

ADT for castration-resistant prostate cancer

Subjects: **Oncology**

Contributor: Kyo Chul Koo

The androgen receptor (AR) is one of the main components in the development and progression of prostate cancer (PCa), and treatment strategies are mostly directed toward manipulation of the AR pathway. In the metastatic setting, androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the foundation of treatment in patients with hormone-sensitive prostate cancer (HSPC). However, treatment response is short-lived, and the majority of patients ultimately progress to castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC).

androgen deprivation therapy

androgen receptor

androgen receptor inhibition

prostate cancer

1. Introduction

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most frequently diagnosed neoplasm in developed countries and is accountable for the second-highest rate of cancer-related deaths [1]. Significant advances in treatment modalities and novel clinical strategies for the treatment of PCa have been developed in the last decade. The androgen receptor (AR) has been generally regarded as the most integral factor for regulating the development and spread of tumor cells, and consequently, the majority of treatment regimens are directed against the AR pathway. For metastatic PCa or in the advanced setting, androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) is the treatment of choice for hormone-sensitive disease. Results from recent clinical trials support the additional use of docetaxel, abiraterone, enzalutamide, or apalutamide with ADT in the treatment of metastatic hormone-sensitive PCa (mHSPC) [2][3][4][5][6][7][8]. However, this transient hormone-sensitive phase lasts for a median of 18 to 36 months, and the majority of patients will progress to metastatic castration-resistant PCa (mCRPC) [9]. Treatment modalities for mCRPC patients include chemotherapy agents, such as docetaxel and cabazitazel, androgen receptor axis targeted (ARAT) agents, such as abiraterone acetate and enzalutamide, radiotherapy with radium-223, and immunotherapeutic approaches using sipuleucel-T [5][8][10][11][12][13][14][15]. However, even with these improvements and novel therapeutic strategies, mCRPC has a poor clinical prognosis and outcome [16].

The underlying molecular mechanisms that lead to progression to CRPC are associated with the conservation of AR signaling in the castration-level androgen setting [17]. Clinical findings in recent studies have revealed various growth-promoting and survival pathways in the carcinogenesis of PCa, which implies the importance of understanding the alternative cellular pathways in disease progression and the interaction of these pathways with AR signaling. Encouraging therapeutic outcomes of targeted agents for a specifically defined molecular

subpopulation in other solid cancers provides a strong clinical rationale for improving the treatment strategies in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), utilizing specifically tailored clinical regimens.

2. The Androgen Receptor and Tumor Microenvironment in Prostate Cancer

Classified as a steroid hormone receptor, the AR is a ligand-activated nuclear transcription factor whose role is the regulation of target gene expression. The AR consists of a DNA-binding domain (DBD), along with a hinge region and ligand-binding domain (LBD) and an N-terminal domain (NTD) that consists of two repeated polymorphic trinucleotide segments. The repeat segments are constituted of random polyglutamine and polyglycine repeats, which are involved in the regulation of AR transcriptional activity [18][19]. AR expression is found in most primary and metastatic PCa patients of every stage and grade, and these characteristics of AR expression are observed in most cases of CRPC. AR signaling is constantly active and provides the basis for the progression and survival of prostate tumor cells [20][21].

The prostate tumor microenvironment (TME) harbors a various number of inflammatory cells and stromal components. Of these cellular constituents, cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are recruited and activated by factors secreted from the primary cancer, enabling molecular crosstalk with tumor cells. This interaction activates CAFs, which initiate cytokine release and extracellular matrix (ECM) deposition. This molecular cascade results in the reorganization of the structure and composition of the connective tissue along with the release of growth factors that influence the modification of the ECM. This alteration increases tumor stiffness and induces the proliferation and growth, along with drug-resistance of the newly transformed epithelial cells [22]. The CAFs of the prostate enhance the transformation of the gland and stimulate cancer progression [23][24][25]. Moreover, these fibroblasts form a cell cluster that retains cancer stem cell (CSC) abilities, which facilitate the metabolic alterations of the PCa cells or their epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT) and the progression of metastatic disease [26][27][28][29].

In the TME, tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) comprise the majority of the population within the cellular milieu and are usually activated by a variety of chemokines, from chemokine C-C motif ligand 2 (CCL2) to CCL5 [30]. Dependent on its complementary mediators, the cellular characteristics of TAMs can be a regulator for tumor proliferation and development, or be associated with a good disease prognosis. A recent study has shown that TAMs stimulate CCL2, which induces a signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), a mediated EMT cascade [31]. Activation of EMT is considered as major contributing factor that alters the function of immune cells in the TME, leading to immune resistance and suppression of the immune system [31]. Various clinical studies have confirmed the pro-tumorigenic effects of TAMs that can be utilized as a predictive biomarker of clinical outcome in patients with PCa [32][33][34].

The molecular crosstalk and relationship between the prostate TME and AR signaling are of an intricate nature, in which the AR and TME have both tumorigenic and anti-tumorigenic roles [35]. The AR is expressed by the stromal cells, which are crucial in glandular development and growth of the prostate and are also a crucial factor in prostate carcinogenesis. Studies have reported that the expression of AR in the prostate epithelium is imperceptible during

prostatic growth and development, while high levels of AR are expressed in the stromal cells [25][36]. In contrast to the AR expression levels in the setting of PCa, studies have shown that low AR expression in the stromal cells is related to biochemical recurrence (BCR), high risk of disease progression, and worse clinical outcomes [29][37].

As the benign prostate cells transform into cancer cells, the structural and genomic transition of the stromal cells results in a progressive decrease in AR expression. Starting from low-grade PCa, there is a linear decrease in the mean AR stromal expression, which is almost entirely absent in high-grade disease [38]. It has been shown that the mean AR expression is decreased both in the epithelium and adjacent stroma along with tissue dedifferentiation, while the depletion is more pronounced in the stromal nuclei [38]. In the disease spectrum of PCa, patients with metastatic disease have shown decreased AR stromal expression compared to that in the corresponding primary prostate tumor [39]. Moreover, expression levels in the stromal cells are distinctly decreased in patients with CRPC when compared with patients with hormone-sensitive PCa (HSPC) [39]. Further studies are warranted to elucidate the underlying pathophysiology regarding the loss of AR expression in stromal cells. However, studies have reported that the AR signaling in stromal cells and epithelial cells target different individual genes. Moreover, the molecular transition from an androgen-dependent paracrine pathway to an autocrine pathway during prostate carcinogenesis ultimately results in the molecular independence of cancer cells from the stromal–epithelial interactions for cellular progression and proliferation [40][41].

3. Androgen Receptor Resistance Mechanisms in Treatments for Advanced and Metastatic Prostate Cancer

According to the study results of Abeshouse et al., AR gene mutations and amplifications are observed in 1% of patients with PCa [42], and approximately 60% of the patients with metastatic tumors harbor AR gene mutations and amplification [43][44][45]. In contrast to patients with PCa who underwent ADT monotherapy, those with metastatic PCa who were administered AR antagonist regimens had higher incidences of AR mutations [46][47]. Most AR mutations are limited to the AR's androgen-binding domain boundaries, ultimately resulting in single-amino-acid substitutions [46][47]. These mutations functionally enable anti-androgens to perform as AR agonists, providing a significant molecular benefit for cancer development and disease progression. AR mutations develop throughout the course of disease progression and depend on the extent of the metastasis, while the mutation levels are influenced by ADT administration and other treatment modalities [48]. Various clinical studies have described over 600 mutations in the AR with a mutational frequency of <25% in androgen-dependent PCa and a mutation frequency rate of more than 50% in patients with androgen-independent and metastatic PCa [49]. The majority of AR mutations are gain-of-function point mutations [50] and the most frequently noted mutation is T877A, which is observed in roughly 30% of mCRPC patients [45][47][51]. This type of mutation facilitates AR activation by other adrenal secreted androgens, which include progesterone [52][53], dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) [54], and androstenediol [55]. This molecular alteration allows continued expression of AR despite castration levels of androgens and may be a potential reason for the low response rate of castration therapy in patients with AR mutation-expressing tumors. Other underlying resistance pathophysiologies to ADT include AR gene amplification, increased production of steroids by tumor cells and higher androgen uptake on the cellular level, AR receptor

promiscuity, and increased rates of 5 α -reductase expression [56][57][58]. These mechanisms of resistance cause excessive AR transcription or higher expression of the ligands that result in constant stimulation and activation of the LBD. ADT monotherapy has a minimal therapeutic effect on the resulting increase in AR transcriptional activity. In a study led by Mononen et al., results revealed that other germline polymorphisms in the AR are associated with increased risk of PCa occurrence [59]. H874Y and W435L mutations cause increased co-regulator binding of AR, subsequently leading to increased AR transcription [60][61]. Furthermore, studies of other mutations, such as F876L, have observed mutation-induced resistance to enzalutamide and apalutamide [62][63]. A tendency toward increased AR amplifications is found in patients who underwent PCa treatment and in CRPC patients when compared to treatment-naïve primary PCa. The wild-type sequence amplification enhances the sensitivity of prostate cells to the decreased castration levels of androgens, which allows the prostate tumor cells to persist in a low androgen level environment [64][65].

As a therapeutic form of castration, ADT plays an essential role in the management of metastatic PCa and patients in the advanced setting. This chemical regimen inhibits the production of androgens and consists of anti-androgens that competitively bind to the C-terminal of the AR, resulting in activation blockage of the AR. The ADT regimen usually consists of gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonists that inhibit the production of androgens, such as leuprolide acetate, triptorelin, goserelin acetate, and histrelin [66][67][68]. After the initial administration of GnRH agonists, there is a brief increase in the testicular production of androgens [58]. Despite this sudden surge of testosterone, the constant stimulation of pituitary GnRH receptors eventually results in the downregulation of androgens and AR desensitization. This suppresses luteinizing hormone (LH) release and accomplishes an overall reduction in the circulating levels of testosterone, estrogen, and progesterone [58]. GnRH antagonists are used in patients where the testosterone “flare” is undesirable. Although GnRH antagonists have a different mechanism, the therapeutic objective of testosterone reduction is the same. By reversibly inhibiting GnRH receptors on the anterior pituitary gland, the administration of GnRH antagonists ultimately results in the blockage of LH secretion [69]. Although the toxicities of ketoconazole overshadow its therapeutic advantages, adrenal androgen inhibitors with the combined administration of corticosteroids, ketoconazole, and aminoglutethimide can be administered for the complete blockade of testosterone production [70]. Even with ADT monotherapy, the majority of patients with PCa will develop resistance to the regimen and progress to CRPC within 18 months to 3 years [58][71][72][73][74]. In addition to the previously mentioned therapeutic regimens, the first generation of anti-androgens with a different mode of action has been developed. These anti-androgens, including bicalutamide, nilutamide, and flutamide, activate co-repressors and inhibit co-activators to suppress the AR transcription cascade while allowing the AR to enter the nucleus and bind to the designated deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) [75][76].

Recent studies and clinical trials have focused on other pathways of AR alterations to better comprehend the vast clinical spectrum of therapeutic resistance to ADT in the clinical setting of PCa. Several AR variants (AR-Vs) that result in natural resistance have been observed in CRPC cell lines [77]. Most AR-Vs in the clinical setting have an absent ligand-binding domain while preserving their DNA binding activity in an androgen-absent environment and display consistent molecular activity. AR-V7 is the only variant identified at the protein level and is constantly expressed in tissue specimens of recurrent PCa and in cell lines of CRPC patients [78][79][80]. Another source for AR variants is exon skipping during messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) splicing. For instance, ARv567es expresses

the C terminal of the LBD, encoded by exon 8, but does not express exons 5, 6, and 7, which are crucial for coding a portion of the LBD. Moreover, ARv567es shares similar properties with AR-V7 in the sense that it is constitutively active and can undergo protein translation [81]. Various studies have confirmed the presence of ARv567es expression in blood samples of PCa patients; therefore, ARv567es upregulation is considered a potential mechanism of resistance in the AR variant-dependent setting [81][82][83][84][85]. Unlike a full-length AR, both AR-V7 and ARv567es variants exhibit dissimilar transcriptional activity. Clinical data from Hu et al. showed that AR-V7 has gene expression abilities that are related to cell cycle progression [86], and further studies showed that the UBE2C gene is a major component in this process by regulating the gene expression associated with the G1/S and G2/M transition and M phase of the cell cycle [87]. In addition, both AR variants mentioned above have been discovered in benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) and CRPC samples. However, AR-V7 was the only variant detected in hormone-naïve PCa [70][81]. The fact that AR-V7 overexpression is related to increased risks of BCR after radical prostatectomy (RP) in patients with HSPC and with worse outcomes in patients with CRPC provides validation for the potential usage of AR-V7 as a prognostic biomarker [69][82].

4. Novel Androgen Receptor Inhibitors in the Treatment of Non-Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer

Even in the setting of CRPC, AR signaling continues as a significant factor of tumor growth and disease development [88]. The promising results of clinical trials based on androgen receptor signaling inhibitors (ARSi), such as enzalutamide, darolutamide, and apalutamide, have provided the rationale for using ARSi as a treatment of choice for CRPC patients [89]. These AR antagonists block AR nuclear translocation and reduce the expression of androgen-dependent genes due to higher rates of bonding to the AR binding domain and no agonist properties [90]. In the AFFIRM trial (NCT00974311), a phase III double-blind clinical study, enzalutamide significantly improved overall survival (OS) in CRPC patients who underwent prior chemotherapy compared to placebo [8], and results of the phase III double-blind PREVAIL study (NCT01212991) showed that administration of enzalutamide significantly decreased the risk of disease progression in chemotherapy-naïve settings [10].

In the double-blinded, randomized phase III PROSPER trial (NCT02003924), 1401 patients with non-metastatic CRPC with increasing prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels were administered daily with 160 mg of enzalutamide or placebo. The results showed that combining enzalutamide with ADT improved median OS to 67.0 months compared with the placebo plus ADT group, which was 56.3 months (HR 0.73, 95% CI 0.61–0.89; $p = 0.001$). The most common adverse events (AE) were fatigue and musculoskeletal events, which occurred in 31% of the enzalutamide arm in comparison to 21% in the placebo group [91].

Founded on the promising results of phase III clinical studies, apalutamide and darolutamide have both gained approval from the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment in the clinical setting of non-metastatic CRPC. In the Selective Prostate Androgen Receptor Targeting with ARN-509 (SPARTAN) study (NCT01946204), 1207 patients were randomly allocated to receive a daily dose of 240 mg of apalutamide or placebo [92]. The study concluded that metastasis-free survival (MFS) was increased by two years in the apalutamide group (40.5 vs. 16.2

months), and the time to symptomatic progression was significantly longer in the apalutamide group (HR 0.45, 95% CI 0.32–0.61; $p < 0.001$) [92][93].

The phase III Androgen Receptor Antagonizing Agent for Metastasis free survival (ARAMIS) clinical trial (NCT02200614) was designed to analyze the therapeutic effects of the combination of darolutamide and ADT compared to ADT monotherapy. A total of 1509 non-metastatic CRPC patients were assigned in random to ADT with either darolutamide or placebo [94]. After a median follow-up of 29 months, the final analysis reported a statistically significant OS improvement (81% vs. 77%, respectively) while the treatment cohort showed a reduction of 31% in the risk of death (HR 0.69, 95% CI 0.53–0.88, $p = 0.003$) [95]. Darolutamide administration also showed therapeutic advantages in all secondary and exploratory endpoints in the intent-to-treat population (ITT), including time to pain progression ($p < 0.001$), delay of initial chemotherapy ($p < 0.001$), and the first onset of a symptomatic skeletal event ($p = 0.005$). Adverse events of darolutamide, which consisted of fatigue (12.1% vs. 8.7%), back pain (8.8% vs. 9.0%), arthralgia (8.1% vs. 9.2%), and hypertension (6.6% vs. 5.2%), were dissimilar between the study groups [95].

According to the aforementioned clinical trials, ARSi agents clearly offer statistically valid and therapeutic value in the ongoing clinical management of non-metastatic CRPC. Studies have shown that the occurrence rate of adverse events to darolutamide is lower in comparison to other AR inhibitors [96]. Given its favorable toxicity profile, darolutamide shows potential to be the treatment of choice for patients with a neurological medical history or a high risk for neurologic side effects. The continuing phase II clinical trials ODENZA (NCT03314324) [97] and Androgen Receptor Directed Therapy on Cognitive Function in Patients treated with Darolutamide or Enzalutamide (ARACOG) (NCT04335682) [98] will compare enzalutamide and darolutamide in the metastatic CRPC setting and will explore the outcome results of patient preference and cognitive function, respectively. Clinical data from the previously mentioned clinical trials have shown a significant link between MFS and OS, which provided evidence for MFS to be a clinically important surrogate endpoint, resulting in the approval of these three AR antagonists as treatment modalities for non-metastatic CRPC [99][100]. In comparison to ADT monotherapy, recent results and final analyses of the PROSPER, SPARTAN, and ARAMIS trials showed statistically significant improvement in OS for patients with non-metastatic CRPC who were randomly assigned to enzalutamide, apalutamide, and darolutamide, respectively. [91][95][101]. [Table 1](#) summarizes the clinical trials evaluating the clinical benefits of ARSi in the treatment of non-metastatic CRPC.

Table 1. Clinical trials evaluating androgen receptor inhibitor therapy for non-metastatic CRPC.

Agents	Clinical Phase	Identifier	Indication	Primary Endpoints
Enzalutamide	III	NCT00974311 (AFFIRM) [8]	CRPC	OS
Enzalutamide	III	NCT01212991 (PREVAIL) [10]	Chemotherapy-naïve mCRPC	OS and rPFS

Agents	Clinical Phase	Identifier	Indication	Primary Endpoints
Enzalutamide	III	NCT02003924 (PROSPER) [91]	Non-metastatic CRPC	MFS
Apalutamide	III	NCT01946204 (SPARTAN) [92]	Non-metastatic CRPC	MFS
Darolutamide	III	NCT02200614 (ARAMIS) [94]	Non-metastatic CRPC	MFS
Enzalutamide + Darolutamide	II	NCT03314324 (ODENZA) [97]	Asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic mCRPC	Patient preference
Enzalutamide + Darolutamide	II	NCT04335682 (ARACOG) [98]	mCRPC or non-metastatic CRPC	% change in the cognitive domain

In the phase III double-blind LATITUDE trial (NCT01715285) [\[5\]](#), the therapeutic efficacy of the combined administration of abiraterone acetate with prednisone plus ADT was compared to ADT with placebo. A total of 1209 mHSPC patients with at least two out of three risk factors ascertaining an unfavorable prognosis, which included a Gleason score of 8 or above, three or more bone metastatic sites identified by bone scan, or visceral metastases, were recruited. A final number of 1199 patients were randomly allocated to abiraterone acetate and prednisone with ADT (abiraterone group) or to ADT with placebo. After a median follow-up of 30 months, the abiraterone group exhibited a survival benefit in terms of the median OS, which was not reached while the OS of the placebo group was 34 months (HR 0.62, 95% CI 0.51–0.76; $p < 0.001$), and a radiographic PFS (rPFS) of 33 months for the abiraterone cohort was observed compared to a 14 months rPFS for the placebo arm (HR 0.47, 95% CI 0.49–0.55; $p < 0.001$) [\[5\]](#). After a median follow-up of 51 months, the final outcomes of the trial confirmed the clinical benefits of combined abiraterone acetate administration with ADT and prednisone. The results showed an OS of 53 months for the abiraterone cohort compared to an OS of 36 months for the placebo arm, along with a 34% risk reduction ($p < 0.001$). Excluding patients with an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status of 2, an OS benefit was observed in all subgroups of the trial, and improvements were observed in all secondary endpoints in patients who were prescribed the study drug. From the promising results of this clinical trial, abiraterone acetate plus prednisone has become a new treatment choice for patients with mHSPC [\[5\]](#).

The ARCHES trial (A Randomized, Phase III Study of Androgen Deprivation Therapy With Enzalutamide or Placebo in Men With Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer) (NCT02677896) was a phase III study that analyzed the safety profile and therapeutic efficacy of enzalutamide. The study cohort consisted of 1150 patients with mHSPC, stratified according to prior docetaxel chemotherapy and volume of the disease [\[2\]](#). Patients were randomly assigned to enzalutamide and ADT combination administration or placebo with ADT. In comparison to the placebo arm, the enzalutamide plus ADT regimen arm exhibited a reduced risk of rPFS or death by 61% ($p < 0.001$). The therapeutic advantages of enzalutamide were observed in all specified subgroups, which consisted of patients with low-volume disease and patients who had received prior chemotherapy with docetaxel. Incidence of grade 3 or higher AE was comparable between the study groups at 24% for enzalutamide-treated patients and 25% for the placebo group. The study concluded that enzalutamide treatment significantly reduced metastatic

progression or death, and due to its safety profile, enzalutamide should be considered a viable therapeutic option for patients with mHSPC [2].

Results of the phase III, randomized, open-label trial ENZAMET (Enzalutamide in First-Line Androgen Deprivation Therapy for Metastatic Prostate Cancer) (NCT02446405) [4] verified a significant OS improvement with the treatment regimen of enzalutamide at a daily dose of 160 mg with concurrent ADT when compared to standard ADT monotherapy with or without docetaxel chemotherapy. Moreover, the enzalutamide group showed better PSA progression-free survival (HR, 0.39; $p < 0.001$) and PFS (HR, 0.40; $p < 0.001$) [4].

The therapeutic benefit of apalutamide was explored in the phase III, double-blind, TITAN (The Targeted Investigational Treatment Analysis of Novel Anti-androgen) (NCT02489318) clinical trial [3]. In this study, 525 patients with mHSPC were allocated to receive apalutamide at 240 mg a day, while 527 patients were given placebo while undergoing ADT. This trial reported that apalutamide significantly improved the OS at 24 months (82.4% in the apalutamide group vs. 73.5% in the placebo group; HR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.51–0.89; $p = 0.005$) and rPFS at 24 months was 68.2% in the study drug cohort while 47.5% was noted in the placebo group. Grade 3 or 4 adverse events were observed with comparable frequency across the two study populations at 42.2% in the apalutamide group and 40.8% in the control group [3]. [Table 2](#) depicts the current and ongoing trials investigating the efficacy of AR inhibition in the mHSPC setting.

Table 2. Clinical trials evaluating androgen receptor inhibitor therapy for mHSPC.

Agents	Clinical Phase	Identifier	Indication	Primary Endpoints
Abiraterone acetate + ADT	III	NCT01715285 (LATITUDE) [5]	mHSPC	PFS and OS
Enzalutamide + ADT	III	NCT02677896 (ARCHES) [2]	mHSPC	rPFS
Enzalutamide + ADT	III	NCT02446405 (ENZAMET) [4]	mHSPC	OS
Apalutamide + ADT	III	NCT02489318 (TITAN) [3]	mHSPC	rPFS and OS

References

1. Chung, B.H.; Horie, S.; Chiong, E. Clinical studies investigating the use of leuprorelin for prostate cancer in Asia. *Prostate Int.* 2020, 8, 1–9.
2. Armstrong, A.J.; Szmulewitz, R.Z.; Petrylak, D.P.; Holzbeierlein, J.; Villers, A.; Azad, A.; Alcaraz, A.; Alekseev, B.; Iguchi, T.; Shore, N.D.; et al. ARCHES: A Randomized, Phase III Study of Androgen Deprivation Therapy With Enzalutamide or Placebo in Men With Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2019, 37, 2974–2986.
3. Chi, K.N.; Agarwal, N.; Bjartell, A.; Chung, B.H.; Gomes, A.J.P.D.S.; Given, R.; Soto, A.J.; Merseburger, A.S.; Özgüroğlu, M.; Uemura, H.; et al. Apalutamide for Metastatic, Castration-Sensitive Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2019, 381, 13–24.
4. Davis, I.D.; Martin, A.J.; Stockler, M.R.; Begbie, S.; Chi, K.N.; Chowdhury, S.; Coskinas, X.; Frydenberg, M.; Hague, W.E.; Horvath, L.G.; et al. Enzalutamide with Standard First-Line Therapy in Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2019, 381, 121–131.
5. Fizazi, K.; Tran, N.; Fein, L.; Matsubara, N.; Rodriguez-Antolin, A.; Alekseev, B.Y.; Özgüroğlu, M.; Ye, D.; Feyerabend, S.; Protheroe, A.; et al. Abiraterone plus Prednisone in Metastatic, Castration-Sensitive Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2017, 377, 352–360.
6. James, N.D.; De Bono, J.S.; Spears, M.R.; Clarke, N.W.; Mason, M.D.; Dearnaley, D.P.; Ritchie, A.W.; Amos, C.L.; Gilson, C.; Jones, R.J.; et al. Abiraterone for Prostate Cancer Not Previously Treated with Hormone Therapy. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2017, 377, 338–351.
7. James, N.D.; Sydes, M.R.; Clarke, N.W.; Mason, M.D.; Dearnaley, D.P.; Spears, M.R.; Ritchie, A.W.S.; Parker, C.C.; Russell, J.M.; Attard, G.; et al. Addition of docetaxel, zoledronic acid, or both to first-line long-term hormone therapy in prostate cancer (STAMPEDE): Survival results from an adaptive, multiarm, multistage, platform randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2016, 387, 1163–1177.
8. Scher, H.I.; Fizazi, K.; Saad, F.; Taplin, M.-E.; Sternberg, C.N.; Miller, K.; De Wit, R.; Mulders, P.; Chi, K.N.; Shore, N.D.; et al. Increased Survival with Enzalutamide in Prostate Cancer after Chemotherapy. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2012, 367, 1187–1197.
9. Sumanasuriya, S.; De Bono, J. Treatment of Advanced Prostate Cancer—A Review of Current Therapies and Future Promise. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* 2017, 8, a030635.
10. Beer, T.M.; Armstrong, A.J.; Rathkopf, D.; Loriot, Y.; Sternberg, C.N.; Higano, C.S.; Iversen, P.; Evans, C.P.; Kim, C.-S.; Kimura, G.; et al. Enzalutamide in Men with Chemotherapy-naïve Metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer: Extended Analysis of the Phase 3 PREVAIL Study. *Eur. Urol.* 2017, 71, 151–154.
11. Berthold, D.R.; Pond, G.R.; Soban, F.; De Wit, R.; Eisenberger, M.; Tannock, I.F. Docetaxel Plus Prednisone or Mitoxantrone Plus Prednisone for Advanced Prostate Cancer: Updated Survival in the TAX 327 Study. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2008, 26, 242–245.

12. de Bono, J.S.; Oudard, S.; Ozguroglu, M.; Hansen, S.; Machiels, J.-P.; Kocak, I.; Gravis, G.; Bodrogi, I.; Mackenzie, M.J.; Shen, L.; et al. Prednisone plus cabazitaxel or mitoxantrone for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer progressing after docetaxel treatment: A randomised open-label trial. *Lancet* 2010, **376**, 1147–1154.
13. Kantoff, P.W.; Higano, C.S.; Shore, N.D.; Berger, E.R.; Small, E.J.; Penson, D.F.; Redfern, C.H.; Ferrari, A.C.; Dreicer, R.; Sims, R.B.; et al. Sipuleucel-T Immunotherapy for Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2010, **363**, 411–422.
14. Parker, C.; Nilsson, D.S.; Heinrich, S.D.; Helle, S.I.; O'Sullivan, J.M.; Fosså, S.D.; Chodacki, A.; Wiechno, P.; Logue, J.; Seke, M.; et al. Alpha Emitter Radium-223 and Survival in Metastatic Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2013, **369**, 213–223.
15. Ryan, C.J.; Smith, M.R.; Fizazi, K.; Saad, F.; Mulders, P.F.A.; Sternberg, C.N.; Miller, K.; Logothetis, C.J.; Shore, N.D.; Small, E.J.; et al. Abiraterone acetate plus prednisone versus placebo plus prednisone in chemotherapy-naive men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (COU-AA-302): Final overall survival analysis of a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015, **16**, 152–160.
16. Lorente, D.; Mateo, J.; Perez-Lopez, R.; de Bono, J.S.; Attard, G. Sequencing of agents in castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015, **16**, e279–e292.
17. Saraon, P.; Drabovich, A.P.; Jarvi, K.A.; Diamandis, E.P. Mechanisms of Androgen-Independent Prostate Cancer. *EJIFCC* 2014, **25**, 42–54.
18. Claessens, F.; Denayer, S.; Van Tilborgh, N.; Kerkhofs, S.; Helsen, C.; Haelens, A. Diverse roles of androgen receptor (AR) domains in AR-mediated signaling. *Nucl. Recept. Signal.* 2008, **6**, e008.
19. Matsumoto, T.; Sakari, M.; Okada, M.; Yokoyama, A.; Takahashi, S.; Kouzmenko, A.; Kato, S. The Androgen Receptor in Health and Disease. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 2013, **75**, 201–224.
20. Crnalic, S.; Hörnberg, E.; Wikström, P.; Lerner, U.H.; Tieva, Å.; Svensson, O.; Widmark, A.; Bergh, A. Nuclear androgen receptor staining in bone metastases is related to a poor outcome in prostate cancer patients. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2010, **17**, 885–895.
21. De Winter, J.A.R.; Janssen, P.J.; Sleddens, H.M.; Verleun-Mooijman, M.C.; Trapman, J.; Brinkmann, A.O.; Santerse, A.B.; Schröder, F.H.; Van Der Kwast, T.H. Androgen receptor status in localized and locally progressive hormone refractory human prostate cancer. *Am. J. Pathol.* 1994, **144**, 735–746.
22. Sahai, E.; Astsaturov, I.; Cukierman, E.; DeNardo, D.G.; Egeblad, M.; Evans, R.M.; Fearon, D.; Greten, F.R.; Hingorani, S.R.; Hunter, T.; et al. A framework for advancing our understanding of cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2020, **20**, 174–186.

23. Taylor, R.A.; Risbridger, R.A.T.A.G.P. Prostatic Tumor Stroma: A Key Player in Cancer Progression. *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* 2008, 8, 490–497.
24. Olumi, A.F.; Grossfeld, G.D.; Hayward, S.W.; Carroll, P.R.; Tlsty, T.D.; Cunha, G.R. Carcinoma-associated fibroblasts direct tumor progression of initiated human prostatic epithelium. *Cancer Res.* 1999, 59, 5002–5011.
25. Hayward, S.W.; Wang, Y.; Cao, M.; Hom, Y.K.; Zhang, B.; Grossfeld, G.D.; Sudilovsky, D.; Cunha, G.R. Malignant transformation in a nontumorigenic human prostatic epithelial cell line. *Cancer Res.* 2001, 61, 8135–8142.
26. Cabarcas, S.M.; Mathews, L.A.; Farrar, W.L. The cancer stem cell niche—there goes the neighborhood? *Int. J. Cancer* 2011, 129, 2315–2327.
27. Fiaschi, T.; Marini, A.; Giannoni, E.; Taddei, M.L.; Gandellini, P.; De Donatis, A.; Lanciotti, M.; Serni, S.; Cirri, P.; Chiarugi, P. Reciprocal Metabolic Reprogramming through Lactate Shuttle Coordinately Influences Tumor-Stroma Interplay. *Cancer Res.* 2012, 72, 5130–5140.
28. Giannoni, E.; Bianchini, F.; Calorini, L.; Chiarugi, P. Cancer Associated Fibroblasts Exploit Reactive Oxygen Species Through a Proinflammatory Signature Leading to Epithelial Mesenchymal Transition and Stemness. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 2011, 14, 2361–2371.
29. Henshall, S.M.; Quinn, D.I.; Lee, C.S.; Head, D.R.; Golovsky, D.; Brenner, P.C.; Delprado, W.; Stricker, P.D.; Grygiel, J.J.; Sutherland, R.L. Altered expression of androgen receptor in the malignant epithelium and adjacent stroma is associated with early relapse in prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2001, 61, 423–427.
30. Rani, A.; Dasgupta, P.; Murphy, J.J. Prostate Cancer: The Role of Inflammation and Chemokines. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2019, 189, 2119–2137.
31. Lu, W.; Kang, Y. Epithelial-Mesenchymal Plasticity in Cancer Progression and Metastasis. *Dev. Cell* 2019, 49, 361–374.
32. Gannon, P.O.; Poisson, A.O.; Delvoye, N.; Lapointe, R.; Mes-Masson, A.-M.; Saad, F. Characterization of the intra-prostatic immune cell infiltration in androgen-deprived prostate cancer patients. *J. Immunol. Methods* 2009, 348, 9–17.
33. Lanciotti, M.; Masieri, L.; Raspollini, M.R.; Minervini, A.; Mari, A.; Comito, G.; Giannoni, E.; Carini, M.; Chiarugi, P.; Serni, S. The Role of M1 and M2 Macrophages in Prostate Cancer in relation to Extracapsular Tumor Extension and Biochemical Recurrence after Radical Prostatectomy. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2014, 2014, 1–6.
34. Nonomura, N.; Takayama, H.; Nakayama, M.; Nakai, Y.; Kawashima, A.; Mukai, M.; Nagahara, A.; Aozasa, K.; Tsujimura, A. Infiltration of tumour-associated macrophages in prostate biopsy specimens is predictive of disease progression after hormonal therapy for prostate cancer. *BJU Int.* 2010, 107, 1918–1922.

35. McAllister, M.J.; Underwood, M.A.; Leung, H.Y.; Edwards, J. A review on the interactions between the tumor microenvironment and androgen receptor signaling in prostate cancer. *Transl. Res.* 2019, 206, 91–106.
36. Hayward, S.W.; Haughney, P.C.; Lopes, E.S.; Danielpour, D.; Cunha, G.R. The rat prostatic epithelial cell line NRP-152 can differentiate *in vivo* in response to its stromal environment. *Prostate* 1999, 39, 205–212.
37. Ricciardelli, C.; Choong, C.S.; Buchanan, G.; Vivekanandan, S.; Neufing, P.; Marshall, V.R.; Horsfall, D.J.; Tilley, W.D. Androgen receptor levels in prostate cancer epithelial and peritumoral stromal cells identify non-organ confined disease. *Prostate* 2004, 63, 19–28.
38. Olapade-Olaopa, E.O.; MacKay, E.H.; Taub, N.A.; Sandhu, D.P.; Terry, T.R.; Habib, F.K. Malignant transformation of human prostatic epithelium is associated with the loss of androgen receptor immunoreactivity in the sur-rounding stroma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 1999, 5, 569–576.
39. Li, Y.; Li, C.X.; Ye, H.; Chen, F.; Melamed, J.; Peng, Y.; Liu, J.; Wang, Z.; Tsou, H.C.; Wei, J.; et al. Decrease in stromal androgen receptor associates with androgen-independent disease and promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation and invasion. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 2008, 12, 2790–2798.
40. Singh, M.; Jha, R.; Melamed, J.; Shapiro, E.; Hayward, S.W.; Lee, P. Stromal Androgen Receptor in Prostate Development and Cancer. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2014, 184, 2598–2607.
41. Tanner, M.J.; Welliver, R.C., Jr.; Chen, M.; Shtutman, M.; Godoy, A.; Smith, G.; Mian, B.M.; Butyan, R. Effects of Androgen Receptor and Androgen on Gene Expression in Prostate Stromal Fibroblasts and Paracrine Signaling to Prostate Cancer Cells. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e16027.
42. The Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. The Molecular Taxonomy of Primary Prostate Cancer. *Cell* 2015, 163, 1011–1025.
43. Grasso, C.S.; Wu, Y.-M.; Robinson, D.R.; Cao, X.; Dhanasekaran, S.M.; Khan, A.P.; Quist, M.J.; Jing, X.; Lonigro, R.J.; Brenner, J.C.; et al. The mutational landscape of lethal castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Nature* 2012, 487, 239–243.
44. Kumar, A.; Coleman, I.; Morrissey, C.; Zhang, X.; True, L.D.; Gulati, R.; Etzioni, R.; Bolouri, H.; Montgomery, B.; White, T.; et al. Substantial interindividual and limited intraindividual genomic diversity among tumors from men with metastatic prostate cancer. *Nat. Med.* 2016, 22, 369–378.
45. Rathkopf, D.E.; Smith, M.R.; Ryan, C.J.; Berry, W.R.; Shore, N.D.; Liu, G.; Higano, C.S.; Alumkal, J.J.; Hauke, R.; Tutron, R.F.; et al. Androgen receptor mutations in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with apalutamide. *Ann. Oncol.* 2017, 28, 2264–2271.
46. Bergerat, J.-P.; Céraline, J.; Laloi, C. Pleiotropic functional properties of androgen receptor mutants in prostate cancer. *Hum. Mutat.* 2008, 30, 145–157.

47. Gottlieb, B.; Beitel, L.K.; Nadarajah, A.; Paliouras, M.; Trifiro, M. The androgen receptor gene mutations database: 2012 update. *Hum. Mutat.* 2012, 33, 887–894.
48. Scher, H.I.; Sawyers, C.L. Biology of Progressive, Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer: Directed Therapies Targeting the Androgen-Receptor Signaling Axis. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2005, 23, 8253–8261.
49. Robinson, D.R.; Wu, Y.-M.; Lonigro, R.J.; Vats, P.; Cobain, E.; Everett, J.; Cao, X.; Rabban, E.; Kumar-Sinha, C.; Raymond, V.; et al. Integrative clinical genomics of metastatic cancer. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2017, 548, 297–303.
50. Nadiminty, N.; Gao, A.C. Mechanisms of persistent activation of the androgen receptor in CRPC: Recent advances and future perspectives. *World J. Urol.* 2011, 30, 287–295.
51. Taplin, M.-E.; Bubley, G.J.; Shuster, T.D.; Frantz, M.E.; Spooner, A.E.; Ogata, G.K.; Keer, H.N.; Balk, S.P. Mutation of the Androgen-Receptor Gene in Metastatic Androgen-Independent Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1995, 332, 1393–1398.
52. Veldscholte, J.; Ris-Stalpers, C.; Kuiper, G.; Jenster, G.; Berrevoets, C.; Claassen, E.; van Rooij, H.; Trapman, J.; Brinkmann, A.; Mulder, E. A mutation in the ligand binding domain of the androgen receptor of human LNCaP cells affects steroid binding characteristics and response to anti-androgens. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1990, 173, 534–540.
53. Wilding, G.; Chen, M.; Gelmann, E.P. Aberrant response in vitro of hormone-responsive prostate cancer cells to antiandrogens. *Prostate* 1989, 14, 103–115.
54. Tan, J.-A.; Sharief, Y.; Hamil, K.G.; Gregory, C.W.; Zang, D.-Y.; Sar, M.; Gumerlock, P.H.; White, R.W.D.; Pretlow, T.G.; Harris, S.E.; et al. Dehydroepiandrosterone Activates Mutant Androgen Receptors Expressed in the Androgen-Dependent Human Prostate Cancer Xenograft CWR22 and LNCaP Cells. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 1997, 11, 450–459.
55. Miyamoto, H.; Yeh, S.; Lardy, H.; Messing, E.; Chang, C. 5-Androstenediol is a natural hormone with androgenic activity in human prostate cancer cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1998, 95, 11083–11088.
56. Brand, L.J.; Dehm, S.M. Androgen receptor gene rearrangements: New perspectives on prostate cancer progression. *Curr. Drug Targets* 2013, 14, 441–449.
57. Chandrasekar, T.; Yang, J.C.; Gao, A.C.; Evans, C.P. Mechanisms of resistance in castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). *Transl. Androl. Urol.* 2015, 4, 365–380.
58. Harris, W.P.; Mostaghel, E.A.; Nelson, P.S.; Montgomery, B. Androgen deprivation therapy: Progress in understanding mechanisms of resistance and optimizing androgen depletion. *Nat. Clin. Pract. Urol.* 2009, 6, 76–85.
59. Mononen, N.; Syrjakoski, K.; Matikainen, M.; Tammela, T.L.; Schleutker, J.; Kallioniemi, O.P.; Trapman, J.; Koivisto, P.A. Two percent of Finnish prostate cancer patients have a germ-line

- mutation in the hormone-binding do-main of the androgen receptor gene. *Cancer Res.* 2000, 60, 6479–6481.
60. Barbieri, C.E.; Baca, S.C.; Lawrence, M.S.; Demichelis, F.; Blattner, M.; Theurillat, J.-P.; White, T.A.; Stojanov, P.; Van Allen, E.; Stransky, N.; et al. Exome sequencing identifies recurrent SPOP, FOXA1 and MED12 mutations in prostate cancer. *Nat. Genet.* 2012, 44, 685–689.
61. Steinkamp, M.P.; O'Mahony, O.A.; Brogley, M.; Rehman, H.; LaPensee, E.W.; Dhanasekaran, S.; Hofer, M.D.; Kuefer, R.; Chinnaiyan, A.; Rubin, M.A.; et al. Treatment-Dependent Androgen Receptor Mutations in Prostate Cancer Exploit Multiple Mechanisms to Evasive Therapy. *Cancer Res.* 2009, 69, 4434–4442.
62. Joseph, J.D.; Lu, N.; Qian, J.; Sensintaffar, J.; Shao, G.; Brigham, D.; Moon, M.; Maneval, E.C.; Chen, I.; Darimont, B.; et al. A Clinically Relevant Androgen Receptor Mutation Confers Resistance to Second-Generation Antiandrogens Enzalutamide and ARN-509. *Cancer Discov.* 2013, 3, 1020–1029.
63. Korpal, M.; Korn, J.M.; Gao, X.; Rakiec, D.P.; Ruddy, D.A.; Doshi, S.; Yuan, J.; Kovats, S.G.; Kim, S.; Cooke, V.G.; et al. An F876L Mutation in Androgen Receptor Confers Genetic and Phenotypic Resistance to MDV3100 (Enzalutamide). *Cancer Discov.* 2013, 3, 1030–1043.
64. Linja, M.J.; Savinainen, K.J.; Saramaki, O.R.; Tammela, T.L.; Vessella, R.L.; Visakorpi, T. Amplification and over-expression of androgen receptor gene in hormone-refractory prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2001, 61, 3550–3555.
65. Palmberg, C.; Koivisto, P.; Kakkola, L.; Tammela, T.L.; Kallioniemi, O.P.; Visakorpi, T. Androgen receptor gene amplification at primary progression predicts response to combined androgen blockade as second line therapy for advanced prostate cancer. *J. Urol.* 2000, 164, 1992–1995.
66. Fontana, D.; Mari, M.; Martinelli, A.; Boccafoschi, C.; Magno, C.; Turriziani, M.; Maymone, S.; Cunico, S.C.; Zanollo, A.; Montagna, G.; et al. 3-Month Formulation of Goserelin Acetate ('Zoladex' 10.8-mg Depot) in Advanced Prostate Cancer: Results from an Italian, Open, Multicenter Trial. *Urol. Int.* 2003, 70, 316–320.
67. Pieczonka, C.M.; Twardowski, P.; Renzulli, J., 2nd; Hafron, J.; Boldt-Houle, D.M.; Atkinson, S.; Eggener, S. Effectiveness of Subcutaneously Administered Leuprolide Acetate to Achieve Low Nadir Testosterone in Prostate Cancer Patients. *Rev. Urol.* 2018, 20, 63–68.
68. Shore, N.D.; Guerrero, S.; Sanahuja, R.M.; Gambús, G.; Parente, A. A New Sustained-release, 3-Month Leuprolide Acetate Formulation Achieves and Maintains Castrate Concentrations of Testosterone in Patients with Prostate Cancer. *Clin. Ther.* 2019, 41, 412–425.
69. Chan, S.C.; Li, Y.; Dehm, S.M. Androgen Receptor Splice Variants Activate Androgen Receptor Target Genes and Support Aberrant Prostate Cancer Cell Growth Independent of Canonical Androgen Receptor Nuclear Localization Signal. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2012, 287, 19736–19749.

70. Hu, R.; Dunn, T.A.; Wei, S.; Isharwal, S.; Veltri, R.W.; Humphreys, E.; Han, M.; Partin, A.W.; Vessella, R.L.; Isaacs, W.B.; et al. Ligand-Independent Androgen Receptor Variants Derived from Splicing of Cryptic Exons Signify Hormone-Refractory Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2009, 69, 16–22.
71. Dong, L.; Zieren, R.C.; Xue, W.; de Reijke, T.M.; Pienta, K.J. Metastatic prostate cancer remains incurable, why? *Asian J. Urol.* 2019, 6, 26–41.
72. Rottach, A.-M.; Ahrend, H.; Martin, B.; Walther, R.; Zimmermann, U.; Burchardt, M.; Stope, M.B. Cabazitaxel inhibits prostate cancer cell growth by inhibition of androgen receptor and heat shock protein expression. *World J. Urol.* 2019, 37, 2137–2145.
73. Tucci, M.; Caffo, O.; Buttiglieri, C.; Cavaliere, C.; D'Aniello, C.; Di Maio, M.; Kinspergher, S.; Maines, F.; Rizzo, M.; Rossetti, S.; et al. Therapeutic options for first-line metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: Suggestions for clinical practise in the CHARTED and LATITUDE era. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2019, 74, 35–42.
74. Tucci, M.; Scagliotti, G.V.; Vignani, F. Metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: Time for innovation. *Future Oncol.* 2015, 11, 91–106.
75. Hodgson, M.C.; Astapova, I.; Hollenberg, A.N.; Balk, S.P.; Wu, C.; Wei, Q.; Utomo, V.; Nadesan, P.; Whetstone, H.; Kandel, R.; et al. Activity of Androgen Receptor Antagonist Bicalutamide in Prostate Cancer Cells Is Independent of NCoR and SMRT Corepressors. *Cancer Res.* 2007, 67, 8388–8395.
76. Masiello, D.; Cheng, S.; Bubley, G.J.; Lu, M.L.; Balk, S.P. Bicalutamide Functions as an Androgen Receptor Antagonist by Assembly of a Transcriptionally Inactive Receptor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002, 277, 26321–26326.
77. Dehm, S.M.; Tindall, D.J. Alternatively spliced androgen receptor variants. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 2011, 18, R183–R196.
78. Haile, S.; Sadar, M.D. Androgen receptor and its splice variants in prostate cancer. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 2011, 68, 3971–3981.
79. Lu, C.; Luo, J. Decoding the androgen receptor splice variants. *Transl. Androl. Urol.* 2013, 2, 178–186.
80. Luo, J. Development of AR-V7 as a putative treatment selection marker for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Asian J. Androl.* 2016, 18, 580–585.
81. Sun, S.; Sprenger, C.C.; Vessella, R.L.; Haugk, K.; Soriano, K.; Mostaghel, E.A.; Page, S.T.; Coleman, I.M.; Nguyen, H.M.; Sun, H.; et al. Castration resistance in human prostate cancer is conferred by a frequently occurring androgen receptor splice variant. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2010, 120, 2715–2730.

82. Hörnberg, E.; Ylitalo, E.B.; Crnalic, S.; Antti, H.; Stattin, P.; Widmark, A.; Bergh, A.; Wikström, P. Expression of Androgen Receptor Splice Variants in Prostate Cancer Bone Metastases is Associated with Castration-Resistance and Short Survival. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e19059.
83. Liu, G.; Sprenger, C.; Sun, S.; Epilepsia, K.S.; Haugk, K.; Zhang, X.; Coleman, I.; Nelson, P.S.; Plymate, S. AR Variant ARv567es Induces Carcinogenesis in a Novel Transgenic Mouse Model of Prostate Cancer. *Neoplasia* 2013, 15, 1009–1017.
84. Liu, X.; Ledet, E.; Li, D.; Dotiwala, A.; Steinberger, A.; Feibus, A.; Li, J.; Qi, Y.; Silberstein, J.; Lee, B.; et al. A Whole Blood Assay for AR-V7 and AR v567es in Patients with Prostate Cancer. *J. Urol.* 2016, 196, 1758–1763.
85. Watson, P.A.; Chen, Y.F.; Balbas, M.D.; Wongvipat, J.; Socci, N.D.; Viale, A.; Kim, K.; Sawyers, C.L. Constitutively active androgen receptor splice variants expressed in castration-resistant prostate cancer require full-length androgen receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 16759–16765.
86. Hu, R.; Lu, C.; Mostaghel, E.A.; Yegnasubramanian, S.; Gurel, M.; Tannahill, C.; Edwards, J.; Isaacs, W.B.; Nelson, P.S.; Bluemn, E.; et al. Distinct Transcriptional Programs Mediated by the Ligand-Dependent Full-Length Androgen Receptor and Its Splice Variants in Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2012, 72, 3457–3462.
87. Nicolau-Neto, P.; Palumbo, A.; De Martino, M.; Esposito, F.; Simão, T.D.A.; Fusco, A.; Nasciutti, L.E.; Da Costa, N.M.; Pinto, L.F.R. UBE2C Is a Transcriptional Target of the Cell Cycle Regulator FOXM1. *Genes* 2018, 9, 188.
88. Attard, G.; Richards, J.; De Bono, J.S. New Strategies in Metastatic Prostate Cancer: Targeting the Androgen Receptor Signaling Pathway. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2011, 17, 1649–1657.
89. Tran, C.; Ouk, S.; Clegg, N.J.; Chen, Y.; Watson, P.A.; Arora, V.; Wongvipat, J.; Smith-Jones, P.M.; Yoo, D.; Kwon, A.; et al. Development of a Second-Generation Antiandrogen for Treatment of Advanced Prostate Cancer. *Science* 2009, 324, 787–790.
90. Sanford, M. Enzalutamide: A Review of Its Use in Metastatic, Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *Drugs* 2013, 73, 1723–1732.
91. Sternberg, C.N.; Fizazi, K.; Saad, F.; Shore, N.D.; De Giorgi, U.; Penson, D.F.; Ferreira, U.; Efstathiou, E.; Madziarska, K.; Kolinsky, M.P.; et al. Enzalutamide and Survival in Nonmetastatic, Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 382, 2197–2206.
92. Smith, M.R.; Saad, F.; Chowdhury, S.; Oudard, S.; Hadaschik, B.A.; Graff, J.N.; Olmos, D.; Mainwaring, P.N.; Lee, J.Y.; Uemura, H.; et al. Apalutamide Treatment and Metastasis-free Survival in Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2018, 378, 1408–1418.
93. Smith, M.R.; Mehra, M.; Nair, S.; Lawson, J.; Small, E.J. Relationship Between Metastasis-free Survival and Overall Survival in Patients with Nonmetastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer.

- Clin. Genitourin. Cancer 2020, 18, e180–e189.
94. Fizazi, K.; Shore, N.; Tammela, T.L.; Ulys, A.; Vjaters, E.; Polyakov, S.; Jievaltas, M.; Luz, M.; Alekseev, B.; Kuss, I.; et al. Darolutamide in Nonmetastatic, Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2019, 380, 1235–1246.
95. Fizazi, K.; Shore, N.D.; Tammela, T.; Ulys, A.; Vjaters, E.; Polyakov, S.; Jievaltas, M.; Luz, M.; Alekseev, B.; Kuss, I.; et al. Overall survival (OS) results of phase III ARAMIS study of darolutamide (DARO) added to androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) for nonmetastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (nmCRPC). *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2020, 38, 5514.
96. Hird, A.E.; Magee, D.E.; Bhindi, B.; Ye, X.Y.; Chandrasekar, T.; Goldberg, H.; Klotz, L.; Fleshner, N.; Satkunasivam, R.; Klaassen, Z.; et al. A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis of Novel Androgen Receptor Inhibitors in Non-metastatic Castration-resistant Prostate Cancer. *Clin. Genitourin. Cancer* 2020, 18, 343–350.
97. Martineau, G.; Foulon, S.; Eymard, J.-C.; Loriot, Y.; Baciarello, G.; Berdah, J.F.; Helissey, C.; Lavaud, P.; Joly, F.; Zaghdoud, N.; et al. ODENZA: A study of patient preference between ODM-201 (darolutamide) and enzalutamide in men with metastatic castrate-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC). *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2018, 36, TPS5093.
98. Powers, E.; Karachaliou, G.S.; Kao, C.; Harrison, M.R.; Hoimes, C.J.; George, D.J.; Armstrong, A.J.; Zhang, T. Novel therapies are changing treatment paradigms in metastatic prostate cancer. *J. Hematol. Oncol.* 2020, 13, 1–13.
99. Heidegger, I.; Brandt, M.P.; Heck, M.M. Treatment of non-metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer in 2020: What is the best? *Urol. Oncol. Semin. Orig. Investig.* 2020, 38, 129–136.
100. Mori, A.; Hashimoto, K.; Koroki, Y.; Wu, D.B.-C.; Masumori, N. The correlation between metastasis-free survival and overall survival in non-metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer patients from the Medical Data Vision claims database in Japan. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* 2019, 35, 1745–1750.
101. Small, E.J.; Saad, F.; Chowdhury, S.; Oudard, S.; Hadaschik, B.A.; Graff, J.N.; Olmos, D.; Mainwaring, P.N.; Lee, J.Y.; Uemura, H.; et al. Final survival results from SPARTAN, a phase III study of apalutamide (APA) versus placebo (PBO) in patients (pts) with nonmetastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (nmCRPC). *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2020, 38, 5516.

Retrieved from <https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/20147>