High-Flow Nasal Therapy

Subjects: Others Contributor: Massa Zantah

High-flow nasal therapy (HFNT) is a unique system that delivers humidified, heated oxygen-enriched air via nasal cannula at high flow rates. It is a promising therapy for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) patients. Several studies have examined the physiologic effects of this therapy in the patient population and have revealed that it improves mucociliary clearance, reduces nasopharyngeal dead space, and subsequently increases CO2 washout. It also improves alveolar recruitment and gas exchange. These mechanisms may explain the promising results observed in recently published studies that examined the role of HFNT in stable COPD patients.

Keywords: COPD ; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease ; high flow nasal cannula ; HFNC

1. Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is one of the leading causes of mortality in the United States and worldwide. It is also considered a leading cause of disability, imposing an enormous burden on the US healthcare system. COPD prevalence, morbidity, and mortality vary across countries and across different groups within countries. The prevalence and burden are projected to increase over the coming decades due to continued exposure to COPD risk factors and aging of the world's population. Unsurprisingly, the cost of care for COPD patients is strongly correlated with disease severity ^{[1][2]}.

The disease is characterized by ventilatory limitation, leading to symptoms of airflow obstruction, including dyspnea, coughing, and excessive sputum production. As a result of this disease, patients may suffer from chronic hypoxemic and hypercapnic respiratory failure, or both, and are at risk of acute exacerbation events (AE) ^{[3][4]}. Current COPD pharmacotherapy includes bronchodilators, antibiotics, and corticosteroids. Despite the aggressive use of these agents in advanced COPD, many patients still fail to achieve adequate symptom control, improve airflow limitations, or reduce the risk of exacerbation. This has prompted a search for new treatment modalities that may help improve patients' quality of life and reduce COPD morbidity and mortality.

Both long-term oxygen therapy (LTOT) and noninvasive ventilation (NIV) have been studied and are indicated in certain subgroups of COPD patients. Issues related to side effects and device compliance may limit the use of these interventions [SIGITIBID]

High-flow nasal therapy (HFNT) is a non-invasive respiratory support that supplies heated, humidified, oxygen-enriched air through a nasal cannula at high flow rates (up to 60 L per minute) ^[10]. Multiple studies have investigated the physiologic mechanisms that may explain some of the potential benefits of this modality. Some of the effects include the improvement of lung mucociliary clearance, flow-induced increase in airway pressure, the washout of upper-airway dead space, and reduction in dead-space ventilation ^{[11][12]}. In recent years, HFNT has gained popularity among intensivists treating patients with acute respiratory failure. To date, studies evaluating HFNT in COPD patients have mainly focused on those suffering from severe disease and those who require supplemental oxygen therapy ^{[13][14][15]}. Few studies have evaluated the effect of this modality on chronic hypercapnia ^{[16][17]}. In this article, we review the available evidence for using HFNT in stable COPD patients and focus on the mechanisms that may explain some of the promising results.

2. Nasal High-Flow Device and Gas Delivery

The nasal high-flow device is a humidifier with integrated flow generator that delivers high-flow warmed and humidified respiratory gases to patients through a variety of patient interfaces. It is intended for the treatment of spontaneously breathing patients who would benefit from receiving high-flow warmed and humidified respiratory gases. The device can be used on hospitalized patients with the intent of reducing the need for mechanical ventilation or on patients at home or

in long-term care facilities. Flow rates may be adjusted from 2 to 60 L/min depending on the patient interface. There are a few expected side effects of using the device—if the humidification is too low, the subject may experience nasal and throat discomfort or epistaxis.

While other interfaces can be used, HFNT is frequently delivered using a nasal cannula. Cannulae come in different sizes, and one is selected based on patient comfort. There are a few side effects of using the nasal cannula, which are generally limited to some minor irritation or sensitivity where the cannula sits on the skin ^[10].

3. Physiologic Mechanisms of Benefits of HFNT in COPD Patients

HFNT has pleiotropic effects that improve work of breathing and oxygenation in those with respiratory failure. These include nasopharyngeal dead-space clearance, alveolar recruitment, improved gas exchange, mucociliary clearance, and improvement in dyspnea.

3.1. Dead Space and CO₂ Washout

Physiologic dead space in a normally functioning lung is near 30% and this can rise substantially in various disease states $\frac{[18]}{2}$. HFNT results in nasopharyngeal dead-space clearance with a "washout" of expired air in the upper airways $\frac{[19]}{2}$. Clearing CO₂ from that dead space can improve ventilatory efficiency. Studies using inhaled inert gas show rapid clearance of the upper airway in a dose-dependent manner with increasing high flow rates. This has been demonstrated in both airway models and in test subjects ^{[20][21]}. Interestingly, the effect of HFNT on CO₂ washout may extend to the trachea and lower airways and is also flow dependent. This was demonstrated in an experiment by Bräunlich et al. on an animal lung model [22]. This is an important mechanism that may explain the potential therapeutic effect of HFNT in treating hypercapnia. In fact, when compared to NIV, a study found that for HFNT use in stable hypercapnic COPD patients, there were no significant differences achieved in PaCO₂ levels after treatment with either device [17]. Furthermore, the reductions in dead-space ventilation with using nasal high flow was found to be dependent on the physiologic, rather than the anatomic, dead-space volume. Biselli et al. conducted a study using HFNT on healthy controls and subjects with COPD during sleep (flow of 20 L/min and for intermittent periods of 5-10 min), using transcutaneous carbon dioxide monitoring under a metabolic hood. The study found similar responses to HFNT in both groups, with a significant decrease in dead-space ventilation (2.5 \pm 0.4 L/min to 1.6 \pm 0.4 L/min, p < 0.05) without a change in alveolar ventilation. The reduction in dead-space ventilation correlated with baseline physiologic dead-space fraction ($r^2 = 0.36$, p < 0.360.05) but not respiratory rate or anatomic dead space volume ^[23]. This may explain why patients with COPD variable responses to HFNT may have, as it may be related to the degree of air trapping, hyperinflation, and subsequent physiologic dead space.

The above effects of HFNT on stable COPD patients during sleep were found to be superior to those of low-flow nocturnal oxygen. When compared to low-flow oxygen (2 L/min), room air delivered by HFNT (20 L/min) produced a greater reduction in tidal volume, and as a result, minute ventilation and work of breathing were both reduced and transcutaneous CO_2 levels were lowered ^[24]. As expected, oxygen saturation improved with low-flow oxygen, but there was a clinically insignificant decrease in oxygen saturation with room air delivered by HFNT.

3.2. The Effect of Positive End-Expiratory Pressure

Alveolar recruitment can also be achieved with HFNT due to the positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) effect at higher flow rates. Spontaneously breathing volunteers with closed mouths wearing a HFNT device are reported to have higher tidal volumes ^[25]. The amount of PEEP measured is proportional to the flow rate with an increase of PEEP of nearly 0.7 cm H₂O with every 10 L/min increase in flow rate ^{[26][27]}. As flow rates reach 60 L/min, the PEEP can be close to 5 cm H₂O when measured by esophageal manometry ^[28]. This translates to increased end-expiratory lung volumes when measured by electrical impedance ^[29].

3.3. Attenuation of Inspiratory Effort

Another important physiologic effect of HFNT on patients with COPD is the reduction in inspiratory effort. This effect is flow dependent and is comparable to NIV. A study by Rittayamai et al. examined using HFNT at flow rates increasing from 10 to 50 L/min on 12 hypercapnic COPD patients in mild to moderate exacerbation and after initial stabilization on NIV. The study found that using HFNT at 30 L/min significantly reduced inspiratory effort (as estimated by simplified esophageal pressure–time product, sPTP_{es}), similar to NIV delivered at modest levels of pressure support ^[30]. The reduction in inspiratory effort translates into decreased work of breathing. Furthermore, a physiologic study conducted by Mussi et al. on patients with acute exacerbation of COPD found that the use of HFNT led to a significant reduction in the neuroventilatory drive (as measured by electrical diaphragmatic activity) and work of breathing compared to conventional

oxygen therapy (COT) ^[31]. Similarly, a study by Longhini et al. found that HFNT maintains the diaphragmatic displacement and improves patient comfort during periods of NIV interruption compared to COT in COPD patients with acute hypercapnic respiratory failure ^[32].

3.4. Potential Effects on Gas Exchange

Improved alveolar ventilation as a part of minute ventilation and alveolar recruitment from PEEP effects are likely major factors in the improvement in gas exchange noted with HFNT use. In patients immediately post extubation, HFNT was associated with improved PaO₂/FiO₂, comfort with oxygen delivery device, less oxygen desaturation and need for reintubation when compared to non-rebreathing oxygen masks ^{[33][34]}. Studies on patients presenting to an emergency department with acute hypoxic respiratory failure show that the use of HFNT was associated with higher rates of recovery from respiratory failure and significantly higher PaO₂ levels, when compared to COT ^[35].

3.5. Improvement in Mucociliary Clearance

The flow rates of HFNT necessitate warming and humidification to prevent drying of mucous membranes. The efficiency of humidification is significantly increased at flow rates above 20 L/min and plateaus when reaching rates of 40 L/min or above ^[36]. For patient tolerability and for improved humidification, HFNT systems have their temperatures set to around 37 °C, close to the patient's core temperature. The warm and humid HFNT air-gas mixture keeps mucous from becoming overly desiccated and improves mucociliary clearance ^[37].

3.6. Effects on Dyspnea and Work of Breathing

All of these effects contribute to the improvement in dyspnea and user comfort noted with HFNT use. Decreased accessory respiratory muscle use and respiratory rate is seen in HFNT compared to COT ^{[38][39]}. In patients with acute hypoxic respiratory failure, HFNT was associated with significant improvement in dyspnea and less discomfort when compared to non-invasive ventilation ^[40]. HFNT is also associated with improved exercise tolerance and rapid shallow breathing index compared to COT ^[41].

References

- 1. World Health Organization. Available online: https://www.who.int/ (accessed on 22 November 2020).
- 2. World Health Organization. Global Burden of Disease Website. 2020. Available online: https://www.who.int/topics/global_burden_of_disease/ (accessed on 22 November 2020).
- GOLD. Global Strategy for the Diagnosis, Management and Prevention of COPD; Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD): Fontana, WI, USA, 2018. Available online: https://goldcopd.org/ (accessed on 22 November 2020).
- 4. COPD National Action Plan NHLBI, NIH. Available online: https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/health-topics/education-and-awareness/COPD-national-action-plan (accessed on 22 November 2020).
- 5. Zieliński, J.; Tobiasz, M.; Hawrytkiewicz, I.; Sliviński, P.; Palasiewicz, G. Effects of long-term oxygen therapy on pulmonary hemodynamics in COPD patients a 6-year prospective study. Chest 1998, 113, 65–70.
- 6. Dubois, P.; Jamart, J.; Machiels, J.; Smeets, F.; Lulling, J. Prognosis of severely hypoxemic patients receiving long-term oxygen therapy. Chest 1994, 105, 469–474.
- 7. Duiverman, M.L.; Wempe, J.B.; Bladder, G.; Vonk, J.M.; Zijlstra, J.G.; Kerstjens, H.A.M.; Wijkstra, P.J. Two-year homebased nocturnal noninvasive ventilation added to rehabilitation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients: A randomized controlled trial. Respir. Res. 2011, 12, 112.
- Köhnlein, T.; Windisch, W.; Köhler, D.; Drabik, A.; Geiseler, J.; Hartl, S.; Karg, O.; Laier-Groeneveld, G.; Nava, S.; Schönhofer, B.; et al. Non-invasive positive pressure ventilation for the treatment of severe stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A prospective, multicentre, randomised, controlled clinical trial. Lancet Respir. Med. 2014, 2, 698– 705.
- 9. The Long-Term Oxygen Treatment Trial Research Group. A randomized trial of long-term oxygen for COPD with moderate desaturation. N. Engl. J. Med. 2016, 375, 1617–1627.
- 10. Nishimura, M. High-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy devices. Respir. Care 2019, 64, 735-742.
- 11. Pisani, L.; Vega, M.L. Use of nasal high flow in stable COPD: Rationale and physiology. COPD J. Chronic Obstr. Pulm. Dis. 2017, 14, 1–5.

- 12. Mauri, T.; Turrini, C.; Eronia, N.; Grasselli, G.; Volta, C.A.; Bellani, G.; Pesenti, A. Physiologic effects of high-flow nasal cannula in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure. Am. J. Resp. Crit. Care 2017, 195, 1207–1215.
- 13. Lin, S.-M.; Liu, K.-X.; Lin, Z.-H.; Lin, P.-H. Does high-flow nasal cannula oxygen improve outcome in acute hypoxemic respiratory failure? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Resp. Med. 2017, 131, 58–64.
- Fraser, J.F.; Spooner, A.J.; Dunster, K.R.; Anstey, C.M.; Corley, A. Nasal high flow oxygen therapy in patients with COPD reduces respiratory rate and tissue carbon dioxide while increasing tidal and end-expiratory lung volumes: A randomised crossover trial. Thorax 2016, 71, 759.
- Storgaard, L.H.; Hockey, H.-U.; Laursen, B.S.; Weinreich, U.M. Long-term effects of oxygen-enriched high-flow nasal cannula treatment in COPD patients with chronic hypoxemic respiratory failure. Int. J. Chronic Obstr. Pulm. Dis. 2018, 13, 1195–1205.
- Bräunlich, J.; Dellweg, D.; Bastian, A.; Budweiser, S.; Randerath, W.; Triché, D.; Bachmann, M.; Kähler, C.; Bayarassou, A.H.; Mäder, I.; et al. Nasal high-flow versus noninvasive ventilation in patients with chronic hypercapnic COPD. Int. J. Chronic Obstr. Pulm. Dis. 2019, 14, 1411–1421.
- 17. Bräunlich, J.; Seyfarth, H.-J.; Wirtz, H. Nasal High-flow versus non-invasive ventilation in stable hypercapnic COPD: A preliminary report. Multidiscip. Resp. Med. 2015, 10, 27.
- 18. Robertson, H.T. Dead space: The physiology of wasted ventilation. Eur. Respir. J. 2015, 45, 1704–1716.
- Frizzola, M.; Miller, T.L.; Rodriguez, M.E.; Zhu, Y.; Rojas, J.; Hesek, A.; Stump, A.; Shaffer, T.H.; Dysart, K. High-flow nasal cannula: Impact on oxygenation and ventilation in an acute lung injury model. Pediatr. Pulmonol. 2011, 46, 67– 74.
- 20. Möller, W.; Celik, G.; Feng, S.; Bartenstein, P.; Meyer, G.; Eickelberg, O.; Schmid, O.; Tatkov, S. Nasal high flow clears anatomical dead space in upper airway models. J. Appl. Physiol. 2015, 118, 1525–1532.
- 21. Möller, W.; Feng, S.; Domanski, U.; Franke, K.-J.; Celik, G.; Bartenstein, P.; Becker, S.; Meyer, G.; Schmid, O.; Eickelberg, O.; et al. Nasal high flow reduces dead space. J. Appl. Physiol. 2017, 122, 191–197.
- 22. Bräunlich, J.; Goldner, F.; Wirtz, H. Nasal highflow eliminates CO2 from lower airways. Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol. 2017, 242, 86–88.
- 23. Biselli, P.; Fricke, K.; Grote, L.; Braun, A.T.; Kirkness, J.; Smith, P.; Schwartz, A.; Schneider, H. Reductions in dead space ventilation with nasal high flow depend on physiological dead space volume: Metabolic hood measurements during sleep in patients with COPD and controls. Eur. Respir. J. 2018, 51, 1702251.
- 24. Biselli, P.J.C.; Kirkness, J.P.; Grote, L.; Fricke, K.; Schwartz, A.R.; Smith, P.L.; Schneider, H. Nasal high-flow therapy reduces work of breathing compared with oxygen during sleep in COPD and smoking controls: A prospective observational study. J. Appl. Physiol. 2017, 122, 82–88.
- Okuda, M.; Tanaka, N.; Naito, K.; Kumada, T.; Fukuda, K.; Kato, Y.; Kido, Y.; Okuda, Y.; Nohara, R. Evaluation by various methods of the physiological mechanism of a high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) in healthy volunteers. BMJ Open Respir. Res. 2017, 4, e000200.
- 26. Parke, R.L.; McGuinness, S.P. Pressures delivered by nasal high flow oxygen during all phases of the respiratory cycle. Respir. Care 2013, 58, 1621–1624.
- 27. Parke, R.; Eccleston, M.L.; McGuinness, S.P. The effects of flow on airway pressure during nasal high-flow oxygen therapy. Respir. Care. 2011, 56, 1151–1155.
- 28. Spoletini, G.; Alotaibi, M.; Blasi, F.; Hill, N.S. Heated humidified high-flow nasal oxygen in adults. Chest 2015, 148, 253–261.
- 29. Corley, A.; Caruana, L.R.; Barnett, A.G.; Tronstad, O.; Fraser, J.F. Oxygen delivery through high-flow nasal cannulae increase end-expiratory lung volume and reduce respiratory rate in post-cardiac surgical patients. Br. J. Anaesth. 2011, 107, 998–1004.
- 30. Rittayamai, N.; Phuangchoei, P.; Tscheikuna, J.; Praphruetkit, N.; Brochard, L. Effects of high-flow nasal cannula and non-invasive ventilation on inspiratory effort in hypercapnic patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A preliminary study. Ann. Intensive Care 2019, 9, 122.
- 31. Di Mussi, R.; Spadaro, S.; Stripoli, T.; Volta, C.A.; Trerotoli, P.; Pierucci, P.; Staffieri, F.; Bruno, F.; Camporota, L.; Grasso, S. High-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy decreases postextubation neuroventilatory drive and work of breathing in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Crit. Care 2018, 22, 180.
- 32. Longhini, F.; Pisani, L.; Lungu, R.; Comellini, V.; Bruni, A.; Garofalo, E.; Vega, M.L.; Cammarota, G.; Nava, S.; Navalesi, P. High-flow oxygen therapy after noninvasive ventilation interruption in patients recovering from hypercapnic acute respiratory failure: A physiological crossover trial. Crit. Care Med. 2019, 47, e506–e511.

- 33. Brotfain, E.; Zlotnik, A.; Schwartz, A.; Frenkel, A.; Koyfman, L.; Gruenbaum, S.E.; Klein, M. Comparison of the effectiveness of high flow nasal oxygen cannula vs. standard non-rebreather oxygen face mask in post-extubation intensive care unit patients. Isr. Med. Assoc. J. IMAJ 2014, 16, 718–722.
- Maggiore, S.M.; Idone, F.A.; Vaschetto, R.; Festa, R.; Cataldo, A.; Antonicelli, F.; Montini, L.; De Gaetano, A.; Navalesi, P.; Antonelli, M. Nasal high-flow versus venturi mask oxygen therapy after extubation. effects on oxygenation, comfort, and clinical outcome. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2014, 190, 282–288.
- 35. Macé, J.; Marjanovic, N.; Faranpour, F.; Mimoz, O.; Frerebeau, M.; Violeau, M.; Bourry, P.-A.; Guénézan, J.; Thille, A.W.; Frat, J.-P. Early high-flow nasal cannula oxygen therapy in adults with acute hypoxemic respiratory failure in the ED: A before-after study. Am. J. Emerg. Med. 2019, 37, 2091–2096.
- 36. Chikata, Y.; Izawa, M.; Okuda, N.; Itagaki, T.; Nakataki, E.; Onodera, M.; Imanaka, H.; Nishimura, M. Humidification performance of two high-flow nasal cannula devices: A bench study. Respir. Care 2014, 59, 1186–1190.
- 37. Hasani, A.; Chapman, T.; McCool, D.; Smith, R.; Dilworth, J.; Agnew, J. Domiciliary humidification improves lung mucociliary clearance in patients with bronchiectasis. Chronic Respir. Dis. 2008, 5, 81–86.
- 38. Itagaki, T.; Okuda, N.; Tsunano, Y.; Kohata, H.; Nakataki, E.; Onodera, M.; Imanaka, H.; Nishimura, M. Effect of highflow nasal cannula on thoraco-abdominal synchrony in adult critically ill patients. Respir. Care 2014, 59, 70–74.
- Bell, N.; Hutchinson, C.L.; Green, T.C.; Rogan, E.; Bein, K.J.; Dinh, M.M. Randomised control trial of humidified high flow nasal cannulae versus standard oxygen in the emergency department. Emerg. Med. Australas. 2015, 27, 537– 541.
- 40. Schwabbauer, N.; Berg, B.; Blumenstock, G.; Haap, M.M.; Hetzel, J.R.; Riessen, R. Nasal high–flow oxygen therapy in patients with hypoxic respiratory failure: Effect on functional and subjective respiratory parameters compared to conventional oxygen therapy and non-invasive ventilation (NIV). BMC Anesthesiol. 2014, 14, 66.
- 41. Chatila, W.; Nugent, T.; Vance, G.; Gaughan, J.; Criner, G.J. The effects of high-flow vs low-flow oxygen on exercise in advanced obstructive airways disease. Chest 2004, 126, 1108–1115.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/10793