Plant-Derived Type I Ribosome Inactivating Protein-Based Targeted Toxins

Subjects: Oncology Contributor: David J. Flavell, Sopsamorn U. Flavell

Targeted toxins (TT) for cancer treatment are a class of hybrid biologic comprised of a targeting domain coupled chemically or genetically to a proteinaceous toxin payload. The targeting domain of the TT recognises and binds to a defined target molecule on the cancer cell surface, thereby delivering the toxin that is then required to internalise to an appropriate intracellular compartment in order to kill the target cancer cell.

Keywords: targeted toxins ; ribosome inactivating proteins

1. Introduction

Targeted toxins (TT) are, by definition, hybrid macromolecules that, through ligand recognition via an engineered binding domain, deliver a protein toxin payload to a target cell bearing the cognate ligand. The targeting domain of TTs can take several forms that include, but are not exclusive to, antibody-derived fragments, growth factors, cytokines and peptide sequences. First- and second-generation TTs were constructed using a variety of chemical conjugation methods. Subsequent later generation TTs are now more commonly constructed through the creation of chimeric fusion proteins using recombinant technology ^[1]. Early generation TTs suffered from inconsistent structural issues that led to the generation of a heterogeneous mixture of TT molecules ^[2]. This was a side effect of the semi-random nature of the basic chemical conjugation processes employed at the time. The presence of a heterogeneous mixture of molecular species in the final product made accurate toxicological and clinical evaluations of these therapeutic entities difficult. This molecular heterogeneity problem encountered in generation I and II TTs was largely overcome through the use of recombinant technology ^{[1][4]}.

2. Saporin-Based TTs

The ribosome inactivating protein (RIP) saporin is derived from the soapwort plant (*Saponaria officianalis*) and is richly present in the seeds and leaves in a variety of different isoforms, the SO6 isoform from seeds being the most abundant and potent ^[5]. Only relatively few clinical studies with saporin-based TTs have been conducted and published, and the salient features of these studies together with those of three unpublished studies are briefly described here. All the described studies have used the SO6 isoform of saporin and mostly demonstrate acceptable toxicity profiles with some positive indications of TT activity against the diseases for which each was designed.

2.1. BER-H2-SO6 Immunotoxin (Anti-CD30)

The very first published clinical study with a saporin-based IT was described for patients with advanced therapy refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma patients by Falini and co-workers in 1992 ^[6]. These workers employed an anti-CD30 whole IgG1 BER-H2 murine antibody chemically conjugated to the SO6 isoform of saporin, yielding an IT named BER-H2-SO6 ^[Z]. BER-H2-SO6 IT, as described in previous in vitro and in vivo preclinical studies, shows selective and potent cytotoxicity for CD30⁺ cell lines ^{[Z][8]}, making this a good candidate therapeutic molecule for the treatment of Hodgkin's disease (HD) and CD30⁺ large cell lymphomas ^{[9][10]}. Initially, a small series of four Hodgkin's lymphoma patients with bulky advanced refractory disease were described following treatment with one single (0.8 mg/kg body weight) or two fractionated doses of 0.6 and 0.2 mg/kg given i.v. on days 1 and 7. In three of the patients, rapid and substantial reductions in all tumour masses ranging from 50 to 100% was observed, which persisted for 6–10 weeks; following which, tumour regrowth occurred at the original sites, with the exception of skin lesions on one patient. One patient treated with the fractionated doses on days 1 and 7 showed complete regression and was alive 10 months posttreatment. BER-H2-SO6 had significant antitumour activity with minimal systemic toxicity at the dose levels employed, the major issues being transient hepatotoxicity and thrombocytopenia in one patient with no instances of overt vascular leak syndrome (VLS). Human antimouse antibodies (HAMA) and human anti-saporin antibodies (HASA) were reported to occur in all four patients. This

initial group of patients was then expanded to a total of 12, and the outline results of this study were reported briefly in a review paper and as a meeting abstract [11][12]. In the expanded phase I study, HD patients were treated with BER-H2-SO6 at dose levels ranging from 0.2 to 0.8 mg/m² given as a single bolus injection. Rapid reductions in tumour masses ranging from 50% to >75% were reported in 40% of treated patients [12]. The average duration of the response was reported as 8 weeks, with side effects seen in 70% of treated patients that included fever, myalgias and VLS and four- to five-fold transient increases in the liver enzymes ALT and AST. The MTD was established as 0.8 mg/m² based on a grade III VLS episode characterised by a pericardial effusion and pulmonary oedema. Despite these very promising phase I results reported for BER-H2-SO6, there are no further published reports of therapeutic clinical studies with this IT.

2.2. Bispecific Antibodies Targeting CD19 and CD22 in Chronic Lymphocytic and Lymphoma Patients

An alternative to the immunotoxin approach is to use a bispecific antibody (BsAb) to deliver saporin to a target cell population. In this instance, one Fab arm of the BsAb is directed against an epitope on the saporin molecule and the other Fab arm against an antigen on the target cell surface. The BsAb attaches univalently to the cell surface and forms a bridge to saporin that is internalised by receptor-mediated endocytosis (RME). In general, RME proceeds more efficiently when adjacent equivalent target molecules are co-ligated on the cell surface. Since BsAbs only attach to a target molecule univalently, internalisation is less efficient and can be significantly influenced by the characteristics of a particular target molecule, with some internalising better than others ^[13]. The authors of the clinical studies cited below sought to overcome this issue by using a combination of two different BsAbs that allowed for the cross-linking of two adjacent CD22 molecules via a saporin bridge.

The first description of a study to use a BsAb for saporin delivery in a clinical context was reported by Bonardi and coworkers in 1992 ^[14]. In this instance, BsAbs constructed with murine antibodies containing one Fab arm against either CD19 or CD22 and the other against saporin were investigated in just two patients with either end stage B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL) or B-cell follicle centre centrocytic/centroblastic lymphoma.

In the case of the one CLL patient, BsAb RFB-9 × Sap1 was administered as four separate bolus injections given together with escalating doses (1, 2, 3 and 4 mg) of the SO6 isoform of saporin on days 0, 7, 28 and 42, totalling 100 mg BsAb and 10 mg of SO6 saporin over a 42-day period. No therapeutic effect was observed in this patient, and neither a HAMA or HASA response was detected over the duration of the study. There were no marked toxic side effects other than a mild headache, flu-like symptoms and a transient rise in temperature post-BsAb/saporin administration.

The second patient with B-cell follicle centre lymphoma was treated with three semi-escalating doses of SO6 saporin (1, 2 and 2 mg on days 0, 7 and 14) administered together with equal amounts of two different BsAbs (HD6 × anti-Sap5 and 4KB128 × Sap1) to a total BsAb dose level of 50 mg. Each BsAb possessed one Fab arm against human CD22 and the other Fab arm against saporin with the two different BsAbs, recognising distinctly different epitopes on the extracellular domain of CD22 and saporin. This combination of two different BsAbs allows for cooperative binding on the target cell surface via adjacent CD22 molecules and a resultant saporin bridge, as described by French et al. ^[15]. This mixture was administered as a 2-h i.v. infusion in 100 mL saline. No toxicities were observed other than some minor irritation in the administering vein during the infusion. There was a marked ten-fold reduction in circulating peripheral lymphocytes over the 27-day period of the study, with a complete resolution of ascites by the third treatment, together with reduction in the size of presumptively involved inguinal lymph nodes. By day 27, the patient developed a HAMA response that precluded any further treatment with BsAb.

The same group later reported a clinical study in two separate publications that described the same patients: four lowgrade B-cell lymphomas ^[16] and the same four patients plus one additional B-CLL patient ^[15]. This study used a combination of a pair of BsAbs, each directed against CD22 in one Fab arm and saporin in the other but with each recognising a different nonoverlapping epitope on the CD22 and saporin molecules. This therefore theoretically allowed for the cross-linking of adjacent CD22 molecules on the cell surface via a saporin bridge, as described in previous preclinical work of his group. This effect gives rise to the greater binding affinity to both CD22 and saporin, with potential improvements in target cell internalisation of the BsAb-Saporin complex (see French et al. ^[15] for full details). In this clinical study, BsAbs and the SO6 isoform of saporin were premixed in a 3:1 molar ratio 24 h before administration to patients as a 1-h i.v. infusion at dose levels between 2 mg to 4 mg SO6 given weekly for between 3 and 6 weeks, except for a fifth patient who received the first three doses on a daily basis. The authors reported that the toxicities were minimal, with grade 2 myalgia and malaise being the most common. Local reversible erythematous inflammation along the vein used for administration of the BsAb/SO6 mixture was reported for two patients and occurred within 6 h of administration. Minor rises in the serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and creatinine levels were observed in two patients. A HAMA and HATA response was seen in only one patient at 14 and 21 days post-infusion, respectively. All five patients were reported to exhibit rapid and significant responses to the extent that at least a 50% reduction in a measurable disease was apparent in the week following their last treatment. However, all the therapeutic effects that included the elimination of circulating tumour cells, lymph node size reductions and improved bone marrow status, together with the elimination of pleural and ascitic effusions in two patients, were transient, since no response was sustained at this level for more than 28 days following the cessation of treatment, after which tumour progression occurred. Although different doses of saporin/BsAb were administered to a small group of patients, this study cannot be classified as a true dose escalation trial, and the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was never established. It is therefore fair to say that the dose regime used was not optimal, and better longer-lasting therapeutic effects may be achievable with a dose schedule regime based on a true MTD. Nevertheless, this limited study showed that this approach gave demonstrably substantial selective cytotoxic effects against the target antigen-bearing tumour cells, leading the authors to conclude that this warranted further clinical investigation.

2.3. Phase I Study of the Anti-CD19 IT BU12-SAPORIN in B-Cell Follicular Lymphoma

BU12-SAPORIN is an IT comprised of the intact murine anti-human CD19 IgG_1 antibody, BU12, chemically conjugated to the SO6 isoform of saporin. BU12-Saporin has demonstrable selective cytotoxicity against CD19⁺ leukaemia and lymphoma cell lines both in vitro and in vivo ^[17]. CD19 is found on the surfaces of all normal B-lymphocytes and the majority of B-cell malignancies but is not expressed by any other normal tissues, making it an ideal target for the majority of B-cell malignancies ^[18].

The safety and tolerability of BU12-SAPORIN was evaluated in eight adult B-cell lymphoma patients with advanced relapsed/refractory disease in a phase I dose escalation study ^[19]. Cohorts of three patients each received a dose of either 30, 60 or 120 μ g/m²/day for seven days administered as a one-hour saline infusion. The study failed to establish the MTD only because of early closure due patient recruitment difficulties at the two participating centres. There were no definable complete responses (CRs) or partial responses (PRs), but one patient treated at the 120 mcg/m² dose level showed a complete clearance of lymphoma cells from bone marrow. The only tangible toxicity observed was transient hepatotoxicity in patients treated at the 60 and 120 mcg/m² dose levels that resolved spontaneously after cessation of treatment. There were no recorded cases of hypoalbuminemia or overt VLS. Despite the fact that BU12-SAPORIN is comprised of two unmodified non-human proteins (mouse IgG₁ antibody and saporin), none of the treated patients developed HAMA or HASA responses to the IT, as measured over the duration of the study. This is surprising but can probably be accounted for by the fact that all were likely severely immunocompromised following heavy pre-treatment with prior cytotoxic therapy. Though this phase I study was terminated prematurely before a MTD was established, it did demonstrate that, for this limited size cohort, BU12-SAPORIN was safe to use up to a dose level of 120 μ g/m²/day. The safety data that this limited phase I study provided subsequently paved the way for the approval of a phase I dose escalation study with BU12-SAPORIN in paediatric patients with CD19⁺ pre-B-cell ALL described in next section.

2.4. Phase I Study with BU12-SAPORIN in Relapsed Paediatric Pre-B-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia

On the basis of the safety and toxicity profile for BU12-SAPORIN acquired from the phase I study in adults with B-cell lymphomas described above, a separate phase I trial was designed and initiated in paediatric patients with relapsed or refractory pre-B-cell ALL under UKCCSG protocol NAG 2001-01 [20]. A total of five patients were recruited, all with advanced disease and all with a history of multiple relapses and/or chemotherapy refractory disease. In this dose escalation study, a starting dose of 240 mcg/m²/day for a five-day course of treatment was set and administered i.v. each day as an infusion in 100 mL of normal saline. Of the five patients entered onto the study, only two received the full dose over five days. The remaining three patients were withdrawn before receiving a full course of treatment because of complications due to a rapidly progressive disease or, in one case, to non-drug-related declining serum albumin levels. There were no drug-related adverse events or obvious toxicities and no evidence of VLS in any patient. There were no obvious disease responses, and all patients eventually succumbed to their disease, with survival times ranging from 10 days to 14 weeks following the cessation of BU12-SAPORIN treatment. The limited number of blood samples available posttreatment for each patient made an accurate evaluation of HAMA/HASA responses difficult, though suffice it to say, no immune responses against BU12-SAPORIN were detected in this small cohort of patients. The extremely friable and poor state of this patient population made the study extremely difficult to conduct and evaluate, and the investigators decided to close the trial prematurely and redesign the protocol to include a block of reinduction chemotherapy prior to receiving BU12-SAPORIN treatment. The rationale here was that having patients in CR or PR prior to receiving BU12-SAPORIN would help prevent patients from rapidly deteriorating due to disease progression, as experienced in the first study, thereby making drug safety evaluation more straightforward and accurate. However, following the redesign of the study,

drug supply issues arose, because a new European Union Clinical Trial Directive required the use of a full cGMP compliant drug that was not readily available, and unfortunately, the redesigned study was never implemented.

2.5. Phase I Study of the Anti-CD38 IT OKT10-SAPORIN in Multiple Myeloma

OKT10-SAPORIN is a chemically constructed IT directed against the CD38 molecule found on a variety of normal lymphoid and other cell types. CD38 is highly expressed on normal and malignant plasma cells, making this a particularly attractive target in multiple myeloma (MM). Preclinical studies have shown that OKT10-SAPORIN is selectively cytotoxic for malignant B- and T-lymphocytes in vitro and in vivo in animal models [21][22]. A phase I dose escalation study [23] was conducted in a cohort of ten patients with CD38⁺ multiple myeloma. The primary purpose was to determine the safety, toxicity and pharmacokinetic profiles together with an MTD for OKT10-SAPORIN, with the secondary purpose of evaluating any disease response. Prior to the commencement of this formal phase I study under the UK's former Doctor and Dentist Exemption (DDX) certificate study, four patients had already received OKT10-SAPORIN on an informally named patient basis, and this identified visual disturbances as being a potential side effect for this IT. Accordingly, special ophthalmological investigations were incorporated into the formal phase I study protocol [23] in order to evaluate patients prior to and after receiving OKT10-SAPORIN for any visual abnormalities. In this dose escalation study, cohorts of three MM patients with heavily pre-treated advanced disease received doses of 20, 30 and 40mcg/kg/day for a five-day course given as an i.v. infusion in 100 mL normal saline. A tenth patient also received OKT10-SAPORIN at the 40 mcg/kg/day dose level. Two patients treated at the 40 mcg/kg dose level withdrew from the study after three and four doses, respectively, because of blurred vision or raised liver function tests in the former case and after the fourth dose in the patient with blurred vision at their own request. The most common clinical adverse features were post-infusion pyrexia, fatigue with flu-like symptoms and nausea. Three patients experienced blurred vision during treatment. Biochemically, the most common adverse feature considered to very likely be due to the IT was hepatotoxicity (grades 1-3) experienced by all patients but which completely resolved following the cessation of treatment. Other less common toxicities included hypoalbuminemia, hyponatraemia, thrombocytopaenia, neutropenia and lymphopenia, all of which resolved spontaneously. There were no dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs), and the MTD was not established in this study. Only seven patients were evaluable for HAMA/HASA responses, and six (86%) of these seroconverted to become HAMA-positive. None of the seven made a detectable HASA response. Treatment with OKT10-SAPORIN at any of the dose levels gave no discernible improvement in the disease status for any of the patients. Unfortunately, the study was paused for almost a year over concerns about inappropriate tissue targeting, which was later shown to be unfounded but from which the study was not able to recover.

2.6. Phase I Study of a SubstanceP-Saporin TT in Pain Control for Cancer Patients

A novel approach for the use of a substanceP-saporin conjugate (SP-SAP) ^[24] to control the intractable pain in cancer patients was described by Frankel et al. in 2014 ^[25]. SP-SAP is a neuromodulator that binds to neurones, expressing NK-1 receptors normally found in the dorsal root of the spinal cord. Preclinical studies of SP-SAP in rats have demonstrated reductions in chemically or thermally induced pain, hyperalgesia and mechanical allodynia without any motor, sensory or behavioural dysfunction. Additionally, SP-SAP has been shown to reduce bone pain in dogs when injected intrathecally into the lumbar area without any attendant neurological, behavioural or histological changes ^[26].

The preliminary results of a phase I study with SP-SAP in cancer patients with intractable pain were reported in abstract form by Frankel et al. ^[25], as conducted under clinical trial reference number NCT02036281. This was a single subject cohort dose escalation study using doubling doses (1–64 mcg and a final dose of 90 mcg) of SP-SAP injected intrathecally into the L5-S1 interspace. Although not stated directly in the abstract, it can be inferred that only three patients were treated up to a dose level of 4 mcg. There are no results posted for NCT02036281 on the clinicaltrials.gov website, but the authors reported that no toxicities, neurological or cardiac abnormalities were observed and that, at the dose levels so far studied, there was no evidence of pain reduction in any of the treated patients. It was reported that the dose escalation study is continuing, but authors were not able to locate any further information.

3. Pokeweed Antiviral Protein (PAP)-Based TTs

3.1. B43-PAP

B43-PAP is a second-generation IT comprised of a whole IgG_1 murine human anti-CD19 monoclonal antibody (B43) chemically conjugated via a disulphide bond to the plant RIP pokeweed antiviral protein (PAP) ^[27]. This IT has been extensively studied in preclinical studies and demonstrates selective cytotoxicity for CD19⁺ malignant cell lines and primary B-cell malignancies in vitro ^[28] and in vivo ^{[29][30]}. The published clinical experiences with B43-PAP have been limited exclusively to paediatric pre-B-cell ALL.

3.2. B43-PAP in Paediatric Acute Lymphoblastic Leukaemia

The first description of B43-PAP used in a phase I dose escalation study in CD19⁺ pre-B-cell ALL was reported briefly in a review paper by Uckun ^[31]. Here, 17 paediatric pre-B ALL patients were treated at 11 different dose levels (range 0.5–1250 µg/kg body wt) via a 1-h i.v. infusion. It is not known if B43-PAP was given as monotherapy or given alongside other cytotoxic therapy in this study, as this was not stated. The MTD was not reached, with VLS and myalgias recorded as observed toxicities but with no hepatic, renal, cardiac or neurological impairments. None of the treated patients developed HAMA or HATA. The author reported that four CRs and one PR were seen, though the report did not specify at which dose levels. Five additional patients were reported to show demonstrable reductions in circulating peripheral leukemic blasts, but again, the dose levels and magnitude of the reductions were not reported in full and therefore remain unknown.

The next clinical uses of B43-PAP were reported in 1999 and 2000, respectively, as two separate meeting abstracts that described its use in combination with the standard four-drug reinduction treatment (VPLD) in paediatric cases of relapsed ^[32] or newly diagnosed pre-B-cell ALL ^[33]. The earlier 1999 phase I study reported by Seibel et al. ^[32] explored the safety and activity of B43-PAP at two different dose levels (1 and 1.5 mg/m²/day given daily on days 9-13 and 21-25) given concomitantly during reinduction with standard VPLD chemotherapy to 24 children with relapsed CD19⁺ ALL. It was reported that 18 were evaluable for toxicity and 15 for response. One patient treated at a dose level of 1 mg/m²/day experienced grade 4 myalgias, whilst DLT was seen in three out of eleven patients, two of which developed grade 3 or 4 hepatotoxicity. Ten CRs and two PRs were reported, whilst three patients had progressive disease or had no response. This data allowed the authors to conclude that B43-PAP could be safely administered in combination with VPLD reinduction chemotherapy to children with CD19⁺ ALL, and they announced that this would form the basis of the rationale to add B43-PAP to one of the treatment arms of a new children's cancer group protocol for a cohort of newly diagnosed high risk paediatric cases of CD19⁺ ALL. This subsequently led to an upfront study with B43-PAP used in combination with four-drug (VPLD) induction chemotherapy in newly diagnosed cases of paediatric pre-B-cell ALL who were slow early responders in order to determine whether the addition of this IT to the standard induction protocols improved the response rate in comparison with the children who did not receive it [33]. To achieve this goal, 28 patients with high-risk ALL were randomised to receive B43-PAP or not, together with standard VPLD induction chemotherapy. The IT was administered at a single dose level of 1 mg/m²/day for five consecutive days (days 9–13), commencing nine days after the start of VPLD induction treatment. In addition, a comparator group of 255 nonrandomised patients with similar disease features received VPLD induction therapy alone. Patients who received both VPLD + B43-PAP had significantly better reductions in bone marrow leukemic blasts than either the randomised or nonrandomised cohorts, and the authors concluded that this outcome merited further investigation in a larger number of patients.

Following this, Seibel and her co-workers published their results for an extended study with the B43-PAP IT in 30 paediatric patients with relapsed/refractory pre-B ALL (US Children's Oncology Group protocol CCG-0957). There, B43-PAP was used in a 3 + 3 dose escalation design using just three dose levels (1, 1.5 and 2.0 mg/m²/day given i.v. daily on days 9-13 and 21-25) to paediatric patients with relapsed/refractory pre-B ALL. The IT was administered together with either a three-drug (VPL) or four-drug reinduction treatment given over 28 days. This study was published in full detail by Meany et al. [34] in 2015. Grade III/IV toxicities were encountered and included myalgias, motor dysfunction, pulmonary toxicity and elevated liver transaminases. Grade III/IV VLS was seen in eight patients, but only one reached grade IV severity, resulting in pulmonary DLT and multiorgan failure. The authors suggested that the relatively low incidence of severe VLS in their study was the result of aggressive pre-emptive fluid and medication interventions, as specified in the trial protocol. Dose-limiting toxicities occurred only in patients receiving the four-drug induction regime when given together with B43-PAP, and therefore, the MTD for the three-drug regime was not established in this study. Of the 20 patients that were evaluable, 14 achieved CR by 28 days. HAMA and HATA responses were not evaluated in this study. The authors concluded that B43-PAP could be administered safely together with standard induction chemotherapy and that the IT exhibited clinical anti-leukaemia activity in this setting. It is unfortunate that, despite the encouraging results that this study provided, it was never progressed to a further phase II or III study because of a B43-PAP drug supply issue. Consequently, the full therapeutic benefits of B43-PAP in paediatric CD19⁺ ALL have not been fully explored.

4. Gelonin-Based TTs

Phase I Study with the IT HUM-195/rGEL in AML

HUM-195/rGEL is a second-generation IT constructed by site-specific chemical conjugation via a cleavable disulphide bond of the humanised anti-CD33 antibody HUM-195 to a recombinant bacterially expressed version of Gelonin (rGEL) ^{[35][36]}. Gelonin, whilst possessing equivalent RIP activity to other plant-derived RIPs such as saporin, appears to have lower intrinsic nonspecific in vivo toxicity ^[37]. HUM-195/rGEL has been shown to be highly effective at selectively killing a

CD33⁺ acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) cell line both in vitro and in vivo in an animal model of AML ^{[36][38]}. CD33 is expressed on the majority of malignant myeloid cells ^[39] but is absent from the myeloid stem cell population ^{[40][41]}. This means, in principle, that myeloid cells killed by IT HUM-195/rGEL when administered to patients would be subsequently replenished from earlier myeloid precursors that lack CD33 expression.

To primarily test the safety and secondly response rates, Borthakur et al. ^[42] undertook a phase I dose escalation study with HUM-195/rGEL in 27 patients with advanced AML and 1 with myelodysplasia under clinical trial protocol NTC00038051.

Four dose levels: 12, 18, 28 and 40 mg/m² per course of HUM-195/rGEL were investigated in a 3 + 3 study design ^[42]. Dose-limiting toxicities seen post-infusion were allergic-type reactions that included hypoxia and hypotension. The MTD was established as the 28 mg/m² dose level. Four patients exhibited a 50% or greater reduction in peripheral blood blasts, while three patients treated at the 10, 12 and 28 mg/m² dose levels showed a 38–50% reduction in bone marrow blasts. Detectable immune responses against HUM-195/rGEL were low, with only 2 out of 23 patients developing antibodies against the gelonin component. These were heavily pre-treated patients and were likely to be somewhat immunocompromised, making it difficult to evaluate how effective the deimmunisation of the gelonin component of the IT had actually been. Importantly, this study demonstrated that HUM-195/rGEL can safely be administered to AML patients with advanced disease with an established MTD of 28 mg/m². Anti-leukaemia activity was demonstrable in 7 out of 27 (26%) patients, but unfortunately, phase II or III studies have not been undertaken due to subsequent drug supply issues.

5. Bouganin-Based TTs

VB6-845 is a fully recombinant IT consisting of a fusion between a humanised single-chain antibody fragment (scFv) with specificity for the epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) and a deimmunised version of the RIP bouganin ^{[43][44]}. Deimmunisation of bouganin was achieved by identification and removal by the genetic engineering of putative T-cell epitopes without affecting the catalytic potency of the RIP ^[44]. A wide range of carcinomas, which, by definition, are of epithelial origin, express EpCAM on their surface, and this IT is designed to target such tumours. The results of a preliminary phase I dose escalation study were reported briefly by Kowalski et al. in 11 patients with a range of solid epithelial tumours ^[45]. Cohorts of three to six patients for each dose escalation were based on a modified Fibonacci design with a starting dose of 1 mg/kg body weight progressing to 2.0 mg/kg. Treatment was given once weekly in fourweek cycles until unacceptable toxicity occurred, until all lesions disappeared or until the disease progressed. The MTD was not reached in this study, and the authors indicated that additional patients would need to be recruited in order to determine this. A single DLT of an infusion reaction was noted at a dose level of 2.0 mg/kg. Other toxicities observed were fever, hypotension and hypoalbuminemia. Immunogenicity data obtained for ten patients revealed very low anti-bouganin responses below or near the limit of detection without specifying the method employed.

A later publication in the form of a book chapter from the same group [46] briefly described a phase I dose escalation study with the VB6-845 IT in 15 patients with various EpCAM-positive solid tumours that included renal, ovary, breast, gastric, pancreas, non-small cell lung and colorectal cancers, primarily to determine the MTD and evaluate the safety and tolerability of the IT and secondarily to assess the immunogenicity, pharmacokinetic profile and any antitumour effects (clintrials.gov NCT 00481936). Cohorts of three patients, each received monotherapy-escalating doses of the drug based on a modified Fibonacci scheme (1, 2 or 3.34 mg/kg dose) of VB6-845 administered as an i.v. infusion once weekly in 4weekly cycles. The maximum treatment duration was 16 weeks. There was only one DLT of a grade 4 acute infusion reaction reported as occurring in one patient from cohort 2 treated at 2.0 mg/kg. The MTD was not established as the final dose level of 3.34 mg/kg due to early termination of the study. There were a total of five serious adverse events (SAEs) reported, two of which were infusion-related and characterised by hypotension, fever and nausea. At least ten other patients experienced mild/moderate adverse events (AEs) that resolved within 1 to 2 days. Pyrexia was commonly reported. The preliminary efficacy data were encouraging, with five patients demonstrating stable disease and two a decrease in measurable tumour sizes in two patients from the second dose cohort (renal cell and breast carcinoma). The immunogenicity of VB6-845 in this patient population was reported to be very low, attesting to the potential value of bouganin deimmunisation as a strategy to overcome the immunogenicity problem. No further reports on the clinical use of VB6-845 have been found, and this IT is now listed in the portfolio of Sesen Bio (www.sesenbio.com (accessed on 15 June 2022)).

6. Momordin-Based TTs

BDI-1-MD is a first-generation IT comprised of the IgG_1 murine monoclonal antibody BDI-1 with specificity for a bladder cancer-associated antigen ^[47] conjugated chemically to the glycosylated RIP momordin ^[48]. Yu et al. ^[49] very briefly

described a series of 18 patients with superficial transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder treated intravesically with an unspecified dose of BDI-1-MD. Patients were followed up for between 8 and 24 months (mean 12.5 months) following the initial treatment. These workers reported that the IT was effective at clearing small tumours <1 cm in size in all but one of the 18 patients. Details of the study were, however, very sparse, and it is difficult to judge the precise outcomes that were achieved from the limited information given in their paper.

References

- 1. Lombardi, A.; Bursomanno, S.; Lopardo, T.; Traini, R.; Colombatti, M.; Ippoliti, R.; Flavell, D.J.; Flavell, S.U.; Ceriotti, A.; Fabbrini, M.S. Pichia pastoris as a host for secretion of toxic saporin chimeras. Faseb. J. 2010, 24, 253–265.
- 2. Flavell, D.J.; Flavell, S.U. Comparison of immunotoxins bearing a single saporin molecule with multiple toxin conjugate s. Immunotoxin Methods Protoc. 2001, 166, 87–100.
- 3. Della Cristina, P.; Castagna, M.; Lombardi, A.; Barison, E.; Tagliabue, G.; Ceriotti, A.; Koutris, I.; Di Leandro, L.; Giansa nti, F.; Vago, R.; et al. Systematic comparison of single-chain Fv antibody-fusion toxin constructs containing Pseudomo nas Exotoxin A or saporin produced in different microbial expression systems. Microb. Cell Factories 2015, 14, 19–35.
- 4. Pastan, I.; Hassan, R.; FitzGerald, D.J.; Kreitman, R.J. Immunotoxin treatment of cancer. Annu. Rev. Med. 2007, 58, 22 1–237.
- Fabbrini, M.S.; Rappocciolo, E.; Carpani, D.; Solinas, M.; Valsasina, B.; Breme, U.; Cavallaro, U.; Nykjaer, A.; Rovida, E.; Legname, G.; et al. Characterization of a saporin isoform with lower ribosome-inhibiting activity. Biochem. J. 1997, 3 22, 719–727.
- Falini, B.; Bolognesi, A.; Flenghi, L.; Tazzari, P.L.; Broe, M.K.; Stein, H.; Durkop, H.; Aversa, F.; Corneli, P.; Pizzolo, G.; et al. Response of Refractory Hodgkin's Disease to Monoclonal Anti-CD30 Immunotoxin. Lancet 1992, 339, 1195–119
 6.
- Tazzari, P.L.; Bolognesi, A.; Totero, D.D.; Falini, B.; Lemoli, R.M.; Soria, M.R.; Pileri, S.; Gobbi, M.; Stein, H.; Flenghi, L.; et al. Ber-H2 (anti-CD30)-saporin immunotoxin: A new tool for the treatment of Hodgkin's disease and CD30+ lymph oma: In vitro evaluation. Br. J. Haematol. 1992, 81, 203–211.
- Pasqualucci, L.; Wasik, M.; Teicher, B.A.; Flenghi, L.; Bolognesi, A.; Stirpe, F.; Polito, L.; Falini, B.; Kadin, M.E. Antitumo ur Ativity of Anti-CD30 Immunotoxin (Ber-H2/Saporin) In Vitro and in Severe Combined Immunodeficiency Disease Mic e Xenografted with Human CD30+ Anaplastic Large-Cell Lymphoma. Blood 1995, 85, 2139–2146.
- Falini, B.; Pileri, S.; Pizzolo, G.; Durkop, H.; Flenghi, L.; Stirpe, F.; Martelli, M.M.; Stein, H. CD30 (Ki-1) molecule: A new cytokine receptor of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily as a tool for diagnosis and immunotherapy. Blood 1 995, 85, 1–14.
- Falini, B.; Flenghi, L.; Fedeli, L.; Broe, M.K.; Nobino, C.; Stein, H.; Durkop, H.; Bigerna, B.; Barbabietola, G.; Venturi, S.; et al. In vivo targeting of Hodgkin and Reed-Sternberg cells of Hodgkin's disease with monoclonal antibody Ber-H2 (CD30): Immunohistological evidence. Br. J. Haematol. 1992, 82, 38–45.
- 11. Pasqualucci, L.; Flenghi, L.; Terenzi, A.; Bolognesi, A.; Stirpe, F.; Bigerna, B.; Falini, B. Immunotoxin therapy of hematol ogical malignancies. Haematologica 1995, 80, 546–556.
- Falini, B.; Pasqualucci, L.; Flenghi, L.; Terenzi, A.; Bolognesi, A.; Tazzari, P.L.; Stein, H.; Pizzolo, G.; Martelli, M.F.; Stirp e, F. Therapy of refractory Hodgkin's disease with anti-CD30/saporin immunotoxin. In Proceedings of the Fifth Internati onal Conference on Malignant Lymphoma, Lugano, Switzerland, 9–12 June 1993; p. 66.
- Bonardi, M.A.; French, R.R.; Amlot, P.; Gromo, G.; Modena, D.; Glennie, M.J. Delivery of saporin to human B-cell lymp homa using bispecific antibody: Targeting via CD22 but not CD19, CD37 0r immunoglobulin results in efficient killing. C ancer Res. 1993, 53, 3015–3021.
- 14. Bonardi, M.A.; Bell, A.; French, R.R.; Gromo, G.; Hamblin, T.; Modena, D.; Tutt, A.L.; Glennie, M.J. Initial Experience in Treating Human Lymphoma with a Combination of Bispecific Antibody and Saporin. Int. J. Cancer 1992, 7, 73–77.
- 15. French, R.R.; Bell, A.J.; Hamblin, T.J.; Tutt, A.L.; Glennie, M.J. Response of B-cell lymphoma to a combination of bispe cific antibodies and saporin. Leuk. Res. 1996, 20, 607–617.
- 16. French, R.R.; Hamblin, T.J.; Bell, A.J.; Tutt, A.L.; Glennie, M.J. Treatment of B-cell lymphomas with combination of bisp ecific antibodies and saporin. Lancet 1995, 346, 223–224.
- Flavell, D.J.; Flavell, S.U.; Boehm, D.; Emery, L.; Noss, A.; Ling, N.R.; Richardson, P.R.; Hardie, D.; Wright, D.H. Precli nical studies with the anti-CD19-saporin immunotoxin BU12-SAPORIN for the Treatment of Human B-Cell Tumours. Br. J. Cancer 1995, 72, 1373–1379.

- Uckun, F.M.; Jaszcz, W.; Ambrus, J.L.; Fauci, A.S.; Gajl-Peczalska, K.; Song, C.W.; Wick, M.R.; Myers, D.E.; Waddick, K.; Ledbetter, J.A. Detailed studies on expression and function of CD19 surface determinant by using B43 monoclonal antibody and the clinical potential of anti-CD19 immunotoxins. Blood 1988, 71, 13.
- 19. Sweetenham, J.; Flavell, D.J. Protocol for a phase I study of an anti-CD19-saporin immunotoxin (BU12-SAPORIN) in p atients with advanced B-cell non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. CRC DDO Phase I CT Protocol 1994.
- 20. Morland, B.; Flavell, D.J. Phase I study of BU12-SAPORIN Immunotoxin in Paediatric Patients with Relapsed or Resist antCD19+ Leukaemia/Lymphoma. UKCCSG/CRC DDO NAG Study (NAG 2001 01), 2001.
- 21. Flavell, D.J.; Boehm, D.A.; Emery, L.; Noss, A.; Ramsay, A.; Flavell, S.U. Therapy of human B-cell lymphoma bearing S CID mice is more effective with anti-CD19 and anti-CD38-saporin immunotoxins used in combination than with either i mmunotoxin used alone. Int. J. Cancer 1995, 62, 337–344.
- 22. Flavell, D.J.; Boehm, D.A.; Noss, A.; Warnes, S.L.; Flavell, S.U. Therapy of human T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukaemi a with a combination of anti-CD7 and anti-CD38-saporin immunotoxins is significantly better than therapy with each indi vidual immunotoxin. Br. J. Cancer 2001, 84, 571–578.
- 23. Samson, D.; Morgan, G.; Apperley, J.; Flavell, D.J. A phase I trial of intravenous anti-CD38-saporin (OKT10-SAPORIN) in late stage myeloma. CRC DDO Protocol 2000.
- 24. Wiley, R.G.; Lappi, D.A. Destruction of neurokinin-1 receptor expressing cells in vitro and in vivo using substance P-sap orin in rats. Neurosci. Lett. 1997, 230, 97–100.
- 25. Frankel, A.E.; Nymeyer, H.; Lappi, D.A.; Higgins, D.; Ahn, C.; Noe, C. Preliminary results from a phase I study of substa nce P-saporin in terminal cancer patients with intractable pain. J. Clin. Oncol. 2014, 32 (Suppl. 31), 191.
- Brown, D.C.; Agnello, K. Intrathecal substance P-saporin in the dog: Efficacy in bone cancer pain. Anesthesiology 201 3, 119, 1178–1185.
- 27. Uckun, F.M.; Gajl-Peczalska, K.J.; Kersey, J.H.; Houston, L.L.; Vallera, D.A. Use of a Novel Colony Assay to Evaluate T he Cytotoxicity of an Immunotoxin Containing Pokeweed Antiviral Protein Against Blast Progenitor Cells Freshly Obtain ed from Patients with Common B-Lineage Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. J. Exp. Med. 1986, 163, 347–368.
- Waddick, K.G.; Myers, D.E.; Gunther, R.; Chelstrom, L.M.; Chandan-Langlie, M.; Irvin, J.D.; Tumer, N.; Uckun, F.M. In v itro and in vivo antileukemic activity of B43-pokeweed antiviral protein against radiation-resistant human B-cell precurso r leukemia cells. Blood 1995, 86, 4228–4233.
- Jansen, B.; Uckun, F.; Jaszcz, W.B.; Kersey, J.H. Establishment of a Human t(4;11) Leukaemia in Severe Combined Im munodeficient Mice and Successful Treatment Using Anti-CD19 (B43)-Pokeweed Antiviral Protein Immunotoxin. Cance r Res. 1992, 52, 406–412.
- Uckun, F.M.; Manivel, C.; Arthur, D.; Chelstrom, L.M.; Finnegan, N.; Tuel-Ahlgren, L.; Irvin, J.D.; Myers, D.E.; Gunther, R. In vivo Efficacy of B43 (anti-CDI9)-Pokeweed Antiviral Protein Immunotoxin Against Human Pre-B Cell Acute Lymph oblastic Leukemia in Mice with Severe Combined Immunodeficiency. Blood 1992, 79, 2201–2214.
- 31. Uckun, F.M. Immunotoxins for the treatment of Leukaemia. Br. J. Hamatol. 1993, 85, 435-438.
- 32. Seibel, N.L.; Krailo, M.; O'Neill, K.; Franklin, J.; Uckun, F.; Reaman, G.H. Phase I Study of B43-PAP Immunotoxin in Co mbination with Standard 4-Drug Induction for Patients with CD 19+ Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL) in Relapse, A Children's Cancer Group Study. Pediatric Res. 1999, 45, 775.
- 33. Seibel, N.L.; Sather, H.; Steinherz, P.G.; DeLaat, C.; Ettinger, L.J.; Freyer, D.; Hastings, C.; Mattano, T.L.; Mitchell, T.L.; O'Neil, K.; et al. Upfront Treatment with B43-PAP Immunotoxin in Newly Diagnosed Children with Higher Risk ALL—Ch ildrens Cancer Group 1961. Blood 2000, 96, 3115a.
- 34. Meany, H.J.; Seibel, N.L.; Krailo, M.; Villaluna, D.; Chen, Z.; Gaynon, P.; Neglia, J.P.; Park, J.R.; Hutchinson, R.; Sato, J.K.; et al. Feasibility Study of a Novel Experimental Induction Protocol Combining B43-PAP (Anti-CD19) Immunotoxin With Standard Induction Chemotherapy in Children and Adolescents With Relapsed B-Lineage ALL: A Report From the Children's Oncology Group. J. Immunother 2015, 38, 299–305.
- Rosenblum, M.G.; Kohr, W.A.; Beattie, K.L.; Beattie, W.G.; Marks, W.; Toman, P.D.; Cheung, L. Amino acid sequence a nalysis, gene construction, cloning, and expression of gelonin, a toxin derived from Gelonium multiflorum. J. Interferon Cytokine Res. 1995, 15, 547–555.
- Pagliaro, L.C.; Liu, B.; Munker, R.; Andreeff, M.; Freireich, E.J.; Scheinberg, D.A.; Rosenblum, M.G. Humanized M195 monoclonal antibody conjugated to recombinant gelonin: An anti-CD33 immunotoxin with antileukemic activity. Clin. Ca ncer. Res. 1998, 4, 1971–1976.
- Bolognesi, A.; Tazzari, P.L.; Tassi, C.; Gromo, G.; Gobbi, M.; Stirpe, F. A comparison of anti-lymphocyte immunotoxins c ontaining different ribosome-inactivating proteins and antibodies. Clin. Exp. Immunol. 1992, 89, 341–346.

- 38. Xu, Y.; Xu, Q.; Rosenblum, M.G.; Scheinberg, D.A. Antileukemic activity of recombinant humanized M195-gelonin imm unotoxin in nude mice. Leukemia 1996, 10, 321–326.
- 39. Tanimoto, M.; Scheinberg, D.A.; Cordon-Cardo, C.; Huie, D.; Clarkson, B.D.; Old, L.J. Restricted expression of an early myeloid and monocytic cell surface antigen defined by monoclonal antibody M195. Leukemia 1989, 3, 339–348.
- Bernstein, I.D.; Singer, J.W.; Andrews, R.G.; Keating, A.; Powell, J.S.; Bjornson, B.H.; Cuttner, J.; Najfeld, V.; Reaman, G.; Raskind, W.; et al. Treatment of acute myeloid leukemia cells in vitro with a monoclonal antibody recognizing a myel oid differentiation antigen allows normal progenitor cells to be expressed. J. Clin. Investig. 1987, 79, 1153–1159.
- 41. Bernstein, I.D.; Singer, J.W.; Smith, F.O.; Andrews, R.G.; Flowers, D.A.; Petersens, J.; Steinmann, L.; Najfeld, V.; Sava ge, D.; Fruchtman, S.; et al. Differences in the frequency of normal and clonal precursors of colony-forming cells in chro nic myelogenous leukemia and acute myelogenous leukemia. Blood 1992, 79, 1811–1816.
- Borthakur, G.; Rosenblum, M.G.; Talpaz, M.; Daver, N.; Ravandi, F.; Faderl, S.; Freireich, E.J.; Kadia, T.; Garcia-Maner o, G.; Kantarjian, H.; et al. Phase 1 study of an anti-CD33 immunotoxin, humanized monoclonal antibody M195 conjug ated to recombinant gelonin (HUM-195/rGEL), in patients with advanced myeloid malignancies. Haematologica 2013, 9 8, 217–221.
- 43. Bortolotti, M.; Bolognesi, A.; Polito, L. Bouganin, an Attractive Weapon for Immunotoxins. Toxins 2018, 10, 323.
- 44. Cizeau, J.; Grenkow, D.M.; Brown, J.G.; Entwistle, J.; MacDonald, G.C. Engineering and biological characterization of VB6-845, an anti-EpCAM immunotoxin containing a T-cell epitope-depleted variant of the plant toxin bouganin. J. Immu nother 2009, 32, 574–584.
- Kowalski, M.; Brazas, L.; Zaretsky, R.; Rasamoelisolo, M.; MacDonald, G.; Cuthbert, W.; Glover, N. A phase I study of VB6–845, an anti-EpCAM fusion protein targeting advanced solid tumours of epithelial origin: Preliminary results. J. Cli n. Oncol. 2008, 26 (Suppl. 15), 14663.
- 46. Entwistle, J.; Kowalski, M.; Brown, J.; Cizeau, J.; Macdonald, G. The Preclinical and Clinical Evaluation of VB6-845: An Immunotoxin with a De-Immunized Payload for the Systemic Treatment of Solid Tumors. In Antibody-Drug Conjugates and Immunotoxins: From Pre-Clinical Development to Therapeutic Applications; Phillips, G.L., Ed.; Springer Science & Business Media: Berlin, Germany, 2013; pp. 349–367.
- 47. Ma, A.H.; Yu, L.Z.; Gu, F.I. The Production and Characterization of a Monoclonal Antibody Against Bladder Carcinoma. Chin. J. Urol. 1990, 11, 196.
- 48. Ortigao, M.; Better, M. Momordin II, a ribosome inactivating protein from Momordica balsamina, is homologous to other plant proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 1992, 20, 4662.
- 49. Yu, L.; Gu, F.; Zhang, C.; Xie, S.; Guo, Y. Targeted diagnosis and treatment of superficial bladder cancer with monoclon al antibody BDI-1. Chin. Med. J. (Engl) 1998, 111, 404–407.

Retrieved from https://encyclopedia.pub/entry/history/show/65205