
51. Haack, B.N. An analysis of thematic mapper simulator data for urban environments. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 1983, 13, 265–275.
52. Howarth, P.J.; Boasson, E. Landsat digital enhancements for change detection in urban environments. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 1983, 13, 149–160.
53. Zhu, G.B.; Blumberg, D.G. Classification using ASTER data and SVM algorithms; The case study of Beer Sheva, Israel. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2002, 80, 233–240.
54. Stow, D.; Coulter, L.; Kaiser, J.; Hope, A.; Service, D.; Schutte, K.; Walters, A. Irrigated vegetation assessment for urban environments. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 2003, 69, 381–390.
55. Thomas, N.; Hendrix, C.; Congalton, R.G. A comparison of urban mapping methods using high-resolution digital imagery. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 2003, 69, 963–972.
56. Herold, M.; Roberts, D.A.; Gardner, M.E.; Dennison, P.E. Spectrometry for urban area remote sensing-Development and analysis of a spectral library from 350 to 2400 nm. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2004, 91, 304–319.
57. Franke, J.; Roberts, D.A.; Halligan, K.; Menz, G. Hierarchical Multiple Endmember Spectral Mixture Analysis (MESMA) of hyperspectral imagery for urban environments. *Remote Sens.*

- Environ. 2009, 113, 1712–1723.
58. Leong, M.; Roderick, G.K. Remote sensing captures varying temporal patterns of vegetation between human-altered and natural landscapes. *PeerJ* 2015, 3, 17.
  59. Li, J.T.; Cheng, X.J.; Wu, Z.L.; Guo, W. An Over-Segmentation-Based Uphill Clustering Method for Individual Trees Extraction in Urban Street Areas From MLS Data. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.* 2021, 14, 2206–2221.
  60. Lasnik, J.; Nicks, D.K., Jr.; Baker, B.; Canova, B.; Chance, K.; Liu, X.; Suleiman, R.M.; Pennington, W.F.; Flittner, D.E.; Al-Saadi, J.A.; et al. Remote Sensing of Air Pollution from Geo with GEMS and TEMPO. *AGU Fall Meet. Abstr.* 2017, 2017, A53A-2206.
  61. Mak, H.W.L.; Laughner, J.L.; Fung, J.C.H.; Zhu, Q.; Cohen, R.C. Improved Satellite Retrieval of Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> Column Density via Updating of Air Mass Factor (AMF): Case Study of Southern China. *Remote Sens.* 2018, 10, 1789.
  62. Kim, J.; Jeong, U.; Ahn, M.H.; Kim, J.H.; Park, R.J.; Lee, H.; Song, C.H.; Choi, Y.S.; Lee, K.H.; Yoo, J.M.; et al. New Era of Air Quality Monitoring from Space: Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer (GEMS). *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 2020, 101, E1–E22.
  63. Schneider, R.; Vicedo-Cabrera, A.M.; Sera, F.; Masselot, P.; Stafoggia, M.; de Hoogh, K.; Kloog, I.; Reis, S.; Vieno, M.; Gasparrini, A. A Satellite-Based Spatio-Temporal Machine Learning Model to Reconstruct Daily PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations across Great Britain. *Remote Sens.* 2020, 12, 3803.
  64. Shackelford, A.K.; Davis, C.H. A combined fuzzy pixel-based and object-based approach for classification of high-resolution multispectral data over urban areas. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2003, 41, 2354–2363.
  65. Wu, C.S.; Murray, A.T. Estimating impervious surface distribution by spectral mixture analysis. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2003, 84, 493–505.
  66. Lu, D.S.; Weng, Q.H. Spectral mixture analysis of the urban landscape in Indianapolis with landsat ETM plus imagery. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 2004, 70, 1053–1062.
  67. Lu, D.S.; Weng, Q.H. Use of impervious surface in urban land-use classification. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2006, 102, 146–160.
  68. Yu, D.L.; Wei, Y.H.D.; Wu, C.S. Modeling spatial dimensions of housing prices in Milwaukee, WI. *Environ. Plan. B-Plan. Des.* 2007, 34, 1085–1102.
  69. Yu, D. Modeling owner-occupied single-family house values in the city of milwaukee: A geographically weighted regression approach. *Gisci. Remote Sens.* 2007, 44, 267–282.
  70. Weng, Q.H.; Hu, X.F.; Lu, D.S. Extracting impervious surfaces from medium spatial resolution multispectral and hyperspectral imagery: A comparison. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2008, 29, 3209–3232.



71. Lu, D.S.; Weng, Q.H. Extraction of urban impervious surfaces from an IKONOS image. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2009, 30, 1297–1311.
72. van der Linden, S.; Hostert, P. The influence of urban structures on impervious surface maps from airborne hyperspectral data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2009, 113, 2298–2305.
73. Li, P.J.; Guo, J.C.; Song, B.Q.; Xiao, X.B. A Multilevel Hierarchical Image Segmentation Method for Urban Impervious Surface Mapping Using Very High Resolution Imagery. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.* 2011, 4, 103–116.
74. Lu, D.S.; Moran, E.; Hetrick, S. Detection of impervious surface change with multitemporal Landsat images in an urban-rural frontier. *Isprs J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* 2011, 66, 298–306.
75. Chen, Y.H.; Ge, Y.; An, R.; Chen, Y. Super-Resolution Mapping of Impervious Surfaces from Remotely Sensed Imagery with Points-of-Interest. *Remote Sens.* 2018, 10, 242.
76. Swanwick, R.H.; Read, Q.D.; Guinn, S.M.; Williamson, M.A.; Hondula, K.L.; Elmore, A.J. Dasymetric population mapping based on US census data and 30-m gridded estimates of impervious surface. *Sci. Data* 2022, 9, 523.
77. Niu, T.; Chen, Y.M.; Yuan, Y. Measuring urban poverty using multi -source data and a random forest algorithm: A case study in Guangzhou. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* 2020, 54, 102014.
78. Qiu, G.; Bao, Y.H.; Yang, X.C.; Wang, C.; Ye, T.T.; Stein, A.; Jia, P. Local Population Mapping Using a Random Forest Model Based on Remote and Social Sensing Data: A Case Study in Zhengzhou, China. *Remote Sens.* 2020, 12, 1618.
79. Munoz-Mari, J.; Bovolo, F.; Gomez-Chova, L.; Bruzzone, L.; Camps-Valls, G. Semisupervised One-Class Support Vector Machines for Classification of Remote Sensing Data. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2010, 48, 3188–3197.
80. Tong, X.H.; Zhang, X.; Liu, M.L. Detection of urban sprawl using a genetic algorithm-evolved artificial neural network classification in remote sensing: A case study in Jiading and Putuo districts of Shanghai, China. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2010, 31, 1485–1504.
81. Azari, M.; Tayyebi, A.; Helbich, M.; Reveshty, M.A. Integrating cellular automata, artificial neural network, and fuzzy set theory to simulate threatened orchards: Application to Maragheh, Iran. *Gisci. Remote Sens.* 2016, 53, 183–205.
82. Yang, H.P.; Yu, B.; Luo, J.C.; Chen, F. Semantic segmentation of high spatial resolution images with deep neural networks. *Gisci. Remote Sens.* 2019, 56, 749–768.
83. Sun, Z.C.; Zhao, X.W.; Wu, M.F.; Wang, C.Z. Extracting Urban Impervious Surface from WorldView-2 and Airborne LiDAR Data Using 3D Convolutional Neural Networks. *J. Indian Soc. Remote Sens.* 2019, 47, 401–412.

84. Yang, R.F.; Luo, F.; Ren, F.; Huang, W.L.; Li, Q.Y.; Du, K.X.; Yuan, D.D. Identifying Urban Wetlands through Remote Sensing Scene Classification Using Deep Learning: A Case Study of Shenzhen, China. *Isprs Int. J. Geo-Inf.* 2022, 11, 131.
85. Jagannathan, J.; Divya, C. Deep learning for the prediction and classification of land use and land cover changes using deep convolutional neural network. *Ecol. Inform.* 2021, 65, 101412.
86. Campos-Taberner, M.; Garcia-Haro, F.J.; Martinez, B.; Izquierdo-Verdiguier, E.; Atzberger, C.; Camps-Valls, G.; Gilabert, M.A. Understanding deep learning in land use classification based on Sentinel-2 time series. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 17188.
87. Yu, D.; Wu, C. Incorporating remote sensing information in modeling house values. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 2006, 72, 129–138.
88. Luo, J.; Yu, D.L.; Xin, M. Modeling Urban Growth Using GIS and Remote Sensing. *Gisci. Remote Sens.* 2008, 45, 426–442.
89. Lo, C.P. Population estimation using geographically weighted regression. *Gisci. Remote Sens.* 2008, 45, 131–148.
90. Ouyang, Z.T.; Fan, P.L.; Chen, J.Q.; Laforteza, R.; Messina, J.P.; Giannico, V.; John, R. A Bayesian approach to mapping the uncertainties of global urban lands. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2019, 187, 210–218.
91. Zhu, X.X.; Qiu, C.P.; Hu, J.L.; Shi, Y.L.; Wang, Y.Y.; Schmitt, H.; Taubenbock, H. The urban morphology on our planet-Global perspectives from space. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2022, 269, 112794.
92. Tang, J.M.; Wang, L.; Yao, Z.J. Analyzing urban sprawl spatial fragmentation using multi-temporal satellite images. *Gisci. Remote Sens.* 2006, 43, 218–232.
93. Shafer, C.S.; Lee, B.K.; Turner, S. A tale of three greenway trails: User perceptions related to quality of life. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2000, 49, 163–178.
94. Glaeser, E.L.; Kahn, M.E. Sprawl and urban growth. In *Handbook of regional and urban economics*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2004; Volume 4, pp. 2481–2527.
95. Fang, S.F.; Gertner, G.Z.; Sun, Z.L.; Anderson, A.A. The impact of interactions in spatial simulation of the dynamics of urban sprawl. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2005, 73, 294–306.
96. Zhu, M.; Jiang, N.; Li, J.; Xu, J.; Fan, Y. The effects of sensor spatial resolution and changing grain size on fragmentation indices in urban landscape. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2006, 27, 4791–4805.
97. Lu, Q.S.; Liang, F.Y.; Bi, X.L.; Duffy, R.; Zhao, Z.P. Effects of urbanization and industrialization on agricultural land use in Shandong Peninsula of China. *Ecol. Indic.* 2011, 11, 1710–1714.

98. Mahmoud, H.; Alfons, R.; Reffat, R.M. Analysis of The Driving Forces of Urban Expansion in Luxor City by Remote Sensing Monitoring. *Int. J. Integr. Eng.* 2019, 11, 296–307.
99. Wang, M.M.; Yang, Y.C.; Guo, T. Measurement of Urban-Rural Integration Level in Suburbs and Exurbs of Big Cities Based on Land-Use Change in Inland China: Chengdu. *Land* 2021, 10, 474.
100. Clapham, W.B. Continuum-based classification of remotely sensed imagery to describe urban sprawl on a watershed scale. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2003, 86, 322–340.
101. Tang, J.; Wang, L.; Zhang, S. Investigating landscape pattern and its dynamics in Daqing, China. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2005, 26, 2259–2280.
102. Lin, J.Y.; Luo, S.Y.; Huang, Y.Q. Poverty estimation at the county level by combining LuoJia1-01 nighttime light data and points of interest. *Geocarto Int.* 2022, 37, 3590–3606.
103. Zhang, B.; Yin, J.; Jiang, H.T.; Qiu, Y.H. Application of Social Network Analysis in the Economic Connection of Urban Agglomerations Based on Nighttime Lights Remote Sensing: A Case Study in the New Western Land-Sea Corridor, China. *Isprs Int. J. Geo-Inf.* 2022, 11, 522.
104. Chen, Y.P.; Zhang, J. Extraction of Urban Built-Up Areas Based on Data Fusion: A Case Study of Zhengzhou, China. *Isprs Int. J. Geo-Inf.* 2022, 11, 521.
105. Du, Z.Y.; Wu, W.; Liu, Y.X.; Zhi, W.F.; Lu, W.Y. Evaluation of China's High-Speed Rail Station Development and Nearby Human Activity Based on Nighttime Light Images. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2021, 18, 557.
106. Sun, L.; Wang, J.; Chang, S.P. Population Spatial Distribution Based on LuoJia 1-01 Nighttime Light Image: A Case Study of Beijing. *Chin. Geogr. Sci.* 2021, 31, 966–978.
107. Imhoff, M.L.; Lawrence, W.T.; Stutzer, D.C.; Elvidge, C.D. A technique for using composite DMSP/OLS “city lights” satellite data to map urban area. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 1997, 61, 361–370.
108. Elvidge, C.D.; Baugh, K.E.; Kihn, E.A.; Kroehl, H.W.; Davis, E.R. Mapping city lights with nighttime data from the DMSP Operational Linescan System. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 1997, 63, 727–734.
109. Nakayama, M. Estimating Population with DMSP/OLS Nighttime Data. *Proc. Int. Symp. Remote Sens.* 1996, 12, 216–220.
110. Lo, C.P. Modeling the population of China using DMSP operational linescan system nighttime data. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* 2001, 67, 1037–1047.
111. Ma, X.K.; Yang, Z.P.; Wang, J.Z.; Han, F. Mapping population on Tibetan Plateau by fusing VIIRS data and nighttime Tencent location-based services data. *Ecol. Indic.* 2022, 139, 108893.

112. Lu, Y.H.; Coops, N.C. Bright lights, big city: Causal effects of population and GDP on urban brightness. *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, 15.
113. Zhou, Z.X.; Li, J.; Zhang, W. Coupled urbanization and agricultural ecosystem services in Guanzhong-Tianshui Economic Zone. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2016, 23, 15407–15417.
114. Liu, J.Z.; Li, W.F. A nighttime light imagery estimation of ethnic disparity in economic well-being in mainland China and Taiwan (2001-2013). *Eurasian Geogr. Econ.* 2014, 55, 691–714.
115. He, X.; Cao, Y.W.; Zhou, C.S. Evaluation of Polycentric Spatial Structure in the Urban Agglomeration of the Pearl River Delta (PRD) Based on Multi-Source Big Data Fusion. *Remote Sens.* 2021, 13, 3639.
116. Chowdhury, P.K.R.; Maithani, S. Modelling urban growth in the Indo-Gangetic plain using nighttime OLS data and cellular automata. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 2014, 33, 155–165.
117. Wang, J.; Feng, J.M.; Yan, Z.W.; Hu, Y.H.; Jia, G.S. Nested high-resolution modeling of the impact of urbanization on regional climate in three vast urban agglomerations in China. *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 2012, 117, D21103.
118. Huang, X.M.; Schneider, A.; Friedl, M.A. Mapping sub-pixel urban expansion in China using MODIS and DMSP/OLS nighttime lights. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2016, 175, 92–108.
119. Cai, J.X.; Huang, B.; Song, Y.M. Using multi-source geospatial big data to identify the structure of polycentric cities. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2017, 202, 210–221.
120. Lin, C.Y.; Su, C.J.; Kusaka, H.; Akimoto, Y.; Sheng, Y.F.; Huang, J.C.; Hsu, H.H. Impact of an improved WRF urban canopy model on diurnal air temperature simulation over northern Taiwan. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2016, 16, 1809–1822.
121. Fragkias, M.; Lobo, J.; Seto, K.C. A comparison of nighttime lights data for urban energy research: Insights from scaling analysis in the US system of cities. *Environ. Plan. B-Urban Anal. City Sci.* 2017, 44, 1077–1096.
122. Zhang, X.W.; Wu, J.S.; Peng, J.; Cao, Q.W. The Uncertainty of Nighttime Light Data in Estimating Carbon Dioxide Emissions in China: A Comparison between DMSP-OLS and NPP-VIIRS. *Remote Sens.* 2017, 9, 797.
123. Sun, R.H.; Lu, Y.H.; Yang, X.J.; Chen, L.D. Understanding the variability of urban heat islands from local background climate and urbanization. *J. Clean. Prod.* 2019, 208, 743–752.
124. Chen, X.; Nordhaus, W.D. VIIRS Nighttime Lights in the Estimation of Cross-Sectional and Time-Series GDP. *Remote Sens.* 2019, 11, 1057.
125. Galesic, M.; Bruine de Bruin, W.; Dalege, J.; Feld, S.L.; Kreuter, F.; Olsson, H.; Prelec, D.; Stein, D.L.; van der Does, T. Human social sensing is an untapped resource for computational social science. *Nature* 2021, 595, 214–222.

126. Wang, D.; Abdelzaher, T.; Kaplan, L. (Eds.) Chapter 5-Social Sensing: A maximum likelihood estimation approach. In *Social Sensing*; Morgan Kaufmann: Boston, MA, USA, 2015; pp. 57–77.
127. Zhao, Y.X.; Cheng, S.X.; Yu, X.Y.; Xu, H.L. Chinese Public's Attention to the COVID-19 Epidemic on Social Media: Observational Descriptive Study. *J. Med. Internet Res.* 2020, 22, 13.
128. Patrick, S.L.; Cormier, H.C. Are Our Lives the Experiment? COVID-19 Lessons during a Chaotic Natural Experiment-A Commentary. *Health Behav. Policy Rev.* 2020, 7, 165–169.
129. Aggarwal, C.C.; Abdelzaher, T. Social Sensing. In *Managing and Mining Sensor Data*; Aggarwal, C.C., Ed.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 2013; pp. 237–297.
130. Zheng, Y.; Capra, L.; Wolfson, O.; Yang, H. Urban Computing: Concepts, Methodologies, and Applications. *ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol.* 2014, 5, 55.
131. Liu, Y.; Liu, X.; Gao, S.; Gong, L.; Kang, C.; Zhi, Y.; Chi, G.; Shi, L. Social Sensing: A New Approach to Understanding Our Socioeconomic Environments. *Ann. Assoc. Am. Geogr.* 2015, 105, 512–530.
132. Garcia-Pardo, K.A.; Moreno-Rangel, D.; Dominguez-Amarillo, S.; Garcia-Chavez, J.R. Remote sensing for the assessment of ecosystem services provided by urban A review of the methods. *Urban For. Urban Green.* 2022, 74, 127636.
133. Amani, M.; Ghorbanian, A.; Ahmadi, S.A.; Kakooei, M.; Moghimi, A.; Mirmazloumi, S.M.; Moghaddam, S.H.A.; Mahdavi, S.; Ghahremanloo, M.; Parsian, S.; et al. Google Earth Engine Cloud Computing Platform for Remote Sensing Big Data Applications: A Comprehensive Review. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.* 2020, 13, 5326–5350.
134. Babar, M.; Arif, F.; Jan, M.A.; Tan, Z.Y.; Khan, F. Urban data management system: Towards Big Data analytics for Internet of Things based smart urban environment using customized Hadoop. *Futur. Gener. Comp. Syst.* 2019, 96, 398–409.
135. Li, C.L.; Bai, J.P. Automatic content extraction and time-aware topic clustering for large-scale social network on cloud platform. *J. Supercomput.* 2019, 75, 2890–2924.
136. Batty, M. Big data, smart cities and city planning. *Dialogues Hum. Geogr.* 2013, 3, 274–279.
137. Chow, T.E.; Schuermann, R.T.; Ngu, A.H.; Dahal, K.R. Spatial mining of migration patterns from web demographics. *Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci.* 2018, 32, 1977–1998.
138. Badreldin, N.; Abu Hatab, A.; Lagerkvist, C.J. Spatiotemporal dynamics of urbanization and cropland in the Nile Delta of Egypt using machine learning and satellite big data: Implications for sustainable development. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2019, 191, 23.
139. Wu, W. New Methods of Urban Research in the Information Age—Based on the Combination of Big Data and Traditional Data. In *Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Big Data*

- Analytics for Cyber-Physical System in Smart City; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2022; 2, pp. 301–309.
140. Ding, Q.; Shao, Z.; Huang, X.; Altan, O.; Hu, B. Time-series land cover mapping and urban expansion analysis using OpenStreetMap data and remote sensing big data: A case study of Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Greater Bay Area, China. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 2022, 113, 103001.
  141. Mayer-Schönberger, V.; Cukier, K. *Big data: A revolution That Will Transform How We Live, Work, and Think*; Houghton Mifflin Harcourt: Boston, MA, USA, 2013.
  142. Chen, H.; Chiang, R.H.; Storey, V.C. Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. *MIS Q.* 2012, 36, 1165–1188.
  143. Enterprise Big Data Framework. A Short History of Big Data. Available online: <https://www.bigdataframework.org/knowledge/a-short-history-of-big-data/> (accessed on 2 November 2022).
  144. Wang, C.; Yin, L. Defining Urban Big Data in Urban Planning: Literature Review. *J. Urban Plan. Dev.* 2023, 149, 04022044.
  145. Batty, M. The size, scale, and shape of cities. *Science* 2008, 319, 769–771.
  146. Liu, Y.; Gao, S.; Yuan, Y.; Zhang, F.; Kang, C.; Kang, Y.; Wang, K. Methods of Social Sensing for Urban Studies. *Urban Remote Sens.* 2021, 71–89.
  147. Huang, B.; Wang, J.H. Big spatial data for urban and environmental sustainability. *Geo-Spat. Inf. Sci.* 2020, 23, 125–140.
  148. Wang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, D.; Qi, J.; Li, S. Investigating the spatiotemporal pattern of urban vibrancy and its determinants: Spatial big data analyses in Beijing, China. *Land Use Pol.* 2022, 119, 106162.
  149. Yu, R.J.; Zeng, C.; Chang, M.X.; Bao, C.C.; Tang, M.S.; Xiong, F. Effects of Urban Vibrancy on an Urban Eco-Environment: Case Study on Wuhan City. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 3200.
  150. Jia, C.; Liu, Y.F.; Du, Y.Y.; Huang, J.F.; Fei, T. Evaluation of Urban Vibrancy and Its Relationship with the Economic Landscape: A Case Study of Beijing. *Isprs Int. J. Geo-Inf.* 2021, 10, 72.
  151. He, J.; Li, X.; Liu, P.; Wu, X.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, D.; Liu, X.; Yao, Y. Accurate Estimation of the Proportion of Mixed Land Use at the Street-Block Level by Integrating High Spatial Resolution Images and Geospatial Big Data. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* 2021, 59, 6357–6370.
  152. Wu, J.Y.; Lu, Y.T.; Gao, H.; Wang, M.S. Cultivating historical heritage area vitality using urban morphology approach based on big data and machine learning. *Comput. Environ. Urban Syst.* 2022, 91, 101716.



153. Jacobs, J. *The Death and Life of Great American Cities*; Random House: New York, NY, USA, 1961.
154. Fang, C.; Yao, S.; Liu, S. *The 2010 Report of China's Urban Agglomeration Development*; Science Press: Beijing, China, 2011; pp. 15–22.
155. Fang, C.L.; Yu, D.L. Urban agglomeration: An evolving concept of an emerging phenomenon. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2017, 162, 126–136.
156. Fang, C.L.; Yu, X.H.; Zhang, X.L.; Fang, J.W.; Liu, H.M. Big data analysis on the spatial networks of urban agglomeration. *Cities* 2020, 102, 102735.
157. Wang, H.; Kilmartin, L. Comparing Rural and Urban Social and Economic Behavior in Uganda: Insights from Mobile Voice Service Usage. *J. Urban Technol.* 2014, 21, 61–89.
158. Hao, J.W.; Zhu, J.; Zhong, R. The rise of big data on urban studies and planning practices in China: Review and open research issues. *J. Urban Manag.* 2015, 4, 92–124.
159. Yao, X.C.; Li, G.Q. Big spatial vector data management: A review. *Big Earth Data* 2018, 2, 108–129.
160. Zhao, M.; Zhou, Y.Y.; Li, X.C.; Cao, W.T.; He, C.Y.; Yu, B.L.; Li, X.; Elvidge, C.D.; Cheng, W.M.; Zhou, C.H. Applications of Satellite Remote Sensing of Nighttime Light Observations: Advances, Challenges, and Perspectives. *Remote Sens.* 2019, 11, 1971.
161. Tu, W.; Zhu, T.T.; Xia, J.Z.; Zhou, Y.L.; Lai, Y.N.; Jiang, J.C.; Li, Q.Q. Portraying the spatial dynamics of urban vibrancy using multisource urban big data. *Comput. Environ. Urban Syst.* 2020, 80, 101428.
162. Xie, Z.W.; Ye, X.Y.; Zheng, Z.H.; Li, D.; Sun, L.S.; Li, R.R.; Benya, S. Modeling Polycentric Urbanization Using Multisource Big Geospatial Data. *Remote Sens.* 2019, 11, 310.
163. Jia, F.X.; Yan, J.F.; Su, F.Z.; Du, J.X.; Zhao, S.Y.; Bai, J.B. Construction of a Scoring Evaluation Model for Identifying Urban Functional Areas Based on Multisource Data. *J. Urban Plan. Dev.* 2022, 148, 04022043.

---

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/97237>